THE DAILY BEE,
PUBLISHED BY
G. F. WEISSE & CO.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.
G. F. WEISSE, S. MARSHY,
H. DUVERGES, F. DUFOUR.
DAILY PAPER, (one year in advance).....$10
COUNTRY PAPER, semi-weekly, (one year in advance).....$5
In all cases, subscribers must give one week's notice in writing before they can be allowed to discontinue.

New Orleans Bee.

NEW ORLEANS, THURSDAY MORNING, AUGUST 2, 1855.

RATES OF ADVERTISING.
One Dollar for every TEN lines, in such language as the first insertion, and Half that price for each subsequent one. No advertisement, inserted for less than one dollar in each language.
☞ A reasonable discount to Advertisers will be charged when ordered for every TEN lines for each language for each insertion.
☞ The privilege of Annual Advertisers is restricted to subscribers exclusively to the line of their business and to rented ... square every other line.

Vol. X. No. [illegible] NEW ORLEANS.
Vol. XVIII.—Whole No. 1705

THE DAILY BEE.

Thursday Morning, August 2, 1855

☞ The Northern mail failed yesterday from Montgomery.

FACTS.

We republished in Tuesday's paper from a Mississippi journal, a statement of facts, with the purpose of showing that the North-ern Democrats are unsound on the slavery question. These facts are called in question by a Democratic sheet in this city, which contemptuously and, cautiously accuses us of falsehood. We proceed to furnish some evidence of these facts, though, as a general rule, we abstain from all reply to those who cannot argue without abuse.

1st *Fact*—That the *Democrats in the free States* have elected none but Abolitionists and Free-soilers to the Congress of the United States.

Where are any Democrats elected to Congress from the free States, who do not unite with the designation of Free Soilers, Abolitionists, name them. At the late New York election, the Democracy threw overboard nearly, if not quite all of their representatives who had supported the Nebraska bill, and nominated opponents of that bill in their stead. There were, on the last session, but two Know-Nothing members of Congress chosen—Mr. VALE, Ningara county, and a gentleman from Erie county. Both are opposed to the restoration of the Missouri Compromise, and are Abolitionists, name them. At the late election in the Western States of the Democrats elected in Maine, Illinois and Wisconsin we know nothing—Major RICHARDSON, of Illinois who is not obnoxious to the imputation of anti-slavery sentiments. We are aware that the Northern Know-Nothings object to Congress are Abolitionists,—which we already exhibited the error of such a deprivation in the case of the two sent to New York. Instead of discourteously dogging us with falsehood, the Democratic sheets would better serve its cause by proving that we have perverted or suppressed facts.

2d *Fact*—That the Administration papers are unable to designate a representative elected to the Congress or Senate of the United States, in any of the free States by Democrats, who is not an Abolitionist or Free-soiler.

3d *Fact*—That every representative from the free States in the Congress or Senate of the United States, that the Democrats have elected or assisted to elect since the passage of the Nebraska bill, is in favor of the repeal and the modification of the fugitive slave law.

4th *Fact*—That every representative from the free States in the Congress or Senate of the United States, that the Democrats have elected or assisted to elect since the passage of the Nebraska bill, is in favor of the restoration of the Missouri Compromise line, or the exclusion of slavery from the territories of Kansas and Nebraska.

5th *Fact*—That Judge Loring was not removed from office by the Democratic party, but was removed by a Whig President, who now holds his office—that the Democratic party is not responsible for the conduct of Abolitionists, and of some of the members of the National Council of the Democratic party, who have adopted a National Platform, which all the South ever asked for on the slavery question.

6th *Fact*—That Mr. GARDNER, of Massachusetts, refused to comply with the address of the Legislature calling for the removal of Judge LORING. With respect to HENRY WILSON, who visited a few days ago his speech delivered at Cleveland, in which he declared the American party as the enemies of the South, and called on all the South to repudiate the party and its principles.

These are a batch of facts which we repeat, we challenge disapproval. Nothing is more easy than to say that such and such assertions are false, but denial is one thing, demonstration another. Our demonstrations are death on the former—and they equally avoid as eminently the latter.

7th *Fact*—That Darkee, elected to the United States Senate by the Legislature of Illinois on an ultra Abolition anti-Nebraska platform, and that the Legislature which elected him passed resolutions denouncing the Kansas Bill as Nothing.

8th *Fact*—That Maine and Michigan are Democratic States, and that a majority of their representatives were anti-Administration and anti-Nebraska Democrats.

9th *Fact*—That the last Democratic Convention in Ohio, denounced Slavery, and passed a resolution *asserting it to be the duty of the State to use all constitutional means to restrict the area of slavery wherever it exists.*

10th *Fact*—That the notorious Fred Douglass, a Democrat, and has repeatedly addressed Democratic meetings at the North and West.

11th *Fact*—That the arch abolition agitator, Charles Sumner, is a Democrat—that Martin Van Buren, John Van Buren, David Wilmot, Judge Dix, Dorr, Democrats, and that B. F. Hallett, Wentworth, Bryant, of the Evening Post, Postmaster at New York, Reed-ing, Woods and others, the Democracy and Abolitionists or Freesoilers of the State.

