

## Vector bundles, forcing algebras and local cohomology

### Lecture 2

#### Forcing algebras and closure operations

Let  $R$  denote a commutative ring and let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  be an ideal. Let  $f \in R$  and let

$$B = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(f_1T_1 + \dots + f_nT_n - f)$$

be the corresponding forcing algebra and

$$\varphi : \text{Spec}(B) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

the corresponding spectrum morphism. How are properties of  $\varphi$  (or of the  $R$ -algebra  $B$ ) related to certain ideal closure operations?

We start with some examples. The element  $f$  belongs to the ideal  $I$  if and only if we can write  $f = r_1f_1 + \dots + r_nf_n$ . By the universal property of the forcing algebra this means that there exists an  $R$ -algebra-homomorphism

$$B \longrightarrow R,$$

hence  $f \in I$  holds if and only if  $\varphi$  admits a scheme section. This is also equivalent to

$$R \longrightarrow B$$

admitting an  $R$ -module section or  $B$  being a pure  $R$ -algebra (so for forcing algebras properties might be equivalent which are not equivalent for arbitrary algebras).

*The radical of an ideal*

Now we look at the radical of the ideal  $I$ ,

$$\text{rad}(I) = \{f \in R \mid f^k \in I \text{ for some } k\} .$$

The importance of the radical comes mainly from Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, saying that for algebras of finite type over an algebraically closed field there is a natural bijection between radical ideals and closed algebraic zero-sets. So geometrically one can see from an ideal only its radical. As this is quite a coarse closure operation we should expect that this corresponds to a quite coarse property of the morphism  $\varphi$  as well. Indeed, it is true that  $f \in \text{rad}(I)$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is surjective. This is true since the radical of an ideal is the intersection of all prime ideals in which it is contained. Hence an element  $f$  belongs to the radical if and only if for all residue class homomorphisms

$$\varphi : R \longrightarrow \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$$

where  $I$  is sent to 0, also  $f$  is sent to 0. But this means for the forcing equation that whenever the equation degenerates to 0, then also the inhomogeneous part becomes zero, and so there will always be a solution to the inhomogeneous equation.

Exercise: Define the radical of a submodule inside a module.

### *Integral closure of an ideal*

Another closure operation is integral closure. It is defined by

$$\bar{I} = \{f \in R \mid f^k + a_1 f^{k-1} + \dots + a_{k-1} f + a_k = 0 \text{ for some } k \text{ and } a_i \in I^i\} .$$

This notion is important for describing the normalization of the blow up of the ideal  $I$ . Another characterization is that there exists a  $z \in R$ , not contained in any minimal prime ideal of  $R$ , such that  $zf^n \in I^n$  holds for all  $n$ . Another equivalent property - the valuative criterion - is that for all ring homomorphisms

$$\theta : R \longrightarrow D$$

to a discrete valuation domain  $D$  (assume that  $R$  is noetherian) the containment  $\theta(f) \in \theta(I)D$  holds.

The characterization of the integral closure in terms of forcing algebras requires some notions from topology. A continuous map

$$\varphi : X \longrightarrow Y$$

between topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is called a *submersion*, if it is surjective and if  $Y$  carries the image topology (quotient topology) under this map. This means that a subset  $W \subseteq Y$  is open if and only if its preimage  $\varphi^{-1}(W)$  is open. Since the spectrum of a ring endowed with the Zariski topology is a topological space, this notion can be applied to the spectrum morphism of a ring homomorphism. With this notion we can state that  $f \in \bar{I}$  if and only if the forcing morphism

$$\varphi : \text{Spec}(B) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

is a universal submersion (universal means here that for any ring change  $R \rightarrow R'$  to a noetherian ring  $R'$ , the resulting homomorphism  $R' \rightarrow B'$  still has this property). The relation between these two notions stems from the fact that also for universal submersions there exists a criterion in terms of discrete valuation domains: A morphism of finite type between two affine noetherian schemes is a universal submersion if and only if the base change to any discrete valuation domain yields a submersion. For a morphism

$$Z \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(D)$$

( $D$  a discrete valuation domain) to be a submersion means that above the only chain of prime ideals in  $\text{Spec}(D)$ , namely  $(0) \subset \mathfrak{m}_D$ , there exists a chain of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$  in  $Z$  lying over this chain. This pair-lifting property holds for a universal submersion

$$\text{Spec}(S) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

for any pair of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  in  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . This property is stronger than lying over (which means surjective) but weaker than the going-down or the going-up property (in the presence of surjectivity).

