Automatic Generation of Prime Length FFT Programs

Collection Editor: C. Sidney Burrus

Automatic Generation of Prime Length FFT Programs

Collection Editor:

C. Sidney Burrus

Authors:

C. Sidney Burrus Ivan Selesnick

Online:

< http://cnx.org/content/col10596/1.4/ >

CONNEXIONS

Rice University, Houston, Texas

This selection and arrangement of content as a collection is copyrighted by C. Sidney Burrus. It is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/).

Collection structure revised: September 9, 2009

PDF generated: February 3, 2011

For copyright and attribution information for the modules contained in this collection, see p. 75.

Table of Contents

iv

Chapter 1

Introduction¹

1.1 Introduction

The development of algorithms for the fast computation of the Discrete Fourier Transform in the last 30 years originated with the radix 2 Cooley-Tukey FFT and the theory and variety of FFTs has grown significantly since then. Most of the work has focused on FFTs whose sizes are composite, for the algorithms depend on the ability to factor the length of the data sequence, so that the transform can be found by taking the transform of smaller lengths. For this reason, algorithms for prime length transforms are building blocks for many composite length FFTs - the maximum length and the variety of lengths of a PFA or WFTA algorithm depend upon the availability of prime length FFT modules. As such, prime length Fast Fourier Transforms are a special, important and difficult case.

Fast algorithms designed for specific short prime lengths have been developed and have been written as straight line code [9], [13]. These dedicated programs rely upon an observation made in Rader's paper [24] in which he shows that a prime p length DFT can be found by performing a p-1 length circular convolution. Since the publication of that paper, Winograd had developed a theory of multiplicative complexity for transforms and designed algorithms for convolution that attain the minimum number of multiplications [38]. Although Winograd's algorithms are very efficient for small prime lengths, for longer lengths they require a large number of additions and the algorithms become very cumbersome to design. This has prevented the design of useful prime length FFT programs for lengths greater than 31. Although we have previously reported the design of programs for prime lengths greater than 31 [27] those programs required more additions than necessary and were long. Like the previously existing ones, they simply consisted of a long list of instructions and did not take advantage of the attainable common structures.

In this paper we describe a set of programs for circular convolution and prime length FFTs that are are short, possess great structure, share many computational procedures, and cover a large variety of lengths. Because the underlying convolution is decomposed into a set of disjoint operations they can be performed in parallel and this parallelism is made clear in the programs. Moreover, each of these independent operations is made up of a sequence of sub-operations of the form $I \otimes A \otimes I$ where \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. These operations can be implemented as vector/parallel operations [34]. Previous programs for prime length FFTs do not have these features: they consist of straight line code and are not amenable to vector/parallel implementations.

We have also developed a program that automatically generates these programs for circular convolution and prime length DFTs. This code generating program requires information only about a set of modules for computing cyclotomic convolutions. We compute these non-circular convolutions by computing a linear convolution and reducing the result. Furthermore, because these linear convolution algorithms can be built from smaller ones, the only modules needed are ones for the linear convolution of prime length sequences. It turns out that with linear convolution algorithms for only the lengths 2 and 3, we can generate a wide

 $^{^{1}}$ This content is available online at < http://cnx.org/content/m18131/1.5/>.

variety of prime length FFT algorithms. In addition, the code we generate is made up of calls to a relatively small set of functions. Accordingly, the subroutines can be designed and optimized to specifically suit a given architecture.

The programs we describe use Rader's conversion of a prime point DFT into a circular convolution, but this convolution we compute using the split nesting algorithm [20]. As Stasinski notes [31], this yields algorithms possessing greater structure and simpler programs and doesn't generally require more computation.

1.1.1 On the Row-Column Method

In computing the DFT of an $n = n_1 n_2$ point sequence where n_1 and n_2 are relatively prime, a row-column method can be employed. That is, if an $n_1 \times n_2$ array is appropriately formed from the *n* point sequence, then its DFT can be computed by computing the DFT of the rows and by then computing the DFT of the columns. The separability of the DFT makes this possible. It should be mentioned, however, that in at least two papers [31], [15] it is mistakenly assumed that the row-column method can also be applied to convolution. Unfortunately, the convolution of two sequences can not be found by forming two arrays, by convolving their rows, and by then convolving their columns. This misunderstanding about the separability of convolution also appears in [3] where the author incorrectly writes a diagonal matrix of a bilinear form as a Kronecker product. If it were a Kronecker product, then there would indeed exist a row-column method for convolution.

Earlier reports on this work were published in the conference proceedings [27], [28], [29] and a fairly complete report was published in the IEEE Transaction on Signal Processing [30]. Some parts of this approach appear in the Connexions book, Fast Fourier Transforms². This work is built on and an extension of that in [29] which is also in the Connexions Technical Report³.

 $^{^2}Fast$ Fourier Transforms < http://cnx.org/content/col10550/latest//latest/>

 $^{^3}Large\ DFT$ Modules: 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, and 25. Revised ECE Technical Report 8105 < http://cnx.org/content/col10569/latest//latest/>

Chapter 2

Preliminaries¹

2.1 Preliminaries

Because we compute prime point DFTs by converting them in to circular convolutions, most of this and the next section is devoted to an explanation of the split nesting convolution algorithm. In this section we introduce the various operations needed to carry out the split nesting algorithm. In particular, we describe the prime factor permutation that is used to convert a one-dimensional circular convolution into a multidimensional one. We also discuss the reduction operations needed when the Chinese Remainder Theorem for polynomials is used in the computation of convolution. The reduction operations needed for the split nesting algorithm are particularly well organized. We give an explicit matrix description of the reduction operations and give a program that implements the action of these reduction operations.

The presentation relies upon the notions of similarity transformations, companion matrices and Kronecker products. With them, we describe the split nesting algorithm in a manner that brings out its structure. We find that when companion matrices are used to describe convolution, the reduction operations block diagonalizes the circular shift matrix.

The companion matrix of a monic polynomial, $M(s) = m_0 + m_1 s + \dots + m_{n-1} s^{n-1} + s^n$ is given by

$$C_M = \begin{bmatrix} & & -m_0 1 \\ 1 & & -m_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & -m_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.1)

Its usefulness in the following discussion comes from the following relation which permits a matrix formulation of convolution. Let

$$X(s) = x_0 + x_1 s + \cdots + x_{n-1} s^{n-1}$$

$$H(s) = h_0 + h_1 s + \cdots + h_{n-1} s^{n-1}$$

$$Y(s) = y_0 + y_1 s + \cdots + y_{n-1} s^{n-1}$$

$$M(s) = m_0 + m_1 s + \cdots + m_{n-1} s^{n-1} + s^n$$
(2.2)

Then

$$Y(s) = \langle H(s) X(s) \rangle_{M(s)} \iff y = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k C_M^k\right) x$$
(2.3)

 $^{^{1}}$ This content is available online at < http://cnx.org/content/m18132/1.5/>.

where $y = (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})^t$, $x = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})^t$, and C_M is the companion matrix of M(s). In (2.3), we say y is the convolution of x and h with respect to M(s). In the case of circular convolution, $M(s) = s^n - 1$ and C_{s^n-1} is the circular shift matrix denoted by S_n ,

$$S_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.4)

Notice that any circulant matrix can be written as $\sum_k h_k S_n^k$.

Similarity transformations can be used to interpret the action of some convolution algorithms. If $C_M = T^{-1}AT$ for some matrix T (C_M and A are similar, denoted $C_M \sim A$), then (2.3) becomes

$$y = T^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k A^k \right) T x.$$
 (2.5)

That is, by employing the similarity transformation given by T in this way, the action of S_n^k is replaced by that of A^k . Many circular convolution algorithms can be understood, in part, by understanding the manipulations made to S_n and the resulting new matrix A. If the transformation T is to be useful, it must satisfy two requirements: (1) Tx must be simple to compute, and (2) A must have some advantageous structure. For example, by the convolution property of the DFT, the DFT matrix F diagonalizes S_n ,

$$S_n = F^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} w^0 & & & \\ & w^1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & w^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} F$$
(2.6)

so that it diagonalizes every circulant matrix. In this case, Tx can be computed by using an FFT and the structure of A is the simplest possible. So the two above mentioned conditions are met.

The Winograd Structure can be described in this manner also. Suppose M(s) can be factored as $M(s) = M_1(s) M_2(s)$ where M_1 and M_2 have no common roots, then $C_M \sim (C_{M_1} \oplus C_{M_2})$ where \oplus denotes the matrix direct sum. Using this similarity and recalling (2.3), the original convolution is decomposed into disjoint convolutions. This is, in fact, a statement of the Chinese Remainder Theorem for polynomials expressed in matrix notation. In the case of circular convolution, $s^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(s)$, so that S_n can be transformed to a block diagonal matrix,

$$S_n \sim \begin{bmatrix} C_{\Phi_1} & & \\ & C_{\Phi_d} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_{\Phi_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \oplus C_{\Phi_d} \\ d|n \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.7)

where $\Phi_d(s)$ is the d^{th} cyclotomic polynomial. In this case, each block represents a convolution with respect to a cyclotomic polynomial, or a 'cyclotomic convolution'. Winograd's approach carries out these cyclotomic convolutions using the Toom-Cook algorithm. Note that for each divisor, d, of n there is a corresponding block on the diagonal of size $\phi(d)$, for the degree of $\Phi_d(s)$ is $\phi(d)$ where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler totient function. This method is good for short lengths, but as n increases the cyclotomic convolutions become cumbersome, for as the number of distinct prime divisors of d increases, the operation described by $\sum_k h_k (C_{\Phi_d})^k$ becomes more difficult to implement.

The Agarwal-Cooley Algorithm utilizes the fact that

$$S_n = P^t \left(S_{n_1} \otimes S_{n_2} \right) P \tag{2.8}$$

where $n = n_1 n_2$, $(n_1, n_2) = 1$ and P is an appropriate permutation [1]. This converts the one dimensional circular convolution of length n to a two dimensional one of length n_1 along one dimension and length n_2 along the second. Then an n_1 -point and an n_2 -point circular convolution algorithm can be combined to obtain an n-point algorithm. In polynomial notation, the mapping accomplished by this permutation P can be informally indicated by

$$Y(s) = \langle X(s) H(s) \rangle_{s^{n-1}} \stackrel{P}{\Leftrightarrow} Y(s,t) = \langle X(s,t) H(s,t) \rangle_{s^{n_1}-1,t^{n_2}-1}.$$
(2.9)

It should be noted that (2.8) implies that a circulant matrix of size n_1n_2 can be written as a block circulant matrix with circulant blocks.

The Split-Nesting algorithm [21] combines the structures of the Winograd and Agarwal-Cooley methods, so that S_n is transformed to a block diagonal matrix as in (2.7),

$$S_n \sim \bigoplus_{d|n} \Psi(d)$$
. (2.10)

Here $\Psi(d) = \bigotimes_{p|d,p \in \mathcal{P}} C_{\Phi_{H_d(p)}}$ where $H_d(p)$ is the highest power of p dividing d, and \mathcal{P} is the set of primes.

Example 2.1

$$S_{45} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & C_{\Phi_3} & & & \\ & & C_{\Phi_9} & & & \\ & & & C_{\Phi_5} & & \\ & & & & C_{\Phi_5} & \\ & & & & & C_{\Phi_9} \otimes C_{\Phi_5} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.11)

In this structure a multidimensional cyclotomic convolution, represented by $\Psi(d)$, replaces each cyclotomic convolution in Winograd's algorithm (represented by C_{Φ_d} in (2.7). Indeed, if the product of b_1, \dots, b_k is d and they are pairwise relatively prime, then $C_{\Phi_d} \sim C_{\Phi_{b_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes C_{\Phi_{b_k}}$. This gives a method for combining cyclotomic convolutions to compute a longer circular convolution. It is like the Agarwal-Cooley method but requires fewer additions [21].

2.2 Prime Factor Permutations

One can obtain $S_{n_1} \otimes S_{n_2}$ from $S_{n_1n_2}$ when $(n_1, n_2) = 1$, for in this case, S_n is similar to $S_{n_1} \otimes S_{n_2}$, $n = n_1n_2$. Moreover, they are related by a permutation. This permutation is that of the prime factor FFT algorithms and is employed in nesting algorithms for circular convolution [1], [18]. The permutation is described by Zalcstein [40], among others, and it is his description we draw on in the following.

Let $n = n_1 n_2$ where $(n_1, n_2) = 1$. Define e_k , $(0 \le k \le n - 1)$, to be the standard basis vector, $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, where the 1 is in the k^{th} position. Then, the circular shift matrix, S_n , can be described by

$$S_n e_k = e_{< k+1>_n}.$$
 (2.12)

Note that, by inspection,

$$(S_{n_2} \otimes S_{n_1}) e_{a+n_1b} = e_{\langle a+1 \rangle_{n_1} + n_1 \langle b+1 \rangle_{n_2}}$$

$$(2.13)$$

where $0 \le a \le n_1 - 1$ and $0 \le b \le n_2 - 1$. Because n_1 and n_2 are relatively prime a permutation matrix P can be defined by

$$Pe_k = e_{\langle k \rangle_{n_1} + n_1 \langle k \rangle_{n_2}}.$$
(2.14)

With this P,

$$PS_{n}e_{k} = Pe_{< k+1>_{n}}$$

$$= e_{< < k+1>_{n}>_{n_{1}}+n_{1} < < k+1>_{n}>_{n_{2}}}$$

$$= e_{< k+1>_{n_{1}}+n_{1} < k+1>_{n_{2}}}$$
(2.15)

and

$$(S_{n_2} \otimes S_{n_1}) Pe_k = (S_{n_2} \otimes S_{n_1}) e_{\langle k \rangle_{n_1} + n_1 \langle k \rangle_{n_2}}$$

$$= e_{\langle k+1 \rangle_{n_1} + n_1 \langle k+1 \rangle_{n_2}}.$$

$$(2.16)$$

Since $PS_ne_k = (S_{n_2} \otimes S_{n_1}) Pe_k$ and $P^{-1} = P^t$, one gets, in the multi-factor case, the following

Lemma 2.1:

If $n = n_1 \cdots n_k$ and n_1, \dots, n_k are pairwise relatively prime, then $S_n = P^t (S_{n_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{n_1}) P$ where P is the permutation matrix given by $Pe_k = e_{\langle k \rangle_{n_1} + n_1 \langle k \rangle_{n_2} + \dots + n_1 \dots n_{k-1} \langle k \rangle_{n_k}}$.

This useful permutation will be denoted here as P_{n_k,\dots,n_1} . If $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ then this permutation yields the matrix, $S_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{p_k^{e_k}}$. This product can be written simply as $\bigotimes_{i=1}^k S_{p_i^{e_i}}$, so that one has

$$S_n = P_{n_1, \cdots, n_k}^t \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\kappa} S_{p_i^{e_i}} \right) P_{n_1, \cdots, n_k}.$$

It is quite simple to show that

It is quite simple to show that

$$P_{a,b,c} = (I_a \otimes P_{b,c}) P_{a,bc} = (P_{a,b} \otimes I_c) P_{ab,c}.$$
(2.17)

In general, one has

$$P_{n_1,\dots,n_k} = \prod_{i=2}^k \left(P_{n_1\dots n_{i-1},n_i} \otimes I_{n_{i+1}\dots n_k} \right).$$
(2.18)

A Matlab function for $P_{a,b} \otimes I_s$ is pfp2I() in one of the appendices. This program is a direct implementation of the definition. In a paper by Templeton [32], another method for implementing $P_{a,b}$, without 'if' statements, is given. That method requires some precalculations, however. A function for P_{n_1,\dots,n_k} is pfp(). It uses (2.18) and calls pfp2I() with the appropriate arguments.

2.3 Reduction Operations

The Chinese Remainder Theorem for polynomials can be used to decompose a convolution of two sequences (the polynomial product of two polynomials evaluated modulo a third polynomial) into smaller convolutions (smaller polynomial products) [39]. The Winograd n point circular convolution algorithm requires that polynomials are reduced modulo the cyclotomic polynomial factors of $s^n - 1$, $\Phi_d(s)$ for each d dividing n.

When n has several prime divisors the reduction operations become quite complicated and writing a program to implement them is difficult. However, when n is a prime power, the reduction operations are very structured and can be done in a straightforward manner. Therefore, by converting a one-dimensional convolution to a multi-dimensional one, in which the length is a prime power along each dimension, the split nesting algorithm avoids the need for complicated reductions operations. This is one advantage the split nesting algorithm has over the Winograd algorithm.

