ROBERT KINNISON, PH.D. MATHEMATICAL STATISTICIAN BATTELLE NORTHWEST STATISTICS SECTION P.O. BOX 999 RICHLAND, WA 99352 NUREG/CR-2449 PNL-4122

629950

Survey of Radionuclide Distributions Resulting from the Church Rock, New Mexico, Uranium Mill Tailings Pond Dam Failure

Prepared by W. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Operated by Battelle Memorial Institute

Prepared for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Available from

GPO Sales Program Division of Technical Information and Document Control U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Printed copy price: <u>\$6.50</u>

and

National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161

Survey of Radionuclide Distributions Resulting from the Church Rock, New Mexico, Uranium Mill Tailings Pond Dam Failure

Manuscript Completed: November 1981 Date Published: December 1981

Prepared by W. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, WA 99352

Prepared for Division of Waste Management Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 NRC FIN 82214

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This investigation required that considerable time be spent at a field site, the United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) Church Rock, New Mexico, uranium mill. Cooperative interaction between personnel from Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (NMEID), and the United Nuclear Corporation was required. This necessary cooperation was generously furnished in all cases and the provision of easy site access and communication facilitated the research. Several personnel were especially helpful and cooperative. The Project Manager for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Gregory Eadie, has been extremely helpful and supportive and has functioned as an intermediary between all of the organizations involved throughout the course of this study. The two persons from the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division who have been the most involved in our research are Drs. Thomas Buhl and Jere Millard. A large portion of the work was carried out at the United Nuclear Corporation Church Rock Mill site and used UNC property and personnel. Harmonious interactions between UNC and PN1 personnel allowed our research to progress smoothly. The UNC personnel to whom we are the most grateful are Todd Miller, Gus Swanguist, Robert Miller, and Kee Benally. We thank the personnel from each of these organizations for their very helpful assistance in this research.

ABSTRACT

An intensive site survey and on-site analysis program were conducted to evaluate the distribution of four radionuclides in the general vicinity of Gallup, New Mexico, subsequent to the accidental breach of a uranium mill tailings pond dam and the release of a large quantity of tailings pond materials. The objective of this work was to determine the distribution and concentration levels of 210 Pb, 226 Ra, 230 Th, and 238 U in the arroyo that is immediately adjacent to the uranium tailings pond (pipeline arroyo) and in the Rio Puerco arroyo into which the pipeline arroyo drains. An intensive survey between the United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) Church Rock Mill site and the New Mexico-Arizona state border was performed. Sampling locations were established at approximately 500-ft intervals along the arroyo. During the weeks of September 24 through October 5, 1979, a series of samples was collected from alternate sampling locations along the arroyo. The purpose of this collection of samples and their subsequent analysis was to provide an immediate evaluation of the extent and the levels of radioactive contamination. The data obtained from this extensive survey were then compared to action levels which had been proposed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and were adapted by the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (NMEID) for 230 Th and 226 Ra concentrations that would require site cleanup.

The Pacific Northwest Laboratory/Nuclear Regulatory Commission mobile laboratory van was on-site at the UNC Church Rock Mill from September 22, 1979, through December 13, 1979, and was manned by one or more PNL personnel for all but four weeks of this time period. Approximately 1200 samples associated with the Rio Puerco survey were analyzed in the laboratory. An additional 1200 samples related to the Rio Puerco cleanup operations which the United Nuclear Corporation was conducting were analyzed on-site in the mobile laboratory. The purpose of these analyses was to determine the effectiveness of the cleanup operations that were ongoing and to evaluate what additional cleanup would be required. This on-site analysis of radioactive contamination constituted the principal task of this project, with the identification of those portions of the arroyo exceeding the NMEID proposed cleanup criteria being the

major output. Additional tasks includeo an evaluation of the initial soil sampling scheme (letter from T. Wolff [NMEID] to J. Abiss [UNC], dated September 25, 1979) and the proposed NMEID verification sampling scheme (letter from T. Bunl [NMEID] to H. Miller [NRC], dated April 23, 1980).

.

Т

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On July 16, 1979, a break in the tailings pond dam of the United Nuclear Corporation's Church Rock, New Mexico, mill occurred. Approximately 94 million gallons of the tailings liquid which had been impounded behind this dam were released into an adjacent arroyo along with an estimated 1,100 tons of tailings solids. The spilled solution traveled down the so-called "pipeline arroyo" and into the north branch of the Rio Puerco arroyo. Beyond this point it continued past the location where the north and south branches of the Rio Puerco join immediately northeast of Gallup, New Mexico, continued across the remainder of the state of New Mexico, and extended into the state of Arizona for ~20 to 25 miles, where the flow of the Rio Puerco terminates.

In September, 1979, Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) responded to a request from the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to provide immediate on-site sampling and radionuclide analysis capability. The principal objective of PNL's work on-site at the United Nuclear Corporation mill was to provide capabilities for immediate analyses of samples which had been collected from the Rio Puerco environment. The conditions for sample preparation and sample analysis were optimized so that the total amount of time required from the time the sample was collected until the data regarding radionuclide concentrations for that sample were available could be less than 48 hours. The concentrations of 210 Pb. 226 Ra. 230 Th. and 238 U were determined in -2400 samples from a survey of the Rio Puerco contamination and from subsequent cleanup operations initiated by the United Nuclear Corporation. The purpose of these later analyses was to determine the effectiveness of the cleanup operations that were ongoing and to evaluate what additional cleanup would be required. This on-site analysis of radioactive contamination constituted the principal task of this project, with the identification of those portions of the arroyo exceeding the NMEID proposed cleanup criteria being the major output.

The following conclusions have been drawn as a result of the investigation of the Church Rock uranium mill tailings pond dam failure:

vii

- 1. On-site use of planar intrinsic germanium detectors provides adequate sensitivity and short analysis times for quantitation of 210 Pb, 226 Ra, 230 Th, and 238 U in sediments/soils.
- The original sampling plan, which consisted of collecting surface soil/sediment samples at 1000-ft intervals, was adequate to determine those areas which were contaminated and required cleanup.
- 3. Clustering of samples within arroyo reaches defined by physical (morphological) features would provide a better estimation of the radionuclide spatial variability than did the sampling pattern which was used and which was based on equal distance increments.
- The revised Rio Puerco cleanup criteria proposed by the NMEID are statistically adequate.
- 5. Concentrations of 210 Pb, 226 Ra, and 238 U in samples throughout the length of the arroyo are not distinguishable from natural background concentrations.
- 6. Concentrations of ²³⁰Th range from background levels to levels elevated considerably greater than background. Plots of ²³⁰Th concentrations versus distance from the tailings pond show high variability. Therefore, a statistical smoothing function was applied to the data to facilitate interpretation.
- Sediment samples from two site-variability studies indicate that there is considerable ²³⁰Th concentration variability within even limited areas of the arroyo (i.e., 5-ft square grids and 25-ft square grids).
- 8. The concentrations of 230 Th in the Rio Puerco show an apparent periodicity as a functon of distance. This period is ~2.5 km.
- 9. The estimated total inventory of ²³⁰Th in the Rio Puerco from sampling stake 0-491 is 26.8 Ci, based on data from the upper two feet of the core samples. The inventory based on data from the firstterrace and second-terrace samples (upper two inches) is 4.9 Ci. The

total inventory of 230 Th background in the upper two inches of arroyo sediment is estimated to be 0.30 Ci.

- 10. Present inability to differentiate between natural background 230 Th and contamination-derived 230 Th prohibits a clear definition of the 230 Th inventory from the tailings pond solution.
- Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park show no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background.

CONTENTS

-

ACKNOWLED	GMENTS	•	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	٠	·	iii
ABSTRACT		•						•					v
EXECUTIVE	SUMMARY		•			•			-			•	γii
INTRODUCT	ION ,							•		,	e.		1
EXPERIMEN	TAL METHOD	S	•						-				5
SAMPI	LE COLLECT	ION									•		5
PREP/	ARATION OF	SAMPI	LES FO	OR INS	STRUM	ENTAL	ANAL	YSIS	•				6
INST	RUMENTAL A	NALYSI	ES OF	RADIO	DNUCL.	IDE CO	DNCEN	TRATIO	DNS				8
	Detector	Types					•				•		8
	Calibratic Quantitat	on of ion	9-in •	. NaI -	Well	Cryst •	tal fi	or 220 ,	⁵ Ra				8
	Calibratio	on of	Intr	ins ic	Germa	anium	Dete	ctors					10
	Selection	of Co	ounti	ng Tin	ne Inf	terval	1						10
	Reporting	of Un	raniu	n Anal	lysis	Data							11
STAT	ISTICAL MET	THODS			•						•		12
	Data Hand	ling	٠		•						-		12
	Data Smoor	thing	Func	tions									13
EVALUATION	N OF INSTRU	JMENTA	AL COI	INTIN	G CAPA	ABILIT	IES						15
MEASU	UREMENT OF	226 _R ,	j					,					15
EFFE	CT OF COUNT	TING T	I I ME			•							17
REPLI	ICATE COUN	TING (DF SAM	MPLES							•		19
RESULTS AM	ND DISCUSS	ION				•							21
ANALY	YSIS OF GR/	AND CA	ANYON	SAMPL	.ES			•			•		21
PRES	ENTATION OF	RIO	PUER	CO SAN	IPLE C)ATA							24

	EVAL	UATIO	N OF	GRI) SAN	MPLIN	G DAT.	A	-	•		•	•	·		27
	EVAL	UATIO	N OF	BACK	GROU	JND SI	AMPLE	S	•				•		•	41
	EST	(MATIO	N OF	230-	íh IM	VENT	ORY .					·				42
	EVAL	UATIO	N OF	PUES	200	SAMPL	ING S	TRATI	EGY	٠			٠			4 4
	E VAL CRII	UATIO ER1A	N OF	VER:	IFICA -	AT ION	Sampi -	LING	PLAN.	I AND	PROP(DSED	CLEAN	JP •		46
		Numb	er of	f Şar	nple	Repl	i cat e	5	•		•					49
EXPE	RIENC	CE GAI	NED F	FROM	THE	RIO I	PUERC	O EN	VIRON	MENT	AL STI	JDY				53
CONCL	LUSIC) NS		•					•						•	57
REFER	RENCE	5							•	•	,				,	59
APPEI	NDIX	ANE1 R I (W ME) D PUE	XICO Erco	ENV) ENV)	(RONM) (RONM)	ENTAL ENTAL	i Mpi Sami	ROVEM PLING	ENT	DIVIS:	ION P	ROTOCO	DL FOR	۲	A.1
APPEN	NDIX	BDA	TA FO	DR RI	10 PL	JERCO	ENVI	Ronmi	ENTAL	SAM	PLES	•	•			B.1
APPEI	NDIX	C—230 DOI	OTA (WNSTF	CONCE	ENTRA FROM	TION: 1 SPIL	S PLO L SI	TTED TE	VERS	US R	10 PU	ERCÓ	DISTA	₩CE •		C.1
APPE≀	VIDIX	DR10) PUE	ERCO	SAMP	PLING	LOCA	TION:	S							D. 1
APPE	VIDV	EDA	fA Fe	DR SI	TE N	/AR I Al	BILIT	Y ST	UDIES		•					E.1
APPEN	XIDN	FPR	OPOSE	ED RE	VISE	D RIO	D PUE	RCO	CLEAN	IUP C	RITER	A				۶.1

.

.

xii

FIGURES

1.	Rio Puerco Geographical Location	•	•	•		•	-	•		3
2.	Grand Canyon Sampling Locations		-					,		7
3.	Typical Spectra from Intrinsic Germ Sample	naniun ,	n Dia •	ode	Cour	nt of	Soil •)		9
4.	230Th Concentration Versus Distance	è				•				26
5.	Rio Puerco Environmental Variabilit	ty Sti	idy l	No.	۱.					39
6.	Rio Puerco Environmental Variabilit	ty Sti	idy I	No.	2.				•	40

TABLES

1.	Representative Analysis of UNC Tailings Pond Solution .	•	•	2
2.	$226_{\mbox{Ra}}$ Concentrations Measured in Sealed and Unsealed Samples			16
3.	Effect of Counting Time on Precision of Sample Analysis	•		18
4.	Variability of Short Counts on Individual Samples1000-Sec Counting Time			20
5.	Radionuclide Concentrations in Grand Canyon SamplespCi/g	•		21
6.	Rio Puerco Background Samples			22
7.	Rio Puerco Samples Having ²³⁰ Th Concentrations >30 pCi/g		-	28

INTRODUCTION

On July 16, 1979, a break in the tailings dam of the United Nuclear Corporation's (UNC) Church Rock, New Mexico, mill occurred. Approximately 94 million gallons of the tailings liquid which had been impounded behind this dam were released into an adjacent arroyo. An estimated 1100 tons of tailings solids also emptied from the dam into the adjoining toe dam and subsequently into the adjacent arroyo. A typical composition of the UNC tailings pond solution is listed in Table 1. The spilled solution traveled down the so-called "pipeline arroyo" and into the north branch of the Rio Puerco arroyo. Beyond this point it continued past the location where the north and south branches of the Rio Puerco join immediately northeast of Gallup, New Mexico, continued across the remainder of the state of New Mexico, and extended into the state of Arizona for ~20 to 25 miles. At that point the flow of the Rio Puerco terminates. Figure 1 shows the location of the Rio Puerco in New Mexico.

Subsequent to the release of this tailings pond solution several organizations began environmental sampling and monitoring programs. These organizations include the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (NME1D), and personnel from the United Nuclear Corporation mine and mill at Church Rock. Intermittent samplings of soil, water, and air were performed by these organizations between July 16, 1979, and the middle of September, 1979. At that time Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) responded to a request from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to provide immediate on-site sampling and radionuclide analysis capability. The principal objective of PNL's work on-site at the United Nuclear Corporation mill was to provide capabilities for immediate analyses of samples which had been collected from the Rio Puerco environment. Secondarily, assistance and advice on sampling methodology were requested. The conditions for sample preparation and sample analysis were optimized so that the total amount of time required from the time the sample was collected until the data regarding radionuclide concentrations for that sample were available could be less

	Concentration
Constituent	(mg/1 unless noted otherwise)
Arsenic	0.07
Barium	<0.1
Chloride	50.0
Chromium .	0.15
Cobalt	0.95
Fluoride	2.47
lron	157.5
Lead	0.20
Magnes ium	50.8
Manganese	14.0
Molybdenum	0.04
Nitrogen (Ammonia)	47.6
Nitrogen (Nitrate)	1.9
Nickel	0.32
рН	1.92
Sodium	519.
Sulfate	4802.
Total Uranium	4.09
Total ²²⁶ Ra	209.5 pCi/1
Total ²³⁰ Th	10225.2 pCi/l

TABLE 1. Representative Analysis of UNC Tailings Pond Solution*

k.

*Sample collected February 5, 1979. Data provided by R. W. Miller, United Nuclear Corporation.

than 48 hours. The concentrations of 210 Pb, 226 Ra, 230 Th, and 238 U were determined. Approximately 100 samples per day could be analyzed in the mobile laboratory facility that was placed on-site.



>

·,

FIGURE 1. Rio Puerco Geographical Location

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION

The United Nuclear Corporation established permanent sampling sites from the point of the tailings dam break downstream to the Arizona-New Mexico border. These sites were established at approximately 500-ft intervals and were marked by the positioning of a stake on both sides of the stream. The first 305 sampling cross-sections were surveyed so that their exact positions could be noted on aerial photographs. From this survey the exact downstream distance of each of these sampling locations was determined. (The remaining sampling locations [through Stake No. 491] were not surveyed and, therefore, their locations cannot be accurately and precisely depicted on aerial photographs.) The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division sampling protocol required that samples would be taken at every other stake location, or at approximately 1000-ft intervals, along the entire potentially contaminated watercourse. (The exact sampling protocol required by the NMEID is listed in Appendix A of this report.)

A series of sample types was collected at each of the designated sampling locations. These various samples were intended to represent different terraces within the arroyo boundaries (the first terrace is the small plateau immediately adjacent to and higher than the water flow; the second terrace is the next well-defined, higher plateau proceeding away from the water flow), samples from locations of suspected high radionuclide concentrations, samples from areas where pools of suspected contamination had been observed, sediment core samples to evaluate potential penetration of contamination into the arroyo bottom, and samples intended to represent background radionuclide levels along the length of the arroyo.

Surface samples of soil or sediment were collected using a standardized cylindrical scoop of 10.16 cm (4 in.) diameter and 5 cm (2 in.) height. At any given sampling location three or four of these standardized scoop samples were composited to provide a total of approximately 1000 g of sample material. At

selected locations soil cores were collected using a standard coring device constructed of PVC pipe. The soil cores were divided into 1-ft intervals to a total depth of 3 feet.

Some samples of streambed sediment were also obtained from the Grand Canyon National Park through the efforts of National Park Service employees. These samples were generally collected from backwater areas of the main stream of the Colorado River and from the Little Colorado River and represent samples from the sediment-water interface areas. The exact sampling locations are shown in Figure 2.

PREPARATION OF SAMPLES FOR INSTRUMENTAL ANALYSIS

The soil or sediment sample as received in the laboratory was split into two portions. One portion weighing approximately 750 to 800 g was double plastic bagged, weighed exactly, and sent to the mobile laboratory van for 226 Ra analyses in a 9-in. NaI crystal well counter. A second sample weighing approximately 150 to 200 g was weighed exactly, oven dried overnight at 110°C, and then weighed exactly again. This dried sample was then pulverized with a mortar and pestle to a uniform particle size. An aliquot of 60 g of this ground soil sample was mixed with 9 g of binder material (either cellulose powder or powdered sugar was used). This sample was then placed into a hardened steel die which, in conjunction with a 25-ton press, was used to press the sample plus binder into a 2-in.-diameter pellet. The pressed pellet was then double plastic wrapped and sent to the mobile laboratory van for instrumental analysis.

Those samples processed prior to October 4, 1979, were split in the above described fashion. At that time it was determined that the analysis of the large volume sample by the 9-in. well counter for 226 Ra content was not required. Counting sensitivities were such that all radionuclide concentration data could be obtained from the pressed pellet sample. Therefore, splitting of the sample to provide a 750- to 800-g aliquot was no longer performed.



INSTRUMENTAL ANALYSES OF RADIONUCLIDE CONCENTRATIONS

Detector Types

Two detector types were employed for the on-site instrumental analyses of the radionuclides of interest in the Rio Puerco environmental samples. These included a 9-in. NaI crystal well counter and two planar intrinsic germanium detectors of $19-cm^2$ surface area. The two intrinsic germanium detectors were housed in 4-in. lead caves in the mobile laboratory van; the NaI detector was housed in a 2-in. lead cave. All detectors were connected to equipment for data storage and printout.

Initially the 9-in. NaI crystal well counter was used to measure 214 Bi concentrations. This is a daughter product which is in secular equilibrium with the 226 Ra isotope of interest in samples which have been sealed for 30 days or more. A large sample volume of approximately 750 g was counted in this detector. This sample size provided a very high sensitivity for 226 Ra, with a limit of detection of <1 pCi/g.

Two other solid state detectors were also employed for the instrumental radionuclide analysis. These were nearly identical 19-cm² planar intrinsic germanium crystal detectors. These detectors have a very high efficiency for detecting x-rays and low energy gamma rays and were used to quantify the concentrations of 210 Pb, 230 Th, and 238 U (234 Th) or 235 U initially. At a later stage in the program (subsequent to October 4, 1979) the 226 Ra concentrations were also obtained from the sample counts on these intrinsic germanium detectors. A sample of the spectra obtained from a 1000-sec count of a sediment sample from the Rio Puerco is presented in Figure 3. The peaks used for quantitation of the four radionuclides are shown.

Calibration of 9-in. NaI Well Crystal for ²²⁶Ra Quantitation

The calibration of the 9-in. NaI well crystal for the quantitation of 226 Ra in large volume soil samples (~750-g samples) was performed using a 226 Ra standard which had been added to samples of dunite (a low background mineral). The spiked dunite samples were sealed into aluminum cans (to prohibit loss of 222 Rn gas) which were approximately the diameter of the well in the NaI crystal. Three cans were then stacked (with intermediate spacers) to produce a



FIGURE 3. Typical Spectra from Intrinsic Germanium Diode Count of Soil Sample

standard conforming to the sample geometry. Duplicate standards were prepared for this calibration. The gamma ray spectrum from a 226 Ra daughter, 214 Bi, was used for quantitation purposes.

Calibration of Intrinsic Germanium Detectors

A standard pitchblende sample of known radionuclide concentrations which had been obtained from Claude Sill at the Department of Energy Idaho Falls facility was used for calibration of the planar intrinsic detector systems. A sample of solid tailings material from the UNC tailings pile was also used in the calibration and oaily instrumentation checkout processes. Since the quantity of standard pitchblende available was not sufficient to prepare a pellet containing 60 g of sample, a pellet containing approximately 10 g of pitchblende material plus binding material was prepared. An identical weight of tailings solids and binder was then prepared in a second pellet. Duplicate pellets containing 60 g of tailings and 9 g of binder were also prepared. The counting efficiency factors for each of these pellets was determined for the four radionuclides of interest. From the ratio of the factors for the 10-g and 60-g samples of tailings material the efficiency factors for a 60-g pitchblende sample were calculated to provide the necessary calibration data.

Selection of Counting Time Interval

A requirement to analyze as many samples per day as was possible dictated that the shortest counting time which would meet our data reporting requirements be selected. The criteri, for data reporting was that the limit of detection for 230 Th be 30 pCi/g. In other words, for a sample with a very low 230 Th content

30 pCi/g > 2 x $\sqrt{230}$ Th Concentration + System Background .

An estimation of the counting time necessary to achieve this sensitivity was obtained from efficiency factors determined for the 69-g pellet geometry placed directly upon the intrinsic germanium diode surface and from counts of representative background samples. The counting time chosen for routine measurement of the 69-g pellets was 16.67 minutes (1000 sec).

Reporting of Uranium Analysis Data

Uranium data which were obtained from direct counting of the samples on the intrinsic germanium detectors were reported in two different manners. Initially (from September 23, 1979, to October 16, 1979) the unanium concentrations were reported as 235 U and were based upon quantitation of the 163.4-keV peak. Since only approximately 5% of the decays go through this energy and 235 U has only a 0.72 isotopic abundance, the limit of detection when using this peak is rather high, and the counting error associated with the concentration estimate is large. Sufficient data were available by mid-October to allow the measurement of 234 Th for 238 U quantitation. Therefore, the method of quantifying the uranium concentration was changed to one using two gamma rays (at 63 and 93 keV) from 234 Th and reporting the concentrations as 238 U. This method assumes secular equilibrium between the parent 238 U and the daughter 234 Th. Also implicit in this approach is the assumption that all 234 Th in the sample came from 238 U in the sample. This situation might not be met if a solution rich in thorium had recently been separated from the uranium, released into the environment as during the tailings pond dam failure, and analyzed relatively soon after the initial Th/U separation. Since the half-life of 234 Th is approximately 24 days, more than three half-lives had passed between the time of the tailings solution release and the time that we began to use the 234 Th measurement for the 238 U quantitation. Also, the 94 x 10⁶ gallons of tailings solution that were released by the dam failure represents a unanium mill waste composite of at least 104 days of operation. Hence, there was considerable time for any excess 234 Th present in the solution to decay prior to the time that the Rio Puerco soil/sediment samples were analyzed. Additionally, any excess ²³⁴Th in the solution that contaminated the sediment/soil samples would serve to overestimate the actual ²³⁸U concentration of the sample. That is, any errors would be in a conservative direction and would serve as an additional safety precaution.

STATISTICAL METHODS

Data Hanoling

The descriptive statistical effort for the data consisted of three steps and made extensive use of PNL's computer facilities. The first step involved data editing for the purpose of correcting errors and arranging the data in an order convenient for plotting. The second step consisted of computing summary statistics such as group means and confidence intervals and of filtering the data. The third step was to plot the data for graphical presentation.

Most of the data were received from the state of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division on 80-column punched cards. The first set of cards was followed by about 100 additions and numerous corrections given to us as listings of the new oata. To all of these data we added the distances from stake zero which were determined from maps. The data were first stored as a file on the high speed disk at the central computing facility. Backup copies were stored on mini-floppy disks kept in offices. The data editing step was implemented using a specially written BASIC language program. This program permitted an interactive examination and editing of the data for any sample. The program was used to correct later errors and to add new sample data to the computer file.

After the data were edited, several small BASIC and FORTRAN language programs were written to calculate confidence intervals and local averages and to filter the data. These programs utilized the stake and sample type coding developed by the NMEID to simplify the selection of samples for each computation. The output of these programs was also stored on computer disk.

The final step was to present the data, displayed in a manner convenient for human use. One special purpose and one general purpose computer program were used for this step. The special purpose program simply reformatted the data, mostly by inserting spaces between the columns of data and by replacing the missing value codes with blanks, then printed a listing of the data. The general purpose program was used to produce plots of the data. The program used was BMDP6D, the scatter plotting program from the UCLA Biomedical Computer Programs P-series. For this work the program was used to plot

radionuclide concentrations against distance along the arroyo. Plotting on a computer line printer was used since this is much faster and much less expensive than using an x-y plotter. The program finds the subset of data to use for each plot, computes summaries and descriptions of each plot, and automatically annotates the axis of the plots. BMDP6D was used to produce all the plots of data used during the preliminary data analysis steps. The final data presentations were produced using an x-y plotter.

Data Smoothing Functions

Two types of data smoothing functions were used. The first of these was a weighted average type of smoothing. This weighting was performed between samples collected at individual sampling locations. (Unweighted averages were used when combining data from different sampling locations.) This type of smoothing considers the more precise sample measurements (those with smaller counting errors) to be more important than the less precise sample values (those with higher counting errors). Data were first segregated by sample type or sampling site so that all like samples were analyzed independently of all other types. Replicates at each sampling site were then averaged. The standard statistical weighting factors are the inverse of the variances (counting errors squared). Let X_1, \ldots, X_n represent the replicates for one type of sample at one sampling point and S_1, \ldots, S_n represent the corresponding counting errors. Then the weighted mean is:

$$M = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i / s_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 / s_i^2}$$

and the corresponding standard error of the mean is:

S.E. =
$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2}}$$

Under the assumption that at each sampling point the replicates are sampled from the same statistical population, it is inappropriate to include a betweensamples term in this standard error.

A second type of smoothing was performed, again for each type of sample, over a few successive sampling points. One of the simpliest low pass filters of time series analyses was used called a "First Order Low Pass Filter" (Ontes and Enochson 1978, Chatfield 1975). It is computed by:

 $Y_{j} = (a)Z_{j} + (1-a)Y_{j-1}$

where Z_j is the series of data values, Y_j is the series of filtered data values, and "a" is the filter factor. A filter factor of 0.25 was used to filter both the weighted means and standard errors of the means. This value for the filtering factor was determined by repeatedly evaluating the data for the first 10,000 m of the arroyo with various filter factors then arbitrarily choosing what subjectively was the "best" filter factor value. The "a" value was chosen to just average out noise but not remove any significant patterns from the data. This filtering scheme uses data from a few previous data points with an exponential weighting scheme. Data points more than 5 to 8 prior to the current point are essentially swamped out or weighted as almost zero so that they no longer influence the computations. This smoothing also gave estimates of the standard error of the smoothed averages, and these were used for the approximate confidence intervals plotted.

EVALUATION OF INSTRUMENTAL COUNTING CAPABILITIES

MEASUREMENT OF 225Ra

Measurements of 226 Ra were made on-site using either of two systems, a 9-in. NaI well crystal and 19-cm² planar intrinsic germanium detectors. The 186 keV $_{\rm Y}$ ray from 226 Ra decay was used for quantitation by the intrinsic detectors. For the NaI measurements 226 Ra itself was not measured but rather the $_{\rm Y}$ rays from a daughter product, 214 Bi, were quantified. In order to calculate the 226 Ra concentrations from measurements of this type it must be assumed 1) that the 214 Bi is in secular equilibrium with 226 Ra and 2) that one of the 226 Ra daughters, 222 Rn, a gas, is not lost from the sample during the decay to 214 Bi.

To evaluate the validity of the assumption that no radon gas is lost from the sample after it has been pressed into a pellet, several samples were sealed into individual aluminum cans, which are known to retain radon gas. The samples in these cans were then counted on a multi-dimensional NaI detector system [see Wogman et al. (1967) for a complete description of this counting system] at several different time intervals to determine if the apparent 226 Ra concentration varied as a function of time. In addition, other subsamples from these individual samples were also counted at the Church Rock site in the 9-in. NaI well counter. The data from this series of counts are presented in Table 2. The apparent 226 Ra concentration increased in each of the seven samples that were examined. This increase ranged from 5% to 37% of the origina) 226 Ra concentration measured. These data indicate that during the oven drying, sample pulverizing, and pellet pressing operations that prepare a pelletized sample for instrumental counting there is some loss of ²²²Rn gas from the sample into the laboratory environment. Based on data from these seven samples it would appear that while the loss is definitely measureable it is not of such magnitude as to affect the overall interpretation of the data gathered from direct counts on nonsealed pellets.

Table 2 also shows a comparison of the radium concentrations determined for the sealed samples versus those determined for unsealed samples in the 9-in. well counter. There is, in general, good agreement between the data

			Unsealed Samples								
Se Muiti dimons	aled Sampl	es*	- <u> </u>	Mall Country	ln Common	trinsic					
	Tunal Coun	226	9-111.	werr counter	226						
		220Ra		Ra		220Ra					
Sample	Date Counted	Concentration pCi/g	Date Counted	Concentration pCi/g	Date Counted	Concentration pCi/g					
203–L	10/03/79 10/04/79 10/05/79	0.87 ± 0.012 0.89 ± 0.011 0.91 ± 0.012	09/28/79	0.75 ± 0.12							
210 - L	10/03/79 10/04/75 10/05/79 08/11/80	8.24 ± 0.039 8.65 ± 0.038 8.94 ± 0.036 10.5 ± 0.21	09/28/79	6.8 ± 0.70	09/10/80	6 ± 4					
217 -L	10/03/79 10/04/79 10/05/79 08/24/80	3.13 ± 0.027 3.27 ± 0.026 3.40 ± 0.025 3.88 ± 0.078	09/28/79	2.2 ± 0.23	09/10/80	5.6 ± 2.3					
224-L	10/02/79 10/24/79 08/25/80	1.14 ± 0.013 1.34 ± 0.058 1.43 ± 0.029	09/28/79	0.76 ± 0.11	09/10/80	2.3 ± 2.2					
EID 8-B	10/02/79 10/24/79 08/22/80	2.08 ± 0.024 2.69 ± 0.029 2.84 ± 0.057	09/27/79	2.5 ± 0.34	09/10/80	3.7 ± 2.5					
JD2 Mud Cracks	10/02/79 10/24/79 08/21/80	4.07 ± 0.033 4.74 ± 0.098 4.95 ± 0.099	09/28/79	5.5 ± 0.63							
JD 3-8	10/03/79 10/04/79 10/05/79	1.87 ± 0.027 1.89 ± 0.026 1.98 ± 0.026	09/28/79	1.6 ± 0.19							

. . .

•

TABLE 2. ²²⁶Ra Concentrations Measured in Sealed and Unsealed Samples

*Samples were sealed in cans on October 1, 1979.

obtained from the two types of counting systems and two types of sample containment systems. Additional data presented in Table 2 show the comparisons between the 226 Ra concentrations determined by intrinsic germanium detector systems and by the multi-dimensional counting systems, the most accurate method of determination. These data indicate that the intrinsic germanium detectors do give reliable estimates of 226 Ra concentrations in pressed soil pellets. For three of the four cross-checks of the determinations by the intrinsic detectors, the original intrinsic counts yielded conservative, slight overestimates of 226 Ra concentrations. These relatively minor deviations are offset by the sensitivity and convenience of using the intrinsic detectors as tools for rapid radiologic assessments of environmental samples.

EFFECT OF COUNTING TIME

The 1000-sec counting time that was used routinely for on-site sample analysis was selected based upon the criteria which would provide a limit of detection for 230 Th concentrations of approximately 30 pCi/g. To compare the counting statistics precision for these short counts with what might be obtained for the same counting systems using a longer counting time, several samples were counted for both 1000-sec and longer counting intervals. The data from these counts are given in Table 3. These data are for two sets of samples; one of these sets of eight samples was counted for intervals of 1000 and 2000 sec. Some improvement in counting statistics was obtained by this doubling of the counting time. For some of the 230 Th concentrations which were measured, significantly different concentrations were calculated for the 1000- and 2000-sec counts; however, in all cases the two sigma confidence intervals did overlap. Also presented in this table are some data comparing 1000-sec counts with ~500-min counts on six individual samples. Similar data are obtained. The counting statistics on the longer counts are considerably better but the concentrations of the radionuclides generally are not significantly different at their two sigma values. (However, one set of 230 Th and four sets of ²³⁸U data were significantly different.) The primary net effect of counting samples for a longer period of time is to provide a narrower confidence interval for the data which are reported. Since one of the overriding

TABLE 3. Effect of Counting Time on Precision of Sample Analysis

.

