

The Constructible Universe and the Relative Consistency of the Axiom of Choice

Lawrence C Paulson

October 1, 2005

Abstract

Gödel's proof of the relative consistency of the axiom of choice [1] is one of the most important results in the foundations of mathematics. It bears on Hilbert's first problem, namely the continuum hypothesis, and indeed Gödel also proved the relative consistency of the continuum hypothesis. Just as important, Gödel's proof introduced the *inner model* method of proving relative consistency, and it introduced the concept of *constructible set*. Kunen [2] gives an excellent description of this body of work.

This Isabelle/ZF formalization demonstrates Gödel's claim that his proof can be undertaken without using metamathematical arguments, for example arguments based on the general syntactic structure of a formula. Isabelle's automation replaces the metamathematics, although it does not eliminate the requirement at least to state many tedious results that would otherwise be unnecessary.

This formalization [4] is by far the deepest result in set theory proved in any automated theorem prover. It rests on a previous formal development of the reflection theorem [3].

Contents

1	First-Order Formulas and the Definition of the Class L	10
1.1	Internalized formulas of FOL	10
1.2	Dividing line between primitive and derived connectives . . .	12
1.2.1	Derived rules to help build up formulas	12
1.3	Arity of a Formula: Maximum Free de Bruijn Index	13
1.4	Renaming Some de Bruijn Variables	14
1.5	Renaming all but the First de Bruijn Variable	16
1.6	Definable Powerset	17
1.7	Internalized Formulas for the Ordinals	19
1.7.1	The subset relation	20

1.7.2	Transitive sets	20
1.7.3	Ordinals	20
1.8	Constant Lset: Levels of the Constructible Universe	21
1.8.1	Transitivity	21
1.8.2	Monotonicity	22
1.8.3	0, successor and limit equations for Lset	23
1.8.4	Lset applied to Limit ordinals	24
1.8.5	Basic closure properties	24
1.9	Constructible Ordinals: Kunen's VI 1.9 (b)	24
1.9.1	Unions	25
1.9.2	Finite sets and ordered pairs	25
1.9.3	For L to satisfy the Powerset axiom	28
1.10	Eliminating <i>arity</i> from the Definition of <i>Lset</i>	29
2	Relativization and Absoluteness	30
2.1	Relativized versions of standard set-theoretic concepts	31
2.2	The relativized ZF axioms	35
2.3	A trivial consistency proof for V_ω	36
2.4	Lemmas Needed to Reduce Some Set Constructions to Instances of Separation	38
2.5	Introducing a Transitive Class Model	40
2.5.1	Trivial Absoluteness Proofs: Empty Set, Pairs, etc.	40
2.5.2	Absoluteness for Unions and Intersections	42
2.5.3	Absoluteness for Separation and Replacement	43
2.5.4	The Operator <i>is_Replace</i>	43
2.5.5	Absoluteness for <i>Lambda</i>	45
2.5.6	Absoluteness for the Natural Numbers	46
2.6	Absoluteness for Ordinals	47
2.7	Some instances of separation and strong replacement	48
2.7.1	converse of a relation	50
2.7.2	image, preimage, domain, range	51
2.7.3	Domain, range and field	51
2.7.4	Relations, functions and application	52
2.7.5	Composition of relations	53
2.7.6	Some Facts About Separation Axioms	55
2.7.7	Functions and function space	56
2.8	Relativization and Absoluteness for Boolean Operators	56
2.9	Relativization and Absoluteness for List Operators	57
2.9.1	<i>quasilist</i> : For Case-Splitting with <i>list_case'</i>	59
2.9.2	<i>list_case'</i> , the Modified Version of <i>list_case</i>	59
2.9.3	The Modified Operators <i>hd'</i> and <i>tl'</i>	60
3	Relativized Wellorderings	61
3.1	Wellorderings	61

3.1.1	Trivial absoluteness proofs	62
3.1.2	Well-founded relations	63
3.1.3	Kunen's lemma IV 3.14, page 123	63
3.2	Relativized versions of order-isomorphisms and order types .	64
3.3	Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6	65
4	Relativized Well-Founded Recursion	66
4.1	General Lemmas	66
4.2	Reworking of the Recursion Theory Within M	67
4.3	Relativization of the ZF Predicate <i>is_recfun</i>	71
5	Absoluteness of Well-Founded Recursion	73
5.1	Transitive closure without fixedpoints	73
5.2	M is closed under well-founded recursion	78
5.3	Absoluteness without assuming transitivity	78
6	Absoluteness Properties for Recursive Datatypes	79
6.1	The lfp of a continuous function can be expressed as a union	79
6.1.1	Some Standard Datatype Constructions Preserve Continuity	81
6.2	Absoluteness for "Iterates"	81
6.3	lists without univ	83
6.4	formulas without univ	84
6.5	M Contains the List and Formula Datatypes	85
6.5.1	Towards Absoluteness of <i>formula_rec</i>	86
6.5.2	Absoluteness of the List Construction	89
6.5.3	Absoluteness of Formulas	90
6.6	Absoluteness for ε -Closure: the <i>eclose</i> Operator	91
6.7	Absoluteness for <i>transrec</i>	92
6.8	Absoluteness for the List Operator <i>length</i>	93
6.9	Absoluteness for the List Operator <i>nth</i>	94
6.10	Relativization and Absoluteness for the <i>formula</i> Constructors	95
6.11	Absoluteness for <i>formula_rec</i>	96
6.11.1	Absoluteness for the Formula Operator <i>depth</i>	96
6.11.2	<i>is_formula_case</i> : relativization of <i>formula_case</i>	97
6.11.3	Absoluteness for <i>formula_rec</i> : Final Results	98
7	Closed Unbounded Classes and Normal Functions	100
7.1	Closed and Unbounded (c.u.) Classes of Ordinals	100
7.1.1	Simple facts about c.u. classes	100
7.1.2	The intersection of any set-indexed family of c.u. classes is c.u.	101
7.2	Normal Functions	104
7.2.1	Immediate properties of the definitions	104


7.2.2	The class of fixedpoints is closed and unbounded . . .	105
7.2.3	Function <i>normalize</i>	107
7.3	The Alephs	108
8	The Reflection Theorem	109
8.1	Basic Definitions	109
8.2	Easy Cases of the Reflection Theorem	110
8.3	Reflection for Existential Quantifiers	111
8.4	Packaging the Quantifier Reflection Rules	113
8.5	Simple Examples of Reflection	114
9	The meta-existential quantifier	117
10	The ZF Axioms (Except Separation) in L	118
10.1	For L to satisfy Replacement	118
10.2	Instantiating the locale <i>M_trivial</i>	119
10.3	Instantiation of the locale <i>reflection</i>	119
10.4	Internalized Formulas for some Set-Theoretic Concepts . . .	123
10.4.1	Some numbers to help write de Bruijn indices . . .	123
10.4.2	The Empty Set, Internalized	123
10.4.3	Unordered Pairs, Internalized	124
10.4.4	Ordered pairs, Internalized	125
10.4.5	Binary Unions, Internalized	125
10.4.6	Set “Cons,” Internalized	126
10.4.7	Successor Function, Internalized	126
10.4.8	The Number 1, Internalized	127
10.4.9	Big Union, Internalized	128
10.4.10	Variants of Satisfaction Definitions for Ordinals, etc.	128
10.4.11	Membership Relation, Internalized	129
10.4.12	Predecessor Set, Internalized	130
10.4.13	Domain of a Relation, Internalized	130
10.4.14	Range of a Relation, Internalized	131
10.4.15	Field of a Relation, Internalized	132
10.4.16	Image under a Relation, Internalized	132
10.4.17	Pre-Image under a Relation, Internalized	133
10.4.18	Function Application, Internalized	134
10.4.19	The Concept of Relation, Internalized	134
10.4.20	The Concept of Function, Internalized	135
10.4.21	Typed Functions, Internalized	136
10.4.22	Composition of Relations, Internalized	137
10.4.23	Injections, Internalized	137
10.4.24	Surjections, Internalized	138
10.4.25	Bijections, Internalized	139
10.4.26	Restriction of a Relation, Internalized	139

10.4.27	Order-Isomorphisms, Internalized	140
10.4.28	Limit Ordinals, Internalized	141
10.4.29	Finite Ordinals: The Predicate “Is A Natural Number”	141
10.4.30	Omega: The Set of Natural Numbers	142
11	Early Instances of Separation and Strong Replacement	143
11.1	Separation for Intersection	145
11.2	Separation for Set Difference	145
11.3	Separation for Cartesian Product	145
11.4	Separation for Image	146
11.5	Separation for Converse	146
11.6	Separation for Restriction	146
11.7	Separation for Composition	147
11.8	Separation for Predecessors in an Order	148
11.9	Separation for the Membership Relation	148
11.10	Replacement for FunSpace	148
11.11	Separation for a Theorem about <i>is_recfun</i>	149
11.12	Instantiating the locale <i>M_basic</i>	150
11.13	Internalized Forms of Data Structuring Operators	150
11.13.1	The Formula <i>is_Inl</i> , Internalized	150
11.13.2	The Formula <i>is_Inr</i> , Internalized	151
11.13.3	The Formula <i>is_Nil</i> , Internalized	151
11.13.4	The Formula <i>is_Cons</i> , Internalized	152
11.13.5	The Formula <i>is_quaselist</i> , Internalized	152
11.14	Absoluteness for the Function <i>nth</i>	153
11.14.1	The Formula <i>is_hd</i> , Internalized	153
11.14.2	The Formula <i>is_tl</i> , Internalized	153
11.14.3	The Operator <i>is_bool_of_o</i>	154
11.15	More Internalizations	155
11.15.1	The Operator <i>is_lambda</i>	155
11.15.2	The Operator <i>is_Member</i> , Internalized	156
11.15.3	The Operator <i>is_Equal</i> , Internalized	156
11.15.4	The Operator <i>is_Nand</i> , Internalized	157
11.15.5	The Operator <i>is_Forall</i> , Internalized	157
11.15.6	The Operator <i>is_and</i> , Internalized	158
11.15.7	The Operator <i>is_or</i> , Internalized	159
11.15.8	The Operator <i>is_not</i> , Internalized	159
11.16	Well-Founded Recursion!	160
11.16.1	The Operator <i>M_is_recfun</i>	160
11.16.2	The Operator <i>is_wfrec</i>	161
11.17	For Datatypes	163
11.17.1	Binary Products, Internalized	163
11.17.2	Binary Sums, Internalized	163

11.17.3	The Operator <i>quasinat</i>	164
11.17.4	The Operator <i>is_nat_case</i>	165
11.18	The Operator <i>iterates_MH</i> , Needed for Iteration	166
11.18.1	The Operator <i>is_iterates</i>	167
11.18.2	The Formula <i>is_eclose_n</i> , Internalized	168
11.18.3	Membership in <i>eclose(A)</i>	169
11.18.4	The Predicate “Is <i>eclose(A)</i> ”	170
11.18.5	The List Functor, Internalized	170
11.18.6	The Formula <i>is_list_N</i> , Internalized	171
11.18.7	The Predicate “Is A List”	172
11.18.8	The Predicate “Is <i>list(A)</i> ”	172
11.18.9	The Formula Functor, Internalized	173
11.18.10	The Formula <i>is_formula_N</i> , Internalized	174
11.18.11	The Predicate “Is A Formula”	174
11.18.12	The Predicate “Is <i>formula</i> ”	175
11.18.13	The Operator <i>is_transrec</i>	175
12	Separation for Facts About Recursion	177
12.1	The Locale <i>M_trancl</i>	177
12.1.1	Separation for Reflexive/Transitive Closure	177
12.1.2	Reflexive/Transitive Closure, Internalized	178
12.1.3	Transitive Closure of a Relation, Internalized	179
12.1.4	Separation for the Proof of <i>wellfounded_on_trancl</i>	179
12.1.5	Instantiating the locale <i>M_trancl</i>	180
12.2	<i>L</i> is Closed Under the Operator <i>list</i>	180
12.2.1	Instances of Replacement for Lists	180
12.3	<i>L</i> is Closed Under the Operator <i>formula</i>	181
12.3.1	Instances of Replacement for Formulas	181
12.3.2	The Formula <i>is_nth</i> , Internalized	182
12.3.3	An Instance of Replacement for <i>nth</i>	183
12.3.4	Instantiating the locale <i>M_datatypes</i>	184
12.4	<i>L</i> is Closed Under the Operator <i>eclose</i>	184
12.4.1	Instances of Replacement for <i>eclose</i>	184
12.4.2	Instantiating the locale <i>M_eclose</i>	185
13	Absoluteness for the Satisfies Relation on Formulas	185
13.1	More Internalization	185
13.1.1	The Formula <i>is_depth</i> , Internalized	185
13.1.2	The Operator <i>is_formula_case</i>	186
13.2	Absoluteness for the Function <i>satisfies</i>	188
13.3	Internalizations Needed to Instantiate <i>M_satisfies</i>	195
13.3.1	The Operator <i>is_depth_apply</i> , Internalized	195
13.3.2	The Operator <i>satisfies_is_a</i> , Internalized	196
13.3.3	The Operator <i>satisfies_is_b</i> , Internalized	197

13.3.4	The Operator <i>satisfies_is_c</i> , Internalized	198
13.3.5	The Operator <i>satisfies_is_d</i> , Internalized	198
13.3.6	The Operator <i>satisfies_MH</i> , Internalized	199
13.4	Lemmas for Instantiating the Locale <i>M_satisfies</i>	200
13.4.1	The <i>Member</i> Case	200
13.4.2	The <i>Equal</i> Case	201
13.4.3	The <i>Nand</i> Case	202
13.4.4	The <i>Forall</i> Case	202
13.4.5	The <i>transrec_replacement</i> Case	203
13.4.6	The Lambda Replacement Case	204
13.5	Instantiating <i>M_satisfies</i>	205
14	Absoluteness for the Definable Powerset Function	205
14.1	Preliminary Internalizations	205
14.1.1	The Operator <i>is_formula_rec</i>	205
14.1.2	The Operator <i>is_satisfies</i>	207
14.2	Relativization of the Operator <i>DPow'</i>	207
14.2.1	The Operator <i>is_DPow_sats</i> , Internalized	208
14.3	A Locale for Relativizing the Operator <i>DPow'</i>	208
14.4	Instantiating the Locale <i>M_DPow</i>	210
14.4.1	The Instance of Separation	210
14.4.2	The Instance of Replacement	210
14.4.3	Actually Instantiating the Locale	211
14.4.4	The Operator <i>is_Collect</i>	211
14.4.5	The Operator <i>is_Replace</i>	212
14.4.6	The Operator <i>is_DPow'</i> , Internalized	213
14.5	A Locale for Relativizing the Operator <i>Lset</i>	214
14.6	Instantiating the Locale <i>M_Lset</i>	215
14.6.1	The First Instance of Replacement	215
14.6.2	The Second Instance of Replacement	216
14.6.3	Actually Instantiating <i>M_Lset</i>	216
14.7	The Notion of Constructible Set	217
15	The Axiom of Choice Holds in L!	217
15.1	Extending a Wellordering over a List – Lexicographic Power	217
15.1.1	Type checking	218
15.1.2	Linearity	218
15.1.3	Well-foundedness	218
15.2	An Injection from Formulas into the Natural Numbers . . .	220
15.3	Defining the Wellordering on <i>DPow(A)</i>	221
15.4	Limit Construction for Well-Orderings	223
15.5	Transfinite Definition of the Wellordering on <i>L</i>	225
15.5.1	The Corresponding Recursion Equations	225

16 Absoluteness for Order Types, Rank Functions and Well-Founded Relations	226
16.1 Order Types: A Direct Construction by Replacement	226
16.2 Kunen’s theorem 5.4, page 127	234
16.3 Ordinal Arithmetic: Two Examples of Recursion	235
16.3.1 Ordinal Addition	235
16.3.2 Ordinal Multiplication	238
16.4 Absoluteness of Well-Founded Relations	240
17 Separation for Facts About Order Types, Rank Functions and Well-Founded Relations	246
17.1 The Locale $M_ordertype$	246
17.1.1 Separation for Order-Isomorphisms	246
17.1.2 Separation for $obase$	246
17.1.3 Separation for a Theorem about $obase$	247
17.1.4 Replacement for $omap$	247
17.2 Instantiating the locale $M_ordertype$	248
17.3 The Locale M_wfrank	248
17.3.1 Separation for $wfrank$	248
17.3.2 Replacement for $wfrank$	249
17.3.3 Separation for Proving Ord_wfrank_range	250
17.3.4 Instantiating the locale M_wfrank	250



1 First-Order Formulas and the Definition of the Class L

theory *Formula* imports *Main* begin

1.1 Internalized formulas of FOL

De Bruijn representation. Unbound variables get their denotations from an environment.

```
consts formula :: i
datatype
  "formula" = Member ("x: nat", "y: nat")
             | Equal  ("x: nat", "y: nat")
             | Nand   ("p: formula", "q: formula")
             | Forall ("p: formula")

declare formula.intros [TC]

constdefs Neg :: "i=>i"
  "Neg(p) == Nand(p,p)"

constdefs And :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "And(p,q) == Neg(Nand(p,q))"

constdefs Or :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Or(p,q) == Nand(Neg(p),Neg(q))"

constdefs Implies :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Implies(p,q) == Nand(p,Neg(q))"

constdefs Iff :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Iff(p,q) == And(Implies(p,q), Implies(q,p))"

constdefs Exists :: "i=>i"
  "Exists(p) == Neg(Forall(Neg(p)))"

lemma Neg_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> Neg(p) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Neg_def)

lemma And_type [TC]: "[| p ∈ formula; q ∈ formula |] ==> And(p,q) ∈
formula"
by (simp add: And_def)

lemma Or_type [TC]: "[| p ∈ formula; q ∈ formula |] ==> Or(p,q) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Or_def)

lemma Implies_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; q ∈ formula |] ==> Implies(p,q) ∈ formula"
```

```

by (simp add: Implies_def)

lemma Iff_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; q ∈ formula |] ==> Iff(p,q) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Iff_def)

lemma Exists_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> Exists(p) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Exists_def)

consts satisfies :: "[i,i]=>i"
primrec
  "satisfies(A,Member(x,y)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (nth(x,env) ∈ nth(y,env)))"

  "satisfies(A,Equal(x,y)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (nth(x,env) = nth(y,env)))"

  "satisfies(A,Nand(p,q)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). not ((satisfies(A,p) 'env) and (satisfies(A,q) 'env)))"

  "satisfies(A,Forall(p)) =
    (λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (∀x∈A. satisfies(A,p) ' (Cons(x,env))
= 1))"

lemma "p ∈ formula ==> satisfies(A,p) ∈ list(A) -> bool"
by (induct_tac p, simp_all)

syntax sats :: "[i,i,i] => o"
translations "sats(A,p,env)" == "satisfies(A,p) 'env = 1"

lemma [simp]:
  "env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Member(x,y), env) <-> nth(x,env) ∈ nth(y,env)"
by simp

lemma [simp]:
  "env ∈ list(A)
  ==> sats(A, Equal(x,y), env) <-> nth(x,env) = nth(y,env)"
by simp

lemma sats_Nand_iff [simp]:
  "env ∈ list(A)
  ==> (sats(A, Nand(p,q), env)) <-> ~ (sats(A,p,env) & sats(A,q,env))"

by (simp add: Bool.and_def Bool.not_def cond_def)

lemma sats_Forall_iff [simp]:

```

```

"env ∈ list(A)
==> sats(A, Forall(p), env) <-> (∀x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env)))"
by simp

```

```

declare satisfies.simps [simp del]

```

1.2 Dividing line between primitive and derived connectives

```

lemma sats_Neg_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> sats(A, Neg(p), env) <-> ~ sats(A,p,env)"
by (simp add: Neg_def)

```

```

lemma sats_And_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> (sats(A, And(p,q), env)) <-> sats(A,p,env) & sats(A,q,env)"
by (simp add: And_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Or_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> (sats(A, Or(p,q), env)) <-> sats(A,p,env) | sats(A,q,env)"
by (simp add: Or_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Implies_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> (sats(A, Implies(p,q), env)) <-> (sats(A,p,env) --> sats(A,q,env))"
by (simp add: Implies_def, blast)

```

```

lemma sats_Iff_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> (sats(A, Iff(p,q), env)) <-> (sats(A,p,env) <-> sats(A,q,env))"
by (simp add: Iff_def, blast)

```

```

lemma sats_Exists_iff [simp]:
"env ∈ list(A)
==> sats(A, Exists(p), env) <-> (∃x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env)))"
by (simp add: Exists_def)

```

1.2.1 Derived rules to help build up formulas

```

lemma mem_iff_sats:
"[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; env ∈ list(A) |]
==> (x∈y) <-> sats(A, Member(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: satisfies.simps)

```

```

lemma equal_iff_sats:
"[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; env ∈ list(A) |]
==> (x=y) <-> sats(A, Equal(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: satisfies.simps)

```

```

lemma not_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A,p,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (~P) <-> sats(A, Neg(p), env)"
by simp

lemma conj_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A,p,env); Q <-> sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P & Q) <-> sats(A, And(p,q), env)"
by (simp add: sats_And_iff)

lemma disj_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A,p,env); Q <-> sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P | Q) <-> sats(A, Or(p,q), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Or_iff)

lemma iff_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A,p,env); Q <-> sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P <-> Q) <-> sats(A, Iff(p,q), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Forall_iff)

lemma imp_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A,p,env); Q <-> sats(A,q,env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (P --> Q) <-> sats(A, Implies(p,q), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Forall_iff)

lemma ball_iff_sats:
  "[| !!x. x∈A ==> P(x) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(x, env)); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (∀x∈A. P(x)) <-> sats(A, Forall(p), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Forall_iff)

lemma bex_iff_sats:
  "[| !!x. x∈A ==> P(x) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(x, env)); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> (∃x∈A. P(x)) <-> sats(A, Exists(p), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Exists_iff)

lemmas FOL_iff_sats =
  mem_iff_sats equal_iff_sats not_iff_sats conj_iff_sats
  disj_iff_sats imp_iff_sats iff_iff_sats imp_iff_sats ball_iff_sats
  bex_iff_sats

```

1.3 Arity of a Formula: Maximum Free de Bruijn Index

```

consts  arity :: "i=>i"
primrec
  "arity(Member(x,y)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(y)"

  "arity(Equal(x,y)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(y)"

  "arity(Nand(p,q)) = arity(p) ∪ arity(q)"

```

```

"arity(Forall(p)) = Arith.pred(arity(p))"

lemma arity_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> arity(p) ∈ nat"
by (induct_tac p, simp_all)

lemma arity_Neg [simp]: "arity(Neg(p)) = arity(p)"
by (simp add: Neg_def)

lemma arity_And [simp]: "arity(And(p,q)) = arity(p) ∪ arity(q)"
by (simp add: And_def)

lemma arity_Or [simp]: "arity(Or(p,q)) = arity(p) ∪ arity(q)"
by (simp add: Or_def)

lemma arity_Implies [simp]: "arity(Implies(p,q)) = arity(p) ∪ arity(q)"
by (simp add: Implies_def)

lemma arity_Iff [simp]: "arity(Iff(p,q)) = arity(p) ∪ arity(q)"
by (simp add: Iff_def, blast)

lemma arity_Exists [simp]: "arity(Exists(p)) = Arith.pred(arity(p))"
by (simp add: Exists_def)

lemma arity_sats_iff [rule_format]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; extra ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> ∀ env ∈ list(A).
    arity(p) ≤ length(env) -->
    sats(A, p, env @ extra) <-> sats(A, p, env)"
apply (induct_tac p)
apply (simp_all add: Arith.pred_def nth_append Un_least_lt_iff nat_imp_quasinat
  split: split_nat_case, auto)
done

lemma arity_sats1_iff:
  "[| arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env)); p ∈ formula; x ∈ A; env ∈ list(A);
  extra ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, p, Cons(x, env @ extra)) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))"
apply (insert arity_sats_iff [of p extra A "Cons(x,env)"])
apply simp
done

```

1.4 Renaming Some de Bruijn Variables

```

constdefs incr_var :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "incr_var(x,nq) == if x<nq then x else succ(x)"

```

```

lemma incr_var_lt: "x < nq ==> incr_var(x, nq) = x"
by (simp add: incr_var_def)

lemma incr_var_le: "nq ≤ x ==> incr_var(x, nq) = succ(x)"
apply (simp add: incr_var_def)
apply (blast dest: lt_trans1)
done

consts  incr_bv :: "i => i"
primrec
  "incr_bv(Member(x, y)) =
    (λ nq ∈ nat. Member (incr_var(x, nq), incr_var(y, nq)))"

  "incr_bv(Equal(x, y)) =
    (λ nq ∈ nat. Equal (incr_var(x, nq), incr_var(y, nq)))"

  "incr_bv(Nand(p, q)) =
    (λ nq ∈ nat. Nand (incr_bv(p) 'nq, incr_bv(q) 'nq))"

  "incr_bv(Forall(p)) =
    (λ nq ∈ nat. Forall (incr_bv(p) ' succ(nq)))"

lemma [TC]: "x ∈ nat ==> incr_var(x, nq) ∈ nat"
by (simp add: incr_var_def)

lemma incr_bv_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> incr_bv(p) ∈ nat -> formula"
by (induct_tac p, simp_all)

Obviously, DPow is closed under complements and finite intersections and unions. Needs an inductive lemma to allow two lists of parameters to be combined.

lemma sats_incr_bv_iff [rule_format]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; env ∈ list(A); x ∈ A |]
  ==> ∀ bvs ∈ list(A).
    sats(A, incr_bv(p) ' length(bvs), bvs @ Cons(x, env)) <->
    sats(A, p, bvs@env)"
apply (induct_tac p)
apply (simp_all add: incr_var_def nth_append succ_lt_iff length_type)
apply (auto simp add: diff_succ not_lt_iff_le)
done

lemma incr_var_lemma:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; nq ≤ x |]
  ==> succ(x) ∪ incr_var(y, nq) = succ(x ∪ y)"
apply (simp add: incr_var_def Ord_Un_if, auto)

```

```

  apply (blast intro: leI)
  apply (simp add: not_lt_iff_le)
  apply (blast intro: le_anti_sym)
  apply (blast dest: lt_trans2)
done

```

```

lemma incr_And_lemma:
  "y < x ==> y ∪ succ(x) = succ(x ∪ y)"
  apply (simp add: Ord_Un_if lt_Ord lt_Ord2 succ_lt_iff)
  apply (blast dest: lt_asym)
done

```

```

lemma arity_incr_bv_lemma [rule_format]:
  "p ∈ formula
  ==> ∀ n ∈ nat. arity (incr_bv(p) ' n) =
      (if n < arity(p) then succ(arity(p)) else arity(p))"
  apply (induct_tac p)
  apply (simp_all add: imp_disj not_lt_iff_le Un_least_lt_iff lt_Un_iff
  le_Un_iff
      succ_Un_distrib [symmetric] incr_var_lt incr_var_le
      Un_commute incr_var_lemma Arith.pred_def nat_imp_quasinat
  split: split_nat_case)

```

the Forall case reduces to linear arithmetic

```

  prefer 2
  apply clarify
  apply (blast dest: lt_trans1)

```

left with the And case

```

  apply safe
  apply (blast intro: incr_And_lemma lt_trans1)
  apply (subst incr_And_lemma)
  apply (blast intro: lt_trans1)
  apply (simp add: Un_commute)
done

```

1.5 Renaming all but the First de Bruijn Variable

```

constdefs incr_bv1 :: "i => i"
  "incr_bv1(p) == incr_bv(p)'1"

```

```

lemma incr_bv1_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> incr_bv1(p) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: incr_bv1_def)

```

```

lemma sats_incr_bv1_iff:
  "[| p ∈ formula; env ∈ list(A); x ∈ A; y ∈ A |]
  ==> sats(A, incr_bv1(p), Cons(x, Cons(y, env))) <->

```

```

      sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))"
apply (insert sats_incr_bv_iff [of p env A y "Cons(x,Nil)"])
apply (simp add: incr_bv1_def)
done

```

```

lemma formula_add_params1 [rule_format]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; n ∈ nat; x ∈ A |]
  ==> ∀bvs ∈ list(A). ∀env ∈ list(A).
    length(bvs) = n -->
    sats(A, iterates(incr_bv1, n, p), Cons(x, bvs@env)) <->
    sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))"
apply (induct_tac n, simp, clarify)
apply (erule list.cases)
apply (simp_all add: sats_incr_bv1_iff)
done

```

```

lemma arity_incr_bv1_eq:
  "p ∈ formula
  ==> arity(incr_bv1(p)) =
    (if 1 < arity(p) then succ(arity(p)) else arity(p))"
apply (insert arity_incr_bv_lemma [of p 1])
apply (simp add: incr_bv1_def)
done

```

```

lemma arity_iterates_incr_bv1_eq:
  "[| p ∈ formula; n ∈ nat |]
  ==> arity(incr_bv1^n(p)) =
    (if 1 < arity(p) then n #+ arity(p) else arity(p))"
apply (induct_tac n)
apply (simp_all add: arity_incr_bv1_eq)
apply (simp add: not_lt_iff_le)
apply (blast intro: le_trans add_le_self2 arity_type)
done

```

1.6 Definable Powerset

The definable powerset operation: Kunen's definition VI 1.1, page 165.

```

constdefs DPow :: "i => i"
  "DPow(A) == {X ∈ Pow(A).
    ∃env ∈ list(A). ∃p ∈ formula.
      arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env)) &
      X = {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))}}"

```

```

lemma DPowI:
  "[| env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula; arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env)) |]
  ==> {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))} ∈ DPow(A)"
by (simp add: DPow_def, blast)

```

With this rule we can specify p later.

```

lemma DPowI2 [rule_format]:
  "[/∀x∈A. P(x) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(x,env));
   env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula; arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env))]/]
  ==> {x∈A. P(x)} ∈ DPow(A)"
by (simp add: DPow_def, blast)

lemma DPowD:
  "X ∈ DPow(A)
  ==> X <= A &
  (∃ env ∈ list(A).
   ∃ p ∈ formula. arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env)) &
   X = {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))})"
by (simp add: DPow_def)

lemmas DPow_imp_subset = DPowD [THEN conjunct1]

lemma "[/ p ∈ formula; env ∈ list(A); arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env))
/]
  ==> {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))} ∈ DPow(A)"
by (blast intro: DPowI)

lemma DPow_subset_Pow: "DPow(A) <= Pow(A)"
by (simp add: DPow_def, blast)

lemma empty_in_DPow: "0 ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (simp add: DPow_def)
apply (rule_tac x=Nil in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Neg(Equal(0,0))" in bexI)
  apply (auto simp add: Un_least_lt_iff)
done

lemma Compl_in_DPow: "X ∈ DPow(A) ==> (A-X) ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (simp add: DPow_def, clarify, auto)
apply (rule bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Neg(p)" in bexI)
  apply auto
done

lemma Int_in_DPow: "[/ X ∈ DPow(A); Y ∈ DPow(A) /] ==> X Int Y ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (simp add: DPow_def, auto)
apply (rename_tac envp p envq q)
apply (rule_tac x="envp@envq" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="And(p, iterates(incr_bv1,length(envp),q))" in bexI)
  apply typecheck
apply (rule conjI)

  apply (simp add: arity_iterates_incr_bv1_eq length_app Un_least_lt_iff)

```

```

  apply (force intro: add_le_self le_trans)
apply (simp add: arity_sats1_iff formula_add_params1, blast)
done

lemma Un_in_DPow: "[| X ∈ DPow(A); Y ∈ DPow(A) |] ==> X Un Y ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (subgoal_tac "X Un Y = A - ((A-X) Int (A-Y))")
apply (simp add: Int_in_DPow Compl_in_DPow)
apply (simp add: DPow_def, blast)
done

lemma singleton_in_DPow: "a ∈ A ==> {a} ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (simp add: DPow_def)
apply (rule_tac x="Cons(a,Nil)" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Equal(0,1)" in bexI)
  apply typecheck
apply (force simp add: succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])
done

lemma cons_in_DPow: "[| a ∈ A; X ∈ DPow(A) |] ==> cons(a,X) ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (rule cons_eq [THEN subst])
apply (blast intro: singleton_in_DPow Un_in_DPow)
done

lemma Fin_into_DPow: "X ∈ Fin(A) ==> X ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (erule Fin.induct)
  apply (rule empty_in_DPow)
apply (blast intro: cons_in_DPow)
done

DPow is not monotonic. For example, let  $A$  be some non-constructible set of
natural numbers, and let  $B$  be  $\text{nat}$ . Then  $A \subseteq B$  and obviously  $A \in \text{DPow}(A)$ 
but  $A \notin \text{DPow}(B)$ .

lemma Finite_Pow_subset_Pow: "Finite(A) ==> Pow(A) <= DPow(A)"
by (blast intro: Fin_into_DPow Finite_into_Fin Fin_subset)

lemma Finite_DPow_eq_Pow: "Finite(A) ==> DPow(A) = Pow(A)"
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule DPow_subset_Pow)
apply (erule Finite_Pow_subset_Pow)
done

```

1.7 Internalized Formulas for the Ordinals

The *sats* theorems below differ from the usual form in that they include an element of absoluteness. That is, they relate internalized formulas to real concepts such as the subset relation, rather than to the relativized concepts defined in theory *Relative*. This lets us prove the theorem as

Ords_in_DPow without first having to instantiate the locale *M_trivial*. Note that the present theory does not even take *Relative* as a parent.

1.7.1 The subset relation

```

constdefs subset_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "subset_fm(x,y) == Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)), Member(0,succ(y))))"

lemma subset_type [TC]: "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> subset_fm(x,y) ∈
formula"
by (simp add: subset_fm_def)

lemma arity_subset_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> arity(subset_fm(x,y)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(y)"
by (simp add: subset_fm_def succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])

lemma sats_subset_fm [simp]:
  "[|x < length(env); y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, subset_fm(x,y), env) <-> nth(x,env) ⊆ nth(y,env)"
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: subset_fm_def Transset_def)
apply (blast intro: nth_type)
done

```

1.7.2 Transitive sets

```

constdefs transset_fm :: "i=>i"
  "transset_fm(x) == Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)), subset_fm(0,succ(x))))"

lemma transset_type [TC]: "x ∈ nat ==> transset_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: transset_fm_def)

lemma arity_transset_fm [simp]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> arity(transset_fm(x)) = succ(x)"
by (simp add: transset_fm_def succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])

lemma sats_transset_fm [simp]:
  "[|x < length(env); env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, transset_fm(x), env) <-> Transset(nth(x,env))"
apply (frule lt_nat_in_nat, erule length_type)
apply (simp add: transset_fm_def Transset_def)
apply (blast intro: nth_type)
done

```

1.7.3 Ordinals

```

constdefs ordinal_fm :: "i=>i"
  "ordinal_fm(x) ==
  And(transset_fm(x), Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)), transset_fm(0))))"

```

```
lemma ordinal_type [TC]: "x ∈ nat ==> ordinal_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: ordinal_fm_def)
```

```
lemma arity_ordinal_fm [simp]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> arity(ordinal_fm(x)) = succ(x)"
by (simp add: ordinal_fm_def succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])
```

```
lemma sats_ordinal_fm:
  "[|x < length(env); env ∈ list(A); Transset(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, ordinal_fm(x), env) <-> Ord(nth(x,env))"
apply (frule lt_nat_in_nat, erule length_type)
apply (simp add: ordinal_fm_def Ord_def Transset_def)
apply (blast intro: nth_type)
done
```

The subset consisting of the ordinals is definable. Essential lemma for *Ord_in_Lset*. This result is the objective of the present subsection.

```
theorem Ords_in_DPow: "Transset(A) ==> {x ∈ A. Ord(x)} ∈ DPow(A)"
apply (simp add: DPow_def Collect_subset)
apply (rule_tac x=Nil in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="ordinal_fm(0)" in bexI)
apply (simp_all add: sats_ordinal_fm)
done
```

1.8 Constant Lset: Levels of the Constructible Universe

constdefs

```
Lset :: "i=>i"
  "Lset(i) == transrec(i, %x f. ⋃y∈x. DPow(f'y))"
```

```
L :: "i=>o" — Kunen's definition VI 1.5, page 167
  "L(x) == ∃i. Ord(i) & x ∈ Lset(i)"
```

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING – RECURSIVE!

```
lemma Lset: "Lset(i) = (UN j:i. DPow(Lset(j)))"
by (subst Lset_def [THEN def_transrec], simp)
```

```
lemma LsetI: "[|y∈x; A ∈ DPow(Lset(y))|] ==> A ∈ Lset(x)"
by (subst Lset, blast)
```

```
lemma LsetD: "A ∈ Lset(x) ==> ∃y∈x. A ∈ DPow(Lset(y))"
apply (insert Lset [of x])
apply (blast intro: elim: equalityE)
done
```

1.8.1 Transitivity

```
lemma elem_subset_in_DPow: "[|X ∈ A; X ⊆ A|] ==> X ∈ DPow(A)"
```

```

apply (simp add: Transset_def DPow_def)
apply (rule_tac x="[X]" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Member(0,1)" in bexI)
  apply (auto simp add: Un_least_lt_iff)
done

```

```

lemma Transset_subset_DPow: "Transset(A) ==> A <= DPow(A)"
apply clarify
apply (simp add: Transset_def)
apply (blast intro: elem_subset_in_DPow)
done

```

```

lemma Transset_DPow: "Transset(A) ==> Transset(DPow(A))"
apply (simp add: Transset_def)
apply (blast intro: elem_subset_in_DPow dest: DPowD)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.6 (a)

```

lemma Transset_Lset: "Transset(Lset(i))"
apply (rule_tac a=i in eps_induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (blast intro!: Transset_Union_family Transset_Un Transset_DPow)
done

```

```

lemma mem_Lset_imp_subset_Lset: "a ∈ Lset(i) ==> a ⊆ Lset(i)"
apply (insert Transset_Lset)
apply (simp add: Transset_def)
done

```

1.8.2 Monotonicity

Kunen's VI 1.6 (b)

```

lemma Lset_mono [rule_format]:
  "ALL j. i<=j --> Lset(i) <= Lset(j)"
proof (induct i rule: eps_induct, intro allI impI)
  fix x j
  assume "∀y∈x. ∀j. y ⊆ j → Lset(y) ⊆ Lset(j)"
  and "x ⊆ j"
  thus "Lset(x) ⊆ Lset(j)"
  by (force simp add: Lset [of x] Lset [of j])
qed

```

This version lets us remove the premise $Ord(i)$ sometimes.

```

lemma Lset_mono_mem [rule_format]:
  "ALL j. i:j --> Lset(i) <= Lset(j)"
proof (induct i rule: eps_induct, intro allI impI)
  fix x j
  assume "∀y∈x. ∀j. y ∈ j → Lset(y) ⊆ Lset(j)"

```

```

    and "x ∈ j"
  thus "Lset(x) ⊆ Lset(j)"
  by (force simp add: Lset [of j]
      intro!: bexI intro: elem_subset_in_DPow dest: LsetD DPowD)

```

qed

Useful with Reflection to bump up the ordinal

```

lemma subset_Lset_ltD: "[|A ⊆ Lset(i); i < j|] ==> A ⊆ Lset(j)"
by (blast dest: ltD [THEN Lset_mono_mem])

```

1.8.3 0, successor and limit equations for Lset

```

lemma Lset_0 [simp]: "Lset(0) = 0"
by (subst Lset, blast)

```

```

lemma Lset_succ_subset1: "DPow(Lset(i)) <= Lset(succ(i))"
by (subst Lset, rule succI1 [THEN RepFunI, THEN Union_upper])

```

```

lemma Lset_succ_subset2: "Lset(succ(i)) <= DPow(Lset(i))"
apply (subst Lset, rule UN_least)
apply (erule succE)
  apply blast
apply clarify
apply (rule elem_subset_in_DPow)
  apply (subst Lset)
  apply blast
apply (blast intro: dest: DPowD Lset_mono_mem)
done

```

```

lemma Lset_succ: "Lset(succ(i)) = DPow(Lset(i))"
by (intro equalityI Lset_succ_subset1 Lset_succ_subset2)

```

```

lemma Lset_Union [simp]: "Lset(⋃ X) = (⋃ y∈X. Lset(y))"
apply (subst Lset)
apply (rule equalityI)

```

first inclusion

```

  apply (rule UN_least)
  apply (erule UnionE)
  apply (rule subset_trans)
  apply (erule_tac [2] UN_upper, subst Lset, erule UN_upper)

```

opposite inclusion

```

  apply (rule UN_least)
  apply (subst Lset, blast)
done

```

1.8.4 Lset applied to Limit ordinals

```
lemma Limit_Lset_eq:
  "Limit(i) ==> Lset(i) = ( $\bigcup_{y \in i} \text{Lset}(y)$ )"
by (simp add: Lset_Union [symmetric] Limit_Union_eq)

lemma lt_LsetI: "[| a: Lset(j); j < i |] ==> a  $\in$  Lset(i)"
by (blast dest: Lset_mono [OF le_imp_subset [OF leI]])

lemma Limit_LsetE:
  "[| a: Lset(i); ~R ==> Limit(i);
    !!x. [| x < i; a: Lset(x) |] ==> R
  |] ==> R"
apply (rule classical)
apply (rule Limit_Lset_eq [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD, THEN UN_E])
  prefer 2 apply assumption
  apply blast
apply (blast intro: ltI Limit_is_Ord)
done
```

1.8.5 Basic closure properties

```
lemma zero_in_Lset: "y: x ==> 0  $\in$  Lset(x)"
by (subst Lset, blast intro: empty_in_DPow)

lemma notin_Lset: "x  $\notin$  Lset(x)"
apply (rule_tac a=x in eps_induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (blast dest: DPowD)
done
```

1.9 Constructible Ordinals: Kunen's VI 1.9 (b)

```
lemma Ords_of_Lset_eq: "Ord(i) ==> {x  $\in$  Lset(i). Ord(x)} = i"
apply (erule trans_induct3)
  apply (simp_all add: Lset_succ Limit_Lset_eq Limit_Union_eq)
```

The successor case remains.

```
apply (rule equalityI)
```

First inclusion

```
  apply clarify
  apply (erule Ord_linear_lt, assumption)
    apply (blast dest: DPow_imp_subset ltD notE [OF notin_Lset])
  apply blast
  apply (blast dest: ltD)
```

Opposite inclusion, $\text{succ}(x) \subseteq \text{DPow}(\text{Lset}(x)) \cap \text{ON}$

```
apply auto
```

Key case:

```
  apply (erule subst, rule Ords_in_DPow [OF Transset_Lset])
  apply (blast intro: elem_subset_in_DPow dest: OrdmemD elim: equalityE)

apply (blast intro: Ord_in_Ord)
done
```

```
lemma Ord_subset_Lset: "Ord(i) ==> i  $\subseteq$  Lset(i)"
by (subst Ords_of_Lset_eq [symmetric], assumption, fast)
```

```
lemma Ord_in_Lset: "Ord(i) ==> i  $\in$  Lset(succ(i))"
apply (simp add: Lset_succ)
apply (subst Ords_of_Lset_eq [symmetric], assumption,
      rule Ords_in_DPow [OF Transset_Lset])
done
```

```
lemma Ord_in_L: "Ord(i) ==> L(i)"
by (simp add: L_def, blast intro: Ord_in_Lset)
```

1.9.1 Unions

```
lemma Union_in_Lset:
  "X  $\in$  Lset(i) ==> Union(X)  $\in$  Lset(succ(i))"
apply (insert Transset_Lset)
apply (rule LsetI [OF succI1])
apply (simp add: Transset_def DPow_def)
apply (intro conjI, blast)
```

Now to create the formula $\exists y. y \in X \wedge x \in y$

```
apply (rule_tac x="Cons(X,Nil)" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Exists(And(Member(0,2), Member(1,0)))" in bexI)
  apply typecheck
apply (simp add: succ_Un_distrib [symmetric], blast)
done
```

```
theorem Union_in_L: "L(X) ==> L(Union(X))"
by (simp add: L_def, blast dest: Union_in_Lset)
```

1.9.2 Finite sets and ordered pairs

```
lemma singleton_in_Lset: "a: Lset(i) ==> {a}  $\in$  Lset(succ(i))"
by (simp add: Lset_succ singleton_in_DPow)
```

```
lemma doubleton_in_Lset:
  "[| a: Lset(i); b: Lset(i) |] ==> {a,b}  $\in$  Lset(succ(i))"
by (simp add: Lset_succ empty_in_DPow cons_in_DPow)
```

```
lemma Pair_in_Lset:
```

```

    "[| a: Lset(i); b: Lset(i); Ord(i) |] ==> <a,b> ∈ Lset(succ(succ(i)))"
  apply (unfold Pair_def)
  apply (blast intro: doubleton_in_Lset)
done

```

```

lemmas Lset_UnI1 = Un_upper1 [THEN Lset_mono [THEN subsetD], standard]
lemmas Lset_UnI2 = Un_upper2 [THEN Lset_mono [THEN subsetD], standard]

```

Hard work is finding a single $j:i$ such that $a,b_j=Lset(j)$

```

lemma doubleton_in_LLimit:
  "[| a: Lset(i); b: Lset(i); Limit(i) |] ==> {a,b} ∈ Lset(i)"
  apply (erule Limit_LsetE, assumption)
  apply (erule Limit_LsetE, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: lt_LsetI [OF doubleton_in_Lset]
    Lset_UnI1 Lset_UnI2 Limit_has_succ Un_least_lt)
done

```

```

theorem doubleton_in_L: "[| L(a); L(b) |] ==> L({a, b})"
  apply (simp add: L_def, clarify)
  apply (drule Ord2_imp_greater_Limit, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: lt_LsetI doubleton_in_LLimit Limit_is_Ord)
done

```

```

lemma Pair_in_LLimit:
  "[| a: Lset(i); b: Lset(i); Limit(i) |] ==> <a,b> ∈ Lset(i)"

```

Infer that a, b occur at ordinals $x, x_a \upharpoonright i$.

```

  apply (erule Limit_LsetE, assumption)
  apply (erule Limit_LsetE, assumption)

```

Infer that $\text{succ}(\text{succ}(x \cup x_a)) \upharpoonright i$

```

  apply (blast intro: lt_Ord lt_LsetI [OF Pair_in_Lset]
    Lset_UnI1 Lset_UnI2 Limit_has_succ Un_least_lt)
done

```

The rank function for the constructible universe

constdefs

```

  lrank :: "i=>i" — Kunen's definition VI 1.7
  "lrank(x) == μ i. x ∈ Lset(succ(i))"

```

```

lemma L_I: "[| x ∈ Lset(i); Ord(i) |] ==> L(x)"
  by (simp add: L_def, blast)

```

```

lemma L_D: "L(x) ==> ∃ i. Ord(i) & x ∈ Lset(i)"
  by (simp add: L_def)

```

```

lemma Ord_lrank [simp]: "Ord(lrank(a))"
  by (simp add: lrank_def)

```

```

lemma Lset_lrank_lt [rule_format]: "Ord(i) ==> x ∈ Lset(i) --> lrank(x)
< i"
apply (erule trans_induct3)
  apply simp
  apply (simp only: lrank_def)
  apply (blast intro: Least_le)
apply (simp_all add: Limit_Lset_eq)
apply (blast intro: ltI Limit_is_Ord lt_trans)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.8. The proof is much harder than the text would suggest. For a start, it needs the previous lemma, which is proved by induction.

```

lemma Lset_iff_lrank_lt: "Ord(i) ==> x ∈ Lset(i) <-> L(x) & lrank(x)
< i"
apply (simp add: L_def, auto)
  apply (blast intro: Lset_lrank_lt)
  apply (unfold lrank_def)
apply (drule succI1 [THEN Lset_mono_mem, THEN subsetD])
apply (drule_tac P="λi. x ∈ Lset(succ(i))" in LeastI, assumption)
apply (blast intro!: le_imp_subset Lset_mono [THEN subsetD])
done

```

```

lemma Lset_succ_lrank_iff [simp]: "x ∈ Lset(succ(lrank(x))) <-> L(x)"
by (simp add: Lset_iff_lrank_lt)

```

Kunen's VI 1.9 (a)

```

lemma lrank_of_Ord: "Ord(i) ==> lrank(i) = i"
apply (unfold lrank_def)
apply (rule Least_equality)
  apply (erule Ord_in_Lset)
  apply assumption
apply (insert notin_Lset [of i])
apply (blast intro!: le_imp_subset Lset_mono [THEN subsetD])
done

```

This is $\text{lrank}(\text{lrank}(a)) = \text{lrank}(a)$

```

declare Ord_lrank [THEN lrank_of_Ord, simp]

```

Kunen's VI 1.10

```

lemma Lset_in_Lset_succ: "Lset(i) ∈ Lset(succ(i))"
apply (simp add: Lset_succ DPow_def)
apply (rule_tac x=Nil in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="Equal(0,0)" in bexI)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma lrank_Lset: "Ord(i) ==> lrank(Lset(i)) = i"
apply (unfold lrank_def)

```

```

apply (rule Least_equality)
  apply (rule Lset_in_Lset_succ)
  apply assumption
apply clarify
apply (subgoal_tac "Lset(succ(ia)) <= Lset(i)")
  apply (blast dest: mem_irrefl)
apply (blast intro!: le_imp_subset Lset_mono)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.11

```

lemma Lset_subset_Vset: "Ord(i) ==> Lset(i) <= Vset(i)"
apply (erule trans_induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (subst Vset)
apply (rule UN_mono [OF subset_refl])
apply (rule subset_trans [OF DPow_subset_Pow])
apply (rule Pow_mono, blast)
done

```

Kunen's VI 1.12

```

lemma Lset_subset_Vset': "i ∈ nat ==> Lset(i) = Vset(i)"
apply (erule nat_induct)
  apply (simp add: Vfrom_0)
apply (simp add: Lset_succ Vset_succ Finite_Vset Finite_DPow_eq_Pow)
done

```

Every set of constructible sets is included in some *Lset*

```

lemma subset_Lset:
  "(∀x∈A. L(x)) ==> ∃i. Ord(i) & A ⊆ Lset(i)"
by (rule_tac x = "⋃x∈A. succ(lrank(x))" in exI, force)

```

```

lemma subset_LsetE:
  "[|∀x∈A. L(x);
   !!i. [|Ord(i); A ⊆ Lset(i)|] ==> P|]
  ==> P"
by (blast dest: subset_Lset)

```

1.9.3 For L to satisfy the Powerset axiom

```

lemma LPow_env_typing:
  "[| y ∈ Lset(i); Ord(i); y ⊆ X |]
  ==> ∃z ∈ Pow(X). y ∈ Lset(succ(lrank(z)))"
by (auto intro: L_I iff: Lset_succ_lrank_iff)

```

```

lemma LPow_in_Lset:
  "[|X ∈ Lset(i); Ord(i)|] ==> ∃j. Ord(j) & {y ∈ Pow(X). L(y)} ∈
Lset(j)"
apply (rule_tac x="succ(⋃y ∈ Pow(X). succ(lrank(y)))" in exI)
apply simp

```

```

apply (rule LsetI [OF succI1])
apply (simp add: DPow_def)
apply (intro conjI, clarify)
  apply (rule_tac a=x in UN_I, simp+)

```

Now to create the formula $y \subseteq X$

```

apply (rule_tac x="Cons(X,Nil)" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="subset_fm(0,1)" in bexI)
    apply typecheck
    apply (rule conjI)
  apply (simp add: succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])
  apply (rule equality_iffI)
  apply (simp add: Transset_UN [OF Transset_Lset] LPow_env_typing)
  apply (auto intro: L_I iff: Lset_succ_lrank_iff)
done

```

```

theorem LPow_in_L: "L(X) ==> L({y ∈ Pow(X). L(y)})"
by (blast intro: L_I dest: L_D LPow_in_Lset)

```

1.10 Eliminating arity from the Definition of Lset

```

lemma nth_zero_eq_0: "n ∈ nat ==> nth(n, [0]) = 0"
by (induct_tac n, auto)

```

```

lemma sats_app_0_iff [rule_format]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A |]
  ==> ∀ env ∈ list(A). sats(A,p, env@[0]) <-> sats(A,p,env)"
apply (induct_tac p)
apply (simp_all del: app_Cons add: app_Cons [symmetric]
  add: nth_zero_eq_0 nth_append not_lt_iff_le nth_eq_0)
done

```

```

lemma sats_app_zeroes_iff:
  "[| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A; env ∈ list(A); n ∈ nat |]
  ==> sats(A,p,env @ repeat(0,n)) <-> sats(A,p,env)"
apply (induct_tac n, simp)
apply (simp del: repeat.simps
  add: repeat_succ_app sats_app_0_iff app_assoc [symmetric])
done

```

```

lemma exists_bigger_env:
  "[| p ∈ formula; 0 ∈ A; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> ∃ env' ∈ list(A). arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env')) &
    (∀ a ∈ A. sats(A,p,Cons(a,env')) <-> sats(A,p,Cons(a,env)))"
apply (rule_tac x="env @ repeat(0,arity(p))" in bexI)
apply (simp del: app_Cons add: app_Cons [symmetric]
  add: length_repeat sats_app_zeroes_iff, typecheck)
done

```

A simpler version of *DPow*: no arity check!

```
constdefs DPow' :: "i => i"
  "DPow'(A) == {X ∈ Pow(A).
    ∃ env ∈ list(A). ∃ p ∈ formula.
      X = {x ∈ A. sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))}}"
```

```
lemma DPow_subset_DPow': "DPow(A) <= DPow'(A)"
by (simp add: DPow_def DPow'_def, blast)
```

```
lemma DPow'_0: "DPow'(0) = {0}"
by (auto simp add: DPow'_def)
```

```
lemma DPow'_subset_DPow: "0 ∈ A ==> DPow'(A) ⊆ DPow(A)"
apply (auto simp add: DPow'_def DPow_def)
apply (frule exists_bigger_env, assumption+, force)
done
```

```
lemma DPow_eq_DPow': "Transset(A) ==> DPow(A) = DPow'(A)"
apply (drule Transset_0_disj)
apply (erule disjE)
  apply (simp add: DPow'_0 Finite_DPow_eq_Pow)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (rule DPow_subset_DPow')
apply (erule DPow'_subset_DPow)
done
```

And thus we can relativize *Lset* without bothering with *arity* and *length*

```
lemma Lset_eq_transrec_DPow': "Lset(i) = transrec(i, %x f. ⋃ y ∈ x. DPow'(f'y))"
apply (rule_tac a=i in eps_induct)
apply (subst Lset)
apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp only: DPow_eq_DPow' [OF Transset_Lset], simp)
done
```

With this rule we can specify *p* later and don't worry about arities at all!

```
lemma DPow_LsetI [rule_format]:
  "[| ∀ x ∈ Lset(i). P(x) <-> sats(Lset(i), p, Cons(x, env));
    env ∈ list(Lset(i)); p ∈ formula |]
  ==> {x ∈ Lset(i). P(x)} ∈ DPow(Lset(i))"
by (simp add: DPow_eq_DPow' [OF Transset_Lset] DPow'_def, blast)

end
```

2 Relativization and Absoluteness

```
theory Relative imports Main begin
```

2.1 Relativized versions of standard set-theoretic concepts

constdefs

```
empty :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "empty(M,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \notin z$ "

subset :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "subset(M,A,B) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in A \rightarrow x \in B$ "

upair :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "upair(M,a,b,z) ==  $a \in z \ \& \ b \in z \ \& \ (\forall x[M]. x \in z \rightarrow x = a \ | \ x = b)$ "

pair :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "pair(M,a,b,z) ==  $\exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M,a,a,x) \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. \text{upair}(M,a,b,y) \ \& \ \text{upair}(M,x,y,z))$ "

union :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "union(M,a,b,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in a \ | \ x \in b$ "

is_cons :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_cons(M,a,b,z) ==  $\exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M,a,a,x) \ \& \ \text{union}(M,x,b,z)$ "

successor :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "successor(M,a,z) == is_cons(M,a,a,z)"

number1 :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "number1(M,a) ==  $\exists x[M]. \text{empty}(M,x) \ \& \ \text{successor}(M,x,a)$ "

number2 :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "number2(M,a) ==  $\exists x[M]. \text{number1}(M,x) \ \& \ \text{successor}(M,x,a)$ "

number3 :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "number3(M,a) ==  $\exists x[M]. \text{number2}(M,x) \ \& \ \text{successor}(M,x,a)$ "

powerset :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "powerset(M,A,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow \text{subset}(M,x,A)$ "

is_Collect :: "[i=>o,i,i=>o,i] => o"
  "is_Collect(M,A,P,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$ "

is_Replace :: "[i=>o,i,[i,i]=>o,i] => o"
  "is_Replace(M,A,P,z) ==  $\forall u[M]. u \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x,u))$ "

inter :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "inter(M,a,b,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in a \ \& \ x \in b$ "

setdiff :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "setdiff(M,a,b,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow x \in a \ \& \ x \notin b$ "
```

```

big_union :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "big_union(M,A,z) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in A \ \& \ x \in y)$ "

big_inter :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "big_inter(M,A,z) ==
    (A=0 --> z=0) &
    (A $\neq$ 0 --> ( $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow (\forall y[M]. y \in A \text{ --> } x \in y)$ ))"

cartprod :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "cartprod(M,A,B,z) ==
     $\forall u[M]. u \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. y \in B \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,u)))$ "

is_sum :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_sum(M,A,B,Z) ==
     $\exists A0[M]. \exists n1[M]. \exists s1[M]. \exists B1[M].$ 
    number1(M,n1) & cartprod(M,n1,A,A0) & upair(M,n1,n1,s1) &
    cartprod(M,s1,B,B1) & union(M,A0,B1,Z)"

is_Inl :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_Inl(M,a,z) ==  $\exists \text{zero}[M]. \text{empty}(M,\text{zero}) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,\text{zero},a,z)$ "

is_Inr :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_Inr(M,a,z) ==  $\exists n1[M]. \text{number1}(M,n1) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,n1,a,z)$ "

is_converse :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_converse(M,r,z) ==
     $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists u[M]. \exists v[M]. \text{pair}(M,u,v,w) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,v,u,x))$ )"

pre_image :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "pre_image(M,r,A,z) ==
     $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. y \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$ "

is_domain :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_domain(M,r,z) ==
     $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$ "

image :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "image(M,r,A,z) ==
     $\forall y[M]. y \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$ "

is_range :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  — the cleaner  $\exists r'[M]. \text{is\_converse}(M, r, r') \ \wedge \ \text{is\_domain}(M, r', z)$ 
  unfortunately needs an instance of separation in order to prove  $M(\text{converse}(r))$ .