By applying the reduction operations appropriately to the circular shift matrix, we are able to obtain a block diagonal form, just as in the Winograd convolution algorithm. However, in the split nesting algorithm, each diagonal block represents multi-dimensional cyclotomic convolution rather than a one-dimensional one. By forming multi-dimensional convolutions out of one-dimensional ones, it is possible to combine algorithms for smaller convolutions (see the next section). This is a second advantage split nesting algorithm has over the Winograd algorithm. The split nesting algorithm, however, generally uses more than the minimum number of multiplications.

Below we give an explicit matrix description of the required reduction operations, give a program that implements them, and give a formula for the number of additions required. (No multiplications are needed.)

First, consider n = p, a prime. Let

$$X(s) = x_0 + x_1 s + \dots + x_{p-1} s^{p-1}$$
(2.19)

and recall $s^p - 1 = (s - 1) (s^{p-1} + s^{p-2} + \dots + s + 1) = \Phi_1(s) \Phi_p(s)$. The residue $\langle X(s) \rangle_{\Phi_1(s)}$ is found by summing the coefficients of X(s). The residue $\langle X(s) \rangle_{\Phi_p(s)}$ is given by $\sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (x_k - x_{p-1}) s^k$. Define R_p to be the matrix that reduces X(s) modulo $\Phi_1(s)$ and $\Phi_p(s)$, such that if $X_0(s) = \langle X(s) \rangle_{\Phi_1(s)}$ and $X_1(s) = \langle X(s) \rangle_{\Phi_p(s)}$ then

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \end{bmatrix} = R_p X \tag{2.20}$$

where X, X_0 and X_1 are vectors formed from the coefficients of X(s), $X_0(s)$ and $X_1(s)$. That is,

$$R_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & & -1 \\ & 1 & -1 \\ & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.21)

so that $R_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{-1} \\ G_p \end{bmatrix}$ where G_p is the $\Phi_p(s)$ reduction matrix of size $(p-1) \times p$. Similarly, let $X(s) = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} p_p(s)$

 $x_0 + x_1s + \cdots + x_{p^{e-1}}s^{p^{e-1}}$ and define R_{p^e} to be the matrix that reduces X(s) modulo $\Phi_1(s)$, $\Phi_p(s)$, ..., $\Phi_{p^e}(s)$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_e \end{bmatrix} = R_{p^e} X, \qquad (2.22)$$

where as above, $X, X_0, ..., X_e$ are the coefficients of $X(s), < X(s) >_{\Phi_1(s)} , ..., < X(s) >_{\Phi_{p^e}(s)}$.

It turns out that R_{p^e} can be written in terms of R_p . Consider the reduction of $X(s) = x_0 + \cdots + x_8 s^8$ by $\Phi_1(s) = s - 1$, $\Phi_3(s) = s^2 + s + 1$, and $\Phi_9(s) = s^6 + s^3 + 1$. This is most efficiently performed by reducing X(s) in two steps. That is, calculate $X'(s) = \langle X(s) \rangle_{s^3-1}$ and $X_2(s) = \langle X(s) \rangle_{s^6+s^3+1}$. Then calculate $X_0(s) = \langle X'(s) \rangle_{s-1}$ and $X_1(s) = \langle X'(s) \rangle_{s^2+s+1}$. In matrix notation this becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} X'\\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & I_3 & I_3\\ I_3 & -I_3\\ & I_3 & -I_3 \end{bmatrix} X \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} X_0\\ X_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1\\ & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} X'.$$
(2.23)

Together these become

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_3 & & \\ & I_3 & \\ & & I_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & I_3 & I_3 \\ & I_3 & -I_3 \\ & & I_3 & -I_3 \end{bmatrix} X$$
(2.24)

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_0 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix} = (R_3 \oplus I_6) (R_3 \otimes I_3) X$$
(2.25)

so that $R_9 = (R_3 \oplus I_6) (R_3 \otimes I_3)$ where \oplus denotes the matrix direct sum. Similarly, one finds that $R_{27} = (R_3 \oplus I_{24}) ((R_3 \otimes I_3) \oplus I_{18}) (R_3 \otimes I_9)$. In general, one has the following.

Lemma 2.2:

 R_{p^e} is a $p^e \times p^e$ matrix given by $R_{p^e} = \prod_{k=0}^{e-1} \left(\left(R_p \otimes I_{p^k} \right) \oplus I_{p^e - p^{k+1}} \right)$ and can be implemented with $2 \left(p^e - 1 \right)$ additions.

The following formula gives the decomposition of a circular convolution into disjoint non-circular convolutions when the number of points is a prime power.

$$R_{p^{e}} S_{p^{e}} R_{p^{e}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & C_{\Phi_{p}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & C_{\Phi_{p^{e}}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \qquad \stackrel{e}{\underset{i=0}{\oplus}} C_{\Phi_{p^{i}}}$$

$$(2.26)$$

Example 2.2

$$R_9 S_9 R_9^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & C_{\Phi_3} & \\ & & C_{\Phi_9} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.27)

It turns out that when n is not a prime power, the reduction of polynomials modulo the cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_n(s)$ becomes complicated, and with an increasing number of prime factors, the complication increases. Recall, however, that a circular convolution of length $p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ can be converted (by an appropriate permutation) into a k dimensional circular convolution of length $p_i^{e_i}$ along dimension i. By employing this one-dimensional to multi-dimensional mapping technique, one can avoid having to perform polynomial reductions modulo $\Phi_n(s)$ for non-prime-power n.

The prime factor permutation discussed previously is the permutation that converts a one-dimensional circular convolution into a multi-dimensional one. Again, we can use the Kronecker product to represent this. In this case, the reduction operations are applied to each matrix in the following way:

$$T\left(S_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{p_k^{e_k}}\right) T^{-1} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{e_1} C_{\Phi_{p_1^i}}\right) \otimes \dots \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{e_k} C_{\Phi_{p_k^i}}\right)$$
(2.28)

where

$$T = R_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes R_{p_k^{e_k}} \tag{2.29}$$

Example 2.3

$$T(S_9 \otimes S_5) T^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & C_{\Phi_3} & \\ & & C_{\Phi_9} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & C_{\Phi_5} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.30)

where $T = R_9 \otimes R_5$.

The matrix $R_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{p_k^{e_k}}$ can be factored using a property of the Kronecker product. Notice that $(A \otimes B) = (A \otimes I) (I \otimes B)$, and $(A \otimes B \otimes C) = (A \otimes I) (I \otimes B \otimes I) (I \otimes C)$ (with appropriate dimensions) so that one gets

$$\overset{k}{\underset{i=1}{\otimes}} R_{p_{i}^{e_{i}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left(I_{m_{i}} \otimes R_{p_{i}^{e_{i}}} \otimes I_{n_{i}} \right),$$

$$(2.31)$$

where $m_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j^{e_j}$, $n_i = \prod_{j=i+1}^k p_j^{e_j}$ and where the empty product is taken to be 1. This factorization shows that T can be implemented basically by implementing copies of R_{p^e} . There are many variations on this factorization as explained in [35]. That the various factorization can be interpreted as vector or parallel implementations is also explained in [35].

Example 2.4

$$R_9 \otimes R_5 = (R_9 \otimes I_5) \left(I_9 \otimes R_5 \right) \tag{2.32}$$

 and

$$R_9 \otimes R_{25} \otimes R_7 = (R_9 \otimes I_{175}) (I_9 \otimes R_{25} \otimes I_7) (I_{225} \otimes R_7)$$
(2.33)

When this factored form of $\otimes R_{n_i}$ or any of the variations alluded to above, is used, the number of additions incurred is given by

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{N}{p_{i}^{e_{i}}} \mathcal{A}\left(R_{p_{i}^{e_{i}}}\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{N}{p_{i}^{e_{i}}} 2\left(p_{i}^{e_{i}}-1\right) \\ &= 2N \sum_{i=1}^{k} 1 - \frac{1}{p_{i}^{e_{i}}} \\ &= 2N\left(k - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{e_{i}}}\right) \end{split}$$
(2.34)

where $N = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$.

Although the use of operations of the form $R_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes R_{p_k^{e_k}}$ is simple, it does not exactly separate the circular convolution into smaller disjoint convolutions. In other words, its use does not give rise in (2.28) and (2.30) to block diagonal matrices whose diagonal blocks are the form $\otimes_i C_{\Phi_{p_i}}$. However, by reorganizing the arrangement of the operations we can obtain the block diagonal form we seek.

First, suppose A, B and C are matrices of sizes $a \times a$, $b \times b$ and $c \times c$ respectively. If

$$TBT^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.35)

where B_1 and B_2 are matrices of sizes $b_1 \times b_1$ and $b_2 \times b_2$, then

$$Q(A \otimes B \otimes C)Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A \otimes B_1 \otimes C \\ \\ A \otimes B_2 \otimes C \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.36)

where

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} I_a \otimes T (1:b_1,:) \otimes I_c \\ I_a \otimes T (b_1 + 1:b,:) \otimes I_c \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.37)

Here $T(1:b_1,:)$ denotes the first b_1 rows and all the columns of T and similarly for $T(b_1+1:b_2)$. Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \otimes B_1 \otimes C \\ & A \otimes B_2 \otimes C \end{bmatrix} \neq A \otimes \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ & B_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes C.$$
(2.38)

That these two expressions are not equal explains why the arrangement of operations must be reorganized in order to obtain the desired block diagonal form. The appropriate reorganization is described by the expression in (2.37). Therefore, we must modify the transformation of (2.28) appropriately. It should be noted that this reorganization of operations does not change their computational cost. It is still given by (2.34).

For example, we can use this observation and the expression in (2.37) to arrive at the following similarity transformation:

$$Q(S_{p_1} \otimes S_{p_2}) Q^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & C_{\Phi_{p_1}} & & \\ & & C_{\Phi_{p_2}} & \\ & & & C_{\Phi_{p_1}} \otimes C_{\Phi_{p_2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.39)

where

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} I_{p_1} \otimes 1^t_{-p_2} \\ I_{p_1} \otimes G_{p_2} \end{bmatrix} (R_{p_1} \otimes I_{p_2})$$
(2.40)

 $1_{-\mathbf{p}}$ is a column vector of p 1's

$$1_{-p} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array} \right]^t \tag{2.41}$$

and G_p is the $(p-1) \times p$ matrix:

$$G_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & -1 \\ 1 & & -1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{p-1} - \underline{1}_{p-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.42)

In general we have

$$R\left(S_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes S_{p_k^{e_k}}\right) R^{-1} = \bigoplus_{d|n} \Psi\left(d\right)$$
(2.43)

where $R = R_{p_1^{e_1}, \cdots, p_k^{e_k}}$ is given by

$$R_{p_1^{e_1}, \cdots, p_k^{e_k}} = \prod_{i=k}^{1} Q\left(m_i, p_i^{e_i}, n_i\right)$$
(2.44)

10

with $m_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j^{e_j}$, $n_i = \prod_{j=i+1}^k p_j^{e_j}$ and

$$Q(a, p^e, c) = \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} \begin{bmatrix} I_a \otimes 1^t_{-p} \otimes I_{cp^j} \\ I_a \otimes G_p \otimes I_{cp^j} \\ & I_{ac(p^e-p^{j+1})} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.45)

 1_{-p} and G_p are as given in (2.41) and (2.42).

Example 2.5

$$R(S_9 \otimes S_5) R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & C_{\Phi_3} & & & & \\ & & C_{\Phi_9} & & & \\ & & & C_{\Phi_5} & & \\ & & & & C_{\Phi_3} \otimes C_{\Phi_5} \\ & & & & & C_{\Phi_9} \otimes C_{\Phi_5} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.46)

where

$$R = R_{9,5}$$

= Q (9,5,1) Q (1,9,5) (2.47)

and R can be implemented with 152 additions.

Notice the distinction between this example and example "Reduction Operations" (Section 2.3: Reduction Operations). In example "Reduction Operations" (Section 2.3: Reduction Operations) we obtained from $S_9 \otimes S_5$ a Kronecker product of two block diagonal matrices, but here we obtained a block diagonal matrix whose diagonal blocks are the Kronecker product of cyclotomic companion matrices. Each block in (2.46) represents a multi-dimensional cyclotomic convolution.

A Matlab program that carries out the operation $R_{p_1^{e_1}, \dots, p_k^{e_k}}$ in (2.43) is KRED().

```
function x = KRED(P,E,K,x)
% x = KRED(P,E,K,x);
% P : P = [P(1),...,P(K)];
% E : E = [E(K),...,E(K)];
for i = 1:K
    a = prod(P(1:i-1).^E(1:i-1));
    c = prod(P(i+1:K).^E(i+1:K));
    p = P(i);
    e = E(i);
    for j = e-1:-1:0
        x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))) = RED(p,a,c*(p^j),x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))));
    end
end
```

It calls the Matlab program RED() .

```
function y = RED(p,a,c,x)
% y = RED(p,a,c,x);
y = zeros(a*c*p,1);
for i = 0:c:(a-1)*c
    for j = 0:c-1
        y(i+j+1) = x(i*p+j+1);
```

These two Matlab programs are not written to run as fast as they could be. They are a 'naive' coding of $R_{p_1^{e_1},\dots,p_k^{e_k}}$ and are meant to serve as a basis for more efficient programs. In particular, the indexing and the loop counters can be modified to improve the efficiency. However, the modifications that minimize the overhead incurred by indexing operations depends on the programming language, the compiler and the computer used. These two programs are written with simple loop counters and complicated indexing operations so that appropriate modifications can be easily made.

2.3.1 Inverses

The inverse of R_p has the form

$$R_{p}^{-1} = \frac{1}{p} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & p-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & p-1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & p-1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & p-1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.48)

 and

$$R_{p^e}^{-1} = \prod_{k=0}^{e-1} \left(\left(R_p^{-1} \otimes I_{p^{e-1-k}} \right) \oplus I_{p^e - p^{e-k}} \right).$$
(2.49)

Because the inverse of Q in (2.37) is given by

$$Q^{-1} = \left[I_a \otimes T^{-1}(:, 1:b_1) \otimes I_c \quad I_a \otimes T^{-1}(:, b_1 + 1:b) \otimes I_c \right]$$
(2.50)

the inverse of the matrix R described by eqs (2.43), (2.44) and (2.45) is given by

$$R^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} Q(m_i, p_i^{e_i}, n_i)^{-1}$$
(2.51)

with $m_i = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j^{e_j}, \, n_i = \prod_{j=i+1}^k p_j^{e_j}$ and

$$Q(a, p^{e}, c)^{-1} = \prod_{j=e-1}^{0} \begin{bmatrix} I_{a} \otimes 1_{-p}^{t} \otimes I_{cp^{j}} & I_{a} \otimes V_{p} \otimes I_{cp^{j}} \\ & I_{ac(p^{e}-p^{j+1})} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.52)

where V_p denotes the matrix in (2.48) without the first column. A Matlab program for R^t is tKRED(), it calls the Matlab program tRED(). A Matlab program for R^{-t} is itKRED(), it calls the Matlab program itRED(). These programs all appear in one of the appendices.

12

Chapter 3

Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution¹

3.1 Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution

A basic technique in fast algorithms for convolution is that of interpolation. That is, two polynomials are evaluated at some common points and these values are multiplied [4], [17], [22]. By interpolating these products, the product of the two original polynomials can be determined. In the Winograd short convolution algorithms, this technique is used and the common points of evaluation are the simple integers, 0, 1, and -1. Indeed, the computational savings of the interpolation technique depends on the use of special points at which to interpolate. In the Winograd algorithm the computational savings come from the simplicity of the small integers. (As an algorithm for convolution, the FFT interpolates over the roots of unity.) This interpolation method is often called the Toom-Cook method and it is given by two matrices that describe a bilinear form.

We use bilinear forms to give a matrix formulation of the split nesting algorithm. The split nesting algorithm combines smaller convolution algorithms to obtain algorithms for longer lengths. We use the Kronecker product to explicitly describe the way in which smaller convolution algorithms are appropriately combined.

3.1.1 The Scalar Toom-Cook Method

First we consider the linear convolution of two n point sequences. Recall that the linear convolution of hand x can be represented by a matrix vector product. When n = 3:

This linear convolution matrix can be written as $h_0H_0 + h_1H_1 + h_2H_2$ where H_k are clear. The product $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k H_k x$ can be found using the Toom-Cook algorithm, an interpolation method.

