

Examples of Inductive and Coinductive Definitions in ZF

Lawrence C Paulson and others

October 1, 2005

Contents

1	Sample datatype definitions	2
1.1	A type with four constructors	3
1.2	Example of a big enumeration type	3
2	Binary trees	4
2.1	Datatype definition	4
2.2	Number of nodes, with an example of tail-recursion	5
2.3	Number of leaves	5
2.4	Reflecting trees	6
3	Terms over an alphabet	6
4	Datatype definition n-ary branching trees	11
5	Trees and forests, a mutually recursive type definition	15
5.1	Datatype definition	15
5.2	Operations	17
6	Infinite branching datatype definitions	20
6.1	The Brouwer ordinals	20
6.2	The Martin-Löf wellordering type	20
7	The Mutilated Chess Board Problem, formalized inductively	21
7.1	Basic properties of <i>evnodd</i>	22
7.2	Dominoes	22
7.3	Tilings	23
7.4	The Operator <i>setsum</i>	29

8	The accessible part of a relation	33
8.1	Properties of the original "restrict" from ZF.thy	36
8.2	Multiset Orderings	49
8.3	Toward the proof of well-foundedness of multirell	49
8.4	Ordinal Multisets	57
9	An operator to "map" a relation over a list	63
10	Meta-theory of propositional logic	65
10.1	The datatype of propositions	65
10.2	The proof system	65
10.3	The semantics	66
10.3.1	Semantics of propositional logic.	66
10.3.2	Logical consequence	66
10.4	Proof theory of propositional logic	66
10.4.1	Weakening, left and right	67
10.4.2	The deduction theorem	67
10.4.3	The cut rule	67
10.4.4	Soundness of the rules wrt truth-table semantics	68
10.5	Completeness	68
10.5.1	Towards the completeness proof	68
10.5.2	Completeness – lemmas for reducing the set of as- sumptions	69
10.5.3	Completeness theorem	70
11	Lists of n elements	71
12	Combinatory Logic example: the Church-Rosser Theorem	72
12.1	Definitions	72
12.2	Transitive closure preserves the Church-Rosser property	73
12.3	Results about Contraction	74
12.4	Non-contraction results	74
12.5	Results about Parallel Contraction	75
12.6	Basic properties of parallel contraction	76
13	Primitive Recursive Functions: the inductive definition	77
13.1	Basic definitions	77
13.2	Inductive definition of the PR functions	78
13.3	Ackermann's function cases	79
13.4	Main result	82

1 Sample datatype definitions

```
theory Datatypes imports Main begin
```

1.1 A type with four constructors

It has four constructors, of arities 0–3, and two parameters A and B .

consts

$data :: [i, i] => i$

datatype $data(A, B) =$

$Con0$
| $Con1 (a \in A)$
| $Con2 (a \in A, b \in B)$
| $Con3 (a \in A, b \in B, d \in data(A, B))$

lemma $data-unfold: data(A, B) = (\{0\} + A) + (A \times B + A \times B \times data(A, B))$

by ($fast\ intro!$: $data.intros$ [$unfolded\ data.con-defs$]
 $elim$: $data.cases$ [$unfolded\ data.con-defs$])

Lemmas to justify using $data$ in other recursive type definitions.

lemma $data-mono: [| A \subseteq C; B \subseteq D |] ==> data(A, B) \subseteq data(C, D)$

apply ($unfold\ data.defs$)
apply ($rule\ lfp-mono$)
apply ($rule\ data.bnd-mono$)
apply ($rule\ univ-mono\ Un-mono\ basic-monos\ | assumption$)
done

lemma $data-univ: data(univ(A), univ(A)) \subseteq univ(A)$

apply ($unfold\ data.defs\ data.con-defs$)
apply ($rule\ lfp-lowerbound$)
apply ($rule-tac$ [2] $subset-trans$ [$OF\ A-subset-univ\ Un-upper1, THEN\ univ-mono$])
apply ($fast\ intro!$: $zero-in-univ\ Inl-in-univ\ Inr-in-univ\ Pair-in-univ$)
done

lemma $data-subset-univ:$

$[| A \subseteq univ(C); B \subseteq univ(C) |] ==> data(A, B) \subseteq univ(C)$
by ($rule\ subset-trans$ [$OF\ data-mono\ data-univ$])

1.2 Example of a big enumeration type

Can go up to at least 100 constructors, but it takes nearly 7 minutes ...
(back in 1994 that is).

consts

$enum :: i$

datatype $enum =$

$C00$ | $C01$ | $C02$ | $C03$ | $C04$ | $C05$ | $C06$ | $C07$ | $C08$ | $C09$
| $C10$ | $C11$ | $C12$ | $C13$ | $C14$ | $C15$ | $C16$ | $C17$ | $C18$ | $C19$
| $C20$ | $C21$ | $C22$ | $C23$ | $C24$ | $C25$ | $C26$ | $C27$ | $C28$ | $C29$
| $C30$ | $C31$ | $C32$ | $C33$ | $C34$ | $C35$ | $C36$ | $C37$ | $C38$ | $C39$
| $C40$ | $C41$ | $C42$ | $C43$ | $C44$ | $C45$ | $C46$ | $C47$ | $C48$ | $C49$

| C50 | C51 | C52 | C53 | C54 | C55 | C56 | C57 | C58 | C59

end

2 Binary trees

theory *Binary-Trees* imports *Main* begin

2.1 Datatype definition

consts

$bt :: i \Rightarrow i$

datatype $bt(A) =$

$Lf \mid Br (a \in A, t1 \in bt(A), t2 \in bt(A))$

declare $bt.intros [simp]$

lemma *Br-neq-left*: $l \in bt(A) \implies (\! \exists x r. Br(x, l, r) \neq l)$

by (*induct set: bt*) *auto*

lemma *Br-iff*: $Br(a, l, r) = Br(a', l', r') \iff a = a' \ \& \ l = l' \ \& \ r = r'$

— Proving a freeness theorem.

by (*fast elim!: bt.free-elims*)

inductive-cases *BrE*: $Br(a, l, r) \in bt(A)$

— An elimination rule, for type-checking.

Lemmas to justify using bt in other recursive type definitions.

lemma *bt-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies bt(A) \subseteq bt(B)$

apply (*unfold bt.defs*)

apply (*rule lfp-mono*)

apply (*rule bt.bnd-mono*)+

apply (*rule univ-mono basic-monos | assumption*)+

done

lemma *bt-univ*: $bt(univ(A)) \subseteq univ(A)$

apply (*unfold bt.defs bt.con-defs*)

apply (*rule lfp-lowerbound*)

apply (*rule-tac [2] A-subset-univ [THEN univ-mono]*)

apply (*fast intro!: zero-in-univ Inl-in-univ Inr-in-univ Pair-in-univ*)

done

lemma *bt-subset-univ*: $A \subseteq univ(B) \implies bt(A) \subseteq univ(B)$

apply (*rule subset-trans*)

apply (*erule bt-mono*)

apply (*rule bt-univ*)

done

lemma *bt-rec-type*:

```
[[ t ∈ bt(A);
  c ∈ C(Lf);
  !!x y z r s. [[ x ∈ A; y ∈ bt(A); z ∈ bt(A); r ∈ C(y); s ∈ C(z) ]] ==>
  h(x, y, z, r, s) ∈ C(Br(x, y, z))
]] ==> bt-rec(c, h, t) ∈ C(t)
— Type checking for recursor – example only; not really needed.
apply (induct-tac t)
apply simp-all
done
```

2.2 Number of nodes, with an example of tail-recursion

consts *n-nodes* :: $i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

```
n-nodes(Lf) = 0
n-nodes(Br(a, l, r)) = succ(n-nodes(l) #+ n-nodes(r))
```

lemma *n-nodes-type* [*simp*]: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow n\text{-nodes}(t) \in \text{nat}$

by (induct-tac t) auto

consts *n-nodes-aux* :: $i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

```
n-nodes-aux(Lf) = ( $\lambda k \in \text{nat}. k$ )
n-nodes-aux(Br(a, l, r)) =
  ( $\lambda k \in \text{nat}. n\text{-nodes-aux}(r) \text{ ‘ } (n\text{-nodes-aux}(l) \text{ ‘ } \text{succ}(k))$ )
```

lemma *n-nodes-aux-eq* [*rule-format*]:

```
 $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow \forall k \in \text{nat}. n\text{-nodes-aux}(t) \text{ ‘ } k = n\text{-nodes}(t) \text{ #+ } k$ 
by (induct-tac t, simp-all)
```

constdefs

```
n-nodes-tail ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
n-nodes-tail(t) == n-nodes-aux(t) ‘ 0
```

lemma $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow n\text{-nodes-tail}(t) = n\text{-nodes}(t)$

by (simp add: *n-nodes-tail-def* *n-nodes-aux-eq*)

2.3 Number of leaves

consts

```
n-leaves ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
```

primrec

```
n-leaves(Lf) = 1
n-leaves(Br(a, l, r)) = n-leaves(l) #+ n-leaves(r)
```

lemma *n-leaves-type* [*simp*]: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow n\text{-leaves}(t) \in \text{nat}$

by (induct-tac t) auto

2.4 Reflecting trees

consts

bt-reflect :: $i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

bt-reflect(*Lf*) = *Lf*

bt-reflect(*Br*(*a*, *l*, *r*)) = *Br*(*a*, *bt-reflect*(*r*), *bt-reflect*(*l*))

lemma *bt-reflect-type* [*simp*]: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow \text{bt-reflect}(t) \in \text{bt}(A)$

by (*induct-tac t auto*)

Theorems about *n-leaves*.

lemma *n-leaves-reflect*: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow \text{n-leaves}(\text{bt-reflect}(t)) = \text{n-leaves}(t)$

by (*induct-tac t (simp-all add: add-commute n-leaves-type)*)

lemma *n-leaves-nodes*: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow \text{n-leaves}(t) = \text{succ}(\text{n-nodes}(t))$

by (*induct-tac t (simp-all add: add-succ-right)*)