			<pre>Isotope ConcentrationpCi/g</pre>											
SAMPLE	Counting	T ime	230 ₇	ħ	$\pm \sigma^1$	226	ła	± 0	210	'nb	±σ	238	J :	± 0
0-4-D-5-1	1,000	Sec	16	±	9.8		N	p ²	4.3	±	1.2	-1.1	±	0.99
	28,100	Sec	20	ŧ	2.5	0.50	±	0.40	2.8	Ŧ	0.30	4.7	±	0.30
0-3-D-5	1,000	Sec	3.7	±	8.7		N	D	2.8	±	1.1	1.4	±	0.92
	27,600	Sec	12	ŧ	0.30	0.60	±	0.30	2.3	±	0.20	3.2	±	0.20
0-2	1,000	Sec	17	ŧ	8.6		N1	D	1.1	ŧ	0.93	0.0	±	0.88
	27,100	Sec	9.0	±	1.6	0.30	±	0.30	1.5	ŧ	0.20	3.9	±	0.20
0-4	1,000	Sec	-10	±	9.1		N	D	1.0	±	0.95	0.90	±	1.0
	27,100	Sec	18	±	1.7	0.70	±	0.30	2.5	±	0.20	3.2	ŧ	0,20
0-3-0-3	1,000	Sec	19	±	11		Ni	D	1.3	±	1.7	-0.20	±	1.1
	30,700	Sec	10	ŧ	1.0	0.30	±	0.20	1.1	±	0.10	2.6	±	0.10
0-4-0-5-4	1,000	Sec	23	ŧ	13		N	D	4.4	±	1.7	-1.6	±	0.91
	30,700	Sec	13	±	1.4	0.30	±	0.20	1.5	ŧ	0.20	3,1	±	0.10
EID-1001	1,000	Sec	250	±	56	150	±	16	190	±	7.2	330	±	7.8
	2,000	Şec	200	±	52	130	±	11	200	±	6.8	340	ŧ	6.8
EID-1002	1,000	Şec	90	±	66	120	±	14	140	±	8.6	280	±	8.6
	2,000	Sec	210	±	36	100	±	9.9	140	±	4.5	240	±	4.5
EIO-1003	1,000	Sec	130	ŧ	43	79	±	12	88	±	5.2	170	±	5.8
	2,000	Sec	110	±	29	79	±	8.1	93	±	3.7	160	±	3.7
EID-1004	1,000	Sec	-30	Ŧ	39	37	±	8.3	57	±	5.2	95	ŧ	5.1
	2,000	Sec	61	±	27	41	±	5.8	54	±	3.8	94	±	3.6
EID-1005	1,000	Sec	0.0	±	11	-0.50	±	1.6	1.9	±	0.90	3.0	±	1.1
	2,000	Sec	-4.3	±	5.9	0.45	±	1.2	1.7	*	0.76	3.2	±	0.69
EI0-1006	1,000	Sec	12	±	11	1.0	±	1.9	2.9	ŧ	1.5	4.5	±	1.3
	2,000	Sec	-9.3	±	6.2	0.18	±	1.1	1.5	±	0.63	1.5	±	0.65
E10-1007	1,000	Sec	2.5	±	11	4.1	±	2.0	1.7	Ŧ	1.1	2.4	ŧ	1.0
	2,000	Sec	-8.0	±	7.8	0.35	±	0.40	1.6	±	1.0	2.9	±	0.90
E [D-1008	1,000	Sec	-5.3	±	12	4.6	±	1.8	5,9	±	1.5	1.3	±	1.4
	2,000	Sec	-7.1	ŧ	7.7	1.2	±	1.3	4,2	±	0.95	3.0	±	0.91

.

 $1{-}\sigma{-}{-}counting$ error. 2-NO--not determined.

criteria in our on-site analysis program was to process and analyze as great a number of samples as was possible while still meeting the 30 pCi/g 230 Th detection limit, we chose to use the minimum counting time possible. This was the 1000-sec counting time.

REPLICATE COUNTING OF SAMPLES

The data which were obtained from the short versus long counting time studies indicated that concentrations reported could be highly variable for some isotopes in some samples. To obtain a better indication of the variability that could be expected, each of two samples was sequentially counted seven times. The data from this study are presented in Table 4. The greatest variability was shown for the calculated concentrations of 230 Th. These data indicate (Sample APR-106-P-11) that it may be possible to underestimate the 230 Th concentrations such that they appear not to exceed the cleanup criteria when in fact they do. For this reason samples that may be used to identify regions requiring a significant amount of cleanup activity should be counted for a longer period of time in order to obtain better counting statistics.

		Isotope Concer	itrationpCi/g	
SAMPLE	²³⁰ Th ± σ	$\frac{226}{Ra \pm \sigma^1}$	$210_{Pb} \pm \sigma$	²³⁸ υ ± σ
APR-106-P-11	37 ± 13	-0.70 ± 2.5	6.5 ± 1.4	11 ± 1.4
	46 ± 13	-0.50 ± 2.5	7.3 ± 1.4	7.2 ± 1.4
	81 ± 14	-2.2 ± 2.4	9.0 ± 1.5	10 ± 1.5
	31 ± 13	1.2 ± 2.5	5.4 ± 1.6	5.3 ± 1.4
	46 ± 13	-0.40 ± 2.4	7.7 ± 1.4	8.1 ± 1.4
	27 ± 13	2.8 ± 2.7	5.8 ± 1.4	6.5 ± 1.4
⊼ ± [°] x ²	$\frac{41 \pm 13}{44 \pm 18}$	1.8 ± 2.3 0.29 ± 1.7	$\frac{9.0 \pm 1.4}{7.2 \pm 1.4}$	$\frac{6.3 \pm 1.3}{7.7 \pm 2.0}$
APR-106-T-11	21 ± 12	1.2 ± 1.8	-0.60 ± 1.4	4.2 ± 1.2
	5.3 ± 13	1.3 ± 2.0	2.7 ± 1.5	4.5 * 1.4
	11 ± 11	3.1 ± 1.9	1.2 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 1.2
	5.3 ± 12	2.4 ± 1.6	3.3 ± 2.3	2.4 * 1.2
	-0.70 ± 12	0.80 ± 1.9	1.5 ± 1. 4	3.4 ± 1.4
	° 11 ± 10	1.3 ± 1.6	1.5 ± 1.3	3.2 ± 1.3
$\bar{x} \pm \hat{\sigma}_{x}$	$\frac{11 \pm 10}{9.1 \pm 6.8}$	$\frac{2.6 \pm 1.9}{1.8 \pm .87}$	$\frac{1.9 \pm 1.2}{1.6 \pm 1.2}$	$\frac{3.4 \pm 1.1}{3.6 \pm 0.70}$

TABLE 4.

.

•

1- $\sigma--Counting$ error. $2-\widehat{\sigma}_X--between-samples error.$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ANALYSIS OF GRAND CANYON SAMPLES

Eight samples were received from personnel of the Grand Canyon National Park who were concerned regarding the possible contamination of the Little Colorado and the Colorado rivers by the Church Rock Mill tailings pond solution since the Rio Puerco is a part of the Colorado River drainage system. Six of the samples contained sufficient sedimentary material for instrumental analysis; the remaining two samples (GC-2 and GC-4) were predominately water and were not analyzed. Counting times were approximately 1000 min/sample. The data for the radionuclide concentrations in these samples are listed below in Table 5. These concentrations reflect typical natural background levels even though these particular samples were collected in areas expected to indicate the presence of any potential contamination from the Church Rock dam failure. For comparison purposes, data for all of the background samples collected along the Rio Puerco are listed in Table 6.

Samp	ole Numb	er ¹		230 _{Th ±}	σ2	226 _{Ra ± σ}	210 _{Pb} #	= o	238 _U	J± o
Grand	Canyon	No.	1	0.14 ±	1.1	0.42 ± 0.29	0.47 * ().11	0.42	± 0.11
Grand	Canyon	No.	3	-0.14 ±	1.2	-0.47 ± 0.33	8 0.43 ± (0.13	0.57	± 0.13
Grand	Canyon	No.	5	-2.2 ±	1.2	0.46 * 0.21	0.76 ± 0).13	1.1	± 0.14
Grand	C an yon	No.	6	-0.73 ±	1.3	0.91 ± 0.33	0.98 ± (),14	0.90	± 0.14
Grand	Canyon	No.	7	$-0.0001 \pm$	1.3	1.1 ± 0.39	5 0.97 ± (),14	0.91	± 0.15
Grand	Canyon	No.	8	-1.5 ±	1.1	0.33 ± 0.30	0.89 ± ().12	0.61	± 0.12

<u>TABLE 5</u> , Radionuclide Concentrations	in	Grand	Çanyon	SamplespCi/g
--	----	-------	--------	--------------

1-Samples No. 2 and No. 4 were predominately water and were, therefore, not analyzed. $2-\sigma$ -counting error.

TABLE 6. Rio Puerco Background Sample	TABLE 6	. Rio	Puerco	Background	Samples
---------------------------------------	---------	-------	--------	------------	---------

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE	THOR	104	RAD	IUN	LE	AD	URAN	TUM
ND.	NETERS	TYPE REP	. LEVEL ¹	E#ROR ²	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
0	0	a (-8.1	2.7	1.8	. 2	. 6	.9	B	.9+
٥	0	62	10.0	Ŧ.5	1.2	.2	3.0	1.1	.0	.9*
1	178	6 I	-1.7	11.0	1.4	-2	4.6	1.6	5	1.2*
1	178	≜ 3	11.0	14.0	1.7	.2	2.3	1.4	-1.3	1.1+
11	1754	4 1	25.0	12.0	1.1	.1	2.2	1.6	.9	1.1*
11	1754	62	-3.6	7.7	1.2	- 2	2.6	.9	.5	.9*
21	3255	6 1	2.5	6.8	4.3	.6	.7	, В	1	.4+
21	3255	62	-12.3	9.2	7	2.2	5	1.2	. 4	144
31	4704	6 1	3.7	9.0	1.3	- 2	_0	1,0	4	1.1+
31	4704	62	3.7	7.3	. 8	.1	1.7	.9	1.4	.9+
- 11	6223	÷ 1	17.0	9.7	1.4	- 2	1.9	1.0	.0	.9+
- 41 °	6223	6 2	18.0	12.5	. 7	.1	1.7	1.5	7	1.00
51	7827	6 1	8.9	13.0			1.5	1.6	-2.6	1.3*
41	9431	ð 1	-8.7	13.0			3.1	1.8	1.4	1.1.
21	11071	6 1	-6.1	8.1	7.6	1,1	1,4	.9	- , 1	.4*
81	12728	6 1	-12.7	8.5			1.1	.9	. 9	.9*
91	14238	6 I	-16.9	9.8	1_9	- 2	. 6	1.1	4	1.2*
101	15712	8 1	-1.7	11.0	-4.2	2.2		1.1	.5	.5*
t 21	18887	6 I	-7.8	9.1	2.0	1.7	1_0	. 9	.2	. 44
131	20427	6 I	-1.7	9.2	1.1	1.5	2.5	1,1	1.8	1.0
131	20427	6 2	.0	12.0	1.4	.2	4.2	1.7	-3.8	1.1.
141	21942	å 1	11.0	9.2			1.1	1.1	1,1	1.0*
141	21942	4 2	-10.9	13.0	5.5	t.B	3.0	1.4	3.7	1.5
141	21942	6 3	16.0	10.0	1.1	1.3	1.9	1.3	2-1	1.2
151	23494	6 1	. 8.7	9.8	1.0	1.4	.2	1.3	1.6	1.1
151	23484	6 2	-1.1	7.3	2.4	1.3	.8	. 9	3.6	.9
141	25162	6 f	-8.7	9.5			3	1.3	2.2	1.1*
161	25142	6 2	-8.6	7.5			2.6	.8	3	.8•
121	26712	é †	25.0	13.0	7	1.7	2.9	T.4	3.8	1.3
171	26712	6 2	1.8	9.9	-2.1	1.8	1.7	1.3	4.8	1.3
125	27315	é 1	-6.1	6.7	1.2	.2	1.0	.9	3	1.1+
175	27315	6 3	3.7	8.7	1.5	.2	2.8	1,1	.5	1.1.
181	28242	á (-B.4	7.7	4.7	1.7	2.6	1.0	2.1	1.0
191	28242	6 2	-4.9	12.0			2.3	1.4	.2	1.1*
181	28242	6 3	-0.1	7.5	2.4	1.5	2.7	1.1	3.0	1.0
191	29833	6 1	-27.9	13.0	1.2	1,9	. 6	1.3	-1.5	1.1+
191	29833	6 2	.0	9.6	1.7	.2	1.5	1.0	3	1.0+
201	31239	6 1	-17.9	11.0			8.3	1.6	.2	1.1*
291	31239	6 2	34.0	14.0	1.4	.2	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.0+
211	32415	6 1	5.3	12.0			2.3	1.4	1.0	1.0+
221	34327	6 1	-3.4	10.0	1.2	.2	1.4	1.1	.5	. 74

.

.

.

1-Concentration in pCi/g. 2-Counting error. *Background data on analysis date or before October 16.

TABLE 6. (contd)

.

.

STAKE	BISTARCE	SAMPLE	PLE THOREUN		RADIUN		LEAD		URAR1UN	
ND.	NETERS	TYPE REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	EFROR	LEVEL	ERROR
231	35784	6 1	-15.9	10,0			1	1.1	-1.Z	1.1+
241	37477	4 1	12.0	8.0	4.1	-1	2.3	1.0	.0	.9*
251	39005	8 Z	3.7	9.5	2.8	.3	1.4	.9	-1.5	1.0*
231	39005	63	-8.6	8.1	1.0	-1	1_B	1.0	4	.9+
261	40551	6 1	-8.6	9.5	1.1	.1	.0	.0	.+	1,0#
261	40551	6 2	-7.3	8.2			.8	1.1	-1.3	.9*
271	42184	4 1	11.0	8.7			. 6	1.1	.0	1.04
271	42184	ó 2	3.5	13.0	1.5	- 2	2,1	1.7	-1,1	1.00
281	43723	61	14.0	12.0	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.4	3.4	1,4
281	43723	ð 2	7.1	10.0	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.7	1.2
291	45376	6 I	.0	9.3	2.5	1,7	1.4	1.9	3.0	1.0
311		6 1	-13.9	8.0			2.5	1.1	1.1	.9+
321		6 1	-1.9	11.9	8	1.9	2.5	1.5	5.1	1.4
331		á 1	14.0	7.7			1.5	1.0	3	1.00
341		ð 1	3.5	10.9	.0	1.8	2.3	1.5	4.2	1.3
341		6 2	7.9	10.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	. 9	4.5	1.2
351		6 1	7.1	10.4	2.7	1.9	1.7	1.5	5.7	1.4
351		6 Z	7.4	9.0		1.7	1.0	1.1	2.7	1.1
361		4 2	-1.1	7.1	4	1.8	1.2	1.0	.2	.44
371		6 1	8.7	9.0	1.3	2.0	.7	1.0	4.2	1.0
371		6 2	1.2	7.9	0	1.9	· ó	1.0	3.2	1.0
381		6 1	8.7	10.1	1.0	2.0	1.8	1.1	3.2	1.1
381		6 2	-4.9	10.2	5.3	1.2	.7	1.0	1.7	1.1
391		6 1	-14.2	12.3	. 2	2.1	. 2	1.5	6.1	1.4
391		6 2	9.9	9.9	1.1	2.0	3.7	1.2	3.1	1.2
401		6 1	-12.2	8.0	.0	2.1	3.4	1.0	.3	.40
401		6 2	-15.9	13.0			3.1	1.7	1.4	1.0
411		6 1	-1-1	9.2			1.9	1.0	3	.9+
421		6 1	8.7	5.4	. 4	1.3	.9	.8	1.2	. 8
421		6 2	-4.9	7.6	4	1.6	2.8	1.0	2.0	1.0
431		ő 1	23.0	11.3	3.1	2.0	. 2	1.5	-1	.4*
441		6 1	15.9	13.1	7.7	1.6	1.3	1.1	1	.5+
441		8 2	-8.5	8.6	-2.2	1.9	. 4	1.0	.2	. 49
451		á 1	11.0	13.0			.4	1.6	1.0	.9+
451		6 2	-8.5	8.1			1.9	1.0	-2.6	1.0*
481		6 1	1.2	9.5	7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.4	1.1
481		6 2	- 3. 2	10.5	5	1.6	.8	1.4	4.2	1.4
491		6 1	.0	8.0	1.4	2.0	2.8	1.0	4,1	1.1
471		4 2	-2.4	8.8		1.8	1.4	.+	2.5	1.0
	M= 80	x + 0 ₃	0,41	± 11.2	1.3	1 2.0	1.7	± 1.2	1.1	1 2.0

θ between-samples error.

_- ~

.

PRESENTATION OF RIO PUERCO SAMPLE DATA

All of the data that were obtained from the planar intrinsic germanium detectors were identified with only a sample number. These data were transmitted to Dr. Thomas Buhl of the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. The NMEID personnel associated the radionuclide concentration data with some descriptive information for each sampling site. This descriptive information included the stake number where the sample was collected and the sample type. The following identifications were given to the different sample types:

Туре	Identification						
1	First terrace						
2	Second terrace						
3	Concentrated area						
4	Pool center						
5	Pool discharge point						
6	Background						
7	Core near stream						
8	Core near cut bank						
9	Associated salts						

Also included in the information submitted by the NMEID was an indication of the number of replicates that were taken for each of the sample types at a given stake. All of this information was transferred to PNL on computer cards. A total of 1191 individual computer cards (data for individual samples) was received. The data from this deck of computer cards for the concentrations of the four radionuclides of interest and the associated counting errors are given in Appendix B. These data are listed for 230 Th (listed as "THORIUM"), 226 Ra ("RADIUM"), 210 Pb ("LEAD"), and either 235 U or 238 U ("URANIUM"). Those uranium concentrations which have an asterisk (*) printed beside the "ERROR" value are reported as 235 U.

These data were statistically analyzed on the PNL biometrics computer, a PDP-11/70. Concentrations of individual radionuclides for individual sample types were plotted as a function of distance downstream from the point of tail-ings dam breaching or as a function of stake number downstream. All the

replicates of each type of sample collected at each stake were averaged using a weighted average where the weights were proportional to the inverse of the counting error squared. This results in data with small counting errors being more important than data with large counting errors. The extreme heterogeneity and variability of the data were evident from these plots. Therefore, the smoothing function previously described in the statistical methods section was applied to provide graphical presentations that were more easily visualized and understood. The smoothed averages for 210 Pb, 226 Ra, and 238 U were plotted as a funcion of distance downstream from the tailings pond but displayed no significant variability from typical plots of "background" concentration versus distance for these three radionuclides. Therefore, no plots of concentration versus distance for these three radionuclides have been included in this report. However, the plots of 230 Th concentration versus distance do display a downstream variability greater than typical background levels. The plots of the ²³⁰Th smoothed averages, which also contain the background sample concentrations, are given in Appendix C. Figure 4 is an example of these plots showing ²³⁰Th concentration versus distance.

į

All distance measurements start at stake number zero which is located at the point where the spill entered the arroyo. Large U.S.G.S. sector maps were provided by United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) with stake locations added to the maps for the first 305 stakes, which corresponds to a distance that is just beyond the downstream side of the city of Gallup. (These maps are presented in Appendix D.) It was possible to measure the actual distances between the stakes from these maps, and these distances were used where available. For samples collected beyond the range of these maps, the stake numbers were used. It was noticed that the distance between the stakes when measured along the watercourse of the arroyo varies substantially; this is due to the fact that stake distance was measured at the top of the arroyo cut. Because the arroyo often twists and turns several times between two stakes, these two measures of distance do not agree very well.

As shown in Figure 4 and in the remaining plots in Appendix C, the smoothed averages for thorium strongly suggest a periodic trend with a wave-length of approximately 2.5 km. This possible periodicity suggests that


FIGURE 4. 230Th Concentration Versus Distance, First Terrace Samples

extensive data interpretation not be performed until further statistical testing is performed. Such testing was beyond the scope of this research investigation. Therefore, our knowledge that a periodic structure is likely mandates some adjustments in any further data analysis. Time series theory tells us that in order to average out a periodic trend we must average over a minimum of two periods. The possible trend in the thorium data seems to have a wavelength of around 2.5 km. To use any distance less than 5 km for determining average 230 Th concentrations in a statistically rigorous analysis will require a formal time series analysis of the data.

The plots of the 230 Th concentration versus distance may be used as a simple approach for determining those areas showing levels greater than the cleanup criteria of 30 pCi/g. Table 7 lists the 415 individual samples which equal or exceed the 30 pCi/g 230 Th cleanup criteria. Approximately 250 of these samples were from the first and second terrace areas. The remaining 165 samples were obtained specifically from areas expected to be "hot spots" along the Rio Puerco.

EVALUATION OF GRID SAMPLING DATA

The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division personnel selected two sampling sites within the Rio Puerco arroyo which were subdivided into grids of 25-ft intervals and sampled on these intervals. At both sites additional samples were obtained at the corners and in the center of one or more 5-ft squares. The purpose of these samplings and the subsequent analysis of the data obtained from these samples was to evaluate the localized spatial variability at two typical sediment sampling locations. (These two sampling locations were both flat sandbars.) The sampling patterns for each of these sites are given in Figures 5 and 6. The radionuclide concentration data for these sites are given in Appendix E.

A rigorous spatial analysis of these studies was not performed; however, the data were reviewed. The examination of these data relative to the sampling locations does not suggest any spatial pattern. At a few selected sampling locations within each of these grids replicate samples were obtained (the samples collected from the 5-ft squares). The variability of the data from

<u>TABLE 7</u>. Rio Puerco Samples Having 230Th Concentrations >30 pCi/g

STAKE DISTANCE		SAMPLE		THERIUM		RABIUM		LEAD		URAW1UM	
NO.	HETERS	TTPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR 2	LEVEL	ERNOR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
0	0	7	2	130.0	22.0	2.3	.3	19.0	2.7	1.4	1.2*
0	0	8	3	157.0	22.0	6.8	.7	59.0	3.4	4.0	1,4+
1	128	7	1	57.0	13.0	2.2	. 2	B.5	1.5	1	1,7+
1	178	7	2	35.0	15.0	1.2	.2	6.3	1.7	5	1.1.
1	178	7	3	51.0	15.0	\$.B	.2	9_0	1.9	-1.3	1,24
3	521	4	1	100.0	17.0	1.4	. 2	19.0	2.1	. 4	1.0*
3	521	4	2	200.0	19.0			11.0	1.8	.7	1.04
3	521	9	1	\$2.0	16-0	.0	. 4	9.0	2.1	1.2	1.2*
7	1147	2	1	89.0	19.0	2.8	.3	7.1	2.0	7	1.21
7	1147		1	200.0	21.0	3.8	.4	44.0	3.0	. 2	1.4+
9	1471	2	1	47.0	13.0	5.4	.2	3.3	1.3	. 9	1.0•
9	1471	2	2	36.0	12.0	1.6	.2	9.9	1.5	.5	1.04
11	1754	1	1	34.0	15.0	1.9	.2	1.9).8	5	1.2+
13	2073	1	1	84.0	15.0	2.7	.3	24.0	2.1	.2	1.2*
17	2457	1	2	92.0	15.0	1.6	.2	16.0	1.8	-,1	1.2*
19	2897	1		110.9	21.0	2.7	.3	30.0	3,3	1.6	1.3+
19	2897	3	1	270.0	22.0	1.4	.2	17.0	1.6	2.0	1.3+
21	3255	1	2	44.3	14.1	. 6	2.2	11.0	2.3	7.5	1.0
21	3255	8	1	\$4.9	12.0	.1	2.0	12.0	1.6	4.6	1.1
27	4140	- I	2	30.1	15.5	1.5	1.B	7.7	1.7	6.8	1.6
27	4140	•	1	85.0	14.0			15.0),8	.7	1.0+
31	4704	7	2	47.8	15.9	.0	2.1	10.2	2.0	6.5	1.6
31	4704	7	3	44.6	10.1	1.6	1.9	6.3	1.3	3.1	1.1
31	4204	8	2	34.7	11.8	2.6	2.0	5.6	1.2	4.0	1.0
35	5338	1	2	42.0	16.0	1.7	.2	.0	3.5	. 9	2.3+
32	5616	3	1	140.0	24.0	2.2	- 3	26.0	3.0	.2	1.21
37	3616	3	2	36.0	12.0	314	.2	5.5	1.2	.7	. 9 .
39	5899	3	1	34.0	16.0	1.7	.2	8.6	2.3	1	1.14
41	6223	1	1	47.0	14.0	1.0	. 1	5.9	2.0	.8	. 4 •
41	4223	- I ·	2	27.0	13.0			4.4	1.2	.4	1,04
41	4223	- 7	1	51.0	14.0	1.2	.2	8.4	1.8	1.2	1.01
47	7309	1	1	30.9	9.0	-2.7	1.7	4.1	1.2	+.5	1.0
47	7309	2	1	45.9	10.9	1.8	1.2	3.1	1.1	2.8	1.0
49	7525	1	1	42.5	13.7	1	2.0	6.7	1.8	6.3	1.3
49	7525	1	2	103.0	19.0			10.0	2.0	2.0	1.2*
51	7972	- L	1	79.0	18.0	1.9	2.9	6.6	1.2	10.0	1.7
51	7827	- L	2	31.0	11.0	1.1	1.8	3.0	1.0	3.0	1.1
51	7827	7	2	76.0	14.0	1.9	1.7	8.1	1.4	.7	.9.
53	8143	1	1	64.0	13.0	3.3	2.0	9.2	1,4	4.5	1.3
53	8143		1	52.0	13.0			9.0	1.5	1-1	1.0*
55	8473	1	2	83.0	18.0	1.1	.2	6.1	1.9	1.0	1.1*

1-Concentration in $\mu Ci/g$. 2-Counting error.

MOLE J. (CONTO)

BTAKE	BIBTANCE	BANPLE		THORIUM		RADIUM		LEAD		URARIUM	
NO.	NETERS	TYPE R	EP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
57	8902	ŧ.,	2	53,0	13.0	- 5	2.2	8.5	1.3	6.6	1.3
57	8802	3	1	112.0	21.0	7.Z	2.3	13.0	2.5		1.1+
61	9431	2	2	35.0	14.0	-1.0	1.8	2.7	1.6	4.6	1.3
61	9431		ι –	73.0	18.0	1.3	.2	10.0	2.2	-2.1	1.3+
63	9721	1	2	35.0	11.0	3.3	1.7	4.3	1.1	.7	.9.
63	9721	2	L	33.7	13.6	-2.8	2.2	7.1	1.8	7.2	1.4
63	9721	2	2	39.4	12.4	.1	2.0	7.2	1.3	6.2	1.3
65	9983		I -	69.3	13.9	-5.5	2.6	9.2	1.4	.8	.5+
73	11498	2	1	37.0	12.0	4.7	1.6	7.4	1.3	0	.5
73	1149B	4	1	170.0	23.0	-13.9	3.7	12.0	2.3	1,9	.7
75	11829	1	1	48.0	11.0	1.0	. 1	5.1	1.3	.5	.9*
75	11829	4	1	35.0	10.0	1.0	· . I	5.9	1.3	.4	.94
79	12385	2	2	70.6	11.4	-1-1	2.1	8,0	1.4	.4	.3•
79	12385	4	1	127.9	20.0			9.2	2.1	2.9	1.1*
B 1	12728	4	1	94.0	20.0			ا ، ۵	2-1	. 9	1.1*
81	12728	7	2	á2.0	15.5	-16.3	3.0	5.2	1.5	1.4	.5•
83	13021	4	1	58.0	12.0	-8	- 2	4.5	1.2	1.1	. 9*
85	13362	4	1	69.0	18.0	4.3	2.0	13.4	2.1	2.3	1.3*
87	13643	3	1	85.0	18.0	. 9	. 7	4,2	2-1	,0	1,10
87	13643	4	1	33.0	12.0	5.0	2.0	4.1	1.2	.0	.9•
87	13643	4	2	32.1	12.0	0	2.4	3.0	1.2	.5	.4+
87	13967	1	1	47.0	10.0	-5.4	2.3	4.0	1.1	. 6	. 4
89	13967	4	1	35.4	14.3	9.5	1.4	4.6	1.6	I	.6*
91	14238	2)	90.3	16.3	-2.0	2.7	7.7	1.8	.7	.5*
93	14386	1	1	40.8	11.6	-11.8	2.8	6.6	1.3	1.1	,5*
73	14584	2	1	88.4	16.8	-8.0	2.7	7.1	1.8	1,1	.6*
95	14874	4	2	139.0	17.0	12.0	2.3	6.2	1.5	.9	1,1+
97	15150	1	2	49.6	15.0	1.3	1.7	3.3	1.8	, I	.3+
99	15448	1	1	34.0	12.0	2.9	2.0	4.0	1.5	.3	.6
99	15448	1	2	34.0	11.0	-9.2	2.6	2.1	1.1	.9	.5•
99	1544B	4	1	38.0	11.0	6.0	2.0	4.8	1.3	.5	1.0+
99	15448	4	2	110.0	19.0			.0	2.1	1.0	1.1*
9 9	1344B		4	79.0	15.0	2.5	.3	13.0	1.8	.7	1.34
101	15712	4	1	35.0	14.0	1.8	.2	3.1	1.7	3	1.2*
103	16082	1	1	38.0	11.0	-1.4	2.2	6.8	1.3	.5	.5*
103	14062	2	\$	51.0	15.0	-8.7	2.7	6.1	1.7	1.0	.3+
102	16264	9	1	210.0	20.0	2.9	.3	8.8	1.6	.4	1,1+
107	14687	2	1	36.0	11.0	~.7	.7	1.6	1.0	.2	.5*
107	14487		1	37.0	10.0	-7.3	2.3	2.3	1.4	. 6	.4+
109	17015	2	1	70.8	14.4	-4.3	2.1	2.3	1.3	-6	.5•
01	17293	Т	1	39.0	13.0	-1.7	2.0	2,3	1.6	.3	.5*
					*						

TABLE 7. (contd)

STAKE	DISTANCE	BANPLE	THO	NUTH	RAO	10M	LEAD		URAN ION	
NO.	METERS	TYPE RET	LEVEL	EAROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
111	17293	2 1	40.0	11.0	-6.0	2.7	7.7	1.2	1.0	.4+
115	17293	71	48.0	13.0	2		2.1	1.2	-2.7	.4+
113	17463	2 1	50.8	11.0	-2.3	2.2	6.3	1,3	.5	.3+
115	17945	1 1	38.0	10.0			4.1	1.1	1.1	1.0+
115	17965	2 1	30.0	9.3	.8	- 2	3	1,0	-,1	, 94
117	18277	2 1	67.3	13.7	-1B.5	3.0	4.2	1.6	1.7	.5+
119	18630	1 1	45.8	10.3	5.1	1.4	2.3	1.2	0	.3*
121	18897	2 1	42.1	11.5	-1.2	2.2	3.2	1.1	.5	. 49
123	19209	1 1	54.9	13.1	-1,1	2.2	4.2	1.4	.5	.5•
123	19209	2 1	34.6	9.6	2.2	2.0	1.9	1.0	. 2	,4+
123	19209	2 2	43.3	11.B	7.6	1.2	7.2	1.2	1	.44
125	19355	2 1	71.0	13.0	7.7	1.8	4.0	1.2	6	1.1.4
127	19979	1 1	100.9	18.3	-9.5	3.4	4.3	1.8	1.7	-64
127	19879	2 1	82.9	12.8	4	2.5	6.6	1.3	.5	.5•
127	19879	2 2	84.2	14.7	-5.5	2.6	7.6	1.4	.0	.5+
121	20187	2 1	65.0	12.0	1.3	.2	4_0	1.8	7	1.2*
129	20189	22	37.0	13.0	1.0	.1	1.9	1.7	.8	.90
131	20427	1 1	48.0	13.0		.1	4.0	1.0	. 6	1.0+
131	20427	2 1	30.0	15.0	1.0	.1	3.8	ا_6	. 6	1.0+
131	20427	- 4 - 1	58.0	13.0	.7	. 1	2.2	1.2	.0	1,0*
121	20427	4 2	44.0	14.0	1.5	.2	4.2	1.8	.0	1,1+
131	20427	43	120.0	16.0	8.5	2.1	9.1	1.0	11.0	1.5
131	20427	82	44.0	12.0	2.4	1.4	1.8	1,3	5.1	1.3
133	20789	1 2	30.0	10.0	3.7	1.6	2.1	1.3	3.6	1.2
133	2078	72	100.0	16.0	16.0	1.7	5.4	1.4	1.3	1.0*
135	21077	1 1	37.0	14.0	3.2	1.6	1.0	1.7	.8	.9+
135	21077	1 2	67.0	18.0			4.0	1.7	- 6	1.1+
137	21635	2 1	55.0	16.0	. 6	1.4	2.8	1.5	2.8	1,4
139	21444	2 1	35.0	11.0	1.4	1.7	1.2	1.5	4.1	1.2
141	21942	1 1	55.0	12.0	1.4	1.5	5.4	1.2	6.7	1.2
141	21942	I 1	44.0	11.0	4.9	1.7	6.2	t.2	4.3	1.2
141	21942	B 2	30.0	12.0	4.3	1.7	2.5	1.3	3.9	1.1
143	22295	1 1	46.0	12.0	2.5	1.6	1.4	1.2	6.7	1.3
143	22295	1 2	35.0	15.0	5.5	1.4	1.5	1.4	2.7	1,3
143	22295	2 1	48.0	11.0	B.4	1.8	3.3	1.2	4.8	1.0
145	22383	1 1	44.0	14.0			5.0	1.7	- 6	, 9*
145	22593	1 2	80,0	16.0	5.5	1.8	2.9	1.9	.1	1.2*
145	22583	23	31.0	10.0	3.8	1.5	3.4	1.0	- - - - -	1.04
147	22912	1 1	55.0	15.0	.8	1.9	2.7	1.5	5.3	1.4
142	22912	1 2	83.0	13.0		1,7	5.0	1.3	7.1	1.4
147	22912	2 1	112.0	20.0	10.0	2.4	9.6	2.4	2.0	1,3•

TABLE 7. (contd)

.