¹This content is available online at <http://cnx.org/content/m18133/1.5/>.

Choose 2n-1 interpolation points, i_1, \dots, i_{2n-1} , and let A and C be matrices given by

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} i_1^0 & \cdots & i_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ i_{2n-1}^0 & \cdots & i_{2n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} i_1^0 & \cdots & i_1^{2n-2} \\ \vdots \\ i_{2n-1}^0 & \cdots & i_{2n-1}^{2n-2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$
 (3.2)

That is, A is a degree n-1 Vandermonde matrix and C is the inverse of the degree 2n-2 Vandermonde matrix specified by the same points specifying A. With these matrices, one has

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h_k H_k x = C\{Ah * Ax\}$$
(3.3)

where * denotes point by point multiplication. The terms Ah and Ax are the values of H(s) and X(s) at the points i_1, \dots, i_{2n-1} . The point by point multiplication gives the values $Y(i_1), \dots, Y(i_{2n-1})$. The operation of C obtains the coefficients of Y(s) from its values at these points of evaluation. This is the bilinear form and it implies that

$$H_k = \operatorname{Cdiag}\left(Ae_k\right)A. \tag{3.4}$$

Example 3.1

If n = 2, then equations (3.3) and (3.4) give

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_0 & 0 \\ h_1 & h_0 \\ 0 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} x = C\{Ah * Ax\}$$
(3.5)

When the interpolation points are 0, 1, and -1,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .5 & -.5 \\ -1 & .5 & .5 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

However, A and C do not need to be Vandermonde matrices as in (3.2). For example, see the two point linear convolution algorithm in the appendix. As long as A and C are matrices such that $H_k = \text{Cdiag}(Ae_k)A$, then the linear convolution of x and h is given by the bilinear form $y = C\{Ah * Ax\}$. More generally, as long as A, B and C are matrices satisfying $H_k = \text{Cdiag}(Be_k)A$, then $y = C\{Bh * Ax\}$ computes the linear convolution of h and x. For convenience, if $C\{Ah * Ax\}$ computes the n point linear convolution of h and x are n point sequences), then we say "(A, B, C) describes a bilinear form for n point linear convolution."

Similarly, we can write a bilinear form for cyclotomic convolution. Let d be any positive integer and let X(s) and H(s) be polynomials of degree $\phi(d) - 1$ where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler totient function. If A, B and C are matrices satisfying $(C_{\Phi_d})^k = \text{Cdiag}(Be_k)A$ for $0 \le k \le \phi(d) - 1$, then the coefficients of $Y(s) = \langle X(s)H(s) \rangle_{\Phi_d(s)}$ are given by $y = C\{Bh * Ax\}$. As above, if $y = C\{Bh * Ax\}$ computes the d-cyclotomic convolution, then we say "(A, B, C) describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_d(s)$ convolution."

But since $\langle X(s) H(s) \rangle_{\Phi_d(s)}$ can be found by computing the product of X(s) and H(s) and reducing the result, a cyclotomic convolution algorithm can always be derived by following a linear convolution algorithm by the appropriate reduction operation: If G is the appropriate reduction matrix and if (A, B, F)describes a bilinear form for a $\phi(d)$ point **linear** convolution, then (A, B, GF) describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_d(s)$ convolution. That is, $y = GF\{Bh * Ax\}$ computes the coefficients of $\langle X(s) H(s) \rangle_{\Phi_d(s)}$.

3.1.2 Circular Convolution

By using the Chinese Remainder Theorem for polynomials, circular convolution can be decomposed into disjoint cyclotomic convolutions. Let p be a prime and consider p point circular convolution. Above we found that

$$S_p = R_p^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ & C_{\Phi_p} \end{bmatrix} R_p \tag{3.7}$$

and therefore

$$S_p^k = R_p^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & C_{\Phi_p}^k \end{bmatrix} R_p.$$

$$(3.8)$$

If (A_p, B_p, C_p) describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_p(s)$ convolution, then

$$S_p^k = R_p^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ C_p \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{diag} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ B_p \end{bmatrix} R_p e_k \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A_p \end{bmatrix} R_p$$
(3.9)

and consequently the circular convolution of h and x can be computed by

$$y = R_p^{-1} C\{BR_p h * AR_p x\}$$
(3.10)

where $A = 1 \oplus A_p$, $B = 1 \oplus B_p$ and $C = 1 \oplus C_p$. We say (A, B, C) describes a bilinear form for p point circular convolution. Note that if (D, E, F) describes a (p-1) point linear convolution then A_p , B_p and C_p can be taken to be $A_p = D$, $B_p = E$ and $C_p = G_pF$ where G_p represents the appropriate reduction operations. Specifically, G_p is given by Equation 42 from Preliminaries (2.42).

Next we consider p^e point circular convolution. Recall that $S_{p^e} = R_{p^e}^{-1} \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^e C_{\Phi_{p^i}} \right) R_{p^e}$ as in Equation 27 from Preliminaries (2.7) so that the circular convolution is decomposed into a set of e + 1 disjoint $\Phi_{p^i}(s)$ convolutions. If $(A_{p^i}, B_{p^i}, C_{p^i})$ describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_{p^i}(s)$ convolution and if

$$A = 1 \oplus A_p \oplus \dots \oplus A_{p^e}$$

$$B = 1 \oplus B_p \oplus \dots \oplus B_{p^e}$$

$$C = 1 \oplus C_p \oplus \dots \oplus C_{p^e}$$
(3.11)

then $(AR_{p^e}, BR_{p^e}, R_{p^e}^{-1}C)$ describes a bilinear form for p^e point circular convolution. In particular, if (D_d, E_d, F_d) describes a bilinear form for d point linear convolution, then A_{p^i} , B_{p^i} and C_{p^i} can be taken to be

$$A_{p^{i}} = D_{\phi(p^{i})}$$

$$B_{p^{i}} = E_{\phi(p^{i})}$$

$$C_{p^{i}} = G_{p^{i}}F_{\phi(p^{i})}$$
(3.12)

where G_{p^i} represents the appropriate reduction operation and $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler totient function. Specifically, G_{p^i} has the following form

$$G_{p^{i}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_{(p-1)p^{i-1}} & -1_{-p-1} \otimes I_{p^{i-1}} \\ 0_{p^{i-1}+1,(p-2)p^{i-1}-1} \end{array} \right]$$
(3.13)

if $p \geq 3$, while

$$G_{2^{i}} = \left[\begin{array}{c} I_{2^{i-1}} \\ 0_{1,2^{i-1}-1} \end{array} \right] \left]$$
(3.14)

Note that the matrix R_{p^e} block diagonalizes S_{p^e} and each diagonal block represents a cyclotomic convolution. Correspondingly, the matrices A, B and C of the bilinear form also have a block diagonal structure.

3.1.3 The Split Nesting Algorithm

We now describe the split-nesting algorithm for general length circular convolution [22]. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ where p_i are distinct primes. We have seen that

$$S_n = P^t R^{-1} \left(\bigoplus_{d|n} \Psi(d) \right) RP \tag{3.15}$$

where P is the prime factor permutation $P = P_{p_1^{e_1}, \dots, p_k^{e_k}}$ and R represents the reduction operations. For example, see Equation 46 in Preliminaries (2.46). RP block diagonalizes S_n and each diagonal block represents a multi-dimensional cyclotomic convolution. To obtain a bilinear form for a multi-dimensional convolution, we can combine bilinear forms for one-dimensional convolutions. If $\left(A_{p_j^i}, B_{p_j^i}, C_{p_j^i}\right)$ describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_{p_i^i}(s)$ convolution and if

$$A = \bigoplus_{d|n} A_d$$

$$B = \bigoplus_{d|n} B_d$$

$$C = \bigoplus_{d|n} C_d$$
(3.16)

 with

$$A_{d} = \bigotimes_{p|d,p\in\mathcal{P}} A_{H_{d}(p)}$$

$$B_{d} = \bigotimes_{p|d,p\in\mathcal{P}} B_{H_{d}(p)}$$

$$C_{d} = \bigotimes_{p|d,p\in\mathcal{P}} C_{H_{d}(p)}$$
(3.17)

where $H_d(p)$ is the highest power of p dividing d, and \mathcal{P} is the set of primes, then $(ARP, BRP, P^t R^{-1}C)$ describes a bilinear form for n point circular convolution. That is

$$y = P^t R^{-1} C\{BRPh * ARPx\}$$
(3.18)

computes the circular convolution of h and x.

As above $(A_{p_j^i}, B_{p_j^i}, C_{p_j^i})$ can be taken to be $(D_{\phi(p_j^i)}, E_{\phi(p_j^i)}, G_{p_j^i}F_{\phi(p_j^i)})$ where (D_d, E_d, F_d) describes a bilinear form for d point **linear** convolution. This is one particular choice for $(A_{p_j^i}, B_{p_j^i}, C_{p_j^i})$ - other bilinear forms for cyclotomic convolution that are not derived from linear convolution algorithms exist.

Example 3.2

A 45 point circular convolution algorithm:

$$y = P^t R^{-1} C\{BRPh * ARPx\}$$
(3.19)

16

where

$$P = P_{9,5}$$

$$R = R_{9,5}$$

$$A = 1 \oplus A_3 \oplus A_9 \oplus A_5 \oplus (A_3 \otimes A_5) \oplus (A_9 \otimes A_5)$$

$$B = 1 \oplus B_3 \oplus B_9 \oplus B_5 \oplus (B_3 \otimes B_5) \oplus (B_9 \otimes B_5)$$

$$C = 1 \oplus C_3 \oplus C_9 \oplus C_5 \oplus (C_3 \otimes C_5) \oplus (C_9 \otimes C_5)$$

$$(3.20)$$

and where $\left(A_{p_{j}^{i}}, B_{p_{j}^{i}}, C_{p_{j}^{i}}\right)$ describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_{p_{j}^{i}}(s)$ convolution.

3.1.4 The Matrix Exchange Property

The matrix exchange property is a useful technique that, under certain circumstances, allows one to save computation in carrying out the action of bilinear forms [11]. Suppose

$$y = C\{Ax * Bh\}\tag{3.21}$$

as in (3.18). When h is known and fixed, Bh can be pre-computed so that y can be found using only the operations represented by C and A and the point by point multiplications denoted by *. The operation of B is absorbed into the multiplicative constants. Note that in (3.18), the matrix corresponding to C is more complicated than is B. It is therefore advantageous to absorb the work of C instead of B into the multiplicative constants if possible. This can be done when y is the circular convolution of x and h by using the matrix exchange property.

To explain the matrix exchange property we draw from [11]. Note that $y = C \operatorname{diag}(Ax) Bh$, so that $\operatorname{Cdiag}(Ax) B$ must be the corresponding circulant matrix,

Cdiag
$$(Ax) B = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_1 \\ x_1 & x_0 & & x_2 \\ \vdots & & & \\ x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & & x_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (3.22)

Since $\operatorname{Cdiag}(Ax) B = J(\operatorname{Cdiag}(Ax) B)^{t} J$ where J is the reversal matrix, one gets

$$y = C\{Ax * Bh\}$$

= Cdiag (Ax) Bh
= J(Cdiag (Ax) B)^tJh (3.23)
= JB^tdiag (Ax) C^tJh
= JB^t{Ax * C^tJh}

As noted in [11], the matrix exchange property can be used whenever y = T(x)h where T(x) satisfies $T(x) = J_1T(x)^t J_2$ for some matrices J_1 and J_2 . In that case one gets $y = J_1B^t \{Ax * C^t J_2h\}$.

Applying the matrix exchange property to (3.18) one gets

$$y = JP^t R^t B^t \{ C^t R^{-t} P Jh * A R P x \}.$$

$$(3.24)$$

Example 3.3

A 45 point circular convolution algorithm:

$$y = JP^t R^t B^t \{u * ARPx\}$$
(3.25)

where $u = C^t R^{-t} P J h$ and

$$P = P_{9,5}$$

$$R = R_{9,5}$$

$$A = 1 \oplus A_3 \oplus A_9 \oplus A_5 \oplus (A_3 \otimes A_5) \oplus (A_9 \otimes A_5)$$

$$B^t = 1 \oplus B_3^t \oplus B_9^t \oplus B_5^t \oplus (B_3^t \otimes B_5^t) \oplus (B_9^t \otimes B_5^t)$$

$$C^t = 1 \oplus C_3^t \oplus C_9^t \oplus C_5^t \oplus (C_3^t \otimes C_5^t) \oplus (C_9^t \otimes C_5^t)$$

$$(3.26)$$

and where $\left(A_{p_{j}^{i}}, B_{p_{j}^{i}}, C_{p_{j}^{i}}\right)$ describes a bilinear form for $\Phi_{p_{j}^{i}}(s)$ convolution.

Chapter 4

A Bilinear Form for the DFT¹

4.1 A Bilinear Form for the DFT

A bilinear form for a prime length DFT can be obtained by making minor changes to a bilinear form for circular convolution. This relies on Rader's observation that a prime p point DFT can be computed by computing a p-1 point circular convolution and by performing some extra additions [25]. It turns out that when the Winograd or the split nesting convolution algorithm is used, only two extra additions are required. After briefly reviewing Rader's conversion of a prime length DFT in to a circular convolution, we will discuss a bilinear form for the DFT.

4.1.1 Rader's Permutation

To explain Rader's conversion of a prime p point DFT into a p-1 point circular convolution [5] we recall the definition of the DFT

$$y(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} x(n) W^{kn}$$
(4.1)

with $W = exp - j2\pi/p$. Also recall that a primitive root of p is an integer r such that $\langle r^m \rangle_p$ maps the integers $m = 0, \dots, p-2$ to the integers $1, \dots, p-1$. Letting $n = r^{-m}$ and $k = r^l$, where r^{-m} is the inverse of r^m modulo p, the DFT becomes

$$y(r^{l}) = x(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} x(r^{-m}) W^{r^{l}r^{-m}}$$
(4.2)

for $l = 0, \dots, p-2$. The 'DC' term fis given by $y(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} x(n)$. By defining new functions

$$x'(m) = x(r^{-m}), \quad y'(m) = y(r^{m}), \quad W'(m) = W^{r^{m}}$$
(4.3)

which are simply permuted versions of the original sequences, the DFT becomes

$$y'(l) = x(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{p-2} x'(m) W'(l-m)$$
(4.4)

for $l = 0, \dots, p-2$. This equation describes circular convolution and therefore any circular convolution algorithm can be used to compute a prime length DFT. It is only necessary to (i) permute the input, the roots of unity and the output, (ii) add x(0) to each term in (4.4) and (iii) compute the DC term.

¹This content is available online at <http://cnx.org/content/m18134/1.4/>.

To describe a bilinear form for the DFT we first define a permutation matrix Q for the permutation above. If p is a prime and r is a primitive root of p, then let Q_r be the permutation matrix defined by

$$Qe_{\langle r^k \rangle_n - 1} = e_k \tag{4.5}$$

for $0 \le k \le p-2$ where e_k is the k^{th} standard basis vector. Let the \tilde{w} be a p-1 point vector of the roots of unity:

$$\tilde{w} = (W^1, \cdots, W^{p-1})^t.$$
 (4.6)

If s is the inverse of r modulo p (that is, rs = 1 modulo p) and $\tilde{x} = (x(1), \dots, x(p-1))^t$, then the circular convolution of (4.4) can be computed with the bilinear form of :

$$Q_s^t J P^t R^t B^t \{ C^t R^{-t} P J Q_s \tilde{w} * A R P Q_r \tilde{x} \}.$$

$$(4.7)$$

This bilinear form does not compute y(0), the DC term. Furthermore, it is still necessary to add the x(0) term to each of the elements of (4.7) to obtain $y(1), \dots, y(p-1)$.

4.1.2 Calculation of the DC term

The computation of y(0) turns out to be very simple when the bilinear form (4.7) is used to compute the circular convolution in (4.4). The first element of $ARPQ_r\tilde{x}$ in (4.7) is the residue modulo the polynomial s-1, that is, the first element of this vector is the sum of the elements of \tilde{x} . (The first row of the matrix, R, representing the reduction operation is a row of 1's, and the matrices P and Q_r are permutation matrices.) Therefore, the DC term can be computed by adding the first element of $ARPQ_r\tilde{x}$ to x(0). Hence, when the Winograd or split nesting algorithm is used to perform the circular convolution of (4.7), the computation of the DC term requires only one extra complex addition for complex data.

