Mica

Olver

Pitts

Polis

Reed

Roby

Rush

т.

Jackson (IL)

 \Box 1426

tive) the rules were suspended and the

So (two-thirds being in the affirma-

The result of the vote was announced

Landry

bill was passed.

as above recorded.

Neal

Rangel

Hochul Holden Holt. Honda Hoyer Hultgren Hunter Hurt Israel Issa. Jackson Lee (TX) Jenkins Johnson (GA) Johnson (IL) Johnson (OH) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Jones Jordan Kaptur Keating Kelly Kildee Kind King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kinzinger (IL) Kissell Kline Kucinich Labrador Lamborn Lance Langevin Lankford Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latham LaTourette Latta Lee (CA) Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA) Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Lofgren, Zoe Long Lowey Lucas Luetkemever Luján Lummis Lungren, Daniel E. Lynch Malonev Manzullo Marino Markey Matheson Matsui McCarthy (CA) McCarthy (NY) McCaul McClintock McCollum McCotter McDermott McGovern McHenry McIntvre McKeon McKinley McMorris Rodgers McNerney Meehan Amash Broun (GA) Akin Boswell Dicks Engel

Meeks Sarbanes Scalise Michaud Schakowsky Miller (FL) Schiff Miller (MI) Schilling Miller (NC) Schmidt Miller, Garv Schock Miller, George Schrader Moore Schwartz Moran Schweikert Mulvaney Scott (SC) Murphy (CT) Scott (VA) Murphy (PA) Scott. Austin Myrick Scott, David Nadler Sensenbrenner Napolitano Serrano Neugebauer Sessions Noem Sewell Nugent Sherman Nunes Shimkus Nunnelee Shuler Olson Shuster Simpson Owens Sires Palazzo Slaughter Pallone Smith (NE) Pascrell Smith (NJ) Pastor (AZ) Smith (TX) Paulsen Smith (WA) Pearce Southerland Pelosi Speier Pence Stark Perlmutter Stearns Peters Stivers Peterson Stutzman Petri Sullivan Pingree (ME) Sutton Terry Platts Thompson (CA) Poe (TX) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Pompeo Thornberry Posey Tiberi Price (GA) Tierney Price (NC) Tipton Quayle Tonko Quiglev Towns Rahall Tsongas Turner (NY) Rehberg Turner (OH) Reichert Upton Renacci Van Hollen Reves Velázquez Ribble Visclosky Richardson Walberg Richmond Walden Rigell Walsh (IL) Rivera Walz (MN) Roe (TN) Wasserman Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Schultz Waters Rogers (MI) Watt Waxman Rohrabacher Webster Rokita Welch Rooney Ros-Lehtinen West Roskam Westmoreland Ross (AR) Whitfield Wilson (FL) Ross (FL) Rothman (NJ) Wilson (SC) Roybal-Allard Wittman Rovce Wolf Runyan Womack Ruppersberger Woodall Woolsev Ryan (OH) Yarmuth Rvan (WI) Yoder Young (AK) Sánchez, Linda Young (FL) Sanchez, Loretta Young (IN) NOES-5 Flake Paul Huelskamp NOT VOTING-12 Flores Mack Huizenga (MI) Marchant

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table. Stated for

Mr. HUIZENGA of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 133, had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. AKIN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall Nos. 130, 131, 132 and 133, I was delayed and unable to vote. Had I been present I would have voted "aye" on all four.

QUESTION OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise to a question of personal privilege.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CHAFFETZ). The Chair has been made aware of a valid basis for the gentlewoman's point of personal privilege.

The gentlewoman from New York is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. MALONEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to address an attack on my integrity and my reputation.

Last week, Representative DARRELL Issa, the chairman of the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, on which I have served for many years, gave an interview to a newspaper in San Diego. The story was published on March 21, and it quoted the gentleman as accusing me of lying, knowingly and intentionally, during a hearing that was held before the Oversight Committee on February 16.

That hearing received a significant amount of public attention because it addressed the issue of insurance for reproductive health care, yet included no witness testifying on behalf of the tens of millions of women across this country who seek access to coverage for reproductive health and contraception.

I certainly understand that Members on both sides of the aisle have different viewpoints on this issue, and I'm not here to discuss the underlying policy differences we may have.