BTAKE	DISTANCE	SARPLE	THO	THORIUN		RADIWH		LEAD		URANIUM	
NO.	METERS	1YPE RE	P. LEVEL	ERROR	LIVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	
147	23186	1 2	90.0	15.0	4.8	\$.7	5.8	1.3	7.9	1.4	
151	23484	1 2	60.0	16.0	-2.2	2.3	2.3	1.0	B.5	1.7	
151	23484	4 1	147.0	23.0	-8.3	3.0	20.0	2.8	18.0	2.3	
153	23856	2 2	30.0	13.0	3	\$.9	4.0	1.4	2.9	1.3	
155	24209	1 1	77.0	13.0	6.6	1.7	3.4	1.2	9	1,1*	
155	24209	2 1	42.0	12.0	\$. 9	1.5	1,4	1.2	3	1.0*	
157	24569	1 1	53.1	\$4.2	7.5	2.0	5.5	1.8	.0	.5+	
157	24569	1 2	41.0	15.0	4.8	2.0	5.4	1.7	. 1	-64	
139	24837	1 2	43.0	10.0	8.8	1.0	3.2	1.1	1	.44	
159	24857	2 1	44.0	11.0	-7.8	2.4	1.5	1.1	.8		
159	24857	- + 1	237.0	25.0			14.0	2.6	1,4	1.3•	
159	24857	5 2	74.0	20.0			6.1	2.3	.2	1.0•	
161	25162	1 1	55.0	14.0	-1.6	2.4	4.0	1.7	.5	.5•	
161	25162	13	35.0	11.0	1.0	2.0	5.1	1.3	.2	. 44	
161	25162		152.0	19.0	9.0	2.3	B. I	1.7	11.0	1-6	
161	25162	5 1	74.0	17.0	3.7	1.6	5.2	1.7	8.8	1.6	
161	25142	91	226.8	25.4	-13.6	4.0	22.3	2.9	2.1	.7+	
163	25445	2 2	32.0	13.0	1.1	-1	1.7	1.7	. 2	1.0+	
163	25445		120.0	18.0	2.2	.3	12.0	1.7	1	1.0+	
165	25789	1 1	72.0	17.0	3.5	1.4	4.0	1.6	6.1	1.6	
167	26036	2 1	46.0	16.0	2.9	1.8	5.6	1.6	4.B.	1.5	
194	26326	9 1	34.0	16.0	4.9	1.7	1_0	1.6	3	1.0+	
171	26712	1 2	11.0	13.0	3.2	1.7	4.2	1.4	5.1	1.4	
(71	24712	2 2	35.0	13.0	2.9	1.6	- 6	1.4	6.3	1.3	
171	26712	B 1	33.0	10.0	6.3	1.8	1.1	1.0	3.0	1.0	
173	27029	1 1	53.0	11.0	4.6	1.7	3.4	1.2	6.4	1.2	
173	27029	4 1	48.0	17.0	7.8	2.2	6.3	1.8	.2	1.3*	
175	27315	1 2	2 32.0	11.0	3.6	1.7	2.5	1.1	. 9	.9*	
175	27315	2 1	105.0	17.0	14.4 122		8.3	1.5	2.0	1.0+	
125	27215	3 1	54.0	12.0	1.3	- 4	2.5	1.0	1	1.0•	
175	27315	4 1	111.6	21.6	. 8	2.4	13.4	2.3	.5	.7•	
175	27315	5 1	39.0	15.0	3.7	- 4	5.9	1.8	3.3	1.00	
177	27608	3 1	40.0	12.0	2.9	.5	5.1	1.4	6	1.0+	
177	27608	4 1	60.0	16.0	2.0	2.1	5.4	1.4	5.3	1.5	
177	27609	9 1	40.0	10.9	-2.0	2.0	2.0	1.1	5.0	1.2	
177	27608	9 2	2 73.0	14.0	3.4	1.8	2.9	1.3	6.1	1.3	
179	27944	1 7	2 48.0	12.0	-1.7	2,1	3.3	1.7	7.6	1.3	
179	27944	2 (38.0	12.0	3.4	1.8	5.0	1.2	5.2	1.1	
179	27944	3 1	35.0	18.4	3.2	.5	4.)	2.0	.0	1.2*	
181	28242	1 1	40.2	14.8	-3.2	2.3	2.9	1.5	. 6	.4#	
101	28242	2 1	47.0	11.0			3.7	1.2	.• .	1.0•	

TABLE 7.	(contd)

2

-

STAKE	E DISTANCE SAMPLE		THOR	THORIUM		RADIUN		LEAD		URANIUN	
¥D.	METERS	TYPE 8	EP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
181	28242	2	2	90.0	17.0	-1	2.1	4.2	1.6	6.2	1.5
18)	28242	3	1	165.0	23.0	1.4	3.1	1.8	2.6	14.0	2.0
181	28242	7	١	30.0	12.0	-4.8	1.8	2.7	1.4	6.0	1.2
183	28525	1	1	72.6	16.7	-2.8	2.2	3.0	115	6.1	1.6
183	28525	1	2	37.0	14.0	-10.9	2.7	3.5	1.5	1.1	.5
183	28525	2	1	40.0	11.0	3.2	1.9	1.7	1.0	3.9	1.1
183	26525	2	2	59.4	12.4	.4	2.0	1.1	2.0	5.8	1.2
183	28525	5	1	39.0	13.0			2.9	1.7	.0	1.14
185	28772	1	1	35.0	12.0	4.6	2.0	3.9	1.2	6	1.1•
185	28772	1	2	39.0	15.0	3.2	1.8	4.6	1.6	- 5	1.0*
185	28772	• 2	1	35.0	11.0	5.7	1.7	1.7	-9	.5	1.1*
185	28772	2	2	58.0	14.0			1.5	1.7	-21	. B*
185	28772	4	1	51.0	16.0			4.4	1.7	. 6	1.1*
187	29101	1	1	49.6	15.0	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.5	2.8	1.3
187	29101	3	۹	54.0	15.0			4.0	1,5	1.3	1,0*
186	29271	5	1	30.0	13.0			2.3	1.5	1.0	.9+
189	29514	1	1	32.0	13.0			. 4	1.6	.8	1.0+
189	29514	5	1	32.0	14.0			3.1	1.5	7	1.20
191	29833		1	104.0	18.0	11.0	1.2	2.9	1.8	.0	1.0*
191	29833		2	71,0	18.0	1.2	. 2	.2	1.4	3	1.1*
193	30123	1	2	45.0	12.0	1.0		3.2	1.1	.5	.9*
193	30123	2	2	73.0	15.0	-1.8	2.1	5.4	1.7	7.4	1.5
193	30123	4	1	\$7.0	12.0	9	2.0	1.1	1.1	5.0	1.2
195	30320	1	1	30.0	10.0			1.6	1.0	1	1.1*
175	30320	1	2	44.0	17.0			3.0	1.8	7	1.0+
195	30320	3	1	113.0	23.0	8.1	2.5	13.0	2.6	2.0	1.5*
195	30320	5	1	30.0	9.1			.8	.8	6	.9+
197	30644	1	2	122.2	19.0	3	2.3	3.6	1.6	9.8	1.7
197	30644	2	1	37.0	13.0			2.3	1.7	1	. B*
198	30819		1	43.0	15.0			5.4	1.8	7	.9*
198	30819	5	1	01.0	19.0	1.8	.5	2.3	2.0	-1.3	1.1#
199	30970	1	1	34.0	12.0	.1	2.0	3.3	1.3	5.2	1.3
199	30970	2	1	40.7	12.4	-1.1	1.9	- 4	1.4	.3	.5+
199	30970	2	2	87.0	19.0	1,2	. 1	4.4	2.0	.0	1.1*
199	30970	3	1	67.0	14.0			6.2	1.4	3	1.3+
201	31239	4	1	48.0	12.0	2.6	.5	4.1	1.3	2.0	.9+
201	31239	6	2	34.0	14.0	3.4	.2	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.0+
201	31239	7	2	154.1	21.7	-4.1	2.4	6.7	1.7	13.0	1.9
203	31479	2	2	35.0	14.0	1.3	.3	2.3	1.5	3.4	1.1+
203	31479	3	1	50.0	14.0	7.2	1.9	3.8	1.9	1.6	1.2+
205	31745	1	L	31.0	11.0	3.3	1.8	2.1	1.0	.2	. 94

TABLE 7. (contd)

.

STAKE DISTANCE SAMPLE		THOR	108	RADIUS		LEAD		URANJUM			
MO.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	EFROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
205	31745	Z	1	32.0	12.0	4.6	1.5	1.9	1.4	- , 1	1.0+
205	31745	- 4	1	30.0	10.0	1.6	1.0	4	.8	··.4	.9*
207	32052	2	1	32.2	10.9	-2.2	2.2	.9	2.0	5.2	1.2
207	32052	2	2 -	33.7	15.3	3	1.7	.2	1.4	4.4	1.4
207	32052	3	1	37.1	10.6	3	2.0	2.1	1.1	3.0	1.1
213	33053	2	1	51.0	13.0	4.2	1,7	1.9	1.4	.1	3.6*
215	33353	2	1	32.0	17.0			7	1.8		1.0*
217	33684	1	1	41.0	12.0			1.5	1.4		1.04
217	33684	1	2	55.0	14.0	. 8	-1	5,0	1.6	.2	.7•
219	33960	3	1	40.0	11.0	. 9	.t	2.6	1.0	.2	1.0*
221	34327	1	2	37.1	8.6	-1.8	1.7	2.5	. 9	4.5	1.0
223	34639	2	1	30.0	13.0			1.7	1.5	-1.1	.8*
225	34939	3	1	77.0	15.0			2.2	1.2	1.3	1.0+
225	34939	3	2	41.0	12.0	5.5	1.7	1.1	1,1	.9	1.0*
225	34939	4	3	103.0	19.0			5.6	1.9	.9	1.2+
227	35215	I.	1	64.0	13.0	-7.5	2.6	3.4	1.1	.8	.5
227	35215	- T	2	44.0	14.0	. 8	.1	1.5	1.4	.8	1.0*
229	35427	L.	t	44.3	14.1	-5.4	2.4	5.2	1.6	.7	.4+
231	35784	T.	1	35.0	10.0	. 8	.1	1.2	1.0	.5	.7*
231	35784	1	2	101.5	14.8	-15.4	3.2	6.2	1.3	1.5	.5*
231	35784	8	2	43.0	13.0			1	1.5	1.2	. 9*
233	36118	1	1	143.0	22.0			4.6	2.0	. 8	1.4*
233	36118	2	1	41.0	16.0	1.3	.2	3.1	1.7	.8	1,0=
235	36435	2	1	35.0	11.0			3.6	1.1	.2	1.0+
237	34820	- 4	1	35.0	16.0			4.4	1.8	-1-1	1,2*
239	37191.	t	2	90.0	21.0	2.9	.5	4.8	2.0	7	1.3*
239	37191	2	1	41.0	14.0	3.0	1.8	4.0	1.4	.1	
239	32191	2	2	110.0	19.0	1.5	.2	4.2	1,8	1	1.3*
241	37477	2	2	31.8	13.5	1.0	2.1	2.5	1.5	5.7	1.4
243	37013	2	2	39.0	12.0	-2.2	2.1	- 4	1.5	.5	. 4
245	38096	2	1	46.0	13.0	1.7	3.B	-1.4	1.5	1.4	1.0*
247	38410	1	1	30.0	12.0	. 9	.1	- 2	1.5	. 0	.94
249	38715	1	I.	32.1	10.6	-3.0	2.2	3.2	. 9	- 4	. 44
249	38715	2	- I	69.2	14.2	1.3	1,7	2.1	1.3	4.9	1.3
253	39291	1	2	40.7	13.3	-,4	1.9	6.5	1.6	8.0	1.4
257	39920	2	1	40.7	13.8	2	1.7	1.7	1.3	4.8	1.3
257	40225	1	1	30.1	15.1	1.5	1.6	1,9	1.4	2.0	1,3
259	40225	2	1	49.5	12.1	8	2.2	1.4	1_0	7.7	1.2
241	40551	1	1	47.B	13.8	-6.3	2.3	1.7	1.3	.7	
241	40551	2	1	61.9	12.3	0	1.7	2.4	1.2	4.6	1.1
541	46551	7	1	44.3	13.1	-1.1	1.4	2.5	1.2	3.7	1.1

,

TABLE	7.	(contd)	
TADLE	<u>_</u> .	(conto)	

BTAKE	BISTANCE	E BANTLE		THOR	THORIUM		RABIUN		LEAD		IUN
NQ.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
241	40551	8	1	30.9	8.5	1.4	1.B	.1	. 9	3.7	.9
243	40897	1	2	30.1	12.9	-7.8	2.0	5.2	1.5	1.0	.5*
263	40897	2	I.	41.0	14.0			1.5	1.5	. B	.9*
265	41321	1	2	30.0	14.0		1.5	2.9	1.7	.8	1.2*
267	41404	1	2	50.0	11.0	,7	.2	3.6	1.1	1.8	. 9*
271	42184	1	2	44.0	14.0	.9	.1	1.0	1.5	.2	1.24
271	42184	2	2	47.0	11.3	1.6	1.9	1.1	.9	2.8	1.0
273	42184	7	2	38.4	10.3	-14.5	2.6	.1	. 9	1.1	.44
271	42184	7	3	35.4	13.5	9.1	2.0	2.1	1.5	. 6	.5*
273	42554	1	3	47.8	15.9	3.1	1.7	1.7	1,4	3.9	1.4
275	42871	2	1	41.0	11.0	.3	1.9	2.8	1.0	4.1	1.1
277	43195	1	1	35.9	11.4	-1.3	2.1	3.7	1.1	6.3	1.1
277	43195	1	2	60.2	13.9	- 4	1.9	_0	1.6	3.7	1.4
279	43382	1	I.	38.4	9.7	-1.9	2,0	4.5	1.0	5.3	1.1
279	433BZ	2	I.	31.9	11.8	-2.1	1.7	1.4	1.2	3.4	1.3
281	43723	1	1	31.9	14.6	1.6	2.0	1.3	1.4	5.7	1.4
281	43723	2	1	70.8	16.9	. 4	2.2	4.3	1.4	7.4	1.8
281	43723	7	ţ	42.0	14.0		1.9	4.0	1.5	4.7	1.4
281	43723	8	1	39.4	11.4	2.2	1.B	3.9	1.1	2.4	1.2
283	44130	1	1	34.0	15.0	-2.8	2.0	4.0	1.3	6.7	1.6
582	44138	2		70.8	\$4.6	5	2.2	3.3	1.4	7.2	1.4
285	44371	1	1	51.0	15.0	3.7	1.8	3.4	1.5	.9	.9.
287	44745	1	1	\$7.0	14.0	9	2.1	3.8	1.5	7.4	1.3
287	44745	1	2	48.0	16.0	9	2.3	2.1	1.6	11.0	1.7
287	44745	2	1	41.0	12.0	7	1.7	2.2	1.1	3.8	1.2
289	45052	1	1	63.7	17.5	-1.0	2.3	4.2	1.8	10.7	1.7
269	43052	2	1	129.0	16.0	-4.3	2.6	5.4	1.4	11.0	1.5
291	45376	1	1	52.0	12.6	1.B	2.4	5.0	1.3	7.4	1.3
291	45376	1	2	63.1	14.1	3.5	2.3	4.4	1.2	8.0	1.3
291	45376	2	1	109.8	19.7	-1.4	2.3	4.4	2.0	11.8	1,9
291	45376	2	1	58.0	16.0	2.7	2.2	3.8	1.6	7.4	1.6
291	45374	8	1	98.0	15.0		2.3	5.1	1.3	8.7	1.4
291	45376	8	3	49.0	7.4	2.9	2.1	2.7	1.9	4.4	1_0
292	45548	1	1	35.0	13.0	4.0	1.5	3.8	1.4	.0	.94
295	45997	1	1	85.0	12.0	4.8	2.0	4.2	1-1	1	1.0*
297	46439	2	2	44.1	14.6	-1,1	1.6	1.0	1.1	4.3	1.3
303	47454	2	1	45.8	\$1.9	-2.1	2.4	3.4	1.1	9.0	1.3
305	47795	1	2	115.1	16.9	-3.1	2.0	2.9	1.5	7.2	1.5
362	47795	3		50.0	11.0	4.7	1.9	3.0	1.2	.2	.9*
307		2	ł	53.1	13.3	6.9	1.9	2.7	1.1	1.3	1.3
307		1	2	39.1	12.4	1.4	1.0	,1	1.3	3.8	1.3

.

.

• .

-

TABLE 7. (contd)

-

.

-

.

- -

STAKE	BIBTANCE	BANPLE		THO	THORIUM		RABLUN		EAD	URARIUM	
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERADR	LEVEL	ERROR
309		2	2	42.5	14.6	8	2.1		1.4	5.5	1.5
309		5	1	42.5	13.7	-6	2.0	3	1.2	5.5	1.4
311		2	1	53.2	11,4	. 2	2.2	3.2	1.0	6.7	1.2
311		2	2	74.4	14.6	-2.0	1.8	3.8	1.6	4,7	1.3
311		7	1	40.3	14.2	1.1	2.0	-8	1.4	5,1	1.3
311		7	2	38.4	11.1	1.2	2.2	5.0	1.1	6.1	1.2
311		B	t	30.1	12.1	1.9	1.7	4.0	1.3	2,3	1.2
319		1	1	42.5	17.2	-2.4	2.4	5.2	1.8	8.3	1.6
321		1	2	40.7	11.3	2	1.9	1.9	1.5	4.5	1.3
321		4	1	76.0	16.0	4.0	1.7	5.6	2.0	-1.1	1.0*
323		1	1	35.4	13.2	-1.4	1,5	.0	1.4	3.0	1.2
323		1	2	33.1	14.2	. 2	2.1	4.6	1.4	7.7	1.4
323		9	1	76.2	16.7	1	2.1	4.6	1.7	9.3	1.6
325		1	1	33.0	11.0			1.9	1.1	1,1	1.04
327		1	1	31.0	9.3	-3.2	1.6	1.0	. 9	4.5	1.0
327		- I	2	60.3	14.6	2.8	1.9	2.1	1.3	3.4	1,4
327		2	1	92.1	17.4	-2.0	2.3	2.3	1.9	11,6	1.7
329		- 1	2	37.2	11,1	.5	1,1	2.3	-1.0	4.8	1.0
331		4	z	64.0	16.0	3.7	1,8	3.3	1.8	2.3	1.24
333		1	1	37.2	14.2	6	1.0	2.9	1.4	4.8	1.3
333		- 4	1	\$3.7	17.2	-1.2	2.5	5.8	2.0	7,9	1.7
335			1	134.9	17.7	-2.1	2.9	13.9	1.8	14.8	1.8
337		1	1	111.6	18.3	1.4	2.3	5.4	1.5	8.5	1.5
337		1	2	31.9	14.6	-1.8	1,8	2.9	1.5	5.3	1,4
337		2	1	42.5	12.3	.3	2.2	4_0	1.5	5.0	1.2
339		- I	2	40.3	13.5	1.8	2.3	7.7	1.5	6.4	1,4
339		1	3	40.7	14.3	-3.3	2.1	1.0	1.3	5.8	1.5
339		2	1	125.8	18.5	-2.1	2.4	6.9	1.6	10.9	1.7
341		1	Ż	34.2	10.1	9	1.B	2.5	1.0	4.7	1.1
341		4	2	102.7	20.8	3.3	3.0	14.8	2.6	13.7	2.0
341		٠	3	40.7	13.6	-3.8	2.3	5.4	1.6	12.4	1.6
341		5	1	12.5	13.6	. 5	2.2	2.7	1.4	7.2	1.5
341		R	1	31.9	13.0	3.0	2.3	4.4	1.5	4,8	1.3
343		3	1	125.7	20.6	9	3.1	8.3	2.2	14.1	2.0
343		3	2	115_1	17.5	1.2	2.6	13.2	1.8	11.6	1.2
345		3	1	92.1	19.1	5	2.1	6-I	1.2	7.6	1.7
342		1	2	46.0	11.7	-1.0	2.0	9	1.3	5.0	1.2
342		3	2	124.3	17.5	2.4	3.2	13.5	1.4	14,2	\$.7
349		1	1	49.1	16.9	-1.6	2.4	3.3	1.4	9.8	1.4
349		3	1	87.9	15.7	-3.3	2.3	6.3	1.5	10.5	1.5
351		1	2	70.9	16.4	-3.7	2.1	3.B	1.0	4,5	1.5

-

-

TABLE 7. (contd)

STAKE	BISTANCE	BANP	LE	TWORLUN		RADIUN		LE	AD.	URANIUM		
NO.	NETERS	TTPE	REP .	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	
351		3	1	111.4	17.2	-1.9	2.9	12.0	1,7	12.4	1.8	
351		8	1	53.1	13.7	.?	2.1	2.7	1.7	7.3	1.5	
353		3	1	131.1	Z2.4	5.9	2.9	9.8	2,5	5.7	2.0	
355		1	1	32.0	13.0			3.1	1.5	.2	1.0+	
357		2	2	47.8	16.5	4,8	2.3	4.4	1.2	4.3	1,5	
359		2	2	39.6	10,7	.8	2.1	2.9	1.1	4_9	1.1	
359		3	1	107.7	19.0	-20.3	3.7	14.1	1.9	2.0	.6*	
343		2	1	31.9	13.3	. B	1.7	1.3	1.4	3.1	1.4	
343		3	1	39.6	13.2	20.2	1	2.3	1.1	7	.5+	
365		2	1	51.0	11.0	2.2	1.6	4.3	1,2	1	1.1*	
365		3	1	44.5	11.2	1.2	2.1	2.3	1.1	.2	.44	
367		3	1	118.7	20.1	. 6	3.1	13.8	2.2	.9	. 8*	
369		3	1	33.0	10.0				1.0	-14	,9	
371		2	1	37.1	10.7		1.6	4.3	1.0	3.4	1.1	
373		1	1	35.9	10.4	2.9	2.1	2.5	. 9	4.9	1,1	
373		1	2	40.7	13.4		2.0	1.9	1.4	6.1	1.4	
373		3	1	45.8	12.2	. 4	2.1	5.0	1.1	2.1	1.2	
373		4	1	145.2	22.9	5	2.9	14.0	2.5	13.0	2,0	
373		5	1	62.0	17.6	2.5	2.5	8.4	1.9	6.5	1.6	
375		3	1	33.3	10.1	. 2	1 - 7	5.1	1.1	4.9	1.0	
377		1	2	46.1	14.2	-1.6	2.4	7.7	1.8	7,5	1.6	
377		3	1	47.0	12.1	. В	2.3	3.2	1.2	9.0	1.3	
379		1	1	31.9	14.0	. 6	2.1	2.9	1.6	3.3	1.5	
381		1	2	31.9	13.5	9	1.9	2.3	1.6	4.8	1.4	
383		1	1	53.2	12.2	.3	2.3	2.8	1.4	7.2	1.2	
385		3	1	74.3	14.9	7	2-4	7.9	1.5	12.4	1.6	
387		1	1	54.9	14.5	~.8	2.1	1.5	1.6	7.6	1.5	
387		3	1	99.2	1B.1	-1,1	2.5	6.7	1.8	9.3	1.7	
387		3	1	47.0	11.1	2.8	2.1	4.4	1.0	4.8	1.2	
389		3	1	93.9	19.3	0	2.6	5.2	2.0	9.4	1.7	
389		9	1	47.0	11.4	2.7	3.8	2.6	1.0	27.4	1.9	
391		3	1	138.7	22.7	6.4	2.9	16.1	2.4	9.7	2.0	
391		,	1	47.0	11.3	3.4	2.1	1.4	1.0	6.1	1.2	
393			T	73.0	13.0	12.8	1.5	5.8	1.2	2	. 5*	
395		1	2	30.0	10.0			1.1	1.1	2.7	1.2*	
395		4	1	39.0	12.0			3.3	1.7	2.0	1.14	
395		4	3	47.0	12.0			5.8	1,3	-5	.9*	
397			\$	30.1	14.7	7,9	2.1	4.4	1.5	8	.5*	
401		1	1	40.9	11.7	-2.8	1.9	2.2	1.0	6.5	1.3	
401			1	35.0	2.4	4.6	.+	2.4	. 2	.1	.2*	
405		1	1	57.0	13.0			1.9	5.2	1.0	.9*	

-

-

TABLE 7. (contd)

- · · ·

STAKE	DISTANCE	BAMPLE		THORIVM		RADTUM		LEAD		URANIUM	
MD.	METERS	TTPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
405			1	31.0	9.2			1.2	1.0	- 4	9.20
405			2	33.0	17.0			3.8	1.7	1.0	1,1+
409		1	2	129.3	17.8	1.5	2.6	9.6	2.1	10.0	1.2
415		3	2	79.7	18.7	•1	2.5	3.8	1.7	10.B	1.8
411		2	2	39.0	15.4	-1.0	2.0	3.3	1.4	5.3	1.5
413		1	2	44.3	16.7	2.4	2.2	2.7	1.5	5.7	1.6
415		1	1	60.0	15.0			-B	1.7	. 0	1.04
415		1	2	73.0	15.0			1.9	1.9	1.0	1.1+
417		1	2	39.0	14.8	2.4	2.1	3,1	1.5	5.3	1.5
412			1	63.1	14.5	-11.0	3.0	6.5	1.6	1,1	.5+
421		2	1	32.0	8.5	. 6	1.6	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.0
423		1	2	38,0	12.0			2.5	1.2	- 4	1.0+
425		1	1	83.0	16.0			2.3	1.8	-1.3	1.0*
425		3)	41.0	11.0	7.1	2.0	5,8	1.3	1.3	1-1+
427		1	2	21.2	0.3	- 8	1.6	3.3	1.0	2,8	1.0
427		3	1	100.3	16.1	-4.7	2.8	8.8	1.5	.8	.5+
429		2	1	30.1	11.3	1.4	1.B	3	1.5	3.9	1.2
431		1	2	35.4	15.8	-1.3	1.8	3.8	1.4	4.6	1.4
431		3	1	129.3	22.2	-12.1	3.2	10.4	2.3	1.4	.8+
435		1	1	37.1	14.0	-1.4	2.1	1.5	1.3	7.5	1.5
435		3	1	104.3	20.2	7.9	2.3	11.5	2.2	0	.7•
437		1	2	37.2	13.8	2.3	2.4	2.1	1-6	6.0	1.6
437		2	1	37.0	13.0			3.1	1.5	9	. 9
437		3	1	77.9	18.5	-10.2	3.2	7.1	1.8	1.3	.6
441		3	1	30.1	13.4	-3.8	2,1	4	1.5		-3•
441		7	1	40.7	12.4	7	2.0	3.6	1.3	3.7	1.4
443		2	1	55.7	14.0	3.2	2.5	5.8	1.2	8.0	1.4
445		3		34.0	11.0			1.2	1.8	3	1.0.
447		2	1	37.0	14.0			2.7	1.5	· 0	1,1*
447		3	1	51.4	14.3	-4.8	2.3	3,0	1.4		
447		9	1	49.3	13.9	-3.5	2.0	9.2	1.1		
447		3	1	38.0	11.0	1.0	1	3.4	1.3	2./	
451		1	2	33.7	13.4	1.0	2.0	2.3	1.5	7.0	
453		3		47.0	17.5	1.9	2.0	2.3	1.0	1.9	1.0
100		-	-	31.0	4.0	1-4		3.4		- 0	.2.
43/			1	38.7	12.0	3.3	1.3		1.4		
437				143.2	10.4	-3.0	2.0	7 7	1.4	s.,	·**
4//		- 1		30.1	11.7	3.1	<u>.</u>	1.3	1.0	3.0	1.2
1/1		4	1	32.0	11.0		1 8	1.5	1.1	1 3	1 2
4/7			2	43./ 7	11.3	- 5 8	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.2
483		r	4	99.3		-1-3	117	7,0	1.6	414	

TABLE 7. (contd)

.

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE		THURIUM		RADIUM		LEAD		URANIUM	
ND.	METERS	1YPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROK
483		3	1	76.2	14.5	3	2.2	1.3	1.5	7.2	1.0
485		3	1	35.4	14.4	3.7	2.3	.0	1.4	6.4	1.5
487		2	2	54.5	14.7	-9.9	2.3	2.5	1.5	12.9	1.0
487		3	1	37.1	11.7	-6.0	2.1	4.1	1.2	8.0	1.2
497		3	1	64.4	14.3	5.7	2.2	6.3	1.4	5.2	1.4
489		9	1	123.8	14.9	2.0	3.1	11,9	1.2	12.4	1.7

.

. .

.

-



FIGURE 5. Rio Puerco Environmental Variability Study No. 1

ı I



these sites can be expressed as either "within-sampling-site" or "betweensampling-sites" variability. Within-sampling-site variability refers to that variability calculated for replicate samples obtained from the 5-ft grids. Between-sampling-sites variability refers to that calculated between the 25-ft grid sites. The pooled within-sample-site standard deviation from the replicate samples was 35 pCi/g (including the contributions of counting error) for the 230 Th concentration. The between-sampling-locations standard deviation calculated from all of the 230 Th data for these grid sampling patterns was 20 pCi/g. Since the "within" standard deviation is much larger than the "between" standard deviation, we can assume that no significant spatial pattern can be found in these data. Thus, the variability that is seen in these sandbar grid samplings seems to represent the inherent random error of sampling in the Rio Puerco arroyo.

EVALUATION OF BACKGROUND SAMPLES

1

h

•

۰,

l

ì

.

.

1

į

The Rio Puerco arroyo crosses through geological areas which may contain significant uranium ore bodies or which may receive alluvial drainage from uranium ore formations or from current or former uranium mining sites. This situation creates the possibility whereby elevated concentrations of 230 Th, and perhaps the other radionuclides of concern, may be present simply as part of the existing environment. An examination of the data from the Rio Puerco monitoring survey shows that only a single background sample collected at stake No. 201 exceeds the 30 pCi/g 230 Th cleanup criteria, having a 230 Th concentration of 34 pCi/g. The background soil samples data are listed in Table 6. The background concentrations of 230 Th that are seen along the length of the arroyo are highly variable, ranging from -18 to 34 pCi/g with a mean background concentration of 0.87 pCi/g. These data suggest that the past geochemical history of the soil samples may influence the thorium concentrations found, and show that background levels do vary along the length of the arroyo. (While this variability is quite large, much of this is due to the short counting time utilized and the accompanying large counting error. For example, only one 230 Th value is significantly less than zero at the 95% confidence interval.)

One potential problem with the interpretation of the background sample data is related to the locations from which these samples were obtained. Due to the possibility of contamination of any sediments within the arroyo by the tailings pond solution, all background samples were obtained from soil locations <u>near</u>, but not <u>in</u>, the arroyo. The samples were generally collected at-grade within 50 to 100 yd of each side of the arroyo. As such, these samples probably represent soil materials very similar to what is eroded from the surrounding land and transported into the stream bed. However, very different mechanical forces, i.e., wind rather than water flow, affect the soil errosion. Any effect that these differences have on the surface soil and sediment mineralogies and natural radionuclide concentrations is not known. ł

It is clear from the above discussion that samples containing, for example, 35 pCi/g of ²³⁰Th may have an input of the tailings-pond-derived thorium ranging from only a few pCi/g to perhaps nearly the total thorium concentration found, depending upon the background level found in that particular area. Because of this potential difficulty in differentiating background versus tailings-derived thorium for any given segment of the stream bed, it is recommended that the most equitable means of establishing a cleanup criteria is to establish a criteria which is based upon a given concentration of 230 Th above a background level for that particular sample region. The rationale behind this approach is that the majority of the natural 230 Th is bound within the soil matrix material and, as such, does not represent a readily available fraction for movement in the environment or within an animal or human system. The contamination-derived thorium, on the other hand, will nearly all be associated with the surfaces of soil particles and likely is much more readily available for movement in either the environment or in an organism. Additional geochemical investigations of background and contaminated soil samples are required to address this question more adequately and to assist in establishing more accurate estimates of the average background ²³⁰Th concentrations along the entire Rio Puerco arroyo.

ESTIMATION OF 230Th INVENTORY

The first terrace, second terrace, and core samples data that are listed in Appendix B were used to estimate a total inventory of 230 Th along the

length of the arroyo from the breech of the tailings pond dam through Stake No. 491. The 230 Th inventory estimate presented here is only approximate, as of September, 1979, due to the considerable variability of the thorium concentrations, the varying background 230 Th concentrations previously discussed, and the fact that only limited statistical treatment of the data was performed. The estimation was performed as described below.

5

Ň

For that portion of the arroyo for which sampling sites were surveyed onto the large UNC maps, these maps were used to estimate actual surface areas within the arroyo. Width measurements were made at each sampling point (odd numbered stakes) and the distance along the arroyo between even numbered stakes was measured. Then these two quantities were multiplied to get a surface area approximation. For the remainder of the arroyo, Stakes No. 306 through 491. it was assumed that the stakes are 500 ft apart and that the arroyo is 150 ft wide. This width was determined from copies of smaller EPA aerial photographs which have a scale of approximately 200 ft/cm on the photos. Several width measurements were taken on each photo in this lower portion of the arroyo, and these measures were then averaged. This average was then rounded up to 150 ft to give a somewhat conservative (large) estimate of arroyo surface area. These areas were multiplied by the 2-in. depth of the sampling device, and this volume was multiplied by the average of all terrace concentrations and the density of the samples to get an inventory for each approximately 1000-ft section of arroyo. These were summed for the entire arroyo to get the total 230 Th inventory of 4.9 Ci. A background ²³⁰Th inventory has been calculated in a similar manner to be 0.30 Ci.

Some discussion is necessary on the rationale and meaning of a "surface" inventory. The spill did soak into the arroyo sediments. The data from the three-foot core samples that were collected at distances approximately one mile apart throughout the arroyo indicate that the surface samples alone do not provide a complete view of the distribution of 230 Th in the arroyo. Cores taken at Stakes No. 31, 51, 71, 81, 131, 141, 201, 231, 271, 291, 311, and 451 all show evidence of measurable downward migration of 230 Th. These represent 12 of the 49 locations where sediment cores were obtained. However, since core samples were taken only at every tenth stake number (every fifth sampling

site), a considerable extrapolation is needed to use the core data. In spite of the recognized limitations of this approach, 230 Th inventories in the first, second, and third foot of the arroyo sediments have been calculated. These calculations show 18.7 Ci in the first foot of sediments, 11.1 Ci in the second foot of sediments, and 1.5 Ci in the third foot of sediments. This striking difference in thorium content with depth suggests that much of the 230 Th has been retained in the top two feet of the sediments. The inability to provide an accurate estimate of the background 230 Th in the arroyo sediments limits the interpretation of these data.

While a surface inventory does not represent all of the material lost at the break of the dam, it does represent the source of possible exposure to humans and animals using the arroyo. Furthermore, by defining "surface" as the first two inches of depth, there is immediate agreement with the standardized sampling tools. This agreement, in turn, allows the use of all the terrace data without any reservations on the data interpretation. An examination of the data set and codes for type of sample will show that there are samples at each sampling site only for the terrace data. These factors and the fact that the sampling scheme was not originally designed for estimating inventory, dictate that calculation of a "surface" inventory provides the most reasonable retrospective use of the data.

EVALUATION OF RIO PUERCO SAMPLING STRATEGY

The basic philosophy of the Rio Puerco sampling protocol which was established by the NMEID was to collect samples every 1000 ft along the watercourse beginning at the point at which the spill entered the pipeline arroyo. At these sampling sites several different types of samples were taken: individual streambed terraces, around pools or concentrated areas, core samples, background samples, and samples of any visible salt deposits. The natural variations in the morphology of the stream mandate that some types of samples were not taken at each site.

The adequacy of this sampling protocol can be evaluated on two different levels, first with regard to the information available at the time of the sampling, and second with regard to what we now know about the Rio Puerco

arroyo. In addition, it must be emphasized that any sampling plan needs to be evaluated with respect to very specific goals. The statement of the goals must contain precise definitions, give designated or desired error levels, and an estimate of the randomness that will be allowed in the data. Such a specific statement of acceptable statistical parameters was not produced during the short interval of time between the July 16, 1979, spill and the major sampling which was conducted September, 1979. From this standpoint, therefore, it is very difficult to evaluate whether or not the goals of the sampling program were met.

