

TIE BEE.
Subscription—two dollars per annum, payable half-yearly in advance.
FOR BAYOU SARAH, POINT COUPEE.
Baton Rouge Plaquemine and intermediate landings.
The steam-boat RED RIVER, having undergone thorough repairs, will resume her usual trips as a regular trader between New-Orleans and the above places. She will depart hence every Tuesday at 10 o'clock, A. M. and from Bayou Sarah every Friday at 12 o'clock, A. M. For freight or passage, apply on board or to
L. MILLAUDON.
December 17.

FOR BAYOU SARAH, POINT COUPEE.
Baton Rouge Plaquemine and intermediate landings.
The last running steam-boat COURTYN, captain D. Edgerston, will ply as a regular trader to those places, and leave here every Wednesday at twelve o'clock, A. M. She has fine accommodations for passengers, and is, in every respect, a first rate boat. For freight or passage, apply on board or to
JAMES ARMOR.
dec 17.

THE Subscriber offers for sale his establishment at the mouth of the Bayou, known by the name of the
PONCHARTRAIN HOTEL,
consisting of Furniture, Kitchen Furniture, Seines, and Canoes; Ferry, in short all the Establishment. For the rent of the house, apply to Mr. BERNARD GENOIS; for the stock in trade, to
B. COQUET.
July 7.

VICTOR ROUMAGE, offers for sale the following articles received by the brig *Levant*, from Bordeaux:—100 barrels (of 13 gallons) of white brandy—5th proof. 400 cases of medoc claret wine. 4 cases of different kinds of latches, 20 barrels of old medoc claret wine, IN STORE; 200 barrels of claret wine, different qualities. 30 tierces of white wine, sauterne, grave, and baine. 600 cases of claret and white wine, 20 pipes of cogniac brandy, 4th proof, 100 baskets of Bordeaux bottles. July 1.

TO THOSE WHO VISIT THE LAKE
THE Public is respectfully informed that the old establishment of the *Rising Sun* is reopened under the name of
MECHANIC'S HOTEL, where may be had all kinds of refreshments; there is an ordinary every day at 12 o'clock, at one dollar per person. Separate rooms are provided for private parties. The bar is well supplied with excellent liquors, and at a half the customary price.
July 8.

REMOVAL OF THE VAPOUR BATHS.
D. RENO has the honour to inform his friends and the public, that he has removed his establishment from Barrack street to Conde street, nearly at the corner of Maine street, in the house formerly known by the name of "Bains Publics" (Public Baths.)
July 8.

DOMESTIC GOODS
FIVE cases 3-4 Bleached Sheetings. 4 do 7-8 do do 15 bales 7-8 do do 8 do 3-4 do Sheetting. 4 do 5-4 Brown Sheetting. 50 do 4-4 do do 7 do 3-4 do Sheetting
For sale by
STETSON & AVENUE
111 Royal street.
July 25

FOR SALE BY THE SUBSCRIBER—200 coils bale rope, best quality, 100 hemper Bremen wine bottles, 20 cases white oil, good quality, 8 tierces Baltimore Epsom salt, 10 cases lamp black in pound papers, 20 do cut nails and brads, 2 pipes gin, good quality, whiskey, flour etc etc
JOHN P. PAYSON,
23 Conti street.
July 35

NOTICE.
The undersigned having established his residence in the Parish of Iberville, two or three miles above Bayou Plaquemine, and on the same side, follows the practice of his profession, and will regularly attend the District and Parish Courts of East and West Baton Rouge, Pointe Coupee, St. Jacques, Ascension and other parts of Louisiana Interior. In taking charge of the cases which may be entrusted to him, the undersigned will always agree with his clients (when they will make known their wishes so to do) to conduct them himself without an increase of salary, all the appeal, exclusively, if the case require it. A practice of nearly twenty years, as well in New-Orleans, as in the above mentioned Parishes, seem to dispense the undersigned with the necessity of declaring that his zeal, activity, and attention to business will leave nothing to be wished for by his clients for the success of the causes which may be entrusted to him.
The undersigned has left to his fellow-members of the bar, Mr. P. Soule, residing in New-Orleans, and partner of Mr. Louis Moreau Lislet, the unfinished business of his office, a list of which he delivered to him. The talents of Mr. Soule, and of Mr. Moreau Lislet, his partner, are the best security to be wished for that those causes will be conducted to the last in a suitable manner. Besides, the undersigned, whenever his cases in appeal will call him to New-Orleans, will unite his care to those of those gentlemen. All persons in New-Orleans, having communications to forward to the undersigned may do it with more celerity by giving them to his son Adolphe Cuvillier, Clerk in the office of the Supreme Court's Clerk, residing at No. 47 St. Peter street, opposite the Parish Jail.
CUVILLIER,
Iberville, August 8, 1828.—[Aug. 18]

SUCRE.—Les soussignes offrent à vendre, 16 boucands sucre première qualité sur une habitation à 10 lieues de la ville. S'adresser à
JOHN HAGAN & Co.