We have more fact we will adduce for the benefit of Southern Democracy, and let it consider it, if they can:

1st *Fact*, that the only remnant of the once honored National Democratic party in the North, still in existence, is to be found in that small fragment calling themselves "The Hards" of New York, headed by the trust-worthy and true sons as Messrs. DICKINSON and BRADLEY, and that the destruction of a party has been, and is now to be vilified, slandered and denounced by the Washington Union, while its opponent, Judge BRONSON, was rejected with scorn by the Administration, because he refused to appoint freesoil and abolition editors to situations in the New York Custom-house. It is likewise a fact, that the leading organ of the party styling itself the Democratic party of the State of New York—the *Evening Post*—is a fiery, unmitigated abolition journal, which advocates the claims of BURNS and KINGS, panegyrizes WM. LLOYD GARRISON, and denounces National men and parties.

☞ The friend of the *Delta* still advocates the doctrine of a Southern party, but he not avowedly, as it is known the organization of such a party would be desired. We presume, however that by a "Southern party" our correspondent understands a party composed of Democratic Whigs, Know Nothings, Native and Naturalized citizens, banded together to maintain exclusively the rights of the South, more especially through the instrumentality of the minority of Northern Abolitionists. There are several objections to the plan. First, it is impracticable. Take for instance Louisiana—a State where there existed less of the virulence and acerbity of party than in any in the Union. The Democratic Convention reassembled their old platform of 1853. The Whigs and Americans were willing to stand by it, but abstained and asked nothing better than to fuse with the Democracy, and make common cause against Northern aggression. The Democrats rejected the offer, passed Anti-Know-Nothing resolutions, nominated a full State ticket, and absolutely drove the American party into an independent position. Here was a fair opportunity for a friendly alliance which was forfeited, through the strength of party then. Is it supposable that the experiment would be attended with any more success in Louisiana, Alabama, or Mississippi? Look at the heated contests in progress in those States, and you will be convinced that fusion is far the remotest and unattainable object.

Another objection in this side for an principle is unconcealed, every party in the South is a Southern party. There is no party strongest on that has failed to take high Southern ground, or that is less strenuous in maintenance of the rights of the sections of the confederacy, than the Georgia Democrats and Americans. A Southern party, therefore, would embrace everybody and everybody in the South is already virtually a member of such a party. The time may come, indeed, when the South will be compelled to suspend all political strife, and merge itself into a homogeneous and armed organization for resistance to the North. That time has not yet arrived, and the conditions of parties continue. We hope and trust that it may never come for when it does, the people, both North and South, may begin to count the doubling of the time, in the fall sentences that for days are numbered.

A PORTRAIT OF A FREESOILER.—In reply to a statement in the Richmond Enquirer, "that the Democratic party, as now composed and organized, is adequate and ready to meet the North and the South, the East and the West, into peaceful enjoyment of their assured rights and immunities," the Charleston Mercury, from time out of mind a paper of the strongest Democratic affinities, says:

"We have no such faith in the ability of the Democratic party. It has been overwhelmed at the North by abolition, and is powerless either for its own national ends or the protection of any South."

JUDGE BRONSON'S OPINION.—Judge Bronson, of New York, has published an opinion against the Nebraska law. He assumes, first, that it takes an unconstitutional liberty with existing rights to property, by dooping in the original thereof the right to sell it. Secondly, that the measure violates such a thing a existence by declaring it to be in fact, that which by its very nature it cannot be. Thirdly, that although the measure drinking in no such words, it does not follow that the moderate use of liquor is only against either God or man. From other lines of Noah down it has been practised, and neither the Saviour nor Paul forbade it. Fourth, it overlooks rules of evidence, utilizes grievous penalties, is directed against a particular object, is unpopular, &c., &c., and fifth, even though the first 4 be valid it does not apply to [illegible] Bronson closes by hoping that no more will distrust the Judiciary, but will walk patiently the nation of the legal tribunals.

☞ The Columbia *Free Press* says:—The new dwelling House, [unpunctuated] built for Mr. Robert Harwell, near this place, was destroyed by fire on Wednesday the 18th inst. It is understood by the want of an incendiary.

ALABAMA.—The election in Alabama, takes place next Monday, and promises to be one of the most exciting contests ever witnessed in the State. The Americans have a very heavy ticket in the field, and believe they will have a Democratic majority, some considerable.

We give the following from the *Mobile Mail*, as a specimen of the spirit prevailing. For ourselves, we consider the result quite doubtful:

NEWS OF SAM.—Both parties had dinners at Huntsville, Saturday, though to the log heaps yesterday. We hardly know which party Sam favors, whether that the Democracy, or rather, that the Union of the States carried them all to the American side. Those who are uncertain, or the party, after the speaking.

Col. N. B. Bethea passed through this city yesterday, on his way to Mobile, where reports of his withdrawal and declension from the race for Governor of the State