  "is_range(M,r,z) ==
     $\forall y[M]. y \in z \leftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[M]. \text{pair}(M,x,y,w)))$ "

is_field :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"

```

```

"is_field(M,r,z) ==
  ∃ dr[M]. ∃ rr[M]. is_domain(M,r,dr) & is_range(M,r,rr) &
    union(M,dr,rr,z)"

is_relation :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
"is_relation(M,r) ==
  (∀ z[M]. z ∈ r --> (∃ x[M]. ∃ y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z)))"

is_function :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
"is_function(M,r) ==
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ y[M]. ∀ y'[M]. ∀ p[M]. ∀ p'[M].
    pair(M,x,y,p) --> pair(M,x,y',p') --> p ∈ r --> p' ∈ r --> y=y'"

fun_apply :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"fun_apply(M,f,x,y) ==
  (∃ xs[M]. ∃ fxs[M].
    upair(M,x,x,xs) & image(M,f,xs,fxs) & big_union(M,fxs,y))"

typed_function :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"typed_function(M,A,B,r) ==
  is_function(M,r) & is_relation(M,r) & is_domain(M,r,A) &
  (∀ u[M]. u ∈ r --> (∀ x[M]. ∀ y[M]. pair(M,x,y,u) --> y ∈ B))"

is_funspace :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_funspace(M,A,B,F) ==
  ∀ f[M]. f ∈ F <-> typed_function(M,A,B,f)"

composition :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"composition(M,r,s,t) ==
  ∀ p[M]. p ∈ t <->
  (∃ x[M]. ∃ y[M]. ∃ z[M]. ∃ xy[M]. ∃ yz[M].
    pair(M,x,z,p) & pair(M,x,y,xy) & pair(M,y,z,yz) &
    xy ∈ s & yz ∈ r)"

injection :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"injection(M,A,B,f) ==
  typed_function(M,A,B,f) &
  (∀ x[M]. ∀ x'[M]. ∀ y[M]. ∀ p[M]. ∀ p'[M].
    pair(M,x,y,p) --> pair(M,x',y,p') --> p ∈ f --> p' ∈ f --> x=x')"

surjection :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"surjection(M,A,B,f) ==
  typed_function(M,A,B,f) &
  (∀ y[M]. y ∈ B --> (∃ x[M]. x ∈ A & fun_apply(M,f,x,y)))"

bijection :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"bijection(M,A,B,f) == injection(M,A,B,f) & surjection(M,A,B,f)"

restriction :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"

```

```

"restriction(M,r,A,z) ==
   $\forall x[M]. x \in z \leftrightarrow (x \in r \ \& \ (\exists u[M]. u \in A \ \& \ (\exists v[M]. \text{pair}(M,u,v,x))))$ "

transitive_set :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
"transitive_set(M,a) ==  $\forall x[M]. x \in a \rightarrow \text{subset}(M,x,a)$ "

ordinal :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
— an ordinal is a transitive set of transitive sets
"ordinal(M,a) == transitive_set(M,a) & ( $\forall x[M]. x \in a \rightarrow \text{transitive\_set}(M,x)$ )"

limit_ordinal :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
— a limit ordinal is a non-empty, successor-closed ordinal
"limit_ordinal(M,a) ==
  ordinal(M,a) &  $\sim \text{empty}(M,a)$  &
  ( $\forall x[M]. x \in a \rightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in a \ \& \ \text{successor}(M,x,y))$ )"

successor_ordinal :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
— a successor ordinal is any ordinal that is neither empty nor limit
"successor_ordinal(M,a) ==
  ordinal(M,a) &  $\sim \text{empty}(M,a)$  &  $\sim \text{limit\_ordinal}(M,a)$ "

finite_ordinal :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
— an ordinal is finite if neither it nor any of its elements are limit
"finite_ordinal(M,a) ==
  ordinal(M,a) &  $\sim \text{limit\_ordinal}(M,a)$  &
  ( $\forall x[M]. x \in a \rightarrow \sim \text{limit\_ordinal}(M,x)$ )"

omega :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
— omega is a limit ordinal none of whose elements are limit
"omega(M,a) == limit_ordinal(M,a) & ( $\forall x[M]. x \in a \rightarrow \sim \text{limit\_ordinal}(M,x)$ )"

is_quasinat :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
"is_quasinat(M,z) ==  $\text{empty}(M,z) \mid (\exists m[M]. \text{successor}(M,m,z))$ "

is_nat_case :: "[i=>o, i, [i,i]=>o, i, i] => o"
"is_nat_case(M, a, is_b, k, z) ==
  ( $\text{empty}(M,k) \rightarrow z=a$ ) &
  ( $\forall m[M]. \text{successor}(M,m,k) \rightarrow \text{is\_b}(m,z)$ ) &
  ( $\text{is\_quasinat}(M,k) \mid \text{empty}(M,z)$ )"

relation1 :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i=>i] => o"
"relation1(M,is_f,f) ==  $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \text{is\_f}(x,y) \leftrightarrow y = f(x)$ "

Relation1 :: "[i=>o, i, [i,i]=>o, i=>i] => o"
— as above, but typed
"Relation1(M,A,is_f,f) ==
   $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. x \in A \rightarrow \text{is\_f}(x,y) \leftrightarrow y = f(x)$ "

relation2 :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i]=>i] => o"

```

```
"relation2(M,is_f,f) ==  $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. is\_f(x,y,z) \leftrightarrow z = f(x,y)$ "
```

```
Relation2 :: "[i=>o, i, i, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i]=>i] => o"
"Relation2(M,A,B,is_f,f) ==
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. x \in A \leftrightarrow y \in B \leftrightarrow is\_f(x,y,z) \leftrightarrow z = f(x,y)$ "
```

```
relation3 :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>i] => o"
"relation3(M,is_f,f) ==
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall u[M]. is\_f(x,y,z,u) \leftrightarrow u = f(x,y,z)$ "
```

```
Relation3 :: "[i=>o, i, i, i, [i,i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>i] => o"
"Relation3(M,A,B,C,is_f,f) ==
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall u[M].$ 
 $x \in A \leftrightarrow y \in B \leftrightarrow z \in C \leftrightarrow is\_f(x,y,z,u) \leftrightarrow u = f(x,y,z)$ "
```

```
relation4 :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i,i]=>i] => o"
"relation4(M,is_f,f) ==
 $\forall u[M]. \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. \forall a[M]. is\_f(u,x,y,z,a) \leftrightarrow a = f(u,x,y,z)$ "
```

Useful when absoluteness reasoning has replaced the predicates by terms

```
lemma triv_Relation1:
"Relation1(M, A,  $\lambda x y. y = f(x), f$ )"
by (simp add: Relation1_def)
```

```
lemma triv_Relation2:
"Relation2(M, A, B,  $\lambda x y a. a = f(x,y), f$ )"
by (simp add: Relation2_def)
```

2.2 The relativized ZF axioms

constdefs

```
extensionality :: "(i=>o) => o"
"extensionality(M) ==
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. (\forall z[M]. z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y) \leftrightarrow x=y$ "
```

```
separation :: "[i=>o, i=>o] => o"
— The formula  $P$  should only involve parameters belonging to  $M$  and all its
quantifiers must be relativized to  $M$ . We do not have separation as a scheme; every
instance that we need must be assumed (and later proved) separately.
```

```
"separation(M,P) ==
 $\forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \leftrightarrow x \in z \ \& \ P(x)$ "
```

```
upair_ax :: "(i=>o) => o"
"upair_ax(M) ==  $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \exists z[M]. upair(M,x,y,z)$ "
```

```
Union_ax :: "(i=>o) => o"
"Union_ax(M) ==  $\forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. big\_union(M,x,z)$ "
```

```

power_ax :: "(i=>o) => o"
"power_ax(M) ==  $\forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{powerset}(M,x,z)$ "

univalent :: "[i=>o, i, [i,i]=>o] => o"
"univalent(M,A,P) ==
 $\forall x[M]. x \in A \rightarrow (\forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. P(x,y) \ \& \ P(x,z) \rightarrow y=z)$ "

replacement :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o] => o"
"replacement(M,P) ==
 $\forall A[M]. \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x,b)) \rightarrow b \in Y)$ "

strong_replacement :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o] => o"
"strong_replacement(M,P) ==
 $\forall A[M]. \text{univalent}(M,A,P) \rightarrow$ 
 $(\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. b \in Y \leftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x,b)))$ "

foundation_ax :: "(i=>o) => o"
"foundation_ax(M) ==
 $\forall x[M]. (\exists y[M]. y \in x) \rightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \ \& \ \sim(\exists z[M]. z \in x \ \& \ z \in y))$ "

```

2.3 A trivial consistency proof for V_ω

We prove that V_ω (or *univ* in Isabelle) satisfies some ZF axioms. Kunen, Theorem IV 3.13, page 123.

```

lemma univ0_downwards_mem: "[| y ∈ x; x ∈ univ(0) |] ==> y ∈ univ(0)"
apply (insert Transset_univ [OF Transset_0])
apply (simp add: Transset_def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma univ0_Ball_abs [simp]:
  "A ∈ univ(0) ==> ( $\forall x \in A. x \in \text{univ}(0) \rightarrow P(x)$ )  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in A. P(x)$ )"
by (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)

```

```

lemma univ0_Bex_abs [simp]:
  "A ∈ univ(0) ==> ( $\exists x \in A. x \in \text{univ}(0) \ \& \ P(x)$ )  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \in A. P(x)$ )"
by (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)

```

Congruence rule for separation: can assume the variable is in M

```

lemma separation_cong [cong]:
  "(!!x. M(x) ==> P(x)  $\leftrightarrow$  P'(x))
  ==> separation(M, %x. P(x))  $\leftrightarrow$  separation(M, %x. P'(x))"
by (simp add: separation_def)

```

```

lemma univalent_cong [cong]:
  "[| A=A'; !!x y. [| x ∈ A; M(x); M(y) |] ==> P(x,y)  $\leftrightarrow$  P'(x,y) |]
  ==> univalent(M, A, %x y. P(x,y))  $\leftrightarrow$  univalent(M, A', %x y. P'(x,y))"

```

by (simp add: univalent_def)

```
lemma univalent_triv [intro,simp]:
  "univalent(M, A,  $\lambda x y. y = f(x)$ )"
by (simp add: univalent_def)
```

```
lemma univalent_conjI2 [intro,simp]:
  "univalent(M,A,Q) ==> univalent(M, A,  $\lambda x y. P(x,y) \ \& \ Q(x,y)$ )"
by (simp add: univalent_def, blast)
```

Congruence rule for replacement

```
lemma strong_replacement_cong [cong]:
  "[| !!x y. [| M(x); M(y) |] ==> P(x,y) <-> P'(x,y) |]
  ==> strong_replacement(M, %x y. P(x,y)) <->
  strong_replacement(M, %x y. P'(x,y))"
by (simp add: strong_replacement_def)
```

The extensionality axiom

```
lemma "extensionality( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )"
apply (simp add: extensionality_def)
apply (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)
done
```

The separation axiom requires some lemmas

```
lemma Collect_in_Vfrom:
  "[| X  $\in$  Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A) |] ==> Collect(X,P)  $\in$  Vfrom(A,
succ(j))"
apply (drule Transset_Vfrom)
apply (rule subset_mem_Vfrom)
apply (unfold Transset_def, blast)
done
```

```
lemma Collect_in_VLimit:
  "[| X  $\in$  Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A) |]
  ==> Collect(X,P)  $\in$  Vfrom(A,i)"
apply (rule Limit_VfromE, assumption+)
apply (blast intro: Limit_has_succ VfromI Collect_in_Vfrom)
done
```

```
lemma Collect_in_univ:
  "[| X  $\in$  univ(A); Transset(A) |] ==> Collect(X,P)  $\in$  univ(A)"
by (simp add: univ_def Collect_in_VLimit Limit_nat)
```

```
lemma "separation( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0), P$ )"
apply (simp add: separation_def, clarify)
apply (rule_tac x = "Collect(z,P)" in bexI)
apply (blast intro: Collect_in_univ Transset_0)+
done
```

Unordered pairing axiom

```
lemma "upair_ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )"
apply (simp add: upair_ax_def upair_def)
apply (blast intro: doubleton_in_univ)
done
```

Union axiom

```
lemma "Union_ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )"
apply (simp add: Union_ax_def big_union_def, clarify)
apply (rule_tac x=" $\bigcup x$ " in bexI)
  apply (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)
apply (blast intro: Union_in_univ Transset_0)
done
```

Powerset axiom

```
lemma Pow_in_univ:
  "[ $| X \in \text{univ}(A); \text{Transset}(A) |$ ] ==> Pow(X)  $\in \text{univ}(A)$ "
apply (simp add: univ_def Pow_in_VLimit Limit_nat)
done
```

```
lemma "power_ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )"
apply (simp add: power_ax_def powerset_def subset_def, clarify)
apply (rule_tac x="Pow(x)" in bexI)
  apply (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)
apply (blast intro: Pow_in_univ Transset_0)
done
```

Foundation axiom

```
lemma "foundation_ax( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0)$ )"
apply (simp add: foundation_ax_def, clarify)
apply (cut_tac A=x in foundation)
apply (blast intro: univ0_downwards_mem)
done
```

```
lemma "replacement( $\lambda x. x \in \text{univ}(0), P$ )"
apply (simp add: replacement_def, clarify)
oops
```

no idea: maybe prove by induction on the rank of A?

Still missing: Replacement, Choice

2.4 Lemmas Needed to Reduce Some Set Constructions to Instances of Separation

```
lemma image_iff_Collect: " $r \text{ '' } A = \{y \in \text{Union}(\text{Union}(r)). \exists p \in r. \exists x \in A. p = \langle x, y \rangle\}$ "
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
```

```

apply (simp add: Pair_def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma vimage_iff_Collect:
  "r -'' A = {x ∈ Union(Union(r)). ∃p∈r. ∃y∈A. p=<x,y>}"
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (simp add: Pair_def, blast)
done

```

These two lemmas lets us prove *domain_closed* and *range_closed* without new instances of separation

```

lemma domain_eq_vimage: "domain(r) = r -'' Union(Union(r))"
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (rule vimageI, assumption)
apply (simp add: Pair_def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma range_eq_image: "range(r) = r '' Union(Union(r))"
apply (rule equalityI, auto)
apply (rule imageI, assumption)
apply (simp add: Pair_def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma replacementD:
  "[| replacement(M,P); M(A); univalent(M,A,P) |]
  ==> ∃Y[M]. (∀b[M]. ((∃x[M]. x∈A & P(x,b)) --> b ∈ Y))"
by (simp add: replacement_def)

```

```

lemma strong_replacementD:
  "[| strong_replacement(M,P); M(A); univalent(M,A,P) |]
  ==> ∃Y[M]. (∀b[M]. (b ∈ Y <-> (∃x[M]. x∈A & P(x,b))))"
by (simp add: strong_replacement_def)

```

```

lemma separationD:
  "[| separation(M,P); M(z) |] ==> ∃y[M]. ∀x[M]. x ∈ y <-> x ∈ z &
P(x)"
by (simp add: separation_def)

```

More constants, for order types

constdefs

```

order_isomorphism :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i,i] => o"
"order_isomorphism(M,A,r,B,s,f) ==
  bijection(M,A,B,f) &
  (∀x[M]. x∈A --> (∀y[M]. y∈A -->
    (∀p[M]. ∀fx[M]. ∀fy[M]. ∀q[M].
      pair(M,x,y,p) --> fun_apply(M,f,x,fx) --> fun_apply(M,f,y,fy)
-->
      pair(M,fx,fy,q) --> (p∈r <-> q∈s)))))"

```

```

pred_set :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o"
"pred_set(M,A,x,r,B) ==
   $\forall y[M]. y \in B \leftrightarrow (\exists p[M]. p \in r \ \& \ y \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,y,x,p))"$ 

membership :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o" — membership relation
"membership(M,A,r) ==
   $\forall p[M]. p \in r \leftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[M]. y \in A \ \& \ x \in y \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,y,p)))"$ 

```

2.5 Introducing a Transitive Class Model

The class M is assumed to be transitive and to satisfy some relativized ZF axioms

```

locale M_trivial =
  fixes M
  assumes transM:      "[| y∈x; M(x) |] ==> M(y)"
    and upair_ax:     "upair_ax(M)"
    and Union_ax:     "Union_ax(M)"
    and power_ax:     "power_ax(M)"
    and replacement:  "replacement(M,P)"
    and M_nat [iff]:  "M(nat)"

```

Automatically discovers the proof using `transM`, `nat_0I` and `M_nat`.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) nonempty [simp]: "M(0)"
by (blast intro: transM)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) rall_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> ( $\forall x[M]. x \in A \ \rightarrow \ P(x)$ )  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in A. P(x)$ )"
by (blast intro: transM)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) rex_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> ( $\exists x[M]. x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$ )  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \in A. P(x)$ )"
by (blast intro: transM)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) ball_iff_equiv:
  "M(A) ==> ( $\forall x[M]. (x \in A \ \leftrightarrow \ P(x))$ )  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall x \in A. P(x)$ ) & ( $\forall x. P(x) \ \rightarrow \ M(x) \ \rightarrow \ x \in A$ )"
by (blast intro: transM)

```

Simplifies proofs of equalities when there's an iff-equality available for rewriting, universally quantified over M . But it's not the only way to prove such equalities: its premises $M(A)$ and $M(B)$ can be too strong.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) M_equalityI:
  "[| !!x. M(x) ==> x∈A  $\leftrightarrow$  x∈B; M(A); M(B) |] ==> A=B"
by (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)

```

2.5.1 Trivial Absoluteness Proofs: Empty Set, Pairs, etc.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) empty_abs [simp]:

```

```

    "M(z) ==> empty(M,z) <-> z=0"
  apply (simp add: empty_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) subset_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> subset(M,A,B) <-> A ⊆ B"
  apply (simp add: subset_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) upair_abs [simp]:
  "M(z) ==> upair(M,a,b,z) <-> z={a,b}"
  apply (simp add: upair_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) upair_in_M_iff [iff]:
  "M({a,b}) <-> M(a) & M(b)"
  apply (insert upair_ax, simp add: upair_ax_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) singleton_in_M_iff [iff]:
  "M({a}) <-> M(a)"
  by (insert upair_in_M_iff [of a a], simp)

lemma (in M_trivial) pair_abs [simp]:
  "M(z) ==> pair(M,a,b,z) <-> z=<a,b>"
  apply (simp add: pair_def ZF.Pair_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) pair_in_M_iff [iff]:
  "M(<a,b>) <-> M(a) & M(b)"
  by (simp add: ZF.Pair_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) pair_components_in_M:
  "[| <x,y> ∈ A; M(A) |] ==> M(x) & M(y)"
  apply (simp add: Pair_def)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) cartprod_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(z) |] ==> cartprod(M,A,B,z) <-> z = A*B"
  apply (simp add: cartprod_def)
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (blast intro!: equalityI intro: transM dest!: rspec)
  apply (blast dest: transM)

```

done

2.5.2 Absoluteness for Unions and Intersections

```
lemma (in M_trivial) union_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> union(M,a,b,z) <-> z = a Un b"  
apply (simp add: union_def)  
apply (blast intro: transM)  
done
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) inter_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> inter(M,a,b,z) <-> z = a Int b"  
apply (simp add: inter_def)  
apply (blast intro: transM)  
done
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) setdiff_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> setdiff(M,a,b,z) <-> z = a - b"  
apply (simp add: setdiff_def)  
apply (blast intro: transM)  
done
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) Union_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(A); M(z) |] ==> big_union(M,A,z) <-> z = Union(A)"  
apply (simp add: big_union_def)  
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)  
done
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) Union_closed [intro,simp]:  
  "M(A) ==> M(Union(A))"  
by (insert Union_ax, simp add: Union_ax_def)
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) Un_closed [intro,simp]:  
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A Un B)"  
by (simp only: Un_eq_Union, blast)
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) cons_closed [intro,simp]:  
  "[| M(a); M(A) |] ==> M(cons(a,A))"  
by (subst cons_eq [symmetric], blast)
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) cons_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(b); M(z) |] ==> is_cons(M,a,b,z) <-> z = cons(a,b)"  
by (simp add: is_cons_def, blast intro: transM)
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) successor_abs [simp]:  
  "[| M(a); M(z) |] ==> successor(M,a,z) <-> z = succ(a)"  
by (simp add: successor_def, blast)
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) succ_in_M_iff [iff]:
```

```

    "M(succ(a)) <-> M(a)"
  apply (simp add: succ_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
done

```

2.5.3 Absoluteness for Separation and Replacement

```

lemma (in M_trivial) separation_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| separation(M,P); M(A) |] ==> M(Collect(A,P))"
  apply (insert separation, simp add: separation_def)
  apply (drule rspec, assumption, clarify)
  apply (subgoal_tac "y = Collect(A,P)", blast)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma separation_iff:
  "separation(M,P) <-> (∀z[M]. ∃y[M]. is_Collect(M,z,P,y))"
  by (simp add: separation_def is_Collect_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Collect_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(z) |] ==> is_Collect(M,A,P,z) <-> z = Collect(A,P)"
  apply (simp add: is_Collect_def)
  apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

```

Probably the premise and conclusion are equivalent

```

lemma (in M_trivial) strong_replacementI [rule_format]:
  "[| ∀B[M]. separation(M, %u. ∃x[M]. x∈B & P(x,u)) |]
  ==> strong_replacement(M,P)"
  apply (simp add: strong_replacement_def, clarify)
  apply (frule replacementD [OF replacement], assumption, clarify)
  apply (drule_tac x=A in rspec, clarify)
  apply (drule_tac z=Y in separationD, assumption, clarify)
  apply (rule_tac x=y in rexI, force, assumption)
done

```

2.5.4 The Operator *is_Replace*

```

lemma is_Replace_cong [cong]:
  "[| A=A';
    !!x y. [| M(x); M(y) |] ==> P(x,y) <-> P'(x,y);
    z=z' |]
  ==> is_Replace(M, A, %x y. P(x,y), z) <->
    is_Replace(M, A', %x y. P'(x,y), z'"
  by (simp add: is_Replace_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) univalent_Replace_iff:
  "[| M(A); univalent(M,A,P);
    !!x y. [| x∈A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |]
  ==> u ∈ Replace(A,P) <-> (∃x. x∈A & P(x,u))"

```

```

apply (simp add: Replace_iff univalent_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) strong_replacement_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| strong_replacement(M,P); M(A); univalent(M,A,P);
    !!x y. [| x∈A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |] ==> M(Replace(A,P))"
apply (simp add: strong_replacement_def)
apply (drule_tac x=A in rspec, safe)
apply (subgoal_tac "Replace(A,P) = Y")
  apply simp
  apply (rule equality_iffI)
  apply (simp add: univalent_Replace_iff)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Replace_abs:
  "[| M(A); M(z); univalent(M,A,P);
    !!x y. [| x∈A; P(x,y) |] ==> M(y) |]
  ==> is_Replace(M,A,P,z) <-> z = Replace(A,P)"
apply (simp add: is_Replace_def)
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (rule equality_iffI)
  apply (simp_all add: univalent_Replace_iff)
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) RepFun_closed:
  "[| strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = f(x)); M(A); ∀x∈A. M(f(x)) |]
  ==> M(RepFun(A,f))"
apply (simp add: RepFun_def)
apply (rule strong_replacement_closed)
apply (auto dest: transM simp add: univalent_def)
done

```

```

lemma Replace_conj_eq: "{y . x ∈ A, x∈A & y=f(x)} = {y . x∈A, y=f(x)}"
by simp

```

Better than `RepFun_closed` when having the formula $x \in A$ makes relativization easier.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) RepFun_closed2:
  "[| strong_replacement(M, λx y. x∈A & y = f(x)); M(A); ∀x∈A. M(f(x))
  |]
  ==> M(RepFun(A, %x. f(x)))"
apply (simp add: RepFun_def)
apply (frule strong_replacement_closed, assumption)

```

```

apply (auto dest: transM simp add: Replace_conj_eq univalent_def)
done

```

2.5.5 Absoluteness for Lambda

constdefs

```

is_lambda :: "[i=>o, i, [i,i]=>o, i] => o"
"is_lambda(M, A, is_b, z) ==
  ∀p[M]. p ∈ z <->
    (∃u[M]. ∃v[M]. u∈A & pair(M,u,v,p) & is_b(u,v))"

```

lemma (in M_trivial) lam_closed:

```

"[/strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = <x,b(x)>); M(A); ∀x∈A. M(b(x))
/]
==> M(λx∈A. b(x))"

```

by (simp add: lam_def, blast intro: RepFun_closed dest: transM)

Better than lam_closed: has the formula $x \in A$

lemma (in M_trivial) lam_closed2:

```

"[/strong_replacement(M, λx y. x∈A & y = <x, b(x)>);
M(A); ∀m[M]. m∈A --> M(b(m))/] ==> M(Lambda(A,b))"

```

apply (simp add: lam_def)

apply (blast intro: RepFun_closed2 dest: transM)

done

lemma (in M_trivial) lambda_abs2:

```

"[/Relation1(M,A,is_b,b); M(A); ∀m[M]. m∈A --> M(b(m)); M(z)
/]
==> is_lambda(M,A,is_b,z) <-> z = Lambda(A,b)"

```

apply (simp add: Relation1_def is_lambda_def)

apply (rule iffI)

prefer 2 apply (simp add: lam_def)

apply (rule equality_iffI)

apply (simp add: lam_def)

apply (rule iffI)

apply (blast dest: transM)

apply (auto simp add: transM [of _ A])

done

lemma is_lambda_cong [cong]:

```

"[/A=A'; z=z';
!x y. [/x∈A; M(x); M(y)
/] ==> is_b(x,y) <-> is_b'(x,y)
/]
==> is_lambda(M, A, %x y. is_b(x,y), z) <->
  is_lambda(M, A', %x y. is_b'(x,y), z)"

```

by (simp add: is_lambda_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) image_abs [simp]:

```

"[/M(r); M(A); M(z)
/] ==> image(M,r,A,z) <-> z = r`A"

```

apply (simp add: image_def)

apply (rule iffI)

```

  apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM, blast)
done

```

What about *Pow_abs*? Powerset is NOT absolute! This result is one direction of absoluteness.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) powerset_Pow:
  "powerset(M, x, Pow(x))"
by (simp add: powerset_def)

```

But we can't prove that the powerset in *M* includes the real powerset.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) powerset_imp_subset_Pow:
  "[| powerset(M,x,y); M(y) |] ==> y <= Pow(x)"
apply (simp add: powerset_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

2.5.6 Absoluteness for the Natural Numbers

```

lemma (in M_trivial) nat_into_M [intro]:
  "n ∈ nat ==> M(n)"
by (induct n rule: nat_induct, simp_all)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) nat_case_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|M(k); M(a); ∀m[M]. M(b(m))|] ==> M(nat_case(a,b,k))"
apply (case_tac "k=0", simp)
apply (case_tac "∃m. k = succ(m)", force)
apply (simp add: nat_case_def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) quasinat_abs [simp]:
  "M(z) ==> is_quasinat(M,z) <-> quasinat(z)"
by (auto simp add: is_quasinat_def quasinat_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) nat_case_abs [simp]:
  "[| relation1(M,is_b,b); M(k); M(z) |]
  ==> is_nat_case(M,a,is_b,k,z) <-> z = nat_case(a,b,k)"
apply (case_tac "quasinat(k)")
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: is_nat_case_def non_nat_case)
  apply (force simp add: quasinat_def)
apply (simp add: quasinat_def is_nat_case_def)
apply (elim disjE exE)
  apply (simp_all add: relation1_def)
done

```

```

lemma is_nat_case_cong:
  "[| a = a'; k = k'; z = z'; M(z');
  !!x y. [| M(x); M(y) |] ==> is_b(x,y) <-> is_b'(x,y) |]

```

```

    ==> is_nat_case(M, a, is_b, k, z) <-> is_nat_case(M, a', is_b',
k', z')"
by (simp add: is_nat_case_def)

```

2.6 Absoluteness for Ordinals

These results constitute Theorem IV 5.1 of Kunen (page 126).

```

lemma (in M_trivial) lt_closed:

```

```

  "[| j<i; M(i) |] ==> M(j)"

```

```

by (blast dest: ltD intro: transM)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) transitive_set_abs [simp]:

```

```

  "M(a) ==> transitive_set(M,a) <-> Transset(a)"

```

```

by (simp add: transitive_set_def Transset_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) ordinal_abs [simp]:

```

```

  "M(a) ==> ordinal(M,a) <-> Ord(a)"

```

```

by (simp add: ordinal_def Ord_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) limit_ordinal_abs [simp]:

```

```

  "M(a) ==> limit_ordinal(M,a) <-> Limit(a)"

```

```

apply (unfold Limit_def limit_ordinal_def)

```

```

apply (simp add: Ord_0_lt_iff)

```

```

apply (simp add: lt_def, blast)

```

```

done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) successor_ordinal_abs [simp]:

```

```

  "M(a) ==> successor_ordinal(M,a) <-> Ord(a) & (∃b[M]. a = succ(b))"

```

```

apply (simp add: successor_ordinal_def, safe)

```

```

apply (drule Ord_cases_disj, auto)

```

```

done

```

```

lemma finite_Ord_is_nat:

```

```

  "[| Ord(a); ~ Limit(a); ∀x∈a. ~ Limit(x) |] ==> a ∈ nat"

```

```

by (induct a rule: trans_induct3, simp_all)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) finite_ordinal_abs [simp]:

```

```

  "M(a) ==> finite_ordinal(M,a) <-> a ∈ nat"

```

```

apply (simp add: finite_ordinal_def)

```

```

apply (blast intro: finite_Ord_is_nat intro: nat_into_Ord

```

```

  dest: Ord_trans naturals_not_limit)

```

```

done

```

```

lemma Limit_non_Limit_implies_nat:

```

```

  "[| Limit(a); ∀x∈a. ~ Limit(x) |] ==> a = nat"

```

```

apply (rule le_anti_sym)

```

```

apply (rule all_lt_imp_le, blast, blast intro: Limit_is_Ord)

```

```

  apply (simp add: lt_def)

```

```

  apply (blast intro: Ord_in_Ord Ord_trans finite_Ord_is_nat)

```

```

apply (erule nat_le_Limit)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) omega_abs [simp]:
  "M(a) ==> omega(M,a) <-> a = nat"
apply (simp add: omega_def)
apply (blast intro: Limit_non_Limit_implies_nat dest: naturals_not_limit)
done

lemma (in M_trivial) number1_abs [simp]:
  "M(a) ==> number1(M,a) <-> a = 1"
by (simp add: number1_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) number2_abs [simp]:
  "M(a) ==> number2(M,a) <-> a = succ(1)"
by (simp add: number2_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) number3_abs [simp]:
  "M(a) ==> number3(M,a) <-> a = succ(succ(1))"
by (simp add: number3_def)

```

Kunen continued to 20...

2.7 Some instances of separation and strong replacement

```

locale M_basic = M_trivial +
assumes Inter_separation:
  "M(A) ==> separation(M, λx. ∀y[M]. y∈A --> x∈y)"
and Diff_separation:
  "M(B) ==> separation(M, λx. x ∉ B)"
and cartprod_separation:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |]
  ==> separation(M, λz. ∃x[M]. x∈A & (∃y[M]. y∈B & pair(M,x,y,z)))"
and image_separation:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |]
  ==> separation(M, λy. ∃p[M]. p∈r & (∃x[M]. x∈A & pair(M,x,y,p)))"
and converse_separation:
  "M(r) ==> separation(M,
    λz. ∃p[M]. p∈r & (∃x[M]. ∃y[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & pair(M,y,x,z)))"
and restrict_separation:
  "M(A) ==> separation(M, λz. ∃x[M]. x∈A & (∃y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z)))"
and comp_separation:
  "[| M(r); M(s) |]
  ==> separation(M, λxz. ∃x[M]. ∃y[M]. ∃z[M]. ∃xy[M]. ∃yz[M].
    pair(M,x,z,xz) & pair(M,x,y,xy) & pair(M,y,z,yz) &
    xy∈s & yz∈r)"
and pred_separation:
  "[| M(r); M(x) |] ==> separation(M, λy. ∃p[M]. p∈r & pair(M,y,x,p))"
and Memrel_separation:

```

```

"separation(M, λz. ∃x[M]. ∃y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) & x ∈ y)"
and funspace_succ_replacement:
"M(n) ==>
  strong_replacement(M, λp z. ∃f[M]. ∃b[M]. ∃nb[M]. ∃cnbf[M].
    pair(M,f,b,p) & pair(M,n,b,nb) & is_cons(M,nb,f,cnbf)
&
  upair(M,cnbf,cnbf,z))"
and is_recfun_separation:
— for well-founded recursion: used to prove is_recfun_equal
"[| M(r); M(f); M(g); M(a); M(b) |]
==> separation(M,
  λx. ∃xa[M]. ∃xb[M].
    pair(M,x,a,xa) & xa ∈ r & pair(M,x,b,xb) & xb ∈ r &
    (∃fx[M]. ∃gx[M]. fun_apply(M,f,x,fx) & fun_apply(M,g,x,gx)
&
  fx ≠ gx))"

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) cartprod_iff_lemma:
  "[| M(C); ∀u[M]. u ∈ C <-> (∃x∈A. ∃y∈B. u = {{x}, {x,y}});
    powerset(M, A ∪ B, p1); powerset(M, p1, p2); M(p2) |]
  ==> C = {u ∈ p2 . ∃x∈A. ∃y∈B. u = {{x}, {x,y}}}"
apply (simp add: powerset_def)
apply (rule equalityI, clarify, simp)
  apply (frule transM, assumption)
  apply (frule transM, assumption, simp (no_asm_simp))
  apply blast
apply clarify
apply (frule transM, assumption, force)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) cartprod_iff:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(C) |]
  ==> cartprod(M,A,B,C) <->
    (∃p1[M]. ∃p2[M]. powerset(M,A ∪ B,p1) & powerset(M,p1,p2)
&
  C = {z ∈ p2. ∃x∈A. ∃y∈B. z = <x,y>})"
apply (simp add: Pair_def cartprod_def, safe)
defer 1
  apply (simp add: powerset_def)
  apply blast

```

Final, difficult case: the left-to-right direction of the theorem.

```

apply (insert power_ax, simp add: power_ax_def)
apply (frule_tac x="A ∪ B" and P="λx. rex(M,?Q(x))" in rspec)
apply (blast, clarify)
apply (drule_tac x=z and P="λx. rex(M,?Q(x))" in rspec)
apply assumption
apply (blast intro: cartprod_iff_lemma)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) cartprod_closed_lemma:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==>  $\exists C[M]. \text{cartprod}(M,A,B,C)$ "
apply (simp del: cartprod_abs add: cartprod_iff)
apply (insert power_ax, simp add: power_ax_def)
apply (frule_tac x="A Un B" and P=" $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M,?Q(x))$ " in rspec)
apply (blast, clarify)
apply (drule_tac x=z and P=" $\lambda x. \text{rex}(M,?Q(x))$ " in rspec, auto)
apply (intro rexI conjI, simp+)
apply (insert cartprod_separation [of A B], simp)
done

```

All the lemmas above are necessary because Powerset is not absolute. I should have used Replacement instead!

```

lemma (in M_basic) cartprod_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==>  $M(A*B)$ "
by (frule cartprod_closed_lemma, assumption, force)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) sum_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==>  $M(A+B)$ "
by (simp add: sum_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) sum_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(Z) |] ==>  $\text{is\_sum}(M,A,B,Z) \leftrightarrow (Z = A+B)$ "
by (simp add: is_sum_def sum_def singleton_0 nat_into_M)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Inl_in_M_iff [iff]:
  " $M(\text{Inl}(a)) \leftrightarrow M(a)$ "
by (simp add: Inl_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Inl_abs [simp]:
  " $M(Z) \implies \text{is\_Inl}(M,a,Z) \leftrightarrow (Z = \text{Inl}(a))$ "
by (simp add: is_Inl_def Inl_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Inr_in_M_iff [iff]:
  " $M(\text{Inr}(a)) \leftrightarrow M(a)$ "
by (simp add: Inr_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Inr_abs [simp]:
  " $M(Z) \implies \text{is\_Inr}(M,a,Z) \leftrightarrow (Z = \text{Inr}(a))$ "
by (simp add: is_Inr_def Inr_def)

```

2.7.1 converse of a relation

```

lemma (in M_basic) M_converse_iff:
  " $M(r) \implies$ 
   $\text{converse}(r) =$ 
   $\{z \in \text{Union}(\text{Union}(r)) * \text{Union}(\text{Union}(r)).$ 
   $\exists p \in r. \exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. p = \langle x,y \rangle \ \& \ z = \langle y,x \rangle\}$ "

```

```

apply (rule equalityI)
  prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM, clarify, simp)
apply (simp add: Pair_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) converse_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(r) ==> M(converse(r))"
apply (simp add: M_converse_iff)
apply (insert converse_separation [of r], simp)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) converse_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> is_converse(M,r,z) <-> z = converse(r)"
apply (simp add: is_converse_def)
apply (rule iffI)
  prefer 2 apply blast
apply (rule M_equalityI)
  apply simp
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

2.7.2 image, preimage, domain, range

```

lemma (in M_basic) image_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> M(r-`A)"
apply (simp add: image_iff_Collect)
apply (insert image_separation [of A r], simp)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) vimage_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(A); M(z) |] ==> pre_image(M,r,A,z) <-> z = r-`A"
apply (simp add: pre_image_def)
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM, blast)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) vimage_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> M(r-`A)"
by (simp add: vimage_def)

```

2.7.3 Domain, range and field

```

lemma (in M_basic) domain_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> is_domain(M,r,z) <-> z = domain(r)"
apply (simp add: is_domain_def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) domain_closed [intro,simp]:

```

```

    "M(r) ==> M(domain(r))"
  apply (simp add: domain_eq_vimage)
done

lemma (in M_basic) range_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> is_range(M,r,z) <-> z = range(r)"
  apply (simp add: is_range_def)
  apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_basic) range_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(r) ==> M(range(r))"
  apply (simp add: range_eq_image)
done

lemma (in M_basic) field_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> is_field(M,r,z) <-> z = field(r)"
  by (simp add: domain_closed range_closed is_field_def field_def)

lemma (in M_basic) field_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(r) ==> M(field(r))"
  by (simp add: domain_closed range_closed Un_closed field_def)

```

2.7.4 Relations, functions and application

```

lemma (in M_basic) relation_abs [simp]:
  "M(r) ==> is_relation(M,r) <-> relation(r)"
  apply (simp add: is_relation_def relation_def)
  apply (blast dest!: bspec dest: pair_components_in_M)+
done

lemma (in M_basic) function_abs [simp]:
  "M(r) ==> is_function(M,r) <-> function(r)"
  apply (simp add: is_function_def function_def, safe)
  apply (frule transM, assumption)
  apply (blast dest: pair_components_in_M)+
done

lemma (in M_basic) apply_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|M(f); M(a)|] ==> M(f'a)"
  by (simp add: apply_def)

lemma (in M_basic) apply_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(f); M(x); M(y) |] ==> fun_apply(M,f,x,y) <-> f'x = y"
  apply (simp add: fun_apply_def apply_def, blast)
done

lemma (in M_basic) typed_function_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(f) |] ==> typed_function(M,A,B,f) <-> f ∈ A -> B"

```

```

apply (auto simp add: typed_function_def relation_def Pi_iff)
apply (blast dest: pair_components_in_M)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) injection_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(f) |] ==> injection(M,A,B,f) <-> f ∈ inj(A,B)"
apply (simp add: injection_def apply_iff inj_def apply_closed)
apply (blast dest: transM [of _ A])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) surjection_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(f) |] ==> surjection(M,A,B,f) <-> f ∈ surj(A,B)"
by (simp add: surjection_def surj_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) bijection_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(f) |] ==> bijection(M,A,B,f) <-> f ∈ bij(A,B)"
by (simp add: bijection_def bij_def)

```

2.7.5 Composition of relations

```

lemma (in M_basic) M_comp_iff:
  "[| M(r); M(s) |]
  ==> r O s =
    {xz ∈ domain(s) * range(r).
     ∃x[M]. ∃y[M]. ∃z[M]. xz = ⟨x,z⟩ & ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ s & ⟨y,z⟩ ∈ r}"
apply (simp add: comp_def)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply clarify
  apply simp
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) comp_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(s) |] ==> M(r O s)"
apply (simp add: M_comp_iff)
apply (insert comp_separation [of r s], simp)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) composition_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(s); M(t) |] ==> composition(M,r,s,t) <-> t = r O s"
apply safe

```

Proving $\text{composition}(M, r, s, r \ O \ s)$

```

prefer 2
apply (simp add: composition_def comp_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)

```

Opposite implication

```

apply (rule M_equalityI)

```

```

    apply (simp add: composition_def comp_def)
    apply (blast del: allE dest: transM)+
done

no longer needed

lemma (in M_basic) restriction_is_function:
  "[| restriction(M,f,A,z); function(f); M(f); M(A); M(z) |]
   ==> function(z)"
apply (simp add: restriction_def ball_iff_equiv)
apply (unfold function_def, blast)
done

lemma (in M_basic) restriction_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(f); M(A); M(z) |]
   ==> restriction(M,f,A,z) <-> z = restrict(f,A)"
apply (simp add: ball_iff_equiv restriction_def restrict_def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_basic) M_restrict_iff:
  "M(r) ==> restrict(r,A) = {z ∈ r . ∃x∈A. ∃y[M]. z = ⟨x, y⟩}"
by (simp add: restrict_def, blast dest: transM)

lemma (in M_basic) restrict_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> M(restrict(r,A))"
apply (simp add: M_restrict_iff)
apply (insert restrict_separation [of A], simp)
done

lemma (in M_basic) Inter_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(z) |] ==> big_inter(M,A,z) <-> z = Inter(A)"
apply (simp add: big_inter_def Inter_def)
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_basic) Inter_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(A) ==> M(Inter(A))"
by (insert Inter_separation, simp add: Inter_def)

lemma (in M_basic) Int_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A Int B)"
apply (subgoal_tac "M({A,B})")
apply (frule Inter_closed, force+)
done

lemma (in M_basic) Diff_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B) |] ==> M(A-B)"
by (insert Diff_separation, simp add: Diff_def)

```

2.7.6 Some Facts About Separation Axioms

```

lemma (in M_basic) separation_conj:
  "[/separation(M,P); separation(M,Q)] ==> separation(M, λz. P(z)
& Q(z))"
by (simp del: separation_closed
    add: separation_iff Collect_Int_Collect_eq [symmetric])

lemma Collect_Un_Collect_eq:
  "Collect(A,P) Un Collect(A,Q) = Collect(A, %x. P(x) | Q(x))"
by blast

lemma Diff_Collect_eq:
  "A - Collect(A,P) = Collect(A, %x. ~ P(x))"
by blast

lemma (in M_trivial) Collect_rall_eq:
  "M(Y) ==> Collect(A, %x. ∀y[M]. y∈Y --> P(x,y)) =
    (if Y=0 then A else (∩y ∈ Y. {x ∈ A. P(x,y)}))"
apply simp
apply (blast intro!: equalityI dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_basic) separation_disj:
  "[/separation(M,P); separation(M,Q)] ==> separation(M, λz. P(z)
| Q(z))"
by (simp del: separation_closed
    add: separation_iff Collect_Un_Collect_eq [symmetric])

lemma (in M_basic) separation_neg:
  "separation(M,P) ==> separation(M, λz. ~P(z))"
by (simp del: separation_closed
    add: separation_iff Diff_Collect_eq [symmetric])

lemma (in M_basic) separation_imp:
  "[/separation(M,P); separation(M,Q)]
==> separation(M, λz. P(z) --> Q(z))"
by (simp add: separation_neg separation_disj not_disj_iff_imp [symmetric])

This result is a hint of how little can be done without the Reflection The-
orem. The quantifier has to be bounded by a set. We also need another
instance of Separation!

lemma (in M_basic) separation_rall:
  "[/M(Y); ∀y[M]. separation(M, λx. P(x,y));
  ∀z[M]. strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = {u ∈ z . P(u,x)})]
==> separation(M, λx. ∀y[M]. y∈Y --> P(x,y))"
apply (simp del: separation_closed rall_abs
    add: separation_iff Collect_rall_eq)

```

```

apply (blast intro!: Inter_closed RepFun_closed dest: transM)
done

```

2.7.7 Functions and function space

The assumption $M(A \rightarrow B)$ is unusual, but essential: in all but trivial cases, $A \rightarrow B$ cannot be expected to belong to M .

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_funspace_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); M(B); M(F); M(A->B)|] ==> is_funspace(M,A,B,F) <-> F = A->B"
apply (simp add: is_funspace_def)
apply (rule iffI)
  prefer 2 apply blast
apply (rule M_equalityI)
  apply simp_all
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) succ_fun_eq2:
  "[|M(B); M(n->B)|] ==>
  succ(n) -> B =
  ⋃ {z. p ∈ (n->B)*B, ∃ f[M]. ∃ b[M]. p = <f,b> & z = {cons(<n,b>,
  f)}}"
apply (simp add: succ_fun_eq)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) funspace_succ:
  "[|M(n); M(B); M(n->B) |] ==> M(succ(n) -> B)"
apply (insert funspace_succ_replacement [of n], simp)
apply (force simp add: succ_fun_eq2 univalent_def)
done

```

M contains all finite function spaces. Needed to prove the absoluteness of transitive closure. See the definition of `rtrancl_alt` in `WF_absolute.thy`.

```

lemma (in M_basic) finite_funspace_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|n∈nat; M(B)|] ==> M(n->B)"
apply (induct_tac n, simp)
apply (simp add: funspace_succ nat_into_M)
done

```

2.8 Relativization and Absoluteness for Boolean Operators

constdefs

```

is_bool_of_o :: "[i=>o, o, i] => o"
  "is_bool_of_o(M,P,z) == (P & number1(M,z)) | (~P & empty(M,z))"

is_not :: "[i=>o, i, i] => o"
  "is_not(M,a,z) == (number1(M,a) & empty(M,z)) |
  (~number1(M,a) & number1(M,z))"

```

```

is_and :: "[i=>o, i, i, i] => o"
"is_and(M,a,b,z) == (number1(M,a) & z=b) |
                    (~number1(M,a) & empty(M,z))"

is_or :: "[i=>o, i, i, i] => o"
"is_or(M,a,b,z) == (number1(M,a) & number1(M,z)) |
                    (~number1(M,a) & z=b)"

lemma (in M_trivial) bool_of_o_abs [simp]:
  "M(z) ==> is_bool_of_o(M,P,z) <-> z = bool_of_o(P)"
by (simp add: is_bool_of_o_def bool_of_o_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) not_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(a); M(z) |] ==> is_not(M,a,z) <-> z = not(a)"
by (simp add: Bool.not_def cond_def is_not_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) and_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> is_and(M,a,b,z) <-> z = a and b"
by (simp add: Bool.and_def cond_def is_and_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) or_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(a); M(b); M(z) |] ==> is_or(M,a,b,z) <-> z = a or b"
by (simp add: Bool.or_def cond_def is_or_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) bool_of_o_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(bool_of_o(P))"
by (simp add: bool_of_o_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) and_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(p); M(q) |] ==> M(p and q)"
by (simp add: and_def cond_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) or_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(p); M(q) |] ==> M(p or q)"
by (simp add: or_def cond_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) not_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(p) ==> M(not(p))"
by (simp add: Bool.not_def cond_def)

```

2.9 Relativization and Absoluteness for List Operators

constdefs

```

is_Nil :: "[i=>o, i] => o"
— because [] ≡ Inl(0)

```

```

"is_Nil(M,xs) ==  $\exists$ zero[M]. empty(M,zero) & is_Inl(M,zero,xs)"

is_Cons :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  — because Cons(a, l)  $\equiv$  Inr( $\langle$ a, l $\rangle$ )
"is_Cons(M,a,l,Z) ==  $\exists$ p[M]. pair(M,a,l,p) & is_Inr(M,p,Z)"

lemma (in M_trivial) Nil_in_M [intro,simp]: "M(Nil)"
by (simp add: Nil_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) Nil_abs [simp]: "M(Z) ==> is_Nil(M,Z) <-> (Z = Nil)"
by (simp add: is_Nil_def Nil_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) Cons_in_M_iff [iff]: "M(Cons(a,l)) <-> M(a) & M(l)"
by (simp add: Cons_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) Cons_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(a); M(l); M(Z)|] ==> is_Cons(M,a,l,Z) <-> (Z = Cons(a,l))"
by (simp add: is_Cons_def Cons_def)

constdefs

quaselist :: "i => o"
  "quaselist(xs) == xs=Nil | ( $\exists$ x l. xs = Cons(x,l))"

is_quaselist :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "is_quaselist(M,z) == is_Nil(M,z) | ( $\exists$ x[M].  $\exists$ l[M]. is_Cons(M,x,l,z))"

list_case' :: "[i, [i,i]=>i, i] => i"
  — A version of list_case that's always defined.
  "list_case'(a,b,xs) ==
    if quaselist(xs) then list_case(a,b,xs) else 0"

is_list_case :: "[i=>o, i, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i] => o"
  — Returns 0 for non-lists
  "is_list_case(M, a, is_b, xs, z) ==
    (is_Nil(M,xs) --> z=a) &
    ( $\forall$ x[M].  $\forall$ l[M]. is_Cons(M,x,l,xs) --> is_b(x,l,z)) &
    (is_quaselist(M,xs) | empty(M,z))"

hd' :: "i => i"
  — A version of hd that's always defined.
  "hd'(xs) == if quaselist(xs) then hd(xs) else 0"

tl' :: "i => i"
  — A version of tl that's always defined.
  "tl'(xs) == if quaselist(xs) then tl(xs) else 0"

```

`is_hd` :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
 — `hd([]) = 0` no constraints if not a list. Avoiding implication prevents the simplifier's looping.

```
"is_hd(M,xs,H) ==
  (is_Nil(M,xs) --> empty(M,H)) &
  (∀x[M]. ∀l[M]. ~ is_Cons(M,x,l,xs) | H=x) &
  (is_quaselist(M,xs) | empty(M,H))"
```

`is_tl` :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
 — `tl([]) = []`; see comments about `is_hd`

```
"is_tl(M,xs,T) ==
  (is_Nil(M,xs) --> T=xs) &
  (∀x[M]. ∀l[M]. ~ is_Cons(M,x,l,xs) | T=l) &
  (is_quaselist(M,xs) | empty(M,T))"
```

2.9.1 `quaselist`: For Case-Splitting with `list_case'`

```
lemma [iff]: "quaselist(Nil)"
by (simp add: quaselist_def)
```

```
lemma [iff]: "quaselist(Cons(x,l))"
by (simp add: quaselist_def)
```

```
lemma list_imp_quaselist: "l ∈ list(A) ==> quaselist(l)"
by (erule list.cases, simp_all)
```

2.9.2 `list_case'`, the Modified Version of `list_case`

```
lemma list_case'_Nil [simp]: "list_case'(a,b,Nil) = a"
by (simp add: list_case'_def quaselist_def)
```

```
lemma list_case'_Cons [simp]: "list_case'(a,b,Cons(x,l)) = b(x,l)"
by (simp add: list_case'_def quaselist_def)
```

```
lemma non_list_case: "~ quaselist(x) ==> list_case'(a,b,x) = 0"
by (simp add: quaselist_def list_case'_def)
```

```
lemma list_case'_eq_list_case [simp]:
  "xs ∈ list(A) ==> list_case'(a,b,xs) = list_case(a,b,xs)"
by (erule list.cases, simp_all)
```

```
lemma (in M_basic) list_case'_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|M(k); M(a); ∀x[M]. ∀y[M]. M(b(x,y))|] ==> M(list_case'(a,b,k))"
apply (case_tac "quaselist(k)")
  apply (simp add: quaselist_def, force)
  apply (simp add: non_list_case)
done
```

```
lemma (in M_trivial) quaselist_abs [simp]:
  "M(z) ==> is_quaselist(M,z) <-> quaselist(z)"
```

```

by (auto simp add: is_quaselist_def quaselist_def)

lemma (in M_trivial) list_case_abs [simp]:
  "[| relation2(M,is_b,b); M(k); M(z) |]
   ==> is_list_case(M,a,is_b,k,z) <-> z = list_case'(a,b,k)"
apply (case_tac "quaselist(k)")
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: is_list_case_def non_list_case)
  apply (force simp add: quaselist_def)
  apply (simp add: quaselist_def is_list_case_def)
  apply (elim disjE exE)
  apply (simp_all add: relation2_def)
done

```

2.9.3 The Modified Operators hd' and tl'

```

lemma (in M_trivial) is_hd_Nil: "is_hd(M,[],Z) <-> empty(M,Z)"
by (simp add: is_hd_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) is_hd_Cons:
  "[|M(a); M(l)|] ==> is_hd(M,Cons(a,l),Z) <-> Z = a"
by (force simp add: is_hd_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) hd_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(x); M(y)|] ==> is_hd(M,x,y) <-> y = hd'(x)"
apply (simp add: hd'_def)
apply (intro impI conjI)
  prefer 2 apply (force simp add: is_hd_def)
  apply (simp add: quaselist_def is_hd_def)
  apply (elim disjE exE, auto)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) is_tl_Nil: "is_tl(M,[],Z) <-> Z = []"
by (simp add: is_tl_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) is_tl_Cons:
  "[|M(a); M(l)|] ==> is_tl(M,Cons(a,l),Z) <-> Z = l"
by (force simp add: is_tl_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) tl_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(x); M(y)|] ==> is_tl(M,x,y) <-> y = tl'(x)"
apply (simp add: tl'_def)
apply (intro impI conjI)
  prefer 2 apply (force simp add: is_tl_def)
  apply (simp add: quaselist_def is_tl_def)
  apply (elim disjE exE, auto)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) relation1_tl: "relation1(M, is_tl(M), tl')"

```

```

by (simp add: relation1_def)

lemma hd'_Nil: "hd'([]) = 0"
by (simp add: hd'_def)

lemma hd'_Cons: "hd'(Cons(a,l)) = a"
by (simp add: hd'_def)

lemma tl'_Nil: "tl'([]) = []"
by (simp add: tl'_def)

lemma tl'_Cons: "tl'(Cons(a,l)) = l"
by (simp add: tl'_def)

lemma iterates_tl_Nil: "n ∈ nat ==> tl'^n ([]) = []"
apply (induct_tac n)
apply (simp_all add: tl'_Nil)
done

lemma (in M_basic) tl'_closed: "M(x) ==> M(tl'(x))"
apply (simp add: tl'_def)
apply (force simp add: quasilist_def)
done

end

```

3 Relativized Wellorderings

theory *Wellorderings* imports *Relative* begin

We define functions analogous to *ordermap ordertype* but without using recursion. Instead, there is a direct appeal to Replacement. This will be the basis for a version relativized to some class *M*. The main result is Theorem I 7.6 in Kunen, page 17.