Theorems about *bt-reflect*.

lemma *bt-reflect-bt-reflect-ident*: $t \in \text{bt}(A) \Rightarrow \text{bt-reflect}(\text{bt-reflect}(t)) = t$

by (*induct-tac t simp-all*)

end

3 Terms over an alphabet

theory *Term* imports *Main* begin

Illustrates the list functor (essentially the same type as in *Trees-Forest*).

consts

term :: $i \Rightarrow i$

datatype *term*(*A*) = *Apply* ($a \in A, l \in \text{list}(\text{term}(A))$)

monos *list-mono*

type-elims *list-univ* [*THEN subsetD, elim-format*]

declare *Apply* [*TC*]

constdefs

term-rec :: $[i, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

term-rec(*t, d*) ==

$\text{Vrec}(t, \lambda t g. \text{term-case}(\lambda x \text{zs}. d(x, \text{zs}, \text{map}(\lambda z. g'z, \text{zs})), t))$

term-map :: $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$

term-map(*f, t*) == *term-rec*(*t, \lambda x zs rs. Apply(f(x), rs)*)

term-size :: $i \Rightarrow i$

$term\text{-}size(t) == term\text{-}rec(t, \lambda x\ zs\ rs.\ succ(list\text{-}add(rs)))$

$reflect :: i ==> i$

$reflect(t) == term\text{-}rec(t, \lambda x\ zs\ rs.\ Apply(x, rev(rs)))$

$preorder :: i ==> i$

$preorder(t) == term\text{-}rec(t, \lambda x\ zs\ rs.\ Cons(x, flat(rs)))$

$postorder :: i ==> i$

$postorder(t) == term\text{-}rec(t, \lambda x\ zs\ rs.\ flat(rs) @ [x])$

lemma *term-unfold*: $term(A) = A * list(term(A))$

by (*fast intro!*: $term.intros$ [unfolded *term.con-defs*]

elim: $term.cases$ [unfolded *term.con-defs*])

lemma *term-induct2*:

$[[t \in term(A);$

$!!x.\quad [[x \in A]] ==> P(Apply(x, Nil));$

$!!x\ z\ zs.\quad [[x \in A; z \in term(A); zs: list(term(A)); P(Apply(x, zs))$

$]] ==> P(Apply(x, Cons(z, zs)))$

$]] ==> P(t)$

— Induction on *term(A)* followed by induction on *list*.

apply (*induct-tac t*)

apply (*erule list.induct*)

apply (*auto dest: list-CollectD*)

done

lemma *term-induct-eqn*:

$[[t \in term(A);$

$!!x\ zs.\quad [[x \in A; zs: list(term(A)); map(f, zs) = map(g, zs)]] ==>$

$f(Apply(x, zs)) = g(Apply(x, zs))$

$]] ==> f(t) = g(t)$

— Induction on *term(A)* to prove an equation.

apply (*induct-tac t*)

apply (*auto dest: map-list-Collect list-CollectD*)

done

Lemmas to justify using *term* in other recursive type definitions.

lemma *term-mono*: $A \subseteq B ==> term(A) \subseteq term(B)$

apply (*unfold term.defs*)

apply (*rule lfp-mono*)

apply (*rule term.bnd-mono*)+

apply (*rule univ-mono basic-monos | assumption*)+

done

lemma *term-univ*: $term(univ(A)) \subseteq univ(A)$

— Easily provable by induction also

apply (*unfold term.defs term.con-defs*)

apply (*rule lfp-lowerbound*)

```

apply (rule-tac [2] A-subset-univ [THEN univ-mono])
apply safe
apply (assumption | rule Pair-in-univ list-univ [THEN subsetD])+
done

```

```

lemma term-subset-univ:  $A \subseteq \text{univ}(B) \implies \text{term}(A) \subseteq \text{univ}(B)$ 
apply (rule subset-trans)
apply (erule term-mono)
apply (rule term-univ)
done

```

```

lemma term-into-univ:  $[[ t \in \text{term}(A); A \subseteq \text{univ}(B) ]] \implies t \in \text{univ}(B)$ 
by (rule term-subset-univ [THEN subsetD])

```

term-rec – by *Vset* recursion.

```

lemma map-lemma:  $[[ l \in \text{list}(A); \text{Ord}(i); \text{rank}(l) < i ]]$ 
   $\implies \text{map}(\lambda z. (\lambda x \in \text{Vset}(i).h(x)) 'z, l) = \text{map}(h,l)$ 
  — map works correctly on the underlying list of terms.
apply (induct set: list)
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac rank (a) < i & rank (l) < i)
apply (simp add: rank-of-Ord)
apply (simp add: list.con-defs)
apply (blast dest: rank-rls [THEN lt-trans])
done

```

```

lemma term-rec [simp]:  $ts \in \text{list}(A) \implies$ 
   $\text{term-rec}(\text{Apply}(a,ts), d) = d(a, ts, \text{map}(\lambda z. \text{term-rec}(z,d), ts))$ 
  — Typing premise is necessary to invoke map-lemma.
apply (rule term-rec-def [THEN def-Vrec, THEN trans])
apply (unfold term.con-defs)
apply (simp add: rank-pair2 map-lemma)
done

```

lemma *term-rec-type*:

```

 $[[ t \in \text{term}(A);$ 
   $!!x \text{ } zs \text{ } r. [[ x \in A; zs: \text{list}(\text{term}(A));$ 
     $r \in \text{list}(\bigcup t \in \text{term}(A). C(t)) ]]$ 
   $\implies d(x, zs, r): C(\text{Apply}(x,zs))$ 
 $]] \implies \text{term-rec}(t,d) \in C(t)$ 

```

— Slightly odd typing condition on *r* in the second premise!

proof –

```

assume a:  $!!x \text{ } zs \text{ } r. [[ x \in A; zs: \text{list}(\text{term}(A));$ 
   $r \in \text{list}(\bigcup t \in \text{term}(A). C(t)) ]]$ 
   $\implies d(x, zs, r): C(\text{Apply}(x,zs))$ 

```

assume $t \in \text{term}(A)$

thus ?thesis

apply induct

apply (frule list-CollectD)

```

apply (subst term-rec)
apply (assumption | rule a)+
apply (erule list.induct)
apply (simp add: term-rec)
apply (auto simp add: term-rec)
done
qed

```

```

lemma def-term-rec:
  [| !!t. j(t) == term-rec(t,d); ts: list(A) |] ==>
  j(Apply(a,ts)) = d(a, ts, map(λZ. j(Z), ts))
apply (simp only:)
apply (erule term-rec)
done

```

```

lemma term-rec-simple-type [TC]:
  [| t ∈ term(A);
    !!x zs r. [| x ∈ A; zs: list(term(A)); r ∈ list(C) |]
    ==> d(x, zs, r): C
  |] ==> term-rec(t,d) ∈ C
apply (erule term-rec-type)
apply (drule subset-refl [THEN UN-least, THEN list-mono, THEN subsetD])
apply simp
done

```

term-map.

```

lemma term-map [simp]:
  ts ∈ list(A) ==>
  term-map(f, Apply(a, ts)) = Apply(f(a), map(term-map(f), ts))
by (rule term-map-def [THEN def-term-rec])

```

```

lemma term-map-type [TC]:
  [| t ∈ term(A); !!x. x ∈ A ==> f(x): B |] ==> term-map(f,t) ∈ term(B)
apply (unfold term-map-def)
apply (erule term-rec-simple-type)
apply fast
done

```

```

lemma term-map-type2 [TC]:
  t ∈ term(A) ==> term-map(f,t) ∈ term({f(u). u ∈ A})
apply (erule term-map-type)
apply (erule RepFunI)
done

```

term-size.

```

lemma term-size [simp]:
  ts ∈ list(A) ==> term-size(Apply(a, ts)) = succ(list-add(map(term-size, ts)))
by (rule term-size-def [THEN def-term-rec])

```

lemma *term-size-type* [TC]: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-size}(t) \in \text{nat}$
by (*auto simp add: term-size-def*)

reflect.

lemma *reflect* [*simp*]:
 $ts \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{reflect}(\text{Apply}(a, ts)) = \text{Apply}(a, \text{rev}(\text{map}(\text{reflect}, ts)))$
by (*rule reflect-def [THEN def-term-rec]*)

lemma *reflect-type* [TC]: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{reflect}(t) \in \text{term}(A)$
by (*auto simp add: reflect-def*)

preorder.

lemma *preorder* [*simp*]:
 $ts \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{preorder}(\text{Apply}(a, ts)) = \text{Cons}(a, \text{flat}(\text{map}(\text{preorder}, ts)))$
by (*rule preorder-def [THEN def-term-rec]*)

lemma *preorder-type* [TC]: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{preorder}(t) \in \text{list}(A)$
by (*simp add: preorder-def*)

postorder.

lemma *postorder* [*simp*]:
 $ts \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{postorder}(\text{Apply}(a, ts)) = \text{flat}(\text{map}(\text{postorder}, ts)) @ [a]$
by (*rule postorder-def [THEN def-term-rec]*)

lemma *postorder-type* [TC]: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{postorder}(t) \in \text{list}(A)$
by (*simp add: postorder-def*)

Theorems about *term-map*.

declare *List.map-compose* [*simp*]

lemma *term-map-ident*: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-map}(\lambda u. u, t) = t$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *term-map-compose*:
 $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-map}(f, \text{term-map}(g, t)) = \text{term-map}(\lambda u. f(g(u)), t)$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *term-map-reflect*:
 $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-map}(f, \text{reflect}(t)) = \text{reflect}(\text{term-map}(f, t))$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: rev-map-distrib [symmetric]*)

done

Theorems about *term-size*.

lemma *term-size-term-map*: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-size}(\text{term-map}(f,t)) = \text{term-size}(t)$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp*)
done

lemma *term-size-reflect*: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-size}(\text{reflect}(t)) = \text{term-size}(t)$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: rev-map-distrib [symmetric] list-add-rev*)
done

lemma *term-size-length*: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{term-size}(t) = \text{length}(\text{preorder}(t))$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: length-flat*)
done