 ~ 10

The sampling program was established by the NMEIO in response to a need to provide very rapid sampling and sample analysis for an overall evaluation of the state of the arroyo. Given the urgency of this situation and the relatively short time available for planning, the sampling plan which was devised and used appears to be a good one. It contains the essential elements found in the typical survey-type investigations of spatially varying phenomenon. However, after a retrospective view of the data it is possible to identify some inadequacies. Equally spaced sampling sites as were designated in this survey are not necessarily a desirable feature in a sampling plan, since most statistical analysis procedures can deal adequately with variable spacing. The locations of the sampling sites should have been influenced by the geographical features of the arroyo. It would have been desirable to choose and classify sampling sites on the basis of geographical or morphological features such as meander of the arroyo, straightness of a section of the arroyo, depth of the water, or other features, since these might be important factors controlling the translocation of the contamination. An examination of the aerial maps of the arroyo indicate that many features on which sampling sites could be keyed do appear between the established sampling points. Also, there apparently is a small-scale structure to the arroyo that the sampling protocol missed because too few sampling locations were used. In developing the sampling protocol, however, it is likely that the economic considerations involved did limit the number of sampling sites selected. A reasonable compromise would have been to have increased the number of sampling locations in a few "typical" sections of

the arroyo in order to describe the spatial variability well and then to decrease the number of sampling locations in similar sections of the arroyo.

EVALUATION OF VERIFICATION SAMPLING PLAN AND PROPOSED CLEANUP CRITERIA

Dr. Thomas E. Buhl of NMEID in his letter to Mr. Hubert Miller of the U.S. NRC dated April 12, 1980, has proposed some revised Rio Puerco cleanup criteria. (Please refer to Appendix F for a copy of the proposal by Dr. Buhl.) The criteria proposed are statistically simple and easy to apply. This proposal suggests the use of a 67% confidence level rather than the commonly used 95% confidence level. This choice of confidence level is statistically arbitrary, and there is no statistical or mathematical reason for the use of any particular level. With the range of thorium data values and counting errors stated in Dr. Buhl's letter, a 67% confidence level with a limit of 60 pCi/g is approximately equivalent to a 95% confidence level as indicated by addition of the standard error to the mean but no subtraction from the mean is standard and appropriate for this type of situation. (It should be noted that dividing the assumption of a normal distribution.)

The new criteria do not place any control on the sample size to be used. This results in a situation of no control of the second type of statistical error, that of concluding that radionuclide levels are within the limit when in fact they are high. The 67% confidence level controls the first type of statistical error, that of concluding that radionuclide levels are high when in fact they are within the limits. Because a large sample size results in a small standard error, a large sample could allow the mean values to be very close to the revised limit of 60 pCi/g even when some members of the sample are much higher. In other words, more information (samples) is needed to make a decision when mean values are closer to the limit than when mean values are much lower (or higher) than the decision point. Small samples, on the other hand, would allow many of the second type of statistical errors, that of

concluding that a mean value is less than the limit when in fact it is slightly higher. Therefore, the sampling criteria should state a minimum number of samples to be collected and analyzed.

The use of a stated level of radionuclide inclusive of background as the concentration limit or decision point (60 pCi/g for 230 Th, for example) eliminates the need for information about background levels in the decision-making process. A somewhat more common statistical procedure would be to state some allowable deviation above background levels, thus including the background information in the overall statistical analysis. While there is nothing statistically invalid in not using the background levels, it is not a common way of analyzing data. Given that there were less background data collected during the Rio Puerco sampling program than radionuclide data, the use of the background inclusive radionuclide level in the decision selection is perhaps a statistically preferred scheme. The lack of background information for all sampling points would otherwise require more extensive background sampling or the use of some type of interpolation methodology for those sampling locations for which background data are not available.

Examination of the aerial survey maps indicates that the survey stakes are not an accurate indication of streambed distances, at least for the first 305 of the 491 stakes for which survey data are available. Since it would be a major task to identify 1000-ft sections on the maps or to return to the arroyo for measurements, and since no maps with staked locations exist for the arroyo beyond Stake No. 305, the area criteria should be stated in terms of stakes rather than feet. The 1000-ft criteria should be changed to be the distance between alternate stakes.

The criteria speak of using 1000-ft sections of the arroyo for averaging purposes but do not mention how this section is to be chosen. Most of the samples were taken only at the odd-numbered stakes. This sampling methodology results in two distinctly different possible situations for computing area averages. If the areas are chosen to be between alternate even-numbered stakes, as would occur if one starts with Stake No. O, then data would be available for only the intermediate odd-numbered stakes. In this case the averages for that odd-numbered stake would be used as the average for the

entire area. However, if the areas are chosen to be between alternate oddnumbered stakes, then data exist only at the boundaries or ends of the area, and an average of the two boundary averages would be used. A third alternative is to use overlapping areas starting with each stake and using both kinds of averages mentioned above. This alternative would be statistically troublesome since the two kinds of averages have different characteristics and distributions. Using an area criteria of 1000 ft rather than a criteria of alternate stakes would be equivalent to this third alternative because of the lack of association of stake numbers with actual arroyo streambed distances. The criteria should specify exactly how the areas are to be chosen so that conflict cannot arise from different investigators using different methods. Sampling at the miopoint of the section is the easiest computationally and is a good way from the statistical standpoint as well.

The proposed criteria appear to ignore the information which is contained in the counting error data. The use of a simple standard error of the mean indicates that one is to calculate the standard deviation of the several replicates for each sample type at each collection point and divide by the square root of the sample size. This is a between-samples error measurement. When this is used alone, the within-samples error information contained in the counting error data is ignored. It is possible to use both the within-samples and the between-samples errors in a rather elegant manner to calculate a total standard error. This total standard error can then be used to determine the 67% confidence level as before. The counting error is a measure of the precision of the corresponding sample value. The more precise ones should be considered more important than the less precise sample values. A statistical way of achieving this weighting is to use the inverse of the variances (counting errors squared) as the weighting factors. For the weighted means and corresponding total standard errors advanced statistics texts (Brownlee 1965) give the following formulae:

mean
$$= \frac{\Sigma y / v}{\Sigma 1 / v}$$

standard error² =
$$\frac{1}{\Sigma 1/v (V(B,S,E,L)^2)} + (B,S,E,L)$$

.

where

y = data values

i = i

- v = corresponding variances
- B.S.E. = (between-samples standard error)--the common standard deviation calculated from the data values then divided by the square root of the sample size.

These formulae should be used to calculate weighted means and standard errors because they use the counting error information to adjust for the variation in precision between replicate samples as well as including both between-sample and within-sample errors.

Number of Sample Replicates

As stated above, a determination is needed of the number of sample replicates for cleanup verification at each sampling site. The statistical theory which relates to these calculations is that of specifying a confidence interval about a mean value. This statistical theory requires estimates of the mean and standard deviation and a specification of the desired accuracy. The mean and standard deviation were calculated from all of the Rio Puerco data as described below, and the accuracy was arbitrarily selected to be 100% of the average 230 Th concentration. The thorium mean value of all first and second terrace data over the entire length of the arroyo is 24.1 pCi/g. This was determined by first calculating a weighted mean for each sampling site (using the counting error as the weighting factor), then calculating the arithmetic average of these weighted means over the length of the arroyo.

A total variance was computed by summing the individual components of the variance (counting error squared). First, a pooled variance for each 1000-ft (or, more accurately, every two sampling stakes) section of the arroyo was computed. The weighted mean 230 Th concentration variances for the first and second terrace data were pooled for each section by averaging these variances. This procedure is equivalent to summing variances and dividing by the total

degrees of freedom under the assumption that each mean has one degree of freedom, and this results in a variance that is a measure of the accuracy of individual mean values. The between-means variance was then calculated for each segment by calculating the ordinary standard deviation of the mean values associated with each segment. These two variances were summed to get a total within-segment variance. These variances were then pooled by averaging over the length of the arroyo.

The sections of the arroyo used for this determination of variance were taken to be the data from Stakes No. 1 and 3, 5 and 7, 9 and 11, etc. Avoiding overlapping sections (1 and 3, 3 and 5, 5 and 7, etc.) eliminates some trouble-some correlations that have to be accounted for in the computations. Using sections defined from alternate numbered stakes rather than overlapping stakes allows the final variance estimate to include good estimates of both within-data-values and between-data-values components of error. The estimate of the within-section standard deviation is 18.3 pCi/g for 230 Th in samples from terraces one and two. There were 119 segments of arroyo used in this determination. Most of the data from the last 20 stakes were not useo because of missing values.

An accuracy of 100% of the mean value of 24.1 pCi/g 230 Th can be interpreted in two ways: 1) as ±24 for a confidence interval ranging from 0 to 48 pCi/g 230 Th, or 2) as ±12 for a confidence interval ranging from 12 to 36 pCi/g 230 Th. Calculations based on both interpretations are given below for an assumed 95% confidence level. The formula for the required number of samples based upon the statistical theory of confidence intervals about a mean value is:

$$N = \left[\frac{st}{\pm d}\right]^2$$

where s = estimate of the standard deviation

- t = the value of students-t distribution for the 95% confidence level
- d = the width of the confidence interval
- N = number of samples.

Since the value used for t depends upon sample size as well as confidence level, some simple trial and error is needed to find N. The estimate of s is 18.3 as given above. The two interpretations of the 100% of mean value for the accuracy results in values of d of ±24 and ±12. Then we get N = 5 for ±24 pCi/g, and N = 12 for ±12 pCi/g.

1

4

h

There are three important statistical particulars that need emphasis. First, the specified accuracy and confidence level is achieved on the average over the many sections of the entire arroyo. There is no guarantee (without going to much more complicated statistical theory) that the accuracy and confidence hold true for any one individual section. Secondly, the width of the confidence interval will be ±12 or ±24 pCi/q regardless of the mean value for any one section. For example, if ± 12 is chosen as the definition of 100% of the mean and a particular section has a mean value of 12 pCi/q, then the confidence interval is from 0 to 24 pCi/g. One might be tempted to assume that ± 12 around a mean of 24 allows one to use ± 6 around a mean of 12, but this would be an incorrect use of the statistics. Of course, a narrower confidence interval, for all values of the mean, can be achieved by using larger samples. The key point is that statistical theory needs a statement of accuracy in terms of absolute deviations from the mean rather than proportional deviations from the mean. Finally, one should be careful to apply the definition of a confidence interval correctly. A 95% confidence interval of 12 to 35 pCi/q means that 95% of such confidence intervals will include the true value of the mean. It does not mean such things as being 95% sure that the true mean is within *12 units of the estimated mean.

EXPERIENCE GAINED FROM THE RIO PUERCO ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY

In several ways the data gained from this investigation of the Church Rock tailings dam breaching and subsequent flooding of the Rio Puerco arroyo may be valuable in addressing other environmental surveys of the distribution of many hazardous chemicals. Specifically, the sample collection, sample analysis, - data analysis, and relationship of the time of the release to the time of the inventory sampling program are important considerations.

The sample collection techniques which used the 10.16-cm (4-in.) diameter by 5-cm (2-in,) deep stainless steel die were very effective for obtaining surface soil/sediment samples. These surface data were supplemented by data obtained from the core samples. Twelve of the 49 locations where sediment cores were obtained showed evidence of measurable downward movement of 230 Th. Nine of these locations are broad areas of the arroyo where the rate of flow of the tailings pond solution may have decreased, allowing somewhat greater vertical penetration than in the narrower stretches of the arroyo. Since these locations by no means represent all of the broad stretches of the Rio Puerco. additional unmeasured factors must be involved in causing the downward penetration of 230 Th at these sites. Only three of these 12 locations showed higher 230 Th concentrations at some depth within the cores than either the first or second terrace sample concentrations. This suggests that the surface samplings alone do provide an adequate picture of the downstream distribution of the radionuclide contamination for this arroyo but do not present a complete picture.

The large surface area, planar intrinsic germanium detectors are extremely useful for this type of investigation. The degree of spectral resolution and counting sensitivity they offer allow low limits of detection for 210 Pb, 226 Ra, 230 Th, and 238 U in relatively short counting intervals. The relatively large counting errors associated with the low 230 Th activity samples are due to the 1000-sec counting times used. If future investigations would allow the processing of fewer samples per day (e.g., 50 or less), the improved counting statistics obtained from longer counting times would allow some additional

interpretation of the data and improve overall contamination inventory estimates. It is recommended that the counting times be increased to at least 2000 sec.

The extreme heterogeneity in concentration distribution seen in the Rio Puerco is most likely typical of the variability that would be seen in other similar environments. This variability is probably related to geochemical and morphological features of the stream that were not identified in this investigation. As discussed above, clustered sampling in stream regions of representative morphological features should provide better estimates of spatial variability than does the uniform sampling used for the Rio Puerco. Clustered sampling is recommended for any future investigations of contamination distribution.

A difficulty in estimating the 230 Th inventory arose because of the inability to differentiate between contamination-derived 230 Th and natural background 230 Th. Since the natural background may fluctuate along the length of the arroyo (based upon the variability observed for the samples discussed above), with present data it is not possible at any one point to define the background contribution to the total 230 Th burden of a sample. This situation is quite likely to exist in streambeds in other uranium mining and milling areas. Further geochemical characterization of both 230 Th-contaminated and uncontaminated sediment/soil samples is necessary to provide the data that can be used in this differentiation.

Approximately ten weeks passed between the time of the breaching of the tailings pond dam and the initiation of the intensive arroyo sampling. Two major rainfall events occurred during this time, and these certainly affected the distribution or redistribution of the contamination which had been deposited on the arroyo sediments. This movement of contaminated sediments served only to make more difficult the determination of 230 Th inventory and distribution. Any future spills should be addressed with an intensive sampling and analysis program as soon as possible after the event occurs, preferably in less than one week. Sampling in this time frame combined with a rapid sample analysis and a more efficient sample identification system than was used for the Rio Puerco samples should permit a definition of the contamination

distribution within 1 to 1-1/2 months from the time of the release. This quick identification of potential problem regions of the stream would permit ameliorative action to begin within a reasonable time frame.

ł

T

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are presented as a result of the investigation of the Church Rock uranium mill tailings pond dam failure:

- On-site use of planar intrinsic germanium detectors provides adequate sensitivity and short analysis times for quantitation of ²¹⁰Pb, ²²⁶Ra, ²³⁰Th, and ²³⁸U in sediments/soils.
- The original sampling plan, which consisted of collecting surface soil/sediment samples at 1000-ft intervals, was adequate to determine those areas which were contaminated and required cleanup.
- 3. Clustering of samples within arroyo reaches defined by physical (morphological) features would provide a better estimation of the radionuclice spatial variability than did the sampling pattern which was used and which was based on equal distance increments.
- The revised Rio Puerco cleanup criteria proposed by the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division are statistically adequate.
- 5. Concentrations of 210 Pb, 226 Ra, and 238 U in samples throughout the length of the arroyo are not distinguishable from natural background concentrations.
- 6. Concentrations of ²³⁰Th range from background levels to levels elevated considerably greater than background. Plots of ²³⁰Th concentrations versus distance from the tailings pond show the high variability. Therefore, a statistical smoothing function was applied to the data to facilitate its interpretation.
- Sediment samples from two site-variability studies indicate that there is considerable ²³⁰Th concentration variability within even limited areas of the arroyo (i.e., 5-ft-square grids and 25-ft-square grids).
- The concentrations of ²³⁰Th in the Rio Puerco show an apparent periodicity as a function of distance. This period is approximately 2.5 km.

- 9. The estimated total inventory of ²³⁰Th in the Rio Puerco from sampling Stakes No. 0 to 491 is 26.8 Ci, based on data from the upper two feet of the core samples. The inventory based on data from the first-terrace and second-terrace samples (upper two inches) is 4.9 Ci. The total inventory of ²³⁰Th background in the upper two inches of arroyo sediments is estimated to be 0.30 Ci.
- 10. Present inability to differentitate between natural background 230 Th and contamination-derived 230 Th prohibits a clear definition of the 230 Th inventory from the tailings pond solution.
- 11. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park show no detectable radionuclide levels in excess of normal background.

REFERENCES

- Brownlee, K. A. 1965. <u>Statistical Theory and Methodology in Science and</u> <u>Engineering, Second Edition</u>. John Wiley and Sons, New York, New York. 586 pp.
- Chatfield, C. 1976. The Analysis of Time Series: Theory and Practice. Chapman and Hall. London. 257 pp.
- Otnes, R. I. and L. Enochson. 1978. Applied Time Series Analysis: Basic Techniques. John Wiley and Sons. New York, New York. 444 pp.
- Wogman, N. A., D. E. Robertson and R. W. Perkins. 1967. "A Large Detector, Anticoincidence-Shielded, Multi-Dimensional Gamma-Ray Spectrometer." Nuclear Inst. Meth. 50:1-10.

APPENDIX A

ŀ

I.

1

.

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT DIVISION PROTOCOL FOR RIO PUERCO ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING

.

APPENDIX A

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT DIVISION PROTOCOL FOR RIO PUERCO ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING

"Surface soil samples will be taken at 1000-ft intervals in nonvegetated areas along the Rio Puerco and the 'Pipeline Arroyo' from the UNC dam to the New Mexico Arizona border. Surface sampling will be performed using a standardized cylindrical scoop of 10.16-cm (4-in.) diameter and 5-cm (2-in.) height. Soil mass from a particular sampling location must be at least 1000 grams (this will require compositing of four standardized soil samples). All samples should be appropriately sealed and labeled as soon as collected.

"At each sampling location three types of samples will be taken:

- a sample from the first terrace above the streambed chosen in areas of expected contaminate deposition. Where possible, the samples will be composited from two samples, one from each side of the Rio Puerco/pipeline arroyo ("first terrace").
- a similar sample from the second terrace above the streambed, if such a terrace exists ("second terrace").
- a sample from an area where deposition is expected to be the highest, such as a side arroyo, impoundment area, inner bank of a bend, or area of yellow crystallization ("hot spot").

"This will generate roughly: (40 miles) x (5280 ft/mile) x (3 samples/1000 ft) approximately 600 samples.

"In addition, the following special sampling will be performed:

- 1. Background sampling
 - a. 20 separate 1000-g samples shall be collected in the pipeline arroyo upstream from the UNC mill to the UNC and Kerr-McGee mine discharge points. These will define pre-spill conditions in the arroyo.
 - b. 20 separate 1000-g samples shall be collected within the main branch of the Rio Puerco at a sufficient distance upstream from the confluence of the Rio Puerco and pipeline arroyo to avoid any area contaminated by the spill.

- c. A 1000-g soil sample shall be taken every mile along the Rio Puerco and pipeline arroyo between the UNC mill and the New Mexico-Arizona border. This sample shall be composited of two samples on each side of the arroyo. The samples shall be collected at a distance of between 50 and 100 yards from the Rio Puerco or pipeline arroyo cut bank to establish natural area background.
- 2. Soil profiles shall be collected across the width of the arroyo to establish the depth and lateral extent of the contamination. At each mile along the Rio Puerco and pipeline arroyo between the UNC mill and the New Mexico-Arizona line two 3-ft profiles shall be collected. The samples shall be collected with either a standardized coring device (such as PVC pipe), the stand-ardized scoop plus trenching where possible, or an approved alternate method. The profile will consist of three 1000-g samples taken from the following depth intervals: 0 to 1 ft, 1 to 2 ft, 2 to 3 ft.

In addition, a 3-ft soil profile will be collected in at least five areas between the mill and the New Mexico-Arizona line where the spilled mill liquor backed up, such as the Pinedale crossing, in areas identified as contaminated by the ARMS survey, or as requested by the Environmental Improvement Division.

3. Two 1000-g surface soil samples will be taken with the standardized scoop at each location where a pool was located by UNC during their August 15 survey, as reported by UNC on USGS topographic maps submitted to the EIO. One sample shall be collected from the area of the pool where the water was deepest, and the other from the point of discharge from the pool to the stream.

"All soil samples shall be labeled according to the following standard notation:

- range, township, section, smallest quarter, middle quarter, largest quarter
- date of collection
- collector
- type of sample (if surface sample, indicate if background, first terrace, second terrace, hot spot, pool center, pool discharge; if profile sample, indicate if sample is nearer stream or nearer cut pank, and indicate depth interval)

"Description of unusual features (such as presence of salts, etc.). For example, R16W T17N S3 NE1/4 SE1/4 SW1/4 means range 16W, township 17N, northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 3.

"No other code shall be used without this sample identification. This program will call for roughly the following number of samples and analyses:

> 240 profiles (40 sampling sites) 200 first terrace surface samples 200 second terrace surface samples 200 not spot surface samples 90 pool samples 80 background surface samples 20 special profile samples (approximately 8 sites) 1030 Total

"This sampling will be completed by October 4, 1979."
APPENDIX B

I

•

i

DATA FOR RIO PUERCO ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

.

٠

APPENDIX B

DATA FOR RIO PUERCO ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

KEY TO APPENDIX B SAMPLE CODING

Stake No.: Survey stake number Level: Concentration in pCi/g Error: Counting error.

Sample Type	Identification
1	First Terrace
2	Second Terrace
3	Concentrated Area
4	Pool Center
5	Pool Discharge Point
6	Background
7	Core Near Stream
8	Core Near Cut Bank
9	Associated Salts

.

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAN	PLE	THORE	NU 1	RAD	1UN	LE	AD	URAN	IUM	
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	
0	0	1	2	.0	8.7	1.8	. 2	1.5	1.0	.0	1.0*	
0	0	1	3	10.0	13.0	1.7	.2	1.2	1.5	9	1.2*	
0	0	2	1	12.0	12.0	1.8	.2	7	1.3	1.6	1.0*	
0	0	6	1	-6.1	7.7	1.0	.2	.6	.9	8	.9*	
0	0	6	2	10.0	9.5	1.2	.2	3.0	1.1	.0	.9*	
0	0	7	I.	-7.3	8.2	.8	- 1	.7	1.0	1.1	1.0*	
0	0	7	2	130.0	22.0	2.3	.3	19.0	2.7	1.4	1.2*	
0	0	7	3	12.0	8.5	1.3	.2	.8	- 9	1.6	.8*	
0	0	8	1	-3.6	8.3	1.3	.2	1.5	. 9	6	.8*	
0	0	9	2	-17,9	12.0	1.1	.2	1.5	1.4	1.2	.9*	
0	0	8	3	157.0	22.0	6.8	.7	59.0	3.4	4.0	1.4*	
1	178	1	1	2.5	7.4	1.4	.2	1.5	.9	-1.0	.9*	
1	178	2	1	-13.9	10.0	. 8	.1	.8	1.5	1.4	1.0≭	
1	178	6	1	-1.7	11.0	1.4	.2	4.6	1.6	5	1.2*	
1	178	6	3	11.0	14.0	1.7	.2	2.1	1.6	-1.3	1.1*	
t	178	7	1	57.0	13.0	2.2	.2	8.5	1.5	- . †	1.19	
1	178	7	2	35.0	15.0	1.2	. 2	6.3	1.7	5	1,1*	
1	178	7	3	51.0	15.0	1.8	.2	9.0	1.9	-1.3	1.2*	
1	178	9	1	27.0	9.6	2.0	.2	3.7	1.3	.5	1.0*	
3	521	1	1	1.2	8.5	1.2	-1	2.9	1.0	-1.2	1.0*	
3	521	2	1	27.0	13.0	.8	.1	3.1	1.7	3	. 9*	
3	521	4	1	100.0	17.0	1.4	.2	19.0	2.1	. 4	1.0*	
3	521	4	2	200.0	19.0			11.0	1.8	.7	1.04	
3	521	9	1	62.0	16.0	.0	. 4	9.0	2.1	1.2	1,2*	
5	835	1	1	13.0	8.7	1.4	.2	1.5	1.0	.0	_ 9#	
5	835	1	2	1.8	8.5	.5	-1	1.9	1.4	-1.1	.8*	
5	835	2	1	4.9	9.4	1.4	.2	5.4	1.1	.0	1.0+	
7	1147	1	2	8.7	10.0	.8	-1	.3	1.0	~1.7	1.0*	
7	1147	1	3	-5.2	12.0	1,3	. 2	.6	1.3	- 6	1.1+	
7	1147	2	1	89.0	19,0	2.8	.3	7.1	2.0	7	1.2*	
7	1147	2	2	28.0	9.7	.7	.1	3.0	1.2	.4	1.0*	
7	1147	4	1	200.0	21.0	3.8	.4	44.0	3.0	.2	1,4*	
7	1142	9	1	1.8	15.0	1.0	.2	7.1	1.8	. 6	1.0*	
9	1471	1	1	7.1	11.0	.9	- 1	2.3	1.7	. 2	.9*	
9	1471	1	2	12.0	11.0	1.4	.2	7.3	1.3	.9	1.1*	
9	1471	2	۱	49.0	13.0	1.4	. 2	3.3	1.3	. 9	1.0*	
9	1471	2	2	36.0	12.0	1.6	. 2	9.9	1.5	.5	1.0*	
11	1754)	ı	34.0	15.0	1.9	.2	1.9	1.8	5	1.2*	
11	1754	1	2	12.0	11.0	1.8	.2	7.0	1.3	-1.0	1.1#	
11	1754	2	1	25.0	t4.0	1.2	. 2	1.7	1.6	!	1,1*	
11	1754	2	2	12.0	10.0	1.1	.2	2.7	1.2	. 9	1.0*	

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAN	PLE	THOR	IUN	RAD	IUM	LE	AÐ	URAN	IUN	
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	
11	1754	6	1	25.0	12.0	1.1	-1	2.2	1.6	. 9	1.1*	
11	1754	6	2	-3.6	7.7	1.2	. 2	2.6	.9	.5	.9*	
11	1754	2	1	-15.9	10.0	1.6	.2	1.9	1,1	.2	1.1+	
11	1754	7	2	19.0	17.0	3.0	.3	3.3	1.8	2.2	1.4+	
11	1754	7	3	8.7	8.7	5.2	1.0	.7	.9	1.1	1.0*	
11	1754	B	1	-5.2	13.0	2.6	.5	.8	1.7	5	. 94	
11	1754	8	2	16.0	13.5	2.1	. 6	1.2	1.6	2.4	1.0*	
11	1754	8	3	-8.6	B.1	1.5	.3	. 4	1.0	.0	.9*	
13	2073	1	t	84.0	15.0	2.7	.3	24.0	2.1	.2	1.2*	
13	2073	1	2	-8.8	12.0	2.0	.2	2.9	1.7	3	1.0+	
13	2073	2	1	28.0	9.7	1.4	.2	3.0	1.2	.2	1.0*	
13	2073	2	2	.0	9.7	1.9	.2	2.2	.9	-1.3	1.0*	
15	2359)	1	11.0	9.3	.9	.1	2.2	1.1	3	.9*	
15	2359	2	2	-6.1	9.2	1.1	.2	2.6	.9	-2.6	1.0+	
17	2657	1	1	21.0	13.0	2.1	.2	4.8	1.8	1.6	1.3*	
17	2657	1	2	92.0	15.0	1.6	.2	16.0	1.8	1	1.2*	
17	2657	4	1	14.0	14.0	2.0	.2	4.4	1.9	3	1.1+	
19	2897	1	1	110.0	21.0	2.7	.3	30.0	3.3	1.6	1.3*	
19	2897	1	2	~3.6	7.5	.7	-1	1.4	1.0	. 9	.7*	
19	2897	1	3	-10.9	10.0	.2	-1	1.9	1.4	.8	1.04	
19	2897	3	1	270.0	22.0	1.1	.2	11.0	1.6	2.0	1.3*	
19	2897	4	1	-17.9	7.3	.3	-1	1.7	.8	. 9	.7*	
19	2897	4	2	-4.9	7.2	.8	-1	.1	.8	2.2	.9*	
19	2897	4	3	1.8	13.0	1,7	.2	2.3	1.6	3	1.0*	
21	3255	1	1	14.8	10.2	.7	1.8	3.2	1.1	4.0	1.0	
21	3255	1	2	44.3	16.1	.6	2.2	11.0	2.3	7.5	1.6	
21	3255	6	1	2.5	6,8	4.3	.6	.7	.8	1	.4+	
21	3255	6	2	-12.3	9.2	7	2.2	- 5	1.2	. 4	.4*	
21	3255	2	1	26.0	12.0	1.0	1.9	3	1.0	2.7	1.3	
21	3255	7	2	4.9	7.2	-2.0	1.7	1.6	.9	4.1	.9	
21	3255	- 2	3	11.0	11.0	- 5	1.7	. 4	1.2	3.4	1.3	
21	3255	8	1	54.0	12.0	-1	2.0	12.0	1.6	4.6	1.1	
21	3255	8	2	14.0	10.0	4.3	1.7	2.1	1.1	1.8	1.1	
21	3255	8	3	3.7	8.1	4.2	1.7	2.6	.9	3.7	1.0	
23	3526	1	1	8.7	9.5	1.3	1.8	2.9	1.1	3.9	1.0	
23	3526	2	1	12.3	7.0	.2	1.6	. 4	.0	2.2	. B	
25	3888	1	1	18.0	12.0	1.2	.2	6.5	1.9	1.4	1.0*	
25	3688	2	1	5.3	10.0	1.0	1	2.3	1.5	.6	.9+	
27	4140	1	· 1	23.0	10.8	-3.9	1.4	-6.4	1.8	3.3	1.2	
27	4140	1	2	30.1	15.5	1.5	1.8	7.7	1.7	6.8	1.6	
27	4140	9	1	85.0	14.0			15.0	1.8	.7	1.0*	

÷

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	LE	THOR	IUN	RAD	208	LE	AD	UKAN	IUN
₩Ð.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
29	4430	1	1	-7.0	12.0	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.6	2.5	1.2
29	4430	1	2	16.1	8.3	-1.8	1.7	2.2	1.1	3.4	1.0
31	4704	1	1	16.0	9.0	-3.4	1.6	1.2	.9	3.0	.8
31	4704	1	2	12.4	10.8	4.0	1.6	9	1.2	2.0	1.1
31	4704	6	1	3.7	9.0	1.3	.2	.0	1.0	6	1.19
31	4704	6	2	3.7	7,3	.8	.1	1.7	. 9	1.4	.9*
31	4704	7	1	-4.8	7.6	.4	1.7	2.5	1.0	4.0	1.0
31	4704	7	2	47.8	15.9	0	2.1	10.7	2.0	6.5	1.6
31	4704	7	3	44.6	10.1	1.6	1.9	6.3	1.3	3.1	1,1
31	4704	8	1	28.3	12.0	8	2.0	5.0	1.5	5.5	1.3
31	4704	8	2	34.7	11.B	2.6	2.0	5.6	1.2	4.0	1.0
31	4704	8	3	14.0	13.0	. 9	.2	.6	1.4	7	1.0*
33	5045	1	1	-6.9	12.3	2.4	2.0	- 4	1.4	2.8	1.4
33	5045	2	1	24.7	10.4	.2	2.0	8.0	1.4	6.3	1,1
35	5338	1	1	19.0	12.0	1.1	• t,	1	1.8	. 6	.9*
35	5338	1	2	42.0	16.0	1.7	. 2	.0	3.5	9	2.3*
35	5338	2	1	14.0	10.0	1.2	.2	4.0	1.3	-4	1.0*
37	5616	1	1	27.0	12.0	.8	.1	1.0	1.6	. 4	1,0*
37	5616	2	1	16.0	7.9	.5	-1	3.9	1.1	1.6	.8*
37	5616	3	1	140.0	24.0	2.2	.3	26.0	3.0	. 2	1.2*
37	5616	3	2	36.0	12.0	1.4	.2	5.5	1.2	.7	.9*
37	5616	4	1	-11.9	13.0			5.4	2.0	1	1.2*
39	5899	1	1	7.4	2.8	.5	.1	2.8	.9	6	,9*
39	5899	3	1	34.0	16.0	1.7	.2	8.6	2.3	1	1.1*
39	5899	3	2	-19.9	11.0	1.4	.2	3.5	1.3	- 9	1,1*
41	6223	1	1	67.0	14.0	1.0	.1	5.9	2.0	.8	.9*
41	6223	1	2	77.0	13.0			4.4	1.2	. 4	1.0*
41	6223	3	1	20.0	8.2	1.1	. 2	3.9	1.1		.9*
41	6223	6	1	17.0	9.7	1.4	. 2	1.9	1.0	.0	.9+
41	6223	6	2	18.0	12.5	.9	-1	1.7	1.5	7	1.0*
41	6223	7	1	51.0	16.0	1.2	.2	8.4	1.8	1.2	1.0*
41	6223	7	2	14.0	9.7	.8	.1	1.9	. 9	. 9	.8*
41	6223	7	3	-34.9	13.0			.0	1.0	1.0	1.1*
41	6223	8	1	9.9	9.3	1.0	.1	1.9	1.0	-,1	.9+
41	6223	8	3	-13.9	10.0			2.7	1.3	7	.8*
43	6573	1	1	21.0	9.2	.7	.1	3.2	1.0	6	. 9*
43	6573	1	2	13.6	10.0	4.4	1.9	1.9	1.0	2.8	1.0
45	6837	1	2	3.5	11.0	.5	1	5	1.5	.0	, 9*
45	6839	3	1	20.0	9.3	.5	.1	.8	.8	-1.0	.9*
47	7309	1	1	30.9	9.0	-2.7	1.7	4.1	1.2	4.5	1.0
47	7309	1	2	-6.9	10.9	1.3	1.5	.0	1.3	1.4	1.1