3.1 Wellorderings

constdefs

```

irreflexive :: "[i=>o,i,i]=>o"
  "irreflexive(M,A,r) == ∀x[M]. x∈A --> <x,x> ∉ r"

transitive_rel :: "[i=>o,i,i]=>o"
  "transitive_rel(M,A,r) ==
    ∀x[M]. x∈A --> (∀y[M]. y∈A --> (∀z[M]. z∈A -->
      <x,y>∈r --> <y,z>∈r --> <x,z>∈r))"

```

```

linear_rel :: "[i=>o,i,i]=>o"
"linear_rel(M,A,r) ==
  ∀x[M]. x∈A --> (∀y[M]. y∈A --> <x,y>∈r | x=y | <y,x>∈r)"

wellfounded :: "[i=>o,i,i]=>o"
— EVERY non-empty set has an r-minimal element
"wellfounded(M,r) ==
  ∀x[M]. x≠0 --> (∃y[M]. y∈x & ~ (∃z[M]. z∈x & <z,y> ∈ r))"
wellfounded_on :: "[i=>o,i,i,i]=>o"
— every non-empty SUBSET OF A has an r-minimal element
"wellfounded_on(M,A,r) ==
  ∀x[M]. x≠0 --> x⊆A --> (∃y[M]. y∈x & ~ (∃z[M]. z∈x & <z,y>
∈ r))"

wellordered :: "[i=>o,i,i,i]=>o"
— linear and wellfounded on A
"wellordered(M,A,r) ==
  transitive_rel(M,A,r) & linear_rel(M,A,r) & wellfounded_on(M,A,r)"

```

3.1.1 Trivial absoluteness proofs

```

lemma (in M_basic) irreflexive_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> irreflexive(M,A,r) <-> irrefl(A,r)"
by (simp add: irreflexive_def irrefl_def)

lemma (in M_basic) transitive_rel_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> transitive_rel(M,A,r) <-> trans[A](r)"
by (simp add: transitive_rel_def trans_on_def)

lemma (in M_basic) linear_rel_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> linear_rel(M,A,r) <-> linear(A,r)"
by (simp add: linear_rel_def linear_def)

lemma (in M_basic) wellordered_is_trans_on:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A) |] ==> trans[A](r)"
by (auto simp add: wellordered_def)

lemma (in M_basic) wellordered_is_linear:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A) |] ==> linear(A,r)"
by (auto simp add: wellordered_def)

lemma (in M_basic) wellordered_is_wellfounded_on:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A) |] ==> wellfounded_on(M,A,r)"
by (auto simp add: wellordered_def)

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_imp_wellfounded_on:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(A) |] ==> wellfounded_on(M,A,r)"
by (auto simp add: wellfounded_def wellfounded_on_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_subset_A:
  "[| wellfounded_on(M,A,r); B<=A |] ==> wellfounded_on(M,B,r)"
by (simp add: wellfounded_on_def, blast)

```

3.1.2 Well-founded relations

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_iff_wellfounded:
  "wellfounded_on(M,A,r) <-> wellfounded(M, r ∩ A*A)"
apply (simp add: wellfounded_on_def wellfounded_def, safe)
  apply force
apply (drule_tac x=x in rspec, assumption, blast)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_imp_wellfounded:
  "[|wellfounded_on(M,A,r); r ⊆ A*A|] ==> wellfounded(M,r)"
by (simp add: wellfounded_on_iff_wellfounded subset_Int_iff)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_field_imp_wellfounded:
  "wellfounded_on(M, field(r), r) ==> wellfounded(M,r)"
by (simp add: wellfounded_def wellfounded_on_iff_wellfounded, fast)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_iff_wellfounded_on_field:
  "M(r) ==> wellfounded(M,r) <-> wellfounded_on(M, field(r), r)"
by (blast intro: wellfounded_imp_wellfounded_on
  wellfounded_on_field_imp_wellfounded)

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_induct:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(a); M(r); separation(M, λx. ~P(x));
  ∀x. M(x) & (∀y. <y,x> ∈ r --> P(y)) --> P(x) |]
  ==> P(a)"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) add: wellfounded_def)
apply (drule_tac x="{z ∈ domain(r). ~P(z)}" in rspec)
apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_induct:
  "[| a∈A; wellfounded_on(M,A,r); M(A);
  separation(M, λx. x∈A --> ~P(x));
  ∀x∈A. M(x) & (∀y∈A. <y,x> ∈ r --> P(y)) --> P(x) |]
  ==> P(a)"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) add: wellfounded_on_def)
apply (drule_tac x="{z∈A. z∈A --> ~P(z)}" in rspec)
apply (blast intro: transM)+
done

```

3.1.3 Kunen's lemma IV 3.14, page 123

```

lemma (in M_basic) linear_imp_relativized:
  "linear(A,r) ==> linear_rel(M,A,r)"

```

```

by (simp add: linear_def linear_rel_def)

lemma (in M_basic) trans_on_imp_relativized:
  "trans[A](r) ==> transitive_rel(M,A,r)"
by (unfold transitive_rel_def trans_on_def, blast)

lemma (in M_basic) wf_on_imp_relativized:
  "wf[A](r) ==> wellfounded_on(M,A,r)"
apply (simp add: wellfounded_on_def wf_def wf_on_def, clarify)
apply (drule_tac x=x in spec, blast)
done

lemma (in M_basic) wf_imp_relativized:
  "wf(r) ==> wellfounded(M,r)"
apply (simp add: wellfounded_def wf_def, clarify)
apply (drule_tac x=x in spec, blast)
done

lemma (in M_basic) well_ord_imp_relativized:
  "well_ord(A,r) ==> wellordered(M,A,r)"
by (simp add: wellordered_def well_ord_def tot_ord_def part_ord_def
  linear_imp_relativized trans_on_imp_relativized wf_on_imp_relativized)

```

3.2 Relativized versions of order-isomorphisms and order types

```

lemma (in M_basic) order_isomorphism_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(B); M(f) |]
  ==> order_isomorphism(M,A,r,B,s,f) <-> f ∈ ord_iso(A,r,B,s)"
by (simp add: apply_closed order_isomorphism_def ord_iso_def)

lemma (in M_basic) pred_set_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(B) |] ==> pred_set(M,A,x,r,B) <-> B = Order.pred(A,x,r)"
apply (simp add: pred_set_def Order.pred_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_basic) pred_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(r); M(x) |] ==> M(Order.pred(A,x,r))"
apply (simp add: Order.pred_def)
apply (insert pred_separation [of r x], simp)
done

lemma (in M_basic) membership_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(A) |] ==> membership(M,A,r) <-> r = Memrel(A)"
apply (simp add: membership_def Memrel_def, safe)
  apply (rule equalityI)
  apply clarify
  apply (frule transM, assumption)

```

```

    apply blast
  apply clarify
  apply (subgoal_tac "M(<xb,ya>)", blast)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) M_Memrel_iff:
  "M(A) ==>
  Memrel(A) = {z ∈ A*A. ∃x[M]. ∃y[M]. z = ⟨x,y⟩ & x ∈ y}"
  apply (simp add: Memrel_def)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) Memrel_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(A) ==> M(Memrel(A))"
  apply (simp add: M_Memrel_iff)
  apply (insert Memrel_separation, simp)
done

```

3.3 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6

Subset properties– proved outside the locale

```

lemma linear_rel_subset:
  "[| linear_rel(M,A,r); B<=A |] ==> linear_rel(M,B,r)"
  by (unfold linear_rel_def, blast)

```

```

lemma transitive_rel_subset:
  "[| transitive_rel(M,A,r); B<=A |] ==> transitive_rel(M,B,r)"
  by (unfold transitive_rel_def, blast)

```

```

lemma wellfounded_on_subset:
  "[| wellfounded_on(M,A,r); B<=A |] ==> wellfounded_on(M,B,r)"
  by (unfold wellfounded_on_def subset_def, blast)

```

```

lemma wellordered_subset:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); B<=A |] ==> wellordered(M,B,r)"
  apply (unfold wellordered_def)
  apply (blast intro: linear_rel_subset transitive_rel_subset
    wellfounded_on_subset)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_on_asym:
  "[| wellfounded_on(M,A,r); <a,x>∈r; a∈A; x∈A; M(A) |] ==> <x,a>∉r"
  apply (simp add: wellfounded_on_def)
  apply (drule_tac x="{x,a}" in rspec)
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellordered_asym:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); <a,x>∈r; a∈A; x∈A; M(A) |] ==> <x,a>∉r"
by (simp add: wellordered_def, blast dest: wellfounded_on_asym)

end

```

4 Relativized Well-Founded Recursion

theory *WFrec* imports *Wellorderings* begin

4.1 General Lemmas

```

lemma apply_recfun2:
  "[| is_recfun(r,a,H,f); <x,i>:f |] ==> i = H(x, restrict(f,r-``{x}))"
apply (frule apply_recfun)
  apply (blast dest: is_recfun_type fun_is_rel)
apply (simp add: function_apply_equality [OF _ is_recfun_imp_function])
done

```

Expresses *is_recfun* as a recursion equation

```

lemma is_recfun_iff_equation:
  "is_recfun(r,a,H,f) <->
    f ∈ r -`` {a} → range(f) &
    (∀x ∈ r -`` {a}. f'x = H(x, restrict(f, r-``{x})))"
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (simp add: is_recfun_type apply_recfun Ball_def vimage_singleton_iff,
    clarify)
apply (simp add: is_recfun_def)
apply (rule fun_extension)
  apply assumption
  apply (fast intro: lam_type, simp)
done

```

```

lemma is_recfun_imp_in_r: "[|is_recfun(r,a,H,f); <x,i> ∈ f|] ==> <x,
a> ∈ r"
by (blast dest: is_recfun_type fun_is_rel)

```

```

lemma trans_Int_eq:
  "[| trans(r); <y,x> ∈ r |] ==> r -`` {x} ∩ r -`` {y} = r -`` {y}"
by (blast intro: transD)

```

```

lemma is_recfun_restrict_idem:
  "is_recfun(r,a,H,f) ==> restrict(f, r -`` {a}) = f"
apply (drule is_recfun_type)
apply (auto simp add: Pi_iff subset_Sigma_imp_relation restrict_idem)

done

```

```

lemma is_recfun_cong_lemma:
  "[| is_recfun(r,a,H,f); r = r'; a = a'; f = f';
    !!x g. [| <x,a'> ∈ r'; relation(g); domain(g) <= r' -''{x} |]
    ==> H(x,g) = H'(x,g) |]
  ==> is_recfun(r',a',H',f')"
apply (simp add: is_recfun_def)
apply (erule trans)
apply (rule lam_cong)
apply (simp_all add: vimage_singleton_iff Int_lower2)
done

```

For *is_recfun* we need only pay attention to functions whose domains are initial segments of *r*.

```

lemma is_recfun_cong:
  "[| r = r'; a = a'; f = f';
    !!x g. [| <x,a'> ∈ r'; relation(g); domain(g) <= r' -''{x} |]
    ==> H(x,g) = H'(x,g) |]
  ==> is_recfun(r,a,H,f) <-> is_recfun(r',a',H',f')"
apply (rule iffI)

```

Messy: fast and blast don't work for some reason

```

apply (erule is_recfun_cong_lemma, auto)
apply (erule is_recfun_cong_lemma)
apply (blast intro: sym)+
done

```

4.2 Reworking of the Recursion Theory Within *M*

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_separation':
  "[| f ∈ r -'' {a} → range(f); g ∈ r -'' {b} → range(g);
    M(r); M(f); M(g); M(a); M(b) |]
  ==> separation(M, λx. ¬ ((x, a) ∈ r → (x, b) ∈ r → f ' x = g
    ' x))"
apply (insert is_recfun_separation [of r f g a b])
apply (simp add: vimage_singleton_iff)
done

```

Stated using *trans(r)* rather than *transitive_rel(M, A, r)* because the latter rewrites to the former anyway, by *transitive_rel_abs*. As always, theorems should be expressed in simplified form. The last three *M*-premises are redundant because of *M(r)*, but without them we'd have to undertake more work to set up the induction formula.

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_equal [rule_format]:
  "[| is_recfun(r,a,H,f); is_recfun(r,b,H,g);
    wellfounded(M,r); trans(r);
    M(f); M(g); M(r); M(x); M(a); M(b) |]
  ==> <x,a> ∈ r --> <x,b> ∈ r --> f'x=g'x"

```

```

apply (frule_tac f=f in is_recfun_type)
apply (frule_tac f=g in is_recfun_type)
apply (simp add: is_recfun_def)
apply (erule_tac a=x in wellfounded_induct, assumption+)

```

Separation to justify the induction

```

apply (blast intro: is_recfun_separation')

```

Now the inductive argument itself

```

apply clarify
apply (erule ssubst)+
apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: vimage_singleton_iff restrict_def)
apply (rename_tac x1)
apply (rule_tac t="%z. H(x1,z)" in subst_context)
apply (subgoal_tac " $\forall y \in r - \{x1\}. \text{ALL } z. \langle y, z \rangle \in f \leftrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle \in g$ ")
  apply (blast intro: transD)
apply (simp add: apply_iff)
apply (blast intro: transD sym)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_cut:
  "[| is_recfun(r,a,H,f); is_recfun(r,b,H,g);
    wellfounded(M,r); trans(r);
    M(f); M(g); M(r);  $\langle b,a \rangle \in r$  |]
  ==> restrict(f, r - {b}) = g"
apply (frule_tac f=f in is_recfun_type)
apply (rule fun_extension)
apply (blast intro: transD restrict_type2)
apply (erule is_recfun_type, simp)
apply (blast intro: is_recfun_equal transD dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_functional:
  "[| is_recfun(r,a,H,f); is_recfun(r,a,H,g);
    wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(f); M(g); M(r) |] ==> f=g"
apply (rule fun_extension)
apply (erule is_recfun_type)+
apply (blast intro!: is_recfun_equal dest: transM)
done

```

Tells us that `is_recfun` can (in principle) be relativized.

```

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_relativize:
  "[| M(r); M(f);  $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==> is_recfun(r,a,H,f) <->
    ( $\forall z[M]. z \in f \leftrightarrow$ 
      ( $\exists x[M]. \langle x,a \rangle \in r \ \& \ z = \langle x, H(x, \text{restrict}(f, r - \{x\}) \rangle$ ))"
apply (simp add: is_recfun_def lam_def)
apply (safe intro!: equalityI)
  apply (drule equalityD1 [THEN subsetD], assumption)

```

```

  apply (blast dest: pair_components_in_M)
  apply (blast elim!: equalityE dest: pair_components_in_M)
  apply (frule transM, assumption)
  apply simp
  apply blast
  apply (subgoal_tac "is_function(M,f)")

```

We use `is_function` rather than `function` because the subgoal's easier to prove with relativized quantifiers!

```

  prefer 2 apply (simp add: is_function_def)
  apply (frule pair_components_in_M, assumption)
  apply (simp add: is_recfun_imp_function function_restrictI)
  done

```

lemma (in `M_basic`) `is_recfun_restrict`:

```

  "[| wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); is_recfun(r,x,H,f); ⟨y,x⟩ ∈ r;
    M(r); M(f);
    ∀x[M]. ∀g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g)) |]
    ==> is_recfun(r, y, H, restrict(f, r -'' {y}))"
  apply (frule pair_components_in_M, assumption, clarify)
  apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: is_recfun_relativize restrict_iff
    trans_Int_eq)
  apply safe
  apply (simp_all add: vimage_singleton_iff is_recfun_type [THEN apply_iff])

  apply (frule_tac x=xa in pair_components_in_M, assumption)
  apply (frule_tac x=xa in apply_recfun, blast intro: transD)
  apply (simp add: is_recfun_type [THEN apply_iff]
    is_recfun_imp_function function_restrictI)
  apply (blast intro: apply_recfun dest: transD)
  done

```

lemma (in `M_basic`) `restrict_Y_lemma`:

```

  "[| wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r);
    ∀x[M]. ∀g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g)); M(Y);
    ∀b[M].
      b ∈ Y <->
        (∃x[M]. ⟨x,a1⟩ ∈ r &
          (∃y[M]. b = ⟨x,y⟩ & (∃g[M]. is_recfun(r,x,H,g) ∧ y = H(x,g)))));
    ⟨x,a1⟩ ∈ r; is_recfun(r,x,H,f); M(f) |]
    ==> restrict(Y, r -'' {x}) = f"
  apply (subgoal_tac "∀y ∈ r -'' {x}. ∀z. ⟨y,z⟩:Y <-> ⟨y,z⟩:f")
  apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: restrict_def)
  apply (thin_tac "rall(M,?P)" + — essential for efficiency)
  apply (frule is_recfun_type [THEN fun_is_rel], blast)
  apply (frule pair_components_in_M, assumption, clarify)
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (frule_tac y="⟨y,z⟩" in transM, assumption)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: vimage_singleton_iff is_recfun_type [THEN apply_iff])

```

`apply_recfun is_recfun_cut)`

Opposite inclusion: something in f, show in Y

```
apply (frule_tac y="<y,z>" in transM, assumption)
apply (simp add: vimage_singleton_iff)
apply (rule conjI)
  apply (blast dest: transD)
apply (rule_tac x="restrict(f, r - '{y})" in rexI)
apply (simp_all add: is_recfun_restrict
              apply_recfun is_recfun_type [THEN apply_iff])
done
```

For typical applications of Replacement for recursive definitions

```
lemma (in M_basic) univalent_is_recfun:
  "[|wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r)|]
  ==> univalent (M, A,  $\lambda x p.$ 
     $\exists y[M]. p = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ (\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r, x, H, f) \ \& \ y = H(x, f))$ )"
apply (simp add: univalent_def)
apply (blast dest: is_recfun_functional)
done
```

Proof of the inductive step for `exists_is_recfun`, since we must prove two versions.

```
lemma (in M_basic) exists_is_recfun_indstep:
  "[| $\forall y. \langle y, a1 \rangle \in r \ \rightarrow (\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r, y, H, f));$ 
  wellfounded(M,r); trans(r); M(r); M(a1);
  strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z.$ 
     $\exists y[M]. \exists g[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \ \& \ \text{is\_recfun}(r, x, H, g) \ \& \ y =$ 
  H(x,g));
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \ \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r, a1, H, f)$ "
apply (drule_tac A="r - '{a1}" in strong_replacementD)
  apply blast
```

Discharge the "univalent" obligation of Replacement

```
  apply (simp add: univalent_is_recfun)
```

Show that the constructed object satisfies `is_recfun`

```
apply clarify
apply (rule_tac x=Y in rexI)
```

Unfold only the top-level occurrence of `is_recfun`

```
apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: is_recfun_relativize [of concl: _ a1])
```

The big iff-formula defining Y is now redundant

```
apply safe
  apply (simp add: vimage_singleton_iff restrict_Y_lemma [of r H _ a1])
```

one more case

```

apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: Bex_def vimage_singleton_iff)
apply (drule_tac x1=x in spec [THEN mp], assumption, clarify)
apply (rename_tac f)
apply (rule_tac x=f in rexI)
apply (simp_all add: restrict_Y_lemma [of r H])

```

FIXME: should not be needed!

```

apply (subst restrict_Y_lemma [of r H])
apply (simp add: vimage_singleton_iff)+
apply blast+
done

```

Relativized version, when we have the (currently weaker) premise `wellfounded(M, r)`

```

lemma (in M_basic) wellfounded_exists_is_recfun:
  "[|wellfounded(M,r); trans(r);
    separation(M,  $\lambda x. \sim (\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r, x, H, f))$ );
    strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists g[M]. \text{pair}(M,x,y,z) \ \& \ \text{is\_recfun}(r,x,H,g) \ \& \ y = H(x,g)$ );

    M(r); M(a);
     $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \ \rightarrow \ M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r,a,H,f)$ "
apply (rule wellfounded_induct, assumption+, clarify)
apply (rule exists_is_recfun_indstep, assumption+)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) wf_exists_is_recfun [rule_format]:
  "[|wf(r); trans(r); M(r);
    strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists g[M]. \text{pair}(M,x,y,z) \ \& \ \text{is\_recfun}(r,x,H,g) \ \& \ y = H(x,g)$ );

     $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \ \rightarrow \ M(H(x,g))$  |]
  ==>  $M(a) \ \rightarrow \ (\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r,a,H,f))$ "
apply (rule wf_induct, assumption+)
apply (frule wf_imp_relativized)
apply (intro impI)
apply (rule exists_is_recfun_indstep)
apply (blast dest: transM del: rev_rallE, assumption+)
done

```

4.3 Relativization of the ZF Predicate `is_recfun`

constdefs

```

M_is_recfun :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o"
"M_is_recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) ==
 $\forall z[M]. z \in f \ \leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \exists xa[M]. \exists sx[M]. \exists r\_sx[M]. \exists f\_r\_sx[M].$ 
     $\text{pair}(M,x,y,z) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M,x,a,xa) \ \& \ \text{upair}(M,x,x,sx) \ \&$ 

```

```

pre_image(M,r,sx,r_sx) & restriction(M,f,r_sx,f_r_sx) &
  xa ∈ r & MH(x, f_r_sx, y))"

is_wfrec :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o"
"is_wfrec(M,MH,r,a,z) ==
  ∃ f[M]. M_is_recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) & MH(a,f,z)"

wfrec_replacement :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i] => o"
"wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r) ==
  strong_replacement(M,
    λx z. ∃ y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) & is_wfrec(M,MH,r,x,y))"

lemma (in M_basic) is_recfun_abs:
  "[| ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g)); M(r); M(a); M(f);
    relation2(M,MH,H) |]
  ==> M_is_recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) <-> is_recfun(r,a,H,f)"
apply (simp add: M_is_recfun_def relation2_def is_recfun_relativize)
apply (rule rall_cong)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma M_is_recfun_cong [cong]:
  "[| r = r'; a = a'; f = f';
    !!x g y. [| M(x); M(g); M(y) |] ==> MH(x,g,y) <-> MH'(x,g,y) |]
  ==> M_is_recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) <-> M_is_recfun(M,MH',r',a',f')"
by (simp add: M_is_recfun_def)

lemma (in M_basic) is_wfrec_abs:
  "[| ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g));
    relation2(M,MH,H); M(r); M(a); M(z) |]
  ==> is_wfrec(M,MH,r,a,z) <->
    (∃ g[M]. is_recfun(r,a,H,g) & z = H(a,g))"
by (simp add: is_wfrec_def relation2_def is_recfun_abs)

Relating wfrec_replacement to native constructs

lemma (in M_basic) wfrec_replacement':
  "[|wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r);
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g));
  relation2(M,MH,H); M(r) |]
  ==> strong_replacement(M, λx z. ∃ y[M].
    pair(M,x,y,z) & (∃ g[M]. is_recfun(r,x,H,g) & y = H(x,g)))"
by (simp add: wfrec_replacement_def is_wfrec_abs)

lemma wfrec_replacement_cong [cong]:
  "[| !!x y z. [| M(x); M(y); M(z) |] ==> MH(x,y,z) <-> MH'(x,y,z);
  r=r' |]
  ==> wfrec_replacement(M, %x y. MH(x,y), r) <->
    wfrec_replacement(M, %x y. MH'(x,y), r')"

```

by (simp add: is_wfrec_def wfrec_replacement_def)

end

5 Absoluteness of Well-Founded Recursion

theory *WF_absolute* imports *WFrec* begin

5.1 Transitive closure without fixedpoints

constdefs

```
rtrancl_alt :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "rtrancl_alt(A,r) ==
   {p ∈ A*A. ∃n∈nat. ∃f ∈ succ(n) -> A.
    (∃x y. p = <x,y> & f'0 = x & f'n = y) &
    (∀i∈n. <f'i, f'succ(i)> ∈ r)}"
```

lemma *alt_rtrancl_lemma1* [rule_format]:

```
"n ∈ nat
 ==> ∀f ∈ succ(n) -> field(r).
    (∀i∈n. <f'i, f ' succ(i)> ∈ r) --> <f'0, f'n> ∈ r^*"
```

apply (induct_tac n)

apply (simp_all add: apply_funtype rtrancl_refl, clarify)

apply (rename_tac n f)

apply (rule rtrancl_into_rtrancl)

prefer 2 apply assumption

apply (drule_tac x="restrict(f,succ(n))" in bspec)

apply (blast intro: restrict_type2)

apply (simp add: Ord_succ_mem_iff nat_0_le [THEN ltD] leI [THEN ltD] ltI)

done

lemma *rtrancl_alt_subset_rtrancl*: "rtrancl_alt(field(r),r) <= r^*"

apply (simp add: rtrancl_alt_def)

apply (blast intro: alt_rtrancl_lemma1)

done

lemma *rtrancl_subset_rtrancl_alt*: "r^* <= rtrancl_alt(field(r),r)"

apply (simp add: rtrancl_alt_def, clarify)

apply (frule rtrancl_type [THEN subsetD], clarify, simp)

apply (erule rtrancl_induct)

Base case, trivial

apply (rule_tac x=0 in bexI)

apply (rule_tac x="lam x:1. xa" in bexI)

apply simp_all

Inductive step

```
apply clarify
apply (rename_tac n f)
apply (rule_tac x="succ(n)" in bexI)
  apply (rule_tac x="lam i:succ(succ(n)). if i=succ(n) then z else f`i"
in bexI)
  apply (simp add: Ord_succ_mem_iff nat_0_le [THEN ltD] leI [THEN ltD]
ltI)
  apply (blast intro: mem_asym)
  apply typecheck
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma rtrancl_alt_eq_rtrancl: "rtrancl_alt(field(r),r) = r^*"
by (blast del: subsetI
intro: rtrancl_alt_subset_rtrancl rtrancl_subset_rtrancl_alt)
```

constdefs

```
rtran_closure_mem :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
— The property of belonging to rtran_closure(r)
"rtran_closure_mem(M,A,r,p) ==
   $\exists$  nnat[M].  $\exists$  n[M].  $\exists$  n'[M].
  omega(M,nnat) & n ∈ nnat & successor(M,n,n') &
  ( $\exists$  f[M]. typed_function(M,n',A,f) &
  ( $\exists$  x[M].  $\exists$  y[M].  $\exists$  zero[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & empty(M,zero)
&
  fun_apply(M,f,zero,x) & fun_apply(M,f,n,y)) &
  ( $\forall$  j[M]. j ∈ n -->
  ( $\exists$  fj[M].  $\exists$  sj[M].  $\exists$  fsj[M].  $\exists$  ffp[M].
  fun_apply(M,f,j,fj) & successor(M,j,sj) &
  fun_apply(M,f,sj,fsj) & pair(M,fj,fsj,ffp) & ffp
∈ r)))"
```

```
rtran_closure :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
"rtran_closure(M,r,s) ==
   $\forall$  A[M]. is_field(M,r,A) -->
  ( $\forall$  p[M]. p ∈ s <-> rtran_closure_mem(M,A,r,p))"
```

```
tran_closure :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
"tran_closure(M,r,t) ==
   $\exists$  s[M]. rtran_closure(M,r,s) & composition(M,r,s,t)"
```

```
lemma (in M_basic) rtran_closure_mem_iff:
  "[M(A); M(r); M(p)]
  ==> rtran_closure_mem(M,A,r,p) <->
  ( $\exists$  n[M]. n ∈ nat &
  ( $\exists$  f[M]. f ∈ succ(n) -> A &
```

```

      ( $\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. p = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ f'0 = x \ \& \ f'n = y \ \& \ (\forall i \in n. \langle f'i, f'succ(i) \rangle \in r)$ )"
by (simp add: rtran_closure_mem_def Ord_succ_mem_iff nat_0_le [THEN ltD])

```

```

locale M_trancl = M_basic +
  assumes rtrancl_separation:
    "[| M(r); M(A) |] ==> separation (M, rtran_closure_mem(M,A,r))"
  and wellfounded_trancl_separation:
    "[| M(r); M(Z) |] ==>
      separation (M,  $\lambda x.$ 
         $\exists w[M]. \exists wx[M]. \exists rp[M].$ 
           $w \in Z \ \& \ pair(M,w,x,wx) \ \& \ tran\_closure(M,r,rp) \ \& \ wx \in rp$ )"

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) rtran_closure_rtrancl:
  "M(r) ==> rtran_closure(M,r,rtrancl(r))"
apply (simp add: rtran_closure_def rtran_closure_mem_iff
  rtrancl_alt_eq_rtrancl [symmetric] rtrancl_alt_def)
apply (auto simp add: nat_0_le [THEN ltD] apply_funtype)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) rtrancl_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(r) ==> M(rtrancl(r))"
apply (insert rtrancl_separation [of r "field(r)"])
apply (simp add: rtrancl_alt_eq_rtrancl [symmetric]
  rtrancl_alt_def rtran_closure_mem_iff)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) rtrancl_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> rtran_closure(M,r,z) <-> z = rtrancl(r)"
apply (rule iffI)

```

Proving the right-to-left implication

```

  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: rtran_closure_rtrancl)
apply (rule M_equalityI)
apply (simp add: rtran_closure_def rtrancl_alt_eq_rtrancl [symmetric]
  rtrancl_alt_def rtran_closure_mem_iff)
apply (auto simp add: nat_0_le [THEN ltD] apply_funtype)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) trancl_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(r) ==> M(trancl(r))"
by (simp add: trancl_def comp_closed rtrancl_closed)

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) trancl_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(r); M(z) |] ==> tran_closure(M,r,z) <-> z = trancl(r)"
by (simp add: tran_closure_def trancl_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) wellfounded_trancl_separation':
  "[| M(r); M(Z) |] ==> separation (M,  $\lambda x. \exists w[M]. w \in Z \ \& \ \langle w, x \rangle \in r^+$ )"
by (insert wellfounded_trancl_separation [of r Z], simp)

```

Alternative proof of `wf_on_trancl`; inspiration for the relativized version.
Original version is on theory WF.

```

lemma "[| wf[A](r); r-''A <= A |] ==> wf[A](r^+)"
apply (simp add: wf_on_def wf_def)
apply (safe intro!: equalityI)
apply (drule_tac x = "{x∈A.  $\exists w. \langle w, x \rangle \in r^+ \ \& \ w \in Z$ }" in spec)
apply (blast elim: tranclE)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) wellfounded_on_trancl:
  "[| wellfounded_on(M,A,r); r-''A <= A; M(r); M(A) |]
  ==> wellfounded_on(M,A,r^+)"
apply (simp add: wellfounded_on_def)
apply (safe intro!: equalityI)
apply (rename_tac Z x)
apply (subgoal_tac "M({x∈A.  $\exists w[M]. w \in Z \ \& \ \langle w, x \rangle \in r^+$ })")
  prefer 2
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl_separation')
apply (drule_tac x = "{x∈A.  $\exists w[M]. w \in Z \ \& \ \langle w, x \rangle \in r^+$ }" in rspec, safe)
apply (blast dest: transM, simp)
apply (rename_tac y w)
apply (drule_tac x=w in bspec, assumption, clarify)
apply (erule tranclE)
  apply (blast dest: transM)
  apply blast
done

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) wellfounded_trancl:
  "[|wellfounded(M,r); M(r)|] ==> wellfounded(M,r^+)"
apply (simp add: wellfounded_iff_wellfounded_on_field)
apply (rule wellfounded_on_subset_A, erule wellfounded_on_trancl)
  apply blast
  apply (simp_all add: trancl_type [THEN field_rel_subset])
done

```

Absoluteness for wfrec-defined functions.

```

lemma (in M_trancl) wfrec_relativize:
  "[|wf(r); M(a); M(r);
  strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists g[M].$ 
  pair(M,x,y,z) &
  is_recfun(r^+, x,  $\lambda x f. H(x, \text{restrict}(f, r -'' \{x\}), g)$ ) &
  y = H(x, restrict(g, r -'' {x})));
   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \ \rightarrow \ M(H(x,g))$  |]

```

```

==> wfrec(r,a,H) = z <->
  (∃ f[M]. is_recfun(r+, a, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r -“ {x}))),
f) &
  z = H(a,restrict(f,r-“{a})))"
apply (frule wf_trancl)
apply (simp add: wftrec_def wfrec_def, safe)
apply (frule wf_exists_is_recfun
  [of concl: "r+" a "λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r -“ {x}))"]])

  apply (simp_all add: trans_trancl function_restrictI trancl_subset_times)
  apply (clarify, rule_tac x=x in rexI)
  apply (simp_all add: the_recfun_eq trans_trancl trancl_subset_times)
done

```

Assuming r is transitive simplifies the occurrences of H . The premise $\text{relation}(r)$ is necessary before we can replace r^+ by r .

```

theorem (in M_trancl) trans_wfrec_relativize:
  "[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a);
  wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g))|]
  ==> wfrec(r,a,H) = z <-> (∃ f[M]. is_recfun(r,a,H,f) & z = H(a,f))"

apply (frule wfrec_replacement', assumption+)
apply (simp cong: is_recfun_cong
  add: wfrec_relativize trancl_eq_r
  is_recfun_restrict_idem domain_restrict_idem)
done

```

```

theorem (in M_trancl) trans_wfrec_abs:
  "[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a); M(z);
  wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g))|]
  ==> is_wfrec(M,MH,r,a,z) <-> z=wfrec(r,a,H)"
by (simp add: trans_wfrec_relativize [THEN iff_sym] is_wfrec_abs, blast)

```

```

lemma (in M_trancl) trans_eq_pair_wfrec_iff:
  "[|wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(y);
  wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
  ∀ x[M]. ∀ g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g))|]
  ==> y = <x, wfrec(r, x, H)> <->
  (∃ f[M]. is_recfun(r,x,H,f) & y = <x, H(x,f)>)"
apply safe
apply (simp add: trans_wfrec_relativize [THEN iff_sym, of concl: _ x])

```

converse direction

```

apply (rule sym)
apply (simp add: trans_wfrec_relativize, blast)

```

done

5.2 M is closed under well-founded recursion

Lemma with the awkward premise mentioning *wfrec*.

```
lemma (in M_trancl) wfrec_closed_lemma [rule_format]:
  "[/wf(r); M(r);
   strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x y. y = \langle x, wfrec(r, x, H) \rangle$ );
    $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ] ]
  ==> M(a) --> M(wfrec(r,a,H))"
apply (rule_tac a=a in wf_induct, assumption+)
apply (subst wfrec, assumption, clarify)
apply (drule_tac x1=x and x=" $\lambda x \in r. \{x\}. wfrec(r, x, H)$ "
  in rspec [THEN rspec])
apply (simp_all add: function_lam)
apply (blast intro: lam_closed dest: pair_components_in_M)
done
```

Eliminates one instance of replacement.

```
lemma (in M_trancl) wfrec_replacement_iff:
  "strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z.
   \exists y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) \ \& \ (\exists g[M]. is\_recfun(r,x,H,g) \ \& \ y = H(x,g))$ )
<->
  strong_replacement(M,
   $\lambda x y. \exists f[M]. is\_recfun(r,x,H,f) \ \& \ y = \langle x, H(x,f) \rangle$ )"
apply simp
apply (rule strong_replacement_cong, blast)
done
```

Useful version for transitive relations

```
theorem (in M_trancl) trans_wfrec_closed:
  "[/wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a);
   wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
    $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ] ]
  ==> M(wfrec(r,a,H))"
apply (frule wfrec_replacement', assumption+)
apply (frule wfrec_replacement_iff [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule wfrec_closed_lemma, assumption+)
apply (simp_all add: wfrec_replacement_iff trans_eq_pair_wfrec_iff)
done
```

5.3 Absoluteness without assuming transitivity

```
lemma (in M_trancl) eq_pair_wfrec_iff:
  "[/wf(r); M(r); M(y);
   strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists g[M].
   pair(M,x,y,z) \ \&
   is\_recfun(r^+, x, \lambda x f. H(x, restrict(f, r -\{x\}))$ ), g) \ \&
```

```

      y = H(x, restrict(g, r -'' {x}));
    ∀x[M]. ∀g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g)) |]
  ==> y = <x, wfrec(r, x, H)> <->
    (∃f[M]. is_recfun(r^+, x, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r -'' {x})),
f) &
      y = <x, H(x, restrict(f, r -'' {x}))>)"
  apply safe
  apply (simp add: wfrec_relativize [THEN iff_sym, of concl: _ x])

converse direction
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp add: wfrec_relativize, blast)
done

```

Full version not assuming transitivity, but maybe not very useful.

```

theorem (in M_trancl) wfrec_closed:
  "[|wf(r); M(r); M(a);
  wfrec_replacement(M,MH,r^+);
  relation2(M,MH, λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r -'' {x})));
  ∀x[M]. ∀g[M]. function(g) --> M(H(x,g)) |]
  ==> M(wfrec(r,a,H))"
  apply (frule wfrec_replacement'
    [of MH "r^+" "λx f. H(x, restrict(f, r -'' {x}))"])
  prefer 4
  apply (frule wfrec_replacement_iff [THEN iffD1])
  apply (rule wfrec_closed_lemma, assumption+)
  apply (simp_all add: eq_pair_wfrec_iff func.function_restrictI)
done

end

```

6 Absoluteness Properties for Recursive Datatypes

```
theory Datatype_absolute imports Formula WF_absolute begin
```

6.1 The lfp of a continuous function can be expressed as a union

```

constdefs
  directed :: "i=>o"
    "directed(A) == A≠0 & (∀x∈A. ∀y∈A. x ∪ y ∈ A)"

  contin :: "(i=>i) => o"
    "contin(h) == (∀A. directed(A) --> h(∪A) = (∪X∈A. h(X)))"

lemma bnd_mono_iterates_subset: "[|bnd_mono(D, h); n ∈ nat|] ==> h^n
(0) <= D"

```

```

apply (induct_tac n)
  apply (simp_all add: bnd_mono_def, blast)
done

lemma bnd_mono_increasing [rule_format]:
  "[|i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; bnd_mono(D,h)|] ==> i ≤ j --> hi(0) ⊆ hj(0)"
apply (rule_tac m=i and n=j in diff_induct, simp_all)
apply (blast del: subsetI
        intro: bnd_mono_iterates_subset bnd_monoD2 [of concl: h])

done

lemma directed_iterates: "bnd_mono(D,h) ==> directed({hn(0). n∈nat})"
apply (simp add: directed_def, clarify)
apply (rename_tac i j)
apply (rule_tac x="i ∪ j" in bexI)
apply (rule_tac i = i and j = j in Ord_linear_le)
apply (simp_all add: subset_Un_iff [THEN iffD1] le_imp_subset
                subset_Un_iff2 [THEN iffD1])
apply (simp_all add: subset_Un_iff [THEN iff_sym] bnd_mono_increasing
                subset_Un_iff2 [THEN iff_sym])

done

lemma contin_iterates_eq:
  "[|bnd_mono(D, h); contin(h)|]
  ==> h(⋃n∈nat. hn(0)) = (⋃n∈nat. hn(0))"
apply (simp add: contin_def directed_iterates)
apply (rule trans)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (simp_all add: UN_subset_iff)
  apply safe
  apply (erule_tac [2] natE)
  apply (rule_tac a="succ(x)" in UN_I)
  apply simp_all
apply blast
done

lemma lfp_subset_Union:
  "[|bnd_mono(D, h); contin(h)|] ==> lfp(D,h) ≤ (⋃n∈nat. hn(0))"
apply (rule lfp_lowerbound)
  apply (simp add: contin_iterates_eq)
apply (simp add: contin_def bnd_mono_iterates_subset UN_subset_iff)
done

lemma Union_subset_lfp:
  "bnd_mono(D,h) ==> (⋃n∈nat. hn(0)) ≤ lfp(D,h)"
apply (simp add: UN_subset_iff)
apply (rule ballI)

```

```

apply (induct_tac n, simp_all)
apply (rule subset_trans [of _ "h(lfp(D,h))"])
  apply (blast dest: bnd_monoD2 [OF _ _ lfp_subset])
apply (erule lfp_lemma2)
done

```

```

lemma lfp_eq_Union:
  "[|bnd_mono(D, h); contin(h)|] ==> lfp(D,h) = (⋃n∈nat. h^n(0))"
by (blast del: subsetI
    intro: lfp_subset_Union Union_subset_lfp)

```

6.1.1 Some Standard Datatype Constructions Preserve Continuity

```

lemma contin_imp_mono: "[|X⊆Y; contin(F)|] ==> F(X) ⊆ F(Y)"
apply (simp add: contin_def)
apply (drule_tac x="{X,Y}" in spec)
apply (simp add: directed_def subset_Un_iff2 Un_commute)
done

```

```

lemma sum_contin: "[|contin(F); contin(G)|] ==> contin(λX. F(X) + G(X))"
by (simp add: contin_def, blast)

```

```

lemma prod_contin: "[|contin(F); contin(G)|] ==> contin(λX. F(X) * G(X))"

```

```

apply (subgoal_tac "∀B C. F(B) ⊆ F(B ∪ C)")
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: Un_upper1 contin_imp_mono)
apply (subgoal_tac "∀B C. G(C) ⊆ G(B ∪ C)")
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: Un_upper2 contin_imp_mono)
apply (simp add: contin_def, clarify)
apply (rule equalityI)
  prefer 2 apply blast
apply clarify
apply (rename_tac B C)
apply (rule_tac a="B ∪ C" in UN_I)
  apply (simp add: directed_def, blast)
done

```

```

lemma const_contin: "contin(λX. A)"
by (simp add: contin_def directed_def)

```

```

lemma id_contin: "contin(λX. X)"
by (simp add: contin_def)

```

6.2 Absoluteness for "Iterates"

constdefs

```

iterates_MH :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i, i, i] => o"
  "iterates_MH(M,isF,v,n,g,z) ==

```

```

is_nat_case(M, v, λm u. ∃gm[M]. fun_apply(M,g,m,gm) & isF(gm,u),
n, z)"

is_iterates :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i, i] => o"
"is_iterates(M,isF,v,n,Z) ==
∃sn[M]. ∃msn[M]. successor(M,n,sn) & membership(M,sn,msn) &
is_wfrec(M, iterates_MH(M,isF,v), msn, n, Z)"

iterates_replacement :: "[i=>o, [i,i]=>o, i] => o"
"iterates_replacement(M,isF,v) ==
∀n[M]. n∈nat -->
wfrec_replacement(M, iterates_MH(M,isF,v), Memrel(succ(n)))"

lemma (in M_basic) iterates_MH_abs:
"[| relation1(M,isF,F); M(n); M(g); M(z) |]
==> iterates_MH(M,isF,v,n,g,z) <-> z = nat_case(v, λm. F(g'm), n)"
by (simp add: nat_case_abs [of _ "λm. F(g ' m)"]
relation1_def iterates_MH_def)

lemma (in M_basic) iterates_imp_wfrec_replacement:
"[|relation1(M,isF,F); n ∈ nat; iterates_replacement(M,isF,v)|]
==> wfrec_replacement(M, λn f z. z = nat_case(v, λm. F(f'm), n),
Memrel(succ(n)))"
by (simp add: iterates_replacement_def iterates_MH_abs)

theorem (in M_trancl) iterates_abs:
"[| iterates_replacement(M,isF,v); relation1(M,isF,F);
n ∈ nat; M(v); M(z); ∀x[M]. M(F(x)) |]
==> is_iterates(M,isF,v,n,z) <-> z = iterates(F,n,v)"
apply (frule iterates_imp_wfrec_replacement, assumption+)
apply (simp add: wf_Memrel trans_Memrel relation_Memrel nat_into_M
is_iterates_def relation2_def iterates_MH_abs
iterates_nat_def recursor_def transrec_def
eclose_sing_Ord_eq nat_into_M
trans_wfrec_abs [of _ _ _ _ "λn g. nat_case(v, λm. F(g'm), n)"])
done

lemma (in M_trancl) iterates_closed [intro,simp]:
"[| iterates_replacement(M,isF,v); relation1(M,isF,F);
n ∈ nat; M(v); ∀x[M]. M(F(x)) |]
==> M(iterates(F,n,v))"
apply (frule iterates_imp_wfrec_replacement, assumption+)
apply (simp add: wf_Memrel trans_Memrel relation_Memrel nat_into_M
relation2_def iterates_MH_abs
iterates_nat_def recursor_def transrec_def
eclose_sing_Ord_eq nat_into_M
trans_wfrec_closed [of _ _ _ _ "λn g. nat_case(v, λm. F(g'm),
n)"])

```

done

6.3 lists without univ

```
lemmas datatype_univs = Inl_in_univ Inr_in_univ
                          Pair_in_univ nat_into_univ A_into_univ
```

```
lemma list_fun_bnd_mono: "bnd_mono(univ(A),  $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X$ )"
apply (rule bnd_monoI)
  apply (intro subset_refl zero_subset_univ A_subset_univ
            sum_subset_univ Sigma_subset_univ)
apply (rule subset_refl sum_mono Sigma_mono | assumption)+
done
```

```
lemma list_fun_contin: "contin( $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X$ )"
by (intro sum_contin prod_contin id_contin const_contin)
```

Re-expresses lists using sum and product

```
lemma list_eq_lfp2: "list(A) = lfp(univ(A),  $\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X$ )"
apply (simp add: list_def)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (rule lfp_lowerbound)
  prefer 2 apply (rule lfp_subset)
  apply (clarify, subst lfp_unfold [OF list_fun_bnd_mono])
  apply (simp add: Nil_def Cons_def)
  apply blast
```

Opposite inclusion

```
apply (rule lfp_lowerbound)
  prefer 2 apply (rule lfp_subset)
apply (clarify, subst lfp_unfold [OF list.bnd_mono])
apply (simp add: Nil_def Cons_def)
apply (blast intro: datatype_univs
            dest: lfp_subset [THEN subsetD])
done
```

Re-expresses lists using "iterates", no univ.

```
lemma list_eq_Union:
  "list(A) = ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat.}} (\lambda X. \{0\} + A*X)^n (0)$ )"
by (simp add: list_eq_lfp2 lfp_eq_Union list_fun_bnd_mono list_fun_contin)
```

constdefs

```
is_list_functor :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_list_functor(M,A,X,Z) ==
   $\exists n1[M]. \exists AX[M].$ 
  number1(M,n1) & cartprod(M,A,X,AX) & is_sum(M,n1,AX,Z)"
```

```
lemma (in M_basic) list_functor_abs [simp]:
```

```

    "[| M(A); M(X); M(Z) |] ==> is_list_functor(M,A,X,Z) <-> (Z = {0}
+ A*X)"
by (simp add: is_list_functor_def singleton_0 nat_into_M)

```

6.4 formulas without univ

```

lemma formula_fun_bnd_mono:
  "bnd_mono(univ(0), λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))"
apply (rule bnd_monoI)
  apply (intro subset_refl zero_subset_univ A_subset_univ
    sum_subset_univ Sigma_subset_univ nat_subset_univ)
apply (rule subset_refl sum_mono Sigma_mono | assumption)+
done

```

```

lemma formula_fun_contin:
  "contin(λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))"
by (intro sum_contin prod_contin id_contin const_contin)

```

Re-expresses formulas using sum and product

```

lemma formula_eq_lfp2:
  "formula = lfp(univ(0), λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X))"
apply (simp add: formula_def)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (rule lfp_lowerbound)
  prefer 2 apply (rule lfp_subset)
  apply (clarify, subst lfp_unfold [OF formula_fun_bnd_mono])
  apply (simp add: Member_def Equal_def Nand_def Forall_def)
  apply blast

```

Opposite inclusion

```

apply (rule lfp_lowerbound)
  prefer 2 apply (rule lfp_subset, clarify)
  apply (subst lfp_unfold [OF formula.bnd_mono, simplified])
  apply (simp add: Member_def Equal_def Nand_def Forall_def)
  apply (elim sumE SigmaE, simp_all)
  apply (blast intro: datatype_univs dest: lfp_subset [THEN subsetD])+
done

```

Re-expresses formulas using "iterates", no univ.

```

lemma formula_eq_Union:
  "formula =
  (⋃ n ∈ nat. (λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X)) ^ n (0))"
by (simp add: formula_eq_lfp2 lfp_eq_Union formula_fun_bnd_mono
  formula_fun_contin)

```

constdefs

```

is_formula_functor :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_formula_functor(M,X,Z) ==

```

```

 $\exists \text{nat}'[M]. \exists \text{natnat}[M]. \exists \text{natnatsum}[M]. \exists \text{XX}[M]. \exists \text{X3}[M].$ 
 $\text{omega}(M, \text{nat}') \ \& \ \text{cartprod}(M, \text{nat}', \text{nat}', \text{natnat}) \ \&$ 
 $\text{is\_sum}(M, \text{natnat}, \text{natnat}, \text{natnatsum}) \ \&$ 
 $\text{cartprod}(M, X, X, \text{XX}) \ \& \ \text{is\_sum}(M, \text{XX}, X, \text{X3}) \ \&$ 
 $\text{is\_sum}(M, \text{natnatsum}, \text{X3}, Z)$ "

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) formula_functor_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(X); M(Z) |]
  ==> is_formula_functor(M,X,Z) <->
  Z = ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X)"
by (simp add: is_formula_functor_def)

```

6.5 M Contains the List and Formula Datatypes

constdefs

```

list_N :: "[i,i] => i"
"list_N(A,n) == ( $\lambda X. \{0\} + A * X$ )^n (0)"

```

```

lemma Nil_in_list_N [simp]: "[| |]  $\in$  list_N(A,succ(n))"
by (simp add: list_N_def Nil_def)

```

```

lemma Cons_in_list_N [simp]:
  "Cons(a,l)  $\in$  list_N(A,succ(n)) <-> a  $\in$  A & l  $\in$  list_N(A,n)"
by (simp add: list_N_def Cons_def)

```

These two aren't simprules because they reveal the underlying list representation.

```

lemma list_N_0: "list_N(A,0) = 0"
by (simp add: list_N_def)

```

```

lemma list_N_succ: "list_N(A,succ(n)) = {0} + A * (list_N(A,n))"
by (simp add: list_N_def)

```

```

lemma list_N_imp_list:
  "[| l  $\in$  list_N(A,n); n  $\in$  nat |] ==> l  $\in$  list(A)"
by (force simp add: list_eq_Union list_N_def)

```

```

lemma list_N_imp_length_lt [rule_format]:
  "n  $\in$  nat ==>  $\forall l \in$  list_N(A,n). length(l) < n"
apply (induct_tac n)
apply (auto simp add: list_N_0 list_N_succ
  Nil_def [symmetric] Cons_def [symmetric])

```

done

```

lemma list_imp_list_N [rule_format]:
  "l  $\in$  list(A) ==>  $\forall n \in$  nat. length(l) < n --> l  $\in$  list_N(A, n)"
apply (induct_tac l)
apply (force elim: natE)+
done

```

```

lemma list_N_imp_eq_length:
  "[|n ∈ nat; l ∉ list_N(A, n); l ∈ list_N(A, succ(n))|]
   => n = length(l)"
apply (rule le_anti_sym)
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: list_N_imp_length_lt)
apply (frule list_N_imp_list, simp)
apply (simp add: not_lt_iff_le [symmetric])
apply (blast intro: list_imp_list_N)
done

```

Express *list_rec* without using *rank* or *Vset*, neither of which is absolute.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) list_rec_eq:
  "l ∈ list(A) ==>
   list_rec(a,g,l) =
   transrec (succ(length(l)),
    λx h. Lambda (list(A),
      list_case' (a,
        λa l. g(a, l, h ' succ(length(l)) ' l)))) '
  l"
apply (induct_tac l)
apply (subst transrec, simp)
apply (subst transrec)
apply (simp add: list_imp_list_N)
done

```

constdefs

```

is_list_N :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_list_N(M,A,n,Z) ==
  ∃ zero[M]. empty(M,zero) &
  is_iterates(M, is_list_functor(M,A), zero, n, Z)"

mem_list :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
"mem_list(M,A,l) ==
  ∃ n[M]. ∃ listn[M].
  finite_ordinal(M,n) & is_list_N(M,A,n,listn) & l ∈ listn"

is_list :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
"is_list(M,A,Z) == ∀ l[M]. l ∈ Z <-> mem_list(M,A,l)"

```

6.5.1 Towards Absoluteness of *formula_rec*

```

consts depth :: "i=>i"
primrec
  "depth(Member(x,y)) = 0"
  "depth(Equal(x,y)) = 0"
  "depth(Nand(p,q)) = succ(depth(p) ∪ depth(q))"
  "depth(Forall(p)) = succ(depth(p))"

```

```
lemma depth_type [TC]: "p ∈ formula ==> depth(p) ∈ nat"
by (induct_tac p, simp_all)
```

```
constdefs
```

```
  formula_N :: "i => i"
  "formula_N(n) == (λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X + X)) ^ n (0)"
```

```
lemma Member_in_formula_N [simp]:
  "Member(x,y) ∈ formula_N(succ(n)) <-> x ∈ nat & y ∈ nat"
by (simp add: formula_N_def Member_def)
```

```
lemma Equal_in_formula_N [simp]:
  "Equal(x,y) ∈ formula_N(succ(n)) <-> x ∈ nat & y ∈ nat"
by (simp add: formula_N_def Equal_def)
```

```
lemma Nand_in_formula_N [simp]:
  "Nand(x,y) ∈ formula_N(succ(n)) <-> x ∈ formula_N(n) & y ∈ formula_N(n)"
by (simp add: formula_N_def Nand_def)
```

```
lemma Forall_in_formula_N [simp]:
  "Forall(x) ∈ formula_N(succ(n)) <-> x ∈ formula_N(n)"
by (simp add: formula_N_def Forall_def)
```

These two aren't simplrules because they reveal the underlying formula representation.

```
lemma formula_N_0: "formula_N(0) = 0"
by (simp add: formula_N_def)
```

```
lemma formula_N_succ:
  "formula_N(succ(n)) =
  ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (formula_N(n) * formula_N(n) + formula_N(n))"
by (simp add: formula_N_def)
```

```
lemma formula_N_imp_formula:
  "[| p ∈ formula_N(n); n ∈ nat |] ==> p ∈ formula"
by (force simp add: formula_eq_Union formula_N_def)
```

```
lemma formula_N_imp_depth_lt [rule_format]:
  "n ∈ nat ==> ∀p ∈ formula_N(n). depth(p) < n"
apply (induct_tac n)
apply (auto simp add: formula_N_0 formula_N_succ
  depth_type formula_N_imp_formula Un_least_lt_iff
  Member_def [symmetric] Equal_def [symmetric]
  Nand_def [symmetric] Forall_def [symmetric])
```

```
done
```

```
lemma formula_imp_formula_N [rule_format]:
  "p ∈ formula ==> ∀n∈nat. depth(p) < n --> p ∈ formula_N(n)"
```

```

apply (induct_tac p)
apply (simp_all add: succ_Un_distrib Un_least_lt_iff)
apply (force elim: natE)+
done

```

```

lemma formula_N_imp_eq_depth:
  "[| n ∈ nat; p ∉ formula_N(n); p ∈ formula_N(succ(n)) |]
  ==> n = depth(p)"
apply (rule le_anti_sym)
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: formula_N_imp_depth_lt)
apply (frule formula_N_imp_formula, simp)
apply (simp add: not_lt_iff_le [symmetric])
apply (blast intro: formula_imp_formula_N)
done

```

This result and the next are unused.

```

lemma formula_N_mono [rule_format]:
  "[| m ∈ nat; n ∈ nat |] ==> m ≤ n --> formula_N(m) ⊆ formula_N(n)"
apply (rule_tac m = m and n = n in diff_induct)
apply (simp_all add: formula_N_0 formula_N_succ, blast)
done

```

```

lemma formula_N_distrib:
  "[| m ∈ nat; n ∈ nat |] ==> formula_N(m ∪ n) = formula_N(m) ∪ formula_N(n)"
apply (rule_tac i = m and j = n in Ord_linear_le, auto)
apply (simp_all add: subset_Un_iff [THEN iffD1] subset_Un_iff2 [THEN iffD1]

          le_imp_subset formula_N_mono)
done

```

```

constdefs
  is_formula_N :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_formula_N(M,n,Z) ==
   ∃ zero[M]. empty(M,zero) &
   is_iterates(M, is_formula_functor(M), zero, n, Z)"

```

```

constdefs

  mem_formula :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "mem_formula(M,p) ==
   ∃ n[M]. ∃ formn[M].
   finite_ordinal(M,n) & is_formula_N(M,n,formn) & p ∈ formn"

  is_formula :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "is_formula(M,Z) == ∀ p[M]. p ∈ Z <-> mem_formula(M,p)"

```

```

locale M_datatypes = M_trancl +
  assumes list_replacement1:

```

```

    "M(A) ==> iterates_replacement(M, is_list_functor(M,A), 0)"
and list_replacement2:
    "M(A) ==> strong_replacement(M,
        λn y. n∈nat & is_iterates(M, is_list_functor(M,A), 0, n, y))"
and formula_replacement1:
    "iterates_replacement(M, is_formula_functor(M), 0)"
and formula_replacement2:
    "strong_replacement(M,
        λn y. n∈nat & is_iterates(M, is_formula_functor(M), 0, n, y))"
and nth_replacement:
    "M(l) ==> iterates_replacement(M, %l t. is_tl(M,l,t), l)"

```

6.5.2 Absoluteness of the List Construction

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) list_replacement2':
    "M(A) ==> strong_replacement(M, λn y. n∈nat & y = (λX. {0} + A * X)^n
    (0))"
apply (insert list_replacement2 [of A])
apply (rule strong_replacement_cong [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule conj_cong [OF iff_refl iterates_abs [of "is_list_functor(M,A)"]])
apply (simp_all add: list_replacement1 relation1_def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) list_closed [intro,simp]:
    "M(A) ==> M(list(A))"
apply (insert list_replacement1)
by (simp add: RepFun_closed2 list_eq_Union
    list_replacement2' relation1_def
    iterates_closed [of "is_list_functor(M,A)"])

```

WARNING: use only with `dest:` or with variables fixed!

```

lemmas (in M_datatypes) list_into_M = transM [OF _ list_closed]

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) list_N_abs [simp]:
    "[|M(A); n∈nat; M(Z)|]
    ==> is_list_N(M,A,n,Z) <-> Z = list_N(A,n)"
apply (insert list_replacement1)
apply (simp add: is_list_N_def list_N_def relation1_def nat_into_M
    iterates_abs [of "is_list_functor(M,A)" _ "λX. {0} +
A*X"])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) list_N_closed [intro,simp]:
    "[|M(A); n∈nat|] ==> M(list_N(A,n))"
apply (insert list_replacement1)
apply (simp add: is_list_N_def list_N_def relation1_def nat_into_M
    iterates_closed [of "is_list_functor(M,A)"])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) mem_list_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> mem_list(M,A,l) <-> l ∈ list(A)"
apply (insert list_replacement1)
apply (simp add: mem_list_def list_N_def relation1_def list_eq_Union
  iterates_closed [of "is_list_functor(M,A)"])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) list_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); M(Z)|] ==> is_list(M,A,Z) <-> Z = list(A)"
apply (simp add: is_list_def, safe)
apply (rule M_equalityI, simp_all)
done

```

6.5.3 Absoluteness of Formulas

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_replacement2':
  "strong_replacement(M, λn y. n∈nat & y = (λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat))
  + (X*X + X))^n (0))"
apply (insert formula_replacement2)
apply (rule strong_replacement_cong [THEN iffD1])
apply (rule conj_cong [OF iff_refl iterates_abs [of "is_formula_functor(M)"]])
apply (simp_all add: formula_replacement1 relation1_def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(formula)"
apply (insert formula_replacement1)
apply (simp add: RepFun_closed2 formula_eq_Union
  formula_replacement2' relation1_def
  iterates_closed [of "is_formula_functor(M)"])
done

```

```

lemmas (in M_datatypes) formula_into_M = transM [OF _ formula_closed]

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_N_abs [simp]:
  "[|n∈nat; M(Z)|]
  ==> is_formula_N(M,n,Z) <-> Z = formula_N(n)"
apply (insert formula_replacement1)
apply (simp add: is_formula_N_def formula_N_def relation1_def nat_into_M
  iterates_abs [of "is_formula_functor(M)" _
  "λX. ((nat*nat) + (nat*nat)) + (X*X
  + X)"])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_N_closed [intro,simp]:
  "n∈nat ==> M(formula_N(n))"
apply (insert formula_replacement1)
apply (simp add: is_formula_N_def formula_N_def relation1_def nat_into_M
  iterates_closed [of "is_formula_functor(M)"])

```

```

done

lemma (in M_datatypes) mem_formula_abs [simp]:
  "mem_formula(M,l) <-> l ∈ formula"
apply (insert formula_replacement1)
apply (simp add: mem_formula_def relation1_def formula_eq_Union formula_N_def
  iterates_closed [of "is_formula_functor(M)"])
done

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(Z)|] ==> is_formula(M,Z) <-> Z = formula"
apply (simp add: is_formula_def, safe)
apply (rule M_equalityI, simp_all)
done

```

6.6 Absoluteness for ε -Closure: the *eclose* Operator

Re-expresses *eclose* using "iterates"

```

lemma eclose_eq_Union:
  "eclose(A) = (⋃ n ∈ nat. Union^n (A))"
apply (simp add: eclose_def)
apply (rule UN_cong)
apply (rule refl)
apply (induct_tac n)
apply (simp add: nat_rec_0)
apply (simp add: nat_rec_succ)
done

constdefs
  is_eclose_n :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_eclose_n(M,A,n,Z) == is_iterates(M, big_union(M), A, n, Z)"

  mem_eclose :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "mem_eclose(M,A,l) ==
  ∃ n[M]. ∃ eclosen[M].
  finite_ordinal(M,n) & is_eclose_n(M,A,n,eclosen) & l ∈ eclosen"

  is_eclose :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_eclose(M,A,Z) == ∀ u[M]. u ∈ Z <-> mem_eclose(M,A,u)"

locale M_eclose = M_datatypes +
  assumes eclose_replacement1:
    "M(A) ==> iterates_replacement(M, big_union(M), A)"
  and eclose_replacement2:
    "M(A) ==> strong_replacement(M,
    λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(M, big_union(M), A, n, y))"

lemma (in M_eclose) eclose_replacement2':

```

```

    "M(A) ==> strong_replacement(M, λn y. n∈nat & y = Union^n (A))"
  apply (insert eclose_replacement2 [of A])
  apply (rule strong_replacement_cong [THEN iffD1])
  apply (rule conj_cong [OF iff_refl iterates_abs [of "big_union(M)"]])
  apply (simp_all add: eclose_replacement1 relation1_def)
done

lemma (in M_eclose) eclose_closed [intro,simp]:
  "M(A) ==> M(eclose(A))"
  apply (insert eclose_replacement1)
  by (simp add: RepFun_closed2 eclose_eq_Union
        eclose_replacement2' relation1_def
        iterates_closed [of "big_union(M)"])

lemma (in M_eclose) is_eclose_n_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); n∈nat; M(Z)|] ==> is_eclose_n(M,A,n,Z) <-> Z = Union^n (A)"
  apply (insert eclose_replacement1)
  apply (simp add: is_eclose_n_def relation1_def nat_into_M
        iterates_abs [of "big_union(M)" _ "Union"])
done

lemma (in M_eclose) mem_eclose_abs [simp]:
  "M(A) ==> mem_eclose(M,A,l) <-> l ∈ eclose(A)"
  apply (insert eclose_replacement1)
  apply (simp add: mem_eclose_def relation1_def eclose_eq_Union
        iterates_closed [of "big_union(M)"])
done

lemma (in M_eclose) eclose_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); M(Z)|] ==> is_eclose(M,A,Z) <-> Z = eclose(A)"
  apply (simp add: is_eclose_def, safe)
  apply (rule M_equalityI, simp_all)
done

```

6.7 Absoluteness for *transrec*

$transrec(a, H) \equiv wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{a\})), a, H)$

constdefs

```

is_transrec :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i] => o"
  "is_transrec(M,MH,a,z) ==
   ∃ sa[M]. ∃ esa[M]. ∃ mesa[M].
   upair(M,a,a,sa) & is_eclose(M,sa,esa) & membership(M,esa,mesa)
&
   is_wfrec(M,MH,mesa,a,z)"

transrec_replacement :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i] => o"
  "transrec_replacement(M,MH,a) ==
   ∃ sa[M]. ∃ esa[M]. ∃ mesa[M].

