

# MATH 235 Midterm 1 SOS Review Package

June 4, 2011

## Fundamental Subspaces

First we review the four fundamental subspaces of a matrix and the associated subspace of the linear map which represents the matrix mapping.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with columns  $\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and rows

$$\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ so } A = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{r}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \vec{r}_m^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

The **column space** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{col}(A) = \text{span}(\{\vec{c}_1, \dots, \vec{c}_n\})$ .

The **null space** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{null}(A) = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\vec{x} = \vec{0}\}$ .

The **row space** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{row}(A) = \text{span}(\{\vec{r}_1, \dots, \vec{r}_m\})$ .

The **left null space** of  $A$  is the set  $\text{null}(A^T)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear map.

The **range** of  $L$  is the set  $\{L(\vec{x}) : \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ .

The **kernel** of  $L$  is the set  $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : L(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}\}$ .

We recall the following:

1.  $\text{null}(A)$ ,  $\text{row}(A)$ ,  $\ker(L)$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$
2.  $\text{col}(A)$ ,  $\text{null}(A^T)$ ,  $\text{range}(L)$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^m$
3. Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be defined by  $L(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ . Then  $\text{range}(L) = \text{col}(A)$  and  $\ker(L) = \text{null}(A)$ .

*Proof.* We prove only part 3 which follows immediately from the fact that

$$a_1\vec{c}_1 + \cdots + a_n\vec{c}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{c}_1 & \cdots & \vec{c}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = A\vec{x}$$

where  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$ .

□

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The **rank** of  $A$  is the number of leading ones in the reduced row echelon form of  $A$ .

**Example.** If  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $R = \text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and so  $\text{rank}(A) = 2$ .

Using the definition of the reduced row echelon form, we obtain the following fact.

**Fact.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and let  $R = \text{RREF}(A)$ . Then the columns of  $R$  with leading ones form a basis for  $\text{col}(R)$  and the non-zero rows of  $R$  form a basis for  $\text{row}(R)$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then

1.  $\text{rank}(A) = \dim(\text{col}(A))$
2.  $\text{rank}(A) = \dim(\text{row}(A))$ .

*Proof.* We prove part 1. Let  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ . The idea of the proof is to find a basis for  $\text{col}(A)$  with  $r$  elements. We consider two cases.

Case 1:  $r = 0$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $R = 0$ . Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be a sequence of elementary row operations such that  $R = E_k \cdots E_1 A$  so

$$A = E_1^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1} R = E_1^{-1} \cdots E_k^{-1} \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Therefore  $\text{col}(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$  and  $\dim(\text{col}(A)) = 0 = r$ .

Case 2:  $r > 0$ . Let  $i_1 \cdots i_r$  be the columns where the leading ones occur and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{c}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{c}_{i_r}\}$  be the set of corresponding columns of  $R$ . By the fact  $\mathcal{B}$  is basis for  $\text{col}(R)$ . Our plan now is to use  $\mathcal{B}$  to find a basis for  $\text{col}(A)$ . Let  $E = E_k \cdots E_1$ . Then  $R = EA$  and  $A = E^{-1}R$ . We claim  $\mathcal{C} = \{A\vec{e}_{i_1}, \dots, A\vec{e}_{i_r}\}$  is basis for  $\text{col}(A)$ . Notice that  $A\vec{e}_{i_j} = E^{-1}R\vec{e}_{i_j} = E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_j}$  and so  $\mathcal{C} = \{E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_1}, \dots, E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_r}\}$ .

We must verify  $\mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent. Suppose  $a_1 E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_r} = \vec{0}$  for some scalars  $a_1 \cdots a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $E^{-1}(a_1\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r\vec{c}_{i_r}) = \vec{0}$  and hence  $a_1\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r\vec{c}_{i_r} \in \text{null}(E^{-1}) = \{\vec{0}\}$  since  $E$  is one-to-one.

Hence  $a_1\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r\vec{c}_{i_r} = \vec{0} \implies a_1 = \dots = a_r = 0$  as  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent.

We must check  $\text{span}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{col}(A)$ .

