

Dario Taraborelli

Wikimedia Foundation & altmetrics.org

COASP 2011 Tallinn, 21 September 2011 What do impact metrics measure?



Welcome back!

Your Klout Score is steady at 44.



klout.com

The Klout Score measures influence based on your ability to drive action. Every time you create content or engage you influence others. The Klout Score uses data from social networks in order to measure:

How many people you influence (True Reach) How much you influence them (Amplification) How influential they are (Network Score)

TRUE REACH

Your True Reach is the **number of people you influence**. We filter out spam and bots and focus on the people who are acting on your content. When you post a message, these people tend to respond or share it.



klout.com

Score Analysis

You are effectively using social media to influence your network across a variety of topics



Your Score: 43.86

The Klout Score measures influence on a scale of 1 to 100. Learn more

klout.com

You are influential about 10 topics

Topics are generated based on users' engagement with your content. Topical i to engage their audience on a specific subject, even when their overall Klout is





Metric opacity

Metric disconnected from what it measures

Metric consumer can:

- Make metric-based inferences
- Compare item score over time
- Rank other items by the same metrics

Metric opacity: PageRank



Semi-public algorithm, arbitrary adjustments.

Metric opacity: PageRank

The 2002 Google vs Scientology case [1]

ad-hoc adjustment of rankings to comply with DMCA

SEO: reverse-engineering PageRank

The Google dance [2]

short-term fluctuation in PageRank

SEO strategies to control it

[1] McCullagh (2002). Google Yanks Anti-Church Sites, *Wired* http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/2002/03/51233

[2] MetaMend. *What is the Google Dance?* http://www.metamend.com/google-dance.html

Metric opacity: Journal Impact Factor

$$JIF_y = \frac{C_{y-2}^y + C_{y-1}^y}{S_{y-2} + S_{y-1}}$$

Public algorithm, arbitrary adjustments

Metric opacity: Journal Impact Factor

Denominator variables (citable items)

Self-citation (from author-level to journal-level self-citation)

The JIF dance

Editorial policies to maximize JIF

publish more reviews

negotiate citable items

Controlling self-citation: the case of niche journals

Benefits of metric opacity

Increase trust in the metric itself

Provide easy to consume impact proxy "My website has a PageRank 7" "You increased your Klout score by 2 points" "I have 12 papers published in 3+ JIF journals"

Better control of gaming

Consequences of metric opacity

Lack of verifiability Metric lock-in Metric abuse

Alternative measures of the impact of scientific artifacts (papers, datasets, slides) based on large-scale online data [3]

Provide transparent, verifiable impact indicators linked to data sources Provide real-time, multidimensional, semantically rich impact indicators Disclose areas of impact invisible to traditional bibliometrics and usage factors Track references and reuse of scholarly contents inside and outside academia

altmetrics

[3] Priem, Taraborelli, Roth, Neylon (2010). The altmetrics manifesto, http://altmetrics.org/manifesto

altmetrics services







total-impact.org



altmetric.com



Gueorgi KOSSINETS Duncan J WATTS

Science (311) AAAS, 2006

PMID: 16400149

Social networks evolve over time, driven by the shared activities and affiliations of their members, by similarity of individuals' attributes, and by the closure of short network cycles. We analyzed a dynamic social network comprising 43,553 students, faculty, and staff at a large university, in which interactions between individuals are inferred from time-stamped e-mail headers recorded over one academic year and are matched with affiliations and attributes. We found that network evolution is dominated by a combination of effects arising from network topology itself and the organizational structure in which the network is embedded. In the absence of global perturbations, average network properties appear to approach an equilibrium state, whereas individual properties are unstable.

% of readers by discipline

% of readers by location



readermeter.org



Ingestion of Lactobacillus strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

John Cryan of University College Cork.. one author of paper on influence of bacteria on mouse behavior

Microbes may affect mood PNAS article: thx @twistedbacteria I feel very positive about probiotics

Probiotic bacteria with potential to treat anxiety and depression-related disorders? PNAS Article -

PNAS: Increased levels of probiotics changed signaling chemicals in brains, reducing stress anxiety.



altmetric.com

How to validate altmetrics-based impact? [4]

Using altmetrics to **redefine** impact extra-academic usage (industry, education) reuse of open scholarly contents [5]

[4] Priem et al. (2011). Evaluating altmetrics, in preparation

[5] Neylon (2011) Re-use as Impact. ACM WebSci '11 Altmetrics Workshop http://altmetrics.org/workshop2011/neylon-v0/



Daniel Mietchen (2011) Reusing open-access materials. http://bit.ly/OA_reuse



Media from OA publications reused in Wikipedia

Daniel Mietchen (2011) Reusing open-access materials. http://bit.ly/OA_reuse

Conclusions

stop worrying about impact help us rethink impact

create APIs to expose (and facilitate the reuse of) published contents usage data

2

help us measure reuse transparently/reproducibly

Thanks

Credits

VTVM 12 volts DC: CC-BY-NC image by 3D King Wildcat vs. Cheetah: CC-BY image by JD Hancock

Dario Taraborelli. Transparency in measures of scientific impact http://nitens.org/docs/slides/coasp11.pdf

dtaraborelli@wikimedia.org @ReaderMeter