```

```

    upair(M,a,a,sa) & is_eclose(M,sa,esa) & membership(M,esa,mesa)
&
    wfrec_replacement(M,MH,mesa)"

```

The condition $Ord(i)$ lets us use the simpler $trans_wfrec_abs$ rather than $trans_wfrec_abs$, which I haven't even proved yet.

```

theorem (in M_eclose) transrec_abs:
  "[|transrec_replacement(M,MH,i); relation2(M,MH,H);
    Ord(i); M(i); M(z);
     $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ]|]
  ==> is_transrec(M,MH,i,z) <-> z = transrec(i,H)"
by (simp add: trans_wfrec_abs transrec_replacement_def is_transrec_def
    transrec_def eclose_sing_Ord_eq wf_Memrel trans_Memrel relation_Memrel)

```

```

theorem (in M_eclose) transrec_closed:
  "[|transrec_replacement(M,MH,i); relation2(M,MH,H);
    Ord(i); M(i);
     $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \rightarrow M(H(x,g))$ ]|]
  ==> M(transrec(i,H))"
by (simp add: trans_wfrec_closed transrec_replacement_def is_transrec_def
    transrec_def eclose_sing_Ord_eq wf_Memrel trans_Memrel relation_Memrel)

```

Helps to prove instances of $transrec_replacement$

```

lemma (in M_eclose) transrec_replacementI:
  "[|M(a);
    strong_replacement (M,
       $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, z) \&$ 
       $is\_wfrec(M,MH,Memrel(eclose(\{a\}),x,y))$ ]|]
  ==> transrec_replacement(M,MH,a)"
by (simp add: transrec_replacement_def wfrec_replacement_def)

```

6.8 Absoluteness for the List Operator $length$

But it is never used.

```

constdefs
  is_length :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_length(M,A,l,n) ==
     $\exists sn[M]. \exists list\_n[M]. \exists list\_sn[M].$ 
     $is\_list\_N(M,A,n,list\_n) \& l \notin list\_n \&$ 
     $successor(M,n,sn) \& is\_list\_N(M,A,sn,list\_sn) \& l \in list\_sn$ "

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) length_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); l  $\in$  list(A); n  $\in$  nat|] ==> is_length(M,A,l,n) <-> n = length(l)"
apply (subgoal_tac "M(l) & M(n)")
prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM)
apply (simp add: is_length_def)

```

```

apply (blast intro: list_imp_list_N nat_into_Ord list_N_imp_eq_length
        dest: list_N_imp_length_lt)
done

```

Proof is trivial since `length` returns natural numbers.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) length_closed [intro,simp]:
  "l ∈ list(A) ==> M(length(l))"
by (simp add: nat_into_M)

```

6.9 Absoluteness for the List Operator `nth`

```

lemma nth_eq_hd_iterates_tl [rule_format]:
  "xs ∈ list(A) ==> ∀n ∈ nat. nth(n,xs) = hd' (tl'^n (xs))"
apply (induct_tac xs)
apply (simp add: iterates_tl_Nil hd'_Nil, clarify)
apply (erule natE)
apply (simp add: hd'_Cons)
apply (simp add: tl'_Cons iterates_commute)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) iterates_tl'_closed:
  "[|n ∈ nat; M(x)|] ==> M(tl'^n (x))"
apply (induct_tac n, simp)
apply (simp add: tl'_Cons tl'_closed)
done

```

Immediate by type-checking

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) nth_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|xs ∈ list(A); n ∈ nat; M(A)|] ==> M(nth(n,xs))"
apply (case_tac "n < length(xs)")
  apply (blast intro: nth_type transM)
apply (simp add: not_lt_iff_le nth_eq_0)
done

```

constdefs

```

  is_nth :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_nth(M,n,l,Z) ==
    ∃X[M]. is_iterates(M, is_tl(M), l, n, X) & is_hd(M,X,Z)"

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) nth_abs [simp]:
  "[|M(A); n ∈ nat; l ∈ list(A); M(Z)|]
  ==> is_nth(M,n,l,Z) <-> Z = nth(n,l)"
apply (subgoal_tac "M(l)")
  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: transM)
apply (simp add: is_nth_def nth_eq_hd_iterates_tl nat_into_M
                tl'_closed iterates_tl'_closed
                iterates_abs [OF _ relation1_tl] nth_replacement)
done

```

6.10 Relativization and Absoluteness for the *formula* Constructors

constdefs

```
is_Member :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  — because Member(x, y) ≡ Inl(Inl(⟨x, y⟩))
"is_Member(M,x,y,Z) ==
  ∃p[M]. ∃u[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & is_Inl(M,p,u) & is_Inl(M,u,Z)"
```

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Member_abs [simp]:
 "[|M(x); M(y); M(Z)|] ==> is_Member(M,x,y,Z) <-> (Z = Member(x,y))"
 by (simp add: is_Member_def Member_def)

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Member_in_M_iff [iff]:
 "M(Member(x,y)) <-> M(x) & M(y)"
 by (simp add: Member_def)

constdefs

```
is_Equal :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  — because Equal(x, y) ≡ Inl(Inr(⟨x, y⟩))
"is_Equal(M,x,y,Z) ==
  ∃p[M]. ∃u[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & is_Inr(M,p,u) & is_Inl(M,u,Z)"
```

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Equal_abs [simp]:
 "[|M(x); M(y); M(Z)|] ==> is_Equal(M,x,y,Z) <-> (Z = Equal(x,y))"
 by (simp add: is_Equal_def Equal_def)

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Equal_in_M_iff [iff]: "M(Equal(x,y)) <-> M(x) & M(y)"
 by (simp add: Equal_def)

constdefs

```
is_Nand :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  — because Nand(x, y) ≡ Inr(Inl(⟨x, y⟩))
"is_Nand(M,x,y,Z) ==
  ∃p[M]. ∃u[M]. pair(M,x,y,p) & is_Inl(M,p,u) & is_Inr(M,u,Z)"
```

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Nand_abs [simp]:
 "[|M(x); M(y); M(Z)|] ==> is_Nand(M,x,y,Z) <-> (Z = Nand(x,y))"
 by (simp add: is_Nand_def Nand_def)

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Nand_in_M_iff [iff]: "M(Nand(x,y)) <-> M(x) & M(y)"
 by (simp add: Nand_def)

constdefs

```
is_Forall :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  — because Forall(x) ≡ Inr(Inr(p))
"is_Forall(M,p,Z) == ∃u[M]. is_Inr(M,p,u) & is_Inr(M,u,Z)"
```

lemma (in *M_trivial*) Forall_abs [simp]:

```

    "[|M(x); M(Z)|] ==> is_Forall(M,x,Z) <-> (Z = Forall(x))"
  by (simp add: is_Forall_def Forall_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) Forall_in_M_iff [iff]: "M(Forall(x)) <-> M(x)"
  by (simp add: Forall_def)

```

6.11 Absoluteness for *formula_rec*

constdefs

```

formula_rec_case :: "[[i,i]=>i, [i,i]=>i, [i,i,i,i]=>i, [i,i]=>i, i,
i] => i"
  — the instance of formula_case in formula_rec
  "formula_rec_case(a,b,c,d,h) ==
    formula_case (a, b,
      λu v. c(u, v, h ' succ(depth(u)) ' u,
              h ' succ(depth(v)) ' v),
      λu. d(u, h ' succ(depth(u)) ' u))"

```

Unfold *formula_rec* to *formula_rec_case*. Express *formula_rec* without using *rank* or *Vset*, neither of which is absolute.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) formula_rec_eq:
  "p ∈ formula ==>
    formula_rec(a,b,c,d,p) =
    transrec (succ(depth(p)),
      λx h. Lambda (formula, formula_rec_case(a,b,c,d,h))) ' p"
  apply (simp add: formula_rec_case_def)
  apply (induct_tac p)

```

Base case for *Member*

```

  apply (subst transrec, simp add: formula.intros)

```

Base case for *Equal*

```

  apply (subst transrec, simp add: formula.intros)

```

Inductive step for *Nand*

```

  apply (subst transrec)
  apply (simp add: succ_Un_distrib formula.intros)

```

Inductive step for *Forall*

```

  apply (subst transrec)
  apply (simp add: formula_imp_formula_N formula.intros)
  done

```

6.11.1 Absoluteness for the Formula Operator *depth*

constdefs

```

is_depth :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"

```

```

"is_depth(M,p,n) ==
  ∃ sn[M]. ∃ formula_n[M]. ∃ formula_sn[M].
    is_formula_N(M,n,formula_n) & p ∉ formula_n &
    successor(M,n,sn) & is_formula_N(M,sn,formula_sn) & p ∈ formula_sn"

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) depth_abs [simp]:
  "[|p ∈ formula; n ∈ nat|] ==> is_depth(M,p,n) <-> n = depth(p)"
apply (subgoal_tac "M(p) & M(n)")
  prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM)
apply (simp add: is_depth_def)
apply (blast intro: formula_imp_formula_N nat_into_Ord formula_N_imp_eq_depth
  dest: formula_N_imp_depth_lt)
done

```

Proof is trivial since *depth* returns natural numbers.

```

lemma (in M_trivial) depth_closed [intro,simp]:
  "p ∈ formula ==> M(depth(p))"
by (simp add: nat_into_M)

```

6.11.2 is_formula_case: relativization of formula_case

constdefs

```

is_formula_case ::
  "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, [i,i]=>o, i, i] => o"
— no constraint on non-formulas
"is_formula_case(M, is_a, is_b, is_c, is_d, p, z) ==
  (∀ x[M]. ∀ y[M]. finite_ordinal(M,x) --> finite_ordinal(M,y) -->
    is_Member(M,x,y,p) --> is_a(x,y,z)) &
  (∀ x[M]. ∀ y[M]. finite_ordinal(M,x) --> finite_ordinal(M,y) -->
    is_Equal(M,x,y,p) --> is_b(x,y,z)) &
  (∀ x[M]. ∀ y[M]. mem_formula(M,x) --> mem_formula(M,y) -->
    is_Nand(M,x,y,p) --> is_c(x,y,z)) &
  (∀ x[M]. mem_formula(M,x) --> is_Forall(M,x,p) --> is_d(x,z))"

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_case_abs [simp]:
  "[| Relation2(M,nat,nat,is_a,a); Relation2(M,nat,nat,is_b,b);
    Relation2(M,formula,formula,is_c,c); Relation1(M,formula,is_d,d);
    p ∈ formula; M(z) |]
  ==> is_formula_case(M,is_a,is_b,is_c,is_d,p,z) <->
    z = formula_case(a,b,c,d,p)"
apply (simp add: formula_into_M is_formula_case_def)
apply (erule formula_cases)
  apply (simp_all add: Relation1_def Relation2_def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_datatypes) formula_case_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[|p ∈ formula;

```

```

    ∀x[M]. ∀y[M]. x∈nat --> y∈nat --> M(a(x,y));
    ∀x[M]. ∀y[M]. x∈nat --> y∈nat --> M(b(x,y));
    ∀x[M]. ∀y[M]. x∈formula --> y∈formula --> M(c(x,y));
    ∀x[M]. x∈formula --> M(d(x)) |] ==> M(formula_case(a,b,c,d,p))"
  by (erule formula.cases, simp_all)

```

6.11.3 Absoluteness for *formula_rec*: Final Results

constdefs

```

  is_formula_rec :: "[i=>o, [i,i,i]=>o, i, i] => o"
  — predicate to relativize the functional formula_rec
  "is_formula_rec(M,MH,p,z) ==
    ∃ dp[M]. ∃ i[M]. ∃ f[M]. finite_ordinal(M,dp) & is_depth(M,p,dp) &
      successor(M,dp,i) & fun_apply(M,f,p,z) & is_transrec(M,MH,i,f)"

```

Sufficient conditions to relativize the instance of *formula_case* in *formula_rec*

lemma (in *M_datatypes*) *Relation1_formula_rec_case*:

```

  "[/Relation2(M, nat, nat, is_a, a);
    Relation2(M, nat, nat, is_b, b);
    Relation2 (M, formula, formula,
      is_c, λu v. c(u, v, h'succ(depth(u))'u, h'succ(depth(v))'v));
    Relation1(M, formula,
      is_d, λu. d(u, h ' succ(depth(u)) ' u));
    M(h) |]
  ==> Relation1(M, formula,
    is_formula_case (M, is_a, is_b, is_c, is_d),
    formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, h))"

```

apply (simp (no_asm) add: *formula_rec_case_def* *Relation1_def*)

apply (simp add: *formula_case_abs*)

done

This locale packages the premises of the following theorems, which is the normal purpose of locales. It doesn't accumulate constraints on the class *M*, as in most of this development.

locale *Formula_Rec* = *M_eclose* +

fixes *a* and *is_a* and *b* and *is_b* and *c* and *is_c* and *d* and *is_d* and *MH*

defines

```

  "MH(u::i,f,z) ==
    ∀ fml[M]. is_formula(M,fml) -->
      is_lambda
      (M, fml, is_formula_case (M, is_a, is_b, is_c(f), is_d(f)), z)"

```

assumes *a_closed*: "[|x∈nat; y∈nat|] ==> M(a(x,y))"

and *a_rel*: "Relation2(M, nat, nat, is_a, a)"

and *b_closed*: "[|x∈nat; y∈nat|] ==> M(b(x,y))"

and *b_rel*: "Relation2(M, nat, nat, is_b, b)"

and *c_closed*: "[|x ∈ formula; y ∈ formula; M(gx); M(gy)|] ==> M(c(x, y, gx, gy))"

```

and c_rel:
  "M(f) ==>
    Relation2 (M, formula, formula, is_c(f),
      λu v. c(u, v, f ' succ(depth(u)) ' u, f ' succ(depth(v))
' v))"
and d_closed: "[|x ∈ formula; M(gx)|] ==> M(d(x, gx))"
and d_rel:
  "M(f) ==>
    Relation1(M, formula, is_d(f), λu. d(u, f ' succ(depth(u)) '
u))"
and fr_replace: "n ∈ nat ==> transrec_replacement(M, MH, n)"
and fr_lam_replace:
  "M(g) ==>
    strong_replacement
      (M, λx y. x ∈ formula &
        y = ⟨x, formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, g, x)⟩)"

lemma (in Formula_Rec) formula_rec_case_closed:
  "[|M(g); p ∈ formula|] ==> M(formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, g, p))"
by (simp add: formula_rec_case_def a_closed b_closed c_closed d_closed)

lemma (in Formula_Rec) formula_rec_lam_closed:
  "M(g) ==> M(Lambda (formula, formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, g)))"
by (simp add: lam_closed2 fr_lam_replace formula_rec_case_closed)

lemma (in Formula_Rec) MH_rel2:
  "relation2 (M, MH,
    λx h. Lambda (formula, formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, h)))"
apply (simp add: relation2_def MH_def, clarify)
apply (rule lambda_abs2)
apply (rule Relation1_formula_rec_case)
apply (simp_all add: a_rel b_rel c_rel d_rel formula_rec_case_closed)
done

lemma (in Formula_Rec) fr_transrec_closed:
  "n ∈ nat
  ==> M(transrec
    (n, λx h. Lambda(formula, formula_rec_case(a, b, c, d, h))))"
by (simp add: transrec_closed [OF fr_replace MH_rel2]
  nat_into_M formula_rec_lam_closed)

The main two results: formula_rec is absolute for M.

theorem (in Formula_Rec) formula_rec_closed:
  "p ∈ formula ==> M(formula_rec(a, b, c, d, p))"
by (simp add: formula_rec_eq fr_transrec_closed
  transM [OF _ formula_closed])

theorem (in Formula_Rec) formula_rec_abs:
  "[| p ∈ formula; M(z) |]"

```

```

    ==> is_formula_rec(M,MH,p,z) <-> z = formula_rec(a,b,c,d,p)"
  by (simp add: is_formula_rec_def formula_rec_eq transM [OF _ formula_closed]
      transrec_abs [OF fr_replace MH_rel2] depth_type
      fr_transrec_closed formula_rec_lam_closed eq_commute)

end

```

7 Closed Unbounded Classes and Normal Functions

theory *Normal* **imports** *Main* **begin**

One source is the book

Frank R. Drake. *Set Theory: An Introduction to Large Cardinals*. North-Holland, 1974.

7.1 Closed and Unbounded (c.u.) Classes of Ordinals

constdefs

```

  Closed :: "(i=>o) => o"
  "Closed(P) ==  $\forall I. I \neq 0 \rightarrow (\forall i \in I. \text{Ord}(i) \wedge P(i)) \rightarrow P(\bigcup(I))"$ 

```

```

  Unbounded :: "(i=>o) => o"
  "Unbounded(P) ==  $\forall i. \text{Ord}(i) \rightarrow (\exists j. i < j \wedge P(j))"$ 

```

```

  Closed_Unbounded :: "(i=>o) => o"
  "Closed_Unbounded(P) == Closed(P)  $\wedge$  Unbounded(P)"

```

7.1.1 Simple facts about c.u. classes

lemma *ClosedI*:

```

  "[| !!I. [| I  $\neq$  0;  $\forall i \in I. \text{Ord}(i) \wedge P(i)$  |] ==> P( $\bigcup(I)$ ) |]
  ==> Closed(P)"

```

by (*simp* **add**: *Closed_def*)

lemma *ClosedD*:

```

  "[| Closed(P); I  $\neq$  0; !!i. i  $\in$  I ==> Ord(i); !!i. i  $\in$  I ==> P(i) |]
  ==> P( $\bigcup(I)$ )"

```

by (*simp* **add**: *Closed_def*)

lemma *UnboundedD*:

```

  "[| Unbounded(P); Ord(i) |] ==>  $\exists j. i < j \wedge P(j)"$ 

```

by (*simp* **add**: *Unbounded_def*)

lemma *Closed_Unbounded_imp_Unbounded*: "Closed_Unbounded(C) ==> Unbounded(C)"

by (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_def)

The universal class, V , is closed and unbounded. A bit odd, since $C. U.$ concerns only ordinals, but it's used below!

```
theorem Closed_Unbounded_V [simp]: "Closed_Unbounded( $\lambda x. True$ )"
by (unfold Closed_Unbounded_def Closed_def Unbounded_def, blast)
```

The class of ordinals, Ord , is closed and unbounded.

```
theorem Closed_Unbounded_Ord [simp]: "Closed_Unbounded( $Ord$ )"
by (unfold Closed_Unbounded_def Closed_def Unbounded_def, blast)
```

The class of limit ordinals, $Limit$, is closed and unbounded.

```
theorem Closed_Unbounded_Limit [simp]: "Closed_Unbounded( $Limit$ )"
apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_def Closed_def Unbounded_def Limit_Union,
```

```
    clarify)
apply (rule_tac x="i++nat" in exI)
apply (blast intro: oadd_lt_self oadd_LimitI Limit_nat Limit_has_0)
done
```

The class of cardinals, $Card$, is closed and unbounded.

```
theorem Closed_Unbounded_Card [simp]: "Closed_Unbounded( $Card$ )"
apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_def Closed_def Unbounded_def Card_Union)
apply (blast intro: lt_csucc Card_csucc)
done
```

7.1.2 The intersection of any set-indexed family of c.u. classes is c.u.

The constructions below come from Kunen, *Set Theory*, page 78.

```
locale cub_family =
  fixes P and A
  fixes next_greater — the next ordinal satisfying class A
  fixes sup_greater — sup of those ordinals over all A
  assumes closed: "a ∈ A ==> Closed(P(a))"
    and unbounded: "a ∈ A ==> Unbounded(P(a))"
    and A_non0: "A ≠ 0"
  defines "next_greater(a,x) ==  $\mu y. x < y \wedge P(a,y)$ "
    and "sup_greater(x) ==  $\bigcup a \in A. next\_greater(a,x)$ "
```

Trivial that the intersection is closed.

```
lemma (in cub_family) Closed_INT: "Closed( $\lambda x. \forall i \in A. P(i,x)$ )"
by (blast intro: ClosedI ClosedD [OF closed])
```

All remaining effort goes to show that the intersection is unbounded.

```
lemma (in cub_family) Ord_sup_greater:
  "Ord(sup_greater(x))"
```

```

by (simp add: sup_greater_def next_greater_def)

lemma (in cub_family) Ord_next_greater:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |] ==> P(a, next_greater(a,x))"
by (simp add: next_greater_def Ord_Least)

next_greater works as expected: it returns a larger value and one that
belongs to class P(a).

lemma (in cub_family) next_greater_lemma:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |] ==> P(a, next_greater(a,x)) ∧ x < next_greater(a,x)"
apply (simp add: next_greater_def)
apply (rule exE [OF UnboundedD [OF unbounded]])
  apply assumption+
apply (blast intro: LeastI2 lt_Ord2)
done

lemma (in cub_family) next_greater_in_P:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |] ==> P(a, next_greater(a,x))"
by (blast dest: next_greater_lemma)

lemma (in cub_family) next_greater_gt:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |] ==> x < next_greater(a,x)"
by (blast dest: next_greater_lemma)

lemma (in cub_family) sup_greater_gt:
  "Ord(x) ==> x < sup_greater(x)"
apply (simp add: sup_greater_def)
apply (insert A_non0)
apply (blast intro: UN_upper_lt next_greater_gt Ord_next_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) next_greater_le_sup_greater:
  "a ∈ A ==> next_greater(a,x) ≤ sup_greater(x)"
apply (simp add: sup_greater_def)
apply (blast intro: UN_upper_le Ord_next_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) omega_sup_greater_eq_UN:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |]
  ==> sup_greater^ω (x) =
  (⋃ n ∈ nat. next_greater(a, sup_greater^n (x)))"
apply (simp add: iterates_omega_def)
apply (rule le_anti_sym)
apply (rule le_implies_UN_le_UN)
apply (blast intro: leI next_greater_gt Ord_iterates Ord_sup_greater)

```

Opposite bound:

1. $\llbracket \text{Ord}(x); a \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcup n \in \text{nat}. \text{next_greater}(a, \text{sup_greater}^n(x))) \leq$

```

      ( $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat.}} \text{sup\_greater}^n(x)$ )

apply (rule UN_least_le)
apply (blast intro: Ord_UN Ord_iterates Ord_sup_greater)
apply (rule_tac a="succ(n)" in UN_upper_le)
apply (simp_all add: next_greater_le_sup_greater)
apply (blast intro: Ord_UN Ord_iterates Ord_sup_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) P_omega_sup_greater:
  "[| Ord(x); a ∈ A |] ==> P(a, sup_greaterω(x))"
apply (simp add: omega_sup_greater_eq_UN)
apply (rule ClosedD [OF closed])
apply (blast intro: ltD, auto)
apply (blast intro: Ord_iterates Ord_next_greater Ord_sup_greater)
apply (blast intro: next_greater_in_P Ord_iterates Ord_sup_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) omega_sup_greater_gt:
  "Ord(x) ==> x < sup_greaterω(x)"
apply (simp add: iterates_omega_def)
apply (rule UN_upper_lt [of 1], simp_all)
apply (blast intro: sup_greater_gt)
apply (blast intro: Ord_UN Ord_iterates Ord_sup_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) Unbounded_INT: "Unbounded( $\lambda x. \forall a \in A. P(a, x)$ )"
apply (unfold Unbounded_def)
apply (blast intro!: omega_sup_greater_gt P_omega_sup_greater)
done

lemma (in cub_family) Closed_Unbounded_INT:
  "Closed_Unbounded( $\lambda x. \forall a \in A. P(a, x)$ )"
by (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_def Closed_INT Unbounded_INT)

theorem Closed_Unbounded_INT:
  "( $\forall a. a \in A \implies \text{Closed\_Unbounded}(P(a))$ )
  ==> Closed_Unbounded( $\lambda x. \forall a \in A. P(a, x)$ )"
apply (case_tac "A=0", simp)
apply (rule cub_family.Closed_Unbounded_INT [OF cub_family.intro])
apply (simp_all add: Closed_Unbounded_def)
done

lemma Int_iff_INT2:
  " $P(x) \wedge Q(x) \iff (\forall i \in 2. (i=0 \implies P(x)) \wedge (i=1 \implies Q(x)))$ "
by auto

theorem Closed_Unbounded_Int:
  "[| Closed_Unbounded(P); Closed_Unbounded(Q) |]"

```

```

    ==> Closed_Unbounded( $\lambda x. P(x) \wedge Q(x)$ )"
  apply (simp only: Int_iff_INT2)
  apply (rule Closed_Unbounded_INT, auto)
  done

```

7.2 Normal Functions

```

constdefs
  mono_le_subset :: "(i=>i) => o"
    "mono_le_subset(M) ==  $\forall i j. i \leq j \rightarrow M(i) \subseteq M(j)$ "

  mono_Ord :: "(i=>i) => o"
    "mono_Ord(F) ==  $\forall i j. i < j \rightarrow F(i) < F(j)$ "

  cont_Ord :: "(i=>i) => o"
    "cont_Ord(F) ==  $\forall l. \text{Limit}(l) \rightarrow F(l) = (\bigcup_{i < l}. F(i))$ "

  Normal :: "(i=>i) => o"
    "Normal(F) == mono_Ord(F)  $\wedge$  cont_Ord(F)"

```

7.2.1 Immediate properties of the definitions

```

lemma NormalI:
  "[ $\forall i j. i < j \implies F(i) < F(j)$ ;  $\forall l. \text{Limit}(l) \implies F(l) = (\bigcup_{i < l}. F(i))$ ]"
  ==> Normal(F)"
by (simp add: Normal_def mono_Ord_def cont_Ord_def)

lemma mono_Ord_imp_Ord: "[ $\text{Ord}(i)$ ; mono_Ord(F) ]] ==> Ord(F(i))"
  apply (simp add: mono_Ord_def)
  apply (blast intro: lt_Ord)
  done

lemma mono_Ord_imp_mono: "[ $i < j$ ; mono_Ord(F) ]] ==> F(i) < F(j)"
  by (simp add: mono_Ord_def)

lemma Normal_imp_Ord [simp]: "[Normal(F); Ord(i) ]] ==> Ord(F(i))"
  by (simp add: Normal_def mono_Ord_imp_Ord)

lemma Normal_imp_cont: "[Normal(F); Limit(l) ]] ==> F(l) = ( $\bigcup_{i < l}. F(i)$ )"
  by (simp add: Normal_def cont_Ord_def)

lemma Normal_imp_mono: "[ $i < j$ ; Normal(F) ]] ==> F(i) < F(j)"
  by (simp add: Normal_def mono_Ord_def)

lemma Normal_increasing: "[ $\text{Ord}(i)$ ; Normal(F) ]] ==>  $i \leq F(i)$ "
  apply (induct i rule: trans_induct3_rule)
  apply (simp add: subset_imp_le)
  apply (subgoal_tac "F(x) < F(succ(x))")

```

```

  apply (force intro: lt_trans1)
  apply (simp add: Normal_def mono_Ord_def)
  apply (subgoal_tac " $(\bigcup y < x. y) \leq (\bigcup y < x. F(y))$ ")
  apply (simp add: Normal_imp_cont Limit_OUN_eq)
  apply (blast intro: ltD le_implies_OUN_le_OUN)
  done

```

7.2.2 The class of fixedpoints is closed and unbounded

The proof is from Drake, pages 113–114.

```

lemma mono_Ord_imp_le_subset: "mono_Ord(F) ==> mono_le_subset(F)"
  apply (simp add: mono_le_subset_def, clarify)
  apply (subgoal_tac " $F(i) \leq F(j)$ ", blast dest: le_imp_subset)
  apply (simp add: le_iff)
  apply (blast intro: lt_Ord2 mono_Ord_imp_Ord mono_Ord_imp_mono)
  done

```

The following equation is taken for granted in any set theory text.

```

lemma cont_Ord_Union:
  "[| cont_Ord(F); mono_le_subset(F); X=0 --> F(0)=0;  $\forall x \in X. \text{Ord}(x)$ 
  |]
  ==>  $F(\text{Union}(X)) = (\bigcup y \in X. F(y))$ "
  apply (frule Ord_set_cases)
  apply (erule disjE, force)
  apply (thin_tac " $X=0 \rightarrow ?Q$ ", auto)

```

The trival case of $\bigcup X \in X$

```

  apply (rule equalityI, blast intro: Ord_Union_eq_succD)
  apply (simp add: mono_le_subset_def UN_subset_iff le_subset_iff)
  apply (blast elim: equalityE)

```

The limit case, $\text{Limit}(\bigcup X)$:

```

1.  $\llbracket \text{cont\_Ord}(F); \text{mono\_le\_subset}(F); \forall x \in X. \text{Ord}(x); \bigcup X \notin X;
  \text{Limit}(\bigcup X) \rrbracket
  \implies F(\bigcup X) = (\bigcup y \in X. F(y))$ 

```

```

  apply (simp add: OUN_Union_eq cont_Ord_def)
  apply (rule equalityI)

```

First inclusion:

```

  apply (rule UN_least [OF OUN_least])
  apply (simp add: mono_le_subset_def, blast intro: leI)

```

Second inclusion:

```

  apply (rule UN_least)
  apply (frule Union_upper_le, blast, blast intro: Ord_Union)
  apply (erule leE, drule ltD, elim UnionE)

```

```

    apply (simp add: OUnion_def)
    apply blast+
done

lemma Normal_Union:
  "[| X≠0; ∀x∈X. Ord(x); Normal(F) |] ==> F(Union(X)) = (⋃y∈X. F(y))"
  apply (simp add: Normal_def)
  apply (blast intro: mono_Ord_imp_le_subset cont_Ord_Union)
done

lemma Normal_imp_fp_Closed: "Normal(F) ==> Closed(λi. F(i) = i)"
  apply (simp add: Closed_def ball_conj_distrib, clarify)
  apply (frule Ord_set_cases)
  apply (auto simp add: Normal_Union)
done

lemma iterates_Normal_increasing:
  "[| n∈nat; x < F(x); Normal(F) |]
   ==> F^n (x) < F^(succ(n)) (x)"
  apply (induct n rule: nat_induct)
  apply (simp_all add: Normal_imp_mono)
done

lemma Ord_iterates_Normal:
  "[| n∈nat; Normal(F); Ord(x) |] ==> Ord(F^n (x))"
  by (simp add: Ord_iterates)

THIS RESULT IS UNUSED

lemma iterates_omega_Limit:
  "[| Normal(F); x < F(x) |] ==> Limit(F^ω (x))"
  apply (frule lt_Ord)
  apply (simp add: iterates_omega_def)
  apply (rule increasing_LimitI)
  — this lemma is  $\llbracket 0 < 1; \forall x \in 1. \exists y \in 1. x < y \rrbracket \implies \text{Limit}(1)$ 
  apply (blast intro: UN_upper_lt [of "1"] Normal_imp_Ord
    Ord_UN Ord_iterates lt_imp_0_lt
    iterates_Normal_increasing, clarify)

  apply (rule bexI)
  apply (blast intro: Ord_in_Ord [OF Ord_iterates_Normal])
  apply (rule UN_I, erule nat_succI)
  apply (blast intro: iterates_Normal_increasing Ord_iterates_Normal
    ltD [OF lt_trans1, OF succ_leI, OF ltI])
done

lemma iterates_omega_fixedpoint:
  "[| Normal(F); Ord(a) |] ==> F(F^ω (a)) = F^ω (a)"
  apply (frule Normal_increasing, assumption)
  apply (erule leE)

```

```

  apply (simp_all add: iterates_omega_triv [OF sym])
  apply (simp add: iterates_omega_def Normal_Union)
  apply (rule equalityI, force simp add: nat_succI)

```

Opposite inclusion:

1. $\llbracket \text{Normal}(F); \text{Ord}(a); a < F(a) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}} F^n(a)) \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in \text{nat}} F(F^x(a)))$

```

  apply clarify
  apply (rule UN_I, assumption)
  apply (frule iterates_Normal_increasing, assumption, assumption, simp)
  apply (blast intro: Ord_trans ltD Ord_iterates_Normal Normal_imp_Ord [of F])
  done

```

```

lemma iterates_omega_increasing:
  "[| Normal(F); Ord(a) |] ==> a ≤ F^ω (a)"
  apply (unfold iterates_omega_def)
  apply (rule UN_upper_le [of 0], simp_all)
  done

```

```

lemma Normal_imp_fp_Unbounded: "Normal(F) ==> Unbounded(λi. F(i) = i)"
  apply (unfold Unbounded_def, clarify)
  apply (rule_tac x="F^ω (succ(i))" in exI)
  apply (simp add: iterates_omega_fixedpoint)
  apply (blast intro: lt_trans2 [OF _ iterates_omega_increasing])
  done

```

```

theorem Normal_imp_fp_Closed_Unbounded:
  "Normal(F) ==> Closed_Unbounded(λi. F(i) = i)"
  by (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_def Normal_imp_fp_Closed
      Normal_imp_fp_Unbounded)

```

7.2.3 Function *normalize*

Function *normalize* maps a function F to a normal function that bounds it above. The result is normal if and only if F is continuous: *succ* is not bounded above by any normal function, by *Normal_imp_fp_Unbounded*.

```

constdefs
  normalize :: "[i=>i, i] => i"
  "normalize(F,a) == transrec2(a, F(0), λx r. F(succ(x)) Un succ(r))"

```

```

lemma Ord_normalize [simp, intro]:
  "[| Ord(a); !!x. Ord(x) ==> Ord(F(x)) |] ==> Ord(normalize(F, a))"
  apply (induct a rule: trans_induct3_rule)
  apply (simp_all add: ltD def_transrec2 [OF normalize_def])

```

```

done

lemma normalize_lemma [rule_format]:
  "[| Ord(b); !!x. Ord(x) ==> Ord(F(x)) |]
   ==>  $\forall a. a < b \rightarrow \text{normalize}(F, a) < \text{normalize}(F, b)$ "
apply (erule trans_induct3)
  apply (simp_all add: le_iff_def_transrec2 [OF normalize_def])
  apply clarify
apply (rule Un_upper2_lt)
  apply auto
  apply (drule spec, drule mp, assumption)
  apply (erule leI)
apply (drule Limit_has_succ, assumption)
apply (blast intro!: Ord_normalize intro: OUN_upper_lt ltD lt_Ord)
done

lemma normalize_increasing:
  "[| a < b; !!x. Ord(x) ==> Ord(F(x)) |]
   ==>  $\text{normalize}(F, a) < \text{normalize}(F, b)$ "
by (blast intro!: normalize_lemma intro: lt_Ord2)

theorem Normal_normalize:
  "(!!x. Ord(x) ==> Ord(F(x))) ==> Normal(normalize(F))"
apply (rule NormalI)
apply (blast intro!: normalize_increasing)
apply (simp add: def_transrec2 [OF normalize_def])
done

theorem le_normalize:
  "[| Ord(a); cont_Ord(F); !!x. Ord(x) ==> Ord(F(x)) |]
   ==>  $F(a) \leq \text{normalize}(F, a)$ "
apply (erule trans_induct3)
apply (simp_all add: def_transrec2 [OF normalize_def])
apply (simp add: Un_upper1_le)
apply (simp add: cont_Ord_def)
apply (blast intro: ltD le_implies_OUN_le_OUN)
done

```

7.3 The Alephs

This is the well-known transfinite enumeration of the cardinal numbers.

```

constdefs
  Aleph :: "i => i"
    "Aleph(a) == transrec2(a, nat,  $\lambda x r. \text{csucc}(r)$ )"

syntax (xsymbols)
  Aleph :: "i => i"  ("ℵ_" [90] 90)

lemma Card_Aleph [simp, intro]:

```

```

      "Ord(a) ==> Card(Aleph(a))"
    apply (erule trans_induct3)
    apply (simp_all add: Card_succ Card_nat Card_is_Ord
      def_transrec2 [OF Aleph_def])
  done

lemma Aleph_lemma [rule_format]:
  "Ord(b) ==>  $\forall a. a < b \rightarrow \text{Aleph}(a) < \text{Aleph}(b)$ "
  apply (erule trans_induct3)
  apply (simp_all add: le_iff def_transrec2 [OF Aleph_def])
  apply (blast intro: lt_trans lt_succ Card_is_Ord, clarify)
  apply (drule Limit_has_succ, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: Card_is_Ord Card_Aleph OUN_upper_lt ltD lt_Ord)
  done

lemma Aleph_increasing:
  "a < b ==> Aleph(a) < Aleph(b)"
  by (blast intro!: Aleph_lemma intro: lt_Ord2)

theorem Normal_Aleph: "Normal(Aleph)"
  apply (rule NormalI)
  apply (blast intro!: Aleph_increasing)
  apply (simp add: def_transrec2 [OF Aleph_def])
  done

end

```

8 The Reflection Theorem

theory Reflection imports Normal begin

```

lemma all_iff_not_ex_not: " $(\forall x. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\sim (\exists x. \sim P(x)))$ "
  by blast

```

```

lemma ball_iff_not_bex_not: " $(\forall x \in A. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\sim (\exists x \in A. \sim P(x)))$ "
  by blast

```

From the notes of A. S. Kechris, page 6, and from Andrzej Mostowski, *Constructible Sets with Applications*, North-Holland, 1969, page 23.

8.1 Basic Definitions

First part: the cumulative hierarchy defining the class M . To avoid handling multiple arguments, we assume that $Mset(1)$ is closed under ordered pairing provided 1 is limit. Possibly this could be avoided: the induction hypothesis $Cl_reflects$ (in locale $ex_reflection$) could be weakened to $\forall y \in Mset(a)$.

$\forall z \in \text{Mset}(a). P(\langle y, z \rangle) \longleftrightarrow Q(a, \langle y, z \rangle)$, removing most uses of *Pair_in_Mset*.
 But there isn't much point in doing so, since ultimately the *ex_reflection* proof is packaged up using the predicate *Reflects*.

```

locale reflection =
  fixes Mset and M and Reflects
  assumes Mset_mono_le : "mono_le_subset(Mset)"
    and Mset_cont      : "cont_Ord(Mset)"
    and Pair_in_Mset   : "[| x ∈ Mset(a); y ∈ Mset(a); Limit(a) |]
      ==> ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ Mset(a)"
  defines "M(x) == ∃ a. Ord(a) & x ∈ Mset(a)"
    and "Reflects(Cl,P,Q) == Closed_Unbounded(Cl) &
      (∀ a. Cl(a) --> (∀ x ∈ Mset(a). P(x) <-> Q(a,x)))"
  fixes FO — ordinal for a specific value y
  fixes FF — sup over the whole level, y ∈ Mset(a)
  fixes ClEx — Reflecting ordinals for the formula ∃ z. P
  defines "FO(P,y) == μ b. (∃ z. M(z) & P(⟨y,z⟩)) -->
    (∃ z ∈ Mset(b). P(⟨y,z⟩))"
    and "FF(P) == λ a. ⋃ y ∈ Mset(a). FO(P,y)"
    and "ClEx(P,a) == Limit(a) & normalize(FF(P),a) = a"

```

```

lemma (in reflection) Mset_mono: "i ≤ j ==> Mset(i) ≤ Mset(j)"
apply (insert Mset_mono_le)
apply (simp add: mono_le_subset_def leI)
done

```

Awkward: we need a version of *ClEx_def* as an equality at the level of classes, which do not really exist

```

lemma (in reflection) ClEx_eq:
  "ClEx(P) == λ a. Limit(a) & normalize(FF(P),a) = a"
by (simp add: ClEx_def [symmetric])

```

8.2 Easy Cases of the Reflection Theorem

```

theorem (in reflection) Triv_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects(Ord, P, λ a x. P(x))"
by (simp add: Reflects_def)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Not_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects(Cl,P,Q) ==> Reflects(Cl, λ x. ~P(x), λ a x. ~Q(a,x))"
by (simp add: Reflects_def)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) And_reflection [intro]:
  "[| Reflects(Cl,P,Q); Reflects(C',P',Q') |]
  ==> Reflects(λ a. Cl(a) & C'(a), λ x. P(x) & P'(x),
    λ a x. Q(a,x) & Q'(a,x))"
by (simp add: Reflects_def Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Or_reflection [intro]:
  "[| Reflects(Cl,P,Q); Reflects(C',P',Q') |]

```

```

    ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. C1(a) \ \& \ C'(a), \ \lambda x. P(x) \ | \ P'(x),$ 
                  $\lambda a \ x. Q(a,x) \ | \ Q'(a,x)$ )"
  by (simp add: Reflects_def Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Imp_reflection [intro]:
  "[| Reflects(C1,P,Q); Reflects(C',P',Q') |]
   ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. C1(a) \ \& \ C'(a),$ 
                 $\lambda x. P(x) \ \dashrightarrow \ P'(x),$ 
                 $\lambda a \ x. Q(a,x) \ \dashrightarrow \ Q'(a,x)$ )"
  by (simp add: Reflects_def Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Iff_reflection [intro]:
  "[| Reflects(C1,P,Q); Reflects(C',P',Q') |]
   ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. C1(a) \ \& \ C'(a),$ 
                 $\lambda x. P(x) \ \leftrightarrow \ P'(x),$ 
                 $\lambda a \ x. Q(a,x) \ \leftrightarrow \ Q'(a,x)$ )"
  by (simp add: Reflects_def Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)

```

8.3 Reflection for Existential Quantifiers

```

lemma (in reflection) F0_works:
  "[|  $y \in Mset(a); Ord(a); M(z); P(\langle y,z \rangle)$  |] ==>  $\exists z \in Mset(F0(P,y)).$ 
   $P(\langle y,z \rangle)$ "
  apply (unfold F0_def M_def, clarify)
  apply (rule LeastI2)
  apply (blast intro: Mset_mono [THEN subsetD])
  apply (blast intro: lt_Ord2, blast)
  done

```

```

lemma (in reflection) Ord_F0 [intro,simp]: "Ord(F0(P,y))"
  by (simp add: F0_def)

```

```

lemma (in reflection) Ord_FF [intro,simp]: "Ord(FF(P,y))"
  by (simp add: FF_def)

```

```

lemma (in reflection) cont_Ord_FF: "cont_Ord(FF(P))"
  apply (insert Mset_cont)
  apply (simp add: cont_Ord_def FF_def, blast)
  done

```

Recall that $F0$ depends upon $y \in Mset(a)$, while FF depends only upon a .

```

lemma (in reflection) FF_works:
  "[|  $M(z); y \in Mset(a); P(\langle y,z \rangle); Ord(a)$  |] ==>  $\exists z \in Mset(FF(P,a)).$ 
   $P(\langle y,z \rangle)$ "
  apply (simp add: FF_def)
  apply (simp_all add: cont_Ord_Union [of concl: Mset]
                    Mset_cont Mset_mono_le not_emptyI Ord_F0)
  apply (blast intro: F0_works)
  done

```

```

lemma (in reflection) FFN_works:
  "[| M(z); y∈Mset(a); P(<y,z>); Ord(a) |]
  ==> ∃z∈Mset(normalize(FF(P),a)). P(<y,z>)"
apply (drule FF_works [of concl: P], assumption+)
apply (blast intro: cont_Ord_FF le_normalize [THEN Mset_mono, THEN subsetD])
done

```

Locale for the induction hypothesis

```

locale ex_reflection = reflection +
  fixes P — the original formula
  fixes Q — the reflected formula
  fixes Cl — the class of reflecting ordinals
  assumes Cl_reflects: "[| Cl(a); Ord(a) |] ==> ∀x∈Mset(a). P(x) <->
  Q(a,x)"

```

```

lemma (in ex_reflection) ClEx_downward:
  "[| M(z); y∈Mset(a); P(<y,z>); Cl(a); ClEx(P,a) |]
  ==> ∃z∈Mset(a). Q(a,<y,z>)"
apply (simp add: ClEx_def, clarify)
apply (frule Limit_is_Ord)
apply (frule FFN_works [of concl: P], assumption+)
apply (drule Cl_reflects, assumption+)
apply (auto simp add: Limit_is_Ord Pair_in_Mset)
done

```

```

lemma (in ex_reflection) ClEx_upward:
  "[| z∈Mset(a); y∈Mset(a); Q(a,<y,z>); Cl(a); ClEx(P,a) |]
  ==> ∃z. M(z) & P(<y,z>)"
apply (simp add: ClEx_def M_def)
apply (blast dest: Cl_reflects
  intro: Limit_is_Ord Pair_in_Mset)
done

```

Class *ClEx* indeed consists of reflecting ordinals...

```

lemma (in ex_reflection) ZF_ClEx_iff:
  "[| y∈Mset(a); Cl(a); ClEx(P,a) |]
  ==> (∃z. M(z) & P(<y,z>)) <-> (∃z∈Mset(a). Q(a,<y,z>))"
by (blast intro: dest: ClEx_downward ClEx_upward)

```

...and it is closed and unbounded

```

lemma (in ex_reflection) ZF_Closed_Unbounded_ClEx:
  "Closed_Unbounded(ClEx(P))"
apply (simp add: ClEx_eq)
apply (fast intro: Closed_Unbounded_Int Normal_imp_fp_Closed_Unbounded
  Closed_Unbounded_Limit Normal_normalize)
done

```

The same two theorems, exported to locale *reflection*.

Class *ClEx* indeed consists of reflecting ordinals...

```

lemma (in reflection) ClEx_iff:
  "[| y∈Mset(a); Cl(a); ClEx(P,a);
    !!a. [| Cl(a); Ord(a) |] ==> ∀x∈Mset(a). P(x) <-> Q(a,x) |]
    ==> (∃z. M(z) & P(<y,z>)) <-> (∃z∈Mset(a). Q(a,<y,z>))"
apply (unfold ClEx_def FF_def FO_def M_def)
apply (rule ex_reflection.ZF_ClEx_iff
  [OF ex_reflection.intro, OF reflection.intro ex_reflection_axioms.intro,
    of Mset Cl])
apply (simp_all add: Mset_mono_le Mset_cont Pair_in_Mset)
done

```

```

lemma (in reflection) Closed_Unbounded_ClEx:
  "(!!a. [| Cl(a); Ord(a) |] ==> ∀x∈Mset(a). P(x) <-> Q(a,x))
    ==> Closed_Unbounded(ClEx(P))"
apply (unfold ClEx_eq FF_def FO_def M_def)
apply (rule Reflection.ZF_Closed_Unbounded_ClEx [of Mset _ _ Cl])
apply (rule ex_reflection.intro, assumption)
apply (blast intro: ex_reflection_axioms.intro)
done

```

8.4 Packaging the Quantifier Reflection Rules

```

lemma (in reflection) Ex_reflection_0:
  "Reflects(Cl,P0,Q0)
    ==> Reflects(λa. Cl(a) & ClEx(P0,a),
      λx. ∃z. M(z) & P0(<x,z>),
      λa x. ∃z∈Mset(a). Q0(a,<x,z>))"
apply (simp add: Reflects_def)
apply (intro conjI Closed_Unbounded_Int)
  apply blast
  apply (rule Closed_Unbounded_ClEx [of Cl P0 Q0], blast, clarify)
apply (rule_tac Cl=Cl in ClEx_iff, assumption+, blast)
done

```

```

lemma (in reflection) All_reflection_0:
  "Reflects(Cl,P0,Q0)
    ==> Reflects(λa. Cl(a) & ClEx(λx. ~P0(x), a),
      λx. ∀z. M(z) --> P0(<x,z>),
      λa x. ∀z∈Mset(a). Q0(a,<x,z>))"
apply (simp only: all_iff_not_ex_not ball_iff_not_bex_not)
apply (rule Not_reflection, drule Not_reflection, simp)
apply (erule Ex_reflection_0)
done

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Ex_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects(Cl, λx. P(fst(x),snd(x)), λa x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x)))"

```

```

==> Reflects( $\lambda a. Cl(a) \ \& \ ClEx(\lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x)), a),$ 
              $\lambda x. \exists z. M(z) \ \& \ P(x,z),$ 
              $\lambda a x. \exists z \in Mset(a). Q(a,x,z)$ )"
by (rule Ex_reflection_0 [of _ " $\lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x))$ "
                             " $\lambda a x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x))$ "], simplified])

```

```

theorem (in reflection) All_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects( $Cl, \lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x))$ )
  ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. Cl(a) \ \& \ ClEx(\lambda x. \sim P(fst(x),snd(x)), a),$ 
               $\lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow P(x,z),$ 
               $\lambda a x. \forall z \in Mset(a). Q(a,x,z)$ )"
by (rule All_reflection_0 [of _ " $\lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x))$ "
                                " $\lambda a x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x))$ "], simplified])

```

And again, this time using class-bounded quantifiers

```

theorem (in reflection) Rex_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects( $Cl, \lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x))$ )
  ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. Cl(a) \ \& \ ClEx(\lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x)), a),$ 
               $\lambda x. \exists z[M]. P(x,z),$ 
               $\lambda a x. \exists z \in Mset(a). Q(a,x,z)$ )"
by (unfold rex_def, blast)

```

```

theorem (in reflection) Rall_reflection [intro]:
  "Reflects( $Cl, \lambda x. P(fst(x),snd(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a,fst(x),snd(x))$ )
  ==> Reflects( $\lambda a. Cl(a) \ \& \ ClEx(\lambda x. \sim P(fst(x),snd(x)), a),$ 
               $\lambda x. \forall z[M]. P(x,z),$ 
               $\lambda a x. \forall z \in Mset(a). Q(a,x,z)$ )"
by (unfold rall_def, blast)

```

No point considering bounded quantifiers, where reflection is trivial.

8.5 Simple Examples of Reflection

Example 1: reflecting a simple formula. The reflecting class is first given as the variable `?Cl` and later retrieved from the final proof state.

```

lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects(?Cl,
             $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ x \in y,$ 
             $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). x \in y$ )"
by fast

```

Problem here: there needs to be a conjunction (class intersection) in the class of reflecting ordinals. The `Ord(a)` is redundant, though harmless.

```

lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects( $\lambda a. Ord(a) \ \& \ ClEx(\lambda x. fst(x) \in snd(x), a),$ 
             $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ x \in y,$ 
             $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). x \in y$ )"
by fast

```

Example 2

```
lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects(?Cl,
     $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \ \forall z \in Mset(a). \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y)$ "
  by fast
```

Example 2'. We give the reflecting class explicitly.

```
lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects
    ( $\lambda a. (Ord(a) \ \&$ 
       $ClEx(\lambda x. \sim (snd(x) \subseteq fst(fst(x)) \ \rightarrow \ snd(x) \in snd(fst(x))),$ 
      a)) &
     $ClEx(\lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq fst(x) \ \rightarrow \ z \in snd(x), \ a),$ 
     $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \ \forall z \in Mset(a). \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y)$ "
  by fast
```

Example 2". We expand the subset relation.

```
lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects(?Cl,
     $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ (\forall w. M(w) \ \rightarrow \ w \in z \ \rightarrow \ w \in x) \ \rightarrow$ 
     $z \in y),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \ \forall z \in Mset(a). \ (\forall w \in Mset(a). \ w \in z \ \rightarrow \ w \in x) \ \rightarrow$ 
     $z \in y)$ "
  by fast
```

Example 2'''. Single-step version, to reveal the reflecting class.

```
lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects(?Cl,
     $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \ \forall z \in Mset(a). \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y)$ "
  apply (rule Ex_reflection)
```

```
Reflects
  ( $\lambda a. ?Cl1(a) \ \wedge$ 
     $ClEx(\lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq fst(x) \ \rightarrow \ z \in snd(x), \ a),$ 
     $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \wedge \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \ \forall z \in Mset(a). \ z \subseteq x \ \rightarrow \ z \in y)$ 
  1. Reflects
    ( $?Cl1, \ \lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \ \rightarrow \ z \subseteq fst(x) \ \rightarrow \ z \in snd(x),$ 
     $\lambda a \ x. \forall z \in Mset(a). \ z \subseteq fst(x) \ \rightarrow \ z \in snd(x))$ 
```

```
apply (rule All_reflection)
```

```
Reflects
```

```

( $\lambda a. (?C12(a) \wedge$ 
   $ClEx(\lambda x. \neg (snd(x) \subseteq fst(fst(x)) \longrightarrow$ 
     $snd(x) \in snd(fst(x))),$ 
   $a)) \wedge$ 
   $ClEx(\lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \longrightarrow z \subseteq fst(x) \longrightarrow z \in snd(x), a),$ 
   $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \wedge (\forall z. M(z) \longrightarrow z \subseteq x \longrightarrow z \in y),$ 
   $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \forall z \in Mset(a). z \subseteq x \longrightarrow z \in y)$ 
1. Reflects
  ( $?C12,$ 
   $\lambda x. snd(x) \subseteq fst(fst(x)) \longrightarrow snd(x) \in snd(fst(x)),$ 
   $\lambda a x. snd(x) \subseteq fst(fst(x)) \longrightarrow snd(x) \in snd(fst(x))$ )

```

apply (rule *Triv_reflection*)

Reflects

```

( $\lambda a. (Ord(a) \wedge$ 
   $ClEx(\lambda x. \neg (snd(x) \subseteq fst(fst(x)) \longrightarrow$ 
     $snd(x) \in snd(fst(x))),$ 
   $a)) \wedge$ 
   $ClEx(\lambda x. \forall z. M(z) \longrightarrow z \subseteq fst(x) \longrightarrow z \in snd(x), a),$ 
   $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \wedge (\forall z. M(z) \longrightarrow z \subseteq x \longrightarrow z \in y),$ 
   $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \forall z \in Mset(a). z \subseteq x \longrightarrow z \in y)$ 
No subgoals!

```

done

Example 3. Warning: the following examples make sense only if P is quantifier-free, since it is not being relativized.

lemma (in *reflection*)

```

"Reflects( $?Cl,$ 
   $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ (\forall z. M(z) \ \dashrightarrow \ z \in y \ \leftrightarrow \ z \in x \ \& \ P(z)),$ 
   $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). \forall z \in Mset(a). z \in y \ \leftrightarrow \ z \in x \ \& \ P(z))"$ 

```

by *fast*

Example 3'

lemma (in *reflection*)

```

"Reflects( $?Cl,$ 
   $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ y = Collect(x,P),$ 
   $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). y = Collect(x,P))"$ 

```

by *fast*

Example 3''

lemma (in *reflection*)

```

"Reflects( $?Cl,$ 
   $\lambda x. \exists y. M(y) \ \& \ y = Replace(x,P),$ 
   $\lambda a x. \exists y \in Mset(a). y = Replace(x,P))"$ 

```

by fast

Example 4: Axiom of Choice. Possibly wrong, since Π needs to be relativized.

```
lemma (in reflection)
  "Reflects(?Cl,
     $\lambda A. 0 \notin A \rightarrow (\exists f. M(f) \ \& \ f \in (\Pi X \in A. X)),$ 
     $\lambda a A. 0 \notin A \rightarrow (\exists f \in Mset(a). f \in (\Pi X \in A. X))$ )"
by fast
end
```

9 The meta-existential quantifier

theory MetaExists imports Main begin

Allows quantification over any term having sort *logic*. Used to quantify over classes. Yields a proposition rather than a FOL formula.

constdefs

```
ex :: "('a::t) => prop) => prop" (binder "?? " 0)
"ex(P) == (!!x. PROP P(x) ==> PROP Q) ==> PROP Q"
```

syntax (xsymbols)

```
"?? "      :: "[idts, o] => o"          ("( $\exists \_ / \_$ )" [0, 0] 0)
```

```
lemma meta_exI: "PROP P(x) ==> (?? x. PROP P(x))"
```

proof (unfold ex_def)

```
  assume P: "PROP P(x)"
```

```
  fix Q
```

```
  assume PQ: " $\bigwedge x. PROP P(x) \implies PROP Q$ "
```

```
  from P show "PROP Q" by (rule PQ)
```

qed

```
lemma meta_exE: "[| ?? x. PROP P(x); !!x. PROP P(x) ==> PROP R |] ==> PROP R"
```

proof (unfold ex_def)

```
  assume QPQ: " $\bigwedge Q. (\bigwedge x. PROP P(x) \implies PROP Q) \implies PROP Q$ "
```

```
  assume PR: " $\bigwedge x. PROP P(x) \implies PROP R$ "
```

```
  from PR show "PROP R" by (rule QPQ)
```

qed

end

10 The ZF Axioms (Except Separation) in L

```
theory L_axioms imports Formula Relative Reflection MetaExists begin
```

The class L satisfies the premises of locale $M_{trivial}$

```
lemma transL: "[| y∈x; L(x) |] ==> L(y)"  
apply (insert Transset_Lset)  
apply (simp add: Transset_def L_def, blast)  
done
```

```
lemma nonempty: "L(0)"  
apply (simp add: L_def)  
apply (blast intro: zero_in_Lset)  
done
```

```
theorem upair_ax: "upair_ax(L)"  
apply (simp add: upair_ax_def upair_def, clarify)  
apply (rule_tac x="{x,y}" in rexI)  
apply (simp_all add: doubleton_in_L)  
done
```

```
theorem Union_ax: "Union_ax(L)"  
apply (simp add: Union_ax_def big_union_def, clarify)  
apply (rule_tac x="Union(x)" in rexI)  
apply (simp_all add: Union_in_L, auto)  
apply (blast intro: transL)  
done
```

```
theorem power_ax: "power_ax(L)"  
apply (simp add: power_ax_def powerset_def Relative.subset_def, clarify)  
apply (rule_tac x="{y ∈ Pow(x). L(y)}" in rexI)  
apply (simp_all add: LPow_in_L, auto)  
apply (blast intro: transL)  
done
```

We don't actually need L to satisfy the foundation axiom.