“ $\subseteq$ ” It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{col}(A)$  and so  $\text{span}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{col}(A)$ .

“ $\supseteq$ ” Let  $\vec{y} \in \text{col}(A)$ . Then  $\vec{y} = A\vec{x}$  for some  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . But  $A = E^{-1}R$  so  $\vec{y} = E^{-1}(R\vec{x})$ . Since  $R\vec{x} \in \text{col}(R)$ , we can write  $R\vec{x} = a_1\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r\vec{c}_{i_r}$  for some scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore  $\vec{y} = E^{-1}(R\vec{x}) = a_1 E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_1} + \dots + a_r E^{-1}\vec{c}_{i_r} \in \text{span}(\mathcal{C})$ .

We have shown  $\mathcal{C}$  is a basis for  $\text{col}(A)$  and so  $\dim(\text{col}(A)) = r = \text{rank}(A)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\text{rank}(A) = \dim(\text{row}(A)) = \dim(\text{col}(A^T)) = \text{rank}(A^T)$$

□

## Rank-Nullity Theorem

**Definition.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces.  $L : V \rightarrow W$  is **linear** if for each  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$L(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = L(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) \quad (L \text{ preserves addition})$$

$$L(c\vec{x}) = cL(\vec{x}) \quad (L \text{ preserves scalar multiplication})$$

Given vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , we have the following important construction of a linear map  $L : V \rightarrow W$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\} \subset W$ . Then the map  $L : V \rightarrow W$  given by  $L(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{w}_i$  is linear.

*Proof.* Let  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. Then  $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$  and  $\vec{y} = b_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_n\vec{v}_n$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L(c\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= L((ca_1 + b_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (ca_n + b_n)\vec{v}_n) \\ &= (ca_1 + b_1)\vec{w}_1 + \dots + (ca_n + b_n)\vec{w}_n \\ &= c(a_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{w}_n) + (b_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + b_n\vec{w}_n) \\ &= cL(\vec{x}) + L(\vec{y}) \end{aligned}$$

□

We give an example demonstrating the use of this construction.

**Example.** Let  $V$  be a vector space with  $\dim(V) = n$ . Let  $S$  be a subspace of  $V$ . Show that there exists a linear map  $L$  with  $\ker(L) = S$ .

*Solution.* Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  be a basis for  $S$ . We can get  $\{\vec{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \subset V$  such that  $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$ . We define a linear map  $L : V \rightarrow V$  by  $L(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{0}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $L(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{v}_i$  for  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ . It remains to verify that  $\ker(L) = S$ .

Let  $\vec{x} \in S$ . Then  $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_k$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore  $L(\vec{x}) = \vec{0}$  and thus  $S \subseteq \ker(L)$ .

Let  $\vec{x} \in \ker(L)$ . Let  $\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$ . Then

$$L(\vec{x}) = a_{k+1}\vec{v}_{k+1} + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$$

and, since  $\mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent,  $a_{k+1} = \cdots = a_n = 0$ . Thus

$$\vec{x} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k \in \mathcal{S}$$

and  $\ker(L) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ . □

**Remark.** Notice how we first obtained a basis for  $\ker(L)$  and then extended it to a basis for  $V$ , as opposed to starting with a basis for  $V$ . In the latter approach, it is not necessary that any subset of our basis is a basis for  $\ker(L)$ . Starting with a basis for a subspace and then extending it is an important proof technique. This idea was also used in the proof of the Rank-Nullity theorem.

**Definition.** Let  $L : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map from a vector space  $V$  to a vector space  $W$ . The **nullity of  $L$**  is  $\text{nullity}(L) = \dim(\ker(L))$  and the **rank of  $L$**  is  $\text{rank}(L) = \dim(\text{range}(L))$ .

The following theorem is the first of two extremely important theorems in this course. The other theorem is the Principal Axis Theorem which will be covered on Midterm 2.

**Theorem (Rank-Nullity).** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces with  $\dim(V)$  finite and let  $L : V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. Then

$$\text{rank}(L) + \text{nullity}(L) = \dim(V).$$

We give an example.