STAKE	DISTANCE	SANP	'LE	THOR	LUN	RAD	TUM	LE	AD	URAN	108
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
47	7309	2	1	45.9	10.9	1.8	1.7	3.1	1.1	2.8	1.0
49	7525	1	1	42.5	13.7	1	2.0	6.7	1.9	6.3	1.3
49	2525	1	2	103.0	19.0			10.0	2.0	2.0	1.24
49	7525	4	1	12.0	8.6			1.1	. 8	1.4	1.0*
51	7872	1	1	79.0	16.0	1.8	2.9	6.6	1.2	10.0	1.7
51	7827	1	2	31.0	11.0	1.1	1.9	3.0	1.0	3.0	1.1
51	7827	2	1	-3.4	12.0	1.9	1.7	.0	1.3	3.2	1.3
51	7827	6	1	8.9	13.0			1.5	1.6	-2.6	1.1+
51	7827	7	1	28.0	16.0			5.4	1.7	2.0	.9*
51	7827	7	2	76.0	14.0	1.9	1.7	8.1	1.4	.7	.9*
51	7827	7	3	.0	11.0	-1.0	1,9	1.2	1.2	4.2	1.4
51	7827	8	1	22.0	10.0	-4.3	ł.B	4.0	1.1	4.8	1.2
51	7827	8	2	14.0	10.0			1.2	1.2	2.0	1.0*
51	7827	8	3	-8.6	8.7	1.9	1.4	0	. 9	. 6	1.9*
53	B163	1	1	64.0	13.0	3.3	2.0	9.2	1.4	4.5	1.3
53	8163	1	2	23.0	12.0	1.6	2.0	4.4	1.5	5.2	1.3
53	8163	4	I.	52.0	13.0			9.0	1.5	1.1	1.0*
55	8473	1	1	1.8	10.0	. 9	.2	4.2	1.5	7	.9*
55	8473	1	2	83.0	18.0	1.1	.2	6.1	1.9	1.0	1.1#
55	8473		1	25.0	12.0	1.4	1.5	5.9	1.7	1.0	1.1*
55	8473	4	2	16.0	12.0	1.1	.2	4.2	1,8	1	1.0*
57	8802	I	1	8.9	10.0	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.3	3.3	1.0
57	8802	1	2	53.0	13.0	5	2.2	8.8	1.3	6.6	1,3
57	8802	3	1	112.0	21.0	7.2	2.3	13.0	2.5	.6	1.1*
57	6802	- 4	1	5.0	9.3	2.0	2.1	2.6	1.1	.2	.4*
59	9064	1	1	21.0	13.0	1.6	2.1	5.8	1.7	4.8	1.4
59	9064	1	2	6.2	9.5			3.4	1.1	1	.9*
61	9431	1	1	-7.0	13.0	1,2	1.7	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.2
61	9431	1	2	23.0	9.7	-1,0	2.0	2.3	1.0	5,5	1.0
61	9431	2	1	23.0	9.3	3.7	2.1	2.6	1.0	3.9	1.0
61	7431	2	2	35.0	14.0	-1.0	1.8	2.7	1.6	4.6	1.3
61	9431	4	1	73.0	18.0	1.3	.2	10.0	2.2	-2.1	1.3*
61	9431	6	1	-8.7	t3.0			3.1	1.8	1.4	1.1*
61	9431	7	١	-3.4	10.0	3.6	1.3	2.1	1.3	1.5	1.1
61	9431	7	2	19.0	8.5	-5.1	2.4	1.8	1.0	.6	.4*
61	9431	7	3	-6-1	7.7			- 4	.7	. 4	1.0*
61	9431	8	1	22.0	9.7	4.0	1.9	1.6	1.0	3.5	1.0
61	9431	8	2	12.0	13.0	5.0	1.6	3.8	5.4	.3	1.3
61	9431	8	3	-1.1	8.3	1.9	1.5	1.5	.9	1.3	.9
63	9721	1	1	10.0	9,9	-1	2.0	3.9	1.2	4.8	1.2
63	9721	1	2	35,0	11.0	3.3	1.7	4.3	1.1	.7	.9*

- · ·

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE	THBR	TUN	RAD	IUN	LE	AÐ	URAN	1014
ND.	NETERS	TYPE REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	EKROR	LEVEL	ERROR
63	9721	21	33.7	13.6	-2.8	2.2	7.1	1.8	7.2	1.4
63	9721	22	39.8	\$2.6	.1	2.0	7.2	1.3	6.2	1.3
65	9983	1 1	19.0	10.0	3.3	1.6	3.0	1.1	-1.3	.9*
65	9983	12	28.0	13.0	6	.1	2.1	1.7	.0	1.0*
65	9983	2 1	-5.2	14.0	2.9	1.6	2.7	1.7	.0	1.2*
65	9983	4 1	69.3	13.9	-5.5	2.6	9.2	1.4	.9	.5*
67	10432	1 1	3.5	13.5	.9	1.9	5.4	1.7	4.1	1.3
67	10432	12	19.9	10.2	ړ.	2.1	5.6	1.2	5.1	1.2
67	10432	4 1	18.6	9.0	-2.7	2.4	2.6	1.1	.7	.5•
67	10432	4 3	-8.8	9.5	2.3	1.5	4.2	1.4	.2	.8+
69	10761	1 1	3.7	9.3	-1.3	2.3	4.1	1.1	4	.4*
69	10761	12	7.1	14.0	-3.1	2.1	4.6	1.5	.5	.4*
69	10761	4 5	21.0	12.0	3.0	1.8	2.1	1.9	.1	.5*
69	10761	4 2	27.0	12.0	-8.6	3.1	4.7	1.4	1.1	.5*
21	11071	1 1	-3.4	13.0	-7.6	2.4	4.6	1.5	.8	. 4*
71	11071	12	21.0	9.0	-3.6	2.1	3.4	1.0	.5	.4+
71	11071	2 1	-10.9	12.0	12.0	.5	2.1	1.6	6	.5*
71	11071	6 1	-6.1	8.1	7.6	1.1	1.4	.9	1	_ 4 #
21	11071	7 1	8.7	10.0	14.0	1.2	-4	1.1	5	.5*
71	11071	72	27.0	12.0	1.5	1.6	3.8	1.3	.2	.3*
21	11071	73	-9.9	9.1	2.0	1.9	.1	.9	.2	.4+
71	11071	8 1	16.0	13.0	-7.6	2.5	5.0	1.5	.8	.4*
71	11071	82	10.0	7.8	-4.3	2.0	1.9	. 9	.3	, 4×
71	11071	B 3	3.5	10.0	1	2.2	3.1	1.5		.5*
73	1149B	1 1	26.0	10.0	-4.6	2.2	2.2	.9	. 6	. 44
73	11498	1 2	8.8	13.0	-5.1	2.1	4.6	1.5	.5	.5*
73	11498	2 1	37.0	12.0	4.7	1.6	7.4	1.3	0	.5*
73	11498	4 1	170.0	23.0	-13.9	3.7	12.0	2.3	1.9	.7*
75	11829	1 1	46.0	11.0	1.0	.1	5.1	1.3	.5	.9*
75	11829	12	20.0	11.0	1.2	.1	4.8	1.3	4	1.0*
75	11829	2 1	21.0	10.0	.8	-1	3.4	1.0	-1.2	1.0*
75	11829	4 }	35.0	10.0	1.0	.1	5.9	1.3	.4	.9*
77	12107	1 1	25.0	11.0	10.0	1.6	3,7	1.1	1	.5*
77	12107	12	3.5	12.0	-9.6	2.5	1.0	1.3	1.0	.6*
77	12107	2 1	12.3	9.6	1.7	1.7	3.7	1.0	.2	.4*
77	12107	5 1	17.7	12.5	-5.3	2.0	. 2	1.4	.5	.5*
79	12385	1 1	23.5	10.4	.6	2.0	2.3	1.0	. 2	.4*
79	12385	12	15.9	13.4	-20.5	2.9	1.3	1.4	1.7	.6*
79	12385	2 1	19.5	12.7	2.8	1.8	3.8	1.4	-1	.5*
79	12385	2 2	70.6	11.4	-1.1	2.1	8.0	1.4	.4	-5#
79	12385	4 1	127.0	20.0			9.2	2.1	2.9	1,1*

. . .

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAM	PLE	THDR	IUN	RAD	100	LE	AD	URAN	108
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
Bl	12728	1	1	8.8	13.1	-11.4	2.9	3.5	1.5	1.1	.4*
81	12728	1	2	3.7	9.2	-1.9	1.8	1.9	.9	. 2	. 4*
81	12728	1	3	23.0	13.1	6.3	1.2	.+	1.2	t	.4*
81	12728	4	1	96.0	20.0			6.1	2.1	. 9	1.1*
81	12728	6	1	-12.9	8.5			1.1	.9	. 9	.9*
81	12728	7	1	17.9	9.3	-7.7	2.5	3.5	.9	• B	.4+
81	12728	7	2	62.0	15.5	-16.3	3.0	5.2	1.6	1.4	.5*
81	12728	7	3	11.0	8.7	-8.2	2.5	. 6	1.4	.8	.4*
81	12728	8	1	19.8	10.4	-4.2	2.2	4.0	1.0	.5	.4*
81	12728	8	2	B.9	11.6	4.5	1.3	1.5	1.4	1	.4*
81	12728	8	3	-3.6	7.9	-7.1	2.5	. 4	. 7	.7	, 4 4
83	13021	1	ł	8.7	9.2	.3	1.9	2.3	.9	.2	.4*
83	13021	2	ł	23.0	12.0	5.7	1.3	2.7	1.4	+.0	.5*
83	13021	4	Ļ	58.0	12.0	.8	.2	6.5	1.2	1.1	.9*
85	13362	1	1	.0	12.0	3.2	1.6	. 2	1.6	1.2	.9*
85	13362	2	1	19.0	11.0	10.0	2.4	2.8	1.1	.7	1.0*
85	13362	- +)	69.0	18.0	4.3	2.0	11.1	2.1	2.3	1.3*
87	13643	1	ŧ	23.0	11.6	-3.1	2.2	2.2	1.4	.5	.4*
87	13643	2	1	8.7	8.8	-3.0	1.9	3.4	1.1	.4	,4*
87	13643	3	1	85.0	18.0	.9	.2	4.2	2.1	0,	1.1*
87	13643		1	33.0	12.0	5.0	2.0	4.1	1.2	.0	.9*
87	13643	4	2	32.1	12.0	0	2.4	3.0	1.2	.5	.4*
87	13967	1	1	47.0	10.0	-5.4	2.3	4.0	1.1	.6	.4*
89	13967	4	1	35.4	14.3	9.5	1.4	4-6	1.6	t	.6*
89	13967	7	1	14.0	8.8	-18.9	2.9	2.2	1.1	1.4	.5*
91	14238	ł	1	14.9	10.2	8	2.2	3.9	1.1	,4	.5*
91	14238	2	3	90.3	16.3	-2.0	2.7	7.7	1.8	.7	.5*
91	14238	6	1	-16.9	9.8	1.9	.2	. 6	1.1	4	1.2*
91	14238	7	1	3.7	7.7	-5.8	2.1	. 1	1.0	.5	.3*
91	14238	7	2	6.6	12.4	7,3	.9	2.5	1.4	2	, 4+
91	14238	7	3	6.2	7.5	4.7	1.1	1.5	. 9	0	44
91	14238	18	1	26.6	14.7	5.1	1.3	1.7	1.5	1	.5*
91	14238	B	2	.0	9.0	.9	1.8	2.5	1.2	. 2	.4+
91	14238	6	3	-6.9	10.0	8.0	.7	2.5	1.2	3	.4+
93	14588	1	1	40.8	11.6	-11,8	2.8	6.6	1.3	1.1	,5*
93	14586	1	2	7.4	10.0	-9.2	2.6	4.7	1.1	.9	. 49
93	14586	2	1	88.6	16.8	-8.0	2.7	7.1	1.8	1.1	.6*
95	14874	1	1	14.0	8.5	6.3	1.6	2.6	t.0	-4	.9*
95	14874	2	1	16.0	11.0			2.9	1.1	1	• B≉
95	14874	4	1	8.9	15.0	7.6	.9	.0	1.7	.0	1.2*
95	14874	4	2	139.0	17.0	12.0	2.3	6.2	1.5	.9	1.1*

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	LΕ	THOR	IUN	RAD	IUH	LE	AD	URAN	IUM
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
97	13150	1	1	11.1	8.7	8.2	1.1	2.3	.9	2	.4*
. 97	15150	1	2	49.6	15.0	1.3	1.7	3.3	1.8	.1	.5*
99	15449	1	1	34.0	12.0	2.8	2.0	4.0	1.5	.3	.6*
99	15448	1	2	36.0	11.0	-9.2	2.6	2.1	1.1	.9	.5+
99	13448	4	1	38.0	11.0	8.0	2.0	4.8	1.3	.5	1.0*
99	15448	4	2	110.0	19.0			.0	2.1	1.0	1.1*
99	15448	4	3	27.0	12.0	1.2	.1	4.4	1.3	-1.2	1.0+
99	15448	- 4	4	79.0	15.0	2.5	.3	15.0	1.8	.7	1.3+
101	15712	1	1	2.5	7.4	2	1.7	3.6	1.0	.3	_ 4 #
101	15712	2	1	28.0	12.0	-6.7	2.5	3.1	1.4	.8	,54
101	15712	4	1	35.0	14.0	1.8	.2	3.1	1.7	3	1.2*
101	15712	6	1	-1.7	11.0	-4.2	2.2	. 4	1.1	.5	.5*
101	15712	7	1	10.0	8.6	- 4	1.7	•1	. 8	.2	.3+
101	15712	7	2	7.1	11.0	4.0	1.5	2.3	1.3	.0	.4*
101	15712	7	3	2.5	8.0	8,4	1.0	1.4	1.0	-,1	. 4×
101	15712	6	1	5.3	12.0	-7.9	2.3	2.3	1.2	.7	.3*
101	15712	8	2	8.7	7.5	2.1	1.5	.6	.9	-1	. 4*
101	15712	8	3	-22.9	12.0	7.3	1.6	.6	1.3	0	.4+
103	16062	1	۱	38.0	11.0	-1.4	2.2	6.8	1.3	.5	.5*
103	16062	2	1	51.0	15.0	-6.7	2.7	6.1	1.7	1.0	.5*
103	14082	4	1	20.0	10.0	2.9	1.8	4.5	1.0	.2	.3*
105	16264	1	1	18.0	12.0			5.0	1.5	7	.8*
105	16264	2	1	9.9	9.6	1.0	-1	.7	1.1	2.3	1.1*
105	16264	9	1	210.0	20.0	2.9	.3	8.0	1.6	-4	1.1*
107	16689	1	1	12.0	13.0	-5.2	2.0	.2	1.3	. 6	.5*
107	16667	2	1	36.0	11.0	7	.7	1.6	1.0	.2	.5*
107	16689	2	2	-2.4	6.8	2.0	1.3	1.8	. 9	0	.3*
107	16689	4	1	37.0	10.0	-7.3	2.3	2.3	1.)	.6	. .
109	17015	1	1	16.0	13.0	6.4	1.1	3.1	1.4	2	. 4*
109	17015	2	1	70.8	14.8	-4.3	2.1	2.3	1.3	.6	.5*
511	17293	1	1	39.0	13.0	-1.7	2.0	2.3	1.6	.3	.5*
111	17293	2	1	40.0	11.0	-6.0	2.7	7.7	1.2	1.0	.4*
111	17293	2	1	48.0	\$3.0	2		2.1	1.2	-2.7	, 4*
111	17293	2	2	3.5	10.0	4.8	1.1	1.0	1.6	1	. 4*
111	17293	7	3	7.4	7.0	0	1.6	-8	.8	.2	. 49
111	17293	B	1	8.7	7.5	6.3	.7	2.5	1.0	2	.3*
111	17293	6	2	~2.4	6.8	5.1	1.3	1.0	.9	1	.4*
111	17293	8	3	-17.9	12.0	-1.8	2.0	.2	1.3	.4	.3*
113	17663	1	1	17,7	13.7	.9	1.8	6.3	1.6	.2	.5*
113	17663	2	1	50.8	11.0	-2.3	2.2	6.5	1.3	.5	.5*
115	17965	1	1	38.0	10.0			4.1	1.1	1.1	1.0*

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	LE	THOR	100	RAD	1 U M	LE	AD	URAN	NUL
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LÉVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
115	17965	2	1	30.0	9.3	.8	.2	3	1.0	1	.9+
117	18277	1	1	23.5	9.0	-2.2	2.1	1.4	1.0	.+	.4*
117	18277	2	1	67.3	13.7	-18.5	3.0	4.2	1.6	1.7	.5*
119	18630	1	1	45.8	10.3	5.1	1.4	2,3	1.2	0	.3*
119	18430	2	1	26.6	14.3	4.7	1.7	2.3	1.8	0	.5*
121	18987	1	1	-8.7	12.0	5.6	1.4	1.0	1.4	0	.5*
121	18887	2	1	42.1	11.5	-1.2	2.2	3.2	1.1	.5	. 4*
121	18987	6	1	-9.8	9.1	2.0	1.7	1.0	.9	.2	.4+
121	16687	7	1	6.2	7.9	-3.1	2.1	2.2	1.0	.5	.4*
121	18867	7	2	-17.6	10.9	-1.5	2.7	3.7	1.4	. 4	.5*
121	18987	7	3	-15.8	10.8	2.9	1.8	1.2	1.4	. 2	.4*
121	19887	9	1	21.0	9.7	-8.5	2.3	3.2	. 9	. В	.4*
121	19897	8	2	7.1	12.3	19.7	.7	.2	1.2	5	.4*
121	18857	8	3	14.9	7.2	3.1	1.2	1.5	.8	1	.3*
123	19209	1	1	54.9	13.1	-1.1	2.2	4.2	1.4	. 5	.5*
123	19209	2	1	34.6	9.4	2.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	.2	.4*
123	19209	2	2	43.3	11.8	7.6	1.2	7.2	1,2	- 1	.4*
125	17555	1	1	10.0	11.0	2.6	1.4	. 9	1.4	-2.2	1.0*
125	19555	2	1	71.0	13.0	7.7	1.0	4.0	1.2	6	1.1+
127	19879.	1	1	100.9	18.3	-9.5	3.4	4.3	1.0	1.7	. 6*
127	19879	1	2	12.3	11.9	4.9	1.8	1.0	1.4	.0	.5*
127	19879	2	1	82.9	12.8	4	2.5	6.6	1.3	.5	.5*
127	19879	2	2	84.2	14.7	-5.5	2.6	7.6	1.4	.8	.5*
129	20189	1	1	28.3	12.3	-2.5	2.1	1.7	1.5	.5	,5+
129	20189	2	1	65.0	12.0	1.3	.2	4.0	1.8	7	1.2*
129	20189	2	2	37.0	13.0	1.0	.1	1.9	1.7	. 9	.9*
129	20189	- 4	1	27.2	11.2	-8.7	2.4	3.9	1.2	.B	.4+
131	20427	1	1	48.0	13.0	-4	.1	4.0	1.6	. 6	1.0*
131	20427	2	1	30.0	15.0	1.0	.1	3.8	1.6	.6	1.0*
131	20427	- 4	1	58.0	13.0	.7	.1	2.2	1.2	.0	1.0*
131	20427	4	2	44.0	14.0	1.5	.2	4.2	1.8	.0	1.1*
131	20427	4	3	120.0	16.0	8.5	2.1	9,1	1.6	11.0	1.5
131	20427	6	1	-1.7	9.2	1.1	1.5	2.5	1.1	1.8	1.0
131	20427	6	2	.0	12.0	1.4	.2	4.2	1,7	-3.8	1.1*
131	20427	8	1	23.0	8.7	1.4	1.4	2.3	. 9	4.8	1.0
131	20427	8	2	44.0	12.0	2.6	1.4	1.8	1.3	5.1	1.3
131	20427	8	3	7.4	8.7	6.0	1.7	1.9	1.0	2.1	1.1
133	20789	1	1	8.9	10.0	4.0	1.6	1.9	1,2	3.0	1.2
133	20789	1	2	30.0	10.0	3.7	1.6	2.1	1.3	3.6	1.2
133	20789	2	1	7.4	8.0	1.6	1.3	1.5	9	4.8	1.1
133	20789	2	2	100.0	16.0	16.0	1.7	5.4	1.4	1.3	1.0*

-

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	LE	THOR	IUM	RAD	IUM	LĔ	AD	URAN	108
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	EKROR
135	21077	1	\$	37.0	14.0	3.2	1.6	1.0	1.7	.9	.9*
135	21077	1	2	67.0	18.0			4.0	1.7	. 6	1.1*
137	21358	1	5	22.0	9.0	4.1	5.4	2.2	. 7	2.5	.9
137	21835	2	1	55.0	16.0	. 6	1.6	2.8	1.5	2.8	1.4
139	21644	1	1	6.2	9.6	5.5	1.9	2.5	1.0	3.6	1.2
139	21644	2	1	35.0	11.0	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.5	4.1	1.2
141	21942	1	1	55.0	12.0	1.4	1.5	5.4	1.2	6.7	1.2
141	21942	1	2	12.0	13.0	1_B	1.7	4.0	1.3	3.0	1.3
141	21742	6	1	11.0	9.2			1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0*
1.41	21942	6	2	-10.9	13.0	5.5	1.8	3.0	1.4	3.7	1.5
241	21942	6	3	16.0	10.0	1.1	1.3	1.9	1.3	2.1	1.2
141	21942	7	1	-2.4	7.8	1.1	1.5	1.4	. 9	5.7	1.0
141	21942	7	2	-18.9	13.0	6.1	1.9	2.5	1.3	2.8	1.5
\$41	21942	7	3	6.2	8.5	3.6	1.6	. 6	1.0	3.1	1.1
141	21942	8	1	44.0	11.0	4.9	1.7	6.2	1.2	6.3	1.2
141	21742	8	2	30.0	12.0	4.3	1.7	2.5	1.3	3.8	1.1
141	21942	8	3	-6.1	8.1	1.4	1.2	*8	.9	2.7	.9
143	22295	1	1	66.0	12.0	2.5	1.6	1.6	1.2	6.7	1.3
143	22295	1	2	35.0	15.0	5.5	1.6	1.5	1.4	2.7	1.3
143	22295	2	1	48.0	11.0	8.6	1.8	3.3	1.2	4.8	1.1
145	22583	1	1	44.0	14.0			5.0	1.7	.6	.9*
145	22583	1	2	B0.0	16.0	5.5	1.8	2.9	1.8	- 4	1.2*
145	22583	2	2	25.0	14.0	2.0	1.5	2.7	1.7	5	.8*
145	22583	2	3	31.0	10.0	3.8	1.5	3.4	1.0	4	1.0*
147	22912	1	1	55.0	15.0	.8	1.7	2.7	1.5	5.3	1.4
147	22912	1	2	83.0	13.0	.8	1.7	5.0	1.3	7.1	1.4
147	22912	2	1	112.0	20.0	10.0	2.4	7.6	2.4	2.0	1.3*
149	23186	1	1	25.0	12.0	2	1.9	5.4	1.4	3.9	1.3
149	23186	;	2	90.0	15.0	4.B	1.2	5.8	1.3	7.9	1.4
149	23186	2	1	18.0	14.0	2.4	1.9	3.1	1.6	2.7	1.3
151	23484	1	1	2.5	B.7	3.3	1.7	1.6	.8	5.9	1.2
151	23484	1	2	60.0	18.0	-2.2	2,3	2.3	1.6	8.5	117
151	23484	2	1	-2.4	9.1	4.3	1.7	4.0	1.0	4,3	1.0
151	23484	4	1	147.0	23.0	-8.3	3.0	20.0	2.9	18.0	2.3
151	23484	6	1	8.9	9.8	1.0	1.4	.2	1.3	1.6	1,1
151	23484	6	2	-1.1	7.3	2.4	1.3	-8	.9	3.6	.9
151	23484	7	1	-11.9	6.8	1.2	1.3		.8	1.4	.9
151	23484	2	2	-3.4	9.0	1.2	1.5	-2	1.0	2.0	1.0
151	23484	7	3	-3.6	6.9	3.8	1.6	. 6	.8	3.2	.9
151	23484	2	4	6.9	12.0	1.7	2.0	1.9	1.2	4.3	1.3
151	23484	7	5	-7.3	7.4	2.3	1.4	.6	.8	1.9	1.0

-

· —

.....

STAKE	DISTANCE	SANP	LE	THOR)	IUN	RAD	108	LE	ΔŬ	URAN	IUN
NO.	METERS	TY₽E	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
151	23484	7	6	5.3	11.0	, 8	1.6	.0	1.2	2.9	1,1
153	23854	I.	1	23.0	10.0	2.0	1.6	3.4	1.1	2.0	1.1
153	23856	1	2	12.0	11.0	2.8	1.7	.7	.9	5.3	1.1
153	23856	2	1	-8.8	12.0	-2.5	1.8	2.7	1.3	4.3	1.3
153	23856	2	2	30.0	13.0	3	1.9	4.0	1.4	2.9	1.3
155	24209	1	1	77.0	13.0	6.6	1.9	3.4	1.2	8	1.1*
155	24209	1	2	4.9	8.9	5.6	1.8	-4	1.0	4.5	1.1
135	24207	2	1	42.0	12.0	1.9	1.5	1.4	1.2	3	1.0*
157	24569	٢	1	53.1	14.2	7.5	2.0	6.5	1.8	.0	.5*
157	24569	1	2	41.0	15.0	4.8	2.0	5.4	1.7	.1	.6*
157	24569	4	1	21.2	11.5	4.7	1.6	2.7	1.3	0	.5*
159	24857	1	1	23.0	14.0	-13.9	2.7	2.7	1.6	1.2	.5•
159	24857	1	2	43.0	10.0	6.8	1.1	3.2	1.1	1	. 4*
159	24857	2	1	44.0	11.0	-7.8	2.4	1.5	1.1	. 8	. 4*
159	24857	+	1	237.0	25.0			16.0	2.6	1.4	1.3*
159	24857	5	1	16.0	14.0			2.7	1.7	- 4	1.0*
159	24857	5	2	74.0	20.0			6.1	2.3	.2	1.0*
161	25162	1	1	55.0	16.0	-1.6	2.4	4.0	1.7	.5	.5*
161	25162	1	2	25.0	12.0	1.3	1.6	2.3	1.4	1.0	.6*
161	25142	1	3	35.0	11.0	1.0	2.0	5.1	1.1	. 2	.4*
161	25162	1	4	27.0	12.0	2.7	1.7	2.5	1.1	3.0	1.2
161	25162		1	152.0	19.0	9.0	2.3	8.1	1.7	11.0	1.6
161	25162	5	1	74.0	17.0	3.7	1.6	5.2	1.7	6.8	1,6
161	25162	6	1	-8.7	9.5			3	1.3	2.2	1,1+
161	25162	6	2	-8.6	7.5			2.6	.8	3	.8*
161	25162	8	1	15.0	9.3	2.9	1.7	.0	.9	-1	.4*
161	25162	8	2	12.4	8.6	1.6	1.8	1.4	.9	- 1	.49
161	25162	6	3	5,3	11.0	-6.3	2.4	1.2	1.2	.7	. 4*
161	25162	Ŷ	1	226.8	25.4	-13.6	4.0	22.3	2.9	2.1	.7*
163	25445	2	1	22.0	10.0	-1.7	2.1	1.9	.9	.5	, 40
163	25445	2	2	32.0	13.0	1.1	- 1	1.7	1.7	.2	1.0*
163	25445	4	1	120.0	18.0	2.2	- 3	12.0	1.7	1	1.0*
165	25789	٩	1	72.0	17.0	3.5	1.6	4.0	1.8	6.1	1.6
167	26036	1	1	.0	7.4	1.1	1.4	2.7	1.0	3.4	1.0
167	26036	2	1	46.0	16.0	2.9	1.B	5.6	1.6	4.8	1,5
169	26326	1	1	28.0	10.0	8.2	1.8	2,2	1.9	3.8	1.0
169	26326	1	2	.0	11.0	.0	1.6	.8	1.2	2.0	1.3
169	26326	9	1	34.0	16.0	4.9	1.7	1.0	1.6	3	1.0*
121	26712	1	1	16.0	12.0	4.0	1.5	4.8	1.5	3.4	1.1
171	26712	1	2	41.0	13.0	3.2	1.7	4.2	1,4	5,1	1.4
17 F	26712	2	1	6.2	8.7	2.7	1.4	2.3	1.0	1,7	1,0

÷

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	ΈE	THOR	IUM	RAD	IUN	LE	AD	URAN	10#
ND.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
171	26712	2	2	35.0	13.0	2.9	1.6	. 6	1.4	6.3	1.3
121	26712	2	3	11.0	9.5	6.7	1.8	3	. 9	2.1	1_1
121	26712	6	1	25.0	13.0	7	1.7	2.9	1.4	3.8	1.3
171	26712	6	2	1.8	9.9	-2.1	1.8	1.7	1.3	4.8	1.3
171	26712	7	1	12.0	10.0	4.1	1.7	1.9	1.0	4.2	1.1
171	26712	7	2	16.0	11.0	1.1	1.2	.0	. 9	2.8	1.1
171	26712	7	3	4.9	8.7	4.6	1.8	- 4	.9	3.9	1.0
171	26712	8	1	33.0	10.0	6.3	1.8	1.1	1.0	3.0	1.0
121	26712	8	. 2	-7.0	12.0	. 9	1.5	1.2	1.3	2.5	1.2
171	26712	8	3	-1.1	8.3	2.4	1.5	1.5	.7	1.4	.8
171	26712	9	1	25.0	11.0	1.2	4	4.6	1.5	3	3.1*
173	27029	t	t	53.0	11.0	4.6	1.7	3.4	1.2	6.6	1.2
173	27029	1	2	11.0	10.0	-5.0	2.1	3.2	1.1	.5	.5*
173	27029		1	48.0	17.0	7.8	2.2	6.3	1.8	.2	1.3*
175	27315	1	1	27.0	11.0	3.6	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.6	1.1*
175	27315	1	2	32.0	11.0	3.6	1.7	2.5	1.1	. 9	.9*
175	27315	2	1	105.0	17.0			8.3	1.5	2.0	1.0*
175	27315	3	1	54.0	12.0	1.3	. 4	2.5	1.1	1	1.0*
175	27315	4	1	111.6	21.6	.8	2.4	13.4	2.3	.5	.7*
175	27315	5	1	39.0	15.0	3.7	.6	5.9	1.8	3.3	1.0*
175	27315	6	1	-6.1	8.7	1.2	.2	1.0	.9	3	1.1*
175	27315	6	3	3.7	8.7	1.5	,2	2.8	1.1	.5	1.1*
177	27608	1	1	-1.1	8.8	5.1	1.7	.4	.8	4.1	1.0
177	27608	2	1	21.0	13.0	~.8	2.0	. 2	1.5	4.9	1.5
177	27608	3	1	40.0	12.0	2.9	.5	5.1	1.4	6	1.0*
172	27609	- 4	1	60.0	16.0	2.0	2.1	5.4	1.6	5.3	1
177	27608	Ÿ	1	40.0	10.0	-2.0	2.0	2.0	1.1	5.8	1.2
177	27608	9	2	73.0	14.0	3.6	1.8	2.9	1.3	6.1	1.3
179	27944	1	1	18.0	13.0	-2.9	2.1	. 4	1.4	. 6	.5+
179	27944	1	2	48.0	12.0	-1.7	2.1	3.3	1.7	7.6	1.3
179	27944	2	1	38.0	12.0	3.4	1.8	5.0	1,2	5.2	1.1
179	27944	3	1	35.0	18.0	3.2	.5	8.1	2.0	.8	1.2*
181	28242	1	1	60.2	14.8	-3.2	2.3	2.9	1.5	.6	.4+
181	28242	1	2	15.0	9.3	5.6	2.0	3.7	1.1	5.0	1.1
181	28242	2	1	47.0	11.0			3.7	1.2	.0	1.0*
181	28242	2	2	90.0	17.0	.1	2.1	4.2	1.6	6.2	1.5
181	28242	3	1	165.0	23.0	1.4	3.1	1 . B	2.6	14.0	2.0
181	28242	6	1	-8.6	7.7	4.7	1.7	2.6	1.0	2.1	1.0
181	28242	6	2	-4.9	12.0	10-11 ×12		2.3	1.6	.2	1.1*
181	28242	6	3	-6.1	7.5	2.6	1.5	2.7	1.1	3.0	1.0
181	28242	7	1	30.0	12.0	-4.B	1.8	2.7	1.4	6.0	1.2

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAME	۹LE –	THOR	IUM	RAD	RADION LEAD U		URAN	URANIUM	
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
181	28242	7	2.	-1-1	8.1	3.6	1.5	2.2	1.0	2.8	1.0
191	28242	7	3	.0	12.7	-3.4	2.4	1.7	1.4	.7	.61
181	28242	8	1	14.8	8.9	-3.8	1.9	3.6	1.0	5.3	1.1
181	28242	8	2	-5.2	12.0	1.9	1.7	2.5	1.6	2.7	1.3
183	28525	1	1	72.6	16.7	-2.6	2.2	3.0	1.5	9.1	1.6
183	28525	1	2	37.0	14.0	-10.9	2.7	3.5	1.6	1.1	.5*
183	28525	2	1	40.0	11.0	3.2	1.9	1.7	1.0	3.9	1.1
183	28525	2	2	59.4	12.4	.4	2.0	5.1	2.0	5.8	1.2
183	28525	4	1	5.3	12.1	11.0	. 9	1	1.2	4	.4+
183	28525	4	2	-13.9	11.0	1.0	.1	2,1	1.4	- 1	.8*
183	28525	5	1	39.0	13.0			2.9	1.7	.0	1.1*
185	28772	1	1	35.0	12.0	4.6	2.0	3.9	1.2	6	1.1*
185	28772	1	2	39.0	15.0	3.7	1.8	4.6	1.5	5	1.0+
185	28772	1	3	23.0	13.0	8.4	2.1	7.3	1.8	.6	1.3*
185	28772	2	1	35.0	11.0	5.7	1.7	1,7	.9	.5	1,1*
185	28772	2	2	58.0	14.0			1.5	1.7	1	.B*
185	28772	4	1	51.0	16.0			4.4	1.9	- 6	1.1*
185 -	28772	4	2	5.3	8.5	.3	1.4	7	1.3	1.2	1.0*
185	28772	5	1	-14.9	10.0	6.0	1.9	3.4	1.1	6	1,19
187	29101	1	1	49.6	15.0	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.5	2.8	1.3
187	27101	1	2	21.0	13.0	-1.0	2.0	5.4	1.4	3.3	1.3
187	29101	2	1	23.5	9.B	-1.2	1.6	1.2	.9	2.4	.9
187	29101	3	1	54.0	15.0			4.0	1.5	1.3	1.0*
187	29101	5	1	21.0	8.8	9	2.1	2.1	1.0	.2	.4+ .
188	29271	5	1	30.0	13.0			2.3	1.5	1.0	.9*
189	29514	1	1	32.0	13.0				1.6	.8	1.0*
189	29514	1	2	27.0	9.3			.3	.9	.0	,9+
189	29514	2	1	12.0	12.0			1.0	1.6	1	.9*
189	29514	2	2	16.0	9.0	2.5	1.2	2.2	1.1	.5	.8*
189	29514	3	1	12.0	16.0			4.8	1.8	.6	1,40
189	29514	5	1	32.0	14.0			3.1	1.5	7	1.2*
191	29833	1	1	18.0	10.0	1.4	1.4	3.6	1.4	.0	.9*
191	29833	1	2	10.6	13.3	-1.7	2.0	2.9	1.3	5.2	1.6
191	29833	2	1	3.7	10.0	2.0	1.9	1.8	. 9	. 2	.4+
191	29833	2	2	23.0	13.0	1.0	.1	2.1	1-6	.0	.8*
191	29833	3	1	8.7	9.2	14.0	2.3	4	.9	6	1.0+
191	29833	4	1	104.0	18.0	11.0	1.2	2.9	1.8	.0	1.0*
191	29833	+	2	71.0	18.0	1.2	.2	.2	1.6	3	1.1*
191	29833	5	1.	17.0	10.0			1.7	.9	-1.2	.8*
191	29833	6	1	-27.9	13.0	1.2	1.9	. 6	1.3	-1.5	1.1*
191	29833	6	2	.0	9.6	1,7	.2	1.5	1.0	3	1.0+