Today I ask from Mr. ISSA the same commitment I ask of myself, to always strive to hear from all sides of a debate without resorting to name-calling or attacks on the personal integrity of others. Even when we disagree with what others might say, we have an obligation to listen to them and respect their viewpoints.

I am sure there are some who will accuse me of using these remarks to merely revisit the contraception issue. To the contrary, I am responding to statements published just last week by the gentleman from California, his arguments regarding my actions.

In his recent interview on the hearing, Mr. ISSA said this, to be absolutely clear, and I quote:

Carolyn Maloney then made the famous statement. Where are the women? That was an outright lie, and she knew it when she said it.

First of all, I would like to point out that what I actually offered was an outright question. I asked it as I sat there looking directly at an all-male panel, the panel that you see in this

now-famous picture. It is a picture that I believe is worth a thousand words.

And as I look at this picture again, my question is as pertinent and legitimate today as it was back then. Look at this picture and tell me. Where are the women? If you can point to one woman on this first panel, then I will happily withdraw and offer my apologies to Mr. Issa.

Just to make sure we have my question in context, let me repeat remarks that I made that morning that Mr. ISSA and some found so objectionable. I said, and I quote:

What I want to know is, Where are the women? I look at this panel, and I don't see one single individual representing the tens of millions of women across this country who want and need insurance coverage for basic preventive health care services, including family planning and contraception. Where are the women?

I still maintain, without fear of any contradiction, there is no one on this panel who is a woman, or who represents the tens of millions of women who want and need insurance basic coverage for family planning.

Now, if Mr. ISSA believes or tries to argue that that statement is somehow false because there were two women witnesses who appeared later that day on a second and separate panel, I would draw his attention to the fact that those witnesses were not there to represent the woman's point of view that is upheld primarily by the Democratic Party on this particular issue.

\square 1430

Those Republican-appointed witnesses were there only to represent the interests of institutions. So even in surveying both panels, I don't see one single individual representing the tens of millions of women across this country who want and need insurance coverage for basic preventive health care services, including family planning.

In conclusion, I would like to say, Mr. Speaker, rising for a point of personal privilege is sometimes accompanied by a call for a personal apology. Earlier today, Mr. ISSA apologized to me, and he sent me this letter just an hour or two ago. I am encouraged by his actions, and I accept his apology.

In the fallout of that unfortunate hearing, women were called far worse than liars. I know what I said that day. and I know it to be true. But I do think the Democratic witness, Sandra Fluke, and the women of America are owed an apology, an apology for denying them a voice, an apology for denying them a seat at the table. It was wrong then, and it is wrong each time that it happens. And it is especially wrong when women's health, women's lives, and women's rights are being discussed. And to cavalierly dismiss or deny that fact does greater damage to the fabric of democracy than words can ever redress.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on the motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Any record vote on the postponed question will be taken later.

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION EXTENSION ACT OF 2012

Mr. MICA. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4239) to provide an extension of Federal-aid highway, highway safety, motor carrier safety, transit, and other programs funded out of the Highway Trust Fund pending enactment of a multiyear law reauthorizing such programs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 4239

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2012".

(b) RECONCILIATION OF FUNDS .- The Secretary of Transportation shall reduce the amount apportioned or allocated for a program, project, or activity under this Act in fiscal year 2012 by amounts apportioned or allocated pursuant to the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (title I of Public Law 112-30) for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31. 2012.

(c) TABLE OF CONTENTS.-

Sec. 1. Short title; reconciliation of funds; table of contents.

TITLE I-FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

Sec. 101. Extension of Federal-aid highway programs.

TITLE II-EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

- Sec. 201. Extension of National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
- highway safety programs. Sec. 202. Extension of Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration pro-

grams.

- Sec. 203. Additional programs.
- TITLE III—PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAMS
- Sec. 301. Allocation of funds for planning programs.
- Sec. 302. Special rule for urbanized area formula grants.
- Sec. 303. Allocating amounts for capital investment grants.
- Sec. 304. Apportionment of formula grants for other than urbanized areas.
- Sec. 305. Apportionment based on fixed guideway factors.
- Sec. 306. Authorizations for public transportation.