**Example.** Let  $L : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3$  be defined by

$$L\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = (a+b)x^3 + cx.$$

Find a basis for  $\text{range}(L)$  and  $\ker(L)$  and verify the Rank-Nullity theorem.

*Solution.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \ker L &\iff (a+b)x^3 + cx = 0 \\ &\iff a = -b \text{ and } c = 0 \\ &\iff \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -a \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\iff \vec{x} \in \text{span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\ker(L) = \text{span}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$  and so  $\text{nullity}(L) = 2$ .

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{range}(L) &= \left\{ L \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \\ &= \{(a+b)x^3 + cx : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{ax^3 + bx : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \text{span}(\{x, x^3\}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\text{rank}(L) = 2$  and so  $\text{rank}(L) + \text{nullity}(L) = 4 = \dim(M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}))$ .  $\square$

Next we give some examples to show how the Rank-Nullity theorem can be used in theoretical problems.

**Example.** Let  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $M : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  be linear mappings. Show that (i)  $\text{rank}(M \circ L) \leq \text{rank}(M)$  and (ii)  $\text{rank}(M \circ L) \leq \text{rank}(L)$ .

*Solution.* (i) We see that  $\text{range}(M \circ L) = \{M(L(\vec{x})) : \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \subseteq \text{range}(M)$  so it follows that  $\text{rank}(M \circ L) \leq \text{rank}(M)$ .

(ii) We see that  $\ker(L) \subseteq \ker(M \circ L)$  since if  $\vec{x} \in \ker(L)$  then we have  $(M \circ L)(\vec{x}) = M(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$ . Thus  $\text{nullity}(L) \leq \text{nullity}(M \circ L)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(M \circ L) &= \dim(\mathbb{R}^n) - \text{nullity}(M \circ L) \\ &= n - \text{nullity}(M \circ L) \\ &\leq n - \text{nullity}(L) \\ &= \text{rank}(L). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark.** Notice how we proved something about the  $\text{nullity}(L)$  then used the Rank-Nullity theorem to say something about  $\text{rank}(L)$ . This is an important proof technique.

**Example.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix. Show that  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent for all  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m \iff A^T\vec{y} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial solution.

*Solution.* ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent for all  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^m$  since for each  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we can find  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  so  $\vec{b} \in \text{col}(A)$ . Then  $\text{rank}(A) = m$ . We wish to show  $\text{nullity}(A^T) = 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(A^T) &= \mathbb{R}^m - \text{rank}(A^T) \text{ by the Rank-Nullity theorem} \\ &= m - \text{rank}(A) \text{ since } \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\text{null}(A^T) = \{\vec{0}\}$  and so  $A^T\vec{y} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial solution.

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $A^T\vec{y} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial solution. Then  $\text{nullity}(A^T) = 0$  so  $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^m - \text{nullity}(A^T) = m$  and  $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent for all  $\vec{b}$  as  $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^m$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Notice here how we turned the statements “ $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$  is consistent for all  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ” and “ $A^T\vec{y} = \vec{0}$  has only the trivial solution” into statements about  $\text{rank}(A)$  and  $\text{nullity}(A)$  so that we could apply the Rank-Nullity Theorem.

## Isomorphisms

We recall the following definitions from calculus.

**Definition.** Let  $X, Y$  be non-empty sets and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function.

1.  $f$  is **one-to-one** if whenever  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , then  $x_1 = x_2$
2.  $f$  is **onto** if for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ .

The following is easily verified.

**Proposition.** Let  $U, V, W$  be vector spaces and let  $L : U \rightarrow V$  and  $M : V \rightarrow W$  be linear maps. Then

1.  $M \circ L : U \rightarrow W$  given by  $(M \circ L)(\vec{u}) = M(L(\vec{u}))$  is linear.
2. If  $L$  and  $M$  are one-to-one, then  $M \circ L$  is one-to-one.
3. If  $L$  and  $M$  are onto, then  $M \circ L$  is onto.
4.  $L$  is one-to-one  $\iff \ker(L) = \{\vec{0}\}$ .