Theorems about *reflect*.

lemma *reflect-reflect-ident*: $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{reflect}(\text{reflect}(t)) = t$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: rev-map-distrib*)
done

Theorems about *preorder*.

lemma *preorder-term-map*:
 $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{preorder}(\text{term-map}(f,t)) = \text{map}(f, \text{preorder}(t))$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: map-flat*)
done

lemma *preorder-reflect-eq-rev-postorder*:
 $t \in \text{term}(A) \implies \text{preorder}(\text{reflect}(t)) = \text{rev}(\text{postorder}(t))$
apply (*erule term-induct-eqn*)
apply (*simp add: rev-app-distrib rev-flat rev-map-distrib [symmetric]*)
done

end

4 Datatype definition n-ary branching trees

theory *Ntree* **imports** *Main* **begin**

Demonstrates a simple use of function space in a datatype definition. Based upon theory *Term*.

consts

$ntree :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $maptree :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $maptree2 :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$

datatype $ntree(A) = Branch (a \in A, h \in (\bigcup n \in nat. n \rightarrow ntree(A)))$
monos $UN-mono$ [*OF subset-refl Pi-mono*] — MUST have this form
type-intros $nat-fun-univ$ [*THEN subsetD*]
type-elims $UN-E$

datatype $maptree(A) = Sons (a \in A, h \in maptree(A) \rightarrow maptree(A))$
monos $FiniteFun-mono1$ — Use monotonicity in BOTH args
type-intros $FiniteFun-univ1$ [*THEN subsetD*]

datatype $maptree2(A, B) = Sons2 (a \in A, h \in B \rightarrow maptree2(A, B))$
monos $FiniteFun-mono$ [*OF subset-refl*]
type-intros $FiniteFun-in-univ'$

constdefs

$ntree-rec :: [[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$
 $ntree-rec(b) ==$
 $Vrecursor(\lambda pr. ntree-case(\lambda x h. b(x, h, \lambda i \in domain(h). pr'(h'i))))$

constdefs

$ntree-copy :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $ntree-copy(z) == ntree-rec(\lambda x h r. Branch(x, r), z)$

ntree

lemma $ntree-unfold: ntree(A) = A \times (\bigcup n \in nat. n \rightarrow ntree(A))$
by ($blast$ *intro: ntree.intros [unfolded ntree.con-defs]*
elim: ntree.cases [unfolded ntree.con-defs])

lemma $ntree-induct$ [*induct set: ntree*]:

$[[t \in ntree(A);$
 $!!x n h. [[x \in A; n \in nat; h \in n \rightarrow ntree(A); \forall i \in n. P(h'i)$
 $]] \implies P(Branch(x, h))$
 $]] \implies P(t)$

— A nicer induction rule than the standard one.

proof —

case $rule-context$
assume $t \in ntree(A)$
thus *?thesis*
apply $induct$
apply ($erule UN-E$)
apply ($assumption$ | $rule rule-context$)
apply ($fast elim: fun-weaken-type$)
apply ($fast dest: apply-type$)
done

qed

lemma *ntree-induct-eqn*:
 $\llbracket t \in \text{ntree}(A); f \in \text{ntree}(A) \rightarrow B; g \in \text{ntree}(A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\quad \llbracket \exists x n h. \llbracket x \in A; n \in \text{nat}; h \in n \rightarrow \text{ntree}(A); f \circ h = g \circ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $\quad \quad f \text{ ` } \text{Branch}(x,h) = g \text{ ` } \text{Branch}(x,h)$
 $\quad \rrbracket \implies f \text{ ` } t = g \text{ ` } t$
— Induction on *ntree*(*A*) to prove an equation
proof —
case *rule-context*
assume $t \in \text{ntree}(A)$
thus *?thesis*
apply *induct*
apply (*assumption* | *rule rule-context*) +
apply (*insert rule-context*)
apply (*rule fun-extension*)
apply (*assumption* | *rule comp-fun*) +
apply (*simp add: comp-fun-apply*)
done
qed

Lemmas to justify using *Ntree* in other recursive type definitions.

lemma *ntree-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{ntree}(A) \subseteq \text{ntree}(B)$
apply (*unfold ntree.defs*)
apply (*rule lfp-mono*)
apply (*rule ntree.bnd-mono*) +
apply (*assumption* | *rule univ-mono basic-monos*) +
done

lemma *ntree-univ*: $\text{ntree}(\text{univ}(A)) \subseteq \text{univ}(A)$
— Easily provable by induction also
apply (*unfold ntree.defs ntree.con-defs*)
apply (*rule lfp-lowerbound*)
apply (*rule-tac* [2] *A-subset-univ [THEN univ-mono]*)
apply (*blast intro: Pair-in-univ nat-fun-univ [THEN subsetD]*)
done

lemma *ntree-subset-univ*: $A \subseteq \text{univ}(B) \implies \text{ntree}(A) \subseteq \text{univ}(B)$
by (*rule subset-trans [OF ntree-mono ntree-univ]*)

ntree recursion.

lemma *ntree-rec-Branch*:
 $\text{function}(h) \implies$
 $\text{ntree-rec}(b, \text{Branch}(x,h)) = b(x, h, \lambda i \in \text{domain}(h). \text{ntree-rec}(b, h^i))$
apply (*rule ntree-rec-def [THEN def-Vrecursor, THEN trans]*)
apply (*simp add: ntree.con-defs rank-pair2 [THEN [2] lt-trans] rank-apply*)
done

lemma *ntree-copy-Branch* [*simp*]:

$function(h) ==>$
 $ntree-copy (Branch(x, h)) = Branch(x, \lambda i \in domain(h). ntree-copy (h^i))$
by (*simp add: ntree-copy-def ntree-rec-Branch*)

lemma *ntree-copy-is-ident*: $z \in ntree(A) ==> ntree-copy(z) = z$
apply (*induct-tac z*)
apply (*auto simp add: domain-of-fun Pi-Collect-iff fun-is-function*)
done

maptree

lemma *maptree-unfold*: $maptree(A) = A \times (maptree(A) -||> maptree(A))$
by (*fast intro!: maptree.intros [unfolded maptree.con-defs]*)
elim: maptree.cases [unfolded maptree.con-defs]

lemma *maptree-induct* [*induct set: maptree*]:

$[[t \in maptree(A);$
 $!!x n h. [[x \in A; h \in maptree(A) -||> maptree(A);$
 $\quad \forall y \in field(h). P(y)$
 $]] ==> P(Sons(x,h))$
 $]] ==> P(t)$

— A nicer induction rule than the standard one.

proof —

case *rule-context*
assume $t \in maptree(A)$
thus *?thesis*
apply *induct*
apply (*assumption | rule rule-context*)+
apply (*erule Collect-subset [THEN FiniteFun-mono1, THEN subsetD]*)
apply (*drule FiniteFun.dom-subset [THEN subsetD]*)
apply (*drule Fin.dom-subset [THEN subsetD]*)
apply *fast*
done

qed

maptree2

lemma *maptree2-unfold*: $maptree2(A, B) = A \times (B -||> maptree2(A, B))$
by (*fast intro!: maptree2.intros [unfolded maptree2.con-defs]*)
elim: maptree2.cases [unfolded maptree2.con-defs]

lemma *maptree2-induct* [*induct set: maptree2*]:

$[[t \in maptree2(A, B);$
 $!!x n h. [[x \in A; h \in B -||> maptree2(A,B); \forall y \in range(h). P(y)$
 $]] ==> P(Sons2(x,h))$
 $]] ==> P(t)$

proof —

case *rule-context*
assume $t \in maptree2(A, B)$
thus *?thesis*

```

apply induct
apply (assumption | rule rule-context)+
apply (erule FiniteFun-mono [OF subset-refl Collect-subset, THEN subsetD])
apply (drule FiniteFun.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
apply (drule Fin.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
apply fast
done
qed
end

```

5 Trees and forests, a mutually recursive type definition

theory *Tree-Forest* **imports** *Main* **begin**

5.1 Datatype definition

```

consts
  tree :: i => i
  forest :: i => i
  tree-forest :: i => i

datatype tree(A) = Tcons (a ∈ A, f ∈ forest(A))
  and forest(A) = Fnil | Fcons (t ∈ tree(A), f ∈ forest(A))

declare tree-forest.intros [simp, TC]

lemma tree-def: tree(A) == Part(tree-forest(A), Inl)
  by (simp only: tree-forest.defs)

lemma forest-def: forest(A) == Part(tree-forest(A), Inr)
  by (simp only: tree-forest.defs)

tree-forest(A) as the union of tree(A) and forest(A).

lemma tree-subset-TF: tree(A) ⊆ tree-forest(A)
  apply (unfold tree-forest.defs)
  apply (rule Part-subset)
  done

lemma treeI [TC]: x ∈ tree(A) ==> x ∈ tree-forest(A)
  by (rule tree-subset-TF [THEN subsetD])

lemma forest-subset-TF: forest(A) ⊆ tree-forest(A)
  apply (unfold tree-forest.defs)
  apply (rule Part-subset)
  done

