

Drawing attached to the Antonio Pigafetta's report (~1522-1536) extant at the *Venerabile Biblioteca Ambrosiana*, Fondo manoscritti, Piazza Pio XI, 2, 20123 Milano, Italy.

Observations.

The name of one island in the drawing is *Mattam*, in the Pigafetta's report is *matan* (the today's name is *Mactan*).
The name of one island in the drawing is *Zzubu*, in the report is *Zubu* (the today's name is *Cebu*).

3) The port is drawn as an estuary, or creek, or cove: the actual is a singular canal harbor, 5 nm (9km) long, delimited by the Mactan and Cebu costs, very near each other. It is unique and the best natural harbor in the word; well described by Albo.

4) The highest part is conventionally considered as the South, the lower part as the North. Actually the 3 islands Cebu, Mactan, Bohol, are placed from West to East.

5) The islands are drawn in a fanciful way. In reality the Mactan island is very small, the Cebu island very big, and the shapes are completely different.

6) In the Mactan island there is an Italian inscription "*Quivi mori Il cap.mo gñale*" (Quivi morì il capitano generale).

7) Pigafetta in his report never cites any drawing.

May an honest man, let alone an historian, to believe that this drawing has been drawn or supervised by Pigafetta?