*Proof.* This is a good exercise and likely to appear on your midterm! □

**Example.** Let  $U, V, W$  be vector spaces and let  $L : U \rightarrow V$  and  $M : V \rightarrow W$  be linear maps.

1. If  $M \circ L$  is one-to-one, is  $L$  one-to-one? Is  $M$  one-to-one?
2. If  $M \circ L$  is onto, is  $L$  onto? Is  $M$  onto?

*Solution.* 1. We see that  $L$  is one-to-one. We could verify this using the definition, however, we use the previous proposition. Since  $\ker(L) \subseteq \ker(M \circ L) = \{\vec{0}\}$ , we have that  $\ker(L) = \{\vec{0}\}$  and so  $L$  is one-to-one.

$M$  may not be one-to-one. For instance, consider the maps  $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $L(t) = t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $M : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $M \left( \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x + 2y \\ 2x + 4y \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\ker(M) = \text{span} \left( \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M \circ L)(t) = \vec{0} &\iff t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ &\iff t = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\ker(M \circ L) = \{0\}$  and so  $M \circ L$  is one-to-one. But  $M$  is not one-to-one as  $M\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \vec{0}$ .

2. We see that  $M$  is onto. Let  $\vec{w} \in W$  be given. Since  $M \circ L$  is onto, there exists  $\vec{u} \in U$  such that  $(M \circ L)(\vec{u}) = \vec{w}$ . Setting  $\vec{v} = L(\vec{u})$  we have  $M(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$ . Thus we have  $M$  is onto.

$L$  may not be onto. Consider the maps  $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $L(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $M : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $M\left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}\right) = x$ . Then  $M \circ L$  is onto as for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(M \circ L)(x) = x$ . But  $L$  is not onto because  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \notin \text{range}(L)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces. We say a map  $L : V \rightarrow W$  is an **isomorphism** if  $L$  is linear, one-to-one, and onto and we say  $V$  and  $W$  are **isomorphic**.

**Example.** Determine if the following vector spaces are isomorphic:

- (a)  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4$
- (b)  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$

*Solution.*

- (a)  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4$  are isomorphic. Consider the following map:

$$L : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \text{ defined by } L\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}.$$

We leave it as an exercise to check that  $L$  is an isomorphism.

- (b)  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are not isomorphic. To show this, we must show that there does not exist an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Suppose that  $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is an isomorphism. Then, we must have  $\ker(L) = \{\vec{0}\}$  and  $\text{range}(L) = \mathbb{R}^3$  so  $\text{nullity}(L) = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(L) = 3$ . But then

$$\text{rank}(L) + \text{nullity}(L) = 3 \neq 1 = \dim(\mathbb{R})$$

which contradicts the Rank-Nullity theorem.

$\square$

The next lemma shows that in some sense isomorphic vector spaces have the same bases.

**Lemma.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces and let  $L : V \rightarrow W$  be an isomorphism. Then

1. If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  then  $\mathcal{C} = \{L(\vec{v}_1), \dots, L(\vec{v}_n)\}$  is a basis for  $W$ .
2. If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , then  $\mathcal{C} = \{L^{-1}(\vec{w}_1), \dots, L^{-1}(\vec{w}_n)\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

*Proof.* Notice that part 2 of the lemma is part with applied to the isomorphism  $L^{-1}$  so it is enough to prove part 1. We must check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent and spans  $W$ .

$\mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose  $c_1L(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + c_nL(\vec{v}_n) = \vec{0}$  for some scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $L(c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n) = \vec{0} \implies c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n \in \ker(L) = \{\vec{0}\}$  as  $L$  is one-to-one.

Then  $c_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$  so  $c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0$  as  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent so  $\mathcal{C}$  is linearly independent.

$\mathcal{C}$  spans  $W$ .

Let  $\vec{w} \in W$  be given. Then since  $L$  is an isomorphism, we can find  $\vec{v} \in V$  with  $L(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $V$  we may write  $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$  for some scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\vec{w} = a_1L(\vec{v}_1) + \dots + a_nL(\vec{v}_n) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{C})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) follows from the previous lemma and ( $\impliedby$ ) follows from our linear map construction.  $\square$

## Two Important Isomorphisms

### The “Taking Coordinates” Map

This section is a review of some MATH 136 material. We recall the following definition.