The addition x(0) to each of the elements of (4.7) also requires only one complex addition. By adding x(0) to the first element of $\{C^t R^{-t} P J Q_s \tilde{w} * A R P Q_r \tilde{x}\}$ in (4.7) and applying $Q_s^t J P^t R^t$ to the result, x(0) is added to each element. (Again, this is because the first column of R^t is a column of 1's, and the matrices Q_{s}^t , J and P^t are permutation matrices.)

Although the DFT can be computed by making these two extra additions, this organization of additions does not yield a bilinear form. However, by making a minor modification, a bilinear form can be retrieved. The method described above can be illustrated in Figure 4.1 with $u = C^t R^{-t} P J Q_s \tilde{w}$.



Figure 4.1: The flow graph for the computation of the DFT.

Clearly, the structure highlighted in the dashed box can be replaced by the structure in Figure 4.2.



Figure 4.2: The flow graph for the bilinear form.

By substituting the second structure for the first, a bilinear form is obtained. The resulting bilinear form for a prime length DFT is

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & Q_s^t J P^t R^t B^t \end{bmatrix} U_p^t \{ V_p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & C^t R^{-t} P J Q_s \end{bmatrix} w * U_p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & A R P Q_r \end{bmatrix} x \}$$
(4.8)

where $w = (W^0, \dots, W^{p-1})^t$, $x = (x(0), \dots, x(p-1))^t$, and where U_p is the matrix with the form

$$U_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.9)

and V_p is the matrix with the form

$$U_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.10)

Chapter 5

Implementing Kronecker Products Efficiently¹

5.1 Implementing Kronecker Products Efficiently

In the algorithm described above we encountered expressions of the form $A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_n$ which we denote by $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n A_i$. To calculate the product $(\bigotimes_i A_i) x$ it is computationally advantageous to factor $\bigotimes_i A_i$ into terms of the form $I \otimes A_i \otimes I[2]$. Then each term represents a set of copies of A_i . First, recall the following property of Kronecker products

$$AB \otimes CD = (A \otimes C) (B \otimes D).$$
(5.1)

This property can be used to factor $\otimes_i A_i$ in the following way. Let the number of rows and columns of A_i be denoted by r_i and c_i respectively. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
A_1 \otimes A_2 &=& A_1 I_{c_1} \otimes I_{r_2} A_2 \\
&=& (A_1 \otimes I_{r_2}) \left(I_{c_1} \otimes A_2 \right).
\end{array}$$
(5.2)

But we can also write

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
A_1 \otimes A_2 &=& I_{r_1} A_1 \otimes A_2 I_{c_2} \\
&=& (I_{r_1} \otimes A_2) \left(A_1 \otimes I_{c_2} \right).
\end{array}$$
(5.3)

Note that in factorization (5.2), copies of A_2 are applied to the data vector x first, followed by copies of A_1 . On the other hand, in factorization (5.3), copies of A_1 are applied to the data vector x first, followed by copies of A_2 . These two factorizations can be distinguished by the sequence in which A_1 and A_2 are ordered.

Lets compare the computational complexity of factorizations (5.2) and (5.3). Notice that (5.2) consists of r_2 copies of A_1 and c_1 copies of A_2 , therefore (5.2) has a computational cost of $r_2Q_1 + c_1Q_2$ where Q_i is the computational cost of A_i . On the other hand, the computational cost of (5.3) is $c_2Q_1 + r_1Q_2$. That is, the factorizations (5.2) and (5.3) have in general **different** computational costs when A_i are not square. Further, observe that (5.2) is the more efficient factorization exactly when

$$r_2 Q_1 + c_1 Q_2 < c_2 Q_1 + r_1 Q_2 \tag{5.4}$$

or equivalently, when

$$\frac{r_1 - c_1}{Q_1} > \frac{r_2 - c_2}{Q_2}.$$
(5.5)

 $^{^{1}}$ This content is available online at < http://cnx.org/content/m18135/1.4/>.

Consequently, in the more efficient factorization, the operation A_i applied to the data vector x first is the one for which the ratio $(r_i - c_i)/Q_i$ is the more negative. If $r_1 > c_1$ and $r_2 < c_2$ then (5.4) is always true $(Q_i \text{ is always positive})$. Therefore, in the most computationally efficient factorization of $A_1 \otimes A_2$, matrices with fewer rows than columns are always applied to the data vector x before matrices with more rows than columns. If both matrices are square, then their ordering does not affect the computational efficiency, because in that case each ordering has the same computation cost.

We now consider the Kronecker product of more than two matrices. For the Kronecker product $\otimes_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ there are n! possible different ways in which to order the operations A_i . For example

$$A_{1} \otimes A_{2} \otimes A_{3} = (A_{1} \otimes I_{r_{2}r_{3}}) (I_{c_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{r_{3}}) (I_{c_{1}c_{2}} \otimes A_{3})$$

$$= (A_{1} \otimes I_{r_{2}r_{3}}) (I_{c_{1}r_{2}} \otimes A_{3}) (I_{c_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{c_{3}})$$

$$= (I_{r_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{r_{3}}) (A_{1} \otimes I_{c_{2}r_{3}}) (I_{c_{1}c_{2}} \otimes A_{3})$$

$$= (I_{r_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{r_{3}}) (I_{r_{1}c_{2}} \otimes A_{3}) (A_{1} \otimes I_{c_{2}c_{3}})$$

$$= (I_{r_{1}r_{2}} \otimes A_{3}) (A_{1} \otimes I_{r_{2}c_{3}}) (I_{c_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{c_{3}})$$

$$= (I_{r_{1}r_{2}} \otimes A_{3}) (I_{r_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{c_{3}}) (A_{1} \otimes I_{c_{2}c_{3}})$$

$$= (I_{r_{1}r_{2}} \otimes A_{3}) (I_{r_{1}} \otimes A_{2} \otimes I_{c_{3}}) (A_{1} \otimes I_{c_{2}c_{3}})$$

Each factorization of $\otimes_i A_i$ can be described by a permutation $g(\cdot)$ of $\{1, ..., n\}$ which gives the order in which A_i is applied to the data vector x. $A_{g(1)}$ is the first operation applied to the data vector x, $A_{g(2)}$ is the second, and so on. For example, the factorization (5.6) is described by the permutation g(1) = 3, g(2) = 1, g(3) = 2. For n = 3, the computational cost of each factorization can be written as

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = \mathcal{Q}_{g(1)}c_{g(2)}c_{g(3)} + r_{g(1)}\mathcal{Q}_{g(2)}c_{g(3)} + r_{g(1)}r_{g(2)}\mathcal{Q}_{g(3)}$$
(5.7)

In general

$$\mathcal{C}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} r_{g(j)} \right) \mathcal{Q}_{g(i)} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} c_{g(j)} \right).$$
(5.8)

Therefore, the most efficient factorization of $\otimes_i A_i$ is described by the permutation $g(\cdot)$ that minimizes \mathcal{C} .

It turns out that for the Kronecker product of more than two matrices, the ordering of operations that describes the most efficient factorization of $\otimes_i A_i$ also depends only on the ratios $(r_i - c_i) / Q_i$. To show that this is the case, suppose $u(\cdot)$ is the permutation that minimizes C, then $u(\cdot)$ has the property that

$$\frac{r_{u(k)} - c_{u(k)}}{\mathcal{Q}_{u(k)}} \le \frac{r_{u(k+1)} - c_{u(k+1)}}{\mathcal{Q}_{u(k+1)}}$$
(5.9)

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. To support this, note that since $u(\cdot)$ is the permutation that minimizes C, we have in particular

$$\mathcal{C}\left(u\right) \le \mathcal{C}\left(v\right) \tag{5.10}$$

where $v(\cdot)$ is the permutation defined by the following:

$$u(i) i < k, i > k + 1$$

$$v(i) = \{ u(k+1) i = k .$$

$$u(k) i = k + 1 (5.11)$$

Because only two terms in (5.8) are different, we have from (5.10)

$$\sum_{i=k}^{k+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} r_{u(j)} \right) \mathcal{Q}_{u(i)} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} c_{u(j)} \right) \le \sum_{i=k}^{k+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} r_{v(j)} \right) \mathcal{Q}_{v(i)} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^{n} c_{v(j)} \right)$$
(5.12)

which, after canceling common terms from each side, gives

$$\mathcal{Q}_{u(k)}c_{u(k+1)} + r_{u(k)}\mathcal{Q}_{u(k+1)} \le \mathcal{Q}_{v(k)}c_{v(k+1)} + r_{v(k)}\mathcal{Q}_{v(k+1)}.$$
(5.13)

Since v(k) = u(k+1) and v(k+1) = u(k) this becomes

$$\mathcal{Q}_{u(k)}c_{u(k+1)} + r_{u(k)}\mathcal{Q}_{u(k+1)} \le \mathcal{Q}_{u(k+1)}c_{u(k)} + r_{u(k+1)}\mathcal{Q}_{u(k)}$$
(5.14)

which is equivalent to (5.9). Therefore, to find the best factorization of $\otimes_i A_i$ it is necessary only to compute the ratios $(r_i - c_i) / Q_i$ and to order them in an non-decreasing order. The operation A_i whose index appears first in this list is applied to the data vector x first, and so on

As above, if $r_{u(k+1)} > c_{u(k+1)}$ and $r_{u(k)} < c_{u(k)}$ then (5.14) is always true. Therefore, in the most computationally efficient factorization of $\otimes_i A_i$, all matrices with fewer rows than columns are always applied to the data vector x before any matrices with more rows than columns. If some matrices are square, then their ordering does not affect the computational efficiency as long as they are applied **after** all matrices with fewer rows than columns and **before** all matrices with more rows than columns.

Once the permutation $g(\cdot)$ that minimizes C is determined by ordering the ratios $(r_i - c_i)/Q_i$, $\otimes_i A_i$ can be written as

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} A_i = \prod_{i=n}^{1} I_{a(i)} \otimes A_{g(i)} \otimes I_{b(i)}$$
(5.15)

where

$$a(i) = \prod_{k=1}^{g(i)-1} \gamma(i,k)$$
(5.16)

$$b(i) = \prod_{k=g(i)+1}^{n} \gamma(i,k)$$
 (5.17)

and where $\gamma(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$\gamma(i,k) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r_k & \text{if } g(i) > g(k) \\ c_k & \text{if } g(i) < g(k) \end{array} \right.$$
(5.18)

5.1.1 Some Matlab Code

A Matlab program that computes the permutation that describes the computationally most efficient factorization of $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ is cgc(). It also gives the resulting computational cost. It requires the computational cost of each of the matrices A_i and the number of rows and columns of each.

```
function [g,C] = cgc(Q,r,c,n)
% [g,C] = cgc(Q,r,c,n);
% Compute g and C
% g : permutation that minimizes C
% C : computational cost of Kronecker product of A(1),...,A(n)
% Q : computation cost of A(i)
% r : rows of A(i)
% c : columns of A(i)
% n : number of terms
f = find(Q==0);
Q(f) = eps * ones(size(Q(f)));
```

```
Q = Q(:);
r = r(:);
c = c(:);
[s,g] = sort((r-c)./Q);
C = 0;
for i = 1:n
    C = C + prod(r(g(1:i-1)))*Q(g(i))*prod(c(g(i+1:n)));
end
C = round(C);
```

The Matlab program kpi() implements the Kronecker product $\otimes_{i=1}^{n} A_i$.

```
function y = kpi(d,g,r,c,n,x)
\% y = kpi(d,g,r,c,n,x);
% Kronecker Product : A(d(1)) kron ... kron A(d(n))
\% g : permutation of 1,...,n
% r : [r(1), ..., r(n)]
% c : [c(1),..,c(n)]
\% r(i) : rows of A(d(i))
% c(i) : columns of A(d(i))
% n : number of terms
for i = 1:n
   a = 1;
   for k = 1:(g(i)-1)
      if i > find(g==k)
         a = a * r(k);
      else
         a = a * c(k);
      end
   end
   b = 1;
   for k = (g(i)+1):n
      if i > find(g==k)
         b = b * r(k);
      else
         b = b * c(k);
      end
   end
   % y = (I(a) kron A(d(g(i))) kron I(b)) * x;
   y = IAI(d(g(i)),a,b,x);
end
```

where the last line of code calls a function that implements $(I_a \otimes A_{d(g(i))} \otimes I_b) x$. That is, the program IAI(i,a,b,x) implements $(I_a \otimes A(i) \otimes I_b) x$.

The Matlab program IAI implements $y = (I_m \otimes A \otimes I_n) x$

```
function y = IAI(A,r,c,m,n,x)
% y = (I(m) kron A kron I(n))x
% r : number of rows of A
% c : number of columns of A
v = 0:n:n*(r-1);
u = 0:n:n*(c-1);
for i = 0:m-1
```

26

```
for j = 0:n-1
    y(v+i*r*n+j+1) = A * x(u+i*c*n+j+1);
end
end
```

It simply uses two loops to implement the mn copies of A. Each copy of A is applied to a different subset of the elements of x.

5.1.2 Vector/Parallel Interpretation

The command $I \otimes A \otimes I$ where \otimes is the Kronecker (or Tensor) product can be interpreted as a vector/parallel command [7], [36]. In these references, the implementation of these commands is discussed in detail and they have found that the Tensor product is "an extremely useful tool for matching algorithms to computer architectures [7]."

The expression $I \otimes A$ can easily be seen to represent a parallel command:

$$I \otimes A = \begin{bmatrix} A & & \\ & A & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{bmatrix}.$$
(5.19)

Each block along the diagonal acts on non-overlapping sections of the data vector - so that each section can be performed in parallel. Since each section represents exactly the same operation, this form is amenable to implementation on a computer with a parallel architectural configuration. The expression $A \otimes I$ can be similarly seen to represent a vector command, see [7].

It should also be noted that by employing 'stride' permutations, the command $(I \otimes A \otimes I) x$ can be replaced by either $(I \otimes A) x$ or $(A \otimes I) x$ [7], [36]. It is only necessary to permute the input and output. It is also the case that these stride permutations are natural loading and storing commands for some architectures.

In the programs we have written in conjunction with this paper we implement the commands $y = (I \otimes A \otimes I) x$ with loops in a set of subroutines. The circular convolution and prime length FFT programs we present, however, explicitly use the form $I \otimes A \otimes I$ to make clear the structure of the algorithm, to make them more modular and simpler, and to make them amenable to implementation on special architectures. In fact, in [7] it is suggested that it might be practical to develop tensor product compilers. The FFT programs we have generated will be well suited for such compilers.

Chapter 6

Programs for Circular Convolution¹

6.1 Programs for Circular Convolution

To write a program that computes the circular convolution of h and x using the bilinear form Equation 24 in Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution (3.24) we need subprograms that carry out the action of P, P^t , R, R^t , A and B^t . We are assuming, as is usually done, that h is fixed and known so that $u = C^t R^{-t} P J h$ can be pre-computed and stored. To compute these multiplicative constants u we need additional subprograms to carry out the action of C^t and R^{-t} but the efficiency with which we compute u is unimportant since this is done beforehand and u is stored.

In Prime Factor Permutations (Section 2.2: Prime Factor Permutations) we discussed the permutation P and a program for it pfp() appears in the appendix. The reduction operations R, R^t and R^{-t} we have described in Reduction Operations (Section 2.3: Reduction Operations) and programs for these reduction operations KRED() etc, also appear in the appendix. To carry out the operation of A and B^t we need to be able to carry out the action of $A_{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes A_{d_k}$ and this was discussed in Implementing Kronecker Products Efficiently (Chapter 5). Note that since A and B^t are block diagonal, each diagonal block can be done separately. However, since they are rectangular, it is necessary to be careful so that the correct indexing is used.

To facilitate the discussion of the programs we generate, it is useful to consider an example. Take as an example the 45 point circular convolution algorithm listed in the appendix. From Equation 19 from Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution (3.19) we find that we need to compute $x = P_{9,5}x$ and $x = R_{9,5}x$. These are the first two commands in the program.