```
theorem foundation_ax: "foundation_ax(L)"  
apply (simp add: foundation_ax_def)  
apply (rule rallI)  
apply (cut_tac A=x in foundation)  
apply (blast intro: transL)  
done
```

10.1 For L to satisfy Replacement

```
lemma LReplace_in_Lset:  
  "[| X ∈ Lset(i); univalent(L,X,Q); Ord(i) |]  
  ==> ∃j. Ord(j) & Replace(X, %x y. Q(x,y) & L(y)) ⊆ Lset(j)"  
apply (rule_tac x="⋃ y ∈ Replace(X, %x y. Q(x,y) & L(y)). succ(lrank(y))"
```

```

      in exI)
apply simp
apply clarify
apply (rule_tac a=x in UN_I)
  apply (simp_all add: Replace_iff univalent_def)
apply (blast dest: transL L_I)
done

lemma LReplace_in_L:
  "[|L(X); univalent(L,X,Q)|]
  ==>  $\exists Y. L(Y) \& \text{Replace}(X, \%x y. Q(x,y) \& L(y)) \subseteq Y$ "
apply (drule L_D, clarify)
apply (drule LReplace_in_Lset, assumption+)
apply (blast intro: L_I Lset_in_Lset_succ)
done

theorem replacement: "replacement(L,P)"
apply (simp add: replacement_def, clarify)
apply (frule LReplace_in_L, assumption+, clarify)
apply (rule_tac x=Y in rexI)
apply (simp_all add: Replace_iff univalent_def, blast)
done

```

10.2 Instantiating the locale M_{trivial}

No instances of Separation yet.

```

lemma Lset_mono_le: "mono_le_subset(Lset)"
by (simp add: mono_le_subset_def le_imp_subset Lset_mono)

lemma Lset_cont: "cont_Ord(Lset)"
by (simp add: cont_Ord_def Limit_Lset_eq OUnion_def Limit_is_Ord)

lemmas L_nat = Ord_in_L [OF Ord_nat]

theorem M_trivial_L: "PROP M_trivial(L)"
  apply (rule M_trivial.intro)
    apply (erule (1) transL)
    apply (rule upair_ax)
    apply (rule Union_ax)
    apply (rule power_ax)
    apply (rule replacement)
  apply (rule L_nat)
done

```

```

interpretation M_trivial ["L"] by (rule M_trivial_L)

```

10.3 Instantiation of the locale reflection

instances of locale constants

```

constdefs
  L_F0 :: "[i=>o,i] => i"
        "L_F0(P,y) ==  $\mu$  b. ( $\exists z. L(z) \wedge P(\langle y,z \rangle)$ ) --> ( $\exists z \in \text{Lset}(b). P(\langle y,z \rangle)$ )"

  L_FF :: "[i=>o,i] => i"
        "L_FF(P) ==  $\lambda a. \bigcup_{y \in \text{Lset}(a)}. L\_F0(P,y)$ "

  L_ClEx :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
        "L_ClEx(P) ==  $\lambda a. \text{Limit}(a) \wedge \text{normalize}(L\_FF(P),a) = a$ "

```

We must use the meta-existential quantifier; otherwise the reflection terms become enormous!

```

constdefs
  L_Reflects :: "[i=>o,[i,i]=>o] => prop"      ("(3REFLECTS/ [_,/ _])")
        "REFLECTS[P,Q] == (??Cl. Closed_Unbounded(Cl) &
                           ( $\forall a. Cl(a) \rightarrow (\forall x \in \text{Lset}(a). P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(a,x))$ ))"

```

theorem Triv_reflection:

```

  "REFLECTS[P,  $\lambda a x. P(x)$ ]"
apply (simp add: L_Reflects_def)
apply (rule meta_exI)
apply (rule Closed_Unbounded_Ord)
done

```

theorem Not_reflection:

```

  "REFLECTS[P,Q] ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \sim P(x)$ ,  $\lambda a x. \sim Q(a,x)$ ]"
apply (unfold L_Reflects_def)
apply (erule meta_exE)
apply (rule_tac x=Cl in meta_exI, simp)
done

```

theorem And_reflection:

```

  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; REFLECTS[P',Q'] |]
   ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. P(x) \wedge P'(x)$ ,  $\lambda a x. Q(a,x) \wedge Q'(a,x)$ ]"
apply (unfold L_Reflects_def)
apply (elim meta_exE)
apply (rule_tac x=" $\lambda a. Cl(a) \wedge Cl'a(a)$ " in meta_exI)
apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)
done

```

theorem Or_reflection:

```

  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; REFLECTS[P',Q'] |]
   ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. P(x) \vee P'(x)$ ,  $\lambda a x. Q(a,x) \vee Q'(a,x)$ ]"
apply (unfold L_Reflects_def)
apply (elim meta_exE)
apply (rule_tac x=" $\lambda a. Cl(a) \wedge Cl'a(a)$ " in meta_exI)
apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)
done

```

```

theorem Imp_reflection:
  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; REFLECTS[P',Q'] |]"
  ==> REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. P(x) \rightarrow P'(x), \lambda a x. Q(a,x) \rightarrow Q'(a,x)"]$ "
  apply (unfold L_Reflects_def)
  apply (elim meta_exE)
  apply (rule_tac x=" $\lambda a. Cl(a) \wedge Cla(a)$ " in meta_exI)
  apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)
done

theorem Iff_reflection:
  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; REFLECTS[P',Q'] |]"
  ==> REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. P(x) \leftrightarrow P'(x), \lambda a x. Q(a,x) \leftrightarrow Q'(a,x)"]$ "
  apply (unfold L_Reflects_def)
  apply (elim meta_exE)
  apply (rule_tac x=" $\lambda a. Cl(a) \wedge Cla(a)$ " in meta_exI)
  apply (simp add: Closed_Unbounded_Int, blast)
done

lemma reflection_Lset: "reflection(Lset)"
by (blast intro: reflection.intro Lset_mono_le Lset_cont
      Formula.Pair_in_LLimit)+

theorem Ex_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]"
  ==> REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. \exists z. L(z) \wedge P(x,z), \lambda a x. \exists z \in \text{Lset}(a). Q(a,x,z)"]$ "
  apply (unfold L_Reflects_def L_ClEx_def L_FF_def L_F0_def L_def)
  apply (elim meta_exE)
  apply (rule meta_exI)
  apply (erule reflection.Ex_reflection [OF reflection_Lset])
done

theorem All_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]"
  ==> REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. \forall z. L(z) \rightarrow P(x,z), \lambda a x. \forall z \in \text{Lset}(a). Q(a,x,z)"]$ "
  apply (unfold L_Reflects_def L_ClEx_def L_FF_def L_F0_def L_def)
  apply (elim meta_exE)
  apply (rule meta_exI)
  apply (erule reflection.All_reflection [OF reflection_Lset])
done

theorem Rex_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x)), \lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]"
  ==> REFLECTS[" $\lambda x. \exists z[L]. P(x,z), \lambda a x. \exists z \in \text{Lset}(a). Q(a,x,z)"]$ "
  apply (unfold rex_def)
  apply (intro And_reflection Ex_reflection, assumption)
done

```

```

theorem Rall_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ,  $\lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]
  ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \forall z[L]. P(x, z)$ ,  $\lambda a x. \forall z \in \text{Lset}(a). Q(a, x, z)$ ]"
apply (unfold rall_def)
apply (intro Imp_reflection All_reflection, assumption)
done

```

This version handles an alternative form of the bounded quantifier in the second argument of *REFLECTS*.

```

theorem Rex_reflection':
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ,  $\lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]
  ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \exists z[L]. P(x, z)$ ,  $\lambda a x. \exists z[\#\text{Lset}(a)]. Q(a, x, z)$ ]"
apply (unfold setclass_def rex_def)
apply (erule Rex_reflection [unfolded rex_def Bex_def])
done

```

As above.

```

theorem Rall_reflection':
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. P(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ,  $\lambda a x. Q(a, \text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))$ ]
  ==> REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \forall z[L]. P(x, z)$ ,  $\lambda a x. \forall z[\#\text{Lset}(a)]. Q(a, x, z)$ ]"
apply (unfold setclass_def rall_def)
apply (erule Rall_reflection [unfolded rall_def Ball_def])
done

```

```

lemmas FOL_reflections =
  Triv_reflection Not_reflection And_reflection Or_reflection
  Imp_reflection Iff_reflection Ex_reflection All_reflection
  Rex_reflection Rall_reflection Rex_reflection' Rall_reflection'

```

```

lemma ReflectsD:
  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; Ord(i) |]
  ==>  $\exists j. i < j \ \& \ (\forall x \in \text{Lset}(j). P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(j, x))$ "
apply (unfold L_Reflects_def Closed_Unbounded_def)
apply (elim meta_exE, clarify)
apply (blast dest!: UnboundedD)
done

```

```

lemma ReflectsE:
  "[| REFLECTS[P,Q]; Ord(i);
  !!j. [| i < j;  $\forall x \in \text{Lset}(j). P(x) \leftrightarrow Q(j, x)$  |] ==> R |]
  ==> R"
by (drule ReflectsD, assumption, blast)

```

```

lemma Collect_mem_eq: "{x ∈ A. x ∈ B} = A ∩ B"
by blast

```

10.4 Internalized Formulas for some Set-Theoretic Concepts

10.4.1 Some numbers to help write de Bruijn indices

syntax

```
"3" :: i ("3")
"4" :: i ("4")
"5" :: i ("5")
"6" :: i ("6")
"7" :: i ("7")
"8" :: i ("8")
"9" :: i ("9")
```

translations

```
"3" == "succ(2)"
"4" == "succ(3)"
"5" == "succ(4)"
"6" == "succ(5)"
"7" == "succ(6)"
"8" == "succ(7)"
"9" == "succ(8)"
```

10.4.2 The Empty Set, Internalized

```
constdefs empty_fm :: "i=>i"
  "empty_fm(x) == Forall(Neg(Member(0,succ(x))))"
```

```
lemma empty_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> empty_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: empty_fm_def)
```

```
lemma sats_empty_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, empty_fm(x), env) <-> empty(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: empty_fm_def empty_def)
```

```
lemma empty_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> empty(##A, x) <-> sats(A, empty_fm(i), env)"
by simp
```

```
theorem empty_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. empty(L,f(x)),
  λi x. empty(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: empty_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections)
done
```

Not used. But maybe useful?

```

lemma Transset_sats_empty_fm_eq_0:
  "[| n ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); Transset(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, empty_fm(n), env) <-> nth(n,env) = 0"
apply (simp add: empty_fm_def empty_def Transset_def, auto)
apply (case_tac "n < length(env)")
apply (frule nth_type, assumption+, blast)
apply (simp_all add: not_lt_iff_le nth_eq_0)
done

```

10.4.3 Unordered Pairs, Internalized

```

constdefs upair_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "upair_fm(x,y,z) ==
  And(Member(x,z),
    And(Member(y,z),
      Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(z)),
        Or(Equal(0,succ(x)), Equal(0,succ(y)))))))"

```

```

lemma upair_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> upair_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: upair_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_upair_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, upair_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  upair(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: upair_fm_def upair_def)

```

```

lemma upair_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> upair(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, upair_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_upair_fm)

```

Useful? At least it refers to "real" unordered pairs

```

lemma sats_upair_fm2 [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z < length(env); env ∈ list(A); Transset(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, upair_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  nth(z,env) = {nth(x,env), nth(y,env)}"
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: upair_fm_def Transset_def, auto)
apply (blast intro: nth_type)
done

```

```

theorem upair_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. upair(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
  λi x. upair(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp add: upair_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections)

```

done

10.4.4 Ordered pairs, Internalized

```
constdefs pair_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "pair_fm(x,y,z) ==
    Exists(And(upair_fm(succ(x),succ(x),0),
      Exists(And(upair_fm(succ(succ(x)),succ(succ(y)),0),
        upair_fm(1,0,succ(succ(z)))))))"

lemma pair_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> pair_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: pair_fm_def)

lemma sats_pair_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, pair_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    pair(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: pair_fm_def pair_def)

lemma pair_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> pair(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, pair_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_pair_fm)

theorem pair_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. pair(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. pair(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: pair_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections upair_reflection)
done
```

10.4.5 Binary Unions, Internalized

```
constdefs union_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "union_fm(x,y,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Or(Member(0,succ(x)),Member(0,succ(y))))))"

lemma union_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> union_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: union_fm_def)

lemma sats_union_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, union_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    union(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: union_fm_def union_def)
```

```

lemma union_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> union(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, union_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_union_fm)

```

```

theorem union_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. union(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. union(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: union_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections)
done

```

10.4.6 Set “Cons,” Internalized

```

constdefs cons_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "cons_fm(x,y,z) ==
    Exists(And(upair_fm(succ(x),succ(x),0),
      union_fm(0,succ(y),succ(z))))"

```

```

lemma cons_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> cons_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: cons_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_cons_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, cons_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_cons(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: cons_fm_def is_cons_def)

```

```

lemma cons_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_cons(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, cons_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem cons_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_cons(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_cons(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_cons_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections upair_reflection union_reflection)
done

```

10.4.7 Successor Function, Internalized

```

constdefs succ_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "succ_fm(x,y) == cons_fm(x,x,y)"

```

```

lemma succ_type [TC]:

```

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> succ_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: succ_fm_def)

lemma sats_succ_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, succ_fm(x,y), env) <->
    successor(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
  by (simp add: succ_fm_def successor_def)

lemma successor_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> successor(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, succ_fm(i,j), env)"
  by simp

theorem successor_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. successor(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. successor(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
  apply (simp only: successor_def)
  apply (intro cons_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.8 The Number 1, Internalized

```

constdefs number1_fm :: "i=>i"
  "number1_fm(a) == Exists(And(empty_fm(0), succ_fm(0,succ(a))))"

lemma number1_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> number1_fm(x) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: number1_fm_def)

lemma sats_number1_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, number1_fm(x), env) <-> number1(##A, nth(x,env))"
  by (simp add: number1_fm_def number1_def)

lemma number1_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> number1(##A, x) <-> sats(A, number1_fm(i), env)"
  by simp

theorem number1_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. number1(L,f(x)),
    λi x. number1(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
  apply (simp only: number1_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections empty_reflection successor_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.9 Big Union, Internalized

```
constdefs big_union_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "big_union_fm(A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))), Member(1,0))))))"
```

```
lemma big_union_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> big_union_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: big_union_fm_def)
```

```
lemma sats_big_union_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, big_union_fm(x,y), env) <->
    big_union(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: big_union_fm_def big_union_def)
```

```
lemma big_union_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> big_union(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, big_union_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp
```

```
theorem big_union_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. big_union(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. big_union(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: big_union_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections)
done
```

10.4.10 Variants of Satisfaction Definitions for Ordinals, etc.

The *sats* theorems below are standard versions of the ones proved in theory *Formula*. They relate elements of type *formula* to relativized concepts such as *subset* or *ordinal* rather than to real concepts such as *Ord*. Now that we have instantiated the locale *M_trivial*, we no longer require the earlier versions.

```
lemma sats_subset_fm':
  "[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, subset_fm(x,y), env) <-> subset(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: subset_fm_def Relative.subset_def)
```

```
theorem subset_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. subset(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. subset(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: Relative.subset_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections)
done
```

```

lemma sats_transset_fm':
  "[|x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, transset_fm(x), env) <-> transitive_set(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: sats_subset_fm' transset_fm_def transitive_set_def)

theorem transitive_set_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. transitive_set(L,f(x)),
            λi x. transitive_set(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: transitive_set_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections subset_reflection)
done

lemma sats_ordinal_fm':
  "[|x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, ordinal_fm(x), env) <-> ordinal(##A,nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: sats_transset_fm' ordinal_fm_def ordinal_def)

lemma ordinal_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> ordinal(##A, x) <-> sats(A, ordinal_fm(i), env)"
by (simp add: sats_ordinal_fm')

theorem ordinal_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. ordinal(L,f(x)), λi x. ordinal(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: ordinal_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections transitive_set_reflection)
done

```

10.4.11 Membership Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs Memrel_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Memrel_fm(A,r) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(r)),
               Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
                           Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(A))),
                                       And(Member(1,0),
                                           pair_fm(1,0,2))))))))))"

lemma Memrel_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> Memrel_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Memrel_fm_def)

lemma sats_Memrel_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Memrel_fm(x,y), env) <->
    membership(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: Memrel_fm_def membership_def)

lemma Memrel_iff_sats:

```

```

    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
       i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> membership(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, Memrel_fm(i,j), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem membership_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. membership(L,f(x),g(x)),
            λi x. membership(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: membership_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

10.4.12 Predecessor Set, Internalized

```

constdefs pred_set_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
  "pred_set_fm(A,x,r,B) ==
   Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(B)),
              Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
                          And(Member(1,succ(succ(A))),
                              pair_fm(1,succ(succ(x)),0)))))))"

```

```

lemma pred_set_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat |]
  ==> pred_set_fm(A,x,r,B) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: pred_set_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_pred_set_fm [simp]:
  "[| U ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, pred_set_fm(U,x,r,B), env) <->
    pred_set(##A, nth(U,env), nth(x,env), nth(r,env), nth(B,env))"
by (simp add: pred_set_fm_def pred_set_def)

```

```

lemma pred_set_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = U; nth(j,env) = x; nth(k,env) = r; nth(l,env) =
  B;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; l ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> pred_set(##A,U,x,r,B) <-> sats(A, pred_set_fm(i,j,k,l), env)"
by (simp add: sats_pred_set_fm)

```

```

theorem pred_set_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. pred_set(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),b(x)),
            λi x. pred_set(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),b(x))]"
apply (simp only: pred_set_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

10.4.13 Domain of a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs domain_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"

```

```

"domain_fm(r,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
             Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
                        Exists(pair_fm(2,0,1)))))))"

lemma domain_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> domain_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: domain_fm_def)

lemma sats_domain_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, domain_fm(x,y), env) <->
      is_domain(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: domain_fm_def is_domain_def)

lemma domain_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_domain(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, domain_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp

theorem domain_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_domain(L,f(x),g(x)),
            λi x. is_domain(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_domain_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

10.4.14 Range of a Relation, Internalized

constdefs range_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
"range_fm(r,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
             Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
                        Exists(pair_fm(0,2,1)))))))"

lemma range_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> range_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: range_fm_def)

lemma sats_range_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, range_fm(x,y), env) <->
      is_range(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: range_fm_def is_range_def)

lemma range_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]

```

```

    ==> is_range(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, range_fm(i,j), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem range_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_range}(L, f(x), g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_range}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x))]$ "
  apply (simp only: is_range_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.15 Field of a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs field_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "field_fm(r,z) ==
    Exists(And(domain_fm(succ(r),0),
      Exists(And(range_fm(succ(succ(r)),0),
        union_fm(1,0,succ(succ(z)))))))"

```

```

lemma field_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat |] ==> field_fm(x,y)  $\in$  formula"
  by (simp add: field_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_field_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, field_fm(x,y), env) <->
    is_field(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
  by (simp add: field_fm_def is_field_def)

```

```

lemma field_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> is_field(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, field_fm(i,j), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem field_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_field}(L, f(x), g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_field}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x))]$ "
  apply (simp only: is_field_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections domain_reflection range_reflection
    union_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.16 Image under a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs image_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "image_fm(r,A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(A))))),
          pair_fm(0,2,1)))))))"

```

```

lemma image_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> image_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: image_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_image_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, image_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  image(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: image_fm_def Relative.image_def)

```

```

lemma image_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> image(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, image_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_image_fm)

```

```

theorem image_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. image(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
  λi x. image(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: Relative.image_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

10.4.17 Pre-Image under a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs pre_image_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "pre_image_fm(r,A,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
  Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(r))),
  Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
  pair_fm(2,0,1)))))))"

```

```

lemma pre_image_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> pre_image_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: pre_image_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_pre_image_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, pre_image_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  pre_image(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: pre_image_fm_def Relative.pre_image_def)

```

```

lemma pre_image_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> pre_image(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, pre_image_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_pre_image_fm)

```

```

theorem pre_image_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{pre\_image}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{pre\_image}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: Relative.pre_image_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

10.4.18 Function Application, Internalized

```

constdefs fun_apply_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "fun_apply_fm(f,x,y) ==
    Exists(Exists(And(upair_fm(succ(succ(x)), succ(succ(x)), 1),
      And(image_fm(succ(succ(f)), 1, 0),
        big_union_fm(0, succ(succ(y)))))))"

```

```

lemma fun_apply_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> fun_apply_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: fun_apply_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_fun_apply_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, fun_apply_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    fun_apply(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: fun_apply_fm_def fun_apply_def)

```

```

lemma fun_apply_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; k  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> fun_apply(\#\#A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, fun_apply_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem fun_apply_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{fun\_apply}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: fun_apply_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections upair_reflection image_reflection
  big_union_reflection)
done

```

10.4.19 The Concept of Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs relation_fm :: "i=>i"
  "relation_fm(r) ==
    Forall(Implies(Member(0, succ(r)), Exists(Exists(pair_fm(1,0,2)))))"

```

```

lemma relation_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat |] ==> relation_fm(x)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: relation_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_relation_fm [simp]:

```

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, relation_fm(x), env) <-> is_relation(##A, nth(x,env))"
  by (simp add: relation_fm_def is_relation_def)

```

```

lemma relation_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_relation(##A, x) <-> sats(A, relation_fm(i), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem is_relation_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_relation(L,f(x)),
    λi x. is_relation(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_relation_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.20 The Concept of Function, Internalized

```

constdefs function_fm :: "i=>i"
  "function_fm(r) ==
  Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
    Implies(pair_fm(4,3,1),
      Implies(pair_fm(4,2,0),
        Implies(Member(1,r#+5),
          Implies(Member(0,r#+5), Equal(3,2))))))))))"

```

```

lemma function_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat |] ==> function_fm(x) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: function_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_function_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, function_fm(x), env) <-> is_function(##A, nth(x,env))"
  by (simp add: function_fm_def is_function_def)

```

```

lemma is_function_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_function(##A, x) <-> sats(A, function_fm(i), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem is_function_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_function(L,f(x)),
    λi x. is_function(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_function_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.21 Typed Functions, Internalized

```

constdefs typed_function_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "typed_function_fm(A,B,r) ==
    And(function_fm(r),
      And(relation_fm(r),
        And(domain_fm(r,A),
          Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(r)),
            Forall(Forall(Implies(pair_fm(1,0,2),Member(0,B#+3))))))))))"

```

```

lemma typed_function_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> typed_function_fm(x,y,z) ∈
  formula"
by (simp add: typed_function_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_typed_function_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, typed_function_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  typed_function(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: typed_function_fm_def typed_function_def)

```

```

lemma typed_function_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> typed_function(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, typed_function_fm(i,j,k),
  env)"
by simp

```

```

lemmas function_reflections =
  empty_reflection number1_reflection
  upair_reflection pair_reflection union_reflection
  big_union_reflection cons_reflection successor_reflection
  fun_apply_reflection subset_reflection
  transitive_set_reflection membership_reflection
  pred_set_reflection domain_reflection range_reflection field_reflection
  image_reflection pre_image_reflection
  is_relation_reflection is_function_reflection

```

```

lemmas function_iff_sats =
  empty_iff_sats number1_iff_sats
  upair_iff_sats pair_iff_sats union_iff_sats
  big_union_iff_sats cons_iff_sats successor_iff_sats
  fun_apply_iff_sats Memrel_iff_sats
  pred_set_iff_sats domain_iff_sats range_iff_sats field_iff_sats
  image_iff_sats pre_image_iff_sats
  relation_iff_sats is_function_iff_sats

```

```

theorem typed_function_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. typed_function(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),

```

```

        λi x. typed_function(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: typed_function_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done

```

10.4.22 Composition of Relations, Internalized

```

constdefs composition_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "composition_fm(r,s,t) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(t)),
    Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(pair_fm(4,2,5),
      And(pair_fm(4,3,1),
      And(pair_fm(3,2,0),
      And(Member(1,s#+6), Member(0,r#+6)))))))))))))"

lemma composition_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> composition_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: composition_fm_def)

lemma sats_composition_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, composition_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  composition(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: composition_fm_def composition_def)

lemma composition_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> composition(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, composition_fm(i,j,k),
env)"
by simp

theorem composition_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. composition(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
  λi x. composition(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: composition_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

10.4.23 Injections, Internalized

```

constdefs injection_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "injection_fm(A,B,f) ==
  And(typed_function_fm(A,B,f),
  Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
    Implies(pair_fm(4,2,1),
    Implies(pair_fm(3,2,0),
    Implies(Member(1,f#+5),
    Implies(Member(0,f#+5), Equal(4,3)))))))))))))"

```

```

lemma injection_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> injection_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: injection_fm_def)

lemma sats_injection_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, injection_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  injection(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: injection_fm_def injection_def)

lemma injection_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> injection(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, injection_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

theorem injection_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. injection(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
  λi x. injection(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: injection_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections typed_function_reflection)
done

```

10.4.24 Surjections, Internalized

```

constdefs surjection_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "surjection_fm(A,B,f) ==
  And(typed_function_fm(A,B,f),
  Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(B)),
  Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
  fun_apply_fm(succ(succ(f)),0,1))))))"

lemma surjection_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> surjection_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: surjection_fm_def)

lemma sats_surjection_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, surjection_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  surjection(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: surjection_fm_def surjection_def)

lemma surjection_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> surjection(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, surjection_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem surjection_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{surjection}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{surjection}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: surjection_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections typed_function_reflection)
done

```

10.4.25 Bijections, Internalized

```

constdefs bijection_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "bijection_fm(A,B,f) == And(injection_fm(A,B,f), surjection_fm(A,B,f))"

```

```

lemma bijection_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> bijection_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: bijection_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_bijection_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, bijection_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    bijection( $\#\#A$ , nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: bijection_fm_def bijection_def)

```

```

lemma bijection_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; k  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> bijection( $\#\#A$ , x, y, z) <-> sats(A, bijection_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem bijection_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{bijection}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{bijection}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: bijection_def)
apply (intro And_reflection injection_reflection surjection_reflection)
done

```

10.4.26 Restriction of a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs restriction_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "restriction_fm(r,A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      And(Member(0,succ(r)),
        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
          Exists(pair_fm(1,0,2)))))))"

```

```

lemma restriction_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> restriction_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: restriction_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_restriction_fm [simp]:

```

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, restriction_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
        restriction(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
  by (simp add: restriction_fm_def restriction_def)

lemma restriction_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> restriction(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, restriction_fm(i,j,k),
env)"
  by simp

theorem restriction_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. restriction(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. restriction(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
  apply (simp only: restriction_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.27 Order-Isomorphisms, Internalized

```

constdefs order_isomorphism_fm :: "[i,i,i,i,i]=>i"
  "order_isomorphism_fm(A,r,B,s,f) ==
  And(bijection_fm(A,B,f),
    Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(A)),
      Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
        Forall(Forall(Forall(Forall(
          Implies(pair_fm(5,4,3),
            Implies(fun_apply_fm(f#+6,5,2),
              Implies(fun_apply_fm(f#+6,4,1),
                Implies(pair_fm(2,1,0),
                  Iff(Member(3,r#+6), Member(0,s#+6)))))))))))))))))"

lemma order_isomorphism_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; s ∈ nat; f ∈ nat |]
  ==> order_isomorphism_fm(A,r,B,s,f) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: order_isomorphism_fm_def)

lemma sats_order_isomorphism_fm [simp]:
  "[| U ∈ nat; r ∈ nat; B ∈ nat; s ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, order_isomorphism_fm(U,r,B,s,f), env) <->
    order_isomorphism(##A, nth(U,env), nth(r,env), nth(B,env),
      nth(s,env), nth(f,env))"
  by (simp add: order_isomorphism_fm_def order_isomorphism_def)

lemma order_isomorphism_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = U; nth(j,env) = r; nth(k,env) = B; nth(j',env) = s;
    nth(k',env) = f;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; j' ∈ nat; k' ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]

```

```

    ==> order_isomorphism(##A,U,r,B,s,f) <->
      sats(A, order_isomorphism_fm(i,j,k,j',k'), env)"
  by simp

theorem order_isomorphism_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. order_isomorphism(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x),h'(x)),
    λi x. order_isomorphism(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x),h'(x))]"
  apply (simp only: order_isomorphism_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections bijection_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.28 Limit Ordinals, Internalized

A limit ordinal is a non-empty, successor-closed ordinal

```

constdefs limit_ordinal_fm :: "i=>i"
  "limit_ordinal_fm(x) ==
    And(ordinal_fm(x),
      And(Neg(empty_fm(x)),
        Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
          Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(x))),
            succ_fm(1,0)))))))"

```

```

lemma limit_ordinal_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> limit_ordinal_fm(x) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: limit_ordinal_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_limit_ordinal_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, limit_ordinal_fm(x), env) <-> limit_ordinal(##A, nth(x,env))"
  by (simp add: limit_ordinal_fm_def limit_ordinal_def sats_ordinal_fm')

```

```

lemma limit_ordinal_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> limit_ordinal(##A, x) <-> sats(A, limit_ordinal_fm(i), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem limit_ordinal_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. limit_ordinal(L,f(x)),
    λi x. limit_ordinal(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
  apply (simp only: limit_ordinal_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections ordinal_reflection
    empty_reflection successor_reflection)
  done

```

10.4.29 Finite Ordinals: The Predicate “Is A Natural Number”

```

constdefs finite_ordinal_fm :: "i=>i"
  "finite_ordinal_fm(x) ==

```

```

    And(ordinal_fm(x),
        And(Neg(limit_ordinal_fm(x)),
            Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
                Neg(limit_ordinal_fm(0))))))"

lemma finite_ordinal_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> finite_ordinal_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: finite_ordinal_fm_def)

lemma sats_finite_ordinal_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, finite_ordinal_fm(x), env) <-> finite_ordinal(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: finite_ordinal_fm_def sats_ordinal_fm' finite_ordinal_def)

lemma finite_ordinal_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> finite_ordinal(##A, x) <-> sats(A, finite_ordinal_fm(i), env)"
by simp

theorem finite_ordinal_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. finite_ordinal(L,f(x)),
    λi x. finite_ordinal(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: finite_ordinal_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections ordinal_reflection limit_ordinal_reflection)
done

10.4.30 Omega: The Set of Natural Numbers

constdefs omega_fm :: "i=>i"
  "omega_fm(x) ==
    And(limit_ordinal_fm(x),
        Forall(Implies(Member(0,succ(x)),
            Neg(limit_ordinal_fm(0)))))"

lemma omega_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> omega_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: omega_fm_def)

lemma sats_omega_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, omega_fm(x), env) <-> omega(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: omega_fm_def omega_def)

lemma omega_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> omega(##A, x) <-> sats(A, omega_fm(i), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem omega_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{omega}(L, f(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{omega}(\#\text{Lset}(i), f(x))$ ]"
apply (simp only: omega_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections limit_ordinal_reflection)
done

lemmas fun_plus_reflections =
  typed_function_reflection composition_reflection
  injection_reflection surjection_reflection
  bijection_reflection restriction_reflection
  order_isomorphism_reflection finite_ordinal_reflection
  ordinal_reflection limit_ordinal_reflection omega_reflection

lemmas fun_plus_iff_sats =
  typed_function_iff_sats composition_iff_sats
  injection_iff_sats surjection_iff_sats
  bijection_iff_sats restriction_iff_sats
  order_isomorphism_iff_sats finite_ordinal_iff_sats
  ordinal_iff_sats limit_ordinal_iff_sats omega_iff_sats

end

```

11 Early Instances of Separation and Strong Replacement

```

theory Separation imports L_axioms WF_absolute begin

```

This theory proves all instances needed for locale *M_basic*

Helps us solve for de Bruijn indices!

```

lemma nth_ConsI: "[ $\text{nth}(n, l) = x; n \in \text{nat}$ ] ==>  $\text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) = x$ "
by simp

```

```

lemmas nth_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI nat_0I nat_succI
lemmas sep_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
  fun_plus_iff_sats

```

```

lemma Collect_conj_in_DPow:
  "[ $\{x \in A. P(x)\} \in \text{DPow}(A); \{x \in A. Q(x)\} \in \text{DPow}(A)$ ] ]
  ==>  $\{x \in A. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)\} \in \text{DPow}(A)$ "
by (simp add: Int_in_DPow Collect_Int_Collect_eq [symmetric])

```

```

lemma Collect_conj_in_DPow_Lset:

```

```

      "[|z ∈ Lset(j); {x ∈ Lset(j). P(x)} ∈ DPow(Lset(j))|]
      ==> {x ∈ Lset(j). x ∈ z & P(x)} ∈ DPow(Lset(j))"
    apply (frule mem_Lset_imp_subset_Lset)
    apply (simp add: Collect_conj_in_DPow Collect_mem_eq
      subset_Int_iff2 elem_subset_in_DPow)
  done

lemma separation_CollectI:
  "(\z. L(z) ==> L({x ∈ z . P(x)})) ==> separation(L, \x. P(x))"
  apply (unfold separation_def, clarify)
  apply (rule_tac x="{x∈z. P(x)}" in rexI)
  apply simp_all
  done

```

Reduces the original comprehension to the reflected one

```

lemma reflection_imp_L_separation:
  "[| \x∈Lset(j). P(x) <-> Q(x);
    {x ∈ Lset(j) . Q(x)} ∈ DPow(Lset(j));
    Ord(j); z ∈ Lset(j)|] ==> L({x ∈ z . P(x)})"
  apply (rule_tac i = "succ(j)" in L_I)
  prefer 2 apply simp
  apply (subgoal_tac "{x ∈ z. P(x)} = {x ∈ Lset(j). x ∈ z & (Q(x))}")
  prefer 2
  apply (blast dest: mem_Lset_imp_subset_Lset)
  apply (simp add: Lset_succ Collect_conj_in_DPow_Lset)
  done

```

Encapsulates the standard proof script for proving instances of Separation.

```

lemma gen_separation:
  assumes reflection: "REFLECTS [P,Q]"
  and Lu: "L(u)"
  and collI: "!!j. u ∈ Lset(j)
    ==> Collect(Lset(j), Q(j)) ∈ DPow(Lset(j))"
  shows "separation(L,P)"
  apply (rule separation_CollectI)
  apply (rule_tac A="{u,z}" in subset_LsetE, blast intro: Lu)
  apply (rule ReflectsE [OF reflection], assumption)
  apply (drule subset_Lset_ltD, assumption)
  apply (erule reflection_imp_L_separation)
  apply (simp_all add: lt_Ord2, clarify)
  apply (rule collI, assumption)
  done

```

As above, but typically u is a finite enumeration such as $\{a, b\}$; thus the new subgoal gets the assumption $\{a, b\} \subseteq Lset(i)$, which is logically equivalent to $a \in Lset(i)$ and $b \in Lset(i)$.

```

lemma gen_separation_multi:
  assumes reflection: "REFLECTS [P,Q]"

```

```

    and Lu:          "L(u)"
    and collI: "!!j. u  $\subseteq$  Lset(j)
                 $\implies$  Collect(Lset(j), Q(j))  $\in$  DPow(Lset(j))"
  shows "separation(L,P)"
  apply (rule gen_separation [OF reflection Lu])
  apply (drule mem_Lset_imp_subset_Lset)
  apply (erule collI)
done

```

11.1 Separation for Intersection

```

lemma Inter_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \forall y[L]. y \in A \rightarrow x \in y,$ 
             $\lambda i x. \forall y \in \text{Lset}(i). y \in A \rightarrow x \in y]$ "
by (intro FOL_reflections)

```

```

lemma Inter_separation:
  "L(A)  $\implies$  separation(L,  $\lambda x. \forall y[L]. y \in A \rightarrow x \in y$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF Inter_Reflects], simp)
apply (rule DPow_LsetI)

```

I leave this one example of a manual proof. The tedium of manually instantiating i, j and env is obvious.

```

apply (rule ball_iff_sats)
apply (rule imp_iff_sats)
apply (rule_tac [2] i=1 and j=0 and env="[y,x,A]" in mem_iff_sats)
apply (rule_tac i=0 and j=2 in mem_iff_sats)
apply (simp_all add: succ_Un_distrib [symmetric])
done

```

11.2 Separation for Set Difference

```

lemma Diff_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. x \notin B, \lambda i x. x \notin B]$ "
by (intro FOL_reflections)

```

```

lemma Diff_separation:
  "L(B)  $\implies$  separation(L,  $\lambda x. x \notin B$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF Diff_Reflects], simp)
apply (rule_tac env="[B]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.3 Separation for Cartesian Product

```

lemma cartprod_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda z. \exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. y \in B \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,x,y,z)),$ 
             $\lambda i z. \exists x \in \text{Lset}(i). x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). y \in B \ \& \$ 
               $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),x,y,z))]$ "
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma cartprod_separation:
  "[| L(A); L(B) |]
  ==> separation(L,  $\lambda z. \exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. y \in B \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,x,y,z))$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF cartprod_Reflects, of "{A,B}"], auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[A,B]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.4 Separation for Image

```

lemma image_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda y. \exists p[L]. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,x,y,p))$ ,
   $\lambda i y. \exists p \in \text{Lset}(i). p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in \text{Lset}(i). x \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),x,y,p))$ ]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma image_separation:
  "[| L(A); L(r) |]
  ==> separation(L,  $\lambda y. \exists p[L]. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,x,y,p))$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF image_Reflects, of "{A,r}"], auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[A,r]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.5 Separation for Converse

```

lemma converse_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda z. \exists p[L]. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[L]. \exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,x,y,p) \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,y,x,z))$ ,
   $\lambda i z. \exists p \in \text{Lset}(i). p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists y \in \text{Lset}(i).
  \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),x,y,p) \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),y,x,z))$ ]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma converse_separation:
  "L(r) ==> separation(L,
   $\lambda z. \exists p[L]. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x[L]. \exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,x,y,p) \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,y,x,z))$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF converse_Reflects], simp)
apply (rule_tac env="[r]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.6 Separation for Restriction

```

lemma restrict_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda z. \exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,x,y,z))$ ,
   $\lambda i z. \exists x \in \text{Lset}(i). x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),x,y,z))$ ]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma restrict_separation:
  "L(A) ==> separation(L,  $\lambda z. \exists x[L]. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,x,y,z))$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF restrict_Reflects], simp)

```

```

apply (rule_tac env="[A]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.7 Separation for Composition

```

lemma comp_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda xz. \exists x[L]. \exists y[L]. \exists z[L]. \exists xy[L]. \exists yz[L].$ 
    pair(L,x,z,xz) & pair(L,x,y,xy) & pair(L,y,z,yz) &
    xy $\in$ s & yz $\in$ r,
   $\lambda i xz. \exists x \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists z \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists xy \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists yz \in \text{Lset}(i).$ 
    pair(##Lset(i),x,z,xz) & pair(##Lset(i),x,y,xy) &
    pair(##Lset(i),y,z,yz) & xy $\in$ s & yz $\in$ r]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma comp_separation:
  "[| L(r); L(s) |]
  ==> separation(L,  $\lambda xz. \exists x[L]. \exists y[L]. \exists z[L]. \exists xy[L]. \exists yz[L].$ 
    pair(L,x,z,xz) & pair(L,x,y,xy) & pair(L,y,z,yz) &
    xy $\in$ s & yz $\in$ r)"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF comp_Reflects, of "{r,s}"], auto)

```

Subgoals after applying general “separation” rule:

1. $\bigwedge j. \llbracket L(r); L(s); r \in \text{Lset}(j); s \in \text{Lset}(j) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \{xz \in \text{Lset}(j) .$
 $\exists x \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\exists y \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\exists z \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), x, z, xz) \wedge$
 $(\exists xy \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), x, y, xy) \wedge$
 $(\exists yz \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), y, z, yz) \wedge$
 $xy \in s \wedge yz \in r)\} \in$
 $\text{DPow}(\text{Lset}(j))$

```

apply (rule_tac env="[r,s]" in DPow_LsetI)

```

Subgoals ready for automatic synthesis of a formula:

1. $\bigwedge j x. \llbracket L(r); L(s); r \in \text{Lset}(j); s \in \text{Lset}(j); x \in \text{Lset}(j) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\exists xa \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\exists y \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\exists z \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), xa, z, x) \wedge$
 $(\exists xy \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), xa, y, xy) \wedge$
 $(\exists yz \in \text{Lset}(j).$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(j), y, z, yz) \wedge$

```

xy ∈ s ∧ yz ∈ r))) ←→
sats(Lset(j), ?p30(j), [x, r, s])
2. ∧j. [L(r); L(s); r ∈ Lset(j); s ∈ Lset(j)]
    ⇒ [r, s] ∈ list(Lset(j))
3. ∧j. [L(r); L(s); r ∈ Lset(j); s ∈ Lset(j)]
    ⇒ ?p30(j) ∈ formula

```

```

apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.8 Separation for Predecessors in an Order

```

lemma pred_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λy. ∃p[L]. p∈r & pair(L,y,x,p),
    λi y. ∃p ∈ Lset(i). p∈r & pair(##Lset(i),y,x,p)]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma pred_separation:
  "[| L(r); L(x) |] ==> separation(L, λy. ∃p[L]. p∈r & pair(L,y,x,p))"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF pred_Reflects, of "{r,x}"], auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{r,x}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.9 Separation for the Membership Relation

```

lemma Memrel_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λz. ∃x[L]. ∃y[L]. pair(L,x,y,z) & x ∈ y,
    λi z. ∃x ∈ Lset(i). ∃y ∈ Lset(i). pair(##Lset(i),x,y,z)
    & x ∈ y]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma Memrel_separation:
  "separation(L, λz. ∃x[L]. ∃y[L]. pair(L,x,y,z) & x ∈ y)"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF Memrel_Reflects nonempty])
apply (rule_tac env="" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.10 Replacement for FunSpace

```

lemma funspace_succ_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λz. ∃p[L]. p∈A & (∃f[L]. ∃b[L]. ∃nb[L]. ∃cnbf[L].
    pair(L,f,b,p) & pair(L,n,b,nb) & is_cons(L,nb,f,cnbf) &
    upair(L,cnbf,cnbf,z)),
    λi z. ∃p ∈ Lset(i). p∈A & (∃f ∈ Lset(i). ∃b ∈ Lset(i).
    ∃nb ∈ Lset(i). ∃cnbf ∈ Lset(i).
    pair(##Lset(i),f,b,p) & pair(##Lset(i),n,b,nb) &
    is_cons(##Lset(i),nb,f,cnbf) & upair(##Lset(i),cnbf,cnbf,z))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

```

lemma funspace_succ_replacement:
  "L(n) ==>
    strong_replacement(L,  $\lambda p z. \exists f[L]. \exists b[L]. \exists nb[L]. \exists cnbf[L].$ 
      pair(L,f,b,p) & pair(L,n,b,nb) & is_cons(L,nb,f,cnbf)
  &
    upair(L,cnbf,cnbf,z))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{n,B}" in gen_separation_multi [OF funspace_succ_Reflects],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[n,B]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.11 Separation for a Theorem about *is_recfun*

```

lemma is_recfun_reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \exists xa[L]. \exists xb[L].$ 
    pair(L,x,a,xa) & xa  $\in$  r & pair(L,x,b,xb) & xb  $\in$  r &
    ( $\exists fx[L]. \exists gx[L]. \text{fun\_apply}(L,f,x,fx) \ \& \ \text{fun\_apply}(L,g,x,gx)$ 
  &
    fx  $\neq$  gx),
   $\lambda i x. \exists xa \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists xb \in \text{Lset}(i).$ 
    pair(##Lset(i),x,a,xa) & xa  $\in$  r & pair(##Lset(i),x,b,xb) & xb
 $\in$  r &
    ( $\exists fx \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists gx \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{fun\_apply}(##Lset(i),f,x,fx)$ 
  &
    fun_apply(##Lset(i),g,x,gx) & fx  $\neq$  gx)]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections)

```

```

lemma is_recfun_separation:
  — for well-founded recursion
  "[| L(r); L(f); L(g); L(a); L(b) |]
  ==> separation(L,
     $\lambda x. \exists xa[L]. \exists xb[L].$ 
    pair(L,x,a,xa) & xa  $\in$  r & pair(L,x,b,xb) & xb  $\in$  r &
    ( $\exists fx[L]. \exists gx[L]. \text{fun\_apply}(L,f,x,fx) \ \& \ \text{fun\_apply}(L,g,x,gx)$ 
  &
    fx  $\neq$  gx))"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF is_recfun_reflects, of "{r,f,g,a,b}"],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[r,f,g,a,b]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

11.12 Instantiating the locale M_{basic}

Separation (and Strong Replacement) for basic set-theoretic constructions such as intersection, Cartesian Product and image.

```
lemma M_basic_axioms_L: "M_basic_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_basic_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule
    Inter_separation Diff_separation cartprod_separation image_separation
    converse_separation restrict_separation
    comp_separation pred_separation Memrel_separation
    funspace_succ_replacement is_recfun_separation)+
  done

theorem M_basic_L: "PROP M_basic(L)"
by (rule M_basic.intro [OF M_trivial_L M_basic_axioms_L])

interpretation M_basic [L] by (rule M_basic_axioms_L)

end
```

```
theory Internalize imports L_axioms Datatype_absolute begin
```

11.13 Internalized Forms of Data Structuring Operators

11.13.1 The Formula is_Inl , Internalized

```
constdefs Inl_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Inl_fm(a,z) == Exists(And(empty_fm(0), pair_fm(0,succ(a),succ(z))))"

lemma Inl_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Inl_fm(x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Inl_fm_def)

lemma sats_Inl_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Inl_fm(x,z), env) <-> is_Inl(##A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: Inl_fm_def is_Inl_def)

lemma Inl_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_Inl(##A, x, z) <-> sats(A, Inl_fm(i,k), env)"
by simp

theorem Inl_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_Inl(L,f(x),h(x)),
```

```

      λi x. is_Inl(##Lset(i),f(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_Inl_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done

```

11.13.2 The Formula *is_Inr*, Internalized

```

constdefs Inr_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "Inr_fm(a,z) == Exists(And(number1_fm(0), pair_fm(0,succ(a),succ(z))))"

```

```

lemma Inr_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Inr_fm(x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Inr_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Inr_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Inr_fm(x,z), env) <-> is_Inr(##A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: Inr_fm_def is_Inr_def)

```

```

lemma Inr_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_Inr(##A, x, z) <-> sats(A, Inr_fm(i,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem Inr_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_Inr(L,f(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_Inr(##Lset(i),f(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_Inr_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done

```

11.13.3 The Formula *is_Nil*, Internalized

```

constdefs Nil_fm :: "i=>i"
  "Nil_fm(x) == Exists(And(empty_fm(0), Inl_fm(0,succ(x))))"

```

```

lemma Nil_type [TC]: "x ∈ nat ==> Nil_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Nil_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Nil_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Nil_fm(x), env) <-> is_Nil(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: Nil_fm_def is_Nil_def)

```

```

lemma Nil_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_Nil(##A, x) <-> sats(A, Nil_fm(i), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem Nil_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_Nil}(L, f(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_Nil}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_Nil_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections Inl_reflection)
done

```

11.13.4 The Formula `is_Cons`, Internalized

```

constdefs Cons_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "Cons_fm(a,l,Z) ==
  Exists(And(pair_fm(succ(a),succ(l),0), Inr_fm(0,succ(Z))))"

```

```

lemma Cons_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> Cons_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: Cons_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Cons_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Cons_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  is_Cons( $\#\#A$ , nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: Cons_fm_def is_Cons_def)

```

```

lemma Cons_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; k  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> is_Cons( $\#\#A$ , x, y, z) <-> sats(A, Cons_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem Cons_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_Cons}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_Cons}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_Cons_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection Inr_reflection)
done

```

11.13.5 The Formula `is_quasulist`, Internalized

```

constdefs quasulist_fm :: "i=>i"
  "quasulist_fm(x) ==
  Or( Nil_fm(x), Exists(Exists(Cons_fm(1,0,succ(succ(x))))))"

```

```

lemma quasulist_type [TC]: "x  $\in$  nat ==> quasulist_fm(x)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: quasulist_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_quasulist_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, quasulist_fm(x), env) <-> is_quasulist( $\#\#A$ , nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: quasulist_fm_def is_quasulist_def)

```

```

lemma quasilist_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_quasilist(##A, x) <-> sats(A, quasilist_fm(i), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem quasilist_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_quasilist(L,f(x)),
    λi x. is_quasilist(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_quasilist_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections Nil_reflection Cons_reflection)
done

```

11.14 Absoluteness for the Function *nth*

11.14.1 The Formula *is_hd*, Internalized

```

constdefs hd_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "hd_fm(xs,H) ==
  And(Implies(Nil_fm(xs), empty_fm(H)),
    And(Forall(Forall(Or(Neg(Cons_fm(1,0,xs#+2))), Equal(H#+2,1))),
      Or(quasilist_fm(xs), empty_fm(H))))"

```

```

lemma hd_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> hd_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: hd_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_hd_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, hd_fm(x,y), env) <-> is_hd(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: hd_fm_def is_hd_def)

```

```

lemma hd_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_hd(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, hd_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem hd_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_hd(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. is_hd(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_hd_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections Nil_reflection Cons_reflection
  quasilist_reflection empty_reflection)
done

```

11.14.2 The Formula *is_tl*, Internalized

```

constdefs tl_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "tl_fm(xs,T) ==
  And(Implies(Nil_fm(xs), Equal(T,xs)),

```

```

And(Forall(Forall(Or(Neg(Cons_fm(1,0,xs#+2)), Equal(T#+2,0))),
Or(quasilist_fm(xs), empty_fm(T))))"

lemma tl_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> tl_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: tl_fm_def)

lemma sats_tl_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, tl_fm(x,y), env) <-> is_tl(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: tl_fm_def is_tl_def)

lemma tl_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_tl(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, tl_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp

theorem tl_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_tl(L,f(x),g(x)),
  λi x. is_tl(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_tl_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections Nil_reflection Cons_reflection
quasilist_reflection empty_reflection)
done

```

11.14.3 The Operator *is_bool_of_o*

The formula *p* has no free variables.

```

constdefs bool_of_o_fm :: "[i, i]=>i"
  "bool_of_o_fm(p,z) ==
  Or(And(p,number1_fm(z)),
  And(Neg(p),empty_fm(z)))"

lemma is_bool_of_o_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; z ∈ nat |] ==> bool_of_o_fm(p,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: bool_of_o_fm_def)

lemma sats_bool_of_o_fm:
  assumes p_iff_sats: "P <-> sats(A, p, env)"
  shows
  "[|z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, bool_of_o_fm(p,z), env) <->
  is_bool_of_o(##A, P, nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: bool_of_o_fm_def is_bool_of_o_def p_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

lemma is_bool_of_o_iff_sats:
  "[| P <-> sats(A, p, env); nth(k,env) = z; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_bool_of_o(##A, P, z) <-> sats(A, bool_of_o_fm(p,k), env)"

```

by (simp add: sats_bool_of_o_fm)

theorem bool_of_o_reflection:

```
"REFLECTS [P(L), λi. P(##Lset(i))] ==>
  REFLECTS[λx. is_bool_of_o(L, P(L,x), f(x)),
    λi x. is_bool_of_o(##Lset(i), P(##Lset(i),x), f(x))]"
```

apply (simp (no_asm) only: is_bool_of_o_def)

apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections, assumption+)

done

11.15 More Internalizations

11.15.1 The Operator *is_lambda*

The two arguments of *p* are always 1, 0. Remember that *p* will be enclosed by three quantifiers.

```
constdefs lambda_fm :: "[i, i, i]=>i"
  "lambda_fm(p,A,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(Exists(And(Member(1,A#+3),
        And(pair_fm(1,0,2), p))))))"
```

We call *p* with arguments *x*, *y* by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 1, 0.

lemma *is_lambda_type* [TC]:

```
"[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |]
  ==> lambda_fm(p,x,y) ∈ formula"
```

by (simp add: lambda_fm_def)

lemma *sats_lambda_fm*:

assumes *is_b_iff_sats*:

```
"!!a0 a1 a2.
```

```
  [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
```

```
  ==> is_b(a1, a0) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
```

shows

```
"[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
```

```
  ==> sats(A, lambda_fm(p,x,y), env) <->
```

```
    is_lambda(##A, nth(x,env), is_b, nth(y,env))"
```

by (simp add: lambda_fm_def is_lambda_def is_b_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

theorem *is_lambda_reflection*:

assumes *is_b_reflection*:

```
"!!f g h. REFLECTS[λx. is_b(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),
```

```
  λi x. is_b(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
```

shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_lambda(L, A(x), is_b(L,x), f(x)),

```
  λi x. is_lambda(##Lset(i), A(x), is_b(##Lset(i),x), f(x))]"
```

apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_lambda_def)

apply (intro FOL_reflections is_b_reflection pair_reflection)

done

11.15.2 The Operator *is_Member*, Internalized

```
constdefs Member_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "Member_fm(x,y,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(x#+2,y#+2,1),
      And(Inl_fm(1,0), Inl_fm(0,Z#+2))))))"

lemma is_Member_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Member_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Member_fm_def)

lemma sats_Member_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Member_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_Member(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: Member_fm_def is_Member_def)

lemma Member_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_Member(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, Member_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Member_fm)

theorem Member_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_Member(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_Member(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_Member_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection Inl_reflection)
done
```

11.15.3 The Operator *is_Equal*, Internalized

```
constdefs Equal_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "Equal_fm(x,y,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(x#+2,y#+2,1),
      And(Inr_fm(1,0), Inl_fm(0,Z#+2))))))"

lemma is_Equal_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Equal_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Equal_fm_def)

lemma sats_Equal_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, Equal_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_Equal(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: Equal_fm_def is_Equal_def)

lemma Equal_iff_sats:
```

```

    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
       i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> is_Equal(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, Equal_fm(i,j,k), env)"
  by (simp add: sats_Equal_fm)

```

theorem Equal_reflection:

```

    "REFLECTS[λx. is_Equal(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
              λi x. is_Equal(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_Equal_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection Inl_reflection Inr_reflection)
  done

```

11.15.4 The Operator *is_Nand*, Internalized

```

constdefs Nand_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
    "Nand_fm(x,y,Z) ==
     Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(x#+2,y#+2,1),
                        And(Inl_fm(1,0), Inr_fm(0,Z#+2))))))"

```

lemma *is_Nand_type* [TC]:

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> Nand_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: Nand_fm_def)

```

lemma *sats_Nand_fm* [simp]:

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> sats(A, Nand_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
        is_Nand(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
  by (simp add: Nand_fm_def is_Nand_def)

```

lemma *Nand_iff_sats*:

```

    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
       i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> is_Nand(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, Nand_fm(i,j,k), env)"
  by (simp add: sats_Nand_fm)

```

theorem *Nand_reflection*:

```

    "REFLECTS[λx. is_Nand(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
              λi x. is_Nand(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_Nand_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection Inl_reflection Inr_reflection)
  done

```

11.15.5 The Operator *is_Forall*, Internalized

```

constdefs Forall_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
    "Forall_fm(x,Z) ==
     Exists(And(Inr_fm(succ(x),0), Inr_fm(0,succ(Z))))"

```

lemma *is_Forall_type* [TC]:

```

    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> Forall_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"

```

```

by (simp add: Forall_fm_def)

lemma sats_Forall_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, Forall_fm(x,y), env) <->
    is_Forall(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: Forall_fm_def is_Forall_def)

lemma Forall_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_Forall(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, Forall_fm(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Forall_fm)

theorem Forall_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_Forall(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. is_Forall(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_Forall_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection Inr_reflection)
done

```

11.15.6 The Operator *is_and*, Internalized

```

constdefs and_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "and_fm(a,b,z) ==
    Or(And(number1_fm(a), Equal(z,b)),
      And(Neg(number1_fm(a)), empty_fm(z)))"

lemma is_and_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> and_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: and_fm_def)

lemma sats_and_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, and_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_and(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: and_fm_def is_and_def)

lemma is_and_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_and(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, and_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

theorem is_and_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_and(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_and(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_and_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

```

done

11.15.7 The Operator *is_or*, Internalized

```
constdefs or_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "or_fm(a,b,z) ==
   Or(And(number1_fm(a), number1_fm(z)),
      And(Neg(number1_fm(a)), Equal(z,b)))"

lemma is_or_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> or_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: or_fm_def)

lemma sats_or_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, or_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_or(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: or_fm_def is_or_def)

lemma is_or_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_or(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, or_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

theorem is_or_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_or(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_or(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_or_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done
```

11.15.8 The Operator *is_not*, Internalized

```
constdefs not_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "not_fm(a,z) ==
   Or(And(number1_fm(a), empty_fm(z)),
      And(Neg(number1_fm(a)), number1_fm(z)))"

lemma is_not_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> not_fm(x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: not_fm_def)

lemma sats_is_not_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, not_fm(x,z), env) <-> is_not(##A, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: not_fm_def is_not_def)

lemma is_not_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
```

```

      i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)[]
    ==> is_not(##A, x, z) <-> sats(A, not_fm(i,k), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem is_not_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_not(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. is_not(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_not_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
  done

```

```

lemmas extra_reflections =
  Inl_reflection Inr_reflection Nil_reflection Cons_reflection
  quasilist_reflection hd_reflection tl_reflection bool_of_o_reflection
  is_lambda_reflection Member_reflection Equal_reflection Nand_reflection
  Forall_reflection is_and_reflection is_or_reflection is_not_reflection

```

11.16 Well-Founded Recursion!

11.16.1 The Operator M_{is_recfun}

Alternative definition, minimizing nesting of quantifiers around MH

```

lemma M_is_recfun_iff:
  "M_is_recfun(M,MH,r,a,f) <->
  (∀z[M]. z ∈ f <->
  (∃x[M]. ∃f_r_sx[M]. ∃y[M].
    MH(x, f_r_sx, y) & pair(M,x,y,z) &
    (∃xa[M]. ∃sx[M]. ∃r_sx[M].
      pair(M,x,a,xa) & upair(M,x,x,sx) &
      pre_image(M,r,sx,r_sx) & restriction(M,f,r_sx,f_r_sx) &
      xa ∈ r)))"
  apply (simp add: M_is_recfun_def)
  apply (rule rall_cong, blast)
  done

```

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0 and z

```

constdefs is_recfun_fm :: "[i, i, i, i]=>i"
  "is_recfun_fm(p,r,a,f) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(f)),
    Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(p,
        And(pair_fm(2,0,3),
          Exists(Exists(Exists(
            And(pair_fm(5,a#+7,2),
              And(upair_fm(5,5,1),
                And(pre_image_fm(r#+7,1,0),
                  And(restriction_fm(f#+7,0,4), Member(2,r#+7)))))))))))))))))"

```

```

lemma is_recfun_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
   ==> is_recfun_fm(p,x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: is_recfun_fm_def)

lemma sats_is_recfun_fm:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A|]
     ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,env)))))"
  shows
    "[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
     ==> sats(A, is_recfun_fm(p,x,y,z), env) <->
        M_is_recfun(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: is_recfun_fm_def M_is_recfun_iff MH_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

lemma is_recfun_iff_sats:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A|]
     ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,env)))))"
  shows
    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
     ==> M_is_recfun(##A, MH, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, is_recfun_fm(p,i,j,k),
     env)"
by (simp add: sats_is_recfun_fm [OF MH_iff_sats])

```

The additional variable in the premise, namely f' , is essential. It lets MH depend upon x , which seems often necessary. The same thing occurs in `is_wfrec_reflection`.