**Definition.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$ . Let  $\vec{v} \in V$ . We define the  **$\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates of  $\vec{v}$** , denoted  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , by

$$[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$ .

We also have the *taking  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates map*  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by mapping  $\vec{v}$  to  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . The next theorem, which we saw in MATH 136, says that this map is linear.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a vector space and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, for any  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbf{V}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$[c\vec{v} + \vec{w}]_{\mathcal{B}} = c[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [\vec{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

*Proof.* We may write  $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$  and  $\vec{w} = b_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_n\vec{v}_n$  for some unique scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$c\vec{v} + \vec{w} = (ca_1 + b_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (ca_n + b_n)\vec{v}_n$$

and therefore

$$[c\vec{v} + \vec{w}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} ca_1 + b_1 \\ \vdots \\ ca_n + b_n \end{bmatrix} = c \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} = c[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} + [\vec{w}]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

□

We give an example to review working with  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates.

**Example.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and define  $L : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$[L(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

- a) Find  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ .
- b) Find  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$ .

*Solution.*

- a) We have  $\left[ L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) \right]_{\mathcal{C}} = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  since  $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and so  $L\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- b) Similarly, we have  $\left[ L\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \right]_{\mathcal{C}} = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right]_{\mathcal{B}}$  so we must find  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = a_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We get } \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 \\ -3x_1 + 2x_2 \end{bmatrix}. \\ \text{Thus } \begin{bmatrix} L \left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{bmatrix}_C &= \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - x_2 \\ -3x_1 + 2x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{Therefore } L \left( \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= (2x_1 - x_2) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + (-3x_1 + 2x_2) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 4x_1 - 4x_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we show  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is one-to-one and onto and hence is an isomorphism between the vector spaces  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This gives an alternate proof of the result that two  $n$ -dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a vector space and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* First we show  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is onto. Let  $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be given and set

$\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$ . Then  $[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{x}$  which shows  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is onto. Since  $\dim(\mathbf{V}) = n = \dim(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we conclude  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is also one-to-one and hence an isomorphism. Another way to show this is to appeal to the Unique Representation Theorem from MATH 136. □

We also recall the change of basis map.

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$  be bases for a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ . The **change of basis map from  $\mathcal{B}$ -coordinates to  $\mathcal{C}$ -coordinates**, which we denote  ${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is given by

$${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} [\vec{v}_1]_{\mathcal{C}} & \cdots & [\vec{v}_n]_{\mathcal{C}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}}$  has the following properties.

1.  ${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}}[\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = [\vec{v}]_{\mathcal{C}}$
2.  ${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}}[I]_{\mathcal{C}} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[I]_{\mathcal{C}}{}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}} = I$  so in particular  ${}_c[I]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Good midterm review for you. □

### Matrix Representation of a Linear Map

**Definition.** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces and let  $L : V \rightarrow W$  be linear. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$  be a basis for  $V$  and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n\}$  be a basis for  $W$ . The **matrix of  $L$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$**  is

$${}_c [L]^{\mathcal{B}} = [L(\vec{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \cdots \quad [L(\vec{v}_m)]_{\mathcal{C}}$$

**Proposition.**  $[L(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} = {}_c [L]^{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}}$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\vec{x} = a_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + a_m \vec{v}_m$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$  so  $[\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [L(\vec{x})]_{\mathcal{C}} &= [a_1 L(\vec{v}_1) + \cdots + a_m L(\vec{v}_m)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &= a_1 [L(\vec{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} + \cdots + a_m [L(\vec{v}_m)]_{\mathcal{C}} \\ &= [ [L(\vec{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \cdots \quad [L(\vec{v}_m)]_{\mathcal{C}} ] \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= {}_c [L]^{\mathcal{B}} [\vec{x}]_{\mathcal{B}} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition.** Let  $U, V, W$  be vector spaces and let  $\beta, \gamma, \delta$  be bases for  $U, V, W$  respectively. Let  $L : U \rightarrow V, M : U \rightarrow V, T : V \rightarrow W$  be linear maps. Then