STAKE	DISTANCE	E SAMPLE		THORIUM		RADIUN		LE	AÐ	URAN	TUN
NO.	METERS	TYPE I	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
191	29833	7	1	28.0	13.0			-1.2	1.4	1	1.1*
191	29833	7	2	14.0	8.0			2	.7	. 4	. 7*
191	29833	8	1	17.0	8.1			. 6	.9	1,1	.9*
193	30123	1	1	8.7	9.2			, 9	.9	-1.3	1.0*
193	30123	1	2	45.0	12.0	1.0	-1	3.2	1.1	.5	.9*
193	30123	2	1	8.7	7.9	2.6	1.8	5	1.0	2.2	1.0
193	30123	2	2	73.0	15.0	-1.8	2.1	5.4	1.7	7.4	1.5
193	30123	3	1	8.7	9.3			1.4	1.0	1.6	.9*
193	30123	- 4	1	57.0	12.0	9	2.0	1.1	1.1	5.0	1.2
195	30320	1	1	30.0	10.0			1.6	1.0	1	1.1*
195	30320	1	2	44.0	17.0			3.8	1.8	7	1.0*
195	30320	2	1	12.0	9.0	.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	.4	.9*
195	30320	2	2	25.0	11.0			2.9	1.1	1.6	.9*
195	30320	3	1	115.0	23.0	B.I	2.5	13.0	2.6	2.0	1.5*
195	30320	5	1	30.0	9.1			.8	.8	6	.9*
197	30644	1	1	7.4	8.0	-14	1.7	1.6	.9	2.8	.9
197	30644	1	2	122.2	19.0	3	2.3	3.6	1.6	9.8	1.7
197	30644	2	1	37.0	13.0			2.3	1.2	1	.8*
198	30819	4	1	43.0	15.0			5.4	. 1.8	7	. 94
198	30819	5	1	81.0	19.0	1.9	.5	2.3	2.0	-1.3	1.1+
199	30970	1	1	34.0	12.0	.1	2.0	3.3	1.3	5.7	1.3
199	30970	1	2	16.0	8.5			1.8	.9	4	.7*
199	30970	2	1	40.7	12.4	-1.1	1.9	.4	1.4	.3	.5*
199	30970	2	2	89.0	19.0	1.2	. 1	4.4	2.0	.0	1,1+
199	30970	3	1	67.0	14.0			6.2	1.4	3	1.3*
201	31239	1	1	27.0	12.0			.0	1.5	.2	.9*
201	31239	1	2	14.8	9.3	-1,1	1.9	3.6	1.1	6.3	1.2
201	31239	1	3	24.0	8.8			. B	.9	1.3	1.0*
201	31239	2	1	-10.9	7.3			2.9	3.1	.4	. 9*
201	31239	4	1	48.0	12.0	2.5	.5	4.1	1.3	2.0	.9⊯
201	31239	6	ł	-17.9	11.0			6.3	1.6	.2	1.1+
201	31239	6	2	34.0	14.0	1.4	.2	2.3	1.4	۱.0	1.04
201	31239	7	1	27.0	11.0	1.4	.2	2.3	1.0	-1.2	.9*
201	31239	7	2	154.1	21.7	-4.1	2.4	6.7	1.9	13.0	1.9
201	31239	7	3	-14.9	7.0			. 4	.8	.7	.7+
201	31239	8	1	23.0	12.0			.8	1.1	-,1	.9*
201	31239	B	2	22.0	8.0	1.9	1.6	1.4	. 8	3	1.0*
201	31239	8	3	-1.7	11.0			5	1.2	.8	.9*
203	31479	1	t	9.9	9.7	1.0	1.7	3.7	1.1	.2	.40
203	31479	1	2	22.0	9.6			3.3	1.0	1.1	1.0*
203	31479	2	1	24.7	12.5	6.1	.5	. 4	1.4	4	.4*

2

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE		THOR	THORIUM		RADIUM		AD	URAN	100
NO.	METERS	TYPE I	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
203	31479	2	2	35.0	14.0	1.3	.3	2.3	1.5	1.4	1.1*
203	31479	3	1	50.0	16.0	7.2	1.9	3.8	1.9	1.6	1.2*
205	31745	1	1	31.0	11.0	3.3	1.6	2.1	1.0	.2	.9*
205	31745	1	2	14.0	10.0	2.3	1.4	-1.2	1.4	- 4	,9*
205	31745	2	1	32.0	12.0	4.6	1.5	1.9	1.4	1	1.0*
205	31745	2	2	26.0	11.0			2.6	.9	3	.9*
205	31745	4	1	30.0	10.0	1.6	1.0	.4	.8	4	.9*
207	32052	1	1	19.0	12.0	3.2	1.8	2.7	1.3	1.6	3.1
207	32052	2	1	32.2	10.9	-2.2	2.2	.9	2.0	5.7	1.2
207	32052	2	2	33.7	15.3	3	1.7	.2	1.4	4.4	1.4
207	32052	3	1	37.1	10.6	3	2.0	2.1	1.1	3.0	1.1
209	32371	1	ł	28.3	13.0	-1.6	1.6	1	1.5	5.0	1.3
209	32371	1	2	17,7	10.9	-1.5	1.5	2.7	1.4	4.1	1.2
209	32371	2	1	24.8	9.9	.7	1.8	3.3	1.1	5.3	1.0
209	32371	2	2	8.9	12.4	7	1.7	3.8	1.5	3.1	1.3
209	32371		1	23.0	8.3	5	1.7	1.0	.8	4.2	1.0
209	32371	9	1	26.0	10.7	2.3	3.1	1.4	1.1	16.7	1.6
211	32695	1	1	18.6	10.3	-3.6	1.8	1.8	1.0	.5	.4*
211	32695	2	1	14.0	11.0	-1.6	1-6	1.9	1.2	.2	.5*
211	32695	6	1	5.3	12.0			2.3	1.4	1.0	1.0*
211	32695	7	1	8.7	8.1			.7	. 9	.4	. 9*
211	32695	7	2	-4.8	7.6	1.6	1.7	0	.8	3.1	.9
211	32695	7	3	-16.9	6.5	4.7	1.2	.4	.9	0	.3*
213	33053	1	1	21.0	8.1	.7	2.1	1,1	. 9	.4	.3*
213	33053	2	1	51.0	13.0	4.2	1.7	1.9	1.4	.1	3.6*
215	33353	1	1	14.0	13.0	4.0	1.7	3.3	1.7	1.0	.9*
215	33353	2	1	32.0	12.0			7	1.9	9	1.0*
217	33684	t	1	41.0	12.0			1.5	1.1	- 4	1.0*
217	336B4	1	2	55.0	14.0	.8	. 1	5.0	1.6	.2	.9*
219	33960	1	1	26.5	13.6	1.5	2.0	2.9	1.2	6.6	1.4
219	33960	2	1	12.0	11.0	1	1.7	. 6	1.0	.2	. 4*
219	33960	3	1	40.0	11.0	.8	-1	2.6	1.0	.2	1.0*
221	34327	5	1	23.0	14.0			2.1	1.6	7	.7+
221	34327	1	2	37.1	8.6	-1.8	1.7	2.5	. 9	4.5	1.0
221	34327	6	1	-3.6	10.0	1.2	.2	1,4	1.1	.5	.9*
221	34327	7	1	19.8	7.8	-1.6	2.1	2.9	. 9	.4	.3+
221	34327	7	2	9.9	8.4			1,9	. 9	.9	.84
221	34327	7	3	16.0	12.0			-2.6	1.5	1	.8*
221	34327	B	1	1.2	7.5			2.3	.9	1.3	.9*
223	34639	1	1	11.0	13.0	4	.2	4.2	1.5	.2	1.0*
223	34639	1	2	5.3	12.0	.6	.1	1	1.6	1	.7*

..

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE		THORIUN		RADIUM		LE	AD	URAN	IUN
NO.	HETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
223	34639	2	t	30.0	13.0			1.7	1.5	-1.1	.8*
225	34939	1	1	27.0	13.0	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	7	1.0+
225	34939	1	2	8.9	13.0	1.7	1.5	2.1	1.3	. 2	1.19
225	34939	2	t	7.4	9.7			3.0	1.0	8	.9*
225	34939	3	1	77.0	15.0			2.2	1.2	1.3	1.0+
225	34939	3	2	41.0	12.0	5.5	1.7	1 - 1	1.1	. 9	1.0*
225	34939	4	1	103.0	19.0			5.6	1.9	.8	1,2*
227	35215	1	1	64.0	13.0	-7.5	2.6	3.4	1.1	.8	.5*
227	35215	1	2	44.0	14.0	.8	- 1	1.5	1.4	.8	1.0*
229	35477	1	1	44.3	14,1	-5.4	2.4	5.2	1.6	.7	_ 49
229	35477	1	2	25.0	9.3	8.0	.8	1.8	.9	3	.3*
229	35427	1	3	18.0	13.0	-8	- 1	2.7	1.5	- 4	.9*
231	35784	1	1	35.0	10.0	.8	.†	t.2	1.0	.5	.2+
231	35784	1	2	101.5	14.8	-15.4	3.2	6.2	1.3	1.5	.5*
23 t	35784	2	1	6.2	9.3	. 6	.1	2.1	.9	1	.8*
231	35784	6	1	-15.9	10.0			t.9	1.1	-1.2	1.1+
231	35784	7	1	8.9	11.0	1,3	.4	2.7	1.5	.2	1.0*
231	35784	7	2	1.8	9.5			1.7	1.4	-1.3	-8*
231	35784	B	1	15.0	8.2	. 6	-1	1.9	.9	. 4	.8*
231	35784	8	2	43.0	13.0			1	1.5	1.2	.9*
231	35784	8	3	8.9	11.0	1.3	. 4	2,7	1.5	.2	1.0+
233	36118	1	1	143.0	22.0			4.6	2.0	.0	1.4*
233	36118	2	1	41.0	16.0	1.3	. 2	3.1	1.7	. 8	1.0*
235	36435	1	1	26.0	10.0	9.0	2.0	3.4	1.1	1.6	1.0*
235	36435	2	1	35.0	11.0			3.6	1.1	.2	1.0*
237	36850	2	1	16.0	9.5	-5,5	2.0	.8	1.0	.5	,4 #
237	36950	4	1	35.0	16.0			4.4	1.8	-1.1	1.2*
237	36850	7	3	-3.4	9.7	0	1.8	-1.7	1.3	.3	. 4+
239	37191	1	1	21.0	11.0	4.7	1.8	5.1	1.2	2.0	1,1+
239	37191	1	2	90.0	21.0	2.9	.5	4.8	2.0	7	1.3+
239	37191	2	1	41.0	14.0	3.0	1.8	4.0	1.4	•1	.4*
239	37191	2	2	110.0	19.0	1.5	.2	4.2	1.8	1	1.3*
241	37477	1	1	28.0	11.0			2.5	1.0		.9*
241	37477)	2	17,0	9.7			1.5	1.1	1	.9*
241	37477	2	1	26.0	8.3			1.8	.9	.9	. ?*
241	37477	2	2	31.8	13.5	1.0	2.1	2.5	1.5	5.7	1.4
241	37477	6)	12.0	8.0	t.1	.1	2.3	1.0	0	.9*
241	37477	7	t	1.2	7.9	9	1.6	2.3	.9	.2	.4+
241	37477	7	2	3.5	9.0	2.9	1.3	3	1.1	.0	.3+
241	37477	7	3	-11.0	7.7	2.1	2.0	2.4	.9	1.8	1.0
241	37477	8	1	7,8	12.5	1.6	1.7	1 _ 9	1.4	3.2	1.3

STAKE	DISTANCE	E SAMPLE		THORIUM		RADIUM		LE	AU	URAN	1UM
ND.	METERS	TYPE R	EP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
241	37477	8	2	-11.9	10.0			. 6	1.0	9	1.1*
241	37477	9	3	14.9	7.0	2	1.3	1.7	. 9	3	.4*
243	37813	1	1	23.0	13.0			3.3	1.7	1,2	1.0*
243	37813	2	1	26.0	9.7	- 9	.2	2.3	. 8	. 4	.9.+
243	37813	2	2	39.0	12.0	-2.2	2.1	. 4	1.5	-5	. 4:
243	37813	3	1	21.0	9.7	- 8	.1	.8	1.4	. 6	.8*
243	37813	3	2	21.2	12.0	9	1.8	1.0	1.3	.3	. 4:*
245	38096	2	1	46.0	13.0	1.7	1.9	-1.4	1.5	1.4	1,0*
247	38410	1	1	30.0	12.0	.9	.1	.2	1.5	.0	.9*
247	38410	2	t	23.1	10.3	7	2.1	3.3	1.1	6.5	1.2
249	38715	1	1	32.1	10.5	-3.0	2.2	3.2	. 9	. 4	.4*
249	38715	2	1	60.2	14.2	1.3	1.9	2.1	1.3	4.8	1.3
251	39005	1	1	17.3	8.9	3.0	1.6	1.8	1.0	3.8	1.1
251	39005	1	2	1.8	12.0			2.9	1.6	.2	1.1*
251	39005	2	1	25.0	12.0	2.6	1.6	2.3	1.5	3	1.0*
251	39005	6	2	3.7	9.5	2.8	.3	1.4	. 9	-1.5	1.00
251	39005	6	3	-8.6	8.3	1.0	.1	1.9	1.0	4	.94
253	39219	1	1	9.9	8.6	. 9	1.6	1.2	. 8	2.0	.9
253	39291	1	2	40.7	13.3	4	1.9	6.5	1.6	5.0	1.4
253	39291	2	1	28.3	12.0	2	1.9	3.6	1.3	4.7	1.3
253	39291	2	2	7.4	7.4	1.1	2.1	.1	1.7	5.8	1,1
255	39663	1	1	3.5	13.0	2.0	1.7	3.3	1.5	9	,8*
257	39920	I	1	-2.4	B,7	.5	1,9	1.1	1.0	3.9	1.0
257	39920	2	(40.7	13.8	2	1.7	1.7	1.3	4.8	1.3
259	40225	1	I I	30.1	15.1	1.5	1.6	1.9	1.4	2.0	1.3
259	40225	1	2	7.0	12.8	-1.6	1.7	1.0	1.2	4.9	1.3
259	40225	2	1	49.5	12.1	8	2.2	1,4	1.0	7.7	1.2
261	40551	1	1	47.8	13.8	-6.3	2.3	1.7	1.3	.7	. 4#
261	40551	1	2	16.0	10.0			-1.6	1.4	1	.81
261	40551	2	1	61.9	12.3	0	1.7	2.6	1.2	4.6	1.1
261	40551	6	1	-8.6	9.5	1.1	- 1	.0	.8	. 4	1.0*
261	40551	6	2	-7.3	8.2			, 8	1.1	-1.3	.9+
261	40551	7	\$	44.3	13.1	-1.3	1.6	2.5	1.2	3.7	1.1
261	40551	7	2	17.3	10.0	2.7	1.6	2.5	.9	1.2	, Ÿ
261	40551	8	1	30.9	8.5	1.4	1.8	.1	-9	3.7	.9
261	40551	8	5	7.4	8.9			1.4	.9	-, A	.8*
263	40897	1	1	22.0	11.0			2.5	1.0	1.3	.8+
263	40897	1	2	30.1	12.9	-9.8	2.6	5.2	1.5	1.0	. 57
263	40897	2	1	41.0	14.0			1.5	1.5	.8	.9*
263	40897	2	2	21.3	10.9	8	1.6	. 6	1.3	3.9	1.7
265	41121	1	1	7.4	10.0	3.6	1.4	1.7	1.0	-1.9	1.0*

STAKE	DISTANCE	STANCE SAMPLE		THO	THORIUM		RADIUM		AD	URAN	IUM
NO.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
265	41171	1	2	30.0	14.0	. 6	1.5	2.9	1.7	.8	1,2*
265	41171	2	1	25.0	9.0	2.7	1.7	2.6	1,1	.7	1.0+
267	41404	1	1	21.0	12.0			2.5	1.5	.2	1.0*
267	41404	1	2	50.0	11.0	.7	.2	3.6	1.1	1.8	.9+
267	41404	2	1	12.0	11.0			2.3	1.0	-1.7	.9*
267	41404	2	2	12.0	11.0	.3	1.3	.8	1.2	3	.8*
269	41774	1	1	18.6	9.7	. 6	1.6	4	1,0	.2	.3*
269	41274	1	2	1.2	9.2	5.2	1.8	2.2	1.1	.5	1.2*
269	41774	2	1	21.3	14.4	7.8	1.2	2.3	1.4	2	.5*
271	42184	1	1	14.0	13.0			1.0	1.4	7	.9*
271	42184	1	2	44.0	14.0	.9	-1	1.0	1.5	.2	1.2*
271	42184	2	1	18.0	13.0	.8	.1	3.6	1.5	1.4	1.0*
271	42184	2	2	47.0	11.3	1.6	1.9	1.1	.9	2.B	1.0
271	42184	6	1	11.0	8.7			. 6	1.1	.0	1.0*
271	42184	6	2	3.5	13.0	1.5	. 2	2.1	1.7	-1,1	1.0*
271	42184	7	1	26.6	11.3	3	1.9	4.0	1.3	á.1	1.3
271	42184	7	2	36.4	10.3	-14.5	2.6	.1	. 9	1.1	. 44
271	42184	7	3	35.4	13.5	9.1	2.0	2.1	1.5	.6	.5*
271	42184	8	1	25.0	9.1	2.7	1.5	1.5	1.0	-1.0	.8*
271	42184	8	2	18.6	8.8	-1.5	2.1	2.1	1.0	.6	.3*
271	42184	8	3	.0	10.0			.8	1.4	9	.7*
273	42554	1	1	1.8	10.0			1.5	1.3		1.0*
273	42554	1	3	47.8	15.9	3.1	1.9	1.7	1.4	3.9	1.4
273	42554	2	1	2.5	9.6	.8	-1	2.2	1.1	.+	1.0*
275	42871	Ť	1	12.0	13.0	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.3	-1.7	1.0*
275	42871	2	1	41.0	11.0	.3	1.9	2.9	1.0	4.1	1.1
277	43195	1	1	35.9	11.4	-1.3	2.1	3.7	1,1	6.3	1.1
277	43195	1	2	60.2	13.9	4	1.9	.0	1.6	5.7	5.4
277	43195	2	1	14.8	9.1	• •	1.8	1.4	1.1	3.4	1.1
279	43382	1	1	38.4	9.7	-1.9	2.0	4.5	1.0	5.3	1.1
279	43382	2	1	31.9	11.8	-2.1	1.7	1.4	1.2	5.6	1.3
281	43723	1	1	31.8	14.6	1.6	2.0	1.3	1.4	5.2	1.4
281	43723	1	2	17.7	15.0	5	1.7	3.1	1.6	3.4	1.4
281	43723	2	1	70.8	16.9		2.2	4.3	1.4	Z.4	1.8
281	43723	6	1	14.0	12.0	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.4	3.4	7.4
281	43723	6	2	7.1	10.0	1.2	1,5	1.5	1.2	1.7	1.2
281	43723	7	1	42.0	14.0	- 4	1.9	4.0	1.5	4.7	1.4
281	43/23	2	2	5.3	13.4	-2.1	1.9	1.9	1.5	5.3	1.4
281	43723	7	3	-2-4	7.6	-1.5	1.7	1.0	.9	3.4	1.1
281	43723	8	ļ	38.4	11.4	2.2	1.8	3.9	1.1	2.4	1.2
281	43723	8	2	7.4	9.4	2.3	1.4	.8	.9	1.2	.9

STAKE	DISTANCE	E SAMPLE		THORIDM		RABIUM		LE	AB	URAN	TUN
ND.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
281	43723	8	3	13.6	8.7	1.1	1.7	.8	. 9	2.4	.9
283	44138	ŧ	1	34.0	15.0	~2.8	2.0	4.0	1.3	6.7	1.6
283	44138	1	2	28.5	10.6	-1.3	1.9	1.4	1.0	6.3	1.2
283	44138	2	1	70.8	14.6	5	2.2	3.3	1.4	7.2	1.4
285	44371	1	1	51.0	15.0	3.7	1.9	3.6	1.6	.8	,9*
285	44371	2	1	17.0	9.0	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.0	-1.3	.9*
287	44745	E E	1	57.0	16.0	9	2.1	3.8	1.3	7.4	1.5
287	44745	t	2	48.0	16.0	9	2.3	2.1	1.6	11.0	1.7
287	44745	2	1	41.0	12.0	7	1.7	2.2	1.1	3.8	1.2
289	45052	1	1	63.7	17.5	-1.B	2.3	4.2	1.B	10.7	1.7
289	45052	2	1	129.0	16.0	-4.3	2.6	5.4	1.4	11.0	1.5
291	45376	1	1	52.0	12.6	1.8	2.4	5.0	t.3	7.4	1.3
291	45376	1	2	63.1	14.1	3.5	2.3	4.4	1.2	8.0	1.3
29 t	45376	\$	3	10.0	8.7	.5	1.9	1.6	. 9	3.9	1.1
291	45376	2	1	109.8	19.7	-1.4	2.5	4.4	2.0	11.8	1.9
291	45376	6	1	.0	9.3	2.5	1.7	1.4	1.0	3.0	1.0
291	45376	7	1	58.0	16.0	2.7	2.2	3.8	1.6	7.4	1.6
291	45376	8	1	96.0	15.0	4	2.3	5.1	1.3	6,7	1.4
291	45376	8	2	-13.5	7.2	1	1.B	1.0	.9	3.3	1.1
291	45376	B	3	49.0	7.4	2.9	2.1	2.7	1.0	4.6	1.0
292	45548	1	1	35.0	13.0	4.0	1.5	3.8	1.4	۰.	,9*
293	45642	1	1	7.4	7.B	.7	.8	- 4	.9	3.9	1.0
293	45642	1	2	12.0	10.0	3.2	1.8	2.6	1.1	2.5	1.1
293	45642	2	1	26.0	12.0	-1.3	1.6	1.9	1.2	3.3	1.2
295	45997	1	1	85.0	12.0	6.8	2.0	4.2	1.1	1	1.0+
295	45997	1	2	12.0	9.0	4.9	1.7	3.0	1.1	. 2	.9*
295	45997	2	3	4.3	12.0	6.6	1.9	6.2	1.2	1.1	1.0*
295	45997	3	1	23.0	16.0	1.1	2.3	2.1	1.9	8.1	1.7
275	45997	3	2	25.0	13.0			3.6	1.6	- 6	1.1*
297	46439	1	1	21.0	14.0	. 6	2.2	4.6	1.8	6.7	1.6
297	46439		2	12.3	11.9	. В	2.1	1.5	1.3	3.4	1.2
297	46439	2	1	22.3	9.1	-1.4	1.9	3.9	.9	4.0	1.0
297	46439	2	2	46.1	14.6	-1.1	1.6	1.0	1.1	4.3	1.3
299	46732	1	1	16.1	8.8	6	1.7	3.2	1.1	3.3	1,1
299	46732	2	1	15.9	12.9	1.8	1.9	2.1	1.6	4.6	1.3
301	46986	1	1	21.0	9.0	-1.0	1.7	1.7	.8	3.8	.9
301	46786	1	2	26.6	12.9	• 2	t.9	2.3	1.4	5.2	1.4
301	46986	7	1	-6.1	8.1	. 2	1.5	1.7	.9	3.5	.9
301	46986	7	2	14.9	9.0	1	2.0	2.9	.9	5.8	1.2
301	46986	8	1	-22.9	9.9	2.0	1.9	1.0	1.5	3.8	1.3
301	46986	8	2	-22.1	7.4	.8	1.9	1.0	.9	2,1	.9

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMP	'LE	THOR	108	RAD	TUM	LE	AD	URAN	10N
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
301	46986	8	3	-11.0	6.7	2.1	1.5	.1	. 9	.5	.9
303	47454	1	1	28.3	12.0	1.0	1.5	2.3	1.0	1.9	1.1
303	47454	1	2	-2.4	9.3	.7	2.1	2.2	1.0	5.6	1.2
303	47454	2	1	45.8	11.9	-2.1	2.4	3.4	1.1	9.0	1.3
305	47795	1	1	18,0	9.9	2.8	1.7	.8	1.0	2.2	1.1
305	47795	1	2	115.1	16.9	-3.1	2.0	2.9	1.5	7.2	1.5
305	47795	1	3	19.0	10.0			1.5	1.1	1.1	.9*
305	47795	1	4	21.0	14.0	6.9	1.7	1.0	1.3	-1.3	1,1*
305	47795	2	1	11.1	9.7	• 1	1.6	1.6	.8	2.5	.9
305	47795	2	2	8.9	15.0	3.5	1.6	2.9	1.6	1	.9*
305	47795	3	1	50.0	11.0	4.9	1.9	3.0	1.2	.2	.9*
307		1	1	26.6	14.0	-1.9	1.8	1.9	1.5	5.5	1.3
307		1	2	-4.8	9.9	-1.7	2.2	4.1	1.1	7.4	1.4
307		2	1	53.1	13.3	6.0	1.9	2.7	1.3	t.3	1.3
307		2	2	9.9	10.2	.7	1.9	1.4	.9	3.2	1.0
307		2	3	22.3	9.4	4	1.8	1.0	. 9	5.1	1.2
307		3	1	24.0	11.9	-1.2	2.5	6.1	1.3	.5	.5*
309		1	1	22.3	8.8	.4	1.7	1.9	. 9	3.6	1.0
309		1	2	30.1	12.4	1.4	1.8	.8	1.3	3.8	1.3
309		2	2	42.5	14.6	8	2.1	4	1.4	5.5	1.5
309		3	1	14.2	15.2	-6.7	2.5	8.2	1.9	.8	.50
309		5	1	42.5	13.7	.6	2.0	3	1.2	5.5	1,4
311		1	1	17.8	9.4	4.3	1.9	1.4	.5	3.1	1.0
311		1	2	13.6	9.0	1.0	1.8	2.5	1.0	4.3	.9
311		2	1	53.2	11.4	.2	2.2	3.2	1.0	4.7	1.2
311		2	2	74.4	14.6	-2.0	1.8	3.8	1.6	4.7	1.3
311		6	1	-13.9	8.0			2.5	1.1	1.1	.9*
311		7	1	60.3	14.2	1.1	2.0	.8	1.4	5.1	1.3
311		7	2	38.4	11.1	1.2	2.2	5.0	1.1	6.1	1.2
311		7	3	-3.4	10.6	.9	1.6	. 6	1.3	1.3	1.2
311		8	1	30.1	12.1	1.9	1.7	4.0	1.3	2.3	1.2
311		9	2	7.1	11.2	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.4	2.8	1.4
313		1	1	24.8	12.8	-3.4	1.7	4.2	1.3	4.7	1.4
313		1	2	8.7	7.7	-1.5	1.8	1.7	. 9	3.0	1.0
313		1	3.	26.0	9.5	.1	1.9	1.0	1.1	5.4	1.1
315		1	1	1.2	7.7			1.8	1.0	.2	.7*
315		1	2	18.0	11.0	3.5	1.5	1.9	1.4	.2	.8*
315		2	1	3.5	12.0	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.2	3	.9*
315		2	2	22.0	10.0	2.5	1.5	1.8	1,0	.9	.9*
312		1	1	12.4	8.6	.2	1.7	.3	.8	2.2	.9
317		1	2	7.1	12.3	2	1.5	.8	1.2	2.2	1.2

 \sim

STAKE	DISTANCE	ISTANCE SAMPLE		THOR	THORIUM		RADIUN		4D	URAN	10#
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
317		4	1	-5.0	14.0	6.1	1.8	3.3	1.8	2.5	1.30
317		5	1	7.4	6-6	1.5	1.5	2.6	.8	2.3	.9
319		1	1	42.5	17.2	-2.4	2.4	5.2	1.8	8.3	1.6
319		1	2	6.2	7.9	0	1.6	2.2	1.1	3,2	1.0
319		2	1	7.1	11.5	-2.5	1.7	1.5	1.4	5,1	1.3
319		2	2	17.3	10.1	.7	1.8	1.7	1.0	4.3	1.1
321		3	1	.0	6.6	2.5	1.6	.7	.8	1.3	8
321		1	2	40.7	11.3	2	1.9	1.9	1.5	4.5	1.3
321			1	26.0	16.0	4.0	1,7	5.6	2.0	-1.1	1.04
321		6	1	-1.9	11.9	8	1.9	2.5	1.5	5.1	1.4
321		8	1	-3.6	8.3	1.3	1.6	1.2	.8	2.2	. 9
321		8	2	6.2	9.5	.9	2.0	1,9	1,0	5.3	1.2
323		1	1	35.4	13.2	-1.4	1.5	.0	5.4	3.0	1.2
323		1	2	53.1	14.2	.2	2.1	4.6	1.4	7.7	1.4
323		2	1	26.0	10.0	6	1.7	2.8	1.0	4.4	1.2
323		9	1	76.2	16.7	1	2.1	4.8	1.7	B.3	1.6
325		1	1	33.0	11.0			1.9	1.1	1.1	1.0*
325		2	1	.0	12.0			3.6	1.5	2.0	1,2*
327		1	1	31.0	9.3	-3.2	1.6	1.0	. 9	4.5	1.0
327		1	2	60.3	14.6	2.8	1.8	2.1	1.3	3.4	1.4
327		2	1	92.1	17.4	-2.0	2.3	2.3	1.8	11.6	1.7
329		1	1	14.9	.9	1.8	1.6	2.2	.9	3.2	1.0
329		1	2	37.2	11.1	.5	1.9	2.3	-1.0	4.8	1.1
331		1	1	24.8	13.5	7	1.9	3.1	1.5	4.6	1.4
331		4	1	26.6	11.9	8	1.8	1.1	.9	3,4	1.1
331		- 4	2	64.0	16.0	3.7	1.8	3.3	1,8	2.3	1.2*
331		6	i	14.0	7.7			1.5	1.0	3	1.0*
331		8	1	7.4	9.2	-14	1.6	1.2	1.0	2.4	1.0
331		9	2	-1.7	9.8	3,0	1.7	2.5	1.4	3.2	3.1
331		B	3	-12.3	8.0	1.6	2.1	2.2	.9	4.9	1.2
333		1	1	37.2	14.7	6	1.8	2.9	1.4	4.B	1.3
333		1	2	.0	8.4	2.6	1.8	1,0	.8	1.9	1.0
333		2	1	21.3	12.8	1.3	1.5	3.3	1.5	2.3	1.2
333		- 4	1	63.7	17.2	-1.2	2.5	5.8	2.0	7.9	1.7
335		1	1	22.3	9.3	.0	2.0	1.9	1.1	4.8	1.1
335		1	2	17.4	13.1	2	1.9	2.9	1 - 4	5.5	1.4
335		2	1	8.7	8.5	5.1	1,9	. 6	. 9	3.0	1.0
335		2	2	13.6	8.9	.0	1.7	2.8	1.1	3.0	1.0
335		- 4	1	134.9	17.7	-2.1	2.9	13.9	1.8	14.8	1.8
337		1	1	151.6	16.3	1.4	2.3	5.6	1.5	8.5	1.5
337		1	2	31.9	14.6	-1.9	1.8	2.9	1.5	5.3	1.4

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAN	PLE	780	RIUN	RA	NULD	L	EAU	URA	NUM
¥0.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERKOR
337		2	1	42.5	12.3	.3	2.2	4.0	1.5	5.0	1.2
337		3	1	14.B	9.9	7	1.8	1.5	1.0	3.0	1.0
339		1	1	17.7	14.4	6	2.2	5.9	1.7	5.7	1.5
339		1	2	48.3	13.5	1.8	2.3	7.7	1.5	6.4	1.4
339		1	3	40.7	14.3	-3.3	2.1	1.0	1.3	5.8	1.5
339		2	1	125.8	18.5	-2.1	2.4	6.9	1.8	10.7	1.7
341		1	1	14.2	12.3	5	1.8	2.1	1.4	4.3	1.3
341		1	2	34.7	10.1	9	1.8	2.5	1.0	4.7	1.1
341		2	1	22.3	8.9	1	1.7	2.3	1.0	3.7	1.1
341		2	2	1.8	12.3	.2	2.2	2.9	1.5	6.0	1.5
341		2	3	23.0	14.3	6	1.9	1.9	1.5	5.6	1.5
341			1	11.1	10.0	1.4	2.3	2.9	1.0	5.0	1.2
341			2	102.7	20.8	3.3	3.0	14.B	2.6	13.7	2.0
341		4	3	40.7	13.6	-3.8	2.3	5.4	1.6	12.4	1.6
341		5	1	42.5	15.6	.5	2.2	2.7	1.8	7.2	1.6
341		6	1	3.5	10.9	.0	۱.8	2.3	1.5	4.2	1.3
341		6	2	9.9	10.0	1.1	2.1	2.3	.9	4.5	1.2
341		7	1	.0	9.6	~.4	2.0	1.8	1.0	4.1	1.1
341		7	2	6.2	7.7	1.8	1.3	2.3	.9	1.8	.8
341		7	3	11-1	9.0	.5	1.6	- 4	. 9	3.8	1.0
341		8	1	31.9	13.0	3.0	2.3	4.4	1.5	4,8	1.3
341		8	2	18.6	9,8	4.9	2.1	1.B	1.2	4.4	1.1
343		1	1	23.0	12.4	.0	2.0	1.0	1.3	4.6	2.4
343		1	2	-7.0	10.9	0	2.4	1.9	1.4	9.1	1.4
343		3	1	125.7	20.6	9	3.1	8.3	2.2	14.7	2.0
343		3	2	115.1	17.5	1.7	2.6	13.2	1.8	11.6	1.7
343		9	,	12.3	11.6	2.3	1.8	3.1	1.1	4.7	1.3
345		1	1	8.7	9.7	-1.0	2.0	2.2	1.0	5.1	1.2
345			2	5.3	12.4	-2.4	2.0	1.9	1.5	7.7	1.4
345		2	1	18.6	8.3	-1.6	1.B	2.9	1.0	3.9	.9
345		3	1	92.1	19.1	- 5	2.1	é.1	f.7	7.6	1.7
347		1	1	7.4	7.6	2.4	1.5	1.6	.9	2.5	1.0
342		ţ	2	46.0	(1.2	-1.0	2.0	9	1.3	5.0	1.2
342		2	1	23.0	16,7	3.4	2.2	7.1	1.9	5.0	1.8
347		3	t	5.0	8.7	-1	1.5	2.5	1.0	2.9	1.1
347		3	2	126.3	17,5	2.4	3.2	13.5	1.6	14.2	1.7
349		1	1	49.1	16.9	-1.6	2.4	3.3	1.6	9.6	1.6
349		1	2	21.0	9.5	1	1.8	3.2	1.0	5.1	1.1
349		3	1	17.3	8.0	5	1.9	1.9	1.0	5.9	1.4
349		3	1	87.9	15.7	-3.3	2.3	6.3	1.5	10.5	1.5
351		1	1	2.5	7.3	-1.3	1.8	1.9	.9	3.5	1.0

