## A Method to Derive an Expression for Summations of Natural Numbers (i) Raised to a Positive Integer Exponent $(\mathbf{P})$ as (i) is Indexed from 1 to N .

Before stepping into the method described in this paper, we need to first examine the origin of the identities used in the development of this method.

Lets first define a function $G(x)$ as being the difference in another function $F(x)$ as the value of $x$ increases by 1 .

$$
\text { So } \quad G(x)=F(x+1)-F(x)
$$

This expression can be manipulated by use of simple mathematical properties to change its appearance as below:

| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ | Given relationship |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ | Addition of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ to both sides of the expression |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ | Commutative property of addition |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+2)-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ | Given relationship |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+2)=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1)+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ | Addition of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ to both sides of the expression |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+2)=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ | Commutative property of addition |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+2)=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ | Substitution of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)$ |

The above manipulation of the expression can be repeated until we have

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+1)+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+2)+\ldots+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}-1)
$$

and this form of the expression can be abbreviated as

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{x}}^{(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}-1)} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i})
$$

If we let $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}-1$ then $\mathrm{N}+1=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{m}$ and the above expression simplifies further to

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~N}+1)=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i})
$$

and if you take the special case where $x=1$, then we have a form of the relationship that is key to development of this method

$$
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~N}+1)=\mathrm{F}(1)+\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i})
$$

and the final form is obtained by subtracting $\mathrm{F}(1)$ from both sides

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} G(i)=F(N+1)-F(1)
$$

The final form of the expression for the relationship between $G(x)$ and $F(x)$ makes it apparent that if we are given the expression for $F(x)$, we can then calculate the sum of $G(i)$ without generating each value resulting from $i=1$ to $N$. This observation focuses on the objective of this paper and starts us off with some direction to solving this problem.

Since the function $G(x)$ is determined from the expression of $F(x)$, we should investigate resultant expressions of $G(x)$ from known $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ expressions. For simplicity, let's assume that $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is a polynomial in standard form. A table below shows that an easy to prove pattern exists.

| Known $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ | Resultant $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| constant $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \ldots)$ | 0 |
| x | 1 |
| Ax | A |
| $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{B}$ | $\mathrm{A}+0$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ | $2 \mathrm{x}+1$ |
| Ax | $\mathrm{A}(2 \mathrm{x}+1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ax}+\mathrm{Bx}+\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{A}(2 \mathrm{x}+1)+\mathrm{B}+0$ |
| $\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}}$ | $(\mathrm{x}+1)^{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}}=$ binomial expansion of $(\mathrm{x}+1)^{\mathrm{p}}$ minus |

the first term
We can see from the pattern above that if $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ is expressed as a polynomial, each term within the expression has an additive effect on expression for $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$. Below is a list of observations about the pattern which will prove useful later.

1) $G(x)$ can be determined by evaluating each term of $F(x)$ as a separate function and then adding the accumulative differences from all of the terms together.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x})+\ldots+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}+1}(\mathrm{x}) \text { where } \mathrm{d} \text { is the highest degree of } \mathrm{x} \text { in } \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x}) \text {, and } \\
& \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x})+\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x})+\ldots+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}+1}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}+1}(\mathrm{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

2) If $T(x)=x^{p}$ then $T(x+1)-T(x)$ is equal to the binomial expansion of $(x+1)^{p}$ minus $x^{p}$ or

$$
T(x+1)-T(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(p!/[i!(p-i)!]) x^{(p-i)}
$$

3) The coefficient of $T(x)$ will factor out of $T(x+1)-T(x)$ and remain a factor for the difference.

If $T(x)=A x^{p}$ then $T(x+1)-T(x)=A\left[(x+1)^{p}-x^{p}\right]$ which is equal to the product of $A$ and the binomial expansion of $(x+1)^{p}$ minus the first term.

Now we have the problem of working in the other direction. Given an expression for $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$, how do we determine the expression for $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ ?

If we still think of $G(x)$ as a function determined from another function $F(x)$, we can back track how the expression for $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ took on its form. Before $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ obtained a standard polynomial form, several terms had to be expanded, combined with like terms, and then written in descending powers of $x$. The crude form of $G(x)$ may be perceived as

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{A}_{1}\left[(\mathrm{x}+1)^{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}}\right]+\mathrm{A}_{2}\left[(\mathrm{x}+1)^{(\mathrm{p}-1)}-\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{p}-1)}\right]+\ldots+\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{d}+1)}
$$

The process of expanding and combining like terms can make each part of this expression undergo many changes. However, the first term in the standardized form of $G(x)$ was never combined with a like term in the process, because none of the other parts of the expression produced a power of $x$ high enough to combine with it. If you take the time
to investigate, you will learn that as you track the generation of terms in $G(x)$, terms with lower degrees of $x$ are the result of combining many like terms and terms with higher degrees of $x$ are the result of combining fewer like terms.