1.  ${}_{\gamma} [cL + M]^{\beta} = c_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta} + {}_{\gamma} [M]^{\beta}$
2.  ${}_{\delta} [T \circ L]^{\beta} = {}_{\delta} [T]_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta}$

*Proof.* 1. Let  $\vec{v} \in V$ . Then we have

$$[(cL + M)(\vec{v})]_{\gamma} = {}_{\gamma} [cL + M]^{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(cL + M)(\vec{v})]_{\gamma} &= c[L(\vec{v})]_{\gamma} + [M(\vec{v})]_{\gamma} \\ &= c_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta} + {}_{\gamma} [M]^{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta} \\ &= (c_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta} + {}_{\gamma} [M]^{\beta}) [\vec{v}]_{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for each  $\vec{v} \in V$  we have  ${}_{\gamma} [cL + M]^{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta} = (c_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta} + {}_{\gamma} [M]^{\beta}) [\vec{v}]_{\beta}$  and so we conclude that  $[cL + M]^{\beta} = c_{\gamma} [L]^{\beta} + {}_{\gamma} [M]^{\beta}$ .

2. Let  $\vec{v} \in V$ . Then, by the above proposition,

$$[(M \circ L)(\vec{v})]_{\delta} = {}_{\delta} [M \circ L]^{\beta} [\vec{v}]_{\beta}.$$

But we also have

$$[(M \circ L)(\vec{v})]_{\delta} = [M(L(\vec{v}))]_{\delta} = {}_{\delta}[M]^{\gamma}[L(\vec{v})]_{\gamma} = {}_{\delta}[M]^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}[L]^{\beta}[\vec{v}]_{\beta}$$

and hence for all  $\vec{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

$${}_{\delta}[M \circ L]^{\beta}[\vec{v}]_{\beta} = {}_{\delta}[M]^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}[L]^{\beta}[\vec{v}]_{\beta}.$$

Therefore  ${}_{\delta}[M \circ L]^{\beta} = {}_{\delta}[M]^{\gamma}{}_{\gamma}[L]^{\beta}$ . □

We now also have an explicit isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ , the set of linear operators from  $\mathbf{V}$  to  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  for  $\mathbf{V}$  and define  $T : \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $T(L) = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Proposition.**  $T$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.*

1.  $T$  is linear. Suppose  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then we have

$$T(cL + M) = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[cL + M]_{\mathcal{B}} = c{}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}} + {}_{\mathcal{B}}[M]_{\mathcal{B}} = cT(L) + T(M).$$

2.  $T$  is one-to-one. We show  $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  where  $\mathbf{0} : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  is defined by  $\mathbf{0}(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$ . Let  $L \in \ker(T)$ . Then  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = 0_{n \times n}$  and so for each  $\vec{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ ,  $[L(\vec{v})]_{\mathcal{B}} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]^{\beta}[\vec{v}]_{\beta} = \vec{0} \implies L(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}$ . Hence  $L = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\ker(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .
3.  $T$  is onto. Let  $M = [\vec{c}_1 \ \dots \ \vec{c}_n]$  be given. Choose  $\vec{w}_i$  so that  $[\vec{w}_i]_{\mathcal{B}} = \vec{c}_i$  and define  $L : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  by  $L(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{w}_i$ . Then

$$T(L) = {}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}} = [[L(\vec{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \ \dots \ [L(\vec{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}] = M$$

□

**Example.** Find a basis for  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ .

*Solution.* By our work above, we know  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$  is isomorphic to  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ . A basis for  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$  is the set

$$\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3, \vec{v}_4\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

and so by the theory we know

$$\{T^{-1}(\vec{v}_1), T^{-1}(\vec{v}_2), T^{-1}(\vec{v}_3), T^{-1}(\vec{v}_4)\}$$

is a basis for  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$ , where

$$T^{-1}(\vec{v}_1) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is defined by } T(x, y) = (x, 0)$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{v}_2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is defined by } T(x, y) = (0, x)$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{v}_3) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is defined by } T(x, y) = (y, 0)$$

$$T^{-1}(\vec{v}_4) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is defined by } T(x, y) = (0, y)$$

□

**Example.** Let  $\pi$  be the plane with basis  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Exhibit an isomorphism between  $\pi$  and  $P_1$ .