We noted above that bilinear forms for linear convolution, (D_d, E_d, F_d) , can be used for these cyclotomic convolutions. Specifically we can take $A_{p^i} = D_{\phi(p^i)}$, $B_{p^i} = E_{\phi(p^i)}$ and $C_{p^i} = G_{p^i}F_{\phi(p^i)}$. In this case Equation 20 in Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution (3.20) becomes

$$A = 1 \oplus D_2 \oplus D_6 \oplus D_4 \oplus (D_2 \otimes D_4) \oplus (D_6 \otimes D_4).$$

$$(6.1)$$

In our approach this is what we have done. When we use the bilinear forms for convolution given in the appendix, for which $D_4 = D_2 \otimes D_2$ and $D_6 = D_2 \otimes D_3$, we get

$$A = 1 \oplus D_2 \oplus (D_2 \otimes D_3) \oplus (D_2 \otimes D_2) \oplus (D_2 \otimes D_2 \otimes D_2) \oplus (D_2 \otimes D_3 \otimes D_2 \otimes D_2)$$

$$(6.2)$$

and since $E_d = D_d$ for the linear convolution algorithms listed in the appendix, we get

$$B = 1 \oplus D_2^t \oplus \left(D_2^t \otimes D_3^t\right) \oplus \left(D_2^t \otimes D_2^t\right) \oplus \left(D_2^t \otimes D_2^t \otimes D_2^t\right) \oplus \left(D_2^t \otimes D_3^t \otimes D_2^t \otimes D_2^t\right).$$
(6.3)

From the discussion above, we found that the Kronecker products like $D_2 \otimes D_2 \otimes D_2$ appearing in these expressions are best carried out by factoring the product in to factors of the form $I_a \otimes D_2 \otimes I_b$. Therefore we need

 $^{^{-1}}$ This content is available online at <http://cnx.org/content/m18136/1.4/>.

a program to carry out $(I_a \otimes D_2 \otimes I_b) x$ and $(I_a \otimes D_3 \otimes I_b) x$. These function are called ID2I(a,b,x) and ID3I(a,b,x) and are listed in the appendix. The transposed form, $(I_a \otimes D_2^t \otimes I_b) x$, is called ID2tI(a,b,x)

To compute the multiplicative constants we need C^t . Using $C_{p^i} = G_{p^i} F_{\phi(p^i)}$ we get

$$C^{t} = 1 \oplus F_{2}^{t}G_{3}^{t} \oplus F_{6}^{t}G_{9}^{t} \oplus F_{4}^{t}G_{5}^{t} \oplus (F_{2}^{t}G_{3}^{t} \otimes F_{4}^{t}G_{5}^{t}) \oplus (F_{6}^{t}G_{9}^{t} \otimes F_{4}^{t}G_{5}^{t})$$

$$= 1 \oplus F_{2}^{t}G_{3}^{t} \oplus F_{6}^{t}G_{9}^{t} \oplus F_{4}^{t}G_{5}^{t} \oplus (F_{2}^{t} \otimes F_{4}^{t}) (G_{3}^{t} \otimes G_{5}^{t}) \oplus (F_{6}^{t} \otimes F_{4}^{t}) (G_{9}^{t} \otimes G_{5}^{t}).$$
(6.4)

The Matlab function KFt carries out the operation $F_{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{d_K}$. The Matlab function Kcrot implements the operation $G_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes G_{p_K^{e_K}}$. They are both listed in the appendix.

6.1.1 Common Functions

By recognizing that the convolution algorithms for different lengths share a lot of the same computations, it is possible to write a set of programs that take advantage of this. The programs we have generated call functions from a relatives small set. Each program calls these functions with different arguments, in differing orders, and a different number of times. By organizing the program structure in a modular way, we are able to generate relatively compact code for a wide variety of lengths.

In the appendix we have listed code for the following functions, from which we create circular convolution algorithms. In the next section we generate FFT programs using this same set of functions.

- **Prime Factor Permutations:** The Matlab function pfp implements this permutation of Prime Factor Permutations (Section 2.2: Prime Factor Permutations). Its transpose is implemented by pfpt.
- **Reduction Operations:** The Matlab function KRED implements the reduction operations of Reduction Operations (Section 2.3: Reduction Operations). Its transpose is implemented by tKRED. Its inverse transpose is implemented by itKRED and this function is used only for computing the multiplicative constants.
- **Linear Convolution Operations:** ID2I and ID3I are Matlab functions for the operations $I \otimes D_2 \otimes I$ and $I \otimes D_3 \otimes I$. These linear convolution operations are also described in the appendix 'Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution.' ID2tI and ID3tI implement the transposes, $I \otimes D_2^t \otimes I$ and $I \otimes D_3^t \otimes I$.

6.1.2 Operation Counts

Table 6.1 lists operation counts for some of the circular convolution algorithms we have generated. The operation counts do not include any arithmetic operations involved in the index variable or loops. They include only the arithmetic operations that involve the data sequence x in the convolution of x and h.

The table in [23] for the split nesting algorithm gives very similar arithmetic operation counts. For all lengths not divisible by 9, the algorithms we have developed use the same number of multiplications and the same number or fewer additions. For lengths which are divisible by 9, the algorithms described in [23] require fewer additions than do ours. This is because the algorithms whose operation counts are tabulated in the table in [23] use a special $\Phi_9(s)$ convolution algorithm. It should be noted, however, that the efficient $\Phi_9(s)$ convolution algorithm of [23] is not constructed from smaller algorithms using the Kronecker product, as is ours. As we have discussed above, the use of the Kronecker product facilitates adaptation to special computer architectures and yields a very compact program with function calls to a small set of functions.
Ν	muls	adds	Ν	muls	adds	Ν	muls	adds	Ν	muls	adds
2	2	4	24	56	244	80	410	1546	240	1640	6508
3	4	11	27	94	485	84	320	1712	252	1520	7920
4	5	15	28	80	416	90	380	1858	270	1880	9074
5	10	31	30	80	386	105	640	2881	280	2240	9516
6	8	34	35	160	707	108	470	2546	315	3040	13383
7	16	71	36	95	493	112	656	2756	336	2624	11132
8	14	46	40	140	568	120	560	2444	360	2660	11392
9	19	82	42	128	718	126	608	3378	378	3008	16438
10	20	82	45	190	839	135	940	4267	420	3200	14704
12	20	92	48	164	656	140	800	3728	432	3854	16430
14	32	170	54	188	1078	144	779	3277	504	4256	19740
15	40	163	56	224	1052	168	896	4276	540	4700	21508
16	41	135	60	200	952	180	950	4466	560	6560	25412
18	38	200	63	304	1563	189	1504	7841	630	6080	28026
20	50	214	70	320	1554	210	1280	6182	720	7790	30374
21	64	317	72	266	1250	216	1316	6328	756	7520	38144

Table 6.1: Operation counts for split nesting circular convolution algorithms

It is possible to make further improvements to the operation counts given in Table 6.1[19], [23]. Specifically, algorithms for prime power cyclotomic convolution based on the polynomial transform, although more complicated, will give improvements for the longer lengths listed [19], [23]. These improvements can be easily included in the code generating program we have developed.

Programs for Prime Length FFTs¹

7.1 Programs for Prime Length FFTs

Using the circular convolution algorithms described above, we can easily design algorithms for prime length FFTs. The only modifications that needs to be made involve the permutation of Rader [26] and the correct calculation of the DC term (y(0)). These modifications are easily made to the above described approach. It simply requires a few extra commands in the programs. Note that the multiplicative constants are computed directly, since we have programs for all the relevant operations.

In the version we have currently implemented and verified for correctness, we precompute the multiplicative constants, the input permutation and the output permutation. From Equation 8 from A Bilinear Form for the DFT (4.8), the multiplicative constants are given by $V_p (1 \oplus C^t R^{-t} P J Q_s) w$, the input permutation is given by $1 \oplus PQ_r$, and the output permutation is given by $1 \oplus Q_s^t J P^t$. The multiplicative constants, the input and output permutation are each stored as vectors. These vectors are then passed to the prime length FFT program, which consists of the appropriate function calls, see the appendix. In previous prime length FFT modules, the input and output permutations can be completely absorbed in to the computational instructions. This is possible because they are written as straight line code. It is simple to modify the code generating program we have implemented so that it produces straight line code and absorbs the permutations in to the computational program instructions.

In an in-place in-order prime factor algorithm for the DFT [6], [33], the necessary permuted forms of the DFT can be obtained by modifying the multiplicative constants. This can be easily done by permuting the roots of unity, w, in the expression for the multiplicative constants [6], [12], nothing else in the structure of the algorithm needs to be changed. By changing the multiplicative constants, it is not possible, however, to omit the permutation required for Rader's conversion of the prime length DFT in to circular convolution.

7.1.1 Operation Counts

Table 7.1 lists the arithmetic operations incurred by the FFT programs we have generated and verified for correctness. Note that the number of additions and multiplications incurred by the programs we have generated are the same as previously existing programs for prime lengths up to and including 13. For p = 17a program with 70 multiplications and 314 additions has been written, and for p = 19 a program with 76 multiplications and 372 additions has been written [14]. Thus for the length p = 17, the program we have generated requires fewer total arithmetic operations, while for p = 19, ours uses more.

There are several table of operation counts in [16], each table corresponding to a different variation of the algorithms used in that paper. For each variation, the algorithms we have described use fewer additions and fewer multiplications. The focus of [16], however, is the implementation of prime point FFT on various computer architectures and the advantage that can be gained from matching algorithms with architectures.

¹This content is available online at http://cnx.org/content/m18137/1.6/.

It should be noted that the highest prime in [16] for which an FFT was designed is 29. Although we have not executed the programs described in this paper on these computers, they are, as mentioned above, written to be easily adapted to parallel/vector computers.

Р	muls	adds	Р	muls	adds	Р	muls	adds
3	4	12	41	280	1140	241	3280	13020
5	10	34	43	256	1440	271	3760	18152
7	16	72	61	400	1908	281	4480	19036
11	40	168	71	640	3112	337	5248	22268
13	40	188	73	532	2504	379	6016	32880
17	82	274	109	940	5096	421	6400	29412
19	76	404	113	1312	5516	433	7708	32864
29	160	836	127	1216	6760	541	9400	43020
31	160	776	181	1900	8936	631	12160	56056
37	190	990	211	2560	12368	757	15040	76292

 Table 7.1:
 Operation counts for prime length FFTs



Figure 7.1: Plot of additions and multiplications incurred by prime length FFTs.



Figure 7.2: Plot of additions and multiplications incurred by prime length FFTs.

$\mathbf{Conclusion}^{1}$

8.1 Conclusion

We have found that by using the split nesting algorithm for circular convolution a new set of efficient prime length DFT modules that cover a wide variety of lengths can be developed. We have also exploited the structure in the split nesting algorithm to write a program that automatically generates compact readable code for convolution and prime length FFT programs.

The resulting code makes clear the organization and structure of the algorithm and clearly enumerates the disjoint convolutions into which the problem is decomposed. These independent convolutions can be executed in parallel and, moreover, the individual commands are of the form $I \otimes A \otimes I$ which can be executed as parallel/vector commands on appropriate computer architectures[37]. By recognizing also that the algorithms for different lengths share many of the same computational structures, the code we generate is made up of calls to a relatively small set of functions. Accordingly, the subroutines can be designed to specifically suit a given architecture.

The number of additions and multiplications incurred by the programs we have generated are the same as or are competitive with existing prime length FFT programs. We note that previously, prime length FFTs were made available for primes only up to 29. As in the original Winograd short convolution algorithms, the efficiency of the resulting prime p point DFT algorithm depends largely upon the factorability of p-1. For example, if p-1 is two times a prime, then an efficient p point DFT algorithm is more difficult to develop.

It should be noted too that the programs for convolution developed above are useful in the convolution of long integer sequences when exact results are needed. This is because all multiplicative constants in an n point integer convolution are integer multiples of 1/n and this division by n can be delayed until the last stage or can simply be omitted if a scaled version of the convolution is acceptable.

By developing a large library of prime point FFT programs we can extend the maximum length and the variety of lengths of a prime factor algorithm or a Winograd Fourier transform algorithm. Furthermore, because the approach taken in this paper gives a bilinear form, it can be incorporated into the dynamic programming technique for designing optimal composite length FFT algorithms [10]. The programs described in this paper can also be adapted to obtain discrete cosine transform (DCT) algorithms by simply permuting the input and output sequences [8].

¹This content is available online at < http://cnx.org/content/m18138/1.2/>.

Appendix: Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution¹

9.1 Appendix: Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution

The following is a collection of bilinear forms for linear convolution. In each case (D_n, D_n, F_n) describes a bilinear form for n point linear convolution. That is

$$y = F_n\{D_n h * D_n x\} \tag{9.1}$$

computes the linear convolution of the n point sequences h and x.

For each D_n we give Matlab programs that compute $D_n x$ and $D_n^t x$, and for each F_n we give a Matlab program that computes $F_n^t x$. When the matrix exchange algorithm is employed in the design of circular convolution algorithms, these are the relevant operations.

9.1.1 2 point linear convolution

 D_2 can be implemented with 1 addition, D_2^t with two additions.

$$D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.2)

$$F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.3)

function y = D2(x)
y = zeros(3,1);
y(1) = x(1);
y(2) = x(2);
y(3) = x(1) + x(2);

¹This content is available online at http://cnx.org/content/m18139/1.5/.

function y = D2t(x) y = zeros(2,1); y(1) = x(1)+x(3); y(2) = x(2)+x(3); function y = F2t(x) y = zeros(3,1); y(1) = x(1)-x(2); y(2) = -x(2)+x(3); y(3) = x(2);

9.1.2 3 point linear convolution

 D_3 can be implemented in 7 additions, D_3^t in 9 additions.

$$D_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.4)
$$F_{3} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 6 & -2 & -1 & 12 \\ -6 & 3 & 3 & 0 & -6 \\ 3 & -3 & -1 & 1 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.5)

```
function y = D3(x)
y = zeros(5,1);
a = x(2)+x(3);
b = x(3)-x(2);
y(1) = x(1);
y(2) = x(1)+a;
y(3) = x(1)+b;
y(4) = a+a+b+y(2);
y(5) = x(3);
function y = D3t(x)
y = zeros(3,1);
y(1) = x(2)+x(3)+x(4);
a = x(4)+x(4);
y(2) = x(2)-x(3)+a;
```

y(3) = y(1)+x(4)+a;

y(1) = y(1)+x(1);y(3) = y(3)+x(5);

```
function y = F3t(x)
y = zeros(5,1);
y(1) = 6*x(1)-3*x(2)-6*x(3)+3*x(4);
y(2) = 6*x(2)+3*x(3)-3*x(4);
y(3) = -2*x(2)+3*x(3)-x(4);
y(4) = -x(2)+x(4);
y(5) = 12*x(2)-6*x(3)-12*x(4)+6*x(5);
y = y/6;
```

9.1.3 4 point linear convolution

$$D_4 = D_2 \otimes D_2 \tag{9.6}$$

$$F_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9.7)

function y = F4t(x) y = zeros(7,1); y(1) = x(1)-x(2)-x(3)+x(4); y(2) = -x(2)+x(3)+x(4)-x(5); y(3) = x(2)-x(4); y(4) = -x(3)+x(4)+x(5)-x(6); y(5) = x(4)-x(5)-x(6)+x(7); y(6) = -x(4)+x(6); y(7) = x(3)-x(4); y(8) = -x(4)+x(5); y(9) = x(4);

9.1.4 6 point linear convolution

$$D_6 = D_2 \otimes D_3 \tag{9.8}$$

CHAPTER 9. APPENDIX: BILINEAR FORMS FOR LINEAR CONVOLUTION

[6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	-3	6	-2	-1	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	-6	3	3	0	-6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	-3	-3	-1	1	-12	-6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	
1	3	-6	2	1	-6	3	-6	2	1	-12	-3	6	-2	-1	12	
$F_6 = \frac{1}{6}$	6	-3	-3	0	6	6	-3	-3	0	6	-6	3	3	0	-6	(9.9)
Ŭ	-3	3	1	-1	12	3	3	1	-1	12	3	-3	-1	1	-12	
	0	0	0	0	-6	-3	6	-2	-1	6	0	0	0	0	6	
	0	0	0	0	0	-6	3	3	0	-6	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	3	-3	-1	1	-12	0	0	0	0	0	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	

```
function y = F6t(x)
y = zeros(15,1);
y(1) = 6*x(1)-3*x(2)-6*x(3)-3*x(4)+3*x(5)+6*x(6)-3*x(7);
y(2) = 6*x(2)+3*x(3)-3*x(4)-6*x(5)-3*x(6)+3*x(7);
y(3) = -2*x(2)+3*x(3)-x(4)+2*x(5)-3*x(6)+x(7);
y(4) = -x(2)+x(4)+x(5)-x(7);
y(5) = 12*x(2)-6*x(3)-12*x(4)-6*x(5)+6*x(6)+12*x(7)-6*x(8);
y(6) = -6*x(4)+3*x(5)+6*x(6)+3*x(7)-3*x(8)-6*x(9)+3*x(10);
y(7) = -6*x(5) - 3*x(6) + 3*x(7) + 6*x(8) + 3*x(9) - 3*x(10);
y(8) = 2*x(5)-3*x(6)+x(7)-2*x(8)+3*x(9)-x(10);
y(9) = x(5)-x(7)-x(8)+x(10);
y(10) = -12*x(5)+6*x(6)+12*x(7)+6*x(8)-6*x(9)-12*x(10)+6*x(11);
y(11) = 6*x(4) - 3*x(5) - 6*x(6) + 3*x(7);
y(12) = 6*x(5)+3*x(6)-3*x(7);
y(13) = -2*x(5)+3*x(6)-x(7);
y(14) = -x(5)+x(7);
y(15) = 12*x(5)-6*x(6)-12*x(7)+6*x(8);
y = y/6;
```

