Flagged revisions

Latest results

Summary

- Goals
- Methodology
- Results
- Questions and feedback

Goals

- Study the impact of flagged revisions in the editorial work of the German Wikipedia.
 - Focus on anonymous editors.
 - Focus on vandalism and reverts.
- Questions:
 - Is the vandalism from anonymous reduced?
 - Is the number of anonymous blocks reduced?
 - Does it discourage anonymous from editing?
 - Does it decrease number of requests for new accounts? (TODO)

Methodology (overview)

- Parse page-logging.xml
- EDA on the data set.
 - Survival analysis on time to review/revert an edit.
 - Numbers and time series:
 - Reverts
 - Blocks /IP-blocks
 - (Semi)-protection
 - Sighted anonymous edits
- Comparison with similar versions.
 - Polish & Russian (also with flagged-revs).
 - Also WKP comparable size (FR, IT)

Methodology (concepts)

- We focus on anonymous contributions.
- Sighting actions (manual)
 - Approved: Revisions manually flagged as OK.
 - Approved-i: Introduced later to identify first approvals.
- Sighting actions (automated)
 - Approved-a, approved-ia.
 - Automated approval for trusted editors.
 - Filtered-out.









SET OF CONSECUTIVE ANON REVS (page X)



All revs before direct approval are also approved implicitly



Methodology (reverts)

Vandal reverts

- Identified by regexps.
- Does not include standard admin reverts.

• Other reverts.

- Reverts without explicit reference to vandalism
- Includes admin reverts.

Methodology (reverts)

- Detecting reverted revisions
 - First, look for the newest revision with the same size (after revert action).
 - From that on, mark as reverted all consecutive revisions performed by same IP.
 - If that fails, look for IP info in the comment field, and look for newest revision performed by that IP.
 - Then, same procedure to mark all consecutive revisions.
- Feedback?

RESULTS

Evolution anonymous edits



Evol anonymous edits (focus)

Num. of contributions



Evolution review actions

Evolution of review actions dewiki



Time to approve/revert revisions



Time to approve/revert revisions

S(t) for sighted edits from anonymous users



"Truth in numbers"

	events	*rmean	*se(rmean)	median	0.95LCL	0.95UCL
Status=1	2892231	241.8	0.578	3.369	3.345	3.3933
Status=2	1839583	988.0	1.442	194.082	193.445	194.7781
Status=3	31774	51.0	0.744	0.807	0.756	0.8700
Status=4	119632	10.9	0.168	0.010	0.010	0.0103
1 = Approxim	oved-d ;	2 = Apr	proved-im :	3 = rev	vert : 4 =	= revert-v

- Revert actions performed at very fast pace.
 - **Revert** (median) : 48 min.
 - Revert-v (median) : 36 seg. (iii)
- High number of actions registered → accuracy

Comments on time to app/revert

Implications

- Looks like extremely fast pace for acting on revisions.
- Community takes this new role very seriosly.
- Provides stronger incentive to watch content even closely.

Evolution % reverted edits



Evolution % editors who revert



Evolution blocked users



Evolution of protection actions

Development of num. protections



Conclusions

- In general, flagged revisions did not affected the anonymous editing.
 - Most revisions got approved very rapidly
- More activity on vandalism reverts.
 - Even faster than approval actions.
- Reduced impact of vandalism.
 - Growing number of reverts.
 - On an increasing number of pages.
- Mandatory comments had much more direct influence.

Open questions / feedback

- Q: What did happen at the beginning of 2008 for such a high number of user pages protected?
 - A: mass-blocking of open proxies.
 - Creating the user page of blocked IPs with a template and protecting them.
- We need patterns for detecting reverts:
 - Russian Wikipedia
 - Polish Wikipedia
- Comments and feedback are very welcome.