```

theorem is_recfun_reflection:
  assumes MH_reflection:
    "!!f' f g h. REFLECTS[λx. MH(L, f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
      λi x. MH(##Lset(i), f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
  shows "REFLECTS[λx. M_is_recfun(L, MH(L,x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
    λi x. M_is_recfun(##Lset(i), MH(##Lset(i),x), f(x), g(x),
    h(x))]"
  apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: M_is_recfun_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
    restriction_reflection MH_reflection)
  done

```

11.16.2 The Operator `is_wfrec`

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0; p is enclosed by 5 quantifiers.

```

constdefs is_wfrec_fm :: "[i, i, i, i]=>i"

```

```

"is_wfrec_fm(p,r,a,z) ==
  Exists(And(is_recfun_fm(p, succ(r), succ(a), 0),
    Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(Equal(2,a#+5), And(Equal(1,4), And(Equal(0,z#+5), p))))))))))"

```

We call p with arguments a, f, z by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

There's an additional existential quantifier to ensure that the environments in both calls to MH have the same length.

```

lemma is_wfrec_type [TC]:

```

```

  "[/ p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat /]
  ==> is_wfrec_fm(p,x,y,z) ∈ formula"

```

```

by (simp add: is_wfrec_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_is_wfrec_fm:

```

```

  assumes MH_iff_sats:

```

```

    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.

```

```

      [/a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A/]

```

```

      ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,Cons(a4,env))))))"

```

```

  shows

```

```

    "[/x ∈ nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)/]

```

```

    ==> sats(A, is_wfrec_fm(p,x,y,z), env) <->

```

```

      is_wfrec(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"

```

```

apply (frule_tac x=z in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)

```

```

apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)

```

```

apply (simp add: is_wfrec_fm_def sats_is_recfun_fm is_wfrec_def MH_iff_sats
  [THEN iff_sym], blast)

```

```

done

```

```

lemma is_wfrec_iff_sats:

```

```

  assumes MH_iff_sats:

```

```

    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.

```

```

      [/a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A/]

```

```

      ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,Cons(a4,env))))))"

```

```

  shows

```

```

    "[/nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;

```

```

      i ∈ nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)/]

```

```

    ==> is_wfrec(##A, MH, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, is_wfrec_fm(p,i,j,k), env)"

```

```

by (simp add: sats_is_wfrec_fm [OF MH_iff_sats])

```

```

theorem is_wfrec_reflection:

```

```

  assumes MH_reflection:

```

```

    "!!f' f g h. REFLECTS[λx. MH(L, f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),

```

```

      λi x. MH(##Lset(i), f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"

```

```

  shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_wfrec(L, MH(L,x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),

```

```

      λi x. is_wfrec(##Lset(i), MH(##Lset(i),x), f(x), g(x),

```

```

h(x)]]"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_wfrec_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections MH_reflection is_recfun_reflection)
done

```

11.17 For Datatypes

11.17.1 Binary Products, Internalized

```
constdefs cartprod_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
```

```

"cartprod_fm(A,B,z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
             Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(A))),
                        Exists(And(Member(0,succ(succ(succ(B))),
                                   pair_fm(1,0,2))))))))"

```

```
lemma cartprod_type [TC]:
```

```

"[/ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat /] ==> cartprod_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: cartprod_fm_def)

```

```
lemma sats_cartprod_fm [simp]:
```

```

"[/ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) /]
 ==> sats(A, cartprod_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
   cartprod(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: cartprod_fm_def cartprod_def)

```

```
lemma cartprod_iff_sats:
```

```

"[/ nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
   i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) /]
 ==> cartprod(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, cartprod_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_cartprod_fm)

```

```
theorem cartprod_reflection:
```

```

"REFLECTS[λx. cartprod(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
          λi x. cartprod(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: cartprod_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections pair_reflection)
done

```

11.17.2 Binary Sums, Internalized

```
constdefs sum_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
```

```

"sum_fm(A,B,Z) ==
  Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
    And(number1_fm(2),
        And(cartprod_fm(2,A#+4,3),
            And(upair_fm(2,2,1),
                And(cartprod_fm(1,B#+4,0), union_fm(3,0,Z#+4))))))))"

```

```

lemma sum_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> sum_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: sum_fm_def)

lemma sats_sum_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, sum_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_sum(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: sum_fm_def is_sum_def)

lemma sum_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_sum(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, sum_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by simp

theorem sum_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_sum(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_sum(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_sum_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections cartprod_reflection)
done

11.17.3 The Operator quasinat

constdefs quasinat_fm :: "i=>i"
  "quasinat_fm(z) == Or(empty_fm(z), Exists(succ_fm(0,succ(z))))"

lemma quasinat_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> quasinat_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: quasinat_fm_def)

lemma sats_quasinat_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, quasinat_fm(x), env) <-> is_quasinat(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: quasinat_fm_def is_quasinat_def)

lemma quasinat_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_quasinat(##A, x) <-> sats(A, quasinat_fm(i), env)"
by simp

theorem quasinat_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_quasinat(L,f(x)),
    λi x. is_quasinat(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_quasinat_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done

```

11.17.4 The Operator *is_nat_case*

I could not get it to work with the more natural assumption that *is_b* takes two arguments. Instead it must be a formula where 1 and 0 stand for *m* and *b*, respectively.

The formula *is_b* has free variables 1 and 0.

```

constdefs is_nat_case_fm :: "[i, i, i, i]=>i"
  "is_nat_case_fm(a, is_b, k, z) ==
    And(Implies(empty_fm(k), Equal(z, a)),
        And(Forall(Implies(succ_fm(0, succ(k)),
                          Forall(Implies(Equal(0, succ(succ(z))), is_b))),
          Or(quasinat_fm(k), empty_fm(z))))"

lemma is_nat_case_type [TC]:
  "[| is_b ∈ formula;
    x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> is_nat_case_fm(x, is_b, y, z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: is_nat_case_fm_def)

lemma sats_is_nat_case_fm:
  assumes is_b_iff_sats:
    "!!a. a ∈ A ==> is_b(a, nth(z, env)) <->
      sats(A, p, Cons(nth(z, env), Cons(a, env)))"
  shows
    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
    ==> sats(A, is_nat_case_fm(x, p, y, z), env) <->
      is_nat_case(##A, nth(x, env), is_b, nth(y, env), nth(z, env))"
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: is_nat_case_fm_def is_nat_case_def is_b_iff_sats [THEN
  iff_sym])
done

```

```

lemma is_nat_case_iff_sats:
  "[| (!!a. a ∈ A ==> is_b(a, z) <->
      sats(A, p, Cons(z, Cons(a, env)))));
    nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y; nth(k, env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_nat_case(##A, x, is_b, y, z) <-> sats(A, is_nat_case_fm(i, p, j, k),
  env)"
by (simp add: sats_is_nat_case_fm [of A is_b])

```

The second argument of *is_b* gives it direct access to *x*, which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for *iterates_MH*.

```

theorem is_nat_case_reflection:
  assumes is_b_reflection:
    "!!h f g. REFLECTS[λx. is_b(L, h(x), f(x), g(x)),
      λi x. is_b(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x))]"

```

```

    shows "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_nat\_case}(L, f(x), \text{is\_b}(L,x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
            $\lambda i x. \text{is\_nat\_case}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), \text{is\_b}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), x),$ 
            $g(x), h(x))]$ "
  apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_nat_case_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
           restriction_reflection is_b_reflection quasinat_reflection)
done

```

11.18 The Operator *iterates_MH*, Needed for Iteration

```

constdefs iterates_MH_fm :: "[i, i, i, i, i]=>i"
  "iterates_MH_fm(isF,v,n,g,z) ==
   is_nat_case_fm(v,
     Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(succ(succ(g))),2,0),
       Forall(Implies(Equal(0,2), isF)))),
     n, z)"

lemma iterates_MH_type [TC]:
  "[| p  $\in$  formula;
   v  $\in$  nat; x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |]
   ==> iterates_MH_fm(p,v,x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: iterates_MH_fm_def)

lemma sats_iterates_MH_fm:
  assumes is_F_iff_sats:
    "!!a b c d. [| a  $\in$  A; b  $\in$  A; c  $\in$  A; d  $\in$  A|]
     ==> is_F(a,b) <->
       sats(A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d,env)))))"
  shows
    "[|v  $\in$  nat; x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z < length(env); env  $\in$  list(A)|]
     ==> sats(A, iterates_MH_fm(p,v,x,y,z), env) <->
       iterates_MH(\#\#A, is_F, nth(v,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env),
nth(z,env))"
  apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (simp add: iterates_MH_fm_def iterates_MH_def sats_is_nat_case_fm

           is_F_iff_sats [symmetric])
  apply (rule is_nat_case_cong)
  apply (simp_all add: setclass_def)
done

```

```

lemma iterates_MH_iff_sats:
  assumes is_F_iff_sats:
    "!!a b c d. [| a  $\in$  A; b  $\in$  A; c  $\in$  A; d  $\in$  A|]
     ==> is_F(a,b) <->
       sats(A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d,env)))))"
  shows
    "[| nth(i',env) = v; nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;

```

```

    i' ∈ nat; i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)]
  ==> iterates_MH(##A, is_F, v, x, y, z) <->
    sats(A, iterates_MH_fm(p,i',i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_iterates_MH_fm [OF is_F_iff_sats])

```

The second argument of p gives it direct access to x , which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for $list_N$.

```

theorem iterates_MH_reflection:
  assumes p_reflection:
    "!!f g h. REFLECTS[λx. p(L, h(x), f(x), g(x)),
      λi x. p(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x))]"
  shows "REFLECTS[λx. iterates_MH(L, p(L,x), e(x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
    λi x. iterates_MH(##Lset(i), p(##Lset(i),x), e(x), f(x),
g(x), h(x))]"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: iterates_MH_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_nat_case_reflection
  restriction_reflection p_reflection)
done

```

11.18.1 The Operator $is_iterates$

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0; p is enclosed by 9 (??) quantifiers.

```

constdefs is_iterates_fm :: "[i, i, i, i]=>i"
  "is_iterates_fm(p,v,n,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(
      And(succ_fm(n#+2,1),
        And(Memrel_fm(1,0),
          is_wfrec_fm(iterates_MH_fm(p, v#+7, 2, 1, 0),
            0, n#+2, Z#+2))))))"

```

We call p with arguments a, f, z by equating them with the corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

```

lemma is_iterates_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> is_iterates_fm(p,x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: is_iterates_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_is_iterates_fm:
  assumes is_F_iff_sats:
    "!!a b c d e f g h i j k.
      [| a ∈ A; b ∈ A; c ∈ A; d ∈ A; e ∈ A; f ∈ A;
        g ∈ A; h ∈ A; i ∈ A; j ∈ A; k ∈ A |]
    ==> is_F(a,b) <->
      sats(A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d, Cons(e, Cons(f,
Cons(g, Cons(h, Cons(i, Cons(j, Cons(k, env)))))))))))))"

```

```

shows
  "[|x ∈ nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, is_iterates_fm(p,x,y,z), env) <->
    is_iterates(##A, is_F, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=z in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: is_iterates_fm_def is_iterates_def sats_is_nat_case_fm

          is_F_iff_sats [symmetric] sats_is_wfrec_fm sats_iterates_MH_fm)
done

```

```

lemma is_iterates_iff_sats:
  assumes is_F_iff_sats:
    "!!a b c d e f g h i j k.
     [| a ∈ A; b ∈ A; c ∈ A; d ∈ A; e ∈ A; f ∈ A;
      g ∈ A; h ∈ A; i ∈ A; j ∈ A; k ∈ A|]
     ==> is_F(a,b) <->
       sats(A, p, Cons(b, Cons(a, Cons(c, Cons(d, Cons(e, Cons(f,
           Cons(g, Cons(h, Cons(i, Cons(j, Cons(k, env)))))))))))))"
  shows
    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
     i ∈ nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
     ==> is_iterates(##A, is_F, x, y, z) <->
       sats(A, is_iterates_fm(p,i,j,k), env)"
  by (simp add: sats_is_iterates_fm [OF is_F_iff_sats])

```

The second argument of p gives it direct access to x , which is essential for handling free variable references. Without this argument, we cannot prove reflection for $list_N$.

```

theorem is_iterates_reflection:
  assumes p_reflection:
    "!!f g h. REFLECTS[λx. p(L, h(x), f(x), g(x)),
      λi x. p(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x))]"
  shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_iterates(L, p(L,x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
    λi x. is_iterates(##Lset(i), p(##Lset(i),x), f(x), g(x),
h(x))]"
  apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_iterates_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections p_reflection
    is_wfrec_reflection iterates_MH_reflection)
done

```

11.18.2 The Formula is_eclose_n , Internalized

```

constdefs eclose_n_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "eclose_n_fm(A,n,Z) == is_iterates_fm(big_union_fm(1,0), A, n, Z)"

```

```

lemma eclose_n_fm_type [TC]:

```

```

"[/ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat /] ==> eclose_n_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: eclose_n_fm_def)

lemma sats_eclose_n_fm [simp]:
  "[/ x ∈ nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)/]
  ==> sats(A, eclose_n_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_eclose_n(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=z in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: eclose_n_fm_def is_eclose_n_def
  sats_is_iterates_fm)
done

lemma eclose_n_iff_sats:
  "[/ nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)/]
  ==> is_eclose_n(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, eclose_n_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_eclose_n_fm)

theorem eclose_n_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_eclose_n(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),
  λi x. is_eclose_n(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_eclose_n_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_iterates_reflection)
done

```

11.18.3 Membership in $eclose(A)$

```

constdefs mem_eclose_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "mem_eclose_fm(x,y) ==
  Exists(Exists(
    And(finite_ordinal_fm(1),
      And(eclose_n_fm(x#+2,1,0), Member(y#+2,0)))))"

lemma mem_eclose_type [TC]:
  "[/ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat /] ==> mem_eclose_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: mem_eclose_fm_def)

lemma sats_mem_eclose_fm [simp]:
  "[/ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)/]
  ==> sats(A, mem_eclose_fm(x,y), env) <-> mem_eclose(##A, nth(x,env),
  nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: mem_eclose_fm_def mem_eclose_def)

lemma mem_eclose_iff_sats:
  "[/ nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)/]
  ==> mem_eclose(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, mem_eclose_fm(i,j), env)"

```

by simp

```
theorem mem_eclose_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{mem\_eclose}(L, f(x), g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{mem\_eclose}(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), g(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: mem_eclose_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections finite_ordinal_reflection eclose_n_reflection)
done
```

11.18.4 The Predicate "Is eclose(A)"

```
constdefs is_eclose_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "is_eclose_fm(A,Z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)), mem_eclose_fm(succ(A),0)))"
```

```
lemma is_eclose_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat |] ==> is_eclose_fm(x,y)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: is_eclose_fm_def)
```

```
lemma sats_is_eclose_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, is_eclose_fm(x,y), env) <-> is_eclose(\#\#A, nth(x,env),
  nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: is_eclose_fm_def is_eclose_def)
```

```
lemma is_eclose_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> is_eclose(\#\#A, x, y) <-> sats(A, is_eclose_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp
```

```
theorem is_eclose_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_eclose}(L, f(x), g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_eclose}(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), g(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_eclose_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections mem_eclose_reflection)
done
```

11.18.5 The List Functor, Internalized

```
constdefs list_functor_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "list_functor_fm(A,X,Z) ==
  Exists(Exists(
  And(number1_fm(1),
  And(cartprod_fm(A#+2,X#+2,0), sum_fm(1,0,Z#+2))))))"
```

```
lemma list_functor_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> list_functor_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: list_functor_fm_def)
```

```

lemma sats_list_functor_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, list_functor_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_list_functor(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: list_functor_fm_def is_list_functor_def)

lemma list_functor_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_list_functor(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, list_functor_fm(i,j,k),
env)"
by simp

theorem list_functor_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_list_functor(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. is_list_functor(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_list_functor_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections number1_reflection
  cartprod_reflection sum_reflection)
done

```

11.18.6 The Formula `is_list_N`, Internalized

```

constdefs list_N_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "list_N_fm(A,n,Z) ==
    Exists(
      And(empty_fm(0),
        is_iterates_fm(list_functor_fm(A#+9#+3,1,0), 0, n#+1, Z#+1)))"

lemma list_N_fm_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> list_N_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: list_N_fm_def)

lemma sats_list_N_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, list_N_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_list_N(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=z in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: list_N_fm_def is_list_N_def sats_is_iterates_fm)
done

lemma list_N_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_list_N(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, list_N_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_list_N_fm)

```

```

theorem list_N_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_list\_N}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_list\_N}(\#\#L\text{set}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_list_N_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
  is_iterates_reflection list_functor_reflection)
done

```

11.18.7 The Predicate “Is A List”

```

constdefs mem_list_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "mem_list_fm(x,y) ==
  Exists(Exists(
    And(finite_ordinal_fm(1),
      And(list_N_fm(x#+2,1,0), Member(y#+2,0)))))"

```

```

lemma mem_list_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat |] ==> mem_list_fm(x,y)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: mem_list_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_mem_list_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, mem_list_fm(x,y), env) <-> mem_list(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: mem_list_fm_def mem_list_def)

```

```

lemma mem_list_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> mem_list(\#\#A, x, y) <-> sats(A, mem_list_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem mem_list_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{mem\_list}(L,f(x),g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{mem\_list}(\#\#L\text{set}(i),f(x),g(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: mem_list_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections finite_ordinal_reflection list_N_reflection)
done

```

11.18.8 The Predicate “Is list(A)”

```

constdefs is_list_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "is_list_fm(A,Z) ==
  Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)), mem_list_fm(succ(A),0)))"

```

```

lemma is_list_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat |] ==> is_list_fm(x,y)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: is_list_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_is_list_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]

```

```

    ==> sats(A, is_list_fm(x,y), env) <-> is_list(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
  by (simp add: is_list_fm_def is_list_def)

```

```

lemma is_list_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_list(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, is_list_fm(i,j), env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem is_list_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_list(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. is_list(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_list_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections mem_list_reflection)
  done

```

11.18.9 The Formula Functor, Internalized

```

constdefs formula_functor_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"

```

```

  "formula_functor_fm(X,Z) ==
    Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(omega_fm(4),
        And(cartprod_fm(4,4,3),
          And(sum_fm(3,3,2),
            And(cartprod_fm(X#+5,X#+5,1),
              And(sum_fm(1,X#+5,0), sum_fm(2,0,Z#+5)))))))))))))"

```

```

lemma formula_functor_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> formula_functor_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: formula_functor_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_formula_functor_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, formula_functor_fm(x,y), env) <->
    is_formula_functor(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
  by (simp add: formula_functor_fm_def is_formula_functor_def)

```

```

lemma formula_functor_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_formula_functor(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, formula_functor_fm(i,j),
  env)"
  by simp

```

```

theorem formula_functor_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_formula_functor(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. is_formula_functor(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
  apply (simp only: is_formula_functor_def)

```

```

apply (intro FOL_reflections omega_reflection
        cartprod_reflection sum_reflection)
done

```

11.18.10 The Formula `is_formula_N`, Internalized

```

constdefs formula_N_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "formula_N_fm(n,Z) ==
    Exists(
      And(empty_fm(0),
          is_iterates_fm(formula_functor_fm(1,0), 0, n#+1, Z#+1)))"

lemma formula_N_fm_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> formula_N_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: formula_N_fm_def)

lemma sats_formula_N_fm [simp]:
  "[| x < length(env); y < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, formula_N_fm(x,y), env) <->
    is_formula_N(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: formula_N_fm_def is_formula_N_def sats_is_iterates_fm)

done

```

```

lemma formula_N_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i < length(env); j < length(env); env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_formula_N(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, formula_N_fm(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_formula_N_fm)

```

```

theorem formula_N_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_formula_N(L, f(x), g(x)),
    λi x. is_formula_N(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_formula_N_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
        is_iterates_reflection formula_functor_reflection)

done

```

11.18.11 The Predicate “Is A Formula”

```

constdefs mem_formula_fm :: "i=>i"
  "mem_formula_fm(x) ==
    Exists(Exists(
      And(finite_ordinal_fm(1),
          And(formula_N_fm(1,0), Member(x#+2,0))))))"

lemma mem_formula_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> mem_formula_fm(x) ∈ formula"

```

```

by (simp add: mem_formula_fm_def)

lemma sats_mem_formula_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, mem_formula_fm(x), env) <-> mem_formula(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: mem_formula_fm_def mem_formula_def)

lemma mem_formula_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> mem_formula(##A, x) <-> sats(A, mem_formula_fm(i), env)"
by simp

theorem mem_formula_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. mem_formula(L,f(x)),
            λi x. mem_formula(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: mem_formula_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections finite_ordinal_reflection formula_N_reflection)
done

```

11.18.12 The Predicate “Is formula”

```

constdefs is_formula_fm :: "i=>i"
  "is_formula_fm(Z) == Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)), mem_formula_fm(0)))"

lemma is_formula_type [TC]:
  "x ∈ nat ==> is_formula_fm(x) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: is_formula_fm_def)

lemma sats_is_formula_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, is_formula_fm(x), env) <-> is_formula(##A, nth(x,env))"
by (simp add: is_formula_fm_def is_formula_def)

lemma is_formula_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; i ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_formula(##A, x) <-> sats(A, is_formula_fm(i), env)"
by simp

theorem is_formula_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_formula(L,f(x)),
            λi x. is_formula(##Lset(i),f(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_formula_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections mem_formula_reflection)
done

```

11.18.13 The Operator *is_transrec*

The three arguments of *p* are always 2, 1, 0. It is buried within eight quantifiers! We call *p* with arguments *a*, *f*, *z* by equating them with the

corresponding quantified variables with de Bruijn indices 2, 1, 0.

```
constdefs is_transrec_fm :: "[i, i, i]=>i"
  "is_transrec_fm(p,a,z) ==
    Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(upair_fm(a#+3,a#+3,2),
        And(is_eclose_fm(2,1),
          And(Memrel_fm(1,0), is_wfrec_fm(p,0,a#+3,z#+3)))))))")
```

```
lemma is_transrec_type [TC]:
  "[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> is_transrec_fm(p,x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: is_transrec_fm_def)
```

```
lemma sats_is_transrec_fm:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A; a5∈A; a6∈A; a7∈A|]
     ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <->
       sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,
         Cons(a4,Cons(a5,Cons(a6,Cons(a7,env))))))))))"
  shows
    "[|x < length(env); z < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, is_transrec_fm(p,x,z), env) <->
      is_transrec(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))"
  apply (frule_tac x=z in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (frule_tac x=x in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (simp add: is_transrec_fm_def sats_is_wfrec_fm is_transrec_def MH_iff_sats
    [THEN iff_sym])
done
```

```
lemma is_transrec_iff_sats:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A; a5∈A; a6∈A; a7∈A|]
     ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <->
       sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,
         Cons(a4,Cons(a5,Cons(a6,Cons(a7,env))))))))))"
  shows
    "[|nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
     i < length(env); k < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> is_transrec(##A, MH, x, z) <-> sats(A, is_transrec_fm(p,i,k), env)"
```

```
by (simp add: sats_is_transrec_fm [OF MH_iff_sats])
```

```
theorem is_transrec_reflection:
  assumes MH_reflection:
    "!!f' f g h. REFLECTS[λx. MH(L, f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
```

```

      λi x. MH(##Lset(i), f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_transrec(L, MH(L,x), f(x), h(x)),
      λi x. is_transrec(##Lset(i), MH(##Lset(i),x), f(x), h(x))]"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_transrec_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections MH_reflection
      is_wfrec_reflection is_eclose_reflection)
done

end

```

12 Separation for Facts About Recursion

theory *Rec_Separation* **imports** *Separation Internalize* **begin**

This theory proves all instances needed for locales *M_trancl* and *M_datatypes*

lemma *eq_succ_imp_lt*: "[| i = succ(j); Ord(i) |] ==> j < i"
by *simp*

12.1 The Locale *M_trancl*

12.1.1 Separation for Reflexive/Transitive Closure

First, The Defining Formula

```

constdefs rtran_closure_mem_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
rtran_closure_mem_fm(A,r,p) ==
  Exists(Exists(Exists(
    And(omega_fm(2),
      And(Member(1,2),
        And(succ_fm(1,0),
          Exists(And(typed_function_fm(1, A#+4, 0),
            And(Exists(Exists(Exists(
              And(pair_fm(2,1,p#+7),
                And(empty_fm(0),
                  And(fun_apply_fm(3,0,2), fun_apply_fm(3,5,1))))))),
            Forall(Implies(Member(0,3),
              Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
                And(fun_apply_fm(5,4,3),
                  And(succ_fm(4,2),
                    And(fun_apply_fm(5,2,1),
                      And(pair_fm(3,1,0), Member(0,r#+9)))))))))))))))))))))"

```

lemma *rtran_closure_mem_type* [TC]:
 "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> *rtran_closure_mem_fm*(x,y,z) ∈
formula"
by (*simp add: rtran_closure_mem_fm_def*)

```

lemma sats_rtran_closure_mem_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, rtran_closure_mem_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    rtran_closure_mem(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: rtran_closure_mem_fm_def rtran_closure_mem_def)

lemma rtran_closure_mem_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> rtran_closure_mem(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, rtran_closure_mem_fm(i,j,k),
env)"
by (simp add: sats_rtran_closure_mem_fm)

lemma rtran_closure_mem_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. rtran_closure_mem(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
    λi x. rtran_closure_mem(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: rtran_closure_mem_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections)
done

```

Separation for r^* .

```

lemma rtrancl_separation:
  "[| L(r); L(A) |] ==> separation (L, rtran_closure_mem(L,A,r))"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF rtran_closure_mem_reflection, of
"{r,A}"],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[r,A]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule rtran_closure_mem_iff_sats sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

12.1.2 Reflexive/Transitive Closure, Internalized

```

constdefs rtran_closure_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "rtran_closure_fm(r,s) ==
    Forall(Implies(field_fm(succ(r),0),
      Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(succ(s))),
        rtran_closure_mem_fm(1,succ(succ(r)),0))))))"

```

```

lemma rtran_closure_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> rtran_closure_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: rtran_closure_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_rtran_closure_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, rtran_closure_fm(x,y), env) <->
    rtran_closure(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: rtran_closure_fm_def rtran_closure_def)

```

```

lemma rtran_closure_iff_sats:

```

```

    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
      i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
      ==> rtran_closure(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, rtran_closure_fm(i,j),
env)"
by simp

theorem rtran_closure_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. rtran_closure(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. rtran_closure(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: rtran_closure_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections rtran_closure_mem_reflection)
done

```

12.1.3 Transitive Closure of a Relation, Internalized

```

constdefs tran_closure_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "tran_closure_fm(r,s) ==
  Exists(And(rtran_closure_fm(succ(r),0), composition_fm(succ(r),0,succ(s))))"

```

```

lemma tran_closure_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> tran_closure_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: tran_closure_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_tran_closure_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, tran_closure_fm(x,y), env) <->
  tran_closure(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: tran_closure_fm_def tran_closure_def)

```

```

lemma tran_closure_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> tran_closure(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, tran_closure_fm(i,j), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem tran_closure_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. tran_closure(L,f(x),g(x)),
    λi x. tran_closure(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]"
apply (simp only: tran_closure_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
  rtran_closure_reflection composition_reflection)
done

```

12.1.4 Separation for the Proof of wellfounded_on_trancl

```

lemma wellfounded_trancl_reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λx. ∃w[L]. ∃wx[L]. ∃rp[L].
    w ∈ Z & pair(L,w,x,wx) & tran_closure(L,r,rp) & wx ∈
rp,
  λi x. ∃w ∈ Lset(i). ∃wx ∈ Lset(i). ∃rp ∈ Lset(i).

```

```

      w ∈ Z & pair(##Lset(i),w,x,wx) & tran_closure(##Lset(i),r,rp) &
      wx ∈ rp]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections
    tran_closure_reflection)

```

```

lemma wellfounded_trancl_separation:
  "[| L(r); L(Z) |] ==>
  separation (L, λx.
    ∃w[L]. ∃wx[L]. ∃rp[L].
      w ∈ Z & pair(L,w,x,wx) & tran_closure(L,r,rp) & wx ∈ rp)"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF wellfounded_trancl_reflects, of "{r,Z}"],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[r,Z]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules tran_closure_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

12.1.5 Instantiating the locale M_trancl

```

lemma M_trancl_axioms_L: "M_trancl_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_trancl_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule rtrancl_separation wellfounded_trancl_separation)+
done

```

```

theorem M_trancl_L: "PROP M_trancl(L)"
by (rule M_trancl.intro
  [OF M_trivial_L M_basic_axioms_L M_trancl_axioms_L])

```

```

interpretation M_trancl [L] by (rule M_trancl_axioms_L)

```

12.2 L is Closed Under the Operator $list$

12.2.1 Instances of Replacement for Lists

```

lemma list_replacement1_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
  [λx. ∃u[L]. u ∈ B ∧ (∃y[L]. pair(L,u,y,x) ∧
    is_wfrec(L, iterates_MH(L, is_list_functor(L,A), 0), memsn, u,
y)),
  λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B ∧ (∃y ∈ Lset(i). pair(##Lset(i), u, y,
x) ∧
    is_wfrec(##Lset(i),
      iterates_MH(##Lset(i),
        is_list_functor(##Lset(i), A), 0), memsn, u,
y))]]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_wfrec_reflection
  iterates_MH_reflection list_functor_reflection)

```

```

lemma list_replacement1:
  "L(A) ==> iterates_replacement(L, is_list_functor(L,A), 0)"

```

```

apply (unfold iterates_replacement_def wfrec_replacement_def, clarify)
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,A,n,0,Memrel(succ(n))}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF list_replacement1_Reflects],
      auto simp add: nonempty)
apply (rule_tac env="[B,A,n,0,Memrel(succ(n))]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_nat_case_iff_sats list_functor_iff_sats
      is_wfrec_iff_sats iterates_MH_iff_sats quasinat_iff_sats |
simp)+
done

```

```

lemma list_replacement2_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
   [\x.  $\exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \ u \in \text{nat} \ \& \
     \text{is\_iterates}(L, \text{is\_list\_functor}(L, A), 0, u, x),$ 
    \i x.  $\exists u \in \text{Lset}(i). u \in B \ \& \ u \in \text{nat} \ \& \
     \text{is\_iterates}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), \text{is\_list\_functor}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), A), 0,$ 
    u, x)]"
by (intro FOL_reflections
    is_iterates_reflection list_functor_reflection)

```

```

lemma list_replacement2:
  "L(A) ==> strong_replacement(L,
    \n y.  $n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{is\_iterates}(L, \text{is\_list\_functor}(L,A), 0, n, y))"$ 
  apply (rule strong_replacementI)
  apply (rule_tac u="{A,B,0,nat}"
        in gen_separation_multi [OF list_replacement2_Reflects],
        auto simp add: L_nat nonempty)
  apply (rule_tac env="[A,B,0,nat]" in DPow_LsetI)
  apply (rule sep_rules list_functor_iff_sats is_iterates_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

12.3 L is Closed Under the Operator *formula*

12.3.1 Instances of Replacement for Formulas

```

lemma formula_replacement1_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
   [\x.  $\exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,u,y,x) \ \& \
     \text{is\_wfrec}(L, \text{iterates\_MH}(L, \text{is\_formula\_functor}(L), 0), \text{memsn},$ 
    u, y)),
    \i x.  $\exists u \in \text{Lset}(i). u \in B \ \& \ (\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), u, y,$ 
    x) \ \& \
     \text{is\_wfrec}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),
     \text{iterates\_MH}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),
     \text{is\_formula\_functor}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i)), 0), \text{memsn}, u,
    y))]
  by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_wfrec_reflection
    iterates_MH_reflection formula_functor_reflection)

```

```

lemma formula_replacement1:
  "iterates_replacement(L, is_formula_functor(L), 0)"
apply (unfold iterates_replacement_def wfrec_replacement_def, clarify)
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,n,0,Memrel(succ(n))}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF formula_replacement1_Reflects],
  auto simp add: nonempty)
apply (rule_tac env="[n,B,0,Memrel(succ(n))]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_nat_case_iff_sats formula_functor_iff_sats
  is_wfrec_iff_sats iterates_MH_iff_sats quasinat_iff_sats |
simp)+
done

```

```

lemma formula_replacement2_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
  [\x.  $\exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \ u \in \text{nat} \ \& \
    \text{is\_iterates}(L, \text{is\_formula\_functor}(L), 0, u, x),
  \lambda i \ x. \exists u \in \text{Lset}(i). u \in B \ \& \ u \in \text{nat} \ \& \
    \text{is\_iterates}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), \text{is\_formula\_functor}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i)), 0,
u, x)]"$ 
```

```

by (intro FOL_reflections
  is_iterates_reflection formula_functor_reflection)

```

```

lemma formula_replacement2:
  "strong_replacement(L,
   $\lambda n \ y. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{is\_iterates}(L, \text{is\_formula\_functor}(L), 0, n, y))"$ 
```

```

apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,0,nat}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF formula_replacement2_Reflects],
  auto simp add: nonempty L_nat)
apply (rule_tac env="[B,0,nat]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules formula_functor_iff_sats is_iterates_iff_sats |
simp)+
done

```

NB The proofs for type *formula* are virtually identical to those for *list(A)*.
It was a cut-and-paste job!

12.3.2 The Formula *is_nth*, Internalized

```

constdefs nth_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "nth_fm(n,l,Z) ==
  Exists(And(is_iterates_fm(tl_fm(1,0), succ(1), succ(n), 0),
  hd_fm(0,succ(Z))))"

```

```

lemma nth_fm_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |] ==> nth_fm(x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: nth_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_nth_fm [simp]:
  "[| x < length(env); y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, nth_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
    is_nth(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
apply (frule lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: nth_fm_def is_nth_def sats_is_iterates_fm)
done

```

```

lemma nth_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
    i < length(env); j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_nth(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, nth_fm(i,j,k), env)"
by (simp add: sats_nth_fm)

```

```

theorem nth_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_nth(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),
    λi x. is_nth(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_nth_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections is_iterates_reflection
  hd_reflection tl_reflection)
done

```

12.3.3 An Instance of Replacement for nth

```

lemma nth_replacement_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
  [λx. ∃u[L]. u ∈ B & (∃y[L]. pair(L,u,y,x) &
    is_wfrec(L, iterates_MH(L, is_tl(L), z), memsn, u, y)),
  λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B & (∃y ∈ Lset(i). pair(##Lset(i), u, y,
  x) &
    is_wfrec(##Lset(i),
      iterates_MH(##Lset(i),
        is_tl(##Lset(i)), z), memsn, u, y))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_wfrec_reflection
  iterates_MH_reflection tl_reflection)

```

```

lemma nth_replacement:
  "L(w) ==> iterates_replacement(L, is_tl(L), w)"
apply (unfold iterates_replacement_def wfrec_replacement_def, clarify)
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,w,Memrel(succ(n))}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF nth_replacement_Reflects],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{B,w,Memrel(succ(n))}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_nat_case_iff_sats tl_iff_sats
  is_wfrec_iff_sats iterates_MH_iff_sats quasinat_iff_sats |
  simp)+
done

```

12.3.4 Instantiating the locale $M_datatypes$

```
lemma M_datatypes_axioms_L: "M_datatypes_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_datatypes_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule
    list_replacement1 list_replacement2
    formula_replacement1 formula_replacement2
    nth_replacement)+
  done
```

```
theorem M_datatypes_L: "PROP M_datatypes(L)"
  apply (rule M_datatypes.intro)
  apply (rule M_trancl.axioms [OF M_trancl_L])+
  apply (rule M_datatypes_axioms_L)
  done
```

```
interpretation M_datatypes [L] by (rule M_datatypes_axioms_L)
```

12.4 L is Closed Under the Operator $eclose$

12.4.1 Instances of Replacement for $eclose$

```
lemma eclose_replacement1_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
  [ $\lambda x. \exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \ (\exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L,u,y,x) \ \& \
    \text{is\_wfrec}(L, \text{iterates\_MH}(L, \text{big\_union}(L), A), \text{memsn}, u, y)),$ 
   $\lambda i x. \exists u \in \text{Lset}(i). u \in B \ \& \ (\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{pair}(\#\text{Lset}(i), u, y,$ 
   $x) \ \& \
    \text{is\_wfrec}(\#\text{Lset}(i),
      \text{iterates\_MH}(\#\text{Lset}(i), \text{big\_union}(\#\text{Lset}(i)), A),
      \text{memsn}, u, y))]$ "
  by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_wfrec_reflection
    iterates_MH_reflection)
```

```
lemma eclose_replacement1:
  " $L(A) \implies \text{iterates\_replacement}(L, \text{big\_union}(L), A)$ "
  apply (unfold iterates_replacement_def wfrec_replacement_def, clarify)
  apply (rule strong_replacementI)
  apply (rule_tac u="{B,A,n,Memrel(succ(n))}"
    in gen_separation_multi [OF eclose_replacement1_Reflects], auto)
  apply (rule_tac env="{B,A,n,Memrel(succ(n))}" in DPow_LsetI)
  apply (rule sep_rules iterates_MH_iff_sats is_nat_case_iff_sats
    is_wfrec_iff_sats big_union_iff_sats quasinat_iff_sats |
  simp)+
  done
```

```
lemma eclose_replacement2_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS
  [ $\lambda x. \exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \ u \in \text{nat} \ \&$ 
```

```

        is_iterates(L, big_union(L), A, u, x),
    λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B & u ∈ nat &
        is_iterates(##Lset(i), big_union(##Lset(i)), A, u, x)]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_iterates_reflection)

```

```

lemma eclose_replacement2:
  "L(A) ==> strong_replacement(L,
    λn y. n∈nat & is_iterates(L, big_union(L), A, n, y))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{A,B,nat}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF eclose_replacement2_Reflects],
  auto simp add: L_nat)
apply (rule_tac env="[A,B,nat]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_iterates_iff_sats big_union_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

12.4.2 Instantiating the locale M_{eclose}

```

lemma M_eclose_axioms_L: "M_eclose_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_eclose_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule eclose_replacement1 eclose_replacement2)+
done

```

```

theorem M_eclose_L: "PROP M_eclose(L)"
  apply (rule M_eclose.intro)
  apply (rule M_datatypes.axioms [OF M_datatypes_L])+
  apply (rule M_eclose_axioms_L)
done

```

```

interpretation M_eclose [L] by (rule M_eclose_axioms_L)

```

end

13 Absoluteness for the Satisfies Relation on Formulas

```

theory Satisfies_absolute imports Datatype_absolute Rec_Separation begin

```

13.1 More Internalization

13.1.1 The Formula is_depth , Internalized

```

constdefs depth_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "depth_fm(p,n) ==
    Exists(Exists(Exists(
      And(formula_N_fm(n#+3,1),

```

```

And(Neg(Member(p#+3,1)),
And(succ_fm(n#+3,2),
And(formula_N_fm(2,0), Member(p#+3,0)))))))))"

lemma depth_fm_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |] ==> depth_fm(x,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: depth_fm_def)

lemma sats_depth_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, depth_fm(x,y), env) <->
  is_depth(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: depth_fm_def is_depth_def)
done

lemma depth_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; j < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_depth(##A, x, y) <-> sats(A, depth_fm(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_depth_fm)

theorem depth_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_depth(L, f(x), g(x)),
  λi x. is_depth(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_depth_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections formula_N_reflection)

done

```

13.1.2 The Operator *is_formula_case*

The arguments of *is_a* are always 2, 1, 0, and the formula will be enclosed by three quantifiers.

```

constdefs formula_case_fm :: "[i, i, i, i, i, i]=>i"
  "formula_case_fm(is_a, is_b, is_c, is_d, v, z) ==
  And(Forall(Forall(Implies(finite_ordinal_fm(1),
    Implies(finite_ordinal_fm(0),
      Implies(Member_fm(1,0,v#+2),
        Forall(Implies(Equal(0,z#+3), is_a))))))),
  And(Forall(Forall(Implies(finite_ordinal_fm(1),
    Implies(finite_ordinal_fm(0),
      Implies(Equal_fm(1,0,v#+2),
        Forall(Implies(Equal(0,z#+3), is_b))))))),
  And(Forall(Forall(Implies(mem_formula_fm(1),
    Implies(mem_formula_fm(0),
      Implies(Nand_fm(1,0,v#+2),
        Forall(Implies(Equal(0,z#+3), is_c))))))),
  Forall(Implies(mem_formula_fm(0),

```

```

    Implies(Forall_fm(0,succ(v)),
            Forall(Implies(Equal(0,z#+2), is_d)))))))))"

lemma is_formula_case_type [TC]:
  "[| is_a ∈ formula; is_b ∈ formula; is_c ∈ formula; is_d ∈ formula;

     x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |]
   ==> formula_case_fm(is_a, is_b, is_c, is_d, x, y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: formula_case_fm_def)

lemma sats_formula_case_fm:
  assumes is_a_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
     ==> ISA(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_a, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
  and is_b_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
     ==> ISB(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_b, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
  and is_c_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
     ==> ISC(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_c, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
  and is_d_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A|]
     ==> ISD(a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_d, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,env)))"
  shows
    "[|x ∈ nat; y < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
     ==> sats(A, formula_case_fm(is_a,is_b,is_c,is_d,x,y), env) <->
          is_formula_case(##A, ISA, ISB, ISC, ISD, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
  apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (simp add: formula_case_fm_def is_formula_case_def
                is_a_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym] is_b_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym]
                is_c_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym] is_d_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])
done

lemma formula_case_iff_sats:
  assumes is_a_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
     ==> ISA(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_a, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
  and is_b_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.
     [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
     ==> ISB(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_b, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
  and is_c_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2.

```

```

    [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A|]
    ==> ISC(a2, a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_c, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,env))))"
and is_d_iff_sats:
  "!!a0 a1.
  [|a0∈A; a1∈A|]
  ==> ISD(a1, a0) <-> sats(A, is_d, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,env)))"
shows
  "[|nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
  i ∈ nat; j < length(env); env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_formula_case(##A, ISA, ISB, ISC, ISD, x, y) <->
  sats(A, formula_case_fm(is_a,is_b,is_c,is_d,i,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_formula_case_fm [OF is_a_iff_sats is_b_iff_sats
  is_c_iff_sats is_d_iff_sats])

```

The second argument of *is_a* gives it direct access to *x*, which is essential for handling free variable references. Treatment is based on that of *is_nat_case_reflection*.

theorem *is_formula_case_reflection*:

```

assumes is_a_reflection:
  "!!h f g g'. REFLECTS[λx. is_a(L, h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x)),
  λi x. is_a(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x))]"
and is_b_reflection:
  "!!h f g g'. REFLECTS[λx. is_b(L, h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x)),
  λi x. is_b(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x))]"
and is_c_reflection:
  "!!h f g g'. REFLECTS[λx. is_c(L, h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x)),
  λi x. is_c(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x), g'(x))]"
and is_d_reflection:
  "!!h f g g'. REFLECTS[λx. is_d(L, h(x), f(x), g(x)),
  λi x. is_d(##Lset(i), h(x), f(x), g(x))]"
shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_formula_case(L, is_a(L,x), is_b(L,x), is_c(L,x),
is_d(L,x), g(x), h(x)),
  λi x. is_formula_case(##Lset(i), is_a(##Lset(i), x), is_b(##Lset(i),
x), is_c(##Lset(i), x), is_d(##Lset(i), x), g(x), h(x))]"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_formula_case_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections finite_ordinal_reflection
  mem_formula_reflection
  Member_reflection Equal_reflection Nand_reflection Forall_reflection
  is_a_reflection is_b_reflection is_c_reflection is_d_reflection)
done

```

13.2 Absoluteness for the Function *satisfies*

constdefs

```

is_depth_apply :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
— Merely a useful abbreviation for the sequel.
"is_depth_apply(M,h,p,z) ==
  ∃ dp[M]. ∃ sdp[M]. ∃ hsdp[M].
  finite_ordinal(M,dp) & is_depth(M,p,dp) & successor(M,dp,sdp)

```

```

&
    fun_apply(M,h,sdp,hsdp) & fun_apply(M,hsdp,p,z)"

lemma (in M_datatypes) is_depth_apply_abs [simp]:
  "[M(h); p ∈ formula; M(z)]
  ==> is_depth_apply(M,h,p,z) <-> z = h ‘ succ(depth(p)) ‘ p"
by (simp add: is_depth_apply_def formula_into_M depth_type eq_commute)

There is at present some redundancy between the relativizations in e.g.
satisfies_is_a and those in e.g. Member_replacement.

These constants let us instantiate the parameters a, b, c, d, etc., of the locale
Formula_Rec.

constdefs
  satisfies_a :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "satisfies_a(A) ==
  λx y. λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (nth(x,env) ∈ nth(y,env))"

  satisfies_is_a :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i]=>o"
  "satisfies_is_a(M,A) ==
  λx y zz. ∀lA[M]. is_list(M,A,lA) -->
    is_lambda(M, lA,
      λenv z. is_bool_of_o(M,
        ∃nx[M]. ∃ny[M].
          is_nth(M,x,env,nx) & is_nth(M,y,env,ny) & nx ∈
ny, z),
      zz)"

  satisfies_b :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "satisfies_b(A) ==
  λx y. λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (nth(x,env) = nth(y,env))"

  satisfies_is_b :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i]=>o"
  — We simplify the formula to have just nx rather than introducing ny with nx
= ny
  "satisfies_is_b(M,A) ==
  λx y zz. ∀lA[M]. is_list(M,A,lA) -->
    is_lambda(M, lA,
      λenv z. is_bool_of_o(M,
        ∃nx[M]. is_nth(M,x,env,nx) & is_nth(M,y,env,nx),
z),
      zz)"

  satisfies_c :: "[i,i,i,i,i]=>i"
  "satisfies_c(A) == λp q rp rq. λenv ∈ list(A). not(rp ‘ env and rq
‘ env)"

  satisfies_is_c :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i,i]=>o"
  "satisfies_is_c(M,A,h) ==

```

```

λp q zz. ∀lA[M]. is_list(M,A,lA) -->
  is_lambda(M, lA, λenv z. ∃hp[M]. ∃hq[M].
    (∃rp[M]. is_depth_apply(M,h,p,rp) & fun_apply(M,rp,env,hp))
&
    (∃rq[M]. is_depth_apply(M,h,q,rq) & fun_apply(M,rq,env,hq))
&
    (∃pq[M]. is_and(M,hp,hq,pq) & is_not(M,pq,z)),
  zz)"

satisfies_d :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
"satisfies_d(A)
 == λp rp. λenv ∈ list(A). bool_of_o (∀x∈A. rp ‘ (Cons(x,env)) =
1)"

satisfies_is_d :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i]=>o"
"satisfies_is_d(M,A,h) ==
λp zz. ∀lA[M]. is_list(M,A,lA) -->
  is_lambda(M, lA,
    λenv z. ∃rp[M]. is_depth_apply(M,h,p,rp) &
      is_bool_of_o(M,
        ∀x[M]. ∀xenv[M]. ∀hp[M].
          x∈A --> is_Cons(M,x,env,xenv) -->
            fun_apply(M,rp,xenv,hp) --> number1(M,hp),
        z),
    zz)"

satisfies_MH :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i]=>o"
— The variable u is unused, but gives satisfies_MH the correct arity.
"satisfies_MH ==
λM A u f z.
  ∀fml[M]. is_formula(M,fml) -->
    is_lambda (M, fml,
      is_formula_case (M, satisfies_is_a(M,A),
        satisfies_is_b(M,A),
        satisfies_is_c(M,A,f), satisfies_is_d(M,A,f)),
      z)"

is_satisfies :: "[i=>o,i,i,i]=>o"
"is_satisfies(M,A) == is_formula_rec (M, satisfies_MH(M,A))"

```

This lemma relates the fragments defined above to the original primitive recursion in *satisfies*. Induction is not required: the definitions are directly equal!

lemma satisfies_eq:

```

"satisfies(A,p) =
  formula_rec (satisfies_a(A), satisfies_b(A),
    satisfies_c(A), satisfies_d(A), p)"

```

by (simp add: satisfies_formula_def satisfies_a_def satisfies_b_def
satisfies_c_def satisfies_d_def)

Further constraints on the class M in order to prove absoluteness for the constants defined above. The ultimate goal is the absoluteness of the function *satisfies*.

