Wikipedia Project Rubric for WISER Project Review

Student Name:	Article Title:
Wikipedia ID:	Current Article URL:

       This list of criteria is the rubric that is used to measure the quality of a Wikipedia article for the WISER project. In order to assess your article and eventually make a report about the improvements you made to the article and to Wikipedia in general, these areas will assist you to discuss your evidence. As you fill out the rubric please explain how you arrived at the rankings for each item. Where possible, provide details such as number of links or reasons why the page ranks low in a certain criterion. The more details you provide the easier it will be to grade this and provide feedback to assist you with the project. You should have a short description for each section. Simply writing 'yes', 'no', 'fair' or 'good' is not acceptable.

Scoring ==> 1=Unacceptable; 2=Weak; 3=Fair; 4=Good; 5=Excellent

___1. Scope, Target of article, and Tone
      Does the article fit within the scope of the topic and the guidelines of Wikipedia? Is it written for an appropriate target with the appropriate tone for an encyclopedia?

___2. Content—Text, Headings, Organization, & Figures
      Is the text accurate and well organized with pictures and diagrams that support the material presented in a clear way? Is a vital aspect missing from the article?

___3. Support and authority—References and Notes
      Are enough reliable and quality sources provided to support the content of the article? Are the citations correctly formatted?

___4. Connections—Branches In & Out, See Also and External Links
      Are the connections provided appropriate and able to add meaning? Are enough provided (List numbers for each)?
      ___# of Branches In—What Links to the article
      ___# of Branches out
      ___# of See Also
      ___# of External Links

___5. Context—Categories and Projects
      Does the article provide links to where it fits within a larger schema in Wikipedia by listing categories and projects of which it is part?

___6. Community Activity—Article History, Project Discussion & Article Discussion page
      How actively is the page edited and talked about in the community?

___7. Overall assessment of the page
      What is your overall assessment of the article as a whole?