9.1.5 8 point linear convolution

$$D_8 = D_2 \otimes D_2 \otimes D_2 \tag{9.10}$$

function y = F8t(x)

```
y = zeros(27, 1);
y(1) = x(1)-x(2)-x(3)+x(4)-x(5)+x(6)+x(7)-x(8);
y(2) = -x(2)+x(3)+x(4)-x(5)+x(6)-x(7)-x(8)+x(9);
y(3) = x(2) - x(4) - x(6) + x(8);
y(4) = -x(3)+x(4)+x(5)-x(6)+x(7)-x(8)-x(9)+x(10);
y(5) = x(4)-x(5)-x(6)+x(7)-x(8)+x(9)+x(10)-x(11);
y(6) = -x(4)+x(6)+x(8)-x(10);
y(7) = x(3)-x(4)-x(7)+x(8);
y(8) = -x(4)+x(5)+x(8)-x(9);
y(9) = x(4) - x(8);
y(10) = -x(5)+x(6)+x(7)-x(8)+x(9)-x(10)-x(11)+x(12);
y(11) = x(6)-x(7)-x(8)+x(9)-x(10)+x(11)+x(12)-x(13);
y(12) = -x(6)+x(8)+x(10)-x(12);
y(13) = x(7)-x(8)-x(9)+x(10)-x(11)+x(12)+x(13)-x(14);
y(14) = -x(8)+x(9)+x(10)-x(11)+x(12)-x(13)-x(14)+x(15);
y(15) = x(8) - x(10) - x(12) + x(14);
y(16) = -x(7) + x(8) + x(11) - x(12);
y(17) = x(8)-x(9)-x(12)+x(13);
y(18) = -x(8) + x(12);
y(19) = x(5)-x(6)-x(7)+x(8);
y(20) = -x(6)+x(7)+x(8)-x(9);
y(21) = x(6) - x(8);
y(22) = -x(7) + x(8) + x(9) - x(10);
y(23) = x(8) - x(9) - x(10) + x(11);
y(24) = -x(8)+x(10);
y(25) = x(7) - x(8);
y(26) = -x(8) + x(9);
y(27) = x(8);
```

9.1.6 18 point linear convolution

$$D_8 = D_2 \otimes D_3 \otimes D_3 \tag{9.12}$$

 F_{18} and the program F18t are too big to print.

CHAPTER 9. APPENDIX: BILINEAR FORMS FOR LINEAR CONVOLUTION

Appendix: A 45 Point Circular Convolution Program¹

10.1 Appendix: A 45 Point Circular Convolution Program

As an example, we list a 45 point circular convolution program.

```
function y = cconv45(x,u)
% y = ccconv45(x,u)
\% y : the 45 point circular convolution of x and h
% where u is a vector of precomputed multiplicative constants
                                 % prime factor permuation
x = pfp([9,5],2,x);
x = KRED([3,5], [2,1], 2, x);
                                % reduction operations (152 Additions)
y = zeros(45, 1);
% ------ block : 1 ------
y(1) = x(1)*u(1); % 1 Multiplication
% ------ block : 3 ------
v = ID2I(1,1,x(2:3)); % v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(1)) * x(2:3)
v = v.*u(2:4); % 3 Multiplications
                                                                            a : 1=1*1
y(2:3) = ID2tI(1,1,v); % y(2:3) = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(1)) * v
                                                                            a : 2=1*2
% ------ block : 9 -----
v = ID3I(2,1,x(4:9)); % v = (I(2) kron D3 kron I(1)) * x(4:9) a : 14=2*7
v = ID2I(1,5,v);
                            % v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(5)) * v
                                                                             a : 5=5*1
v = v.*u(5:19);
                           % 15 Multiplications
v = ID2tI(1,5,v);
v = ID2tI(1,5,v);% v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(5)) * va : 10=5*2y(4:9) = ID3tI(2,1,v);% y(4:9) = (I(2) \text{ kron } D3' \text{ kron } I(1)) * va : 18=2*9
% ------ block : 5 -----
v = ID2I(1,2,x(10:13)); % v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(2)) * x(10:13)
                                                                           a : 2=2*1
v = ID2I(3, 1, v);
                           % v = (I(3) kron D2 kron I(1)) * v
                                                                            a : 3=3*1
                           % 9 Multiplications
v = v.*u(20:28);
v = ID2tI(1,3,v);% v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(3)) * va : 6=3*2y(10:13) = ID2tI(2,1,v);% y(10:13) = (I(2) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(1)) * va : 4=2*2
% ------ block : 15 = 3 * 5 -----
v = ID2I(1,4,x(14:21));% v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(4)) * x(14:21)a : 4=4*1v = ID2I(3,2,v);% v = (I(3) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(2)) * va : 6=6*1
```

¹This content is available online at <http://cnx.org/content/m18143/1.3/>.

v = ID2I(9, 1, v);	% v = (I(9) kron D2 kron I(1)) * v	a : 9=9*1
v = v.*u(29:55);	% 27 Multiplications	
v = ID2tI(1,9,v);	% v = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(9)) * v	a : 18=9*2
v = ID2tI(2,3,v);	% v = (I(2) kron D2' kron I(3)) * v	a : 12=6*2
y(14:21) = ID2tI(4,1,v);	% y(14:21) = (I(4) kron D2' kron I(1)) * v	a : 8=4*2
% block	: 45 = 9 * 5	
v = ID3I(2,4,x(22:45));	% v = (I(2) kron D3 kron I(4)) * x(22:45)	a : 56=8*7
v = ID2I(1,20,v);	% v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(20)) * v	a : 20=20*1
v = ID2I(15, 2, v);	% v = (I(15) kron D2 kron I(2)) * v	a : 30=30*1
v = ID2I(45,1,v);	% v = (I(45) kron D2 kron I(1)) * v	a : 45=45*1
v = v.*u(56:190);	% 135 Multiplications	
v = ID2tI(1,45,v);	% v = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(45)) * v	a : 90=45*2
v = ID2tI(10,3,v);	% v = (I(10) kron D2' kron I(3)) * v	a : 60=30*2
v = ID2tI(20,1,v);	% v = (I(20) kron D2' kron I(1)) * v	a : 40=20*2
y(22:45) = ID3tI(2,4,v);	% y(22:45) = (I(2) kron D3' kron I(4)) * v	a : 72=8*9
y = tKRED([3,5],[2,1],2,y);	% transpose reduction operations (152 Addi	tions)
y = pfpt([9,5],2,y);	% prime factor permuation	
y = y(45:-1:1);		
% Total Number of Multiplica	tions : 190	
% Total Number of Additions:	839	

Appendix: A 31 Point FFT Program¹

11.1 Appendix: A 31 Point FFT Program

As an example, we list a 31 point FFT program.

```
function y = fft31(x,u,ip,op)
% y = fft31(x,u,ip,op)
% y : the 31 point DFT of x
% u : a vector of precomputed multiplicative constants
% ip : input permutation
% op : ouput permutation
y = zeros(31, 1);
                                          % input permutation
x = x(ip);
x(2:31) = KRED([2,3,5],[1,1,1],3,x(2:31));
                                         % reduction operations
y(1) = x(1) + x(2);
                                          % DC term calculation
% ------ block : 1 ------
y(2) = x(2) * u(1);
% ------ block : 2 ------
y(3) = x(3) * u(2);
% ------ block : 3 ------
v = ID2I(1, 1, x(4:5));
                     % v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(1)) * x(4:5)
v = v.*u(3:5);
y(4:5) = ID2tI(1,1,v); % y(4:5) = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(1)) * v
% ------ block : 6 = 2 * 3 -----
v = ID2I(1,1,x(6:7)); % v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(1)) * x(6:7)
v = v.*u(6:8);
y(6:7) = ID2tI(1,1,v);
                             % y(6:7) = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(1)) * v
% ------ block : 5 ------
v = ID2I(1,2,x(8:11));% v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(2)) * x(8:11)v = ID2I(3,1,v);% v = (I(3) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(1)) * v
v = v.*u(9:17);
v = ID2tI(1,3,v);
                             % v = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(3)) * v
y(8:11) = ID2tI(2,1,v); % y(8:11) = (I(2) \text{ kron } D2, \text{ kron } I(1)) * v
% ------ block : 10 = 2 * 5 -----
v = ID2I(1,2,x(12:15));
                             % v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(2)) * x(12:15)
```

¹This content is available online at < http://cnx.org/content/m18140/1.3/>.

```
v = ID2I(3, 1, v);
                                       % v = (I(3) kron D2 kron I(1)) * v
v = v.*u(18:26);
v = ID2tI(1,3,v); % v = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(3)) * v
y(12:15) = ID2tI(2,1,v); % y(12:15) = (I(2) kron D2' kron I(1)) * v
% ------ block : 15 = 3 * 5 -----
v = ID2I(1,4,x(16:23));  % v = (I(1) kron D2 kron I(4)) * x(16:23)
v = ID2I(3,2,v);  % v = (I(3) kron D2 kron I(2)) * v
v = ID2I(9,1,v);  % v = (I(9) kron D2 kron I(1)) * v
v = 1D2tI(1,9,v); % v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2' \text{ kron } I(9)) * v

v = ID2tI(2,3,v); % v = (I(0) \text{ kron } I(9)) * v
% ------ block : 30 = 2 * 3 * 5 -----
v = ID2I(1,4,x(24:31));% v = (I(1) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(4)) * x(24:31)v = ID2I(3,2,v);% v = (I(3) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(2)) * vv = ID2I(9,1,v);% v = (I(9) \text{ kron } D2 \text{ kron } I(1)) * v
v = v.*u(54:80);
v = ID2tI(1,9,v); % v = (I(1) kron D2' kron I(9)) * v
v = ID2tI(2,3,v); % v = (I(2) kron D2' kron I(3)) * v
y(24:31) = ID2tI(4,1,v); % y(24:31) = (I(4) kron D2' kron I(1)) * v
% -----
y(2) = y(1)+y(2);
                                                          % DC term calculation
y(2:31) = tKRED([2,3,5],[1,1,1],3,y(2:31));
                                                         % transpose reduction operations
y = y(op);
                                                           % output permutation
% For complex data -
% Total Number of Real Multiplications : 160
% Total Number of Real Additions: 776
```

The constants, input and output permutations are:

% The multiplicative constants for the 31 point FFT

```
I = sqrt(-1);
u = [
      0.185592145427667*I
       0.251026872929094
       0.638094290379888
      -0.296373721102994
      -0.462201919825109*I
       0.155909426230360*I
       0.102097497864916*I
      -0.100498239164838
      -0.217421331841463
      -0.325082164955763
       0.798589508696894
      -0.780994042074251
      -0.256086011899669
       0.169494392220932
       0.711997889018157
      -0.060064820876732
```

-1.235197570427205*I -0.271691369288525*I 0.541789612349592*I 0.329410560797314*I 1.317497505049809*I -0.599508803858381*I 0.093899154219231*I -0.176199088841836*I 0.028003825226279*I 1.316699050305790 1.330315270540553 -0.385122753006171 -2.958666546021397 -2.535301995146201 2.013474028487015 1.081897731187396 0.136705213653014 -0.569390844064251-0.262247009112805 2.009855570455675 -1.159348599757857 0.629367699727360 1.229312102919654 -1.479874670425178 -0.058279061554516 -0.908786032252333 0.721257672797977 -0.351484013730995 -1.113390280332076 0.514823784254676 0.776432948764679 0.435329964075516 -0.177866452687279 -0.341206223210960 0.257360272866440 -0.050622276244575 -2.745673340229639*I 2.685177424507523*I 0.880463026400118*I -5.028851220636894*I -0.345528375980267*I 1.463210769729252*I 3.328421083558774*I -0.237219367348867*I -1.086975102467855*I -1.665522956385442*I 1.628826188810638*I 0.534088072762272*I -3.050496586573981*I -0.209597199290132*I 0.887582325001072*I

```
2.019017208624242*I
-0.143897052948668*I
-0.659358110687783*I
1.470398765538361*I
-1.438001204439387*I
-0.471517033054130*I
2.693115935736959*I
0.185041858423467*I
-0.783597698243441*I
-1.782479430727672*I
0.127038806765845*I
0.582111071051880*I
```

];

% The input permutation for the 31 point FFT

op	= [
	1
	31
	30
	2
	29
	26
	6
	19
	28
	23
	25
	9
	5
	7
	18
	12
	27
	3
	22
	20
	24
	10
	8
	13
	4
	21
	11
	14
	17
	15
	16

];

% The output permutation for the 31 point FFT

Appendix: Matlab Functions For Circular Convolution and Prime Length FFTs¹

12.1 Programs for Reduction Operations

The reduction matrix of Equation 44 in Preliminaries (2.44) is implemented by KRED which calls RED. Its transpose and inverse transpose are implemented by tRED, tRED, itKRED and itRED.

```
function x = KRED(P, E, K, x)
\% x = KRED(P,E,K,x);
% P : P = [P(1), \ldots, P(K)];
% E : E = [E(K), \dots, E(K)];
for i = 1:K
   a = prod(P(1:i-1).^E(1:i-1));
   c = prod(P(i+1:K).^E(i+1:K));
   p = P(i);
   e = E(i);
   for j = e - 1: -1:0
      x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))) = RED(p,a,c*(p^j),x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))));
   end
end
    function y = RED(p,a,c,x)
\% y = RED(p,a,c,x);
y = zeros(a*c*p,1);
for i = 0:c:(a-1)*c
   for j = 0:c-1
      y(i+j+1) = x(i*p+j+1);
      for k = 0:c:c*(p-2)
         y(i+j+1) = y(i+j+1) + x(i*p+j+k+c+1);
         y(i*(p-1)+j+k+a*c+1) = x(i*p+j+k+1) - x(i*p+j+c*(p-1)+1);
      end
   end
end
```

 $^{^{1}} This \ content \ is \ available \ online \ at \ < http://cnx.org/content/m18141/1.3/>.$

```
function x = tKRED(P, E, K, x)
% x = tKRED(P,E,K,x);
% (transpose)
% P : P = [P(1), \dots, P(K)];
% E : E = [E(K), \dots, E(K)];
for i = K:-1:1
   a = prod(P(1:i-1).^E(1:i-1));
   c = prod(P(i+1:K). (i+1:K));
   p = P(i);
   e = E(i);
   for j = 0:e-1
      x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))) = tRED(p,a,c*(p^j),x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))));
   end
end
    function y = tRED(p,a,c,x)
\% y = tRED(p,a,c,x);
% (transpose)
y = zeros(a*c*p,1);
for i = 0:c:(a-1)*c
   for j = 0:c-1
      y(i*p+j+c*(p-1)+1) = x(i+j+1);
      for k = 0:c:c*(p-2)
         v(i*p+j+k+1) = x(i+j+1) + x(i*(p-1)+j+k+a*c+1);
         y(i*p+j+c*(p-1)+1) = y(i*p+j+c*(p-1)+1) - x(i*(p-1)+j+k+a*c+1);
      end
   end
end
```