*Solution.* First we establish isomorphisms between  $\pi$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . It is easy to see that the map  $L : P_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  given by  $L(ax + b) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  is an isomorphism. The map  $M : \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $M \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $M \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is also an isomorphism.

More explicitly, if  $P : a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $M(P) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ .

Therefore  $L^{-1} \circ M : \pi \rightarrow P_1$  is an isomorphism, being the composition of two isomorphisms, which sends  $P$  to the polynomial  $ax + b$ .  $\square$

## Inner Product Spaces

**Definition.** Let  $V$  be a vector space. An **inner product on  $V$**  is a function  $\langle, \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying for all  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

1.  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle \geq 0$  and  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0 \iff \vec{v} = \vec{0}$
2.  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \langle \vec{w}, \vec{v} \rangle$
3.  $\langle c\vec{u} + \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = c\langle \vec{u}, \vec{w} \rangle + \langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$

The pair  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$  is called an **inner product space**.

**Example.** For the vector space  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , determine if each  $\langle, \rangle$  is an inner product on  $V$ .

1.  $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = xa + 6yb + xb + 2ya$
2.  $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = xa + 2yb + \frac{1}{2}xb + \frac{1}{2}ya$

*Solution.*

1. This is not an inner product on  $V$ . We have  $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = 67$  but  $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = 65$ .

2. This is not an inner product on  $\mathbb{V}$ . We have  $\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = -3 < 0$ .

□

**Lemma.** In an inner product space  $(\mathbb{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , for each  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{V}$ ,  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{0} \rangle = 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(\mathbb{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. We define the **norm or length** of  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{V}$  by

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{\langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle}$$

**Proposition** (Properties of the Norm). Let  $(\mathbb{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then for each  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{V}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

1.  $\|\vec{v}\| \geq 0$  and  $\|\vec{v}\| = 0 \iff \vec{v} = \vec{0}$
2.  $\|c\vec{v}\| = |c| \|\vec{v}\|$
3.  $|\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle| \leq \|\vec{v}\| \|\vec{w}\|$  [Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality]
4.  $\|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\| \leq \|\vec{v}\| + \|\vec{w}\|$

We also have a generalization of the Pythagorean Theorem.

**Theorem** (Pythagorean Theorem). Let  $(\mathbb{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. Then if  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{V}$  are orthogonal, then

$$\|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|^2 = \|\vec{v}\|^2 + \|\vec{w}\|^2.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v} + \vec{w}\|^2 &= \langle \vec{v} + \vec{w}, \vec{v} + \vec{w} \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v} \rangle + 2\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle + \langle \vec{w}, \vec{w} \rangle \\ &= \|\vec{v}\|^2 + \|\vec{w}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition.** Let  $(\mathbb{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space.

1.  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{V}$  are **orthogonal** if  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = 0$ .
2.  $\vec{v}$  is a **unit vector** if  $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$ .
3.  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{V}$  is **orthogonal** if for each  $\vec{v} \neq \vec{w} \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = 0$ .
4.  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{V}$  is **orthonormal** if  $\mathcal{S}$  is orthogonal and if for each  $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{S}$   $\|\vec{v}\| = 1$ .
5.  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is an **orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{V}$**  if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is orthogonal.  $\mathcal{B}$  is an **orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{V}$**  if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is orthonormal.