The origin of the first term in $G(x)$ is from the $A_{1}\left[(x+1)^{p}-x^{p}\right]$ part of the crude expression. Since $x^{p}$ cancels, the degree of the first term in standardized $G(x)$ must be equal to $(p-1)$. We now know the degree of the expression for $F(x)$, which is equal to $p$. Since the first term in $G(x)$ was never combined with another term, the coefficient of this term is only the result of multiplying $A_{1}$ by the coefficient from the binomial expansion of $(x+1)^{p}$. Since we know the value of $p$ we can determine the coefficient from the binomial expansion and then the value of $A_{1}$, which is the coefficient of the first term in $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$. Once we have the values for p and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, we can subtract the effect of the first term of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ from the generation of $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$. We then have,

$$
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})-\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x})\right]=\mathrm{A}_{2}\left[(\mathrm{x}+1)^{(\mathrm{p}-1)}-\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{p}-1)}\right]+\mathrm{A}_{3}\left[(\mathrm{x}+1)^{(\mathrm{p}-2)}-\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{p}-2)}\right]+\ldots+\mathrm{A}_{(\mathrm{d}+1)}
$$

The new terms obtained in the expression for $G(x)-\left[T_{1}(x+1)-T_{1}(x)\right]$ are the result of expanding and combining like terms from the remaining portion of the crude expression of $G(x)$. As before, the new first term, was never combined with a like term in this process. Since the characteristics of generating the terms of $G(x)-\left[T_{1}(x+1)-T_{1}(x)\right]$ and $G(x)-\sum$ $[T(x+1)-T(x)]$ are the same as for $G(x)$, we can repeat the procedure described above until all of the terms in the expression of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ are determined.

Example: Find an expression for $\sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{3}$

If we let $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{3}$ we only need to determine an expression for $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ to solve this problem, since we have the identity

$$
\sum_{x=1}^{N} G(x)=F(N+1)-F(1)
$$

| $\mathrm{x}^{3}$ | Given expression for $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$ tells us that $\mathrm{p}=4$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}{ }_{4}\left(4 x^{3}+6 \mathrm{x}^{2}+4 \mathrm{x}+1\right)$ | Expansion of $(x+1)^{4}$ minus $\mathrm{x}^{4}$, letting $\mathrm{A}_{1}=1 / 4$ makes the first term drop out |
| $-3 / 2 x^{2}-x-1 / 4$ | New expression after subtraction of $\left[\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{1}(\mathrm{x})\right]$ |
| $-1 / 2\left(3 x^{2}+3 x+1\right)$ | Expansion of ( $\mathrm{x}+1)^{3}$ minus $\mathrm{x}^{3}$, letting $\mathrm{A}_{2}=-1 / 2$ makes the next term drop out |
| $1 / 2 x+1 / 4$ | New expression after subtraction of [ $\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x}+1)-\mathrm{T}_{2}(\mathrm{x})$ ] |
| $1 / 4$. | Expansion of ( $\mathrm{x}+1)^{2}$ minus $\mathrm{x}^{2}$, letting $\mathrm{A}_{3}=1 / 4$ makes the next term drop out |
|  | Stop, since the difference remaining is zero, all of the terms of $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})$ have be |

As determined above

$$
F(x)=1 / 4 x^{4}-1 / 2 x^{3}+1 / 4 x^{2}
$$

Using this expression in the identity above, we will obtain the solution to the problem.

$$
\sum_{x-1}^{N} x^{3}=\left[1 / 4(N+1)^{4}-1 / 2(N+1)^{3}+1 / 4(N+1)^{2}\right]-\left[1 / 4(1)^{4}-1 / 2(1)^{3}+1 / 4(1)^{2}\right]
$$

In my experience, $\mathrm{F}(1)$ has always been equal to zero, but I have not attempted a deductive proof.

Simplification of the solution by expanding binomials, combining like terms, and factoring what doesn't cancel, provides the final polished solution below

$$
\sum_{x=1}^{N} x^{3}=\frac{N^{2}(N+1)^{2}}{4}
$$

Below is a listing of a few polynomial representations of the summation of X raised to the integer exponent p , if X is indexed from 1 to N .

$$
\sum_{x=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{p}}
$$

p Polynomial expression in method generated form

| 1 | $1 / 2 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ | $-1 / 2(N+1)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $1 / 3 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{3}$ | - $1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ | $+{ }^{1} / 6 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | $1 / 4 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{4}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{3}$ | $+{ }^{1 / 4} 4(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | $1 / 5 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{5}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{4}$ | $+{ }^{1 / 3} 3(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{3}$ | $-1 / 30 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)$ |  |  |
| 5 | $1 / 6 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{6}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{5}$ | $+5 / 12(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{4}$ | $-1 / 12(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ |  |  |
| 6 | $1 / 7{ }_{7} \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{7}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{6}$ | $+{ }^{1 / 2} 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{5}$ | $-1 / 6 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{3}$ | $+{ }^{1 / 42}(\mathrm{~N}+1)$ |  |
| 7 | $1 / 8 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{8}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{7}$ | $+{ }^{7} / 12(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{6}$ | $-{ }^{7} / 24(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{4}$ | $+{ }^{1 / 12}(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ |  |
| 8 | $1 / 9 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{9}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{8}$ | $+{ }^{2} / 3(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{7}$ | $-{ }^{7} / 15(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{5}$ | $+{ }^{2} / 9(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{3}$ | - ${ }^{1 / 30}(\mathrm{~N}+1)$ |
| 9 | $1 / 10(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{10}$ | $-1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{9}$ | $+{ }^{3} / 4(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{8}$ | $-{ }^{7} / 10(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{6}$ | $+1 / 2(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{4}$ | $-3 / 20 \quad(\mathrm{~N}+1)^{2}$ |