```

lemma *treeI'* [TC]: $x \in \text{forest}(A) \implies x \in \text{tree-forest}(A)$
by (*rule forest-subset-TF* [THEN *subsetD*])

lemma *TF-equals-Un*: $\text{tree}(A) \cup \text{forest}(A) = \text{tree-forest}(A)$
apply (*insert tree-subset-TF forest-subset-TF*)
apply (*auto intro!*: *equalityI tree-forest.intros elim: tree-forest.cases*)
done

lemma
notes *rews* = *tree-forest.con-defs tree-def forest-def*
shows
tree-forest-unfold: $\text{tree-forest}(A) =$
 $(A \times \text{forest}(A)) + (\{0\} + \text{tree}(A) \times \text{forest}(A))$
— NOT useful, but interesting ...
apply (*unfold tree-def forest-def*)
apply (*fast intro!*: *tree-forest.intros [unfolded rews, THEN PartD1]*
elim: tree-forest.cases [unfolded rews])
done

lemma *tree-forest-unfold'*:
 $\text{tree-forest}(A) =$
 $A \times \text{Part}(\text{tree-forest}(A), \lambda w. \text{Inr}(w)) +$
 $\{0\} + \text{Part}(\text{tree-forest}(A), \lambda w. \text{Inl}(w)) * \text{Part}(\text{tree-forest}(A), \lambda w. \text{Inr}(w))$
by (*rule tree-forest-unfold [unfolded tree-def forest-def]*)

lemma *tree-unfold*: $\text{tree}(A) = \{\text{Inl}(x). x \in A \times \text{forest}(A)\}$
apply (*unfold tree-def forest-def*)
apply (*rule Part-Inl [THEN subst]*)
apply (*rule tree-forest-unfold' [THEN subst-context]*)
done

lemma *forest-unfold*: $\text{forest}(A) = \{\text{Inr}(x). x \in \{0\} + \text{tree}(A) * \text{forest}(A)\}$
apply (*unfold tree-def forest-def*)
apply (*rule Part-Inr [THEN subst]*)
apply (*rule tree-forest-unfold' [THEN subst-context]*)
done

Type checking for recursor: Not needed; possibly interesting?

lemma *TF-rec-type*:
 $\llbracket z \in \text{tree-forest}(A);$
 $\quad \llbracket x f r. \llbracket x \in A; f \in \text{forest}(A); r \in C(f)$
 $\quad \quad \llbracket \implies b(x,f,r) \in C(\text{Tcons}(x,f));$
 $\quad c \in C(\text{Fnil});$
 $\quad \llbracket t f r1 r2. \llbracket t \in \text{tree}(A); f \in \text{forest}(A); r1 \in C(t); r2 \in C(f)$
 $\quad \quad \llbracket \implies d(t,f,r1,r2) \in C(\text{Fcons}(t,f))$
 $\quad \llbracket \implies \text{tree-forest-rec}(b,c,d,z) \in C(z)$
by (*induct-tac z*) *simp-all*

lemma *tree-forest-rec-type*:

```

[[ !!x f r. [[ x ∈ A; f ∈ forest(A); r ∈ D(f)
              ]] ==> b(x,f,r) ∈ C(Tcons(x,f));
            c ∈ D(Fnil);
            !!t f r1 r2. [[ t ∈ tree(A); f ∈ forest(A); r1 ∈ C(t); r2 ∈ D(f)
                          ]] ==> d(t,f,r1,r2) ∈ D(Fcons(t,f))
          ]] ==> (∀ t ∈ tree(A). tree-forest-rec(b,c,d,t) ∈ C(t)) ∧
                (∀ f ∈ forest(A). tree-forest-rec(b,c,d,f) ∈ D(f))
  — Mutually recursive version.
apply (unfold Ball-def)
apply (rule tree-forest.mutual-induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

5.2 Operations

consts

```

map :: [i => i, i] => i
size :: i => i
preorder :: i => i
list-of-TF :: i => i
of-list :: i => i
reflect :: i => i

```

primrec

```

list-of-TF (Tcons(x,f)) = [Tcons(x,f)]
list-of-TF (Fnil) = []
list-of-TF (Fcons(t,tf)) = Cons (t, list-of-TF(tf))

```

primrec

```

of-list([]) = Fnil
of-list(Cons(t,l)) = Fcons(t, of-list(l))

```

primrec

```

map (h, Tcons(x,f)) = Tcons(h(x), map(h,f))
map (h, Fnil) = Fnil
map (h, Fcons(t,tf)) = Fcons (map(h, t), map(h, tf))

```

primrec

```

size (Tcons(x,f)) = succ(size(f))
size (Fnil) = 0
size (Fcons(t,tf)) = size(t) #+ size(tf)

```

primrec

```

preorder (Tcons(x,f)) = Cons(x, preorder(f))
preorder (Fnil) = Nil
preorder (Fcons(t,tf)) = preorder(t) @ preorder(tf)

```

primrec

$reflect (Tcons(x,f)) = Tcons(x, reflect(f))$
 $reflect (Fnil) = Fnil$
 $reflect (Fcons(t,tf)) =$
 $of-list (list-of-TF (reflect(tf)) @ Cons(reflect(t), Nil))$

list-of-TF and *of-list*.

lemma *list-of-TF-type* [TC]:
 $z \in tree-forest(A) ==> list-of-TF(z) \in list(tree(A))$
apply (*erule tree-forest.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

lemma *of-list-type* [TC]: $l \in list(tree(A)) ==> of-list(l) \in forest(A)$
apply (*erule list.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

map.

lemma
assumes *h-type*: $!!x. x \in A ==> h(x): B$
shows *map-tree-type*: $t \in tree(A) ==> map(h,t) \in tree(B)$
and *map-forest-type*: $f \in forest(A) ==> map(h,f) \in forest(B)$
apply (*induct rule: tree-forest.mutual-induct*)
apply (*insert h-type*)
apply *simp-all*
done

size.

lemma *size-type* [TC]: $z \in tree-forest(A) ==> size(z) \in nat$
apply (*erule tree-forest.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

preorder.

lemma *preorder-type* [TC]: $z \in tree-forest(A) ==> preorder(z) \in list(A)$
apply (*erule tree-forest.induct*)
apply *simp-all*
done

Theorems about *list-of-TF* and *of-list*.

lemma *forest-induct*:
 $[[f \in forest(A);$
 $R(Fnil);$
 $!!t f. [[t \in tree(A); f \in forest(A); R(f)]] ==> R(Fcons(t,f))$
 $]] ==> R(f)$
— Essentially the same as list induction.

```

apply (erule tree-forest.mutual-induct
  [THEN conjunct2, THEN spec, THEN [2] rev-mp])
apply (rule TrueI)
apply simp
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma forest-iso:  $f \in \text{forest}(A) \implies \text{of-list}(\text{list-of-TF}(f)) = f$ 
apply (erule forest-induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma tree-list-iso:  $ts: \text{list}(\text{tree}(A)) \implies \text{list-of-TF}(\text{of-list}(ts)) = ts$ 
apply (erule list.induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

Theorems about *map*.

```

lemma map-ident:  $z \in \text{tree-forest}(A) \implies \text{map}(\lambda u. u, z) = z$ 
apply (erule tree-forest.induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma map-compose:
   $z \in \text{tree-forest}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{map}(j, z)) = \text{map}(\lambda u. h(j(u)), z)$ 
apply (erule tree-forest.induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

Theorems about *size*.

```

lemma size-map:  $z \in \text{tree-forest}(A) \implies \text{size}(\text{map}(h, z)) = \text{size}(z)$ 
apply (erule tree-forest.induct)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma size-length:  $z \in \text{tree-forest}(A) \implies \text{size}(z) = \text{length}(\text{preorder}(z))$ 
apply (erule tree-forest.induct)
apply (simp-all add: length-app)
done

```

Theorems about *preorder*.

