THE DAILY BEE,
PUBLISHED BY
G. F. WEISSE & CO.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.
G. F. WEISSE. S. HARBY,
S. DUPUGUE. N. DUPUGUE.
DAILY PAPER, (one year in advance)..... $12 00
COUNTRY PAPER, semi-weekly, (one year in advance)..... 5 00
In all cases, subscribers must give one week's notice in writing before they can be allowed to discontinue.

The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE, 83 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 8, 1857. VOL. XVII—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXX—WHOLE NO. 10,436.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.
One square for every line over 10 words inserted..... $1 00
Each square for 1 month, (30 days)..... 10 00
Each square for 3 months..... 25 00
Each square for 6 months..... 40 00
Each square for 12 months..... 60 00
Business notices in reading columns, per line..... 25
Marriage and Death notices, if not exceeding 4 lines..... 1 00
Funeral notices, if not exceeding 4 lines..... 1 00
Each additional line..... 25
Advertisements to be inserted in the paper must be handed in before 12 o'clock, M.
Advertisements to be published in the morning must be handed in before 3 o'clock, P. M.
The privilege of Annual Advertisers is limited to advertisements strictly within the line of their business, and in contract to one square every other day.

THE DAILY BEE.

SATURDAY MORNING, AUGUST 8.

THE NORTHERN MAIL failed yesterday from beyond Charleston, but brought the papers due the day previous.

CONVINCING PROOF.

Dr. BARD, the editor of the Caddo *Gazette*, puts forth in his journal, of the 1st inst. an able article on Robert J. WALKER and the Administration, in which the Doctor, despite his warm attachment to the Democratic party, unreservedly repudiates WALKER, and does not spare Mr. BUCHANAN. The *Gazette* prefaces its analysis of WALKER'S transactions with the following manly and independent avowal:

We are not of the extreme school of politicians, nor do we favor this class of men in either section of the Union, at the same time we must deplore a defiance of the Administration with regard to Kansas affairs, provided the Washington *Union* speaks the true sentiments of Mr. President Buchanan. We have already posted the seal of contempt and condemnation upon Walker and his high-handed policy. What influence this course may have upon our future hopes and prospects as the conductor of a political paper we know not, and care far less. We purchased the *Louisiana Democrat* for the express purpose of urging Mr. Buchanan's nomination and election. That he boldly contended for him as a National Democrat, no man in the right standard for a moment question. But if the *Union* is to be taken as authority, we acknowledge our disappointment, and proceed at once to give a few substantial reasons why we will not sustain the Administration in retaining Walker as Governor of Kansas.

The *Gazette* then proceeds to demonstrate WALKER'S collusion with the Fressel party by extracts from his inaugural and Topeka speech, and by passing of warm eulogy from the anti-slavery presses of the North. It says, in substance, that it is both to believe that Mr. BUCHANAN has already abandoned the platform upon which he was elected; but that if the President approves the conduct of WALKER, and refuses to recall him, it can make no compromises with the workers of treason. This is candid and honorable in the highest degree. We now put it to Dr. BARD, whether Mr. BUCHANAN has not distinctly shown that he sanctions the WALKER policy, as well by declining to cancel his commission, in spite of the demands of the Democratic presses of the South, as by causing his organ, the Washington *Union*, daily to put in articles defending and extolling WALKER, and denouncing as extremists and secessionists all who question the integrity and good faith of the Governor of Kansas. These proofs speak for themselves, and are entirely conclusive. But there is still stronger evidence at hand. The Cincinnati *Enquirer*, the central organ of the Democracy of Ohio, dissipates all doubts on the subject, and thus plainly proclaims that the President does endorse the Kansas policy of his agent:

The President assumes his full share of responsibility for WALKER'S policy; and it were idle, and worse, to conceal that we regretted the course of some of the South-ern journals in attacking the Governor and smearing the President. It had not the manly bearing which is natural from a chivalric gentlemen, and looked too much like servility to power and place for our taste. Now, we *hesitate to differ, in the most certain and direct manner, that*, while Mr. BUCHANAN regrets certain expressions which have fallen from the WALKER letter, he has not the slightest hesitation in justifying, sustaining and upholding *the acts he executed and policy adopted by the Governor in Kansas*, and can not but regard *he and his friends as ready to do battle against all opposers*.

Both this explicit and unequivocal. Can language be more unequivocal, and more to the point? We have no doubt whatever that the President has received a number of letters, remonstrating in the strongest terms to the editor of the *Enquirer*, as the latter would never venture to do, save that he knows, in the most certain and direct manner, that "Mr. BUCHANAN has not the slightest hesitation in sustaining and upholding WALKER and his policy adopted by the Governor and Kansas." Now, let the South, the Democracy speak out let Dr. BARD and his brethren in the South be convinced, however reluctantly, and disarm the admirers of Mr. BUCHANAN of the pretext for condemnation upon Walker and his high-handed policy. What influence this course may have upon our future hopes and prospects as the conductor of a political paper we know not, and care far less. We purchased the *Louisiana Democrat* for the express purpose of urging Mr. Buchanan's nomination and election. That he boldly contended for him as a National Democrat, no man in the right standard for a moment question. But if the *Union* is to be taken as authority, we acknowledge our disappointment, and proceed at once to give a few substantial reasons why we will not sustain the Administration in retaining Walker as Governor of Kansas.

The *Gazette* then proceeds to demonstrate WALKER'S collusion with the Fressel party by extracts from his inaugural and Topeka speech, and by passing of warm eulogy from the anti-slavery presses of the North. It says, in substance, that it is both to believe that Mr. BUCHANAN has already abandoned the platform upon which he was elected; but that if the President approves the conduct of WALKER, and refuses to recall him, it can make no compromises with the workers of treason. This is candid and honorable in the highest degree. We now put it to Dr. BARD, whether Mr. BUCHANAN has not distinctly shown that he sanctions the WALKER policy, as well by declining to cancel his commission, in spite of the demands of the Democratic presses of the South, as by causing his organ, the Washington *Union*, daily to put in articles defending and extolling WALKER, and denouncing as extremists and secessionists all who question the integrity and good faith of the Governor of Kansas. These proofs speak for themselves, and are entirely conclusive. But there is still stronger evidence at hand. The Cincinnati *Enquirer*, the central organ of the Democracy of Ohio, dissipates all doubts on the subject, and thus plainly proclaims that the President does endorse the Kansas policy of his agent:

The President assumes his full share of responsibility for WALKER'S policy; and it were idle, and worse, to conceal that we regretted the course of some of the South-ern journals in attacking the Governor and smearing the President. It had not the manly bearing which is natural from a chivalric gentlemen, and looked too much like servility to power and place for our taste. Now, we *hesitate not to differ, in the most certain and direct manner, that*, while Mr. BUCHANAN regrets certain expressions which have fallen from the WALKER letter, he *has not the slightest hesitation in justifying, sustaining and upholding the acts he executed and policy adopted by the Governor in Kansas, and can not but regard he and his friends as ready to do battle against all opposers*.

Both this explicit and unequivocal. Can language be more unequivocal, and more to the point? We have no doubt whatever that the President has received a number of letters, remonstrating in the strongest terms to the editor of the *Enquirer*, as the latter would never venture to do, save that he knows, in the most certain and direct manner, that "Mr. BUCHANAN has not the slightest hesitation in sustaining and upholding WALKER and his policy adopted by the Governor and Kansas." Now, let the South, the Democracy speak out let Dr. BARD and his brethren in the South be convinced, however reluctantly, and disarm the admirers of Mr. BUCHANAN of the pretext for condemnation upon WALKER