Initial Report

Last Modified: 09/04/2012

 $1. \ \ \text{Would you have preferred a more organized, structured approach to forming project teams or learning?}$

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Yes		15	31%
2	No		34	69%
	Total		49	

Yes	No
Yes, maybe for a few hours to help novice to engage in challenges	but maybe should we assign a mentor or a reference people to newcomers so they can settle easier
more + silent + separate + bigger rooms	I think what was done worked fine, too much structure can be stifling
the freedom is great for those with purpose, but structure helps the getting the shy and newbs into the mix. both models can be used with such a big group	I've liked talking to people working on very different things instead of focussing on only one subject
more effort to involve people into ongoing projects, maybe - the event felt more suited for people who were already heavily involved in a project than for relative newcomers (though much less worse than the 2010 hackathon in that regard)	We can use the wiki.
	It has been quite good this way
	My work style is based on contacting as many people as possible
	Organization was excellent
	It was fine.

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	2
Mean	1.69
Variance	0.22
Standard Deviation	0.47
Total Responses	49

$2. \ \ \text{Was the schedule basically okay, or did it need improvement?}$

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Basically okay		47	94%
2	Needs improvement		3	6%
	Total		50	

Needs improvement one light-weight Wikipage Etherpad with up-to-date schedule It would be great to make it a longer event

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	2
Mean	1.06
Variance	0.06
Standard Deviation	0.24
Total Responses	50

${\bf 3.}\ \ \, {\hbox{\rm Did you get enough guidance, mentorship, and collaboration?}}$

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Yes		30	71%
2	Somewhat		10	24%
3	No	_	2	5%
	Total		42	

Yes	Somewhat	No
I was able to help a lot of people and still get some hacking done	gratr	-
You people were very helpful	rooms were too noisy; WiFi connectivity sometimes broken which causes server connection loss which costs a lot of time to restore, so that attendees could not always follow a presentation. This definitely must be avoided next time.	-
	It was great, but as a newcomer to core mediawiki developpement, I'd have appreciated toy (real) bugs to be proposed for solving	-
	I wanted to get more information on labs and puppet .	-

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	3
Mean	1.33
Variance	0.33
Standard Deviation	0.57
Total Responses	42

$\textbf{4.} \ \, \text{Did you attend any tutorials? (Git/Gerrit, Gadgets, Security, Lua, MySQL} \\ \text{Optimization?)}$

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Git/Gerrit		29	64%
2	Gadgets		24	53%
3	Security		17	38%
4	Lua		37	82%
5	MySQL Optimization		7	16%

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	5
Total Responses	45

5. Were you satisfied with the tutorial(s) you attended?

#	Answer	Bar	Response	%
1	Yes		29	67%
2	Somewhat		13	30%
3	No	•	1	2%
	Total		43	

Yes	Somewhat	No
Very satisfied	Gerrit Tutorial: all attendees should have been asked and guided and confirmed to have everything ready before the tutorial starts. There was enough time, we did not know exactly. So: a better preparation. Presentation needs improvements (more structured, dear explanation of the workflow with gerrit). Security: give the attendees a few examples cases before the day before the presentations	One was nearly word-for-word the website tutorial, another assumed an high level of familiarity with many programming languages I was not familiar with.
Great content and interaction	We had no WiFi upstairs needed for Git/Gerrit tutorial	
Tim is awesome	Probably really needs to explicitly make people leave that are not interested in following them	
MySQL Optimization tutorial was a bit introductory	would prefer some day long workshop :-D Tutorial were mostly introduction courses.	
	As git and git workflow are very complex topics it's been kind of short/fast	
	but these tutorials lack a hands on element - which I might help develop in the future	

Statistic	Value
Min Value	1
Max Value	3
Mean	1.35
Variance	0.28
Standard Deviation	0.53
Total Responses	43

$\begin{tabular}{ll} 6. & How many Wikimedia hackathons have you attended? \end{tabular}$

#	Answer	Min Value	Max Value	Average Value	Standard Deviation	Responses
1	Number	1.00	18.00	3.22	3.40	32

7. Ratings for Berlin hackathon 2012?

#	Question	Very Poor	Poor	ок	Good	Very Good	Responses	Mean
1	Overall	0	0	2	18	29	49	4.55
2	Communications before the conference (e-mails, MediaWiki.org, availability of information, contact with conference team)	0	0	8	14	27	49	4.39
3	Participants' package (attendee shirt, name tag)	0	1	4	20	25	50	4.38
4	Hackathon venue	1	2	3	20	24	50	4.28
5	Hotel or hostel	0	0	7	12	24	43	4.40
6	Catering	0	1	1	18	30	50	4.54
7	Organized social activities	0	2	10	18	17	47	4.06
8	Enough room for informal discussions	1	1	7	18	23	50	4.22
9	Transportation in Berlin (information and tickets provided)	0	1	3	11	34	49	4.59

Statistic	Overall	Communications before the conference (e- mails, MediaWiki.org, availability of information, contact with conference team)	Participants' package (attendee shirt, name tag)	Hackathon venue	Hotel or hostel	Catering	Organized social activities	Enough room for informal discussions	Transportation in Berlin (information and tickets provided)
Min Value	3	3	2	1	3	2	2	1	2
Max Value	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Mean	4.55	4.39	4.38	4.28	4.40	4.54	4.06	4.22	4.59
Variance	0.34	0.58	0.53	0.82	0.58	0.42	0.76	0.83	0.50
Standard Deviation	0.58	0.76	0.73	0.90	0.76	0.65	0.87	0.91	0.70
Total Responses	49	49	50	50	43	50	47	50	49