```

locale M_satisfies = M_eclose +
  assumes
    Member_replacement:
      "[|M(A); x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat|]
      ==> strong_replacement
          (M, λenv z. ∃bo[M]. ∃nx[M]. ∃ny[M].
            env ∈ list(A) & is_nth(M,x,env,nx) & is_nth(M,y,env,ny)
    &
          is_bool_of_o(M, nx ∈ ny, bo) &
          pair(M, env, bo, z))"
  and
    Equal_replacement:
      "[|M(A); x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat|]
      ==> strong_replacement
          (M, λenv z. ∃bo[M]. ∃nx[M]. ∃ny[M].
            env ∈ list(A) & is_nth(M,x,env,nx) & is_nth(M,y,env,ny)
    &
          is_bool_of_o(M, nx = ny, bo) &
          pair(M, env, bo, z))"
  and
    Nand_replacement:
      "[|M(A); M(rp); M(rq)|]
      ==> strong_replacement
          (M, λenv z. ∃rpe[M]. ∃rqe[M]. ∃andpq[M]. ∃notpq[M].
            fun_apply(M,rp,env,rpe) & fun_apply(M,rq,env,rqe) &
            is_and(M,rpe,rqe,andpq) & is_not(M,andpq,notpq) &
            env ∈ list(A) & pair(M, env, notpq, z))"
  and
    Forall_replacement:
      "[|M(A); M(rp)|]
      ==> strong_replacement
          (M, λenv z. ∃bo[M].
            env ∈ list(A) &
            is_bool_of_o (M,
              ∀a[M]. ∀co[M]. ∀rpco[M].
                a∈A --> is_Cons(M,a,env,co) -->
                fun_apply(M,rp,co,rpco) --> number1(M,
rpco),
              bo) &
            pair(M,env,bo,z))"
  and
    formula_rec_replacement:
      — For the transrec
      "[|n ∈ nat; M(A)|] ==> transrec_replacement(M, satisfies_MH(M,A), n)"
  and
    formula_rec_lambda_replacement:

```

```

— For the  $\lambda$ -abstraction in the transrec body
"[/M(g); M(A)] ==>
strong_replacement (M,
   $\lambda x y. \text{mem\_formula}(M, x) \ \&$ 
    ( $\exists c[M]. \text{is\_formula\_case}(M, \text{satisfies\_is\_a}(M, A),$ 
       $\text{satisfies\_is\_b}(M, A),$ 
       $\text{satisfies\_is\_c}(M, A, g),$ 
       $\text{satisfies\_is\_d}(M, A, g), x, c) \ \&$ 
       $\text{pair}(M, x, c, y))$ )"

lemma (in M_satisfies) Member_replacement':
"[/M(A); x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat/]
==> strong_replacement
  (M,  $\lambda \text{env } z. \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ \&$ 
     $z = \langle \text{env}, \text{bool\_of\_o}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \in \text{nth}(y, \text{env})) \rangle$ )"
by (insert Member_replacement, simp)

lemma (in M_satisfies) Equal_replacement':
"[/M(A); x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat/]
==> strong_replacement
  (M,  $\lambda \text{env } z. \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ \&$ 
     $z = \langle \text{env}, \text{bool\_of\_o}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = \text{nth}(y, \text{env})) \rangle$ )"
by (insert Equal_replacement, simp)

lemma (in M_satisfies) Nand_replacement':
"[/M(A); M(rp); M(rq)]/
==> strong_replacement
  (M,  $\lambda \text{env } z. \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ \& \ z = \langle \text{env}, \text{not}(rp' \text{env} \ \text{and} \ rq' \text{env}) \rangle$ )"
by (insert Nand_replacement, simp)

lemma (in M_satisfies) Forall_replacement':
"[/M(A); M(rp)]/
==> strong_replacement
  (M,  $\lambda \text{env } z. \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ \&$ 
     $z = \langle \text{env}, \text{bool\_of\_o}(\forall a \in A. rp' \text{ Cons}(a, \text{env}) = 1) \rangle$ )"
by (insert Forall_replacement, simp)

lemma (in M_satisfies) a_closed:
"[/M(A); x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat/] ==> M(satisfies_a(A, x, y))"
apply (simp add: satisfies_a_def)
apply (blast intro: lam_closed2 Member_replacement')
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) a_rel:
"M(A) ==> Relation2(M, nat, nat, satisfies_is_a(M, A), satisfies_a(A))"
apply (simp add: Relation2_def satisfies_is_a_def satisfies_a_def)
apply (auto del: iffI intro!: lambda_abs2 simp add: Relation1_def)

```

```

done

lemma (in M_satisfies) b_closed:
  "[|M(A); x∈nat; y∈nat|] ==> M(satisfies_b(A,x,y))"
apply (simp add: satisfies_b_def)
apply (blast intro: lam_closed2 Equal_replacement')
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) b_rel:
  "M(A) ==> Relation2(M, nat, nat, satisfies_is_b(M,A), satisfies_b(A))"
apply (simp add: Relation2_def satisfies_is_b_def satisfies_b_def)
apply (auto del: iffI intro!: lambda_abs2 simp add: Relation1_def)
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) c_closed:
  "[|M(A); x ∈ formula; y ∈ formula; M(rx); M(ry)|]
  ==> M(satisfies_c(A,x,y,rx,ry))"
apply (simp add: satisfies_c_def)
apply (rule lam_closed2)
apply (rule Nand_replacement')
apply (simp_all add: formula_into_M list_into_M [of _ A])
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) c_rel:
  "[|M(A); M(f)|] ==>
  Relation2 (M, formula, formula,
             satisfies_is_c(M,A,f),
             λu v. satisfies_c(A, u, v, f ' succ(depth(u)) ' u,
                               f ' succ(depth(v)) ' v))"
apply (simp add: Relation2_def satisfies_is_c_def satisfies_c_def)
apply (auto del: iffI intro!: lambda_abs2
          simp add: Relation1_def formula_into_M)
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) d_closed:
  "[|M(A); x ∈ formula; M(rx)|] ==> M(satisfies_d(A,x,rx))"
apply (simp add: satisfies_d_def)
apply (rule lam_closed2)
apply (rule Forall_replacement')
apply (simp_all add: formula_into_M list_into_M [of _ A])
done

lemma (in M_satisfies) d_rel:
  "[|M(A); M(f)|] ==>
  Relation1(M, formula, satisfies_is_d(M,A,f),
           λu. satisfies_d(A, u, f ' succ(depth(u)) ' u))"
apply (simp del: rall_abs
          add: Relation1_def satisfies_is_d_def satisfies_d_def)
apply (auto del: iffI intro!: lambda_abs2 simp add: Relation1_def)

```

```

done

lemma (in M_satisfies) fr_replace:
  "[|n ∈ nat; M(A)|] ==> transrec_replacement(M,satisfies_MH(M,A),n)"

by (blast intro: formula_rec_replacement)

lemma (in M_satisfies) formula_case_satisfies_closed:
  "[|M(g); M(A); x ∈ formula|] ==>
    M(formula_case (satisfies_a(A), satisfies_b(A),
      λu v. satisfies_c(A, u, v,
        g ' succ(depth(u)) ' u, g ' succ(depth(v)) '
v),
      λu. satisfies_d (A, u, g ' succ(depth(u)) ' u),
      x))"
by (blast intro: formula_case_closed a_closed b_closed c_closed d_closed)

lemma (in M_satisfies) fr_lam_replace:
  "[|M(g); M(A)|] ==>
    strong_replacement (M, λx y. x ∈ formula &
      y = ⟨x,
        formula_rec_case(satisfies_a(A),
          satisfies_b(A),
          satisfies_c(A),
          satisfies_d(A), g, x)⟩)"
apply (insert formula_rec_lambda_replacement)
apply (simp add: formula_rec_case_def formula_case_satisfies_closed
  formula_case_abs [OF a_rel b_rel c_rel d_rel])
done

Instantiate locale Formula_Rec for the Function satisfies

lemma (in M_satisfies) Formula_Rec_axioms_M:
  "M(A) ==>
    Formula_Rec_axioms(M, satisfies_a(A), satisfies_is_a(M,A),
      satisfies_b(A), satisfies_is_b(M,A),
      satisfies_c(A), satisfies_is_c(M,A),
      satisfies_d(A), satisfies_is_d(M,A))"
apply (rule Formula_Rec_axioms.intro)
apply (assumption |
  rule a_closed a_rel b_closed b_rel c_closed c_rel d_closed d_rel
  fr_replace [unfolded satisfies_MH_def]
  fr_lam_replace) +
done

theorem (in M_satisfies) Formula_Rec_M:
  "M(A) ==>

```

```

      PROP Formula_Rec(M, satisfies_a(A), satisfies_is_a(M,A),
                      satisfies_b(A), satisfies_is_b(M,A),
                      satisfies_c(A), satisfies_is_c(M,A),
                      satisfies_d(A), satisfies_is_d(M,A))"
apply (rule Formula_Rec.intro, assumption+)
apply (erule Formula_Rec_axioms_M)
done

lemmas (in M_satisfies)
  satisfies_closed' = Formula_Rec.formula_rec_closed [OF Formula_Rec_M]
and satisfies_abs'   = Formula_Rec.formula_rec_abs [OF Formula_Rec_M]

lemma (in M_satisfies) satisfies_closed:
  "[|M(A); p ∈ formula|] ==> M(satisfies(A,p))"
by (simp add: Formula_Rec.formula_rec_closed [OF Formula_Rec_M]
    satisfies_eq)

lemma (in M_satisfies) satisfies_abs:
  "[|M(A); M(z); p ∈ formula|]
  ==> is_satisfies(M,A,p,z) <-> z = satisfies(A,p)"
by (simp only: Formula_Rec.formula_rec_abs [OF Formula_Rec_M]
    satisfies_eq is_satisfies_def satisfies_MH_def)

```

13.3 Internalizations Needed to Instantiate *M_satisfies*

13.3.1 The Operator *is_depth_apply*, Internalized

```

constdefs depth_apply_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "depth_apply_fm(h,p,z) ==
  Exists(Exists(Exists(
    And(finite_ordinal_fm(2),
      And(depth_fm(p#+3,2),
        And(succ_fm(2,1),
          And(fun_apply_fm(h#+3,1,0), fun_apply_fm(0,p#+3,z#+3)))))))"

lemma depth_apply_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> depth_apply_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: depth_apply_fm_def)

lemma sats_depth_apply_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, depth_apply_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  is_depth_apply(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: depth_apply_fm_def is_depth_apply_def)

lemma depth_apply_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_depth_apply(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, depth_apply_fm(i,j,k),

```

```

env)"
by simp

lemma depth_apply_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{is\_depth\_apply}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{is\_depth\_apply}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_depth_apply_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections depth_reflection
  finite_ordinal_reflection)
done

```

13.3.2 The Operator *satisfies_is_a*, Internalized

```

constdefs satisfies_is_a_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
"satisfies_is_a_fm(A,x,y,z) ==
  Forall(
    Implies(is_list_fm(succ(A),0),
      lambda_fm(
        bool_of_o_fm(Exists(
          Exists(And(nth_fm(x#+6,3,1),
            And(nth_fm(y#+6,3,0),
              Member(1,0))))), 0),
          0, succ(z))))"

lemma satisfies_is_a_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> satisfies_is_a_fm(A,x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_is_a_fm_def)

lemma sats_satisfies_is_a_fm [simp]:
  "[| u ∈ nat; x < length(env); y < length(env); z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, satisfies_is_a_fm(u,x,y,z), env) <->
    satisfies_is_a(##A, nth(u,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
apply (frule_tac x=x in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
apply (simp add: satisfies_is_a_fm_def satisfies_is_a_def sats_lambda_fm

      sats_bool_of_o_fm)
done

lemma satisfies_is_a_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) = ny; nth(z,env) =
  nz;
    u ∈ nat; x < length(env); y < length(env); z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> satisfies_is_a(##A,nu,nx,ny,nz) <->
    sats(A, satisfies_is_a_fm(u,x,y,z), env)"
by simp

theorem satisfies_is_a_reflection:

```

```

    "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{satisfies\_is\_a}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x), g'(x)),$ 
       $\lambda i x. \text{satisfies\_is\_a}(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x), g'(x))]$ "
  apply (unfold satisfies_is_a_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections is_lambda_reflection bool_of_o_reflection

    nth_reflection is_list_reflection)
done

```

13.3.3 The Operator *satisfies_is_b*, Internalized

```

constdefs satisfies_is_b_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
  "satisfies_is_b_fm(A,x,y,z) ==
  Forall(
    Implies(is_list_fm(succ(A),0),
      lambda_fm(
        bool_of_o_fm(Exists(And(nth_fm(x#+5,2,0), nth_fm(y#+5,2,0))),
          0, succ(z))))"

lemma satisfies_is_b_type [TC]:
  "[| A  $\in$  nat; x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; z  $\in$  nat |]
  ==> satisfies_is_b_fm(A,x,y,z)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_is_b_fm_def)

lemma sats_satisfies_is_b_fm [simp]:
  "[| u  $\in$  nat; x < length(env); y < length(env); z  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, satisfies_is_b_fm(u,x,y,z), env) <->
    satisfies_is_b(\#\#A, nth(u,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
  apply (frule_tac x=x in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (frule_tac x=y in lt_length_in_nat, assumption)
  apply (simp add: satisfies_is_b_fm_def satisfies_is_b_def sats_lambda_fm

    sats_bool_of_o_fm)
done

lemma satisfies_is_b_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) = ny; nth(z,env) =
  nz;
    u  $\in$  nat; x < length(env); y < length(env); z  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> satisfies_is_b(\#\#A,nu,nx,ny,nz) <->
    sats(A, satisfies_is_b_fm(u,x,y,z), env)"
  by simp

theorem satisfies_is_b_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \text{satisfies\_is\_b}(L, f(x), g(x), h(x), g'(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. \text{satisfies\_is\_b}(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x), g'(x))]$ "
  apply (unfold satisfies_is_b_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections is_lambda_reflection bool_of_o_reflection

```

```

        nth_reflection is_list_reflection)
done

```

13.3.4 The Operator *satisfies_is_c*, Internalized

```

constdefs satisfies_is_c_fm :: "[i,i,i,i,i]=>i"
"satisfies_is_c_fm(A,h,p,q,zz) ==
  Forall(
    Implies(is_list_fm(succ(A),0),
      lambda_fm(
        Exists(Exists(
          And(Exists(And(depth_apply_fm(h#+7,p#+7,0), fun_apply_fm(0,4,2))),
            And(Exists(And(depth_apply_fm(h#+7,q#+7,0), fun_apply_fm(0,4,1))),
              Exists(And(and_fm(2,1,0), not_fm(0,3))))))),
          0, succ(zz))))"

```

```

lemma satisfies_is_c_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; h ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> satisfies_is_c_fm(A,h,x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_is_c_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_satisfies_is_c_fm [simp]:
  "[| u ∈ nat; v ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, satisfies_is_c_fm(u,v,x,y,z), env) <->
    sats(is_c(##A, nth(u,env), nth(v,env), nth(x,env),
      nth(y,env), nth(z,env)))"
by (simp add: satisfies_is_c_fm_def satisfies_is_c_def sats_lambda_fm)

```

```

lemma satisfies_is_c_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(v,env) = nv; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) =
  ny;
    nth(z,env) = nz;
    u ∈ nat; v ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> satisfies_is_c(##A,nu,nv,nx,ny,nz) <->
    sats(A, satisfies_is_c_fm(u,v,x,y,z), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem satisfies_is_c_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. satisfies_is_c(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x),h'(x)),
    λi x. satisfies_is_c(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x),h'(x))]"
apply (unfold satisfies_is_c_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_lambda_reflection
  extra_reflections nth_reflection depth_apply_reflection
  is_list_reflection)
done

```

13.3.5 The Operator *satisfies_is_d*, Internalized

```

constdefs satisfies_is_d_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
"satisfies_is_d_fm(A,h,p,zz) ==

```

```

Forall(
  Implies(is_list_fm(succ(A),0),
    lambda_fm(
      Exists(
        And(depth_apply_fm(h#+5,p#+5,0),
          bool_of_o_fm(
            Forall(Forall(Forall(
              Implies(Member(2,A#+8),
                Implies(Cons_fm(2,5,1),
                  Implies(fun_apply_fm(3,1,0), number1_fm(0))))))), 1))),
    0, succ(zz))))"

lemma satisfies_is_d_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; h ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> satisfies_is_d_fm(A,h,x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_is_d_fm_def)

lemma sats_satisfies_is_d_fm [simp]:
  "[| u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, satisfies_is_d_fm(u,x,y,z), env) <->
  satisfies_is_d(##A, nth(u,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"

by (simp add: satisfies_is_d_fm_def satisfies_is_d_def sats_lambda_fm
  sats_bool_of_o_fm)

lemma satisfies_is_d_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) = ny; nth(z,env) =
  nz;
  u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> satisfies_is_d(##A,nu,nx,ny,nz) <->
  sats(A, satisfies_is_d_fm(u,x,y,z), env)"
by simp

theorem satisfies_is_d_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. satisfies_is_d(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x)),
  λi x. satisfies_is_d(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x))]"
apply (unfold satisfies_is_d_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_lambda_reflection
  extra_reflections nth_reflection depth_apply_reflection
  is_list_reflection)
done

```

13.3.6 The Operator *satisfies_{MH}*, Internalized

```

constdefs satisfies_MH_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
"satisfies_MH_fm(A,u,f,zz) ==
  Forall(
    Implies(is_formula_fm(0),
      lambda_fm(

```

```

    formula_case_fm(satisfies_is_a_fm(A#+7,2,1,0),
                    satisfies_is_b_fm(A#+7,2,1,0),
                    satisfies_is_c_fm(A#+7,f#+7,2,1,0),
                    satisfies_is_d_fm(A#+6,f#+6,1,0),
                    1, 0),
    0, succ(zz)))"

lemma satisfies_MH_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
   ==> satisfies_MH_fm(A,u,x,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_MH_fm_def)

lemma sats_satisfies_MH_fm [simp]:
  "[| u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
   ==> sats(A, satisfies_MH_fm(u,x,y,z), env) <->
        satisfies_MH(##A, nth(u,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"

by (simp add: satisfies_MH_fm_def satisfies_MH_def sats_lambda_fm
    sats_formula_case_fm)

lemma satisfies_MH_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) = ny; nth(z,env) =
nz;
   u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
   ==> satisfies_MH(##A,nu,nx,ny,nz) <->
        sats(A, satisfies_MH_fm(u,x,y,z), env)"
by simp

lemmas satisfies_reflections =
  is_lambda_reflection is_formula_reflection
  is_formula_case_reflection
  satisfies_is_a_reflection satisfies_is_b_reflection
  satisfies_is_c_reflection satisfies_is_d_reflection

theorem satisfies_MH_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. satisfies_MH(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x)),
            λi x. satisfies_MH(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x))]"
apply (unfold satisfies_MH_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections satisfies_reflections)
done

```

13.4 Lemmas for Instantiating the Locale $M_satisfies$

13.4.1 The Member Case

```

lemma Member_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λu. ∃ v[L]. v ∈ B ∧ (∃ bo[L]. ∃ nx[L]. ∃ ny[L].
    v ∈ lstA ∧ is_nth(L,x,v,nx) ∧ is_nth(L,y,v,ny) ∧
    is_bool_of_o(L, nx ∈ ny, bo) ∧ pair(L,v,bo,u)),
    λi u. ∃ v ∈ Lset(i). v ∈ B ∧ (∃ bo ∈ Lset(i). ∃ nx ∈ Lset(i). ∃ ny

```

```

∈ Lset(i).
  v ∈ lstA ∧ is_nth(##Lset(i), x, v, nx) ∧
  is_nth(##Lset(i), y, v, ny) ∧
  is_bool_of_o(##Lset(i), nx ∈ ny, bo) ∧ pair(##Lset(i), v, bo,
u))]"]
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections nth_reflection
    bool_of_o_reflection)

```

```

lemma Member_replacement:
  "[|L(A); x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat|]
  ==> strong_replacement
    (L, λenv z. ∃bo[L]. ∃nx[L]. ∃ny[L].
      env ∈ list(A) & is_nth(L,x,env,nx) & is_nth(L,y,env,ny)
&
      is_bool_of_o(L, nx ∈ ny, bo) &
      pair(L, env, bo, z))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{list(A),B,x,y}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF Member_Reflects],
  auto simp add: nat_into_M list_closed)
apply (rule_tac env="[list(A),B,x,y]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules nth_iff_sats is_bool_of_o_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.4.2 The Equal Case

```

lemma Equal_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λu. ∃v[L]. v ∈ B ∧ (∃bo[L]. ∃nx[L]. ∃ny[L].
    v ∈ lstA ∧ is_nth(L, x, v, nx) ∧ is_nth(L, y, v, ny) ∧
    is_bool_of_o(L, nx = ny, bo) ∧ pair(L, v, bo, u)),
  λi u. ∃v ∈ Lset(i). v ∈ B ∧ (∃bo ∈ Lset(i). ∃nx ∈ Lset(i). ∃ny
∈ Lset(i).
    v ∈ lstA ∧ is_nth(##Lset(i), x, v, nx) ∧
    is_nth(##Lset(i), y, v, ny) ∧
    is_bool_of_o(##Lset(i), nx = ny, bo) ∧ pair(##Lset(i), v, bo,
u))]"]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections nth_reflection
    bool_of_o_reflection)

```

```

lemma Equal_replacement:
  "[|L(A); x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat|]
  ==> strong_replacement
    (L, λenv z. ∃bo[L]. ∃nx[L]. ∃ny[L].
      env ∈ list(A) & is_nth(L,x,env,nx) & is_nth(L,y,env,ny)
&
      is_bool_of_o(L, nx = ny, bo) &
      pair(L, env, bo, z))"

```

```

apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{list(A),B,x,y}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF Equal_Reflects],
      auto simp add: nat_into_M list_closed)
apply (rule_tac env="[list(A),B,x,y]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules nth_iff_sats is_bool_of_o_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.4.3 The Nand Case

lemma Nand_Reflects:

```

"REFLECTS [ $\lambda x. \exists u[L]. u \in B \wedge$ 
  ( $\exists rpe[L]. \exists rqe[L]. \exists andpq[L]. \exists notpq[L].$ 
    fun_apply(L, rp, u, rpe)  $\wedge$  fun_apply(L, rq, u, rqe)  $\wedge$ 
    is_and(L, rpe, rqe, andpq)  $\wedge$  is_not(L, andpq, notpq)
  ]
 $\wedge$ 
  ( $\lambda i x. \exists u \in Lset(i). u \in B \wedge$ 
    ( $\exists rpe \in Lset(i). \exists rqe \in Lset(i). \exists andpq \in Lset(i). \exists notpq \in Lset(i).$ 
      fun_apply(##Lset(i), rp, u, rpe)  $\wedge$  fun_apply(##Lset(i), rq, u,
    rqe)  $\wedge$ 
      is_and(##Lset(i), rpe, rqe, andpq)  $\wedge$  is_not(##Lset(i), andpq, notpq)
    )
  ]
 $\wedge$ 
  ( $\lambda i x. \exists u \in Lset(i). u \in B \wedge$ 
    ( $\exists rpe \in Lset(i). \exists rqe \in Lset(i). \exists andpq \in Lset(i). \exists notpq \in Lset(i).$ 
      fun_apply(##Lset(i), rp, u, rpe)  $\wedge$  fun_apply(##Lset(i), rq, u,
    rqe)  $\wedge$ 
      is_and(##Lset(i), rpe, rqe, andpq)  $\wedge$  is_not(##Lset(i), andpq, notpq)
    )
  ]
]"
apply (unfold is_and_def is_not_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)
done

```

lemma Nand_replacement:

```

"[L(A); L(rp); L(rq)]
==> strong_replacement
  (L,  $\lambda env z. \exists rpe[L]. \exists rqe[L]. \exists andpq[L]. \exists notpq[L].$ 
    fun_apply(L,rp,env,rpe)  $\&$  fun_apply(L,rq,env,rqe)  $\&$ 
    is_and(L,rpe,rqe,andpq)  $\&$  is_not(L,andpq,notpq)  $\&$ 
    env  $\in$  list(A)  $\&$  pair(L, env, notpq, z))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{list(A),B,rp,rq}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF Nand_Reflects],
      auto simp add: list_closed)
apply (rule_tac env="[list(A),B,rp,rq]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_and_iff_sats is_not_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.4.4 The Forall Case

lemma Forall_Reflects:

```

"REFLECTS [ $\lambda x. \exists u[L]. u \in B \wedge (\exists bo[L]. u \in list(A) \wedge$ 
  is_bool_of_o (L,
 $\forall a[L]. \forall co[L]. \forall rpco[L]. a \in A \longrightarrow$ 
  is_Cons(L,a,u,co)  $\longrightarrow$  fun_apply(L,rp,co,rpco)  $\longrightarrow$ 

```

```

      number1(L, rpc),
      bo) ∧ pair(L, u, bo, x)),
λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B ∧ (∃bo ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ list(A) ∧
  is_bool_of_o (##Lset(i),
∃a ∈ Lset(i). ∃co ∈ Lset(i). ∃rpc ∈ Lset(i). a ∈ A →
  is_Cons(##Lset(i), a, u, co) → fun_apply(##Lset(i), rp, co, rpc))
→
  number1(##Lset(i), rpc),
  bo) ∧ pair(##Lset(i), u, bo, x))]
apply (unfold is_bool_of_o_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections Cons_reflection)
done

```

lemma Forall_replacement:

```

"[[L(A); L(rp)]]
==> strong_replacement
(L, λenv z. ∃bo[L].
  env ∈ list(A) &
  is_bool_of_o (L,
    ∃a[L]. ∃co[L]. ∃rpc[L].
      a∈A --> is_Cons(L, a, env, co) -->
      fun_apply(L, rp, co, rpc) --> number1(L,
rpco),
      bo) &
  pair(L, env, bo, z))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{A, list(A), B, rp}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF Forall_Reflects],
  auto simp add: list_closed)
apply (rule_tac env="[A, list(A), B, rp]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules is_bool_of_o_iff_sats Cons_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.4.5 The transrec_replacement Case

lemma formula_rec_replacement_Reflects:

```

"REFLECTS [λx. ∃u[L]. u ∈ B ∧ (∃y[L]. pair(L, u, y, x) ∧
  is_wfrec (L, satisfies_MH(L, A), mesa, u, y)),
λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B ∧ (∃y ∈ Lset(i). pair(##Lset(i), u, y,
x) ∧
  is_wfrec (##Lset(i), satisfies_MH(##Lset(i), A), mesa, u,
y))]]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections satisfies_MH_reflection
  is_wfrec_reflection)

```

lemma formula_rec_replacement:

```

— For the transrec
"[[n ∈ nat; L(A)]] ==> transrec_replacement(L, satisfies_MH(L, A), n)"

```

```

apply (rule transrec_replacementI, simp add: nat_into_M)
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,A,n,Memrel(eclose({n}))}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF formula_rec_replacement_Reflects],
      auto simp add: nat_into_M)
apply (rule_tac env="[B,A,n,Memrel(eclose({n}))]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules satisfies_MH_iff_sats is_wfrec_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.4.6 The Lambda Replacement Case

lemma formula_rec_lambda_replacement_Reflects:

```

"REFLECTS [ $\lambda x. \exists u[L]. u \in B \ \& \$ 
  mem_formula(L,u) &
  ( $\exists c[L].$ 
    is_formula_case
      (L, satisfies_is_a(L,A), satisfies_is_b(L,A),
        satisfies_is_c(L,A,g), satisfies_is_d(L,A,g),
        u, c) &
    pair(L,u,c,x)),
 $\lambda i x. \exists u \in Lset(i). u \in B \ \& \$  mem_formula(##Lset(i),u) &
  ( $\exists c \in Lset(i).$ 
    is_formula_case
      (##Lset(i), satisfies_is_a(##Lset(i),A), satisfies_is_b(##Lset(i),A),
        satisfies_is_c(##Lset(i),A,g), satisfies_is_d(##Lset(i),A,g),
        u, c) &
    pair(##Lset(i),u,c,x))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections mem_formula_reflection
    is_formula_case_reflection satisfies_is_a_reflection
    satisfies_is_b_reflection satisfies_is_c_reflection
    satisfies_is_d_reflection)

```

lemma formula_rec_lambda_replacement:

```

— For the transrec
"[[L(g); L(A)] ==>
  strong_replacement (L,
     $\lambda x y. \text{mem\_formula}(L,x) \ \& \$ 
      ( $\exists c[L]. \text{is\_formula\_case}(L, \text{satisfies\_is\_a}(L,A),$ 
        satisfies_is_b(L,A),
        satisfies_is_c(L,A,g),
        satisfies_is_d(L,A,g), x, c) &
      pair(L, x, c, y))]"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{B,A,g}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF formula_rec_lambda_replacement_Reflects],
      auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[A,g,B]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules mem_formula_iff_sats

```

```

    formula_case_iff_sats satisfies_is_a_iff_sats
    satisfies_is_b_iff_sats satisfies_is_c_iff_sats
    satisfies_is_d_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

13.5 Instantiating $M_{\text{satisfies}}$

```

lemma  $M_{\text{satisfies\_axioms\_L}}$ : " $M_{\text{satisfies\_axioms}}(L)$ "
  apply (rule  $M_{\text{satisfies\_axioms}}$ .intro)
  apply (assumption | rule
    Member_replacement Equal_replacement
    Nand_replacement Forall_replacement
    formula_rec_replacement formula_rec_lambda_replacement)+
done

```

```

theorem  $M_{\text{satisfies\_L}}$ : " $\text{PROP } M_{\text{satisfies}}(L)$ "
  apply (rule  $M_{\text{satisfies}}$ .intro)
  apply (rule  $M_{\text{eclose}}$ .axioms [OF  $M_{\text{eclose\_L}}$ ])+
  apply (rule  $M_{\text{satisfies\_axioms\_L}}$ )
done

```

Finally: the point of the whole theory!

```

lemmas satisfies_closed =  $M_{\text{satisfies}}$ .satisfies_closed [OF  $M_{\text{satisfies\_L}}$ ]
  and satisfies_abs =  $M_{\text{satisfies}}$ .satisfies_abs [OF  $M_{\text{satisfies\_L}}$ ]
end

```

14 Absoluteness for the Definable Powerset Function

```

theory DPow_absolute imports Satisfies_absolute begin

```

14.1 Preliminary Internalizations

14.1.1 The Operator $is_formula_rec$

The three arguments of p are always 2, 1, 0. It is buried within 11 quantifiers!!

```

constdefs formula_rec_fm :: "[i, i, i]=>i"
  "formula_rec_fm(mh,p,z) ==
  Exists(Exists(Exists(
    And(finite_ordinal_fm(2),
      And(depth_fm(p#+3,2),
        And(succ_fm(2,1),
          And(fun_apply_fm(0,p#+3,z#+3), is_transrec_fm(mh,1,0))))))))"

```

```

lemma is_formula_rec_type [TC]:

```

```

    "[| p ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
    ==> formula_rec_fm(p,x,z) ∈ formula"
  by (simp add: formula_rec_fm_def)

lemma sats_formula_rec_fm:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 a10.
    [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A; a5∈A; a6∈A; a7∈A; a8∈A; a9∈A;
    a10∈A|]
    ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <->
    sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,
    Cons(a4,Cons(a5,Cons(a6,Cons(a7,
    Cons(a8,Cons(a9,Cons(a10,env)))))))))))))"
  shows
    "[|x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, formula_rec_fm(p,x,z), env) <->
    is_formula_rec(##A, MH, nth(x,env), nth(z,env))"
  by (simp add: formula_rec_fm_def sats_is_transrec_fm is_formula_rec_def

    MH_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

lemma formula_rec_iff_sats:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    "!!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 a7 a8 a9 a10.
    [|a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A; a5∈A; a6∈A; a7∈A; a8∈A; a9∈A;
    a10∈A|]
    ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) <->
    sats(A, p, Cons(a0,Cons(a1,Cons(a2,Cons(a3,
    Cons(a4,Cons(a5,Cons(a6,Cons(a7,
    Cons(a8,Cons(a9,Cons(a10,env)))))))))))))"
  shows
    "[|nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
    i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> is_formula_rec(##A, MH, x, z) <-> sats(A, formula_rec_fm(p,i,k),
    env)"
  by (simp add: sats_formula_rec_fm [OF MH_iff_sats])

theorem formula_rec_reflection:
  assumes MH_reflection:
    "!!f' f g h. REFLECTS[λx. MH(L, f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x)),
    λi x. MH(##Lset(i), f'(x), f(x), g(x), h(x))]"
  shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_formula_rec(L, MH(L,x), f(x), h(x)),
    λi x. is_formula_rec(##Lset(i), MH(##Lset(i),x), f(x),
    h(x))]"
  apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_formula_rec_def)
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections
    depth_reflection is_transrec_reflection MH_reflection)
done

```

14.1.2 The Operator *is_satisfies*

```
constdefs satisfies_fm :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  "satisfies_fm(x) == formula_rec_fm (satisfies_MH_fm(x#+5#+6, 2, 1,
0))"

lemma is_satisfies_type [TC]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |] ==> satisfies_fm(x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: satisfies_fm_def)

lemma sats_satisfies_fm [simp]:
  "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> sats(A, satisfies_fm(x,y,z), env) <->
  is_satisfies(##A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: satisfies_fm_def is_satisfies_def sats_satisfies_MH_fm
sats_formula_rec_fm)

lemma satisfies_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y; nth(k,env) = z;
  i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
  ==> is_satisfies(##A, x, y, z) <-> sats(A, satisfies_fm(i,j,k),
env)"
by (simp add: sats_satisfies_fm)

theorem satisfies_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_satisfies(L,f(x),g(x),h(x)),
  λi x. is_satisfies(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x))]"
apply (simp only: is_satisfies_def)
apply (intro formula_rec_reflection satisfies_MH_reflection)
done
```

14.2 Relativization of the Operator *DPow'*

```
lemma DPow'_eq:
  "DPow'(A) = {z . ep ∈ list(A) * formula,
  ∃env ∈ list(A). ∃p ∈ formula.
  ep = <env,p> & z = {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))}}"
by (simp add: DPow'_def, blast)
```

Relativize the use of *sats* within *DPow'* (the comprehension).

```
constdefs
  is_DPow_sats :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o"
  "is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p,x) ==
  ∀n1[M]. ∀e[M]. ∀sp[M].
  is_satisfies(M,A,p,sp) --> is_Cons(M,x,env,e) -->
  fun_apply(M, sp, e, n1) --> number1(M, n1)"

lemma (in M_satisfies) DPow_sats_abs:
  "[| M(A); env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula; M(x) |]
  ==> is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p,x) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))"
```

```

apply (subgoal_tac "M(env)")
  apply (simp add: is_DPow_sats_def satisfies_closed satisfies_abs)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_satisfies) Collect_DPow_sats_abs:
  "[| M(A); env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula |]
  ==> Collect(A, is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p)) =
    {x ∈ A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))}"
by (simp add: DPow_sats_abs transM [of _ A])

```

14.2.1 The Operator *is_DPow_sats*, Internalized

```

constdefs DPow_sats_fm :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
  "DPow_sats_fm(A,env,p,x) ==
  Forall(Forall(Forall(
    Implies(satisfies_fm(A#+3,p#+3,0),
      Implies(Cons_fm(x#+3,env#+3,1),
        Implies(fun_apply_fm(0,1,2), number1_fm(2)))))))"

```

```

lemma is_DPow_sats_type [TC]:
  "[| A ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat |]
  ==> DPow_sats_fm(A,x,y,z) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: DPow_sats_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_DPow_sats_fm [simp]:
  "[| u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, DPow_sats_fm(u,x,y,z), env) <->
    is_DPow_sats(##A, nth(u,env), nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))"
by (simp add: DPow_sats_fm_def is_DPow_sats_def)

```

```

lemma DPow_sats_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(u,env) = nu; nth(x,env) = nx; nth(y,env) = ny; nth(z,env) =
  nz;
  u ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
  ==> is_DPow_sats(##A,nu,nx,ny,nz) <->
    sats(A, DPow_sats_fm(u,x,y,z), env)"
by simp

```

```

theorem DPow_sats_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[λx. is_DPow_sats(L,f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x)),
    λi x. is_DPow_sats(##Lset(i),f(x),g(x),h(x),g'(x))]"
apply (unfold is_DPow_sats_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections extra_reflections
  satisfies_reflection)
done

```

14.3 A Locale for Relativizing the Operator *DPow'*

```

locale M_DPow = M_satisfies +

```

```

assumes sep:
  "[| M(A); env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula |]
  ==> separation(M, λx. is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p,x))"
and rep:
  "M(A)
  ==> strong_replacement (M,
    λep z. ∃ env[M]. ∃ p[M]. mem_formula(M,p) & mem_list(M,A,env)
&
    pair(M,env,p,ep) &
    is_Collect(M, A, λx. is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p,x), z))"

lemma (in M_DPow) sep':
  "[| M(A); env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula |]
  ==> separation(M, λx. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env)))"
by (insert sep [of A env p], simp add: DPow_sats_abs)

lemma (in M_DPow) rep':
  "M(A)
  ==> strong_replacement (M,
    λep z. ∃ env∈list(A). ∃ p∈formula.
    ep = <env,p> & z = {x ∈ A . sats(A, p, Cons(x, env))})"
by (insert rep [of A], simp add: Collect_DPow_sats_abs)

lemma univalent_pair_eq:
  "univalent (M, A, λxy z. ∃ x∈B. ∃ y∈C. xy = ⟨x,y⟩ ∧ z = f(x,y))"
by (simp add: univalent_def, blast)

lemma (in M_DPow) DPow'_closed: "M(A) ==> M(DPow'(A))"
apply (simp add: DPow'_eq)
apply (fast intro: rep' sep' univalent_pair_eq)
done

Relativization of the Operator DPow'

constdefs
  is_DPow' :: "[i=>o,i,i] => o"
  "is_DPow'(M,A,Z) ==
  ∀ X[M]. X ∈ Z <->
  subset(M,X,A) &
  (∃ env[M]. ∃ p[M]. mem_formula(M,p) & mem_list(M,A,env) &
  is_Collect(M, A, is_DPow_sats(M,A,env,p), X))"

lemma (in M_DPow) DPow'_abs:
  "[|M(A); M(Z)|] ==> is_DPow'(M,A,Z) <-> Z = DPow'(A)"
apply (rule iffI)
prefer 2 apply (simp add: is_DPow'_def DPow'_def Collect_DPow_sats_abs)

apply (rule M_equalityI)

```

```

apply (simp add: is_DPow'_def DPow'_def Collect_DPow_sats_abs, assumption)
apply (erule DPow'_closed)
done

```

14.4 Instantiating the Locale M_{DPow}

14.4.1 The Instance of Separation

```

lemma DPow_separation:
  "[| L(A); env ∈ list(A); p ∈ formula |]
   ==> separation(L, λx. is_DPow_sats(L,A,env,p,x))"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF DPow_sats_reflection, of "{A,env,p}"],
      auto intro: transL)
apply (rule_tac env="{A,env,p}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule DPow_sats_iff_sats sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

14.4.2 The Instance of Replacement

```

lemma DPow_replacement_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS [λx. ∃u[L]. u ∈ B &
             (∃env[L]. ∃p[L].
              mem_formula(L,p) & mem_list(L,A,env) & pair(L,env,p,u)
&
              is_Collect (L, A, is_DPow_sats(L,A,env,p), x)),
            λi x. ∃u ∈ Lset(i). u ∈ B &
             (∃env ∈ Lset(i). ∃p ∈ Lset(i).
              mem_formula(##Lset(i),p) & mem_list(##Lset(i),A,env) &
              pair(##Lset(i),env,p,u) &
              is_Collect (##Lset(i), A, is_DPow_sats(##Lset(i),A,env,p),
x))]]"
apply (unfold is_Collect_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections mem_formula_reflection
        mem_list_reflection DPow_sats_reflection)
done

lemma DPow_replacement:
  "L(A)
   ==> strong_replacement (L,
    λep z. ∃env[L]. ∃p[L]. mem_formula(L,p) & mem_list(L,A,env)
&
    pair(L,env,p,ep) &
    is_Collect(L, A, λx. is_DPow_sats(L,A,env,p,x), z))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{A,B}"
      in gen_separation_multi [OF DPow_replacement_Reflects],
      auto)
apply (unfold is_Collect_def)

```

```

apply (rule_tac env="[A,B]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules mem_formula_iff_sats mem_list_iff_sats
      DPow_sats_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

14.4.3 Actually Instantiating the Locale

```

lemma M_DPow_axioms_L: "M_DPow_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_DPow_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule DPow_separation DPow_replacement)+
done

```

```

theorem M_DPow_L: "PROP M_DPow(L)"
  apply (rule M_DPow.intro)
  apply (rule M_satisfies.axioms [OF M_satisfies_L])
  apply (rule M_DPow_axioms_L)
done

```

```

lemmas DPow'_closed [intro, simp] = M_DPow.DPow'_closed [OF M_DPow_L]
and DPow'_abs [intro, simp] = M_DPow.DPow'_abs [OF M_DPow_L]

```

14.4.4 The Operator *is_Collect*

The formula *is_P* has one free variable, 0, and it is enclosed within a single quantifier.

```

constdefs Collect_fm :: "[i, i, i]=>i"
  "Collect_fm(A,is_P,z) ==
   Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
              And(Member(0,succ(A)), is_P)))"

```

```

lemma is_Collect_type [TC]:
  "[| is_P ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |]
   ==> Collect_fm(x,is_P,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Collect_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Collect_fm:
  assumes is_P_iff_sats:
    "!!a. a ∈ A ==> is_P(a) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a, env))"
  shows
    "[| x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A) |]
     ==> sats(A, Collect_fm(x,p,y), env) <->
        is_Collect(##A, nth(x,env), is_P, nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: Collect_fm_def is_Collect_def is_P_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

```

```

lemma Collect_iff_sats:
  assumes is_P_iff_sats:
    "!!a. a ∈ A ==> is_P(a) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a, env))"
  shows
    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;

```

```

    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> is_Collect(##A, x, is_P, y) <-> sats(A, Collect_fm(i,p,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_Collect_fm [OF is_P_iff_sats])

```

The second argument of `is_P` gives it direct access to `x`, which is essential for handling free variable references.

```

theorem Collect_reflection:
  assumes is_P_reflection:
    "!!h f g. REFLECTS[λx. is_P(L, f(x), g(x)),
      λi x. is_P(##Lset(i), f(x), g(x))]"
  shows "REFLECTS[λx. is_Collect(L, f(x), is_P(L,x), g(x)),
    λi x. is_Collect(##Lset(i), f(x), is_P(##Lset(i), x), g(x))]"
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_Collect_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections is_P_reflection)
done

```

14.4.5 The Operator `is_Replace`

BEWARE! The formula `is_P` has free variables 0, 1 and not the usual 1, 0! It is enclosed within two quantifiers.

```

constdefs Replace_fm :: "[i, i, i]=>i"
  "Replace_fm(A,is_P,z) ==
    Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),
      Exists(And(Member(0,A#+2), is_P))))"

```

```

lemma is_Replace_type [TC]:
  "[| is_P ∈ formula; x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat |]
  ==> Replace_fm(x,is_P,y) ∈ formula"
by (simp add: Replace_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_Replace_fm:
  assumes is_P_iff_sats:
    "!!a b. [|a ∈ A; b ∈ A|]
      ==> is_P(a,b) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a,Cons(b,env)))"
  shows
    "[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> sats(A, Replace_fm(x,p,y), env) <->
      is_Replace(##A, nth(x,env), is_P, nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: Replace_fm_def is_Replace_def is_P_iff_sats [THEN iff_sym])

```

```

lemma Replace_iff_sats:
  assumes is_P_iff_sats:
    "!!a b. [|a ∈ A; b ∈ A|]
      ==> is_P(a,b) <-> sats(A, p, Cons(a,Cons(b,env)))"
  shows
    "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
      i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> is_Replace(##A, x, is_P, y) <-> sats(A, Replace_fm(i,p,j), env)"

```

by (simp add: sats_Replace_fm [OF is_P_iff_sats])

The second argument of *is_P* gives it direct access to *x*, which is essential for handling free variable references.

```

theorem Replace_reflection:
  assumes is_P_reflection:
    "!!h f g. REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. is\_P(L, f(x), g(x), h(x)),$ 
       $\lambda i x. is\_P(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), g(x), h(x))]$ "
  shows "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. is\_Replace(L, f(x), is\_P(L,x), g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. is\_Replace(\#\#Lset(i), f(x), is\_P(\#\#Lset(i), x), g(x))]$ "
apply (simp (no_asm_use) only: is_Replace_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections is_P_reflection)
done

```

14.4.6 The Operator *is_DPow'*, Internalized

```

constdefs DPow'_fm :: "[i,i]=>i"
  "DPow'_fm(A,Z) ==
  Forall(
    Iff(Member(0,succ(Z)),
      And(subset_fm(0,succ(A)),
        Exists(Exists(
          And(mem_formula_fm(0),
            And(mem_list_fm(A#+3,1),
              Collect_fm(A#+3,
                DPow_sats_fm(A#+4, 2, 1, 0), 2))))))))"

```

```

lemma is_DPow'_type [TC]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat |] ==> DPow'_fm(x,y)  $\in$  formula"
by (simp add: DPow'_fm_def)

```

```

lemma sats_DPow'_fm [simp]:
  "[| x  $\in$  nat; y  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> sats(A, DPow'_fm(x,y), env) <->
    is_DPow'(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))"
by (simp add: DPow'_fm_def is_DPow'_def sats_subset_fm' sats_Collect_fm)

```

```

lemma DPow'_iff_sats:
  "[| nth(i,env) = x; nth(j,env) = y;
    i  $\in$  nat; j  $\in$  nat; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
  ==> is_DPow'(\#\#A, x, y) <-> sats(A, DPow'_fm(i,j), env)"
by (simp add: sats_DPow'_fm)

```

```

theorem DPow'_reflection:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. is\_DPow'(L,f(x),g(x)),$ 
     $\lambda i x. is\_DPow'(\#\#Lset(i),f(x),g(x))]$ "
apply (simp only: is_DPow'_def)
apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections mem_formula_reflection
  mem_list_reflection Collect_reflection DPow_sats_reflection)

```

done

14.5 A Locale for Relativizing the Operator $Lset$

constdefs

```
transrec_body :: "[i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o"  
"transrec_body(M,g,x) ==  
   $\lambda y z. \exists gy[M]. y \in x \ \& \ \text{fun\_apply}(M,g,y,gy) \ \& \ \text{is\_DPow}'(M,gy,z)"$ 
```

lemma (in M_DPow) transrec_body_abs:

```
"[|M(x); M(g)|]  
  ==> transrec_body(M,g,x,y,z) <-> y  $\in$  x & z = DPow'(g'y)"
```

by (simp add: transrec_body_def DPow'_abs transM [of _ x])

locale $M_Lset = M_DPow +$

assumes strong_rep:

```
"[|M(x); M(g)|] ==> strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda y z. \text{transrec\_body}(M,g,x,y,z)"$ )"
```

and transrec_rep:

```
"M(i) ==> transrec_replacement(M,  $\lambda x f u.$   
   $\exists r[M]. \text{is\_Replace}(M, x, \text{transrec\_body}(M,f,x), r) \ \& \$   
   $\text{big\_union}(M, r, u), i)"$ 
```

lemma (in M_Lset) strong_rep':

```
"[|M(x); M(g)|]  
  ==> strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda y z. y \in x \ \& \ z = \text{DPow}'(g'y)"$ )"
```

by (insert strong_rep [of x g], simp add: transrec_body_abs)

lemma (in M_Lset) DPow_apply_closed:

```
"[|M(f); M(x); y $\in$ x|] ==> M(DPow'(f'y))"
```

by (blast intro: DPow'_closed dest: transM)

lemma (in M_Lset) RepFun_DPow_apply_closed:

```
"[|M(f); M(x)|] ==> M({DPow'(f'y). y $\in$ x})"
```

by (blast intro: DPow_apply_closed RepFun_closed2 strong_rep')

lemma (in M_Lset) RepFun_DPow_abs:

```
"[|M(x); M(f); M(r) |]  
  ==> is_Replace(M, x,  $\lambda y z. \text{transrec\_body}(M,f,x,y,z), r) \ \<-> \$   
   $r = \{\text{DPow}'(f'y). y \in x\}"$ 
```

apply (simp add: transrec_body_abs RepFun_def)

apply (rule iff_trans)

apply (rule Replace_abs)

apply (simp_all add: DPow_apply_closed strong_rep')

done

lemma (in M_Lset) transrec_rep':

```
"M(i) ==> transrec_replacement(M,  $\lambda x f u. u = (\bigcup_{y \in x. \text{DPow}'(f'y)),$   
  i)"
```

```

apply (insert transrec_rep [of i])
apply (simp add: RepFun_DPow_apply_closed RepFun_DPow_abs
        transrec_replacement_def)
done

```

Relativization of the Operator *Lset*

```

constdefs
  is_Lset :: "[i=>o, i, i] => o"
  — We can use the term language below because is_Lset will not have to be
internalized: it isn't used in any instance of separation.
  "is_Lset(M,a,z) == is_transrec(M, %x f u. u = (⋃y∈x. DPow'(f'y)),
a, z)"

lemma (in M_Lset) Lset_abs:
  "[|Ord(i); M(i); M(z)|]
  ==> is_Lset(M,i,z) <-> z = Lset(i)"
apply (simp add: is_Lset_def Lset_eq_transrec_DPow')
apply (rule transrec_abs)
apply (simp_all add: transrec_rep' relation2_def RepFun_DPow_apply_closed)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_Lset) Lset_closed:
  "[|Ord(i); M(i)|] ==> M(Lset(i))"
apply (simp add: Lset_eq_transrec_DPow')
apply (rule transrec_closed [OF transrec_rep'])
apply (simp_all add: relation2_def RepFun_DPow_apply_closed)
done

```

14.6 Instantiating the Locale *M_Lset*

14.6.1 The First Instance of Replacement

```

lemma strong_rep_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS [λu. ∃v[L]. v ∈ B & (∃gy[L].
        v ∈ x & fun_apply(L,g,v,gy) & is_DPow'(L,gy,u)),
  λi u. ∃v ∈ Lset(i). v ∈ B & (∃gy ∈ Lset(i).
        v ∈ x & fun_apply(##Lset(i),g,v,gy) & is_DPow'(##Lset(i),gy,u))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections DPow'_reflection)

```

```

lemma strong_rep:
  "[|L(x); L(g)|] ==> strong_replacement(L, λy z. transrec_body(L,g,x,y,z))"
apply (unfold transrec_body_def)
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{x,g,B}"
        in gen_separation_multi [OF strong_rep_Reflects], auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{x,g,B}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules DPow'_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

14.6.2 The Second Instance of Replacement

```

lemma transrec_rep_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS [ $\lambda x. \exists v[L]. v \in B \ \&$ 
    ( $\exists y[L]. \text{pair}(L, v, y, x) \ \&$ 
     $\text{is\_wfrec}(L, \lambda x \ f \ u. \exists r[L].$ 
     $\text{is\_Replace}(L, x, \lambda y \ z.$ 
     $\exists gy[L]. y \in x \ \& \ \text{fun\_apply}(L, f, y, gy) \ \&$ 
     $\text{is\_DPow}'(L, gy, z), r) \ \& \ \text{big\_union}(L, r, u), mr, v,$ 
  y)],
   $\lambda i \ x. \exists v \in \text{Lset}(i). v \in B \ \&$ 
    ( $\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), v, y, x) \ \&$ 
     $\text{is\_wfrec}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), \lambda x \ f \ u. \exists r \in \text{Lset}(i).$ 
     $\text{is\_Replace}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), x, \lambda y \ z.$ 
     $\exists gy \in \text{Lset}(i). y \in x \ \& \ \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), f, y, gy)$ 
  &
     $\text{is\_DPow}'(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), gy, z), r) \ \&$ 
     $\text{big\_union}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), r, u), mr, v, y)]]"$ 
  apply (simp only: rex_setclass_is_bex [symmetric])
  — Convert  $\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i)$  to  $\exists y[\#\#\text{Lset}(i)]$  within the body of the  $\text{is\_wfrec}$ 
  application.
  apply (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections
    is_wfrec_reflection Replace_reflection DPow'_reflection)
  done

```

```

lemma transrec_rep:
  "[[L(j)]]
  ==> transrec_replacement(L,  $\lambda x \ f \ u.$ 
     $\exists r[L]. \text{is\_Replace}(L, x, \text{transrec\_body}(L, f, x), r) \ \&$ 
     $\text{big\_union}(L, r, u), j)"$ 
  apply (rule transrec_replacementI, assumption)
  apply (unfold transrec_body_def)
  apply (rule strong_replacementI)
  apply (rule_tac u="{j,B,Memrel(eclose({j}))}"
    in gen_separation_multi [OF transrec_rep_Reflects], auto)
  apply (rule_tac env="[j,B,Memrel(eclose({j}))]" in DPow_LsetI)
  apply (rule sep_rules is_wfrec_iff_sats Replace_iff_sats DPow'_iff_sats
  |
    simp)+
  done

```

14.6.3 Actually Instantiating M_{Lset}

```

lemma M_Lset_axioms_L: "M_Lset_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_Lset_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule strong_rep transrec_rep)+
  done

```

```

theorem M_Lset_L: "PROP M_Lset(L)"

```

```

apply (rule M_Lset.intro)
  apply (rule M_DPow.axioms [OF M_DPow_L])+
apply (rule M_Lset_axioms_L)
done

```

Finally: the point of the whole theory!

```

lemmas Lset_closed = M_Lset.Lset_closed [OF M_Lset_L]
  and Lset_abs = M_Lset.Lset_abs [OF M_Lset_L]

```

14.7 The Notion of Constructible Set

```

constdefs
  constructible :: "[i=>o,i] => o"
  "constructible(M,x) ==
     $\exists i[M]. \exists Li[M]. \text{ordinal}(M,i) \ \& \ \text{is\_Lset}(M,i,Li) \ \& \ x \in Li$ "

theorem V_equals_L_in_L:
  "L(x) ==> constructible(L,x)"
apply (simp add: constructible_def Lset_abs Lset_closed)
apply (simp add: L_def)
apply (blast intro: Ord_in_L)
done

end

```

15 The Axiom of Choice Holds in L!

theory AC_in_L imports Formula begin

15.1 Extending a Wellordering over a List – Lexicographic Power

This could be moved into a library.

```

consts
  rlist    :: "[i,i]=>i"

inductive
  domains "rlist(A,r)"  $\subseteq$  "list(A) * list(A)"
  intros
    shorterI:
      "[| length(l') < length(l); l'  $\in$  list(A); l  $\in$  list(A) |]
      ==> <l', l>  $\in$  rlist(A,r)"

    sameI:
      "[| <l',l>  $\in$  rlist(A,r); a  $\in$  A |]
      ==> <Cons(a,l'), Cons(a,l)>  $\in$  rlist(A,r)"

```

```

diffI:
  "[| length(l') = length(l); <a',a> ∈ r;
    l' ∈ list(A); l ∈ list(A); a' ∈ A; a ∈ A |]
  ==> <Cons(a',l'), Cons(a,l)> ∈ rlist(A,r)"
type_intros list.intros

```

15.1.1 Type checking

```
lemmas rlist_type = rlist.dom_subset
```

```
lemmas field_rlist = rlist_type [THEN field_rel_subset]
```

15.1.2 Linearity

```
lemma rlist_Nil_Cons [intro]:
  "[| a ∈ A; l ∈ list(A) |] ==> <[], Cons(a,l)> ∈ rlist(A, r)"
by (simp add: shorterI)

```

```
lemma linear_rlist:
  "linear(A,r) ==> linear(list(A),rlist(A,r))"
apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: linear_def)
apply (rule ballI)
apply (induct_tac x)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (induct_tac y)
    apply (simp_all add: shorterI)
  apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule_tac a=y in list.cases)
  apply (rename_tac [2] a2 l2)
  apply (rule_tac [2] i = "length(l)" and j = "length(l2)" in Ord_linear_lt)
    apply (simp_all add: shorterI)
  apply (erule_tac x=a and y=a2 in linearE)
    apply (simp_all add: diffI)
  apply (blast intro: sameI)
done

```

15.1.3 Well-foundedness

Nothing precedes Nil in this ordering.

```
inductive_cases rlist_NilE: " <l, []> ∈ rlist(A,r) "
```

```
inductive_cases rlist_ConsE: " <l', Cons(x,l)> ∈ rlist(A,r) "
```

```
lemma not_rlist_Nil [simp]: " <l, []> ∉ rlist(A,r) "
by (blast intro: elim: rlist_NilE)

```

```
lemma rlist_imp_length_le: " <l', l> ∈ rlist(A,r) ==> length(l') ≤ length(l) "
apply (erule rlist.induct)
apply (simp_all add: leI)

```

done

```
lemma wf_on_rlist_n:
  "[| n ∈ nat; wf[A](x) |] ==> wf[{l ∈ list(A). length(l) = n}](rlist(A,r))"
  apply (induct_tac n)
  apply (rule wf_onI2, simp)
  apply (rule wf_onI2, clarify)
  apply (erule_tac a=y in list.cases, clarify)
  apply (simp (no_asm_use))
  apply clarify
  apply (simp (no_asm_use))
  apply (subgoal_tac "∀ l2 ∈ list(A). length(l2) = x --> Cons(a,l2) ∈ B",
  blast)
  apply (erule_tac a=a in wf_on_induct, assumption)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (rule impI)
  apply (erule_tac a=l2 in wf_on_induct, blast, clarify)
  apply (rename_tac a' l2 l')
  apply (drule_tac x="Cons(a',l')" in bspec, typecheck)
  apply simp
  apply (erule mp, clarify)
  apply (erule rlist_ConsE, auto)
done
```

```
lemma list_eq_UN_length: "list(A) = (⋃ n ∈ nat. {l ∈ list(A). length(l) = n})"
by (blast intro: length_type)
```

```
lemma wf_on_rlist: "wf[A](r) ==> wf[list(A)](rlist(A,r))"
  apply (subst list_eq_UN_length)
  apply (rule wf_on_Union)
  apply (rule wf_imp_wf_on [OF wf_Memrel [of nat]])
  apply (simp add: wf_on_rlist_n)
  apply (frule rlist_type [THEN subsetD])
  apply (simp add: length_type)
  apply (drule rlist_imp_length_le)
  apply (erule leE)
  apply (simp_all add: lt_def)
done
```

```
lemma wf_rlist: "wf(r) ==> wf(rlist(field(r),r))"
  apply (simp add: wf_iff_wf_on_field)
  apply (rule wf_on_subset_A [OF _ field_rlist])
  apply (blast intro: wf_on_rlist)
done
```

```
lemma well_ord_rlist:
```

```

    "well_ord(A,r) ==> well_ord(list(A), rlist(A,r))"
  apply (rule well_ordI)
  apply (simp add: well_ord_def wf_on_rlist)
  apply (simp add: well_ord_def tot_ord_def linear_rlist)
done

```

15.2 An Injection from Formulas into the Natural Numbers

There is a well-known bijection between $\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$ and nat given by the expression $f(m,n) = \text{triangle}(m+n) + m$, where $\text{triangle}(k)$ enumerates the triangular numbers and can be defined by $\text{triangle}(0)=0$, $\text{triangle}(\text{succ}(k)) = \text{succ}(k + \text{triangle}(k))$. Some small amount of effort is needed to show that f is a bijection. We already know that such a bijection exists by the theorem *well_ord_InfCard_square_eq*:

$$\llbracket \text{well_ord}(A, r); \text{InfCard}(|A|) \rrbracket \implies A \times A \approx A$$

However, this result merely states that there is a bijection between the two sets. It provides no means of naming a specific bijection. Therefore, we conduct the proofs under the assumption that a bijection exists. The simplest way to organize this is to use a locale.

Locale for any arbitrary injection between $\text{nat} \times \text{nat}$ and nat

```

locale Nat_Times_Nat =
  fixes fn
  assumes fn_inj: "fn ∈ inj(nat*nat, nat)"

consts  enum :: "[i,i]=>i"
primrec
  "enum(f, Member(x,y)) = f ' <0, f ' <x,y>>"
  "enum(f, Equal(x,y)) = f ' <1, f ' <x,y>>"
  "enum(f, Nand(p,q)) = f ' <2, f ' <enum(f,p), enum(f,q)>>"
  "enum(f, Forall(p)) = f ' <succ(2), enum(f,p)>"

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) fn_type [TC,simp]:
  "[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat|] ==> fn'<x,y> ∈ nat"
by (blast intro: inj_is_fun [OF fn_inj] apply_funtype)

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) fn_iff:
  "[|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; u ∈ nat; v ∈ nat|]
  ==> (fn'<x,y> = fn'<u,v>) <-> (x=u & y=v)"
by (blast dest: inj_apply_equality [OF fn_inj])

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) enum_type [TC,simp]:
  "p ∈ formula ==> enum(fn,p) ∈ nat"
by (induct_tac p, simp_all)

```

```

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) enum_inject [rule_format]:
  "p ∈ formula ==> ∀q∈formula. enum(fn,p) = enum(fn,q) --> p=q"
apply (induct_tac p, simp_all)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (erule formula.cases)
  apply (simp_all add: fn_iff)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (erule formula.cases)
  apply (simp_all add: fn_iff)
  apply (rule ballI)
  apply (erule_tac a=q in formula.cases)
  apply (simp_all add: fn_iff)
  apply blast
apply (rule ballI)
apply (erule_tac a=q in formula.cases)
apply (simp_all add: fn_iff, blast)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) inj_formula_nat:
  "(λp ∈ formula. enum(fn,p)) ∈ inj(formula, nat)"
apply (simp add: inj_def lam_type)
apply (blast intro: enum_inject)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) well_ord_formula:
  "well_ord(formula, measure(formula, enum(fn)))"
apply (rule well_ord_measure, simp)
apply (blast intro: enum_inject)
done

lemmas nat_times_nat_lepoll_nat =
  InfCard_nat [THEN InfCard_square_eqpoll, THEN eqpoll_imp_lepoll]

Not needed—but interesting?

theorem formula_lepoll_nat: "formula ≲ nat"
apply (insert nat_times_nat_lepoll_nat)
apply (unfold lepoll_def)
apply (blast intro: Nat_Times_Nat.inj_formula_nat Nat_Times_Nat.intro)
done

```

15.3 Defining the Wellordering on $DPow(A)$

The objective is to build a wellordering on $DPow(A)$ from a given one on A . We first introduce wellorderings for environments, which are lists built over A . We combine it with the enumeration of formulas. The order type of the resulting wellordering gives us a map from (environment, formula) pairs into the ordinals. For each member of $DPow(A)$, we take the minimum such ordinal.

```

constdefs
env_form_r :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  — wellordering on (environment, formula) pairs
"env_form_r(f,r,A) ==
  rmult(list(A), rlist(A, r),
        formula, measure(formula, enum(f)))"

env_form_map :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
  — map from (environment, formula) pairs to ordinals
"env_form_map(f,r,A,z)
  == ordermap(list(A) * formula, env_form_r(f,r,A)) ‘ z"

DPow_ord :: "[i,i,i,i,i]=>o"
  — predicate that holds if k is a valid index for X
"DPow_ord(f,r,A,X,k) ==
  ∃ env ∈ list(A). ∃ p ∈ formula.
  arity(p) ≤ succ(length(env)) &
  X = {x∈A. sats(A, p, Cons(x,env))} &
  env_form_map(f,r,A,<env,p>) = k"

DPow_least :: "[i,i,i,i]=>i"
  — function yielding the smallest index for X
"DPow_least(f,r,A,X) == μ k. DPow_ord(f,r,A,X,k)"

DPow_r :: "[i,i,i]=>i"
  — a wellordering on DPow(A)
"DPow_r(f,r,A) == measure(DPow(A), DPow_least(f,r,A))"

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) well_ord_env_form_r:
  "well_ord(A,r)
  ==> well_ord(list(A) * formula, env_form_r(fn,r,A))"
by (simp add: env_form_r_def well_ord_rmult well_ord_rlist well_ord_formula)

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) Ord_env_form_map:
  "[|well_ord(A,r); z ∈ list(A) * formula|]
  ==> Ord(env_form_map(fn,r,A,z))"
by (simp add: env_form_map_def Ord_ordermap well_ord_env_form_r)

lemma DPow_imp_ex_DPow_ord:
  "X ∈ DPow(A) ==> ∃ k. DPow_ord(fn,r,A,X,k)"
apply (simp add: DPow_ord_def)
apply (blast dest!: DPowD)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) DPow_ord_imp_Ord:
  "[|DPow_ord(fn,r,A,X,k); well_ord(A,r)|] ==> Ord(k)"
apply (simp add: DPow_ord_def, clarify)
apply (simp add: Ord_env_form_map)

```

```

done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) DPow_imp_DPow_least:
  "[|X ∈ DPow(A); well_ord(A,r)|]
  ==> DPow_ord(fn, r, A, X, DPow_least(fn,r,A,X))"
apply (simp add: DPow_least_def)
apply (blast dest: DPow_imp_ex_DPow_ord intro: DPow_ord_imp_Ord LeastI)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) env_form_map_inject:
  "[|env_form_map(fn,r,A,u) = env_form_map(fn,r,A,v); well_ord(A,r);
  u ∈ list(A) * formula; v ∈ list(A) * formula|]
  ==> u=v"
apply (simp add: env_form_map_def)
apply (rule inj_apply_equality [OF bij_is_inj, OF ordermap_bij,
  OF well_ord_env_form_r], assumption+)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) DPow_ord_unique:
  "[|DPow_ord(fn,r,A,X,k); DPow_ord(fn,r,A,Y,k); well_ord(A,r)|]
  ==> X=Y"
apply (simp add: DPow_ord_def, clarify)
apply (drule env_form_map_inject, auto)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) well_ord_DPow_r:
  "well_ord(A,r) ==> well_ord(DPow(A), DPow_r(fn,r,A))"
apply (simp add: DPow_r_def)
apply (rule well_ord_measure)
  apply (simp add: DPow_least_def Ord_Least)
apply (drule DPow_imp_DPow_least, assumption)+
apply simp
apply (blast intro: DPow_ord_unique)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) DPow_r_type:
  "DPow_r(fn,r,A) ⊆ DPow(A) * DPow(A)"
by (simp add: DPow_r_def measure_def, blast)

```

15.4 Limit Construction for Well-Orderings

Now we work towards the transfinite definition of wellorderings for $Lset(i)$. We assume as an inductive hypothesis that there is a family of wellorderings for smaller ordinals.

constdefs

$rlimit :: "[i, i=>i] => i$ "

— Expresses the wellordering at limit ordinals. The conditional lets us remove the premise $Limit(i)$ from some theorems.

