This article was downloaded by: *[University of New South Wales]* On: *20 May 2010* Access details: *Access Details: [subscription number 907420840]* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



European Journal of Sport Science

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t714592354

The Overtraining Syndrome - facts & fiction

Romain Meeusen ^a; Martine Duclos ^b; Michael Gleeson ^c; Gerard Rietjens ^d; Jüergen Steinacker ^e;Axel Urhausen ^f

^a Department of Human Physiology and Sports Medicine, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium ^b Laboratory Neurogenetic and Stress, INRA-UMR 1243, University Bordeaux 2 and Sport-Health Department, Bordeaux, CHU, France ^c School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Loughborough University, UK ^d Netherlands Olympic Committee, Netherlands Sports Confederation (NOC/NSF), Arnhem, The Netherlands ^e Sektion Sports and Rehabilitation Medicine University of Ulm, Germany ^f Centre de l'Appareil Locomoteur, de Me'decine du Sport et de Pre'vention, Centre Hospitalier de Luxembourg, Germany

To cite this Article Meeusen, Romain, Duclos, Martine, Gleeson, Michael, Rietjens, Gerard, Steinacker, Jüergen and Urhausen, Axel(2006) 'The Overtraining Syndrome - facts & fiction', European Journal of Sport Science, 6: 4, 263 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/17461390601151302 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17461390601151302

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



COMMENTARY

The Overtraining Syndrome – facts & fiction

ROMAIN MEEUSEN¹, MARTINE DUCLOS², MICHAEL GLEESON³, GERARD RIETJENS⁴, JÜERGEN STEINACKER⁵, & AXEL URHAUSEN⁶

¹Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Department of Human Physiology and Sports Medicine, Brussels, Belgium, ²Laboratory Neurogenetic and Stress, INRA-UMR 1243, University Bordeaux 2 and Sport-Health Department, CHU, Bordeaux: France, ³School of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Loughborough University, LE11 3TU, UK, ⁴Netherlands Olympic Committee, Netherlands Sports Confederation (NOC/NSF), Arnhem, The Netherlands, ⁵Sektion Sports and Rehabilitation Medicine University of Ulm, Germany, and ⁶Centre de l'Appareil Locomoteur, de Me'decine du Sport et de Pre' vention, Centre Hospitalier de Luxembourg

Previous studies on 'Overtraining' failed to make a clear distinction between 'Overreaching' and 'Overtraining' (Meeusen et al., 2006). The purpose of the consensus statement was not only to review possible signs & symptoms of the Overtraining Syndrome (OTS), but also to try to line up the outcome of 'overload training' into categories. Functional Overreaching (FOR) is used for a temporary decrease in performance following overload training. This overload will be used by athletes in order to reach an increase in sportspecific performance after a short recovery period. When the overload training has detrimental effects in the long term, a state of Non-Functional Overreaching (NFOR) occurs where full recovery will not happen in the pre-planned period (Meeusen et al., 2006, Nederhof et al., 2006). We agree that the distinction between NFOR and the Overtraining Syndrome is sometimes difficult to make since prolonged maladaptation can occur in both cases, but these features are emphasized in OTS, not only for performance of the athlete (possibly because of a detraining), but also of several biological, psychological, neurochemical, clinical, and hormonal regulation mechanisms. We can therefore diagnose OTS only when other possible causes of prolonged underperformance (i.e. that result in NFOR) have been examined and eliminated. Diagnosis of OTS is based on an 'exclusion diagnosis'.

Recovery of (disturbed) symptoms is indeed a possible guide in the 'recuperation' of the athlete for both clinical and mental aspects. This is an important issue and there is a need for well defined research in this area, maybe the proposal recently put forward by Nederhof et al., (2006) can help to detect early markers.

Performance tests as measures are indeed 'polluted' by the ongoing decrease in performance that results of the ongoing 'underperformance' during NFOR and OTS. However, detraining will not show the specific hormonal disturbances observed in OTS.

We feel that several signs and symptoms need to be examined in order to pinpoint the diagnosis of OTS. Sometimes simple symptoms such as feeling of heavy legs during minor exercise intensities, fatigue during daytime and sleeping disorders should be examined. Therefore we have proposed a checklist that can help clinicians to diagnose NFOR and/or OTS.

References

- Meeusen, R., Duclos, M., Gleeson, M., Rietjens, G., Steinacker, J., & Urhausen, A. (2006). Prevention, diagnosis and treatment of the overtraining Syndrome. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 6, 1–14.
- Nederhof, E., Lemmeink, K., Visscher, C., Meeusen, R., & Mulder, T. (2206). Psychomotor speed, possibly a new marker for overtraining syndrome. *Sports Medicine*, 36, 817–828.

Correspondence: Romain Meeusen, Free University Brussels, Human Physiology & Sports Medicine, VB-UK – Pleinlaan 2, 1050, Brussels.