```

lemma preorder-map:
   $z \in \text{tree-forest}(A) \implies \text{preorder}(\text{map}(h, z)) = \text{List.map}(h, \text{preorder}(z))$ 
apply (erule tree-forest.induct)
apply (simp-all add: map-app-distrib)
done

```

end

6 Infinite branching datatype definitions

theory *Brouwer* imports *Main-ZFC* begin

6.1 The Brouwer ordinals

consts

brouwer :: *i*

datatype \subseteq *Vfrom*(0, *csucc*(*nat*))

brouwer = *Zero* | *Suc* (*b* \in *brouwer*) | *Lim* (*h* \in *nat* \rightarrow *brouwer*)

monos *Pi-mono*

type-intros *inf-datatype-intros*

lemma *brouwer-unfold*: *brouwer* = {0} + *brouwer* + (*nat* \rightarrow *brouwer*)

by (*fast intro!*: *brouwer.intros* [*unfolded brouwer.con-defs*])

elim: *brouwer.cases* [*unfolded brouwer.con-defs*])

lemma *brouwer-induct2*:

\llbracket *b* \in *brouwer*;

P(*Zero*);

\llbracket *b* \in *brouwer*; *P*(*b*) $\rrbracket \implies$ *P*(*Suc*(*b*));

\llbracket *h* \in *nat* \rightarrow *brouwer*; $\forall i \in$ *nat*. *P*(*h*'*i*)

$\rrbracket \implies$ *P*(*Lim*(*h*))

$\rrbracket \implies$ *P*(*b*)

— A nicer induction rule than the standard one.

proof —

case *rule-context*

assume *b* \in *brouwer*

thus ?*thesis*

apply *induct*

apply (*assumption* | *rule rule-context*)+

apply (*fast elim*: *fun-weaken-type*)

apply (*fast dest*: *apply-type*)

done

qed

6.2 The Martin-Löf wellordering type

consts

Well :: [*i*, *i* \implies *i*] \implies *i*

datatype \subseteq *Vfrom*(*A* \cup ($\bigcup x \in A$. *B*(*x*)), *csucc*(*nat* \cup $|\bigcup x \in A$. *B*(*x*)|))

— The union with *nat* ensures that the cardinal is infinite.

Well(*A*, *B*) = *Sup* (*a* \in *A*, *f* \in *B*(*a*) \rightarrow *Well*(*A*, *B*))

monos *Pi-mono*

type-intros *le-trans* [*OF UN-upper-cardinal le-nat-Un-cardinal*] *inf-datatype-intros*

lemma *Well-unfold*: $Well(A, B) = (\Sigma x \in A. B(x) \rightarrow Well(A, B))$
by (*fast intro!*: *Well.intros* [*unfolded Well.con-defs*])
elim: *Well.cases* [*unfolded Well.con-defs*])

lemma *Well-induct2*:

$[[w \in Well(A, B);$
 $!!a f. [[a \in A; f \in B(a) \rightarrow Well(A, B); \forall y \in B(a). P(f'y)$
 $]] ==> P(Sup(a, f))$
 $]] ==> P(w)$

— A nicer induction rule than the standard one.

proof —

case *rule-context*

assume $w \in Well(A, B)$

thus *?thesis*

apply *induct*

apply (*assumption* | *rule rule-context*)**+**

apply (*fast elim: fun-weaken-type*)

apply (*fast dest: apply-type*)

done

qed

lemma *Well-bool-unfold*: $Well(bool, \lambda x. x) = 1 + (1 \rightarrow Well(bool, \lambda x. x))$

— In fact it's isomorphic to *nat*, but we need a recursion operator

— for *Well* to prove this.

apply (*rule Well-unfold* [*THEN trans*])

apply (*simp add: Sigma-bool Pi-empty1 succ-def*)

done

end

7 The Mutilated Chess Board Problem, formalized inductively

theory *Mutil* **imports** *Main* **begin**

Originator is Max Black, according to J A Robinson. Popularized as the Mutilated Checkerboard Problem by J McCarthy.

consts

domino :: *i*

tiling :: *i* => *i*

inductive

domains *domino* $\subseteq Pow(nat \times nat)$

intros

horiz: $\llbracket i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \{ \langle i, j \rangle, \langle i, \text{succ}(j) \rangle \} \in \text{domino}$
vertl: $\llbracket i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \{ \langle i, j \rangle, \langle \text{succ}(i), j \rangle \} \in \text{domino}$
type-intros *empty-subsetI cons-subsetI PowI SigmaI nat-succI*

inductive

domains *tiling(A) \subseteq Pow(Union(A))*

intros

empty: $0 \in \text{tiling}(A)$

Un: $\llbracket a \in A; t \in \text{tiling}(A); a \text{ Int } t = 0 \rrbracket \implies a \text{ Un } t \in \text{tiling}(A)$

type-intros *empty-subsetI Union-upper Un-least PowI*

type-elim *PowD [elim-format]*

constdefs

evnodd :: $[i, i] \implies i$

evnodd(A,b) == $\{ z \in A. \exists i j. z = \langle i, j \rangle \wedge (i \# + j) \bmod 2 = b \}$

7.1 Basic properties of evnodd

lemma *evnodd-iff*: $\langle i, j \rangle: \text{evnodd}(A, b) \longleftrightarrow \langle i, j \rangle: A \ \& \ (i \# + j) \bmod 2 = b$
by (*unfold evnodd-def*) *blast*

lemma *evnodd-subset*: $\text{evnodd}(A, b) \subseteq A$

by (*unfold evnodd-def*) *blast*

lemma *Finite-evnodd*: $\text{Finite}(X) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{evnodd}(X, b))$

by (*rule lepoll-Finite, rule subset-imp-lepoll, rule evnodd-subset*)

lemma *evnodd-Un*: $\text{evnodd}(A \text{ Un } B, b) = \text{evnodd}(A, b) \text{ Un } \text{evnodd}(B, b)$

by (*simp add: evnodd-def Collect-Un*)

lemma *evnodd-Diff*: $\text{evnodd}(A - B, b) = \text{evnodd}(A, b) - \text{evnodd}(B, b)$

by (*simp add: evnodd-def Collect-Diff*)

lemma *evnodd-cons* [*simp*]:

$\text{evnodd}(\text{cons}(\langle i, j \rangle, C), b) =$

$(\text{if } (i \# + j) \bmod 2 = b \text{ then } \text{cons}(\langle i, j \rangle, \text{evnodd}(C, b)) \text{ else } \text{evnodd}(C, b))$

by (*simp add: evnodd-def Collect-cons*)

lemma *evnodd-0* [*simp*]: $\text{evnodd}(0, b) = 0$

by (*simp add: evnodd-def*)

7.2 Dominoes

lemma *domino-Finite*: $d \in \text{domino} \implies \text{Finite}(d)$

by (*blast intro!: Finite-cons Finite-0 elim: domino.cases*)

lemma *domino-singleton*:

$\llbracket d \in \text{domino}; b < 2 \rrbracket \implies \exists i' j'. \text{evnodd}(d, b) = \{ \langle i', j' \rangle \}$

apply (*erule domino.cases*)

apply (*rule-tac [2] k1 = i# + j in mod2-cases [THEN disjE]*)

```

apply (rule-tac k1 = i#+j in mod2-cases [THEN disjE])
  apply (rule add-type | assumption)+

  apply (auto simp add: mod-succ succ-neq-self dest: ltD)
done

```

7.3 Tilings

The union of two disjoint tilings is a tiling

lemma *tiling-UnI*:

```

  t ∈ tiling(A) ==> u ∈ tiling(A) ==> t Int u = 0 ==> t Un u ∈ tiling(A)
apply (induct set: tiling)
apply (simp add: tiling.intros)
apply (simp add: Un-assoc subset-empty-iff [THEN iff-sym])
apply (blast intro: tiling.intros)
done

```

lemma *tiling-domino-Finite*: $t \in \text{tiling}(\text{domino}) \implies \text{Finite}(t)$

```

apply (induct rule: tiling.induct)
apply (rule Finite-0)
apply (blast intro!: Finite-Un intro: domino-Finite)
done

```

lemma *tiling-domino-0-1*: $t \in \text{tiling}(\text{domino}) \implies |\text{evnodd}(t,0)| = |\text{evnodd}(t,1)|$

```

apply (induct rule: tiling.induct)
apply (simp add: evnodd-def)
apply (rule-tac b1 = 0 in domino-singleton [THEN exE])
  prefer 2
  apply simp
  apply assumption
apply (rule-tac b1 = 1 in domino-singleton [THEN exE])
  prefer 2
  apply simp
  apply assumption
apply safe
apply (subgoal-tac  $\forall p b. p \in \text{evnodd}(a,b) \implies p \notin \text{evnodd}(t,b)$ )
  apply (simp add: evnodd-Un Un-cons tiling-domino-Finite
    evnodd-subset [THEN subset-Finite] Finite-imp-cardinal-cons)
apply (blast dest!: evnodd-subset [THEN subsetD] elim: equalityE)
done

```

lemma *dominoes-tile-row*:

```

  [| i ∈ nat; n ∈ nat |] ==> {i} * (n #+ n) ∈ tiling(domino)
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp add: tiling.intros)
apply (simp add: Un-assoc [symmetric] Sigma-succ2)
apply (rule tiling.intros)
  prefer 2 apply assumption
apply (rename-tac n^)

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac
  {i}*{succ (n'#+n')} Un {i}*{n'#+n'} =
  {<i,n'#+n'>, <i,succ (n'#+n') >})
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (simp add: domino.horiz)
apply (blast elim: mem-irrefl mem-asy)
done

```

```

lemma dominoes-tile-matrix:
  [| m ∈ nat; n ∈ nat |] ==> m * (n #+ n) ∈ tiling(domino)
apply (induct-tac m)
apply (simp add: tiling.intros)
apply (simp add: Sigma-succ1)
apply (blast intro: tiling-UnI dominoes-tile-row elim: mem-irrefl)
done

```

```

lemma eq-lt-E: [| x=y; x<y |] ==> P
by auto

```

```

theorem mutil-not-tiling: [| m ∈ nat; n ∈ nat;
  t = (succ(m)#+succ(m))*(succ(n)#+succ(n));
  t' = t - {<0,0>} - {<succ(m#+m), succ(n#+n)>} |]
  ==> t' ∉ tiling(domino)
apply (rule notI)
apply (drule tiling-domino-0-1)
apply (erule-tac x = |?A| in eq-lt-E)
apply (subgoal-tac t ∈ tiling (domino))
prefer 2
apply (simp only: nat-succI add-type dominoes-tile-matrix)
apply (simp add: evnodd-Diff mod2-add-self mod2-succ-succ
  tiling-domino-0-1 [symmetric])
apply (rule lt-trans)
apply (rule Finite-imp-cardinal-Diff,
  simp add: tiling-domino-Finite Finite-evnodd Finite-Diff,
  simp add: evnodd-iff nat-0-le [THEN ltD] mod2-add-self)+
done

```

end

```

theory FoldSet imports Main begin

```

```

consts fold-set :: [i, i, [i,i]=>i, i] => i

```

inductive

```

domains fold-set(A, B, f,e) <= Fin(A)*B

```

intros

```

  emptyI: e∈B ==> <0, e>∈fold-set(A, B, f,e)

```

consI: $\llbracket x \in A; x \notin C; \langle C, y \rangle : \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e); f(x, y) : B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \langle \text{cons}(x, C), f(x, y) \rangle \in \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$

type-intros *Fin.intros*

constdefs

fold :: $[i, [i, i] \implies i, i, i] \implies i$ (*fold*[-]'(-,-,-)')
fold[*B*](*f*, *e*, *A*) == *THE* *x*. $\langle A, x \rangle \in \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$

setsum :: $[i \implies i, i] \implies i$
setsum(*g*, *C*) == *if* *Finite*(*C*) *then*
fold[*int*](%*x y*. *g*(*x*) \$+ *y*, #0, *C*) *else* #0

inductive-cases *empty-fold-setE*: $\langle 0, x \rangle : \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$

inductive-cases *cons-fold-setE*: $\langle \text{cons}(x, C), y \rangle : \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$

lemma *cons-lemma1*: $\llbracket x \notin C; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(x, B) = \text{cons}(x, C) \longleftrightarrow B = C$
by (*auto elim: equalityE*)

lemma *cons-lemma2*: $\llbracket \text{cons}(x, B) = \text{cons}(y, C); x \neq y; x \notin B; y \notin C \rrbracket$
 $\implies B - \{y\} = C - \{x\} \ \& \ x \in C \ \& \ y \in B$

apply (*auto elim: equalityE*)

done

lemma *fold-set-mono-lemma*:

$\langle C, x \rangle : \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$
 $\implies \text{ALL } D. A \leq D \longrightarrow \langle C, x \rangle : \text{fold-set}(D, B, f, e)$

apply (*erule fold-set.induct*)

apply (*auto intro: fold-set.intros*)

done

lemma *fold-set-mono*: $C \leq A \implies \text{fold-set}(C, B, f, e) \leq \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e)$

apply *clarify*

apply (*frule fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD], clarify*)

apply (*auto dest: fold-set-mono-lemma*)

done

lemma *fold-set-lemma*:

$\langle C, x \rangle \in \text{fold-set}(A, B, f, e) \implies \langle C, x \rangle \in \text{fold-set}(C, B, f, e) \ \& \ C \leq A$

apply (*erule fold-set.induct*)

apply (*auto intro!: fold-set.intros intro: fold-set-mono [THEN subsetD]*)

done