**Proposition.** Let  $(\mathbf{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is orthonormal, then  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$  for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_i \rangle &= a_1\langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_i \rangle + \dots + a_n\langle \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_i \rangle \\ &= a_i\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_i \rangle \\ &= a_i \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_i \rangle = \langle \vec{0}, \vec{v}_i \rangle = 0$$

and so  $a_i = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

**Proposition.** Let  $(\mathbf{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space. If  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis, then for each  $\vec{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ ,  $\vec{v} = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_n \rangle \vec{v}_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ , we may write  $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n$  for some  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . It remains to show that  $c_i = \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_i \rangle$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_i \rangle &= \langle a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_i \rangle \\ &= a_1\langle \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_i \rangle + \dots + a_n\langle \vec{v}_n, \vec{v}_i \rangle \\ &= a_i\langle \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_i \rangle \\ &= a_i \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $U \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $U$  is **orthogonal** if  $UU^T = U^T U = I$ . That is  $U^{-1} = U^T$ .

**Proposition.** The following are equivalent.

1.  $U$  is orthogonal.
2. The columns of  $U$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
3. The rows of  $U$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U = [\vec{v}_1 \ \dots \ \vec{v}_n]$ .

1  $\implies$  2. Suppose  $U$  is orthogonal. Then we have

$$I = U^T U = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \vec{v}_n^T \end{bmatrix} [\vec{v}_1 \ \dots \ \vec{v}_n]$$

and so  $[I]_{ij} = (U^T U)_{ij} = \vec{v}_i^T \vec{v}_j = \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j$ . Therefore  $\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_i = 1$  and  $\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Hence the columns of  $U$  are an orthonormal set and hence linearly independent. Therefore, they form a basis, being  $n$  linearly independent vectors in an  $n$ -dimensional space.

2  $\implies$  1. Suppose the columns of  $U$  are an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By the same calculation above, we see

$$[U^T U]_{ij} = \vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{v}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and so  $U^T U = I$ . By a theorem from MATH 136,  $U^{-1} = U^T$ .

2  $\iff$  3. We have

- the columns of  $U$  form an orthonormal basis
- $\iff U$  is orthonormal
- $\iff U^T$  is orthonormal
- $\iff$  the columns of  $U^T$  form an orthonormal basis
- $\iff$  the rows of  $U$  form an orthonormal basis

□

**Proposition.** Let  $U$  be orthogonal. Then for each  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

1.  $\|U\vec{x}\| = \|\vec{x}\|$
2.  $U\vec{x} \cdot U\vec{y} = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U\vec{x}\|^2 &= U\vec{x} \cdot U\vec{x} \\ &= (U\vec{x})^T U\vec{x} \\ &= \vec{x}^T U^T U\vec{x} \\ &= \vec{x}^T \vec{x} \\ &= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} \\ &= \|\vec{x}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\implies \|U\vec{x}\| = \|\vec{x}\|$   
2 is similar and omitted.

□

**Example.** Let  $V$  be finite-dimensional and let  $L : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. Prove that if  $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ , then the  $ij$ -entry of  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]_{\mathcal{B}}$  is  $\langle L(\vec{v}_j), \vec{v}_i \rangle$ .

*Solution.* Recall that  ${}_{\mathcal{B}}[L]^{\mathcal{B}} = [[L(\vec{v}_1)]_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots [L(\vec{v}_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}]$ . So  $ij$ -entry is the  $i$ th element of  $[L(\vec{v}_j)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . But by our work above since  $\mathcal{B}$  is orthonormal

$$[L(\vec{v}_j)]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle L(\vec{v}_j), \vec{v}_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle L(\vec{v}_j), \vec{v}_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

**Example.** Let  $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be a linear operator on the vector space  $\mathcal{V}$  such that for all  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\langle T(\vec{x}), T(\vec{y}) \rangle = \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle$  ( $T$  is called an **isometry**). Show that  $T$  is an isomorphism.

*Solution.* Suppose  $T(\vec{x}) = T(\vec{y})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{x} - \vec{y}, \vec{x} - \vec{y} \rangle &= \langle T(\vec{x} - \vec{y}), T(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \vec{0}, \vec{0} \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\vec{x} - \vec{y} = \vec{0}$ , which shows that  $T$  is one-to-one. Since  $T$  is a linear operator,  $T$  is one-to-one  $\iff T$  is onto. Therefore  $T$  is an isomorphism. □