THE DAILY BEE,
G. P. WHEDBE & CO.,
G. P. WHEDBE, C. BARRY,
H. DUVERGER, N. DUFOUR.
DAILY PAPER, (one year in advance).....$12 00
COUNTRY PAPER, semi-weekly, (one year in advance).....5 00
In all cases, subscribers must give and receive notice in writing before they can be allowed to discontinue.

The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE 83 CHARTRES ST. NEW ORLEANS, WEDNESDAY MORNING, MARCH 19, 1856. VOL. XVII—NEW SERIES. VOL. XXIX—WHOLE No. 8098.

TERMS: $1 per line, in each language for the first insertion, and half that price for each subsequent one. No advertisement inserted for less than one dollar in each language.

☞ Advertisements inserted at intervals will be charged one dollar for every fifty lines for each insertion for each language.

☞ The privilege of Annual Advertisements is limited to advertisements strictly within their line of business, and to extent to one square (every other day).

THE DAILY BEE.

WEDNESDAY MORNING, MARCH 19.

Subscriptions by the Week.

The carriers of the Bee are authorized to receive subscriptions by the week, at the rate of 25 cents.

THE NORTHERN MAIL came through yesterday with the latest dates.

DEMOCRATIC AUTHORITY.

We suppose every body interested in politics has heard of the Washington *Sentinel*, a Democratic journal established in the Federal city, and edited by BENJAMIN TUCKER, a thorough going Virginian State Rights Democrat. The *Sentinel* being entirely without Administration patronage, can afford to be independent, though we must do Mr. TUCKER the justice to add, that while enjoying the lucrative post of printer to the United States Senate, he was as free and unshackled in the expression of his thoughts as he is now. As the *Sentinel* is an accredited exponent of the Southern States Rights wing of the Democracy, and of the New York Hard Shells, and National Democrats, its opinions are valuable. They are undoubtedly the reflection of such minds as Senators HUNTER, MASON, BUTLER, IVERSON, &c. What the *Sentinel* thinks of the present Administration, of its head, its policy, and general conduct, is set forth in an elaborate leading article, from which we purpose to extract a few passages. The article is a comment upon a letter from the Hon. P. S. BROOKS, of S. C., advising South Carolina to send delegates to the Cincinnati Convention, and warmly endorsing the claims of Mr. PIERCE to re-election. The *Sentinel* reviews the Administration in order to prove it unworthy of Southern support. In the course of its article it says:

Franklin Pierce came into office nearly by acclamation, and he had for his encouragement and support a Senate with a large Democratic majority, and but *four* Freesoilers, all told. A House of Representatives with an overwhelming Democratic majority, and with only a small Freesoil party. By the "last and latest" course of his administration—his habitual faith breaking with every body—his pledges ever disregarded, or at best or worse, evaded—his shuffling, undecided course in our foreign relations—his selection appointments, and a thousand and one other things we could add, equally demoralizing in their tendency; the Lower House is in the hands of Black Republicans, and in the Senate of the United States, the small majority of *four* Freesoilers has been increased to one-fifth of the whole body.

Most veritable, but how was it brought about? Why, according to the *Sentinel*, by President PIERCE's encouragement of Freesoilers, and discouragement of National Democrats; by his futile and fatal attempts to harmonize the various sections of the Democracy; by his unauthorized and ill-advised interference with the New York Custom-house; by his eviction of honest, national men, and substitution in their stead of a pack of hungry Freesoilers; and by his purpose to extract a few passages. The article is a comment upon a letter from the Hon. P. S. BROOKS, of S. C., advising South Carolina to send delegates to the Cincinnati Convention, and warmly endorsing the claims of Mr. PIERCE to re-election. The *Sentinel* reviews the Administration in order to prove it unworthy of Southern support. In the course of its article it says:

Franklin Pierce came into office nearly by acclamation, and he had for his encouragement and support a Senate with a large Democratic majority, and but *four* Freesoilers, all told. A House of Representatives with an overwhelming Democratic majority, and with only a small Freesoil party. By the "last and latest" course of his administration—his habitual faith breaking with every body—his pledges ever disregarded, or at best or worse, evaded—his shuffling, undecided course in our foreign relations—his selection appointments, and a thousand and one other things we could add, equally demoralizing in their tendency; the Lower House is in the hands of Black Republicans, and in the Senate of the United States, the small majority of *four* Freesoilers has been increased to one-fifth of the whole body.

Most veritable, but how was it brought about? Why, according to the *Sentinel*, by President PIERCE's encouragement of Freesoilers, and discouragement of National Democrats; by his futile and fatal attempts to harmonize the various sections of the Democracy; by his unauthorized and ill-advised interference with the New York Custom-house; by his eviction of honest, national men, and substitution in their stead of a pack of hungry Freesoilers; and by his purpose to veto the Nebraska bill.

Now, we suppose every body interested in politics has heard of the Nebraska bill, and that it was the great question which was to be decided by the last session of the Thirty-first Congress. The bill was the result of long and arduous labor, and was the great measure of the Democratic party. It was the great measure of the Democratic party. It was the great measure of the Democratic party. It