```

lemma Diff1-fold-set:
  [| <C - {x}, y> : fold-set(A, B, f, e); x ∈ C; x ∈ A; f(x, y):B |]
  ==> <C, f(x, y)> : fold-set(A, B, f, e)
apply (frule fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
apply (erule cons-Diff [THEN subst], rule fold-set.intros, auto)
done

locale fold-typing =
  fixes A and B and e and f
  assumes ftype [intro,simp]: [|x ∈ A; y ∈ B|] ==> f(x,y) ∈ B
  and etype [intro,simp]: e ∈ B
  and fcomm: [|x ∈ A; y ∈ A; z ∈ B|] ==> f(x, f(y, z))=f(y, f(x, z))

lemma (in fold-typing) Fin-imp-fold-set:
  C ∈ Fin(A) ==> (EX x. <C, x> : fold-set(A, B, f, e))
apply (erule Fin-induct)
apply (auto dest: fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD]
  intro: fold-set.intros etype ftype)
done

lemma Diff-sing-imp:
  [|C - {b} = D - {a}; a ≠ b; b ∈ C|] ==> C = cons(b,D) - {a}
by (blast elim: equalityE)

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-set-determ-lemma [rule-format]:
  n ∈ nat
  ==> ALL C. |C| < n -->
  (ALL x. <C, x> : fold-set(A, B, f, e) -->
  (ALL y. <C, y> : fold-set(A, B, f, e) --> y=x))
apply (erule nat-induct)
  apply (auto simp add: le-iff)
apply (erule fold-set.cases)
  apply (force elim!: empty-fold-setE)
apply (erule fold-set.cases)
  apply (force elim!: empty-fold-setE, clarify)

apply (frule-tac a = Ca in fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1])
apply (frule-tac a = Cb in fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1])
apply (simp add: Fin-into-Finite [THEN Finite-imp-cardinal-cons])
apply (case-tac x=xb, auto)
apply (simp add: cons-lemma1, blast)

case x ≠ xb
apply (drule cons-lemma2, safe)
apply (frule Diff-sing-imp, assumption+)

* LEVEL 17
apply (subgoal-tac |Ca| le |Cb|)

```

```

prefer 2
apply (rule succ-le-imp-le)
apply (simp add: Fin-into-Finite Finite-imp-succ-cardinal-Diff
           Fin-into-Finite [THEN Finite-imp-cardinal-cons])
apply (rule-tac C1 = Ca- $\{xb\}$  in Fin-imp-fold-set [THEN exE])
apply (blast intro: Diff-subset [THEN Fin-subset])

* LEVEL 24 *

apply (frule Diff1-fold-set, blast, blast)
apply (blast dest!: ftype fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
apply (subgoal-tac ya = f(xb,xa) )
prefer 2 apply (blast del: equalityCE)
apply (subgoal-tac <Cb- $\{x\}$ , xa> : fold-set(A,B,f,e))
prefer 2 apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac yb = f(x, xa) )
apply (drule-tac [2] C = Cb in Diff1-fold-set, simp-all)
apply (blast intro: fcomm dest!: fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
apply (blast intro: ftype dest!: fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD], blast)
done

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-set-determ:
  [| <C, x> ∈ fold-set(A, B, f, e);
    <C, y> ∈ fold-set(A, B, f, e)|] ==> y=x
apply (frule fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD], clarify)
apply (drule Fin-into-Finite)
apply (unfold Finite-def, clarify)
apply (rule-tac n = succ(n) in fold-set-determ-lemma)
apply (auto intro: eqpoll-imp-lepoll [THEN lepoll-cardinal-le])
done

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-equality:
  <C,y> : fold-set(A,B,f,e) ==> fold[B](f,e,C) = y
apply (unfold fold-def)
apply (frule fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD], clarify)
apply (rule the-equality)
apply (rule-tac [2] A=C in fold-typing.fold-set-determ)
apply (force dest: fold-set-lemma)
apply (auto dest: fold-set-lemma)
apply (simp add: fold-typing-def, auto)
apply (auto dest: fold-set-lemma intro: ftype etype fcomm)
done

lemma fold-0 [simp]: e : B ==> fold[B](f,e,0) = e
apply (unfold fold-def)
apply (blast elim!: empty-fold-setE intro: fold-set.intros)
done

```

This result is the right-to-left direction of the subsequent result

```

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-set-imp-cons:
  [| <C, y> : fold-set(C, B, f, e); C : Fin(A); c : A; c∉C |]
  ==> <cons(c, C), f(c,y)> : fold-set(cons(c, C), B, f, e)
apply (frule FinD [THEN fold-set-mono, THEN subsetD])
apply assumption
apply (frule fold-set.dom-subset [of A, THEN subsetD])
apply (blast intro!: fold-set.consI intro: fold-set-mono [THEN subsetD])
done

```

```

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-cons-lemma [rule-format]:
  [| C : Fin(A); c : A; c∉C |]
  ==> <cons(c, C), v> : fold-set(cons(c, C), B, f, e) <->
    (EX y. <C, y> : fold-set(C, B, f, e) & v = f(c, y))
apply auto
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: fold-set-imp-cons)
apply (frule-tac Fin.consI [of c, THEN FinD, THEN fold-set-mono, THEN subsetD], assumption+)
apply (frule-tac fold-set.dom-subset [of A, THEN subsetD])
apply (drule FinD)
apply (rule-tac A1 = cons(c,C) and f1=f and B1=B and C1=C and e1=e
in fold-typing.Fin-imp-fold-set [THEN exE])
apply (blast intro: fold-typing.intro ftype etype fcomm)
apply (blast intro: Fin-subset [of - cons(c,C)] Finite-into-Fin
  dest: Fin-into-Finite)
apply (rule-tac x = x in exI)
apply (auto intro: fold-set.intros)
apply (drule-tac fold-set-lemma [of C], blast)
apply (blast intro!: fold-set.consI
  intro: fold-set-determ fold-set-mono [THEN subsetD]
  dest: fold-set.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])
done

```

```

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-cons:
  [| C∈Fin(A); c∈A; c∉C |]
  ==> fold[B](f, e, cons(c, C)) = f(c, fold[B](f, e, C))
apply (unfold fold-def)
apply (simp add: fold-cons-lemma)
apply (rule the-equality, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac [2] <C, y> ∈ fold-set(A, B, f, e))
apply (drule Fin-imp-fold-set)
apply (auto dest: fold-set-lemma simp add: fold-def [symmetric] fold-equality)
apply (blast intro: fold-set-mono [THEN subsetD] dest!: FinD)
done

```

