...Days of ...
TUESDAY, T...
THURSDAY MOR...

REPUBLICAN ...
FOR PRESIDENT,
...NDREW JAC...
FOR VICE PRES...
...RTIN VAN ...
ELECTORS
...EAT AND VI...
...rict—JOHN B...
...rict—THOMA...
...rict—TRAS...
...istrict—ALEX...
...rict—PLAC...
...GE...
...S. DAVI...

...have also received our file of ... Traveller, up to the 31st of August ... shall make extracts as often as we have ...

...*port of nullification.*"—Emporium ... puted; but for impudence and a want ... to be no charity. Not a syllable, word, ... sion favorable to nullification, or in sup... port of ..., or in connivance at nullification, his ... ever ... ing place in the columns of the Bee. It ... there ... in the human form, existing at this day ... fighter ... operative system, so as not to know the ... differ ... an opinion that a law of congress is ... unco... and nullification, that creature would ... ti... propriately, a strait jacket in a lunatic hos... pital, ... ership of a newspaper. On the other ... hand ... makes a charge, for which he has neither ... prete... n of pretext, but makes it with a view of ... deceiving those who may chance to read his paper, on the supposition that they will take his *ipse dixit*, and not examine for themselves the grounds of his charge, in any further manner than by a hasty glance at it. Such a supposition is insulting to our readers! We do not allude to him or what he says, because we think either of importance; but for this mean purpose of showing how ostentatiously he can charge others with "gross ignorance," when the gross ignorance is in himself. It is not difficult to conceive of an individual who might be so very ignorant as to know scarcely any thing, and yet be so vicious as to charge every body else with ignorance and falsehood, who stated any thing beyond his own knowledge!

By a law of 1812, all the permanent duties were increased one hundred per centum; and the law was to continue in force until one year after the end of the war.

In 1816, Mr. Dallas, secretary of the treasury, recommended in a report, the protection of manufactures. He divided the articles to be protected into three classes. In neither of those classes was brown sugar or molasses included. In the bill which he recommended, brown sugar was destined, as it was in 1800, at two cents and one half, per lb. By the law of 1816, the following duties were imposed, amongst others, to wit:

On brown sugar, per pound, three cents.
On white clayed or powdered sugar, per pound, four cents.
On loaf sugar, per pound, five cents.
On lump sugar, per pound, six cents.
On all other clayed or powdered sugars, per pound, three cents.
On molasses, per gallon, three cents.
By the law of 1790, an additional duty of one cent was laid upon clayed or lump sugar.
By the law of 1794, an additional duty of one cent was laid upon every description.
By the law of 1797,
On white clayed or white powdered sugar, per pound, three cents.
On all other clayed or powdered sugars, per pound, two cents.
On molasses, per gallon, three cents.
On sugar candy, per pound, nine cents.

The proceeds of the duties under this act are specially pledged for the payment of the National debt, and the debt due the United States bank.

By the law of 1800, the following duties, in addition to those already existing, were laid:

On brown sugar, per pound, one half cent; making the duty on brown sugar, two cents and a half.—THE PRESENT DUTY.

On molasses, per gallon, one cent; making the duty on molasses, five cents per gallon.—THE PRESENT DUTY.

On sugar candy, per pound, two cents and one half, making the duty on candy, eleven cents and one half.

On half refined, loaf or lump sugar, per pound, four cents.

On white clayed, &c. three cents, per pound.

On other clayed or powdered sugars, per pound, two cents and one half.

It is possible that additional duties may have been laid on these last species of sugars which have escaped my observation.

The above enumerated duties were all laid before the 14th day of May, 1800. Louisiana was purchased in 1803, and possession taken of it by the United States in December, 1803, which was three years and a half after the above duties were laid. They consequently could not have been laid to protect the culture of the sugar cane in Louisiana, before the acquisition of Louisiana; and we know of no portion of the citizens of the United States, or their territories, who were then engaged in growing the sugar cane.

Because we stated that the duty upon sugar was imposed for revenue purposes, during a recent occasion, the knowing editor of the Emporium says we are ignorant—that our opinion is nonsense; and that we wish to "humbug" our readers! We do not allude to him or what he says, because we think either of importance; but for this mean purpose of showing how ostentatiously he can charge others with "gross ignorance," when the gross ignorance is in himself. It is not difficult to conceive of an individual who might be so very ignorant as to know scarcely any thing, and yet be so vicious as to charge every body else with ignorance and falsehood, who stated any thing beyond his own knowledge!

By a law of 1812, all the permanent duties were increased one hundred per centum; and the law was to continue in force until one year after the end of the war.

In 1816, Mr. Dallas, secretary of the treasury, recommended in a report, the protection of manufactures. He divided the articles to be protected into three classes. In neither of those classes was brown sugar or molasses included. In the bill which he recommended, brown sugar was destined, as it was in 1800, at two cents and one half, per lb. By the law of 1816, the following duties were imposed, amongst others, to wit:

On brown sugar, per pound, three cents.
On white clayed or powdered sugar, per pound, four cents.
On lump sugar, per pound, six cents.
On loaf sugar and candy, per pound, twelve cents.
On molasses, per gallon, three cents.

By a comparison of the duties in 1800, which were before the acquisition of Louisiana, and before there were sugar growers to protect, with the duties imposed in 1816, we find by this latter law the following increase of duties, on the products of the sugar cane as they came from the hands of the grower, namely:

On brown sugar, one half cent, per pound.
On white clayed, or powder sugar, per pound, one cent.
On molasses, nothing.

At these prices but little white clayed sugar made, the addition of the half cent upon brown sugar, as it related to the grower, was the principal protection, whether imposed for revenue or direct protection. On loaf sugar there was a considerable increase. On candy, half a cent.

In 1799, the revolutionary debt was near twenty-five millions. The ... 31st of December, ... and contingent ... penditures of the ... [illegible]

...easury notes, near six millions. This ...y of imposing higher duties, which the ... time, for the payment of the ... and the extinguishment of ...

...augmented by the war, ... twenty-three millions. ... as the expenses of the go... not made higher duties neces... tariff of 1816, we find an in... on articles, whether produced ... The increase of the duty on ... had with the increase of the re... ad ... h, a few days ... the additional half cent imposed on ... The increase of ... Mr. Dallas did not include sugar in ... ected, presuming that a peace that ... ...ting ... to tax ... ...ties ... high ... and ... and ... [illegible] ... [illegible] ... [illegible]