If we are dealing only with algebras of finite type over the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we may also consider the corresponding complex spaces with their natural topology induced from the euclidean topology of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then universal submersive with respect to the Zariski topology is the same as submersive in the complex topology (the target space needs to be normal).

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let  $K$  be a field and consider  $R = K[X]$ . Since this is a principal ideal domain, the only interesting forcing algebras (if we are only interested in the local behavior around  $(X)$ ) are of the form  $K[X, T]/(X^n T - X^m)$ . For  $m \geq n$  this  $K[X]$ -algebra admits a section (corresponding to the fact that  $X^m \in (X^n)$ ), and if  $n \geq 1$  there exists an affine line over the maximal ideal  $(X)$ . So now assume  $m < n$ . If  $m = 0$ , then we have a hyperbola mapping to an affine line, with the fiber over  $(X)$  being empty, corresponding to the fact that 1 does not belong to the radical of  $(X^n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . So assume finally  $1 \leq m < n$ . Then  $X^m$  belongs to the radical of  $(X^n)$ , but not to its integral closure (which is the identical closure on a one-dimensional regular ring). We can write the forcing equation as  $X^n T - X^m = X^m(X^{n-m} T - 1)$ . So the spectrum of the forcing algebra consists of a (thickend) line over  $(X)$  and of a hyperbola. The forcing morphism is surjective, but it is not a submersion. For example, the preimage of  $D(X)$  is a connected component hence open, but this single point is not open.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $K$  be a field and let  $R = K[X, Y]$  be the polynomial ring in two variables. We consider the ideal  $I = (X^2, Y)$  and the element  $X$ . This element belongs to the radical of this ideal, hence the forcing morphism

$$\text{Spec}(K[X, Y, T_1, T_2]/(X^2 T_1 + Y T_2 + X)) \longrightarrow \text{Spec}(K[X, Y])$$

is surjective. We claim that it is not a submersion. For this we look at the reduction modulo  $Y$ . In  $K[X, Y]/(Y) \cong K[X]$  the ideal becomes  $(X^2)$  which does not contain  $X$ . Hence by the valuative criterion for integral closure,  $X$  does not belong to the integral closure of the ideal. One can also say that the chain  $V(X, Y) \subset V(Y)$  in the affine plane does not have a lift (as a chain) to the spectrum of the forcing algebra.

For the ideal  $I = (X^2, Y^2)$  and the element  $XY$  the situation looks different. Let

$$\theta : K[X, Y] \longrightarrow D$$

be a ring homomorphism to a discrete valuation domain  $D$ . If  $X$  or  $Y$  is mapped to 0, then also  $XY$  is mapped to 0 and hence belongs to the extendend ideal. So assume that  $\theta(X) = u\pi^r$  and  $\theta(Y) = v\pi^s$ , where  $\pi$  is a local parameter of  $D$  and  $u$  and  $v$  are units. Then  $\theta(XY) = uv\pi^{r+s}$  and the exponent is at least the minimum of  $2r$  and  $2s$ , hence  $\theta(XY) \in (\pi^{2r}, \pi^{2s}) =$

$(\theta(X^2), \theta(Y^2))D$ . Hence  $XY$  belongs to the integral closure of  $(X^2, Y^2)$  and the forcing morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(K[X, Y, T_1, T_2]/(X^2T_1 + Y^2T_2 + XY)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K[X, Y])$$

is a universal submersion.

### *Continuous closure*

Suppose now that  $R = \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ . Then every polynomial  $f \in R$  can be considered as a continuous function

$$f : \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x_1, \dots, x_k) \longmapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

in the complex topology. If  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is an ideal and  $f \in R$  is an element, we say that  $f$  belongs to the *continuous closure* of  $I$ , if there exist continuous functions

$$g_1, \dots, g_n : \mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i$$

(identity of functions) (the same definition works for  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras of finite type).

It is not at all clear at once that there may exist polynomials  $f \notin I$  but inside the continuous closure of  $I$ . For  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  it is easy to show that the continuous closure is (like the integral closure) just the ideal itself. We also remark that when we would only allow holomorphic functions  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  then we could not get something larger. However, with continuous functions we can for example write

$$X^2Y^2 = g_1X^3 + g_2Y^3.$$

Continuous closure is always inside the integral closure and hence also inside the radical. The element  $XY$  does not belong to the continuous closure of  $(X^2, Y^2)$ , though it belongs to the integral closure of  $I$ . In terms of forcing algebras, an element  $f$  belongs to the continuous closure if and only if the complex forcing mapping

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathrm{Spec}(B)_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)_{\mathbb{C}}$$

(between the corresponding complex spaces) admits a continuous section.