$rlimit(i,r) ==$

```

    if Limit(i) then
      {z: Lset(i) * Lset(i).
       ∃ x' x. z = <x',x> &
         (lrank(x') < lrank(x) |
          (lrank(x') = lrank(x) & <x',x> ∈ r(succ(lrank(x))))))}
    else 0"

Lset_new :: "i=>i"
— This constant denotes the set of elements introduced at level succ(i)
"Lset_new(i) == {x ∈ Lset(succ(i)). lrank(x) = i}"

lemma Limit_Lset_eq2:
  "Limit(i) ==> Lset(i) = (⋃ j<i. Lset_new(j))"
apply (simp add: Limit_Lset_eq)
apply (rule equalityI)
  apply safe
  apply (subgoal_tac "Ord(y)")
  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: Ord_in_Ord Limit_is_Ord)
  apply (simp_all add: Limit_is_Ord Lset_iff_lrank_lt Lset_new_def
    Ord_mem_iff_lt)
  apply (blast intro: lt_trans)
apply (rule_tac x = "succ(lrank(x))" in bexI)
  apply (simp add: Lset_succ_lrank_iff)
apply (blast intro: Limit_has_succ ltD)
done

lemma wf_on_Lset:
  "wf[Lset(succ(j))](r(succ(j))) ==> wf[Lset_new(j)](rlimit(i,r))"
apply (simp add: wf_on_def Lset_new_def)
apply (erule wf_subset)
apply (simp add: rlimit_def, force)
done

lemma wf_on_rlimit:
  "(∀ j<i. wf[Lset(j)](r(j))) ==> wf[Lset(i)](rlimit(i,r))"
apply (case_tac "Limit(i)")
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: rlimit_def wf_on_any_0)
  apply (simp add: Limit_Lset_eq2)
  apply (rule wf_on_Union)
  apply (rule wf_imp_wf_on [OF wf_Memrel [of i]])
  apply (blast intro: wf_on_Lset Limit_has_succ Limit_is_Ord ltI)
  apply (force simp add: rlimit_def Limit_is_Ord Lset_iff_lrank_lt Lset_new_def
    Ord_mem_iff_lt)
done

lemma linear_rlimit:
  "[/Limit(i); ∀ j<i. linear(Lset(j), r(j)) /]
  ==> linear(Lset(i), rlimit(i,r))"

```

```

apply (frule Limit_is_Ord)
apply (simp add: Limit_Lset_eq2 Lset_new_def)
apply (simp add: linear_def rlimit_def Ball_def lt_Ord Lset_iff_lrank_lt)
apply (simp add: ltI, clarify)
apply (rename_tac u v)
apply (rule_tac i="lrank(u)" and j="lrank(v)" in Ord_linear_lt, simp_all)

apply (drule_tac x="succ(lrank(u) Un lrank(v))" in ospec)
  apply (simp add: ltI)
apply (drule_tac x=u in spec, simp)
apply (drule_tac x=v in spec, simp)
done

lemma well_ord_rlimit:
  "[|Limit(i);  $\forall j < i.$  well_ord(Lset(j), r(j)) |]
   => well_ord(Lset(i), rlimit(i,r))"
by (blast intro: well_ordI wf_on_rlimit well_ord_is_wf
    linear_rlimit well_ord_is_linear)

lemma rlimit_cong:
  "(! $j. j < i$  =>  $r'(j) = r(j)$ ) => rlimit(i,r) = rlimit(i,r')"
apply (simp add: rlimit_def, clarify)
apply (rule refl iff_refl Collect_cong ex_cong conj_cong)+
apply (simp add: Limit_is_Ord Lset_lrank_lt)
done

```

15.5 Transfinite Definition of the Wellordering on L

```

constdefs
  L_r :: "[i, i] => i"
  "L_r(f) == %i.
    transrec3(i, 0,  $\lambda x r. DPow_r(f, r, Lset(x)),$ 
       $\lambda x r. rlimit(x, \lambda y. r'y)$ )"

```

15.5.1 The Corresponding Recursion Equations

```

lemma [simp]: "L_r(f,0) = 0"
by (simp add: L_r_def)

lemma [simp]: "L_r(f, succ(i)) = DPow_r(f, L_r(f,i), Lset(i))"
by (simp add: L_r_def)

```

The limit case is non-trivial because of the distinction between object-level and meta-level abstraction.

```

lemma [simp]: "Limit(i) => L_r(f,i) = rlimit(i, L_r(f))"
by (simp cong: rlimit_cong add: transrec3_Limit L_r_def ltD)

```

```

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) L_r_type:
  "Ord(i) => L_r(fn,i)  $\subseteq$  Lset(i) * Lset(i)"

```

```

apply (induct i rule: trans_induct3_rule)
  apply (simp_all add: Lset_succ DPow_r_type well_ord_DPow_r rlimit_def
    Transset_subset_DPow [OF Transset_Lset], blast)
done

lemma (in Nat_Times_Nat) well_ord_L_r:
  "Ord(i) ==> well_ord(Lset(i), L_r(fn,i))"
apply (induct i rule: trans_induct3_rule)
apply (simp_all add: well_ord0 Lset_succ L_r_type well_ord_DPow_r
  well_ord_rlimit ltD)
done

lemma well_ord_L_r:
  "Ord(i) ==>  $\exists r$ . well_ord(Lset(i), r)"
apply (insert nat_times_nat_lepoll_nat)
apply (unfold lepoll_def)
apply (blast intro: Nat_Times_Nat.well_ord_L_r Nat_Times_Nat.intro)
done

```

Locale for proving results under the assumption $V=L$

```

locale V_equals_L =
  assumes VL: "L(x)"

```

The Axiom of Choice holds in L ! Or, to be precise, the Wellordering Theorem.

```

theorem (in V_equals_L) AC: " $\exists r$ . well_ord(x,r)"
apply (insert Transset_Lset VL [of x])
apply (simp add: Transset_def L_def)
apply (blast dest!: well_ord_L_r intro: well_ord_subset)
done

```

end

16 Absoluteness for Order Types, Rank Functions and Well-Founded Relations

theory Rank imports WF_absolute begin

16.1 Order Types: A Direct Construction by Replacement

```

locale M_ordertype = M_basic +
  assumes well_ord_iso_separation:
    "[| M(A); M(f); M(r) |]
    ==> separation (M,  $\lambda x$ .  $x \in A \rightarrow (\exists y[M]. (\exists p[M].$ 
      fun_apply(M,f,x,y) & pair(M,y,x,p) &  $p \in r$ )))"
  and obase_separation:
    — part of the order type formalization

```

```

    "[| M(A); M(r) |]
    ==> separation(M, λa. ∃x[M]. ∃g[M]. ∃mx[M]. ∃par[M].
      ordinal(M,x) & membership(M,x,mx) & pred_set(M,A,a,r,par)
&
      order_isomorphism(M,par,r,x,mx,g))"
and obase_equals_separation:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |]
  ==> separation (M, λx. x∈A --> ~(∃y[M]. ∃g[M].
    ordinal(M,y) & (∃my[M]. ∃pxr[M].
    membership(M,y,my) & pred_set(M,A,x,r,pxr)
&
    order_isomorphism(M,pxr,r,y,my,g))))"
and omap_replacement:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |]
  ==> strong_replacement(M,
    λa z. ∃x[M]. ∃g[M]. ∃mx[M]. ∃par[M].
    ordinal(M,x) & pair(M,a,x,z) & membership(M,x,mx) &
    pred_set(M,A,a,r,par) & order_isomorphism(M,par,r,x,mx,g))"

```

Inductive argument for Kunen's Lemma I 6.1, etc. Simple proof from Halmos, page 72

```

lemma (in M_ordertype) wellordered_iso_subset_lemma:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); f ∈ ord_iso(A,r, A',r); A' ≤ A; y ∈ A;
    M(A); M(f); M(r) |] ==> ~ <f'y, y> ∈ r"
apply (unfold wellordered_def ord_iso_def)
apply (elim conjE CollectE)
apply (erule wellfounded_on_induct, assumption+)
  apply (insert well_ord_iso_separation [of A f r])
  apply (simp, clarify)
apply (drule_tac a = x in bij_is_fun [THEN apply_type], assumption, blast)
done

```

Kunen's Lemma I 6.1, page 14: there's no order-isomorphism to an initial segment of a well-ordering

```

lemma (in M_ordertype) wellordered_iso_predD:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); f ∈ ord_iso(A, r, Order.pred(A,x,r), r);
    M(A); M(f); M(r) |] ==> x ∉ A"
apply (rule notI)
apply (frule wellordered_iso_subset_lemma, assumption)
apply (auto elim: predE)

apply (drule ord_iso_is_bij [THEN bij_is_fun, THEN apply_type], assumption)

apply (simp add: Order.pred_def)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_ordertype) wellordered_iso_pred_eq_lemma:
  "[| f ∈ ⟨Order.pred(A,y,r), r⟩ ≅ ⟨Order.pred(A,x,r), r⟩;
    wellordered(M,A,r); x∈A; y∈A; M(A); M(f); M(r) |] ==> ⟨x,y⟩ ∉
  r"
apply (frule wellordered_is_trans_on, assumption)
apply (rule notI)
apply (drule_tac x2=y and x=x and r2=r in
  wellordered_subset [OF _ pred_subset, THEN wellordered_iso_predD])

apply (simp add: trans_pred_pred_eq)
apply (blast intro: predI dest: transM)+
done

```

Simple consequence of Lemma 6.1

```

lemma (in M_ordertype) wellordered_iso_pred_eq:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r);
    f ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,a,r), r, Order.pred(A,c,r), r);
    M(A); M(f); M(r); a∈A; c∈A |] ==> a=c"
apply (frule wellordered_is_trans_on, assumption)
apply (frule wellordered_is_linear, assumption)
apply (erule_tac x=a and y=c in linearE, auto)
apply (drule ord_iso_sym)

apply (blast dest: wellordered_iso_pred_eq_lemma)+
done

```

Following Kunen's Theorem I 7.6, page 17. Note that this material is not required elsewhere.

Can't use `well_ord_iso_preserving` because it needs the strong premise `well_ord(A, r)`

```

lemma (in M_ordertype) ord_iso_pred_imp_lt:
  "[| f ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,x,r), r, i, Memrel(i));
    g ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,y,r), r, j, Memrel(j));
    wellordered(M,A,r); x ∈ A; y ∈ A; M(A); M(r); M(f); M(g);
  M(j);
    Ord(i); Ord(j); ⟨x,y⟩ ∈ r |]
  ==> i < j"
apply (frule wellordered_is_trans_on, assumption)
apply (frule_tac y=y in transM, assumption)
apply (rule_tac i=i and j=j in Ord_linear_lt, auto)

case i = j yields a contradiction

apply (rule_tac x1=x and A1="Order.pred(A,y,r)" in
  wellordered_iso_predD [THEN notE])
  apply (blast intro: wellordered_subset [OF _ pred_subset])
  apply (simp add: trans_pred_pred_eq)
  apply (blast intro: Ord_iso_implies_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans)
  apply (simp_all add: pred_iff pred_closed converse_closed comp_closed)

```

```

case j < i also yields a contradiction

apply (frule restrict_ord_iso2, assumption+)
apply (frule ord_iso_sym [THEN ord_iso_is_bij, THEN bij_is_fun])
apply (frule apply_type, blast intro: ltD)
  — thus converse(f) ‘ j ∈ Order.pred(A, x, r)
apply (simp add: pred_iff)
apply (subgoal_tac
  "∃h[M]. h ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,y,r), r,
    Order.pred(A, converse(f)‘j, r), r)")
  apply (clarify, frule wellordered_iso_pred_eq, assumption+)
  apply (blast dest: wellordered_asym)
apply (intro rexI)
  apply (blast intro: Ord_iso_implies_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans)+
done

lemma ord_iso_converse1:
  "[| f: ord_iso(A,r,B,s); <b, f‘a>: s; a:A; b:B |]
   ==> <converse(f) ‘ b, a> ∈ r"
apply (frule ord_iso_converse, assumption+)
apply (blast intro: ord_iso_is_bij [THEN bij_is_fun, THEN apply_funtype])

apply (simp add: left_inverse_bij [OF ord_iso_is_bij])
done

constdefs

obase :: "[i=>o,i,i] => i"
  — the domain of om, eventually shown to equal A
"obase(M,A,r) == {a∈A. ∃x[M]. ∃g[M]. Ord(x) &
  g ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,a,r),r,x,Memrel(x))}"

omap :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
  — the function that maps wosets to order types
"omap(M,A,r,f) ==
  ∀z[M].
  z ∈ f <-> (∃a∈A. ∃x[M]. ∃g[M]. z = <a,x> & Ord(x) &
  g ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A,a,r),r,x,Memrel(x)))"

otype :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o" — the order types themselves
"otype(M,A,r,i) == ∃f[M]. omap(M,A,r,f) & is_range(M,f,i)"

Can also be proved with the premise M(z) instead of M(f), but that version
is less useful. This lemma is also more useful than the definition, omap_def.

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_iff:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); M(A); M(f) |]
   ==> z ∈ f <->

```

```

      (∃ a ∈ A. ∃ x [M]. ∃ g [M]. z = ⟨a, x⟩ & Ord(x) &
        g ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A, a, r), r, x, Memrel(x)))"
apply (simp add: omap_def Memrel_closed pred_closed)
apply (rule iffI)
  apply (drule_tac [2] x=z in rspec)
  apply (drule_tac x=z in rspec)
  apply (blast dest: transM)+
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_unique:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); omap(M,A,r,f'); M(A); M(r); M(f); M(f') |] ==>
  f' = f"
apply (rule equality_iffI)
apply (simp add: omap_iff)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_yields_Ord:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); ⟨a,x⟩ ∈ f; M(a); M(x) |] ==> Ord(x)"
  by (simp add: omap_def)

lemma (in M_ordertype) otype_iff:
  "[| otype(M,A,r,i); M(A); M(r); M(i) |]
  ==> x ∈ i <->
  (M(x) & Ord(x) &
  (∃ a ∈ A. ∃ g [M]. g ∈ ord_iso(Order.pred(A, a, r), r, x, Memrel(x))))"
apply (auto simp add: omap_iff otype_def)
  apply (blast intro: transM)
apply (rule rangeI)
apply (frule transM, assumption)
apply (simp add: omap_iff, blast)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) otype_eq_range:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i); M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |]
  ==> i = range(f)"
apply (auto simp add: otype_def omap_iff)
apply (blast dest: omap_unique)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) Ord_otype:
  "[| otype(M,A,r,i); trans[A](r); M(A); M(r); M(i) |] ==> Ord(i)"
apply (rule OrdI)
prefer 2
  apply (simp add: Ord_def otype_def omap_def)
  apply clarify
  apply (frule pair_components_in_M, assumption)
  apply blast
apply (auto simp add: Transset_def otype_iff)

```

```

    apply (blast intro: transM)
    apply (blast intro: Ord_in_Ord)
  apply (rename_tac y a g)
  apply (frule ord_iso_sym [THEN ord_iso_is_bij, THEN bij_is_fun,
    THEN apply_funtype], assumption)
  apply (rule_tac x="converse(g)'y" in bexI)
    apply (frule_tac a="converse(g) ' y" in ord_iso_restrict_pred, assumption)

  apply (safe elim!: predE)
  apply (blast intro: restrict_ord_iso ord_iso_sym ltI dest: transM)
  done

lemma (in M_ordertype) domain_omap:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); M(A); M(r); M(B); M(f) |]
   ==> domain(f) = obase(M,A,r)"
  apply (simp add: domain_closed obase_def)
  apply (rule equality_iffI)
  apply (simp add: domain_iff omap_iff, blast)
  done

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_subset:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(B); M(i) |] ==> f ⊆ obase(M,A,r) * i"
  apply clarify
  apply (simp add: omap_iff obase_def)
  apply (force simp add: otype_iff)
  done

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_funtype:
  "[| omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |] ==> f ∈ obase(M,A,r) -> i"
  apply (simp add: domain_omap omap_subset Pi_iff function_def omap_iff)

  apply (blast intro: Ord_iso_implies_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans)
  done

lemma (in M_ordertype) wellordered_omap_bij:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |] ==> f ∈ bij(obase(M,A,r),i)"
  apply (insert omap_funtype [of A r f i])
  apply (auto simp add: bij_def inj_def)
  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: fun_is_surj dest: otype_eq_range)
  apply (frule_tac a=w in apply_Pair, assumption)
  apply (frule_tac a=x in apply_Pair, assumption)
  apply (simp add: omap_iff)
  apply (blast intro: wellordered_iso_pred_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans)

  done

```

This is not the final result: we must show $oB(A, r) = A$

```
lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_ord_iso:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |] ==> f ∈ ord_iso(obase(M,A,r),r,i,Memrel(i))"
apply (rule ord_isoI)
  apply (erule wellordered_omap_bij, assumption+)
apply (insert omap_funtype [of A r f i], simp)
apply (frule_tac a=x in apply_Pair, assumption)
apply (frule_tac a=y in apply_Pair, assumption)
apply (auto simp add: omap_iff)
```

direction 1: assuming $\langle x, y \rangle \in r$

```
  apply (blast intro: ltD ord_iso_pred_imp_lt)
```

direction 2: proving $\langle x, y \rangle \in r$ using linearity of r

```
  apply (rename_tac x y g ga)
  apply (frule wellordered_is_linear, assumption,
    erule_tac x=x and y=y in linearE, assumption+)
```

the case $x = y$ leads to immediate contradiction

```
  apply (blast elim: mem_irrefl)
```

the case $\langle y, x \rangle \in r$: handle like the opposite direction

```
  apply (blast dest: ord_iso_pred_imp_lt ltD elim: mem_asym)
done
```

```
lemma (in M_ordertype) Ord_omap_image_pred:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i); b ∈ A |] ==> Ord(f ‘‘ Order.pred(A,b,r))"
apply (frule wellordered_is_trans_on, assumption)
apply (rule OrdI)
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: image_iff omap_iff Ord_def, blast)
```

Hard part is to show that the image is a transitive set.

```
  apply (simp add: Transset_def, clarify)
  apply (simp add: image_iff pred_iff apply_iff [OF omap_funtype [of A r
    f i]])
  apply (rename_tac c j, clarify)
  apply (frule omap_funtype [of A r f, THEN apply_funtype], assumption+)
  apply (subgoal_tac "j ∈ i")
    prefer 2 apply (blast intro: Ord_trans Ord_otype)
  apply (subgoal_tac "converse(f) ‘ j ∈ obase(M,A,r)")
    prefer 2
    apply (blast dest: wellordered_omap_bij [THEN bij_converse_bij,
      THEN bij_is_fun, THEN apply_funtype])
  apply (rule_tac x="converse(f) ‘ j" in bexI)
  apply (simp add: right_inverse_bij [OF wellordered_omap_bij])
```

```

apply (intro predI conjI)
  apply (erule_tac b=c in trans_onD)
  apply (rule ord_iso_converse1 [OF omap_ord_iso [of A r f i]])
apply (auto simp add: obase_def)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) restrict_omap_ord_iso:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    D ⊆ obase(M,A,r); M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |]
  ==> restrict(f,D) ∈ (⟨D,r⟩ ≅ ⟨f‘D, Memrel(f‘D)⟩)"
apply (frule ord_iso_restrict_image [OF omap_ord_iso [of A r f i]],
  assumption+)
apply (drule ord_iso_sym [THEN subset_ord_iso_Memrel])
apply (blast dest: subsetD [OF omap_subset])
apply (drule ord_iso_sym, simp)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) obase_equals:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |] ==> obase(M,A,r) = A"
apply (rule equalityI, force simp add: obase_def, clarify)
apply (unfold obase_def, simp)
apply (frule wellordered_is_wellfounded_on, assumption)
apply (erule wellfounded_on_induct, assumption+)
  apply (frule obase_equals_separation [of A r], assumption)
  apply (simp, clarify)
apply (rename_tac b)
apply (subgoal_tac "Order.pred(A,b,r) <= obase(M,A,r)")
  apply (blast intro!: restrict_omap_ord_iso Ord_omap_image_pred)
  apply (force simp add: pred_iff obase_def)
done

Main result:  $om$  gives the order-isomorphism  $\langle A, r \rangle \cong \langle i, Memrel(i) \rangle$ 

theorem (in M_ordertype) omap_ord_iso_otype:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); omap(M,A,r,f); otype(M,A,r,i);
    M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i) |] ==> f ∈ ord_iso(A, r, i, Memrel(i))"
apply (frule omap_ord_iso, assumption+)
apply (simp add: obase_equals)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) obase_exists:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> M(obase(M,A,r))"
apply (simp add: obase_def)
apply (insert obase_separation [of A r])
apply (simp add: separation_def)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) omap_exists:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> ∃ z[M]. omap(M,A,r,z)"

```

```

apply (simp add: omap_def)
apply (insert omap_replacement [of A r])
apply (simp add: strong_replacement_def)
apply (drule_tac x="obase(M,A,r)" in rspec)
  apply (simp add: obase_exists)
apply (simp add: Memrel_closed pred_closed obase_def)
apply (erule impE)
  apply (clarsimp simp add: univalent_def)
  apply (blast intro: Ord_iso_implies_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans, clarify)

apply (rule_tac x=Y in rexI)
apply (simp add: Memrel_closed pred_closed obase_def, blast, assumption)
done

declare rall_simps [simp] rex_simps [simp]

lemma (in M_ordertype) otype_exists:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A); M(r) |] ==>  $\exists i[M]. \text{otype}(M,A,r,i)$ "
apply (insert omap_exists [of A r])
apply (simp add: otype_def, safe)
apply (rule_tac x="range(x)" in rexI)
apply blast+
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) ordertype_exists:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A); M(r) |] ==>  $\exists f[M]. (\exists i[M]. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ f \in \text{ord\_iso}(A, r, i, \text{Memrel}(i)))$ "
apply (insert obase_exists [of A r] omap_exists [of A r] otype_exists
[of A r], simp, clarify)
apply (rename_tac i)
apply (subgoal_tac "Ord(i)", blast intro: omap_ord_iso_otype)
apply (rule Ord_otype)
  apply (force simp add: otype_def range_closed)
  apply (simp_all add: wellordered_is_trans_on)
done

lemma (in M_ordertype) relativized_imp_well_ord:
  "[| wellordered(M,A,r); M(A); M(r) |] ==> well_ord(A,r)"
apply (insert ordertype_exists [of A r], simp)
apply (blast intro: well_ord_ord_iso well_ord_Memrel)
done

```

16.2 Kunen's theorem 5.4, page 127

(a) The notion of Wellordering is absolute

```

theorem (in M_ordertype) well_ord_abs [simp]:
  "[| M(A); M(r) |] ==> wellordered(M,A,r) <-> well_ord(A,r)"
by (blast intro: well_ord_imp_relativized relativized_imp_well_ord)

```

(b) Order types are absolute

theorem (in *M_ordertype*)

```
"[| wellordered(M,A,r); f ∈ ord_iso(A, r, i, Memrel(i));
  M(A); M(r); M(f); M(i); Ord(i) |] ==> i = ordertype(A,r)"
```

by (blast intro: *Ord_ordertype relativized_imp_well_ord ordertype_ord_iso Ord_iso_implies_eq ord_iso_sym ord_iso_trans*)

16.3 Ordinal Arithmetic: Two Examples of Recursion

Note: the remainder of this theory is not needed elsewhere.

16.3.1 Ordinal Addition

constdefs

```
is_oadd_fun :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_oadd_fun(M,i,j,x,f) ==
  (∀sj msj. M(sj) --> M(msj) -->
    successor(M,j,sj) --> membership(M,sj,msj) -->
    M_is_recfun(M,
      %x g y. ∃gx[M]. image(M,g,x,gx) & union(M,i,gx,y),
      msj, x, f))"
```

```
is_oadd :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_oadd(M,i,j,k) ==
  (~ ordinal(M,i) & ~ ordinal(M,j) & k=0) |
  (~ ordinal(M,i) & ordinal(M,j) & k=j) |
  (ordinal(M,i) & ~ ordinal(M,j) & k=i) |
  (ordinal(M,i) & ordinal(M,j) &
    (∃f fj sj. M(f) & M(fj) & M(sj) &
      successor(M,j,sj) & is_oadd_fun(M,i,sj,sj,f) &
      fun_apply(M,f,j,fj) & fj = k))"
```

```
omult_eqns :: "[i,i,i,i] => o"
"omult_eqns(i,x,g,z) ==
  Ord(x) &
  (x=0 --> z=0) &
  (∀j. x = succ(j) --> z = g'j ++ i) &
  (Limit(x) --> z = ⋃(g'x))"
```

```
is_omult_fun :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
"is_omult_fun(M,i,j,f) ==
  (∃df. M(df) & is_function(M,f) &
    is_domain(M,f,df) & subset(M, j, df)) &
  (∀x∈j. omult_eqns(i,x,f,f'x))"
```

```
is_omult :: "[i=>o,i,i,i] => o"
```

```

"is_omult(M,i,j,k) ==
  ∃ f fj sj. M(f) & M(fj) & M(sj) &
    successor(M,j,sj) & is_omult_fun(M,i,sj,f) &
    fun_apply(M,f,j,fj) & fj = k"

locale M_ord_arith = M_ordertype +
assumes oadd_strong_replacement:
  "[| M(i); M(j) |] ==>
    strong_replacement(M,
      λx z. ∃ y[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) &
        (∃ f[M]. ∃ fx[M]. is_oadd_fun(M,i,j,x,f) &
          image(M,f,x,fx) & y = i Un fx))"

and omult_strong_replacement':
  "[| M(i); M(j) |] ==>
    strong_replacement(M,
      λx z. ∃ y[M]. z = <x,y> &
        (∃ g[M]. is_recfun(Memrel(succ(j)),x,%x g. THE z. omult_eqns(i,x,g,z),g)
&
          y = (THE z. omult_eqns(i, x, g, z))))"

is_oadd_fun: Relating the pure "language of set theory" to Isabelle/ZF

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_oadd_fun_iff:
  "[| a ≤ j; M(i); M(j); M(a); M(f) |]
  ==> is_oadd_fun(M,i,j,a,f) <->
    f ∈ a → range(f) & (∀ x. M(x) --> x < a --> f'x = i Un f'x)"
apply (frule lt_Ord)
apply (simp add: is_oadd_fun_def Memrel_closed Un_closed
  relation2_def is_recfun_abs [of "%x g. i Un g'x"]
  image_closed is_recfun_iff_equation
  Ball_def lt_trans [OF ltI, of _ a] lt_Memrel)
apply (simp add: lt_def)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) oadd_strong_replacement':
  "[| M(i); M(j) |] ==>
    strong_replacement(M,
      λx z. ∃ y[M]. z = <x,y> &
        (∃ g[M]. is_recfun(Memrel(succ(j)),x,%x g. i Un g'x,g)
&
          y = i Un g'x))"
apply (insert oadd_strong_replacement [of i j])
apply (simp add: is_oadd_fun_def relation2_def
  is_recfun_abs [of "%x g. i Un g'x"])
done

```

```

lemma (in M_ord_arith) exists_oadd:
  "[| Ord(j); M(i); M(j) |]
  ==> ∃f[M]. is_recfun(Memrel(succ(j)), j, %x g. i Un g'x, f)"
apply (rule wf_exists_is_recfun [OF wf_Memrel trans_Memrel])
  apply (simp_all add: Memrel_type oadd_strong_replacement')
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) exists_oadd_fun:
  "[| Ord(j); M(i); M(j) |] ==> ∃f[M]. is_oadd_fun(M,i,succ(j),succ(j),f)"
apply (rule exists_oadd [THEN rexE])
apply (erule Ord_succ, assumption, simp)
apply (rename_tac f)
apply (frule is_recfun_type)
apply (rule_tac x=f in rexI)
  apply (simp add: fun_is_function domain_of_fun lt_Memrel apply_recfun
lt_def
          is_oadd_fun_iff Ord_trans [OF _ succI1], assumption)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_oadd_fun_apply:
  "[| x < j; M(i); M(j); M(f); is_oadd_fun(M,i,j,j,f) |]
  ==> f'x = i Un (∪k∈x. {f ' k})"
apply (simp add: is_oadd_fun_iff lt_Ord2, clarify)
apply (frule lt_closed, simp)
apply (frule leI [THEN le_imp_subset])
apply (simp add: image_fun, blast)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_oadd_fun_iff_oadd [rule_format]:
  "[| is_oadd_fun(M,i,J,J,f); M(i); M(J); M(f); Ord(i); Ord(j) |]
  ==> j < J --> f'j = i++j"
apply (erule_tac i=j in trans_induct, clarify)
apply (subgoal_tac "∀k∈x. k < J")
  apply (simp (no_asm_simp) add: is_oadd_def oadd_unfold is_oadd_fun_apply)
apply (blast intro: lt_trans ltI lt_Ord)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) Ord_oadd_abs:
  "[| M(i); M(j); M(k); Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> is_oadd(M,i,j,k) <-> k
= i++j"
apply (simp add: is_oadd_def is_oadd_fun_iff_oadd)
apply (frule exists_oadd_fun [of j i], blast+)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) oadd_abs:
  "[| M(i); M(j); M(k) |] ==> is_oadd(M,i,j,k) <-> k = i++j"
apply (case_tac "Ord(i) & Ord(j)")
  apply (simp add: Ord_oadd_abs)

```

```

apply (auto simp add: is_oadd_def oadd_eq_if_raw_oadd)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_ord_arith) oadd_closed [intro,simp]:
  "[| M(i); M(j) |] ==> M(i++j)"
apply (simp add: oadd_eq_if_raw_oadd, clarify)
apply (simp add: raw_oadd_eq_oadd)
apply (frule exists_oadd_fun [of j i], auto)
apply (simp add: apply_closed is_oadd_fun_iff_oadd [symmetric])
done

```

16.3.2 Ordinal Multiplication

```

lemma omult_eqns_unique:
  "[| omult_eqns(i,x,g,z); omult_eqns(i,x,g,z') |] ==> z=z'"
apply (simp add: omult_eqns_def, clarify)
apply (erule Ord_cases, simp_all)
done

```

```

lemma omult_eqns_0: "omult_eqns(i,0,g,z) <-> z=0"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_def)

```

```

lemma the_omult_eqns_0: "(THE z. omult_eqns(i,0,g,z)) = 0"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_0)

```

```

lemma omult_eqns_succ: "omult_eqns(i,succ(j),g,z) <-> Ord(j) & z = g'j
++ i"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_def)

```

```

lemma the_omult_eqns_succ:
  "Ord(j) ==> (THE z. omult_eqns(i,succ(j),g,z)) = g'j ++ i"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_succ)

```

```

lemma omult_eqns_Limit:
  "Limit(x) ==> omult_eqns(i,x,g,z) <-> z =  $\bigcup$  (g'x)"
apply (simp add: omult_eqns_def)
apply (blast intro: Limit_is_Ord)
done

```

```

lemma the_omult_eqns_Limit:
  "Limit(x) ==> (THE z. omult_eqns(i,x,g,z)) =  $\bigcup$  (g'x)"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_Limit)

```

```

lemma omult_eqns_Not: "~ Ord(x) ==> ~ omult_eqns(i,x,g,z)"
by (simp add: omult_eqns_def)

```

```

lemma (in M_ord_arith) the_omult_eqns_closed:
  "[| M(i); M(x); M(g); function(g) |]

```

```

    ==> M(THE z. omult_eqns(i, x, g, z))"
  apply (case_tac "Ord(x)")
    prefer 2 apply (simp add: omult_eqns_Not) — trivial, non-Ord case
  apply (erule Ord_cases)
    apply (simp add: omult_eqns_0)
    apply (simp add: omult_eqns_succ apply_closed oadd_closed)
  apply (simp add: omult_eqns_Limit)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) exists_omult:
  "[| Ord(j); M(i); M(j) |] ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(\text{Memrel}(\text{succ}(j)), j, \%x g. \text{THE } z. \text{omult\_eqns}(i,x,g,z), f)$ "
  apply (rule wf_exists_is_recfun [OF wf_Memrel trans_Memrel])
    apply (simp_all add: Memrel_type omult_strong_replacement')
  apply (blast intro: the_omult_eqns_closed)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) exists_omult_fun:
  "[| Ord(j); M(i); M(j) |] ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is\_omult\_fun}(M,i,\text{succ}(j),f)$ "
  apply (rule exists_omult [THEN rexE])
  apply (erule Ord_succ, assumption, simp)
  apply (rename_tac f)
  apply (frule is_recfun_type)
  apply (rule_tac x=f in rexI)
  apply (simp add: fun_is_function domain_of_fun lt_Memrel apply_recfun
    lt_def
      is_omult_fun_def Ord_trans [OF _ succI1])
  apply (force dest: Ord_in_Ord'
    simp add: omult_eqns_def the_omult_eqns_0 the_omult_eqns_succ
      the_omult_eqns_Limit, assumption)
done

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_omult_fun_apply_0:
  "[| 0 < j; is_omult_fun(M,i,j,f) |] ==> f'0 = 0"
  by (simp add: is_omult_fun_def omult_eqns_def lt_def ball_conj_distrib)

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_omult_fun_apply_succ:
  "[| succ(x) < j; is_omult_fun(M,i,j,f) |] ==> f'succ(x) = f'x ++ i"
  by (simp add: is_omult_fun_def omult_eqns_def lt_def, blast)

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_omult_fun_apply_Limit:
  "[| x < j; Limit(x); M(j); M(f); is_omult_fun(M,i,j,f) |] ==> f' x = ( $\bigcup_{y \in x. f'y}$ )"
  apply (simp add: is_omult_fun_def omult_eqns_def domain_closed lt_def,
    clarify)
  apply (drule subset_trans [OF OrdmemD], assumption+)
  apply (simp add: ball_conj_distrib omult_Limit image_function)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_ord_arith) is_omult_fun_eq_omult:
  "[| is_omult_fun(M,i,J,f); M(J); M(f); Ord(i); Ord(j) |]
  ==> j<J --> f`j = i**j"
apply (erule_tac i=j in trans_induct3)
apply (safe del: impCE)
  apply (simp add: is_omult_fun_apply_0)
  apply (subgoal_tac "x<J")
  apply (simp add: is_omult_fun_apply_succ omult_succ)
  apply (blast intro: lt_trans)
apply (subgoal_tac "∀k∈x. k<J")
  apply (simp add: is_omult_fun_apply_Limit omult_Limit)
apply (blast intro: lt_trans ltI lt_Ord)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_ord_arith) omult_abs:
  "[| M(i); M(j); M(k); Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> is_omult(M,i,j,k) <->
  k = i**j"
apply (simp add: is_omult_def is_omult_fun_eq_omult)
apply (frule exists_omult_fun [of j i], blast+)
done

```

16.4 Absoluteness of Well-Founded Relations

Relativized to M : Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal. Key step is the construction (in M) of a rank function.

```

locale M_wfrank = M_trancl +
  assumes wfrank_separation:
    "M(r) ==>
    separation (M, λx.
      ∀rplus[M]. tran_closure(M,r,rplus) -->
      ~ (∃f[M]. M_is_recfun(M, %x f y. is_range(M,f,y), rplus, x,
f)))"
  and wfrank_strong_replacement:
    "M(r) ==>
    strong_replacement(M, λx z.
      ∀rplus[M]. tran_closure(M,r,rplus) -->
      (∃y[M]. ∃f[M]. pair(M,x,y,z) &
        M_is_recfun(M, %x f y. is_range(M,f,y), rplus,
x, f) &
          is_range(M,f,y)))"
  and Ord_wfrank_separation:
    "M(r) ==>
    separation (M, λx.
      ∀rplus[M]. tran_closure(M,r,rplus) -->
      ~ (∀f[M]. ∀rangef[M].
        is_range(M,f,rangef) -->
        M_is_recfun(M, λx f y. is_range(M,f,y), rplus, x, f) -->
        ordinal(M,rangef)))"

```

Proving that the relativized instances of Separation or Replacement agree with the "real" ones.

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wfrank_separation':
  "M(r) ==>
  separation
    (M,  $\lambda x. \sim (\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r^+, x, \%x f. \text{range}(f), f))$ )"
apply (insert wfrank_separation [of r])
apply (simp add: relation2_def is_recfun_abs [of "%x. range"])
done

lemma (in M_wfrank) wfrank_strong_replacement':
  "M(r) ==>
  strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x z. \exists y[M]. \exists f[M].$ 
    pair(M,x,y,z) & is_recfun(r^+, x, \%x f. range(f), f)
  &
    y = range(f))"
apply (insert wfrank_strong_replacement [of r])
apply (simp add: relation2_def is_recfun_abs [of "%x. range"])
done

lemma (in M_wfrank) Ord_wfrank_separation':
  "M(r) ==>
  separation (M,  $\lambda x.$ 
     $\sim (\forall f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r^+, x, \lambda x. \text{range}, f) \rightarrow \text{Ord}(\text{range}(f)))$ )"
apply (insert Ord_wfrank_separation [of r])
apply (simp add: relation2_def is_recfun_abs [of "%x. range"])
done

```

This function, defined using replacement, is a rank function for well-founded relations within the class M.

```

constdefs
  wellfoundedrank :: "[i=>o,i,i] => i"
  "wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ==
    {p. x∈A,  $\exists y[M]. \exists f[M].$ 
      p = <x,y> & is_recfun(r^+, x, \%x f. range(f), f)
  &
    y = range(f)}"

lemma (in M_wfrank) exists_wfrank:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(a); M(r) |]
  ==>  $\exists f[M]. \text{is\_recfun}(r^+, a, \%x f. \text{range}(f), f)$ "
apply (rule wellfounded_exists_is_recfun)
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl)
  apply (rule trans_trancl)
  apply (erule wfrank_separation')
  apply (erule wfrank_strong_replacement')
apply (simp_all add: trancl_subset_times)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) M_wellfoundedrank:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(r); M(A) |] ==> M(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A))"
apply (insert wfrank_strong_replacement' [of r])
apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def)
apply (rule strong_replacement_closed)
  apply assumption+
  apply (rule univalent_is_recfun)
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl)
  apply (rule trans_trancl)
  apply (simp add: trancl_subset_times)
apply (blast dest: transM)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) Ord_wfrank_range [rule_format]:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); a∈A; M(r); M(A) |]
  ==> ∀ f[M]. is_recfun(r^+, a, %x f. range(f), f) --> Ord(range(f))"
apply (drule wellfounded_trancl, assumption)
apply (rule wellfounded_induct, assumption, erule (1) transM)
  apply simp
  apply (blast intro: Ord_wfrank_separation', clarify)

```

The reasoning in both cases is that we get y such that $\langle y, x \rangle \in r^+$. We find that $f \upharpoonright y = \text{restrict}(f, r^+ \upharpoonright y)$.

```

apply (rule OrdI [OF _ Ord_is_Transset])

```

An ordinal is a transitive set...

```

  apply (simp add: Transset_def)
  apply clarify
  apply (frule apply_recfun2, assumption)
  apply (force simp add: restrict_iff)

```

...of ordinals. This second case requires the induction hyp.

```

apply clarify
apply (rename_tac i y)
apply (frule apply_recfun2, assumption)
apply (frule is_recfun_imp_in_r, assumption)
apply (frule is_recfun_restrict)

  apply (simp add: trans_trancl trancl_subset_times)+
  apply (drule spec [THEN mp], assumption)
  apply (subgoal_tac "M(restrict(f, r^+ \upharpoonright y))")
  apply (drule_tac x="restrict(f, r^+ \upharpoonright y)" in rspec)
  apply assumption
  apply (simp add: function_apply_equality [OF _ is_recfun_imp_function])
  apply (blast dest: pair_components_in_M)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) Ord_range_wellfoundedrank:

```

```

    "[| wellfounded(M,r); r ⊆ A*A; M(r); M(A) |]
      ==> Ord (range(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A)))"
  apply (frule wellfounded_trancl, assumption)
  apply (frule trancl_subset_times)
  apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def)
  apply (rule OrdI [OF _ Ord_is_Transset])
  prefer 2

```

by our previous result the range consists of ordinals.

```

  apply (blast intro: Ord_wfrank_range)

```

We still must show that the range is a transitive set.

```

  apply (simp add: Transset_def, clarify, simp)
  apply (rename_tac x i f u)
  apply (frule is_recfun_imp_in_r, assumption)
  apply (subgoal_tac "M(u) & M(i) & M(x)")
  prefer 2 apply (blast dest: transM, clarify)
  apply (rule_tac a=u in rangeI)
  apply (rule_tac x=u in ReplaceI)
  apply simp
  apply (rule_tac x="restrict(f, r^+ - '{u}')" in rexI)
  apply (blast intro: is_recfun_restrict trans_trancl dest: apply_recfun2)
  apply simp
  apply blast

```

Unicity requirement of Replacement

```

  apply clarify
  apply (frule apply_recfun2, assumption)
  apply (simp add: trans_trancl is_recfun_cut)
  done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) function_wellfoundedrank:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(r); M(A) |]
    ==> function(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A))"
  apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def function_def, clarify)

```

Uniqueness: repeated below!

```

  apply (drule is_recfun_functional, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl)
  apply (simp_all add: trancl_subset_times trans_trancl)
  done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) domain_wellfoundedrank:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(r); M(A) |]
    ==> domain(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A)) = A"
  apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def function_def)
  apply (rule equalityI, auto)
  apply (frule transM, assumption)
  apply (frule_tac a=x in exists_wfrank, assumption+, clarify)

```

```

apply (rule_tac b="range(f)" in domainI)
apply (rule_tac x=x in ReplaceI)
  apply simp
  apply (rule_tac x=f in rexI, blast, simp_all)

```

Uniqueness (for Replacement): repeated above!

```

apply clarify
apply (drule is_recfun_functional, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl)
  apply (simp_all add: trancl_subset_times trans_trancl)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfoundedrank_type:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); M(r); M(A) |]
   ==> wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ∈ A -> range(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A))"
apply (frule function_wellfoundedrank [of r A], assumption+)
apply (frule function_imp_Pi)
  apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def relation_def)
  apply blast
apply (simp add: domain_wellfoundedrank)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) Ord_wellfoundedrank:
  "[| wellfounded(M,r); a ∈ A; r ⊆ A*A; M(r); M(A) |]
   ==> Ord(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ‘ a)"
by (blast intro: apply_funtype [OF wellfoundedrank_type]
    Ord_in_Ord [OF Ord_range_wellfoundedrank])

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfoundedrank_eq:
  "[| is_recfun(r^+, a, %x. range, f);
   wellfounded(M,r); a ∈ A; M(f); M(r); M(A) |]
   ==> wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ‘ a = range(f)"
apply (rule apply_equality)
  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: wellfoundedrank_type)
apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_def)
apply (rule ReplaceI)
  apply (rule_tac x="range(f)" in rexI)
  apply blast
  apply simp_all

```

Unicity requirement of Replacement

```

apply clarify
apply (drule is_recfun_functional, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: wellfounded_trancl)
  apply (simp_all add: trancl_subset_times trans_trancl)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfoundedrank_lt:

```

```

    "[| <a,b> ∈ r;
      wellfounded(M,r); r ⊆ A*A; M(r); M(A)|]
    ==> wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ' a < wellfoundedrank(M,r,A) ' b"
  apply (frule wellfounded_trancl, assumption)
  apply (subgoal_tac "a∈A & b∈A")
  prefer 2 apply blast
  apply (simp add: lt_def Ord_wellfoundedrank, clarify)
  apply (frule exists_wfrank [of concl: _ b], erule (1) transM, assumption)
  apply clarify
  apply (rename_tac fb)
  apply (frule is_recfun_restrict [of concl: "r^+" a])
  apply (rule trans_trancl, assumption)
  apply (simp_all add: r_into_trancl trancl_subset_times)

```

Still the same goal, but with new *is_recfun* assumptions.

```

  apply (simp add: wellfoundedrank_eq)
  apply (frule_tac a=a in wellfoundedrank_eq, assumption+)
  apply (simp_all add: transM [of a])

```

We have used equations for *wellfoundedrank* and now must use some for *is_recfun*.

```

  apply (rule_tac a=a in rangeI)
  apply (simp add: is_recfun_type [THEN apply_iff] vimage_singleton_iff
    r_into_trancl apply_recfun r_into_trancl)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfounded_imp_subset_rvimage:
  "[|wellfounded(M,r); r ⊆ A*A; M(r); M(A)|]
  ==> ∃ i f. Ord(i) & r <= rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i))"
  apply (rule_tac x="range(wellfoundedrank(M,r,A))" in exI)
  apply (rule_tac x="wellfoundedrank(M,r,A)" in exI)
  apply (simp add: Ord_range_wellfoundedrank, clarify)
  apply (frule subsetD, assumption, clarify)
  apply (simp add: rvimage_iff wellfoundedrank_lt [THEN ltD])
  apply (blast intro: apply_rangeI wellfoundedrank_type)
done

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfounded_imp_wf:
  "[|wellfounded(M,r); relation(r); M(r)|] ==> wf(r)"
  by (blast dest!: relation_field_times_field wellfounded_imp_subset_rvimage
    intro: wf_rvimage_Ord [THEN wf_subset])

```

```

lemma (in M_wfrank) wellfounded_on_imp_wf_on:
  "[|wellfounded_on(M,A,r); relation(r); M(r); M(A)|] ==> wf[A](r)"
  apply (simp add: wellfounded_on_iff_wellfounded wf_on_def)
  apply (rule wellfounded_imp_wf)
  apply (simp_all add: relation_def)
done

```

```

theorem (in M_wfrank) wf_abs:
  "[|relation(r); M(r)|] ==> wellfounded(M,r) <-> wf(r)"
by (blast intro: wellfounded_imp_wf wf_imp_relativized)

theorem (in M_wfrank) wf_on_abs:
  "[|relation(r); M(r); M(A)|] ==> wellfounded_on(M,A,r) <-> wf[A](r)"
by (blast intro: wellfounded_on_imp_wf_on wf_on_imp_relativized)

end

```

17 Separation for Facts About Order Types, Rank Functions and Well-Founded Relations

```

theory Rank_Separation imports Rank Rec_Separation begin

```

This theory proves all instances needed for locales $M_ordertype$ and M_wfrank . But the material is not needed for proving the relative consistency of AC.

17.1 The Locale $M_ordertype$

17.1.1 Separation for Order-Isomorphisms

```

lemma well_ord_iso_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. x \in A \rightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists y[L]. \exists p[L]. \text{fun\_apply}(L,f,x,y) \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,y,x,p) \ \& \ p \in r$ ),
     $\lambda i x. x \in A \rightarrow (\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i). \exists p \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),f,x,y) \ \& \ \text{pair}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),y,x,p) \ \& \ p \in r)$ ]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections)

lemma well_ord_iso_separation:
  "[| L(A); L(f); L(r) |]
  ==> separation (L,  $\lambda x. x \in A \rightarrow (\exists y[L]. (\exists p[L]. \text{fun\_apply}(L,f,x,y) \ \& \ \text{pair}(L,y,x,p) \ \& \ p \in r))$ )"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF well_ord_iso_Reflects, of "{A,f,r}"],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{A,f,r}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

17.1.2 Separation for obase

```

lemma obase_reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda a. \exists x[L]. \exists g[L]. \exists mx[L]. \exists par[L].$ 
    ordinal(L,x) & membership(L,x,mx) & pred_set(L,A,a,r,par)
  &

```

```

      order_isomorphism(L,par,r,x,mx,g),
     $\lambda i$  a.  $\exists x \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .  $\exists g \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .  $\exists mx \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .  $\exists par \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .
      ordinal( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,x) & membership( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,x,mx) & pred_set( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,A,a,r,p)
&
      order_isomorphism( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,par,r,x,mx,g)]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections)

lemma obase_separation:
  — part of the order type formalization
  "[| L(A); L(r) |]
  ==> separation(L,  $\lambda a$ .  $\exists x[L]$ .  $\exists g[L]$ .  $\exists mx[L]$ .  $\exists par[L]$ .
    ordinal(L,x) & membership(L,x,mx) & pred_set(L,A,a,r,par)
&
    order_isomorphism(L,par,r,x,mx,g))"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF obase_reflects, of "{A,r}"], auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{A,r}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule ordinal_iff_sats sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

17.1.3 Separation for a Theorem about obase

```

lemma obase_equals_reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x$ .  $x \in A \rightarrow \sim(\exists y[L]$ .  $\exists g[L]$ .
    ordinal(L,y) & ( $\exists my[L]$ .  $\exists pxr[L]$ .
      membership(L,y,my) & pred_set(L,A,x,r,pxr) &
      order_isomorphism(L,pxr,r,y,my,g)))],
   $\lambda i$  x.  $x \in A \rightarrow \sim(\exists y \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .  $\exists g \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .
    ordinal( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,y) & ( $\exists my \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .  $\exists pxr \in \text{Lset}(i)$ .
      membership( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,y,my) & pred_set( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,A,x,r,pxr)
&
      order_isomorphism( $\#\#\text{Lset}(i)$ ,pxr,r,y,my,g)))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections)

lemma obase_equals_separation:
  "[| L(A); L(r) |]
  ==> separation (L,  $\lambda x$ .  $x \in A \rightarrow \sim(\exists y[L]$ .  $\exists g[L]$ .
    ordinal(L,y) & ( $\exists my[L]$ .  $\exists pxr[L]$ .
      membership(L,y,my) & pred_set(L,A,x,r,pxr)
&
      order_isomorphism(L,pxr,r,y,my,g)))]"
apply (rule gen_separation_multi [OF obase_equals_reflects, of "{A,r}"],
auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{A,r}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

17.1.4 Replacement for omap

```

lemma omap_reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda z$ .  $\exists a[L]$ .  $a \in B$  & ( $\exists x[L]$ .  $\exists g[L]$ .  $\exists mx[L]$ .  $\exists par[L]$ .

```

```

    ordinal(L,x) & pair(L,a,x,z) & membership(L,x,mx) &
    pred_set(L,A,a,r,par) & order_isomorphism(L,par,r,x,mx,g)),
  λi z. ∃ a ∈ Lset(i). a ∈ B & (∃ x ∈ Lset(i). ∃ g ∈ Lset(i). ∃ mx ∈ Lset(i).
    ∃ par ∈ Lset(i).
    ordinal(##Lset(i),x) & pair(##Lset(i),a,x,z) &
    membership(##Lset(i),x,mx) & pred_set(##Lset(i),A,a,r,par) &
    order_isomorphism(##Lset(i),par,r,x,mx,g))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections)

```

```

lemma omap_replacement:
  "[| L(A); L(r) |]
  ==> strong_replacement(L,
    λa z. ∃ x[L]. ∃ g[L]. ∃ mx[L]. ∃ par[L].
    ordinal(L,x) & pair(L,a,x,z) & membership(L,x,mx) &
    pred_set(L,A,a,r,par) & order_isomorphism(L,par,r,x,mx,g))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{A,r,B}" in gen_separation_multi [OF omap_reflects],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="[A,B,r]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules | simp)+
done

```

17.2 Instantiating the locale $M_ordertype$

Separation (and Strong Replacement) for basic set-theoretic constructions such as intersection, Cartesian Product and image.

```

lemma M_ordertype_axioms_L: "M_ordertype_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_ordertype_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule well_ord_iso_separation
    obase_separation obase_equals_separation
    omap_replacement)+
done

```

```

theorem M_ordertype_L: "PROP M_ordertype(L)"
  apply (rule M_ordertype.intro)
  apply (rule M_basic.axioms [OF M_basic_L])+
  apply (rule M_ordertype_axioms_L)
done

```

17.3 The Locale M_wfrank

17.3.1 Separation for $wfrank$

```

lemma wfrank_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λx. ∃ rplus[L]. tran_closure(L,r,rplus) -->
    ~ (∃ f[L]. M_is_recfun(L, %x f y. is_range(L,f,y), rplus,
  x, f)),
  λi x. ∃ rplus ∈ Lset(i). tran_closure(##Lset(i),r,rplus) -->
    ~ (∃ f ∈ Lset(i).

```

```

      M_is_recfun(##Lset(i), %x f y. is_range(##Lset(i),f,y),
        rplus, x, f))]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_recfun_reflection tran_closure_reflection)

lemma wfrank_separation:
  "L(r) ==>
    separation (L, λx. ∀ rplus[L]. tran_closure(L,r,rplus) -->
      ~ (∃ f[L]. M_is_recfun(L, %x f y. is_range(L,f,y), rplus, x,
f)))"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF wfrank_Reflects], simp)
apply (rule_tac env="{r}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules tran_closure_iff_sats is_recfun_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

17.3.2 Replacement for wfrank

```

lemma wfrank_replacement_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[λz. ∃ x[L]. x ∈ A &
    (∀ rplus[L]. tran_closure(L,r,rplus) -->
      (∃ y[L]. ∃ f[L]. pair(L,x,y,z) &
        M_is_recfun(L, %x f y. is_range(L,f,y), rplus,
x, f) &
          is_range(L,f,y))),
    λi z. ∃ x ∈ Lset(i). x ∈ A &
      (∀ rplus ∈ Lset(i). tran_closure(##Lset(i),r,rplus) -->
        (∃ y ∈ Lset(i). ∃ f ∈ Lset(i). pair(##Lset(i),x,y,z) &
          M_is_recfun(##Lset(i), %x f y. is_range(##Lset(i),f,y), rplus,
x, f) &
            is_range(##Lset(i),f,y)))]]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections fun_plus_reflections
  is_recfun_reflection tran_closure_reflection)

lemma wfrank_strong_replacement:
  "L(r) ==>
    strong_replacement(L, λx z.
      ∀ rplus[L]. tran_closure(L,r,rplus) -->
        (∃ y[L]. ∃ f[L]. pair(L,x,y,z) &
          M_is_recfun(L, %x f y. is_range(L,f,y), rplus,
x, f) &
            is_range(L,f,y)))"
apply (rule strong_replacementI)
apply (rule_tac u="{r,B}"
  in gen_separation_multi [OF wfrank_replacement_Reflects],
  auto)
apply (rule_tac env="{r,B}" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules tran_closure_iff_sats is_recfun_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

17.3.3 Separation for Proving *Ord_wfrank_range*

```

lemma Ord_wfrank_Reflects:
  "REFLECTS[ $\lambda x. \forall rplus[L]. \text{tran\_closure}(L,r,rplus) \rightarrow$ 
    ~ ( $\forall f[L]. \forall \text{rangef}[L].$ 
       $\text{is\_range}(L,f,\text{rangef}) \rightarrow$ 
       $M\_is\_recfun}(L, \lambda x f y. \text{is\_range}(L,f,y), rplus, x, f) \rightarrow$ 
       $\text{ordinal}(L,\text{rangef})$ ),
     $\lambda i x. \forall rplus \in \text{Lset}(i). \text{tran\_closure}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),r,rplus) \rightarrow$ 
    ~ ( $\forall f \in \text{Lset}(i). \forall \text{rangef} \in \text{Lset}(i).$ 
       $\text{is\_range}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),f,\text{rangef}) \rightarrow$ 
       $M\_is\_recfun}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i), \lambda x f y. \text{is\_range}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),f,y),$ 
       $rplus, x, f) \rightarrow$ 
       $\text{ordinal}(\#\#\text{Lset}(i),\text{rangef})$ )]"
by (intro FOL_reflections function_reflections is_recfun_reflection
    tran_closure_reflection ordinal_reflection)

lemma Ord_wfrank_separation:
  "L(r) ==>
    separation (L,  $\lambda x.$ 
       $\forall rplus[L]. \text{tran\_closure}(L,r,rplus) \rightarrow$ 
      ~ ( $\forall f[L]. \forall \text{rangef}[L].$ 
         $\text{is\_range}(L,f,\text{rangef}) \rightarrow$ 
         $M\_is\_recfun}(L, \lambda x f y. \text{is\_range}(L,f,y), rplus, x, f) \rightarrow$ 
         $\text{ordinal}(L,\text{rangef})$ ))"
apply (rule gen_separation [OF Ord_wfrank_Reflects], simp)
apply (rule_tac env="[r]" in DPow_LsetI)
apply (rule sep_rules tran_closure_iff_sats is_recfun_iff_sats | simp)+
done

```

17.3.4 Instantiating the locale *M_wfrank*

```

lemma M_wfrank_axioms_L: "M_wfrank_axioms(L)"
  apply (rule M_wfrank_axioms.intro)
  apply (assumption | rule
    wfrank_separation wfrank_strong_replacement Ord_wfrank_separation)+
done

theorem M_wfrank_L: "PROP M_wfrank(L)"
  apply (rule M_wfrank.intro)
  apply (rule M_trancl.axioms [OF M_trancl_L])+
  apply (rule M_wfrank_axioms_L)
done

lemmas exists_wfrank = M_wfrank.exists_wfrank [OF M_wfrank_L]
and M_wellfoundedrank = M_wfrank.M_wellfoundedrank [OF M_wfrank_L]
and Ord_wfrank_range = M_wfrank.Ord_wfrank_range [OF M_wfrank_L]
and Ord_range_wellfoundedrank = M_wfrank.Ord_range_wellfoundedrank [OF
M_wfrank_L]
and function_wellfoundedrank = M_wfrank.function_wellfoundedrank [OF

```

```

M_wfrank_L]
  and domain_wellfoundedrank = M_wfrank.domain_wellfoundedrank [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfoundedrank_type = M_wfrank.wellfoundedrank_type [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and Ord_wellfoundedrank = M_wfrank.Ord_wellfoundedrank [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfoundedrank_eq = M_wfrank.wellfoundedrank_eq [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfoundedrank_lt = M_wfrank.wellfoundedrank_lt [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfounded_imp_subset_rvimage = M_wfrank.wellfounded_imp_subset_rvimage
[OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfounded_imp_wf = M_wfrank.wellfounded_imp_wf [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wellfounded_on_imp_wf_on = M_wfrank.wellfounded_on_imp_wf_on [OF
M_wfrank_L]
  and wf_abs = M_wfrank.wf_abs [OF M_wfrank_L]
  and wf_on_abs = M_wfrank.wf_on_abs [OF M_wfrank_L]

end

```

References

- [1] Kurt Gödel. The consistency of the axiom of choice and of the generalized continuum hypothesis with the axioms of set theory. In S. Feferman et al., editors, *Kurt Gödel: Collected Works*, volume II. Oxford University Press, 1990.
- [2] Kenneth Kunen. *Set Theory: An Introduction to Independence Proofs*. North-Holland, 1980.
- [3] Lawrence C. Paulson. The reflection theorem: A study in meta-theoretic reasoning. In Andrei Voronkov, editor, *Automated Deduction — CADE-18 International Conference*, LNAI 2392, pages 377–391. Springer, 2002.
- [4] Lawrence C. Paulson. The relative consistency of the axiom of choice — mechanized using Isabelle/ZF. *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, 6:198–248, 2003. <http://www.lms.ac.uk/jcm/6/lms2003-001/>.