J. MAIGNAN & FAURIE,
LEVEE STREET, SUBURB MARIGNY.
HAVE the honor of informing the Planters, owners of Steamboats, Steam-Mills and others, that since the 1st of June, inst. they execute all kinds of IRON CASTINGS on the most moderate terms, and with the utmost exactitude—They will repair wherever their services may be wanted; and will also undertake every thing that relates to their trade of Founders of all Metals, Blacksmiths, Turners, and Pattern Makers. They hope by their known assiduity and their experience, to merit a continuance of the confidence which they will always endeavor to make themselves worthy of.
They have constantly on hand a quantity of Charcoal and Pit Coal
June 14.

THE ESTABLISHMENT hitherto known under the name of *Valentin Pinot* and Co. is continued at the same place by
P. L. ROMAIN,
élève and successor of the late Valentin Pinot. P. L. ROMAIN offers his services to the Ladies of this city for every thing relating to his business, which is conducted according to the most modern styles and fashions.
Mr. Aimé Castino, hairdresser from Paris is connected with this establishment, and will execute with all possible zeal those demands that may be made either for hairdressing or for any species of hairwork.
ON HAND—Wigs of all kinds, and sizes, all kinds of hairwork, Fresh perfumery, such as cream of Cucumbers, of Rose, of Persia, Cologne and Lavender waters, different scents of Rose, bitter almonds and opic, various kinds of soap, Tooth powder of all qualities, head, coat, and tooth brushes, combs of all kinds, gloves, &c. &c. &c.
September 20, 1828.

JUST landing from the ships Illinois and Missouri, and for sale by the subscriber:—
10 Bales of French Calicoes, 3 do do Muslin, 1 do do Shawls, 8 Boxes of Grey Cotton Drilling, 2 do Plush Shawls, 1 do Cotton Velvet, 4 do Cotton Lace, 2 do Scarfs and Gauze Capes.
IN STORE:
10 Bales unbleached Cotton, 58 inches, 25-Doz. Kirchenwasser, 1st quality.
aug. 29 P. E. SORBE.

NOTICE—Those who have any claims against the Estate of the late Antoine Martin, deceased in this city, are requested to present them to the undersigned.
V. ROUMAGE,
aug. 28 Test. Ex.

RECEIVED by the late arrivals from England, and for sale by the subscribers, superfine blue and black Velveeteens, Calicoes and Prints, Madapolans, silk bordered Robes, Cambrie Muslins, Taby Cords, striped and checked Gingham, Madras and blue Roman Hdks, musquito muslins, grey Half Hose; imitation cambie Hdks, fine black; blue and brown cloths, &c. In Store—drab, green and blue Fearnoughts, Kerseys, Plains, Blankets, Flannel, Shirts, Baize, blue and red Strouds, padding cloths, muslin Robes, Shawls, &c.
KENNEDY & DUCHAMP,
April 22. 50 Toulouse street.

A PERSON highly recommended and perfectly acquainted with the English language, and well acquainted with the French and Latin languages, wishes to employ a few hours per day in giving private lessons. Application to be made at his office.
may 9

THE undersigned offers for sale at the landing, the cargo of the ship *Mascoscoit*, arrived directly from Marseilles—consisting of the following fresh and first quality articles:—
375 casks Wine, 40 barrels Grave Wine, 20 casks Vinegar, 227 baskets Oil, 150 boxes assorted Pickles, 500 casks, 300 bags coiks, some of 1000 and others of 500, 10 bales Almonds, 480 baskets empty Bottles.
For terms of sale, which will be advantageous, having right to draw back, apply to
J. N. PREAU, St. Louis st
1. 14

KENTUCKY BAGGING, Bale Rope, etc
received per steamer Patriot, 63 pieces Kentucky bagging, 30 coils Bale rope, 3 boxes baling twine, 2 boxes cap paper, IN STORE—400 coils bale rope, 250 barrels mess and prime pork, 300 do whiskey, 4 do tallow, 1 hhd prime bacon hams, 4 do bacon assorted, Peach and apple Brandy, in barrels, for sale by
WALLACE & POPE,
aug 9 49 Magazine street.

FOR SALE BY THE SUBSCRIBER,
TWO cases of Silk Hose and half Hose 24 cases first quality old Burgundy Wine 500 no do Medoc wine 2 bales Negro Blankets,
aug 19
THEOD. NICOLET & Co.

BORDEAUX WINE.
Excellent Bordeaux Wine, for sale cheap, and close a concern.
P. E. SORBE,
June 17. No. 118, Royal street.

SALT—700 Bags salt received by ship Orwel and for sale by
June 20
GORDON, FORSTALL and Co.

STATE OF LOUISIANA, MARSHALL'S SALE.
Mayor, Aldermen, and Inhabitants of the city of New-Orleans,
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 5. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 6. of the square No. 21 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 12. of the square No. 17 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 21 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 6. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 10. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 17 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 10. of the square No. 17 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 10. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 5. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 17 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 2. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 3. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 4. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 9. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 10. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 15. of the square No. 2 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 53 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 6. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 7. of the square No. 57 in the suburb Lacourse, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 11. of the square No. 19 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot of Ground No. 8. of the square No. 58 in the suburb Annunciation, whose owner is unknown,
THE SAME:
vs. The vacant Lot