12.2 Programs for I \otimes Dk \otimes I

The operations of $I_m \otimes D_2 \otimes I_n$ and $I_m \otimes D_3 \otimes I_n$ are carried out by ID2I and ID3I. Their transposes by ID2tI and ID3tI. The functions D2 and D3 are listed in the appendix, 'Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution.' Two versions of ID2I are listed here. One of them calls D2 in a loop, while the other version puts the D2 code in the loop instead of using a function call. There are several ways to implement the form $I \otimes D_2 \otimes I$. But this is a simple and straightforward method. It is modeled after IAI in the text.

```
function y = ID2I(m,n,x)
y = zeros(m*n*3,1);
v = 0:n:2*n;
u = 0:n:n;
for i = 0:m-1
    for j = 0:n-1
        y(v+i*3*n+j+1) = D2(x(u+i*2*n+j+1));
    end
end
function y = ID2I(m,n,x)
y = zeros(m*n*3,1);
for i = 0:n:n*(m-1)
    i2 = 2*i;
```

```
i3 = 3*i;
   for j = 1:n
      j2 = i2 + j;
      j3 = i3 + j;
      y(j3) = x(j2);
      y(n+j3) = x(n+j2);
      y(2*n+j3) = x(j2) + x(n+j2);
   end
end
    function y = ID2tI(m,n,x)
y = zeros(m*n*2,1);
v = 0:n:n;
u = 0:n:2*n;
for i = 0:m-1
   for j = 0:n-1
      y(v+i*2*n+j+1) = D2t(x(u+i*3*n+j+1));
   end
end
    function y = ID3I(m,n,x)
y = zeros(m*n*5,1);
v = 0:n:4*n;
u = 0:n:2*n;
for i = 0:m-1
   for j = 0:n-1
      y(v+i*5*n+j+1) = D3(x(u+i*3*n+j+1));
   end
end
    function y = ID3tI(m,n,x)
y = zeros(m*n*3,1);
v = 0:n:2*n;
u = 0:n:4*n;
for i = 0:m-1
  for j = 0:n-1
      y(v+i*3*n+j+1) = D3t(x(u+i*5*n+j+1));
   end
end
```

CHAPTER 12. APPENDIX: MATLAB FUNCTIONS FOR CIRCULAR CONVOLUTION AND PRIME LENGTH FFTS

Appendix: A Matlab Program for Generating Prime Length FFT Programs¹

```
function [u,ip,op,ADDS,MULTS] = ff(p,e);
% [u,ip,op,ADDS,MULTS] = ff(p,e);
% u : multiplicative constants
% ip : input permutation
% op : output permutation
K = length(p);
N = prod(p.^e);
P = N + 1;
[pr, ipr] = primitive_root(P);
Red_Adds = 2 * N * (K - sum(1./(p.^e)));
ADDS = 2 * Red_Adds;
FS = sprintf('fft%d.m',P);
fid = fopen(FS,'w');
fprintf(fid,'function y = fft%d(x,u,ip,op)\n',P);
fprintf(fid, '%% y = fft%d(x,u,ip,op)\n',P);
fprintf(fid,'%% y : the %d point DFT of x n',P);
fprintf(fid,'%% u : a vector of precomputed multiplicative constants\n');
fprintf(fid,'%% ip : input permutation\n');
fprintf(fid,'%% op : ouput permutation\n');
Pstr = sprintf('[%d',p(1));
for k = 2:K, Pstr = [Pstr, sprintf(', d', p(k))]; end
Pstr = [Pstr, ']';
Estr = sprintf('[%d',e(1));
for k = 2:K, Estr = [Estr, sprintf(', d', e(k))]; end
Estr = [Estr, ']';
```

 $^{^1{\}rm This}\ {\rm content}\ {\rm is\ available\ online\ at\ <} http://cnx.org/content/m18142/1.4/>.$

```
PEstr = sprintf('[%d',p(1)^e(1));
for k = 2:K, PEstr = [PEstr, sprintf(',%d',p(k)^e(k))]; end
PEstr = [PEstr,']'];
fprintf(fid, '\n');
S = sprintf('y = zeros(%d,1); (n',P);
fprintf(fid,S);
S1 = sprintf('x = x(ip);');
S2 = sprintf('%% input permutation\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
S1 = sprintf(['x(2:%d) = KRED(',Pstr,',',Estr,',%d,x(2:%d));'],P,K,P);
S2 = sprintf('%% reduction operations\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
e_table = [0:e(1)]';
a = e(1)+1;
for i = 2:K
  e_table = [kron(ones(e(i)+1,1),e_table), kron([0:e(i)]',ones(a,1))];
  a = a * (e(i)+1);
end
R = prod(e+1);
% ------ MULTIPLICATIVE CONSTANTS ------
k = rp(P, ipr, 0:N);
I = sqrt(-1);
W = \exp(-I*2*pi*k/P);
h = W(2:P);
h = h(N:-1:1);
h = pfp(p.^e,K,h);
h = itKRED(p,e,K,h);
u = h(1);
S1 = sprintf('y(1) = x(1)+x(2);');
S2 = sprintf('%% DC term calculation\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
DC_ADDS = 2;
ADDS = ADDS + DC_ADDS;
SLINE = '-----';
SB = ' block : 1 ';
SC = SLINE;
BL = 21;
SC(BL:BL-1+length(SB)) = SB;
fprintf(fid,'%% %s\n',SC);
S1 = sprintf('y(2) = x(2)*u(1);');
fprintf(fid, '\%-40s\n', S1);
a = 1;
MULTS = 1;
for i = 2:R
```

```
v = e_table(i,:);
  f = find(v>0);
  q = p(f);
  t = v(f);
  L = prod(q-1)*prod(q.^{(t-1)});
  B = prod(q.^t);
  bs = sprintf('%d',q(1)^t(1));
  for k = 2:length(q), bs = [bs, sprintf(' * d',q(k)^{t(k)}]; end
   if length(q) > 1
SB = sprintf(' block : %d = %s ',B,bs);
SC = SLINE;
SC(BL:BL-1+length(SB)) = SB;
fprintf(fid,'%% %s\n',SC);
   else
SB = sprintf(' block : %d ',B);
        SC = SLINE;
        SC(BL:BL-1+length(SB)) = SB;
        fprintf(fid,'%% %s\n',SC);
   end
   if prod(q.^t) == 2
      S1 = sprintf('y(%d) = x(%d)*u(%d);',a+2,a+2,MULTS+1);
      fprintf(fid, '\%-40s\n', S1);
      Mk = 1;
   else
      d = []; r = []; c = []; Q = []; Qt = [];
      for j = 1:length(q)
         [dk,rk,ck,Qk,Qtk] = A_data(q(j)^t(j));
         if dk > 1
            d = [d dk]; r = [r rk]; c = [c ck]; Q = [Q Qk]; Qt = [Qt Qtk];
         end
      end
      [g,C1] = cgc(Q,r,c,length(Q));
      ADDS = ADDS + C1;
      Mk = prod(r);
      BEG = int2str(a+2); FIN = int2str(a+1+L);
      XX = ['x(',BEG,':',FIN,')']; YY = 'v';
      kpi(d,g,r,c,length(Q),YY,XX,fid);
      S1 = ['v = v.*u(',int2str(MULTS+1),':',int2str(MULTS+Mk),');'];
      fprintf(fid, '\%-40s\n', S1);
      [g,C2] = cgc(Qt,c,r,length(Q));
      ADDS = ADDS + C2;
      XX = 'v'; YY = ['y(',BEG,':',FIN,')'];
      kpit(d,g,c,r,length(Q),YY,XX,fid);
   end
   c = [];
   r = [];
   lq = length(q);
   for j = 1:1q
      [fk,rk,ck] = C_data(q(j),t(j));
```

```
r = [r rk]; c = [c ck];
  end
  f = (q-1).*(q.^{(t-1)});
  temp = Kcrot(q,t,lq,h(a+1:a+L));
  temp = KFt(f,r,c,temp);
  u = [u; temp(:)];
  a = a + L;
  MULTS = MULTS + Mk;
end
u(1) = u(1) - 1;
fprintf(fid, '%% %s\n',SLINE);
S1 = sprintf('y(2) = y(1)+y(2);');
S2 = sprintf('%% DC term calculation\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
S1 = sprintf(['y(2:%d) = tKRED(',Pstr,',',Estr,',%d,y(2:%d));'],P,K,P);
S2 = sprintf('%% transpose reduction operations\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
S1 = sprintf('y = y(op);');
S2 = sprintf('%% output permutation\n');
fprintf(fid, '%-50s%s', S1, S2);
fprintf(fid, '\n');
MULTS = 2 * MULTS;
ADDS = 2* ADDS;
fprintf(fid, '%% For complex data - (n');
fprintf(fid, '%% Total Number of Real Multiplications : %d\n',MULTS);
fprintf(fid,'%% Total Number of Real Additions: %d\n\n',ADDS);
fclose(fid);
id = 1:P; % identity permutation
ip = rp(P, pr, id);
ip(2:P) = pfp(p.^e,K,ip(2:P));
op = id;
op(2:P) = pfpt(p.^e,K,op(2:P));
op(2:P) = op(P:-1:2);
op = rpt(P,ipr,op);
%%%%%%%%%%%%% PUT MULTIPLICATIVE CONSTANTS AND PERMUTATIONS IN A FILE %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
CFS = sprintf('cap%d.m',P);
fid = fopen(CFS,'w');
fprintf(fid, '\n%% The multiplicative constants for the %d point FFT\n\n', P);
fprintf(fid,'I = sqrt(-1);\n');
fprintf(fid,'u = [\n');
for k = 1:MULTS/2
  if abs(real(u(k))) < 0.000001
     fprintf(fid, '%25.15f*I\n', imag(u(k)));
```

```
elseif abs(imag(u(k))) < 0.0001
      fprintf(fid, '%25.15f(n', real(u(k)));
   else
      fprintf(fid, '%25.15f + %25.15f*I\n', real(u(k)), imag(u(k)));
   end
end
fprintf(fid,'];\n\n');
fprintf(fid, \normalfont{\normalfont} The input permutation for the %d point FFT\n\n',P);
fprintf(fid,'ip = [\n');
for k = 1:P
fprintf(fid, ' %d\n', ip(k));
end
fprintf(fid,'];\n\n');
fprintf(fid, \n\% The output permutation for the %d point FFT(n\n',P);
fprintf(fid, 'op = [\n');
for k = 1:P
                         d\n',op(k));
        fprintf(fid,'
end
fprintf(fid, '];\n\n');
fclose(fid);
```

The following programs print the program statements that carry out the operation $I \otimes D_k \otimes I$ and $I \otimes D_k^t \otimes I$. They are modeled after kpi in the text.

```
function kpi(d,g,r,c,n,Y,X,fid)
% kpi(d,g,r,c,n,Y,X,fid);
% Kronecker Product : A(d(1)) kron ... kron A(d(n))
\% g : permutation of 1,...,n
% r : [r(1), ..., r(n)]
% c : [c(1),..,c(n)]
% r(i) : rows of A(d(i))
% c(i) : columns of A(d(i))
% n : number of terms
for i = 1:n
   a = 1;
   for k = 1:(g(i)-1)
      if i > find(g==k)
         a = a * r(k);
      else
         a = a * c(k);
      end
   end
   b = 1;
   for k = (g(i)+1):n
      if i > find(g==k)
         b = b * r(k);
      else
         b = b * c(k);
      end
   end
   % Y = (I(a) kron A(d(g(i))) kron I(b)) * X;
   if i == 1
      S1 = sprintf([Y,' = ID%dI(%d,%d,',X,'); '],d(g(i)),a,b);
```

```
62
                                                           PRIME LENGTH FFT PROGRAMS
      S2 = sprintf(['%%',Y,' = (I(%d) kron D%d kron I(%d)) * ',X],a,d(g(i)),b);
      fprintf(fid, '%-35s%s\n',S1,S2);
   elseif d(g(i)) \sim= 1
      S1 = sprintf([Y,' = ID/dI(/d, 'd, ', Y, ');)
                                                 '],d(g(i)),a,b);
      S2 = sprintf(['%%',Y,' = (I(%d) kron D%d kron I(%d)) * ',Y],a,d(g(i)),b);
      fprintf(fid, '\%-35s\%s\n', S1, S2);
   end
end
    function kpit(d,g,r,c,n,Y,X,fid)
% kpit(g,r,c,n,Y,X,fid);
% (transpose)
% Kronecker Product : A(d(1))' kron ... kron A(d(n))'
% g : permutation of 1,...,n
% r : [r(1), ..., r(n)]
% c : [c(1),..,c(n)]
% r(i) : rows of A(d(i))'
% c(i) : columns of A(d(i))'
% n : number of terms
for i = 1:n
  a = 1;
   for k = 1:(g(i)-1)
      if i > find(g==k)
         a = a * r(k);
      else
         a = a * c(k);
      end
   end
   b = 1;
   for k = (g(i)+1):n
      if i > find(g==k)
        b = b * r(k);
      else
         b = b * c(k);
      end
   end
   % x = (I(a) kron A(d(g(i)))'' kron I(b)) * x;
   if i == n
      S1 = sprintf([Y,' = ID%dtI(%d,%d,',X,'); '],d(g(i)),a,b);
      S2 = sprintf(['%% ',Y,' = (I(%d) kron D%d'' kron I(%d)) * ',X],a,d(g(i)),b);
      fprintf(fid, '\%-35s\%s\n', S1, S2);
   elseif d(g(i)) \sim= 1
      S1 = sprintf([X,' = ID%dtI(%d,%d,',X,');
                                                 '],d(g(i)),a,b);
      S2 = sprintf(['%%',X,' = (I(%d) kron D%d'' kron I(%d)) * ',X],a,d(g(i)),b);
      fprintf(fid, '\%-35s\%s\n', S1, S2);
   end
end
```

CHAPTER 13. APPENDIX: A MATLAB PROGRAM FOR GENERATING

13.1 Programs for Computing Multiplicative Constants

The following programs carry out the operation of $F_{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{d_K}$ where F is the reconstruction matrix in a linear convolution algorithm. See the appendix, 'Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution.'

```
function u = KFt(f,r,c,u)
% u = (F<sup>t</sup> kron ... kron F<sup>t</sup>)*u
% (transpose)
\% f = [f(1), \dots, f(K)]
\% r : r(i) = rows of F(i)
\% c : c(i) = columns of F(i)
\% u : length(u) = prod(c);
K = length(f);
for i = 1:K
   m = prod(c(1:i-1));
   n = prod(r(i+1:K));
   u = IFtI(f(i),r(i),c(i),m,n,u);
end
    function y = IFtI(s,r,c,m,n,x);
% y = (I(m) \text{ kron } F(s)^t \text{ kron } I(n))*x
% (transpose)
% r : rows of F(s)
\% c : columns of F(s)
v = 0:n:n*(c-1);
u = 0:n:n*(r-1);
for i = 0:m-1
   for j = 0:n-1
      y(v+i*c*n+j+1) = Ftop(s,x(u+i*r*n+j+1));
   end
end
    function y = Ftop(k, x)
if k == 1, y = x;
elseif k == 2, y = F2t(x);
elseif k == 3, y = F3t(x);
elseif k == 4, y = F4t(x);
elseif k == 6, y = F6t(x);
elseif k == 8, y = F8t(x);
elseif k == 18, y = F18t(x);
end
```

The following programs carry out the operation of $G_{p_1^{e_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes G_{p_K^{e_K}}$ were G is given by Equation 13 (3.13) and Equation 14 from Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution (3.14).

```
function x = Kcrot(p,e,K,x)
% Kronecker product of Cyclotomic Reduction Operations.
% x = (G(p(1)^e(1)) kron ... kron G(p(K)^(K)))^t*x
% (transpose)
% p : p = [p(1),...,p(K)];
% e : e = [e(1),...,e(K)];
a = (p-1).*((p).^(e-1));
```

```
r = a; % r(i) = number of rows of G(i)
c = 2*a-1; % c(i) = number of columns of G(i)
m = 1;
n = prod(r);
for i = 1:K
   n = n / r(i);
   x = IcrotI(p(i),e(i),m,n,x);
   m = m * c(i);
end
    function y = IcrotI(p,e,m,n,x)
% y = (eye(m) kron G(p^e)^t kron eye(n))*x
% (transpose)
a = (p-1)*(p^{(e-1)});
c = a;
r = 2*a-1;
y = zeros(r*m*n,1);
v = 0:n:(r-1)*n;
u = 0:n:(c-1)*n;
for i = 0:m-1
   for j = 0:n-1
      y(v+i*r*n+j+1) = crot(p,e,x(u+i*c*n+j+1));
   end
end
    function y = crot(p,e,x)
% y = crot(p,x)
% cyclotomic reduction matrix (transpose)
\% length(x) == 2*n-1
% length(y) == n
% where n = (p-1)*(p^{(e-1)})
n = (p-1)*(p^{(e-1)});
y = zeros(2*n-1,1);
if p == 2
 n = p^{(e-1)};
  y(1:n) = x;
  y(n+1:2*n-1) = -x(1:n-1);
else
  y(1:n) = x;
  L = p^{(e-1)};
  y(n+1:n+L) = -x(1:L);
  a = L;
  for k = 2:p-1
     y(n+1:n+L) = y(n+1:n+L) - x(a+1:a+L);
     a = a + L;
  end
  b = 2*n-1 - p*(p^{(e-1)});
  y(p*L+1:p*L+b) = x(1:b);
end
```