Sec. 307. Amendments to SAFETEA-LU. TITLE IV—HIGHWAY TRUST FUND EXTENSION

- Sec. 401. Extension of trust fund expenditure authority.
- Sec. 402. Extension of highway-related taxes.

TITLE I—FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

SEC. 101. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAY PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 111 of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (Public Law 112-30: 125 Stat. 343) is amended-

(1) by striking "the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012," each place it appears and inserting "the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and

ending on June 1, 2012,"; (2) by striking "½" each place it appears and inserting "%"; and

(3) in subsection (a) by striking "March 31, 2012" and inserting "June 1, 2012'

(b) USE OF FUNDS.—Section 111(c)(3)(B)(ii) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 343) is amended by striking "\$319,500,000" and inserting ···\$426,000,000,.

(c) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS UNDER TITLE V OF SAFETEA-LU.—Section 111(e)(2) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 343) is amended by striking "the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(d) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 112(a) of the Surface Transportation Extension Act of 2011, Part II (125 Stat. 346) is amended by striking "\$196,427,625 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "\$261,903,500 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

TITLE II-EXTENSION OF HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS

SEC. 201. EXTENSION OF NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAMS.

(a) CHAPTER 4 HIGHWAY SAFETY PRO-GRAMS.—Section 2001(a)(1) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "\$235,000,000 for fiscal year 2009" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "\$235,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$156,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012 "

(b) HIGHWAY SAFETY RESEARCH AND DEVEL-OPMENT — Section 2001(a)(2) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "and \$54,122,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "and \$72,162,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(c) OCCUPANT PROTECTION INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(3) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "\$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2006" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "\$25,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011, and \$16,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(d) SAFETY BELT PERFORMANCE GRANTS .--Section 2001(a)(4) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "and \$24,250,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "and \$32,333,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(e) STATE TRAFFIC SAFETY INFORMATION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS.—Section 2001(a)(5) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "for fiscal year 2006" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011 and \$23,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012."

(f) ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING COUNTER-MEASURES INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM.-Section 2001(a)(6) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1519) is amended by striking "\$139,000,000 for fiscal year 2009" and all that follows through period at the end and inserting the "\$139,000,000 for each of fiscal years fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$92,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012."

(g) NATIONAL DRIVER REGISTER.-Section 2001(a)(7) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking "and \$2,058,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "and \$2,744,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(h) HIGH VISIBILITY ENFORCEMENT PRO-GRAM.—Section 2001(a)(8) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking "for fiscal year 2006" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "for each of fiscal years 2006 through 2011 and \$19.333,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012."

(i) MOTORCYCLIST SAFETY.—Section 2001(a)(9) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking "\$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2009" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$4,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(j) CHILD SAFETY AND CHILD BOOSTER SEAT SAFETY INCENTIVE GRANTS.—Section 2001(a)(10) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking "\$7,000,000 for fiscal year 2009" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting "\$7,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2009 through 2011, and \$4,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(k) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 2001(a)(11) of SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1520) is amended by striking "and \$12,664,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and end-ing on March 31, 2012." and inserting "and \$16,885,334 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012."

SEC. 202. EXTENSION OF FEDERAL MOTOR CAR-RIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION PRO-GRAMS.

(a) MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY GRANTS.-Section 31104(a)(8) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(8) \$141,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.".

(b) ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Section 31104(i)(1)(H) of title 49, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

(H) \$162,762,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.' (c) GRANT PROGRAMS.—Section 4101(c) of

SAFETEA-LU (119 Stat. 1715) is amended-(1) in paragraph (1) by striking "2011 and

\$15,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "2011 and \$20,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.";

 $\left(2\right)$ in paragraph $\left(2\right)$ by striking ``2011 and \$16,000,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "2011 and \$21,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.";

(3) in paragraph (3) by striking ``2011 and \$2,500,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "2011 and \$3,333,333 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012.";

(4) in paragraph (4) by striking "2011 and \$12,500,000 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on March 31, 2012." and inserting "2011 and \$16,666,667 for the period beginning on October 1, 2011, and ending on June 1, 2012."; and

(5) in paragraph (5) by striking "2011 and \$1,500,000 for the period beginning on October