```

lemma (in fold-typing) fold-type [simp, TC]:
  C∈Fin(A) ==> fold[B](f,e,C):B
apply (erule Fin-induct)
apply (simp-all add: fold-cons ftype etype)
done

```

lemma (*in fold-typing*) *fold-commute* [*rule-format*]:
 [| $C \in \text{Fin}(A)$; $c \in A$ |]
 $\implies (\forall y \in B. f(c, \text{fold}[B](f, y, C)) = \text{fold}[B](f, f(c, y), C))$
apply (*erule Fin-induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: fold-typing.fold-cons [of A B - f]*
fold-typing.fold-type [of A B - f]
fold-typing-def fcomm)
done

lemma (*in fold-typing*) *fold-nest-Un-Int*:
 [| $C \in \text{Fin}(A)$; $D \in \text{Fin}(A)$ |]
 $\implies \text{fold}[B](f, \text{fold}[B](f, e, D), C) =$
 $\text{fold}[B](f, \text{fold}[B](f, e, (C \text{ Int } D)), C \text{ Un } D)$
apply (*erule Fin-induct, auto*)
apply (*simp add: Un-cons Int-cons-left fold-type fold-commute*
fold-typing.fold-cons [of A - - f]
fold-typing-def fcomm cons-absorb)
done

lemma (*in fold-typing*) *fold-nest-Un-disjoint*:
 [| $C \in \text{Fin}(A)$; $D \in \text{Fin}(A)$; $C \text{ Int } D = 0$ |]
 $\implies \text{fold}[B](f, e, C \text{ Un } D) = \text{fold}[B](f, \text{fold}[B](f, e, D), C)$
by (*simp add: fold-nest-Un-Int*)

lemma *Finite-cons-lemma*: $\text{Finite}(C) \implies C \in \text{Fin}(\text{cons}(c, C))$
apply (*drule Finite-into-Fin*)
apply (*blast intro: Fin-mono [THEN subsetD]*)
done

7.4 The Operator *setsum*

lemma *setsum-0* [*simp*]: $\text{setsum}(g, 0) = \#0$
by (*simp add: setsum-def*)

lemma *setsum-cons* [*simp*]:
 $\text{Finite}(C) \implies$
 $\text{setsum}(g, \text{cons}(c, C)) =$
 $(\text{if } c : C \text{ then } \text{setsum}(g, C) \text{ else } g(c) \$+ \text{setsum}(g, C))$
apply (*auto simp add: setsum-def Finite-cons cons-absorb*)
apply (*rule-tac A = cons (c, C) in fold-typing.fold-cons*)
apply (*auto intro: fold-typing.intro Finite-cons-lemma*)
done

lemma *setsum-K0*: $\text{setsum}((\%i. \#0), C) = \#0$
apply (*case-tac Finite (C)*)
prefer 2 apply (*simp add: setsum-def*)
apply (*erule Finite-induct, auto*)
done

lemma *setsum-Un-Int*:
 [| *Finite*(*C*); *Finite*(*D*) |]
 ==> *setsum*(*g*, *C Un D*) \$+ *setsum*(*g*, *C Int D*)
 = *setsum*(*g*, *C*) \$+ *setsum*(*g*, *D*)
apply (*erule Finite-induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: Int-cons-right cons-absorb Un-cons Int-commute Finite-Un*
Int-lower1 [THEN subset-Finite])
done

lemma *setsum-type* [*simp,TC*]: *setsum*(*g*, *C*):*int*
apply (*case-tac Finite (C)*)
prefer 2 apply (*simp add: setsum-def*)
apply (*erule Finite-induct, auto*)
done

lemma *setsum-Un-disjoint*:
 [| *Finite*(*C*); *Finite*(*D*); *C Int D = 0* |]
 ==> *setsum*(*g*, *C Un D*) = *setsum*(*g*, *C*) \$+ *setsum*(*g*,*D*)
apply (*subst setsum-Un-Int [symmetric]*)
apply (*subgoal-tac [3] Finite (C Un D)*)
apply (*auto intro: Finite-Un*)
done

lemma *Finite-RepFun* [*rule-format (no-asm)*]:
Finite(*I*) ==> ($\forall i \in I. \text{Finite}(C(i))$) --> *Finite*(*RepFun*(*I*, *C*))
apply (*erule Finite-induct, auto*)
done

lemma *setsum-UN-disjoint* [*rule-format (no-asm)*]:
Finite(*I*)
 ==> ($\forall i \in I. \text{Finite}(C(i))$) -->
 ($\forall i \in I. \forall j \in I. i \neq j \text{ --> } C(i) \text{ Int } C(j) = 0$) -->
setsum(*f*, $\bigcup i \in I. C(i)$) = *setsum* (%*i*. *setsum*(*f*, *C*(*i*)), *I*)
apply (*erule Finite-induct, auto*)
apply (*subgoal-tac* $\forall i \in B. x \neq i$)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (*subgoal-tac* *C* (*x*) *Int* ($\bigcup i \in B. C(i) = 0$))
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (*subgoal-tac Finite* ($\bigcup i \in B. C(i)$) & *Finite* (*C* (*x*)) & *Finite* (*B*))
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: setsum-Un-disjoint*)
apply (*auto intro: Finite-Union Finite-RepFun*)
done

lemma *setsum-addf*: *setsum*(%*x*. *f*(*x*) \$+ *g*(*x*),*C*) = *setsum*(*f*, *C*) \$+ *setsum*(*g*,
C)
apply (*case-tac Finite (C)*)

prefer 2 apply (*simp add: setsum-def*)
apply (*erule Finite-induct, auto*)
done

lemma *fold-set-cong*:

$[[A=A'; B=B'; e=e'; (\forall x \in A'. \forall y \in B'. f(x,y) = f'(x,y))]]$
 $==> \text{fold-set}(A,B,f,e) = \text{fold-set}(A',B',f',e')$

apply (*simp add: fold-set-def*)

apply (*intro refl iff-refl lfp-cong Collect-cong disj-cong ex-cong, auto*)

done

lemma *fold-cong*:

$[[B=B'; A=A'; e=e';$
 $!!x y. [[x \in A'; y \in B']] ==> f(x,y) = f'(x,y)]]$ $==>$
 $\text{fold}[B](f,e,A) = \text{fold}[B'](f',e',A')$

apply (*simp add: fold-def*)

apply (*subst fold-set-cong*)

apply (*rule-tac [5] refl, simp-all*)

done

lemma *setsum-cong*:

$[[A=B; !!x. x \in B ==> f(x) = g(x)]]$ $==>$
 $\text{setsum}(f, A) = \text{setsum}(g, B)$

by (*simp add: setsum-def cong add: fold-cong*)

lemma *setsum-Un*:

$[[\text{Finite}(A); \text{Finite}(B)]]$
 $==> \text{setsum}(f, A \text{ Un } B) =$
 $\text{setsum}(f, A) \text{ \$+ } \text{setsum}(f, B) \text{ \$- } \text{setsum}(f, A \text{ Int } B)$

apply (*subst setsum-Un-Int [symmetric], auto*)

done

lemma *setsum-zneg-or-0* [*rule-format (no-asm)*]:

$\text{Finite}(A) ==> (\forall x \in A. g(x) \text{ \$<= } \#0) \text{ --> } \text{setsum}(g, A) \text{ \$<= } \#0$

apply (*erule Finite-induct*)

apply (*auto intro: zneg-or-0-add-zneg-or-0-imp-zneg-or-0*)

done

lemma *setsum-succD-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

$\text{Finite}(A)$
 $==> \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{setsum}(f,A) = \text{\$# succ}(n) \text{ --> } (\exists a \in A. \#0 \text{ \$< } f(a))$

apply (*erule Finite-induct*)

apply (*auto simp del: int-of-0 int-of-succ simp add: not-zless-iff-zle int-of-0 [symmetric]*)

apply (*subgoal-tac setsum (f, B) \text{ \\$<= } \#0*)

apply *simp-all*

prefer 2 apply (*blast intro: setsum-zneg-or-0*)

apply (*subgoal-tac \text{ \\$# } 1 \text{ \\$<= } f(x) \text{ \\$+ } \text{setsum}(f, B)*)

```

apply (drule zdiff-zle-iff [THEN iffD2])
apply (subgoal-tac $# 1 $<= $# 1 $- setsum (f,B) )
apply (drule-tac x = $# 1 in zle-trans)
apply (rule-tac [2] j = #1 in zless-zle-trans, auto)
done

```

lemma *setsum-succD*:

```

  [| setsum(f, A) = $# succ(n); n ∈ nat |] ==> ∃ a ∈ A. #0 $< f(a)
apply (case-tac Finite (A) )
apply (blast intro: setsum-succD-lemma)
apply (unfold setsum-def)
apply (auto simp del: int-of-0 int-of-succ simp add: int-succ-int-1 [symmetric]
  int-of-0 [symmetric])
done

```

lemma *g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos* [rule-format]:

```

  Finite(A) ==> (∀ x ∈ A. #0 $<= g(x)) --> #0 $<= setsum(g, A)
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (simp (no-asm))
apply (auto intro: zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos)
done

```

lemma *g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos2* [rule-format]:

```

  [| Finite(A); ∀ x. #0 $<= g(x) |] ==> #0 $<= setsum(g, A)
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (auto intro: zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos)
done

```

lemma *g-zspos-imp-setsum-zspos* [rule-format]:

```

  Finite(A)
  ==> (∀ x ∈ A. #0 $< g(x)) --> A ≠ 0 --> (#0 $< setsum(g, A))
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (auto intro: zspos-add-zspos-imp-zspos)
done

```

lemma *setsum-Diff* [rule-format]:

```

  Finite(A) ==> ∀ a. M(a) = #0 --> setsum(M, A) = setsum(M, A - {a})
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (simp-all add: Diff-cons-eq Finite-Diff)
done

```

ML

```

⟨⟨
  val fold-set-mono = thm fold-set-mono;
  val Diff1-fold-set = thm Diff1-fold-set;
  val Diff-sing-imp = thm Diff-sing-imp;
  val fold-0 = thm fold-0;
  val setsum-0 = thm setsum-0;
  val setsum-cons = thm setsum-cons;

```

```

val setsum-K0 = thm setsum-K0;
val setsum-Un-Int = thm setsum-Un-Int;
val setsum-type = thm setsum-type;
val setsum-Un-disjoint = thm setsum-Un-disjoint;
val Finite-RepFun = thm Finite-RepFun;
val setsum-UN-disjoint = thm setsum-UN-disjoint;
val setsum-addf = thm setsum-addf;
val fold-set-cong = thm fold-set-cong;
val fold-cong = thm fold-cong;
val setsum-cong = thm setsum-cong;
val setsum-Un = thm setsum-Un;
val setsum-zneg-or-0 = thm setsum-zneg-or-0;
val setsum-succD = thm setsum-succD;
val g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos = thm g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos;
val g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos2 = thm g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos2;
val g-zspos-imp-setsum-zspos = thm g-zspos-imp-setsum-zspos;
val setsum-Diff = thm setsum-Diff;
>>

```

end

8 The accessible part of a relation

theory *Acc* **imports** *Main* **begin**

Inductive definition of $acc(r)$; see [?].

consts

$acc :: i \Rightarrow i$

inductive

domains $acc(r) \subseteq field(r)$

intros

$image: [] r - \{a\}: Pow(acc(r)); a \in field(r) [] \implies a \in acc(r)$

monos $Pow-mono$

The introduction rule must require $a \in field(r)$, otherwise $acc(r)$ would be a proper class!

The intended introduction rule:

lemma $accI: [] !!b. \langle b, a \rangle : r \implies b \in acc(r); a \in field(r) [] \implies a \in acc(r)$

by (*blast intro: acc.intros*)

lemma $acc-downward: [] b \in acc(r); \langle a, b \rangle : r [] \implies a \in acc(r)$

by (*erule acc.cases blast*)

lemma $acc-induct [induct set: acc]:$

$[] a \in acc(r);$