× -

STAKE	DISTANCE	E SAMPLE		THORIUM		RADIUM		LE	AD	URAN	IUN
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
351		1	2	70.9	16.4	-3.7	2.1	3.8	1.0	6.5	1.5
351		2	1	8.9	11.6	2.4	1.9	.+	1.1	2.0	1.3
351		2	2	7.4	8.7	1.5	1.9	3.3	1.0	3.5	1.0
351		3	1	111.4	17.2	-1.9	2.9	12.0	1.9	12.4	1.8
351		6	1	7.1	10.6	2.7	1.9	1.7	1.5	5.7	1.4
351		6	2	7.4	9.0	- 4	1.7	1.0	1.1	2.7	1.1
351		7	1	23.5	9.0	1.0	1.7	2.2	1.1	2.9	1.0
351		B	1	33.1	13.7	.7	2.1	2.7	1.7	7.3	1.5
351		ß	2	19.4	10.5	÷.5	1.9	1.7	1.2	4.7	1.2
351		1	3	8.7	10.4	-2.2	2.3	3.3	1.1	11.1	1.3
353		1	1	8.9	11.6	1.8	1.8	3.1	1.4	3.7	1.2
353		1	2	.0	8.4	2.0	1.5	1.9	. 9	2.0	1.0
353		2	1	7.4	9.9	-1.9	2.0	3.0	1.0	4.6	1.2
353		2	2	3.5	13.0	- 4	2.3	.0	1.4	5.0	1.4
353		3	1	131.1	22.4	5.9	2.9	9.9	2.5	5.7	2.0
355		1	1	32.0	13.0			3.1	1.6	.2	1.0*
355		1	2	-2.3	B.7			1.2	.9	6	.94
355		2	1	-7.3	9.0			3.6	1.1	- 4	.8*
355		2	2	25.0	13.0			2.3	1.3	2.0	1.1*
355		3	1	21.0	9.7			1.6	1.0	-1.5	1.1*
357		1	1	7.1	10.6	1.0	1.6	1.9	1.2	3.2	1.1
357		2	1	23.0	11.6	-1.5	1.7	1.3	1.4	5.3	1.4
357		2	2	47.8	16.5	4.8	2.3	4.4	1.7	4.3	1.5
357		3	1	23.5	9.5	-6.4	2.1	1.0	1.1	,á	.3*
359		1	1	23.5	8.1		1.7	۱.2	. 9	3.7	1.0
359		1	2	14.2	13.3	-1.6	2.2	3.8	1.6	7.8	1.6
359		2	1	-5.2	10.0	-1.2	1.9	1.7	1.2	4.5	1.4
359		2	2	39.6	10.7	- B	2.1	2.9	1.1	4.9	\$.1
359		3	1	107.7	19.0	-20.3	3.7	14.1	1.9	2.0	.6*
361		1	1	24.7	9.4	. 9	2.0	1.8	1.1	3.0	1.1
361		1	2	23.0	11.3	0	2.1	1.9	1.2	4.3	1.2
361		2	1	19.8	10.5	1.7	1.6	4.7	1.1	2.7	1.0
361		2	2	9.9	8.9	-1.3	1.9	3.2	1.0	3.9	1.1
361		3	1	1.8	12.9	8.1	1.2	. 6	1.4	2	. 64
361		4	2	-1.1	7.1	4	1.8	1.2	1.0	. 2	. 4*
361		7	1	-4.9	7.4	-1	1.5	1.5	.9	2.7	1.0
341		7	2	10.6	11.2	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.3	3.7	1.4
361		8	1	-17.6	10.0	.2	1.7	1.3	1.2	1.0	5.1
363		\$	1	3.7	9.4	.7	1.8	1.2	1.0	3.9	1.1
393		1	2	6.2	B,3	0	1.7	3.3	.7	2.9	1.0
363		2	1	31,9	13.3	.8	1,7	1.3	1.4	3.1	1.4

STAKE	DISTANCE SAMPLE		THORIUM		RADIUN		LE	AD	URAN	IUN	
NO.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
363		2	2	19.5	11.9	3.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.3
363		3	1	39.6	13.2	20.2	.1	2,3	1.1	7	.5*
365		1	1	18.0	14.0	1.2	1.7	3.8	1.5	.8	1.0*
365		1	2	-2.4	9.4	7.9	1.8	1.7	1.0	-1.0	.8*
365		2	1	51.0	11.0	2.2	1.6	4.3	1.2	- , 1	1,1*
365		2	2	26.0	10.0			2.5	. 9	.9	. 9*
365		3	1	44.5	\$1.2	1.2	2,1	2.3	1.1	. 2	,4*
367		1	1	9.9	9.1	2	1.9	4.3	1.1	4.9	1.1
367		1	2	1.2	7.9	~.2	2.2	.0	1.0	5.2	1.2
367		3	1	118.7	20.1	.8	3.1	13.0	2.2	.9	.8*
369		1	1	12.4	10.8	.9	t.5	2.1	1.3	.1	1.2
369		1	2	21.3	12.3	9	1.7	1.0	1.3	3.6	1.3
369		3	1	33.0	10.0			- 4	1.0	4	.9
369			1	12.0	10.0	1.2	1.2	2.3	1.4	.4	.8
369		5	1	24.0	12.0	6.3	2.0	5.5	1.4	~.6	1.1
371		1	1	3.5	13.7	-1.4	2.0	- 4	1.5	6.9	1.6
371		1	2	15.9	12.7	1	1.9	1.1	1.0	4.0	1.3
371		2	1	37.1	10.7	-,5	1.6	4.3	1.0	3.4	1,1
371		2	2	26.6	12.5	6	1.6	1.5	1,2	4.3	1.2
371		3	1	19.8	7.8	5.5	2.0	1.0	. 9	3.4	.9
371		6	1	8.7	9.0	1.3	2.0	.7	1.0	4.2	1.0
371		6	2	1.2	2.9	0	1.9	.6	1.0	3.2	1.0
371		7	1	8.7	7.1	.1	1.4	1.2	.8	2.3	.9
371		7	2	-2.4	7.4	.0	1.6	1,1	.8	3.1	.9
371		9	t	28.3	11.5	1.7	1.5	9	1.2	1.0	1.0
371		8	2	10.6	10.6	-1.8	1.8	1.9	1.2	4.0	1.2
373		1	1	35.9	10.4	2.9	2.1	2.5	.9	4.9	1.1
373		1	2	40.7	13.4	6	2.0	1.9	1.4	6.1	1.4
373		2	1	-1.7	14.7	3.0	2.0	1.1	1.3	4.0	1.4
373		2	2	24.8	9.8	-1.5	2.0	2.3	1.0	5.5	1.1
373		3	1	45.8	12.2	.4	2.1	5.0	1.1	7.1	1.2
373		- 4	1	141.7	22.9	5	2.8	14.0	2.5	13.0	2.0
373		5	1	62.0	17.6	2.5	2.5	B.4	1.9	6.5	1.6
375		1	1	3.7	9.0	8	1.8	7.2	.9	3.2	1.1
375		2	1	16.0	8.9	-1.2	1.6	t.5	. 9	3.0	1.0
375		3	1	33.3	10.1	.2	1.9	5.1	1.1	4.9	1.1
375		9	1	12.4	10.0	.5	3.2	3.7	1.0	18.3	1.7
377		1	1	14.7	8.8	. 6	2.0	3.5	1.1	4.9	1.1
377		1	2	46.1	14.2	-1.5	2.4	7.7	1.8	7.5	1.6
377		2	1	14.9	8.6	1.7	1.8	2.0	.8	3.4	1.0
377		3	1	47.0	12.1	. B	2.3	3.2	1.2	7.0	1.3

STAKE DISTANCE		SANPLE		THOR	IUN	RAD	IUM	LE	AD	URAN	IUN	
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	
379		1	1	31.9	14.0	.6	2.1	2.9	1.6	3.3	1.5	
379		1	2	11.1	9.2	1.6	1.7	1.2	1.1	3.5	1.0	
379		2	1	-2.4	9.4	3.5	2.1	.4	1.0	1.1	1.0	
379		3)	10.6	11.9	.9	1.8	3	1.2	3.8	1.1	
381		1	1	28.3	14.3	-1.2	1.7	1.0	1.4	4.8	1.4	
381		t	2	31.9	13.5	- , 9	1.9	2.3	1.6	4.8	1.4	
381		2	1	12.4	11.3	- 3	1.8	4.0	1.4	2.5	1.2	
381		3	1	7.1	10.9	1.8	1.8	2.3	1.2	4.6	1.2	
381		6	1	8.7	10.1	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.1	3.2	1,1	
391		6	2	-4.9	10.2	5.5	1.7	.7	t.0	1.7	1.1	
381		7	1	15.9	13.6	-2.3	1.9	2.1	1.2	5.6	1.5	
381		7	2	18.6	8.8	-3.8	1.8	1.8	1.0	4.2	1.0	
361		7	3	5.3	9.5	.2	1.7	5	1.2	2.7	1.1	
381		8	1	-6.1	B.3	2.2	1.7	1,7	.9	t.3	1.0	
381		8	2	5.3	11.3	1.1	1.7	2.9	1.5	1.8	1.1	
383		1	1	53.2	12.2	.3	2.3	2,8	1.1	7.2	1.2	
383		1	2	26.6	11.6	1.0	2.1	4.2	1.5	5.3	1.3	
383		1	3	17.7	12.5	1.5	1.7	.4	1.4	3.3	1.2	
383		3	1	19.5	13.6	2.8	2.0	1.3	1.4	4.3	1.4	
385		İ	1	-7.0	11.2	-4	2.2	1.1	1.4	5.7	1.5	
385		5	2	19.8	9.3	. 2	2.0	2.9	1.0	4.2	1.1	
385		2	1	1.8	12.4	1.8	2.2	2.3	1.4	2.9	1.4	
385		3	1	74.3	14.9	7	2.6	7.9	1.5	12.4	1.6	
385		- 4	1	-8.8	14.7	.0	2.2	5.6	1.7	5.5	1.6	
385		5	1	.0	9.B	1.3	1.0	2.3	1.1	3.9	1.0	
387		1	1	54.9	14.5	- • 8	2.1	1,5	1.0	7.6	1.5	
382		2	1	-4.9	6.8	2.0	1.4	2	.8	2.3	.0	
387		3	1	99.2	18.1	-1.1	2.5	6.7	1.8	9.3	1.7	
387		+	1	10.8	20.0	. 9	2.6	11.3	2.3	10.0	2.0	
387		5	1	47.0	11.1	2.8	2.1	4.4	1.0	4.8	1.2	
389		1	1	1.7	10.5	1.2	1.8	-1.8	1.3	3.3	1.3	
389		1	2	17.3	9.3	-2,1	1.9	2.8	1.0	4.1	1.1	
387		2	1	5.0	11.2	-2.3	2.3	4.3	1.3	4.8	1.3	
389		3	1	93.9	19.3	0	2.6	5.2	2.0	9.4	1.7	
387		9	1	47.0	11.4	2.7	3.8	2.6	1.0	27.6	1.9	
391		1	1	-1.1	8.8	.6	2.0	.3	1.0	4.1	1.2	
391		3	1	138.7	22.7	6.4	2.9	16.1	2.4	9.7	2.0	
391		6	1	-14.2	12.3	-2	2.1	.2	1.5	6.1	1.4	
391		6	2	9.9	9.9	1.1	2.0	3.7	1.2	3.1	1.2	
391		7	1	47.0	11.3	3.4	2.1	1.4	1.0	6.1	1.2	
391		2	2	17.3	8.2	1.6	1,9	. 8	.9	4.5	1.0	

STAKE	STAKE DISTANCE		LE	THOR	IUN)H RADIUM		LE	AD	URAHIUH	
NO.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
391		8	1	-12.2	9.2	2.7	1.5	1.0	1.0	.3	1.2
391		8	2	3.5	12.3	1.2	2,4	.9	1.4	6.2	1.4
393		1	1	10.6	12.3	3	1.9	1,9	1.3	5.2	1.4
393			2	.0	8.6	-2.5	1,8	1.8	.9	5.7	1.1
393		2	1	14.9	8.9	9	1.4	1.8	.9	2.4	1.0
393		4	1	73.0	13.0	12.8	1.5	5.8	1.2	2	.5*
395		1	1	.0	13.0			5.0	1.6	1.2	1.0*
395		1	2	30.0	10.0			1.1	1.1	2.7	1.2*
395		2	1	B.B	14.0			-4	1.6	-1.1	1.0*
395			1	39.0	12.0			3.3	1.7	2.0	1.1*
395		4	2	21.0	9.5	-10.9	2.5	1.9	1.0	.9	. 4*
395			3	47.0	12.0			5.8	1.3	.5	.9*
397		\$	1	23.5	9.7	4	1.9	2.9	.9	4.3	1.1
397		1	2	23.5	10.0	.6	2,2	2.5	1.0	5.1	1.1
397		4	1	30.1	14.7	7.9	2.1	4.4	1.5	9	,3+
399		,	1	7.4	8.8	2.4	1.7	3.9	.9	2.3	1.0*
399		2	1	3.5	10.3	.5	1.6	4.2	1.5	2.2	1.3
399		4	1	14.9	8.9	5.2	1.6	2.1	1.0	3	.9
401		ŧ	1	40.9	11.7	-2.8	1.9	2.2	1.0	6.5	1.3
401		1	2	21.1	10.0	9	1.9	2.3	1.1	4.4	1.1
401		2	1	17.7	13.0	-2.3	2.2	3.6	1.5	8.9	1.5
401		6	1	-12.2	B.0	.0	2.1	3.4	1.0	.3	.4*
401		6	2	-15.9	13.0			3.1	1.7	1.4	1.0
401		7	1	1.7	10.5	3	1.5	1	1.2	1.9	1.1
401		7	2	-1.1	7.1	.2	1,3	2.5	.9	1.4	,8
401		7	3	10.6	10.0	-1.6	1.6	3	1.1	2.5	1.1
401		B	1	35.0	2.4	4.6	.4	2.4	. 2	• i	.2*
401		8	2	.0	10.6	1.0	1.5	1.9	1.3	2.3	1.2
401		6	3	~2.4	8.4	3	1.5	.2	.7	1.5	.9
403		1	1	17.3	8.4	-1.6	2.0	2.3	- 9	4.9	1.1
403		2	1	2.5	B.6	.2	1.8	- 4	•B	3.9	1.0
405		1	3	57.0	13.0			1.7	1.7	1.0	. 9*
405		2	1	.0	7.8			1.6	.6	.2	1,14
405		4	1	31.0	9.2			1.2	1.0	-4	9.2*
405		- 4	2	53.0	17.0		_	3.8	1.7	1.0	1.1*
407		1	1	21.3	13.5	.0	1_B	3.3	1.4	3.4	1.3
407		2	1	7.1	9.4		_	3	1.2	-1.1	1.0*
409		ł	1	19.8	9.3	4	1.7	1.5	1.0	4.4	1.0
409		1	2	129.3	17.8	1.5	2.6	9.6	2.1	10.0	1.7
409		1	3	15.9	13.6	.3	2.0	.2	1.5	5.8	1.5
411		1	1	17.0	7.4			2.9	1.0	8	. 94

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE		THOR	THORIUM		RADIUM		LEAD		104
NO.	NETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
411	1	1	2	79.7	18.7	-1	2.5	3,8	1.7	10.8	1.8
411		2	1	-6.1	7.7	.5	1.7	.3	. 9	1.8	1.0
411		2	2	39.0	15.4	-1.0	2.0	3.3	1.4	5.3	1.5
411		6	1	-1.1	9.2			1.9	1.0	3	.9=
411		7	1	5.0	8.6			1.8	1,1	.0	1.0*
411		7	2	10.6	9.7	-1.3	2.0	2.1	1.2	1.7	1.2
411		7	3	-2.4	6.6	5	1.9	1.1	.7	2.9	.9
411		8	1	11.0	12.0			3.8	1.3	.2	1.1*
411		В	2	-14.1	10.9	1.3	1.B	2.9	1.2	1.9	1.2
411		8	3	.0	7.7	1	1.6	-1	.В	3.3	1.0
413		1	1	16.1	9.2	1.2	1.7	2.2	1.1	2.3	1.1+
413		1	2	44.3	16.7	2.4	2.2	2.7	1.6	5.7	1.6
413		2	1	24.8	12.8	.3	1.9	1.9	1.6	4.8	1.0
415		1	1	60.0	15.0			.8	1.7	. 0	1.0+
415		t	2	73.0	15.0			1.0	1.9	1.0	1.1+
415		2	1	12.0	12.0			.8	1.5	1.0	1.2*
417		1	ι	24.8	13.7	-1.3	2.1	2.9	1.5	7.9	1.5
417		1	2	39.0	14.8	2.4	2.1	3.1	1.5	5.3	1.5
417		2	1	29.7	10.2	-3.5	1.9	2.9	1.2	4.6	1.2
417			1	63.1	14.5	-11.0	3.0	6.5	1.6	1.1	.5+
419		1	1	21.0	10.4	-2.7	1.9	3,3	1.1	4.8	1.2
419		1	2	9.9	8.8	2.5	1.6	1.9	1.0	.4	.9*
419		2	1	9.9	9.1	-1.3	1.7	1.1	. 9	4.5	1.0
421		1	1	24.8	8.4	.8	1.8	2.0	1.0	4.2	1.0
421		2	1	37.0	8.5	. 6	1.6	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.0
421		2	2	5.3	10.8	1.0	1.5	1	1.2	1.9	1.3
421			1	7.0	10.0	.0	1.6	1.7	1.3	3.8	1.0
421		6	1	8.7	5.4	.4	1.3	.8	.8	ι.2	.8
421		ó	2	-4.9	7.6	4	1.6	2.8	1.0	2.0	1.0
421		7	1	6.2	8.1	4.0	2.0	.7	. 9	3.1	1.0
421		7	2	8.9	10.5	-3.1	1.1	. 6	1.5	2.5	1.2
121		7	3	-1.7	8.9			1.9	1.3	3	• B*
121		B	1	5.0	8.9	2.6	1.6	2,5	.9	1.9	1.0
421		Ð	2	-14.1	10.0	.2	1.7	1.3	1.4	2,7	1.3
423		t	2	38.0	12.0			2.5	1.2	- 4	t.0+
423		2	1	1.8	10.5	.5	1.6	. 6	1.2	2.2	1.3*
425		1	1	83.0	16.0			2.3	1.8	-1.3	1.0*
125		1	2	27.0	14.0	3.7	1.8	3	1.6	.2	1.0*
425		3	1	41.0	11.0	7.1	2.0	5.8	1.3	1.3	1.1*.
427		1	1	18.6	11.7	-2.6	2.3	2.2	1.2	6.2	1.2
427		1	2	71.2	8.3	.8	1.6	3.3	1.0	2.8	1.0

2

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMPLE		THOR	THORIUN		RADIUN		LEAD		URANIUN	
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	
427		3	1	100.3	16.1	-4.7	2.6	8.8	1.5	.8	.5+	
429		3	1	24.8	10.9	.8	1.8	2.1	1.2	3.4	1,3	
429		2	1	30.1	11.3	1.6	1.8	3	1.5	3.9	1.2	
429		3	1	15.0	9.0	3.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	.0	1.0+	
431		1	1	21.0	11.4	- 1	1.3	2.8	1.0	5.5	1.2	
431		1	2	35.4	15.8	-1.3	1.8	3.6	1.4	4.6	1.4	
431		2	1	5.3	11.0			4.8	1.4	.8	.1*	
431		3	1	129.3	22.2	-12.1	3.2	10.4	2.3	1.4	.8*	
431		6	1	23.0	11.3	3.1	2.0	.2	1.5	.1	.4+	
431		7	1	12.4	9.3	.2	1.8	- 4	1.0	4.9	1.1	
431		7	2	-17.9	10.0			.8	1.4	1	.9*	
431		7	3	1.8	11.0	2.0	1.6	2.3	1.4	1.3	1.2	
431		9	1	14.0	7.3			0	.9	.2	.8*	
431		8	2	7.1	11.8	-2.6	1.6	1.3	1.0	2.7	1.1*	
431		B	3	-13.9	11.0	1.2	1.4	.6	1.4	5	1.0*	
433		1	1	12.0	13.0			1.2	1.4	1.1	1,1*	
433		1	2	7.1	11.0			. 8	1.3	1.2	.9*	
435		1	1	37.1	14.0	-1.6	2.1	1.5	1,3	7.5	1.5	
435		2	1	20.0	8.7			-1	1.0	.5	.9*	
435		3	1	106.3	20.2	7.9	2.3	11.5	2.2	0	.7*	
437		1	1	11.1	8.7	-1.6	2.1	2.1	. 9	4.6	1.1+	
437		1	2	37.2	13.0	2.3	2.4	2.1	1,6	6.0	1.6	
437		2	1	37.0	13.0			3.1	1.5	9	.9	
437		3	1	77.9	18.5	-10.2	3.2	7.1	1,8	1.3	.6	
439		1	1	26.0	11.0			2.9	1.1	1.4	1.0	
439		1	2	6.2	2.1			.0	.9	3	- 6	
439		3	1	10.6	16.4	-13.6	3.0	.2	1.7	1.2	.6	
441		1	2	14.7	9.8	.1	2.0	1.8	.9	4.8	1,1	
441		2	1	17.7	14.4	1.8	2.1	1.3	1.5	7.1	1.6	
441		3	1	30.1	13.4	-3.8	2.1	.4	1.5	.4	.5*	
441		6	1	15.9	13.1	7.7	1.6	1.3	1.3	1	.5+	
441		6	2	-8.5	8.6	-2.2	1.9	. 4	1.0	.2	.4*	
441		7	1	40.7	12.4	7	2.0	3.6	1.3	3.7	1.4	
441		7	2	12.4	10.8	1.0	1.6	.8	1.1	3.2	1.1	
441		2	3	-2.0	10.0	4	1.5	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.1	
441		8	1	.0	2.6	2	1.3	1.B	۰,	1,8	.9	
441		8	2	-10.5	9.0	1.3	1.5	2.3	1,1	1.9	1.1	
441		8	3	1.2	7.3	.3	1.4	2.5	.9	2.0	.9	
443		1	1	19.8	8.9	2.6	2.3	2.5	1.1	5.7	1_4*	
443		2	1	55.7	14.0	3.2	2.5	5.0	1.2	8.0	1.4	
443		3	1	18.5	10.7	-19.9	3.3	4.6	1.2	1.6	.5	

÷

STAKE	DISTANCE	SANP	LE	THOP	NUIS	RAD	IUM	LE	AD	URAN	IUM
ND.	METERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
445		1	1	10.0	12.0			4.4	1.4	2.2	1.1*
445		2	1	-5.2	10.0			2.5	1.6	1.2	1.0*
445		3	1	34.0	11.0			1.2	1.8	3	1.0+
447		1	1	23.5	10.0	.7	2.0	4.3	-1.0	5.6	1.1
447		2	1	37.0	14.0			2.7	t.5	.0	1.1+
447		3	1	51.4	14.3	-4.8	2.3	5.0	1.6	.7	.ó*
447		9	1	69.3	13.9	-5.5	2.6	9.2	1,4	.8	.5
449		t	2	3.5	11.0			3.0	1.3	-1.1	1.0*
449		3	1	38.0	11.0	1.0	1	3.4	1,3	2.7	.9+
451		1	1	33.7	13.4	1.0	2.0	2.5	1.5	7.0	1.44
451		1	2	11.0	9.0	3.0	1.5	1.9	1.1	.7	.8*
451		6	1	11.0	13.0			.4	1.6	1.0	. 9*
451		6	2	-8.5	8.1			1.9	1.0	-2.6	1.0*
451		7	1	8.7	8.0			3.4	1.0	. 4	.8*
451		7	2	20.0	9.3			3.0	.9	-1.5	.8*
451		7	3	-3.4	10.0			1.5	1.2	3	.7*
451		8	L	12.0	11.0			. 2	1.4	.0	1,1*
451		9	2	8.7	7.9	. 3	1.6	. 4	.9	. ?	.8+
453		1	1	13.6	8.8	6	1.7	1.1	.9	2.6	1.0
453		2	1	.0	13.3	-1.6	1.8	1	1.3	4.2	1,4
453		3	1	47.8	17.5	1.0	2.0	2.3	1-6	7.0	1.6
455		Ť	1	11.0	9.5			.1	.9	4	1.0≉
455		1	2	-7.3	9.0			3.4	1.1	. 4	.8*
455		2	t	31.0	2.6	7.2	.5	5.4	.3	.3	.2*
455		3	1	14.0	9.3			2.5	1.0	.2	1.0*
457		1	1	38.7	12.0	3.3	1.5	.8	1.4	0	.5*
457		2	1	3.5	11.2	5.6	1.5	3	1.2	1	1.4*
457		3	1	105.2	16.4	-3.0	2.4	11.2	1.8	.7	.6*
459		1	1	17,3	10.6	-2.0	1.7	4.6	1.1	.2	. 4+
459		3	1	19.5	16.1	-5.6	2.6	4.4	1.9	.7	.5*
461		1	1	3.7	10.0	-2.4	2.1	1.4	1.0	.4	.4*
461		2	1	17.7	14.2	-12.0	2.6	1.3	1.4	1.1	.5*
461		7	1	4.9	7.4	.9	1.6	3.2	1.0	.2	.4*
461		7	2	-1.7	11.1	-3.3	2.0	1.0	1.2	.5	.4*
463		3	1	24.8	12.3	. 5	1.7	1.3	1.3	4.3	1.3
465		3	1	26.0	11.3	4	2.0	4.8	1.3	4.8	1.2
471		1	1	-7.3	7.6	1	1.8	2.3	.9	4.0	1.0
471		2	1	-1.7	11.6	3	1.9	3.1	1.4	4.8	1.3
471		7	2	9.9	6.8	.7	1.7	1.4	.9	3.0	.9
477		1	1	30.1	14.7	3.1	2.1	2.3	1.6	5.6	1.7
477		1	2	-7.0	13.7	1.1	1.8	2.5	1.4	3.9	1_4

-

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAMI	PLE	THÖR	IUN	RAÐ	101	LE	AD	URAN	NUL
NO.	HETERS	TYPE	RE₽.	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
477		2	1	17.7	13.0	. 6	1.9	3.1	1.3	4.2	1.4
477		3	1	26.0	9.8	-1.0	1.8	2.1	.9	3.5	1.1
479		1	1	7.4	7.8	-2.0	1.8	1.7	.9	4.4	1.0
479		1	2	52.0	11.6	.B	2.2	1.2	1.1	6.9	1.2
479		2	1	1.8	9.9	3	1.5	-2	1.2	2.7	1.0
479		3	1	33.7	11.3	2.7	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.5	1.2
, 481		1	2	17.3	9.4	-1	1.B	2.2	1.1	5.0	1.2
481		1	3	22.3	11.2	6	2.1	2.9	1.1	5.3	1.2
481		2	ł.	10.6	10.6	3,3	1.8	3.8	t.3	2.3	1.2
481		3	1	\$1.1	10.1	.0	1.9	3.0	1.1	3.1	1.0
481		6	1	1.2	9.5	÷.7	1.8	1.0	1.0	3.4	1.1
481		6	2	-5.2	10.5	5	1.6	•8	1.4	4.2	1.4
481		7	1	1.8	12.9	-1	1.7	-1.2	1.3	3.7	1.3
481		7	2	-6.1	7.7	.2	1.8	~.0	•B	2.9	5.1
481		8	1	2.5	9.2	1.4	1.9	2.8	. 9	3.0	1.0
483		1	ł	14.9	9.3	3.7	2.1	3.4	1.0	3.6	1.0
483		ŧ	2	44.3	14.1	-1.5	1.9	4.8	1.6	5.2	1.3
483		2	t	12.4	8.7	3	1.B	3.0	1.0	4.0	1.1
483		2	2	-4.9	8.6	1.0	1.6	1.1	.9	2.2	1.0
483		3	1	76.2	14.5	3	2.2	1.3	1.5	7.2	1.6
485		1	1	17.7	12.0	.2	1.6	3.3	1.4	2.7	1.3
485		1	2	7.1	12,8	.1	1.9	. B	5.4	4.5	1.3
485		2	1	21.3	13.7	2.2	2.1	.6	1.4	5.2	1,4
485		3	1	35.4	14.4	3.7	2.3	.0	1.6	6.4	1.5
487		2	1	7.t	10.3	1.5	2.1	1.5	1.4	5.0	1.4
487		2	2	54.5	14.7	-9.9	2.3	2.5	1.5	12.9	1.6
487		3	1	37.1	11.7	-6.0	2.1	4.1	1_2	B.Q	1.2
489		1	ł	-7.0	11.2	2.5	1.7	2.7	1.5	2.3	1.3
487		1	2	7.1	10.3	.0	1.3	1.5	1.1	2.9	1.1
489		2	1	28.3	14.2	1.0	1.9	1.5	3.6	1.9	3.4
487		3	2	64-4	14.3	5.7	2.2	8.3	3.4	5.2	3,4
487		9	1	123.8	16.9	2.0	3.1	11.9	1.7	12.4	[.7
491		1	1	-5.2	10.5	1.0	1.7	3.6	1.3	2.3	(.3
491		1	2	6.2	5.9	1.2	1.5	0	.8		
491		2	1	8.7	8.5	-4.0	2.2	3.0	1.0	7.5	1.2
491		ð,	1	.0	8.8	1.4	2.0	2.8	1.0	4.1	1.1
491		•	2	-2.4	8.8	8	1.8	1.4	. · Y	2.5	1.0
493			1	3.5	10.0	2.6	1.5	ö.	1.1	.8	1,0
491			2	9.9	7.4	1.2	1.0			3.5	
491			3	10.6	10.9	.7	1.6	. 8	1.3	1.9	1.2
491		8	1	-5.2	10.2	.6	E.6	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.2

_

STAKE	DISTANCE	SAN	PLE	THORIUM		RADIUN		LEAD		URANIUM	
ND.	HETERS	TYPE	REP.	LEVEL	ERRDR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR	LEVEL	ERROR
491		8	2	21.3	9.4	1.B	9.0	1.5	1.3	3.0	1.2
491		9	1	28.3	11.8	~.0	2.5	1.5	1.3	12.3	1.7

.

-

APPENDIX C

.

.

230 TH CONCENTRATIONS PLOTTED VERSUS RID PUERCO



C.1



C.2



C.3








Į

















.¥_



z





RIU PUERCO SAMPLING LOCATIONS

APPEND1X 0

.

ī.

.



ŧ





h





1







-. . . 1 POST CLEAN-UP ananassan kuta anan kuta maa saka Ay ing maangan 1 STED MACLEAR CORPORATION l - 10-. 446 11, 7.166 A.168, 8 87.8 ***

۰.

ī.

D.9





i

ł





.





......



(*










terra con a company para a sua a para a terra con gangar POST CLEAN-UP h l FUC C COL.T * Alte, Bass 14, 7.4







. . -

D.24







Ĩ









1.1.1.1.11

. .,

APPENDIX E

2

.

DATA FOR SITE VARIABILITY STUDIES

APPENDIX E

DATA FOR SITE VARJABILITY STUDIES

KEY TO APPENDIX E

.

ł

۱ .

Level: Concentration in pCi/g

Error: Counting Error.