64

The following programs tell the programs for code generation relevant information about the bilinear forms for cyclotomic convolution. Specifically, they indicates the linear convolution out of which these cyclotomic convolution are composed, and the dimensions of the corresponding matrices. See the appendix Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution (Chapter 9).

```
function [d,r,c,Q,Qt] = A_data(n)
% A : A matrix in bilinear form for cyclotomic convolution
% d : linear convolution modules used
% r : rows
% c : columns
\% Q : Q(i) = cost associated with D(d(i))
% Qt : Qt(i) = cost associated with D(d(i))'
if n == 2, d = [1];
elseif n == 4, d = [2];
elseif n == 8, d = [2 2];
elseif n == 16, d = [2 2 2];
elseif n == 3, d = [2];
elseif n == 9, d = [2 3];
elseif n == 27, d = [2 3 3];
elseif n == 5, d = [2 2];
elseif n == 7, d = [2 3];
end
r = []; c = []; Q = []; Qt = [];
for k = 1:length(d)
   [rk, ck, Qk, Qtk] = D_data(d(k));
   r = [r rk]; c = [c ck]; Q = [Q Qk]; Qt = [Qt Qtk];
end
    function [r,c,Q,Qt] = D_{data(d)};
% D : D matrix in bilinear form for linear convolution
% r : rows
% c : columns
% Q : cost associated with D(d)
\% Qt : cost associated with D(d)'
if d == 1, r = 1; c = 1; Q = 0; Qt = 0;
elseif d == 2, r = 3; c = 2; Q = 1; Qt = 2;
elseif d == 3, r = 5; c = 3; Q = 7; Qt = 9;
end
    function [f,r,c] = C_data(p,e)
% f : length of linear convolution
% r : rows
% c : columns
f = prod((p-1).*(p.^(e-1)));
% (Euler Totient Function)
r = 2*f-1;
c = F_data(f);
    function c = F_{data}(n)
% c : columns of F matrix
if n == 1, c = 1;
elseif n == 2, c = 3;
elseif n == 4, c = 9;
```

```
elseif n == 8, c = 27;
elseif n == 3, c = 5;
elseif n == 6, c = 15;
elseif n == 18, c = 75;
end
```

13.1.1 Programs for Inverse Transpose Reduction Operations

```
function x = itKRED(P, E, K, x)
% x = itKRED(P,E,K,x);
% (inverse transpose)
% P : P = [P(1), \dots, P(K)];
\% E : E = [E(K), ..., E(K)];
for i = 1:K
   a = prod(P(1:i-1).^E(1:i-1));
   c = prod(P(i+1:K).^E(i+1:K));
   p = P(i);
   e = E(i);
   for j = e - 1 : -1 : 0
      x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))) = itRED(p,a,c*(p^j),x(1:a*c*(p^(j+1))));
   end
end
    function y = itRED(p,a,c,x)
\% y = itRED(p,a,c,x);
% (inverse transpose)
y = zeros(a*c*p,1);
for i = 0:c:(a-1)*c
   for j = 0:c-1
      A = x(i*p+j+1);
      for k = 0:c:c*(p-2)
         A = A + x(i*p+j+k+c+1);
      end
      y(i+j+1) = A;
      for k = 0:c:c*(p-2)
         y(i*(p-1)+j+k+a*c+1) = p*x(i*p+j+k+1) - A;
      end
   end
end
y = y/p;
```

13.2 Programs for Permutations

The permutation of Equation 18 from Preliminaries (2.18) is implemented by pfp. It calls the function pfp2I. The transpose is implemented by pfpt and it calls pfpt2I.

```
function x = pfp(n,K,x)
% x = P(n(1),...,n(K)) * x
% n = [n(1),...,n(K)];
% length(x) = prod(n(1),...,n(K))
```
```
a = prod(n);
s = 1;
for i = K:-1:2
 a = a / n(i);
 x = pfp2I(a,n(i),s,x);
  s = s * n(i);
end
    function y = pfp2I(a,b,s,x)
% y = kron(P(a,b),I(s)) * x;
\% length(x) = a*b*s
n = a * b;
y = zeros(n*s,1);
k1 = 0;
k2 = 0;
for k = 0:n-1
  i1 = s * (k1 + b * k2);
  i2 = s * k;
  for i = 1:s
    y(i1 + i) = x(i2 + i);
  end
  k1 = k1 + 1;
  k2 = k2 + 1;
  if k1 >= b
    k1 = k1 - b;
  end
  if k2 >= a
   k2 = k2 - a;
  end
end
    function x = pfpt(n,K,x)
% x = P(n(1), ..., n(K)), * x
% (tanspose)
\% n = [n(1),...,n(K)];
% length(x) = prod(n(1),...,n(K))
\% a = prod(n);
a = n(1);
s = prod(n(2:K));
for i = 2:K
  s = s / n(i);
  x = pfpt2I(a,n(i),s,x);
 a = a * n(i);
end
    function y = pfpt2I(a,b,s,x)
% y = P(a,b), kron I(s) * x;
% (transpose)
% length(x) = a*b*s
n = a * b;
y = zeros(n*s,1);
```

```
k1 = 0;
k2 = 0;
for k = 0:n-1
 i1 = s * (k1 + b * k2);
 i2 = s * k;
 for i = 1:s
   y(i2 + i) = x(i1 + i);
  end
  k1 = k1 + 1;
  k2 = k2 + 1;
  if k1 >= b
    k1 = k1 - b;
  end
  if k2 >= a
   k2 = k2 - a;
  end
end
```

The following Matlab programs implement Rader's permutation and its transpose. They require the primitive root to be passed to them as an argument.

```
function y = rp(p,r,x)
% Rader's Permutation
% p : prime
% r : a primitive root of p
\% x : length(x) == p
a = 1;
y = zeros(p,1);
y(1) = x(1);
for k = 2:p
  y(k) = x(a+1);
  a = rem(a*r,p);
end
    function y = rpt(p,r,x)
% Rader's Permutation
% (transpose)
% p : prime
% r : a primitive root of p
\% x : length(x) == p
a = 1;
y = zeros(p,1);
y(1) = x(1);
for k = 2:p
   y(a+1) = x(k);
   a = rem(a*r,p);
end
    function [R, R_inv] = primitive_root(N)
% function [R, R_inv] = primitive_root(N)
% Ivan Selesnick
```

68

```
\% N is assumed to be prime. This function returns R,
\% the smallest primitive root of N, and R_inv, the
% inverse of R modulo N.
R = 'Not Found';
m = 0: (N-2);
for x = 1:(N-1)
  if ( 1:(N-1) == sort(rem2(x,m,N)) )
     R = x;
     break
   end
end
R_inv = 'Not Found';
for x = 1:N
  if rem(x*R,N) == 1
     R_iv = x;
     break
   end
end
```

BIBLIOGRAPHY

70

Bibliography

- R. C. Agarwal and J. W. Cooley. New algorithms for digital convolution. *IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc.*, 25(5):392–410, October 1977.
- [2] R. C. Agarwal and J. W. Cooley. New algorithms for digital convolution. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 25(5):392-410, October 1977.
- [3] R. E. Blahut. Fast Algorithms for Digital Signal Processing. Addison-Wesley, 1985.
- [4] R. E. Blahut. Fast Algorithms for Digital Signal Processing. Addison-Wesley, 1985.
- [5] C. S. Burrus. Efficient fourier transform and convolution algorithms. In Jae S. Lim and Alan V. Oppenheim, editors, Advanced Topics in Signal Processing. Prentice Hall, 1988.
- [6] C. S. Burrus and P. W. Eschenbacher. An in-place, in-order prime factor fft algorithm. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 29(4):806-817, August 1981.
- [7] J. Granata, M. Conner, and R. Tolimieri. The tensor product: A mathematical programming language for ffts and other fast dsp operations. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 9(1):40–48, January 1992.
- [8] M. T. Heideman. Computation of an odd-length dct from a real-valued dft of the same length. IEEE Trans. Signal Proc., 40(1):54-59, January 1992.
- [9] H. W. Johnson and C. S. Burrus. The design of optimal dft algorithms using dynamic programming. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 31(2):378-387, April 1983.
- [10] H. W. Johnson and C. S. Burrus. The design of optimal dft algorithms using dynamic programming. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 31(2):378–387, April 1983.
- [11] H. W. Johnson and C. S. Burrus. On the structure of efficient dft algorithms. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 33(1):248-254, February 1985.
- [12] H. W. Johnson and C. S. Burrus. On the structure of efficient dft algorithms. *IEEE Trans. Acoust.*, Speech, Signal Proc., 33(1):248-254, February 1985.
- [13] H. W. Johnson and S. Burrus. Large dft modules: 11, 13, 17, 19, 25. Technical report 8105, Rice University, 1981. Connexions Collection: col10569.
- [14] H. W. Johnson and S. Burrus. Large dft modules: 11, 13, 17, 19, 25. Technical report 8105, Rice University, 1981.
- [15] C. Lu, J. W. Cooley, and R. Tolimieri. Fft algorithms for prime transform sizes and their implementations of vax, ibm3090vf, and ibm rs/6000. *IEEE Trans. Acoust.*, Speech, Signal Proc., 41(2):638-648, February 1993.
- [16] C. Lu, J. W. Cooley, and R. Tolimieri. Fft algorithms for prime transform sizes and their implementations of vax, ibm3090vf, and ibm rs/6000. *IEEE Trans. Acoust.*, Speech, Signal Proc., 41(2):638–648, February 1993.

- [17] D. G. Myers. Digital Signal Processing: Efficient Convolution and Fourier Transform Techniques. Prentice Hall, 1990.
- [18] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast polynomial transform algorithms for digital convolution. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 28(2):205-215, April 1980.
- [19] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast polynomial transform algorithms for digital convolution. IEEE Trans. Acoust., Speech, Signal Proc., 28(2):205-215, April 1980.
- [20] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast Fourier Transform and Convolution Algorithms. Sringer-Verlag, 1982.
- [21] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast Fourier Transform and Convolution Algorithms. Sringer-Verlag, 1982.
- [22] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast Fourier Transform and Convolution Algorithms. Sringer-Verlag, 1982.
- [23] H. J. Nussbaumer. Fast Fourier Transform and Convolution Algorithms. Sringer-Verlag, 1982.
- [24] C. M. Rader. Discrete fourier transform when the number of data samples is prime. Proc. IEEE, 56(6):1107–1108, June 1968.
- [25] C. M. Rader. Discrete fourier transform when the number of data samples is prime. Proc. IEEE, 56(6):1107-1108, June 1968.
- [26] C. M. Rader. Discrete fourier transform when the number of data samples is prime. Proc. IEEE, 56(6):1107-1108, June 1968.
- [27] I. W. Selesnick and C. S. Burrus. Automating the design of prime length fft programs. In Proc. of 1992 ISCAS, 1992.
- [28] I. W. Selesnick and C. S. Burrus. Multidimensional mapping techniques for convolution. In Proc. of 1993 ICASSP, 1993.
- [29] I. W. Selesnick and C. S. Burrus. Extending winograd's small convolution algorithm to longer lengths. In Proc. of 1994 ISCAS, 1994.
- [30] I.W. Selesnick and C.S. Burrus. Automatic generation of prime length fft programs. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 44(1):148211;24, January 1996.
- [31] R. Stasinski. Easy generation of small-n discrete fourier transform algorithms. IEE Proceedings, part G, 133(3):133-139, June 1986.
- [32] C. Temperton. Implementation of a self-sorting in-place prime factor fft algorithm. Journal of Computational Physics, 58:283-299, 1985.
- [33] C. Temperton. Implementation of a self-sorting in-place prime factor fft algorithm. Journal of Computational Physics, 58:283-299, 1985.
- [34] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu. Algorithms for Discrete Fourier Transform and Convolution. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [35] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu. Algorithms for Discrete Fourier Transform and Convolution. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [36] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu. Algorithms for Discrete Fourier Transform and Convolution. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [37] R. Tolimieri, M. An, and C. Lu. Algorithms for Discrete Fourier Transform and Convolution. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [38] S. Winograd. Arithmetic Complexity of Computations. SIAM, 1980.
- [39] S. Winograd. Arithmetic Complexity of Computations. SIAM, 1980.
- [40] Y. Zalcstein. A note on fast cyclic convolution. IEEE Trans. Comput., 20:665-666, June 1971.

Index of Keywords and Terms

Keywords are listed by the section with that keyword (page numbers are in parentheses). Keywords do not necessarily appear in the text of the page. They are merely associated with that section. Ex. apples, § 1.1 (1) **Terms** are referenced by the page they appear on. Ex. apples, 1

- **A** automatic generation of FFTs, $\S 1(1)$
- **B** Bilinear Forms, § 9(39)
- C circular convolution, § 10(45) Convolution, § 9(39), § 10(45), § 12(53)
- F FFT, § 1(1), § 2(3), § 3(13), § 4(19), § 5(23), § 9(39), § 10(45), § 11(47), § 12(53), § 13(57)
- L Length 31 FFT, § 11(47)

- ${f M}$ Matlab program, § 13(57)
- P PFA, § 1(1) prime length FFT, § 2(3), § 3(13), § 4(19), § 5(23), § 13(57)
- W Winograd, § 10(45), § 11(47), § 13(57) Winograd FFT, § 1(1), § 2(3), § 3(13), § 4(19), § 5(23)

ATTRIBUTIONS

Attributions

Collection: Automatic Generation of Prime Length FFT Programs Edited by: C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/col10596/1.4/ License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "Introduction" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18131/1.5/ Pages: 1-2 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "Preliminaries" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18132/1.5/ Pages: 3-12 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "Bilinear Forms for Circular Convolution" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18133/1.5/ Pages: 13-18 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "A Bilinear Form for the DFT" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18134/1.4/ Pages: 19-22 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "Implementing Kronecker Products Efficiently" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18135/1.4/ Pages: 23-27 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

Module: "Programs for Circular Convolution" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18136/1.4/ Pages: 29-31 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

ATTRIBUTIONS

Module: "Programs for Prime Length FFTs" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18137/1.6/ Pages: 33-36 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/ Module: "Conclusion" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18138/1.2/ Page: 37 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/ Module: "Appendix: Bilinear Forms for Linear Convolution" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18139/1.5/ Pages: 39-43 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/ Module: "Appendix: A 45 Point Circular Convolution Program" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18143/1.3/ Pages: 45-46 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/ Module: "Appendix: A 31 Point FFT Program" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18140/1.3/ Pages: 47-51 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/ Module: "Appendix: Matlab Functions For Circular Convolution and Prime Length FFTs" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18141/1.3/ Pages: 53-55 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/Module: "Appendix: A Matlab Program for Generating Prime Length FFT Programs" By: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus URL: http://cnx.org/content/m18142/1.4/ Pages: 57-69 Copyright: Ivan Selesnick, C. Sidney Burrus License: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/

76

Automatic Generation of Prime Length FFT Programs

This collection of modules is from a Rice University, ECE Department Technical Report written around September 1994. It grew out of the doctoral and post doctoral research of Ivan Selesnick working with Prof. C. Sidney Burrus at Rice. Earlier reports on this work were published in the ICASSP and ISCAS conference proceedings in 1992-94 and a fairly complete report was published in the IEEE Transaction on Signal Processing in January 1996.

About Connexions

Since 1999, Connexions has been pioneering a global system where anyone can create course materials and make them fully accessible and easily reusable free of charge. We are a Web-based authoring, teaching and learning environment open to anyone interested in education, including students, teachers, professors and lifelong learners. We connect ideas and facilitate educational communities.

Connexions's modular, interactive courses are in use worldwide by universities, community colleges, K-12 schools, distance learners, and lifelong learners. Connexions materials are in many languages, including English, Spanish, Chinese, Japanese, Italian, Vietnamese, French, Portuguese, and Thai. Connexions is part of an exciting new information distribution system that allows for **Print on Demand Books**. Connexions has partnered with innovative on-demand publisher QOOP to accelerate the delivery of printed course materials and textbooks into classrooms worldwide at lower prices than traditional academic publishers.