Sample	Thor	ายณ	Rad	ium	Lea	aoi	<u> Ura</u> r	าเมต
Number	Level	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error
01 02 03 04	-3.5 17 27 -10	13 8.6 15 9.1	-	- - -	2.7 1.1 5.5 1.0	1.5 0.93 1.6 0.95	0.0 0.0 1.4 0.90	1.0 0.88 0.80 1.0
05 06 07 08	64 72 97 51	17 15 20 13	2.4 1.7 -	0.50 0.46 -	7.3 8.3 10 6.5	2.0 1.5 2.4 1.4	0.61 0.0 -0.20 -1.3	1.1 0.98 1.2 1.1
09 010 011 012	170 74 71 48 30	20 17 17 13 15	2.6 1.3 -	0.34 0.45 -	16 4.6 2.7 3.3 5.8	2.2 2.0 1.8 1.3 1.6	0.54 0.41 3.1 -0.36 1.0	1,4 0,91 1,2 0,36 0,84
013 014 015 016	30 11 58 71	14 12 13 12	- - -	- - -	2.3 4.1 4.4 8.7	1.5 1.2 1.2 1.3	-1.2 -0.54 0.0 0.36	1.2 0.94 0.95 1.1
017 018 019 020	88 81 48 92	16 16 1∠ 16	6.1 7.4 2.1	1.8 2.0 4.8	0.21 7.1 5.1 7.7	1.9 1.8 1.3 1.5	1.0 -1.6 0.54 0.72	1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
03D2	5,3 25 19 44	13 13 2.8 14	2.0	1.6 0.37	4.4 4.0 2.2 1.3	1.5 1.6 0.35 1.4	-1.4 0.61 -0.15 0.20	0.98 0.98 0.22 0.80

TABLE E.1. Variability Study No. 1

Variability Study No. 1

Sample	Thor	านแก	Radi	ium	Lead		Uranium	
Number	Lëvel	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error
0106 0206 0301 0303	-1.2 23 22 30 19	7.5 13 9.0 14 11	1.6 3.8 -	0.27 1.5 -	3.0 4.2 2.5 4.0 1.3	1.0 1.7 1.0 1.6 1.7	0.0 -1.0 0.19 0.41 0.20	0.88 1.0 0.90 1.0 1.1
0304 0305 0306 0307	25 3.7 -3.7 21	11 8.7 8.7 9.2	-	- - -	2.1 2.8 2.7 2.6	1.5 1.1 0.90 1.0	0.0 1.4 0.0 0.18	2.2 0.92 0.77 0.87
0308 04D1 04D2 04D3	0.0 16 10 8.9	9.1 9.8 8.8 11	- - -	- - -	1.6 4.3 4.1 2.3	1.0 1.2 1.0 1.5	$0.0 -1.1 \\ 1.6 -1.0$	0.92 0.99 0.94 0.94
0404 04D5 04D6	55 23 23 35	15 13 13 13	-		4.8 1.0 4.4 4.2	1.9 1.7 1.7 1.7	-1.0 0.61 -1.6 0.61	1.3 1.1 0.91 0.84
0407 0408 0409	23 16 23 32	13 9.3 12 10	0.75 - - -	0.26	0.63 3.2 3.1 1.8	1.6 1.1 1.4 1.1	0.41 -0.36 1.0 0.54	0.87 0.99 0.84 0.94
04010 0501 05D3 05D9	30 18 -14 -15	12 10 12 15	-		0.21 1.5 2.5 1.5	1.2 1.5 1.6 1.6	0.0 -1.2 -1.2 0.41	1.2 0.82 1.1 1.3
0206 0301 0302 0303	-8.8 41 57 58	13 12 16 13	- - 0.54	- - 0.42	4.2 4.4 1.5 5.7	1.5 1.2 1.4 1.2	0.41 -0.18 0.0 -0.54	0.87 0.83 1.0 0.97
0304 0305 0306 0401	21 28 52 50	9.0 13 12 9.1	1.7	1.6	1.2 2.1 2.9 3.2	0.95 1.6 1.0 1.1	0.54 0.81 0.18 0.90	0.74 0.86 0.90 0.83
04U2 04U3 04U4 05U3	26 42 48 7.1	10 12 16 9.7	- 1.1 4.0	 0.24 1.7	7.0 4.1 7.1 0.42	1.2 1.0 2.0 1.3	-1.8 1.6 2.5 0.20	1.0 1.2 1.1 0.98

4

I

05U5 05U6 05U7 05U8	04U7 04U8 04U9 05U3	04U4 04U5 0446	04U3	03U8 03U9 04U1 04U2	03U4 03U5 03U6 03U7	0206 0301 0302 0303	Sample <u>Number</u> 01 02 03 04
16 42 37	56 31 18 -6.2	49 61 148 114	46 58 70	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	32 11 -3.5	37 49 14	Thoi Level 34 27 27 27
15113	12 10 11 7.1	12 12 4.9 16	10 11 12 13	11 9.4 13 14	10 8.5 14	15 12 13 9.7	Crium Error 15 14 11
	1 1 1 <u>1</u>	- 12	0,30 	11114	4 i I	1 1 1 1	Level - 1,1
1 1 1 1	.)	- - 0.47	0.21 	1111	1 1 1	1 + 1 1	Um Error 1 - 0,9
5.2 0.41	0.0 0.41 3.7 -0.55	თთფല ი440	30005 33751	40221 40221	2.2 3.3 3.3	4435 0404	Level 5.8 3.1 3.3 3.3
1.5 1.94	0.97 1.1 1.1 1.1 0.65	1.4 1.1 1.3	1.7 1.7 1.2	1.33	1.2 1.0 0.95	1.8 1.6	Error 1.6 1.0 0.7
0.82 0.91 0.54	-0.90 -0.54 -0.90	-0.61 0.36 1.1 0.90	0.0 -1.2 -0.54 -0.18	-1.6 1.3 0.82 -0.61	-1.6 -2.2 1.1	-1.6 1.4 2.0	Level 1.0 0.20 1.1
1.1 0.79 0.74	1.0 0.90 1.0 0.79	0.94 0.95 0.27 1.0	1.2 1.1 0.87 0.83 1.1	1.0 0.79 0.87 0.91 1.0	1.0 0.81 1.0 0.99	1.2 0.99 1.2 1.0	Error 1.3 0.68 0.74

TABLE E.2. Variability Study No. 2

TABLE E.2. (contd)

•

.

×.

Variability Study No. 2

Sample	Thos	าว์นณ	Radi	ium	Lea	ď	Urar	lium
Number	Leve]	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error	Level	Error
0509	159	22	-	-	5.4	1.9	1.8	1.1
0609	28	14	-	-	-0.84	1.6	4.0	1.8
0301	66	9.5	6.6	1.3	3.4	0.85	1.1	0.70
0302	167	18	_	_	7.0	1.6	1.3	1.1
0401	51	12	4.9	1.4	3.2 .	1.0	0.6	0.72
0402	88	13	7.0	1.5	2.3	1.3	0.30	0.75
0502	3.5	7.0	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.0	-0.81	0.61

APPENDIX F

.

PROPOSED REVISED RIO PUERCO CLEANUP CRITERIA

APPENDIX F

PROPOSED REVISED RIO PUERCO CLEANUP CRITERIA

"The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (NMEID) on August 13, 1979 issued an Order to United Nuclear Corporation (UNC) which required UNC to comply with recommendations for a cleanup program as described in a letter from Mr. Thomas E. Baca, NMEID, to Mr. D. D. Turberville, UNC, of the same date. This letter refers to condition seven of that August 13 letter.

"In accordance with Section 4-100B of the <u>New Mexico Regulations for</u> <u>Governing the Health and Environmental Aspects of Radiation</u>, every reasonable effort should be made to maintain radiation exposure as far below the limits specified in Part Four as practicable. Pursuant to this section, the staff of the Environmental Improvement Division has evaluated cleanup reports submitted by the United Nuclear Corporation, and performed an assessment of the radiation exposures resulting from the increased radionuclide soil concentrations in the areas affected by the spill. Based on the results of these evaluations, the interim cleanup criteria given in condition number seven of the August 13, 1979 letter will be replaced by the following:

7A. All contaminated areas shall be cleaned up to background levels of all radionuclides. This criteria shall be considered satisfied if the mean concentrations of thorium-230, radium-226 and lead-210, averaged over nine square feet, are less than or equal to

		thorium-230	3	pCi/gram
		radium-226	3	pCi/gram
		lead-210	3	pCi/gram
at	the	50% confidence level.		

78. Those areas for which it has not been possible to meet the criteria given in Part 7A, upon approval by the Division, shall comply with the following criteria:

i. Mean concentrations of thorium-230, radium-226, and lead-210 shall not exceed the following limits: thorium-230 60 pCi/gram radium-226 10 pCi/gram lead-210 10 pCi/gram For the purpose of compliance with this criteria radionuclide concentrations may be averaged over areas with length of no more than 1000 ft parallel to the arroyo, and width defined by the bases of the cut banks of the arroyo. This width shall not exceed 100 ft. Those arroyo areas whose wioths exceed 100 ft must be separated into 1000-ft by 100-ft segments, and the radionuclide concentrations averageo over each segment. All samples to be included in these averages must be taken from the area between the bases of the cut banks.

ii. Mean concentrations of thorium-230, radium-226, and lead-210 when averaged over nine square feet, shall not exceed the following limits: thorium-230 150 pCi/gram madium 226 10 pCi/gram

		P + 1 31 4
radium-226	10	pCi/gram
lead-210	20	pCi/gram

iii. The limits given in Parts 7B.i. and 7B.ii above will apply at the 67% upper confidence level. Soil samples shall be taken in accordance with the standardized soil sampling technique described in the September 25, 1979, letter from Dr. Teo Wolff, NMEID, to Mr. John Abbiss, UNC."

DISTRIBUTION

No. of Copies

:

OFFSITE

A. A. Churm DOE Patent Division 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

320 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Technical Information and Document Control 7920 Norfolk Avenue Bethesda, MD 20014

2 DOE Technical Information Center

20 G. G. Eadie, Program Manager Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

2 H. J. Abiss UNC Mining and Milling P.O. Box 3951 Albuquerque, NM 87140

> Thomas E. Baca, Director State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division P.O. Box 968 Santa Fe, NM 87503

Patty Bell Wausaw Insurance 10701 Lomas Blvd. NE Albuquerque, NM 87112

No. of Copies

D. Bernhardt Office of Radiation Programs Environmental Protection Agency ORP/LVF P.O. Box 18416 Las Vegas, NV 89114 W. Bliss U.S. EPA ORP-LVF P.O. Box 18416 Las Vegas, NV 89114 Glen Brown U.S. NRC, Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive Arlington, TX 76011 R. Cooperstein Environmental and Safety Engineering Division U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC 20545 Henry Folk, M.D. Chronic Diseases Division Bureau of Epidemiology Center for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333 Helen George Church Rock Action Committee The Navajo Nation Window Rock, AZ 86515 J. R. Gieat Regional Radiation Representative Environmental Protection Agency 1860 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80295

No. of Copies D. H. Groelsema U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC 20545 D. F. Harmon U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Fred Haywood Health and Safety Research Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box X Oak Ridge, TN 37830 A. J. Hazle, Director Radiation and Hazardous Waste Control Division Colorado State Department of Health 1405 Curtis Street Denver, CO 80202 G. Wayne Kerr, Director Office of State Program U.S. NRC Washington, DC 20555 W. Kisieliski Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439 Kathleen Kreiss, M.D. Center for Disease Control Atlanta, GA 30333 Glen Lane Council of Energy Resource Tribes Technical Service Center 5660 South Syracuse Circle Suite 206 Englewood, CO 80111

No. of Copies

> Peter MacDonald, Chairman Navajo Tribal Council Window Rock, AZ 86515

Henry May U.S. EPA, Region VI 1201 Elm Street Dallas, TX 75270

- 10 Jere B. Millard State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division P.O. Box 968 Santa Fe, NM 87503
- 2 Todd Miller United Nuclear Corporation Church Rock Uranium Mill Gallup, NM 87301
 - M. Momeni Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Office of Director U.S. EPA Office of Radiation Program Washington, DC 20460

H. Rarrick Sandia Area Office U.S. Department of Energy P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87115

Dr. Chet Sautter P.O. Box 1858 Window Rock, AZ 86515

R. A. Scarano U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 No. of Copies

> D. Sly Office of Inspection & Enforcement U.S. NRC Washington, DC 20555

Lori Street Edlow International 1100 17th Street, NW Suite 404 Washington, DC 20036

T. L. Stumph U.S. EPA, Region IX 215 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105

Glen Switchs 4152 Howe Street Oakland, CA 94611

Jim Szecsody Desert Research Institute Wäter Resources Center P.O. Box 60220 Reno, NV 89506

2 Harold Tso The Navajo Nation (NEPC) Window Rock, AZ 86575

> J. Thrall Office of Radiation Programs Environmental Protection Agency ORP/LVF P.O. Box 18416 Las Vegas, NV 89114

No. of Copies

> J. Tipton EG&G, Incorporated P.O. Box 1912 Mail Stop P-01 Las Vegas, NV 89101

J. Witherspoon Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box X Oak Ridge, TN 37830

J. Womack Indian Health Service P.O. Box G Window Rock, AZ 86515

R. Wynveen Occupational Health and Safety Division Argonne National Laboratory 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

ONSITE

27 Pacific Northwest Laboratory

R. R. Kinnison J. M. Nielsen R. W. Perkins (5) J. H. Reeves L. C. Schwendiman W. C. Weimer (10) N. A. Wogman Technical Information (5) Publishing Coordination (2)(KC)

NRC 6704 325 U.S. NUCLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION 1. REFORT NUMBER ALLWARE ODC) 4 TILE AND SUBTICE INSURATION COMMISSION NURESCICK-2449 4 TILE AND SUBTICE INSURATION COMMISSION S. ACCURATES ACCESSION NO. 5 DATE REPORT COMPLETED S. ACCURATES ACCESSION NO. 6 THUE AND SUBTICE INSUED S. ACCURATES ACCESSION NO. 7 AUTHORNID S. ACCURATES ACCESSION NO. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT COMPLETED 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 9. RECOMMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 10. SUCLEAR REQUILATORY COMPLETED MOUTH VIAA 0. STATE OFFICE 1. CONTRACT NO. Date REPORT ISSUED 11. CONTRACT NO. S. LEARN DEMAND Date REPORT ISSUED 12. STOREORING CRANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 13. STORE CONTRACT NO MALE AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 14. Contract No PARCE AND ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 15. STOREORING CRANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date REPORT ISSUED 16. STOREORING CRANIZATION NAME AND MALING ADDRESS Bindow 2x Com/ Date Com/ <th><u></u></th> <th></th> <th></th>	<u></u>						
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET NURCEQUE-2439 4 TILE AND SUBTICE LARD Vewere New, requirations 2. Reversion PRL 4122 4 TILE AND SUBTICE LARD Vewere New, requirations 2. Reversion PRL 4122 4 TILE AND SUBTICE LARD Vewere New, requirations 2. Reversion PRL 4122 5. Recomment Subtometer New	NRC FORM 335	1. REPORT NUMBER	R (Assigned by DDC)				
THE AND SUBLICION PAPPIL CAT A SPEE: PRIC 4122 * THE AND SUBTICE AND PARTICIDATION SECURITY SECOND PRIC 4122 Survey of Rationacide Distributions Resulting from the Failure : Kame Mexico. Survey of Rationacide Distributions Resulting from the Failure : Kame Mexico. Authons: : Receivers Accession No. Failure : Sorvey of Rationacide Distributions Resulting from the Failure : Sorvey of Rate Part Computer Second Part Report Computer Second Part Report ISBUED * Authons: : Sorvey of Rate Part Sorvey Part Report ISBUED : Data Report ISBUED * Richland, Washington 99362 : Sorvey Part Report ISBUED : Data Report ISBUED * Richland, Washington 99362 : Sorvey Part Report ISBUED : Data Report ISBUED * U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards : Data Contract No. Division of Kaste Management Washington, D. C. 20555 : Is Survey Contract No. * Survey Contract Notes : Sort Report Part Notes None : Sort Report Part Notes In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of comprator is cleanup efforts in the affected areas was dire		NUREG/CR-24	NUREG/CR-2449				
4 TITE AND SUBTICE LADY Community 2. Lower Shorts 2 HIVE AND SUBTICE LADY COMPLETED SUPPORT OF A CONSTRUCT SUCCESSION NO. 3. ACCURENTS ACCESSION NO. 7. AUTONESI 3. ACCURENTS ACCESSION NO. N. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves NOVENDER 9. Performing GRANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 1981 9. Performing GRANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 1981 8. Reverse NOVENDER 9. Performing GRANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 0. ATE REPORT 1981 11. BRONE ONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 1981 8. Reverse 10. PROJECTITASEMORY UNIT NO. 11. STONE ONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 10. PROJECTITASEMORY UNIT NO. 11. SUBJECTION ONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 10. PROJECTITASEMORY UNIT NO. 11. SUBJECTION OF MASS the Management 2. Record Contrast NO. 12. NUCLEAR REGULATION CONTAST NOTES None 10. PROJECTITASEMORY UNIT NO. 13. STYPE OF REPORT PRINCO COVENED BROWN CONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 11. DROJECTITASEMORY UNIT NO. 13. STYPE OF REPORT PRINCO COVENED BROWN CONGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROWN 2.9 CONF 19.8 CONF 14. MERCHANNERS 14. R	BIBLIOGRAFHIC DATA SHEE?	PNL-4122					
Survey of Radionuclide Distributions Resulting from the Failure Automotion (International Mill Tailings Pond Dam Failure) Automotion (International Mill Tailings Pond Dam Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Bitemonium (International Mill Tailings Pond Dam Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 20555 Bity For Mill (International Mill Tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 20555 Bity For Mill (International) (International) (International) Final In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionucid analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination. Caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator's cleanup operations. The si Sampley proprim during contentrations. The soil Samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium. However, Centrain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, Centrain areas had levels of thorium-230 released from this program, is washed by the soil ison the series. As a result of this program, is washed by and radionuclide levels in excess of normal. Jabekground. I's NUMENT ANALYSENTER I's NUMEN	4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE (Add Volume No., if appropriate)	2. (Leave blank)	53 - K ^a 2				
Church Rock, New Mexico, Uranium Hill Tailings Pond Dam ALEUMENTS ACCESSION NO. ALEUMENTS ACCESSION ACCESS	Survey of Radionuclide Distributions Resulting fro	m the					
Failure 5 0ATE REPORT COMPLETED AUTHONSI K. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves S 0ATE REPORT COMPLETED S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluse Zo Com/ November 1931 Pacific Northwest Laboratory November 1991 Richland, Washington 99352 B. diser own/ U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Defember 1991 Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards December 1991 Division of Kaste Management Value Safety and Safeguards Washington, D.C. 20555 10. PROCOMENTATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluse Zo Com/ IN. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Fill No. B -2214 Division of Kaste Management Penico Covered Incluse demi Washington, D.C. 20555 11. CONTACT NO. IS. SPREUMENTARY MOTES Id. (new domi) None 14. (new domi) In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radianuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to enally of contact of contamination. caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its Cleanup operations. The soil samplies taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. Theser eroules indicate that the concentrations of unally.	Church Rock, New Mexico, Uranium Mill Tailings Por	Dam 3. RECIPIENT'S ACC	ESSION NO.				
X.AUTONGS S. AVE REPORT COMPLETED W. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves Soft REPORT COMPLETED W. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves Soft REPORT COMPLETED S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS BROWS 20 Conv Date Reform ISEUED Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Date Reform ISEUED II. BRONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS BROWS 20 Conv B. Gaver Work! B. Gaver Work! II. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards D. PROBECTRASCINORULITINO. Division of Waste Management Inconsort Material Safety and Safeguards FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN No. B-2214 II. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOC COVERED Inclume date! FIN Soft Counter	, Failure						
W. C. Weimer, R. R. Kinnison, J. H. Reeves Movine YEAR S. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION RAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (notwor 20 Geer) Date Reform IssueD Movine Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Date Reform IssueD Movine Richland, Washington 99352 B. Keere const. December 1981 12. SPONSONING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluse Exp Ceere/ B. Keere const. December 1981 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Waste Management FIN No. B-2214 Division of Waste Management Penico Covered Inclume event FIN No. B-2214 S. Austract NAM NOTES None 16. Anstract No. Final Penico Covered Inclume event FIN No. B-2214 Is needont S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evalue the extent of contamination. caused by the accident, and to aid the eries of the operator in its clearup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 12, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not disting/shable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorinu-230 greater than background concentrations. and the oper	7. AUTHOR(S)	5 DATE REPORT C	OMPLETED				
A. C. N. MINING F. N. N. KIMINSON, O. H. NEEVES November 1981 B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION MAME AND MALLING ADDRESS <i>fincture</i> 2¢ Coord Data FEPORT USUED PACIFIC NORTHWEST Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Date month II: PROBORING ORGANIZATION MAME AND MALLING ADDRESS <i>Include</i> 2¢ Coord B. dever demail U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission B. dever demail Division of Waste Management B. dever demail Washington, D. C. 2055 Penop covERED <i>Bindure</i> 2¢ Commission 10. DIVISION of Waste Management Final 11. CONTRACT NO. Final 12. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Penop covERED <i>Bindure</i> 20 commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluat the extent of contamination, caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses there usults of ther apples of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this peopratic date date and issee of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this peopratic date date in the sole samples of normal background. 17a. IDENTIFIERS/0PENENDED T	W C Maimor R P Kinnican 1 H Papyor	MONTH	YEAR				
B. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS <i>Incluid</i> 20 COM Date Report ISSUED Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Date Report ISSUED VEAR December 1931 12. DRONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS <i>Incluid</i> 20 Code/ U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 20355 I. DRONZORING COVERED <i>Industry openal</i> 13. TYPE OF REPORT PENIOD COVERED <i>Industry openal</i> FIN No. B-2214 14. Assee Weak? In contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination. caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator's cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of unanum, radium-230, rad lead-210 were not disting/shable from natural background concentrations, nad the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of shour 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of unanum, radium-230, released from this accident was about 12.4 Curies above background. Same areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 13.4 Curies above background. Satements aneples from the frand Canyon National Park showed no r	t	November	1981				
Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 Montry Iver Market 1981 II. PROMSONING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS Induce Zip Conf. B. (Lever Merk) B. (Lever Merk) II. PROMSONING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS Induce Zip Conf. B. (Lever Merk) B. (Lever Merk) II. PROMSONING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS Induce Zip Conf. B. (Lever Merk) B. (Lever Merk) II. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 20555 PRIOD COVERED Inducer and Strengthere II. CONTRACT NO. III. TYPE OF REPORT PRIOD COVERED Inducer and Strengthere FIN NO. B-2214 III. SUPPLIEMENTARY NOTES Mone II. (Lever Merk) None III. Conthwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination. Caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its clearup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-230, and Lead-210 were not disting/shabi from natural background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this portannet it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-300 released from this accident was about 21.4 Curist above background. III. IDENT/HERSIOPENENDED TERMS III. DENT/HERSIOPENENDED TERMS III. DENT/HERSIOPENENDED TERMS	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS (Include Zip	Code / DATE REPORT IS	SUED				
Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352 December 1991 Richland, Washington 99352 B. Kewr Wewid 12. Bromsoning ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Enclose Zup Code/ U.S. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 2055 Dereose Curraskinoek Unition. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility None FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE OF REPORT PENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility None FIN No. B-2214 14. Kever Ventility None 14. Kever Ventility 15. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell 14. Kever Ventility FIN No. B-2214 16. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell 14. Kever Ventility FIN No. B-2214 17. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 14. Kever Ventility FIN No. B-2214 18. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell Stream Cover Cover Stream Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility 19. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell Stream Cover Cover Cover Stream Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility 19. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell Stream Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility 19. ABSTRACT 200 Words of Hell Stream Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility FENDO COVERED Enclose Ventility 10. Decomber 1 in Stream Office of Ho		MONTH	YEAR				
Richland, Washington 99352 It Pronsoning ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluée Zie Codel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Diffice of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 20555 It CONTRACTION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluée Zie Codel II. CONTRACTION II. CON	Pacific Northwest Laboratory	December	1981				
12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROUM Zu Code! B. Hear Denk! 12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BROUM Zu Code! 10. PROJECTITASK WORK UNITING. 12. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11. CONTRACT NO. Division of Waste Management 11. CONTRACT NO. Washington, D.C. 2055 11. CONTRACT NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED BROWN WITHO. Final 11. CONTRACT NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED BROWN WITHO. 14. Asser Damk! None 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. Asser Damk! None 14. Asser Damk! 16. ASSTRACT 200 words or Anti 11. CONTRACT NO. 17. Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort wave of the properator's cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 greater than background. Concentrations, and Lead-210 were above background. 17. Net works AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12. DESCRIPTORS 17. Net works AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12. DESCRIPTORS 17. Net works	Richland, Washington 99352	6 (Leave blank)					
II. DEPONSONING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS BIAGUAT ZA COMPT II. DEPONETING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MALLING ADDRESS BIAGUAT ZA CAMPT II. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Diffice of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards ID. PROJECTITASKOWCK UNITNO. Division of Waste Management ID. DEPONETING ON EACH MALE NAME ADDRESS BIAGUAT ZA CAMPT ID. DEPONETING ON EACH MALE NAME Final ID. CONTRACT NO. ZUSTE ID. CONTRACT NO. IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Id. Reme DemAl ID. None IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Id. Reme DemAl None ID. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Id. Reme DemAl In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evalue the extent of contamination. Caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator ID. Solve The Content to Concentrations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. And the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program. It was estimated thet the total inventory of fortimu-230 relaxed from this accident was about 31.4 Curries above background. Sedment samples from samples from the Grand Ca							
12. SPONSORING ONGANIZATION MARK AND MAILING ADDRESS Incluée 2 (a Code) 10. PROJECTITASKINGRY UNIT NO. 12. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 0ffice of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards 10. PROJECTITASKINGRY UNIT NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED Machine demil 11. CONTRACT NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED Machine demil 11. CONTRACT NO. 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED Machine demil 11. CONTRACT NO. 14. Mark Mark AND MALLING ADDRESS INCLUE AND MALING A		B. (Leave blank)					
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Division of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Fin No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE DE REPORT PERIOD COVERED Material FIN No. B-2214 14. DECENTARY NOTES None 14. Decentration 15. CASSTRACT 200 water of west FIN No. B-2214 Decentration 16. RASTRACT 200 water of west Internet of State of Not State of Not State of Interviewer December 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium. r	12. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS linelade Zip	Code)					
0.1.5.1.001 Key and body ground strong 0.1.5.1.001 Key and body ground strong 0.1.5.1.011 Key and body ground strong 0.1.5.1.011 Key and body ground strong 0.1.5.1.011 Key and body ground strong 13. TYPE DE AREPORT Final 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 16. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 17. CONTRACT NO. 18. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 14. (Level Work) None 15. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 15. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 15. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 16. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 17. No. Contract NO. 18. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 19. ABSTRACT GOD words of tent 10. Comparison through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of comperator in radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sedtme	H S Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1D. PROJECT/TASK/	WORK UNIT NO.				
Division of Waste Management Washington, D.C. 2055 FIN No. B-2214 I3. TYPE OF REPORT Final PENIOD COVERED Brokene dumbi I5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None I4. Asset Data Street dumbi I5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None I4. Asset dumbi I6. ABSTRACT 200 wordt of their Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Konthwest Laboratory provided an on-Site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon Mational Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (Trag morn) 11. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (Trag morn) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (Trag morn) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclass (Trag morn)	Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safery	ande la contractivo					
BIT 10 01 Musice Particle FIN No. B-2214 Washington, D.C. 2055 FIN No. B-2214 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED Inductive during Final PERIOD COVERED Inductive during 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. Accore parks None 14. Accore parks 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or tent 14. Accore parks 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or tent 14. Accore parks 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or tent 14. Accore parks 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or tent 11. Accore parks 11. The response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination. Caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium. radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, Certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, Certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, Certain areas had levels of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Cures above background. Sed ment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. <	Division of Waste Management	ards II. CONTRACTINO.					
13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED HIGHURE demul 13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED HIGHURE demul Final 14. (Level Demul 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. (Level Demul 16. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 14. (Level Demul 17. Response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Korthwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator is cleanup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium. radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-330 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sedment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (Trag moort) 11.NO. OF PAGES 17b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (Trag moort) 11.NO. OF PAGES 17b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECURITY CLA	Washington D C 20555	FIN No. B-	-2214				
13. TYPE OF REPORT PERIOD COVERED BREWING BASIL Final 14. (Large Damk) 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. (Large Damk) None 14. (Large Damk) 16. ABSTRACT 200 model or Mell 14. (Large Damk) 16. ABSTRACT 200 model or Mell 14. (Large Damk) 16. ABSTRACT 200 model or Mell 14. (Large Damk) 16. ABSTRACT 200 model or Mell 14. (Large Damk) 17. Response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sedtment samples from the Grad Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERES/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 17a DESCURITY CLASS (The moord 21. NO. OF PACES 17b. IDENTIFIERES/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (The moord 21. NO. OF PACES Unclass fifed	Hasinington, D.C. 2000						
Final 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None 14. (Lever Division 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Median 14. (Lever Division 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Median 11. (Lever Division 17. New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup operations. The soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sedment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. NET WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12. DESCRIPTIONS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (The moord Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified	13. TYPE OF REPORT PO	RIOD COVERED Inclusive dems!					
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. Access prime 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. Access prime 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 17. ABSTRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 18. ABSTRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 19. ACCESS TRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 19. ACCESS TRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 19. ACCESS TRACT 200 words or west 11. Access prime 10. Operator 11. Access prime 11. Operator 11. Access prime 11. Operator 11. Access prime 12. Operator 11. Access prime 13. Supplemental Improvement Division. 11. Access prime 14. Access prime 12. Access prime 12. Operator 12. Incomposition 13. BJ79. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-220, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. 14. Access prime 12. Incompation 15. Access prime 12. Incompation 16. Access prime 12. Incompation 17. How prime 12. Incompation 17. How prime 12. Incoperat	Final						
15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. (Level or while) 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 16. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 17. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 18. ABSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 19. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 19. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 19. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 19. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 14. (Level or while) 19. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 11. ADSTRACT 200 words or Well 10. Operator in its cleanup operations. The soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination. Caused by the accident, and the aretiew of the operator sole analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium.226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this accident was about 31.4 Curres above background. Sedtment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background.	7 IIIQ.I						
None 16. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 17. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 18. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 19. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 19. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 10. ABSTRACT 200 word of Hell 11. The second of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. This accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sedtment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECONITY CLASS (Program 21. NO. OF PACES Unclassified 117b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TEAMS 19. SECONITY	15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	14. (Leave blank)					
16. ABSTRACT 200 word or well In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium. radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. SedIment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERE/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABULITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified 19. SECURITY CLASS (This proof) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified	None						
In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17a DESCRIPTORS 18 AVAILABULITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21. NO. OF PAGES UNLimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This proord) 21. NO. OF PAGES UNLIASS (This page) 22 PRICE 29. SECURITY CLASS (This page) 21 PRICE 21. NO. OF PAGES	15. ABSTRACT 200 words or less)						
In response to the uranium mill tailings pond dam failure on July 16, 1979 at Church Rock, New Mexico, the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission through a contract with the Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTHERENOPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21.NO. OF PACES 17b. IDENTHERENOPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21.NO. OF PACES 17b. IDENTHERENOPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21.NO. OF PACES							
17. Tesponse to characterizings provided an infinite of output to, 1976, 1974 to the pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENGED TEAMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19 ACCURITY CLASS (The moon) 21.NO. OF PAGES 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENGED TEAMS	In response to the unanium mill tailings pend da	m failung on July 16 1	070 at Church				
Pacific Northest Laboratory provided an on-site mobile laboratory capable of completing rapid radionuclide analyses of soil samples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this accident was about 31.4 Curries above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. NEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12. DESCRIPTORS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (The moon) Uncleassified 21. NO. OF PAGES Uncleassified 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (The moon) Uncleassified 21. NO. OF PAGES	Pork New Maxico the U.S. Nuclear Seculatory (ammission through a ser	tract with the				
12 ACTIVE TRADICTION Provided an on-site amoples. This effort was necessary to evaluate the extent of contamination caused by the accident, and to aid the mill operator in its cleanup operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator's cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFICERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (The meent) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited	Pacific Northwest Laboratory provided an on site	mahila labovatory cars	bla of				
12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS	completing rapid radionuclide analyses of roil of	amplas This offert wa					
126 For the second of the action operations. The soil sampling program and the review of the operator in its cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (The mean) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 22 PRICE	evaluate the extent of contaminatio, caused by t	he accident and to aid	ts necessary to				
Operator's Cleanup efforts in the affected areas was directed by the State of New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12a DESCRIPTORS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This meent) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This meent) 22 PRICE 19. SECURITY CLASS (This security of the state of solution of the security	operator in its cleanup operations. The soil ca	moling program and the	routow of the				
New Mexico Environmental Improvemental Division. This report discusses the results of the radionuclide analyses of about 1200 soil samples taken from September 22, 1979 to December 13, 1979. These results indicate that the concentrations of uranium, radium-226, and lead-210 were not distinguishable from natural background concentrations. However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 12a DESCRIPTORS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moont) Unclassified 21. NO. OF PAGES Unclassified 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22 PRICE 22 PRICE	operator's cleanup offerts in the affected areas	was divected by the St	review of the				
118. When the formation of the providence of the prov	Now Novice Environmental Improvement Division	This poport discussos t					
12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS	the redienuclide analyses of about 1200 coil car	ning report discusses t	are results of				
12b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS	December 13 1070 These verylts indicate that	the concentrations of u	er 22, 1979 to				
However, certain areas had levels of thorium-230 greater than background concentrations, and the operator concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS	radium_226, and lead_210 were not distinguished	- from ostural backarou	rantum,				
Inductory of the proton concentrated cleanup efforts in these areas. As a result of this program, it was estimated that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17a DESCRIPTORS 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21. NO. OF PAGES 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This moord) 21. NO. OF PAGES	However certain areas had levels of they jum -220	e from naceral backgrou	d concentrations.				
11/2 Und the operation content acted that the total inventory of thorium-230 released from this accident was about 31.4 Curies above background. Sediment samples from the Grand Canyon National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 11/2 National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 11/2 National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 11/2 New WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 11/2 11/2 11/2 New WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 11/2 11/2 11/2 New WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 11/2 <td>and the operator concentrated cleanup offents in</td> <td>there areas Ac a more</td> <td>ult of this</td>	and the operator concentrated cleanup offents in	there areas Ac a more	ult of this				
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited	program it was estimated that the total invento	we of themium 220 malas	and from this				
National Park showed no radionuclide levels in excess of normal background. 17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17a DESCRIPTORS 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 17a DESCRIPTORS 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES	accident was about 31.4 Curies above background.	Sediment samples from	the Grand Canvon				
17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS 17a. DESCRIPTORS 17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES	National Park showed no radionuclide levels in e	xcess of normal backoro	und.				
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moont) 21. NO. OF PAGES	17 KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	DESCRIPTORS					
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited Unlimited							
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This moont) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 29 SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22 PRICE Unlimited	1						
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 29 SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22 PRICE Unlimited	•						
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis report</i> 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 22 PRICE Unclassified 23 SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis report</i> 24 PRICE							
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18. AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis report</i> 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 25 SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis paget</i> 27 PRICE Unclassified 25 SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis paget</i> 27 PRICE Unclassified							
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 22 PRICE Unclassified 25 SECURITY CLASS (This report)	1						
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited Unlimited 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 22 PRICE Unclassified 5							
17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS 18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 18 INVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 22 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 23 PRICE Unclassified 5							
18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis report</i> 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS <i>IThis report</i> 22 PRICE Unclassified 23 Security CLASS <i>Ithis paget</i> 22 PRICE	17b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN-ENDED TERMS						
18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22 PRICE Unlimited 20 SECURITY CLASS (This page) 22 PRICE							
18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 19. SECURITY CLASS (This report) 21. NO. OF PAGES Unlimited 120 SECURITY CLASS (This report) 22 PRICE Unclassified 22 PRICE 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Unlimited Unclassified 22 PRICE	18 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (This report)	21. NO. OF PAGES				
Unclassified s	Unlimited	UNCIOSSITIES	27 PRICE				
		[Unclassified	S				

 \mathbf{x}

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

. RR Kinnison . Sigma 3

.

. .

.

.

.

.

.

POSTAGE AND FEES FAID U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

.



...

e'

.