```

    !!x. [| x ∈ acc(r); ∀ y. <y,x>:r --> P(y) |] ==> P(x)
  [| ==> P(a)
  by (erule acc.induct) (blast intro: acc.intros)

lemma wf-on-acc: wf[acc(r)](r)
  apply (rule wf-onI2)
  apply (erule acc-induct)
  apply fast
  done

lemma acc-wfI: field(r) ⊆ acc(r) ⇒ wf(r)
  by (erule wf-on-acc [THEN wf-on-subset-A, THEN wf-on-field-imp-wf])

lemma acc-wfD: wf(r) ==> field(r) ⊆ acc(r)
  apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (erule wf-induct2, assumption)
  apply (blast intro: accI)+
  done

lemma wf-acc-iff: wf(r) <-> field(r) ⊆ acc(r)
  by (rule iffI, erule acc-wfD, erule acc-wfI)

end

theory Multiset
imports FoldSet Acc
begin

consts

  Mult :: i=>i
translations
  Mult(A) => A -||> nat-{0}

constdefs

  funrestrict :: [i,i] => i
  funrestrict(f,A) == λx ∈ A. f·x

  multiset :: i => o
  multiset(M) == ∃ A. M ∈ A -> nat-{0} & Finite(A)

  mset-of :: i=>i
  mset-of(M) == domain(M)

```

munion :: [i, i] => i (**infixl** +# 65)
M +# *N* == $\lambda x \in \text{mset-of}(M) \cup \text{mset-of}(N).$
 if $x \in \text{mset-of}(M)$ Int $\text{mset-of}(N)$ then (*M*'*x*) #+ (*N*'*x*)
 else (if $x \in \text{mset-of}(M)$ then *M*'*x* else *N*'*x*)

normalize :: i => i
normalize(*f*) ==
 if ($\exists A. f \in A \rightarrow \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{Finite}(A)$) then
 funrestrict(*f*, { $x \in \text{mset-of}(f). 0 < f'x$ })
 else 0

mdiff :: [i, i] => i (**infixl** -# 65)
M -# *N* == *normalize*($\lambda x \in \text{mset-of}(M).$
 if $x \in \text{mset-of}(N)$ then *M*'*x* #- *N*'*x* else *M*'*x*)

msingle :: i => i ({#-#})
 {#*a*#} == {<*a*, 1>}

MCollect :: [i, i=>o] => i
MCollect(*M*, *P*) == *funrestrict*(*M*, { $x \in \text{mset-of}(M). P(x)$ })

mcount :: [i, i] => i
mcount(*M*, *a*) == if $a \in \text{mset-of}(M)$ then *M*'*a* else 0

msize :: i => i
msize(*M*) == *setsum*(%*a*. \$# *mcount*(*M*,*a*), *mset-of*(*M*))

syntax
melem :: [i,i] => o ((-/ :# -) [50, 51] 50)
 @*MColl* :: [pttrn, i, o] => i ((1{# - : -./ -#}))

syntax (*xsymbols*)
 @*MColl* :: [pttrn, i, o] => i ((1{# - ∈ -./ -#}))

translations
a :# *M* == $a \in \text{mset-of}(M)$
 {# $x \in M. P\#$ } == *MCollect*(*M*, %*x*. *P*)

constdefs

multirell :: [i,i] => i
multirell(*A*, *r*) ==

$\{ \langle M, N \rangle \in \text{Mult}(A) * \text{Mult}(A). \\
\exists a \in A. \exists M0 \in \text{Mult}(A). \exists K \in \text{Mult}(A). \\
N = M0 +\# \{ \# a \# \} \ \& \ M = M0 +\# K \ \& \ (\forall b \in \text{mset-of}(K). \langle b, a \rangle \in r) \}$

$\text{multirel} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \\
\text{multirel}(A, r) == \text{multirel1}(A, r) \hat{+}$

$\text{omultiset} :: i \Rightarrow o \\
\text{omultiset}(M) == \exists i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ M \in \text{Mult}(\text{field}(\text{Memrel}(i)))$

$\text{mless} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o \ (\text{infixl} \ <\# \ 50) \\
M \ <\# \ N == \exists i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ \langle M, N \rangle \in \text{multirel}(\text{field}(\text{Memrel}(i)), \text{Memrel}(i))$

$\text{mle} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o \ (\text{infixl} \ <\# = \ 50) \\
M \ <\# = \ N == (\text{omultiset}(M) \ \& \ M = N) \ | \ M \ <\# \ N$

8.1 Properties of the original "restrict" from ZF.thy

lemma *funrestrict-subset*: $[[f \in \text{Pi}(C, B); \ A \subseteq C \] \ ==> \ \text{funrestrict}(f, A) \subseteq f$
by (*auto simp add: funrestrict-def lam-def intro: apply-Pair*)

lemma *funrestrict-type*:
 $[[!!x. x \in A \ ==> \ f'x \in B(x) \] \ ==> \ \text{funrestrict}(f, A) \in \text{Pi}(A, B)$
by (*simp add: funrestrict-def lam-type*)

lemma *funrestrict-type2*: $[[f \in \text{Pi}(C, B); \ A \subseteq C \] \ ==> \ \text{funrestrict}(f, A) \in \text{Pi}(A, B)$
by (*blast intro: apply-type funrestrict-type*)

lemma *funrestrict [simp]*: $a \in A \ ==> \ \text{funrestrict}(f, A) \ 'a = f'a$
by (*simp add: funrestrict-def*)

lemma *funrestrict-empty [simp]*: $\text{funrestrict}(f, 0) = 0$
by (*simp add: funrestrict-def*)

lemma *domain-funrestrict [simp]*: $\text{domain}(\text{funrestrict}(f, C)) = C$
by (*auto simp add: funrestrict-def lam-def*)

lemma *fun-cons-funrestrict-eq*:
 $f \in \text{cons}(a, b) \ -> \ B \ ==> \ f = \text{cons}(\langle a, f \ 'a \rangle, \text{funrestrict}(f, b))$

apply (*rule equalityI*)

prefer 2 **apply** (*blast intro: apply-Pair funrestrict-subset [THEN subsetD]*)

apply (*auto dest!: Pi-memberD simp add: funrestrict-def lam-def*)

done

declare *domain-of-fun [simp]*

declare *domainE [rule del]*

A useful simplification rule

lemma *multiset-fun-iff*:
 $(f \in A \rightarrow \text{nat} - \{0\}) \leftrightarrow f \in A \rightarrow \text{nat} \& (\forall a \in A. f'a \in \text{nat} \& 0 < f'a)$
apply *safe*
apply (*rule-tac* [4] $B1 = \text{range}(f)$ **in** *Pi-mono* [*THEN subsetD*])
apply (*auto intro!*: *Ord-0-lt*
dest: apply-type Diff-subset [*THEN Pi-mono*, *THEN subsetD*]
simp add: range-of-fun apply-iff)
done

lemma *multiset-into-Mult*: $[\text{multiset}(M); \text{mset-of}(M) \subseteq A] \implies M \in \text{Mult}(A)$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)
apply (*auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff mset-of-def*)
apply (*rule-tac* $B1 = \text{nat} - \{0\}$ **in** *FiniteFun-mono* [*THEN subsetD*], *simp-all*)
apply (*rule Finite-into-Fin* [*THEN* [2] *Fin-mono* [*THEN subsetD*], *THEN fun-FiniteFunI*])
apply (*simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-fun-iff*)
done

lemma *Mult-into-multiset*: $M \in \text{Mult}(A) \implies \text{multiset}(M) \& \text{mset-of}(M) \subseteq A$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def*)
apply (*frule FiniteFun-is-fun*)
apply (*drule FiniteFun-domain-Fin*)
apply (*frule FinD, clarify*)
apply (*rule-tac* $x = \text{domain}(M)$ **in** *exI*)
apply (*blast intro: Fin-into-Finite*)
done

lemma *Mult-iff-multiset*: $M \in \text{Mult}(A) \leftrightarrow \text{multiset}(M) \& \text{mset-of}(M) \subseteq A$
by (*blast dest: Mult-into-multiset intro: multiset-into-Mult*)

lemma *multiset-iff-Mult-mset-of*: $\text{multiset}(M) \leftrightarrow M \in \text{Mult}(\text{mset-of}(M))$
by (*auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset*)

The *multiset* operator

lemma *multiset-0* [*simp*]: $\text{multiset}(0)$
by (*auto intro: FiniteFun.intros simp add: multiset-iff-Mult-mset-of*)

The *mset-of* operator

lemma *multiset-set-of-Finite* [*simp*]: $\text{multiset}(M) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{mset-of}(M))$
by (*simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def, auto*)

lemma *mset-of-0* [*iff*]: $\text{mset-of}(0) = 0$
by (*simp add: mset-of-def*)

lemma *mset-is-0-iff*: $\text{multiset}(M) \implies \text{mset-of}(M) = 0 \leftrightarrow M = 0$
by (*auto simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def*)

lemma *mset-of-single* [*iff*]: $\text{mset-of}(\{\#a\}) = \{a\}$
by (*simp add: msingle-def mset-of-def*)

lemma *mset-of-union* [iff]: $mset-of(M +\# N) = mset-of(M) \text{ Un } mset-of(N)$
by (*simp add: mset-of-def munion-def*)

lemma *mset-of-diff* [simp]: $mset-of(M) \subseteq A \implies mset-of(M -\# N) \subseteq A$
by (*auto simp add: mdiff-def multiset-def normalize-def mset-of-def*)

lemma *msingle-not-0* [iff]: $\{\#a\} \neq 0 \ \& \ 0 \neq \{\#a\}$
by (*simp add: msingle-def*)

lemma *msingle-eq-iff* [iff]: $(\{\#a\} = \{\#b\}) \iff (a = b)$
by (*simp add: msingle-def*)

lemma *msingle-multiset* [iff, TC]: $multiset(\{\#a\})$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def msingle-def*)
apply (*rule-tac x = \{a\} in exI*)
apply (*auto intro: Finite-cons Finite-0 fun-extend3*)
done

lemmas *Collect-Finite = Collect-subset* [THEN *subset-Finite, standard*]

lemma *normalize-idem* [simp]: $normalize(normalize(f)) = normalize(f)$
apply (*simp add: normalize-def funrestrict-def mset-of-def*)
apply (*case-tac $\exists A. f \in A \rightarrow nat \ \& \ Finite(A)$*)
apply *clarify*
apply (*drule-tac $x = \{x \in domain(f) . 0 < f'x\}$ in spec*)
apply *auto*
apply (*auto intro!: lam-type simp add: Collect-Finite*)
done

lemma *normalize-multiset* [simp]: $multiset(M) \implies normalize(M) = M$
by (*auto simp add: multiset-def normalize-def mset-of-def funrestrict-def multiset-fun-iff*)

lemma *multiset-normalize* [simp]: $multiset(normalize(f))$
apply (*simp add: normalize-def*)
apply (*simp add: normalize-def mset-of-def multiset-def, auto*)
apply (*rule-tac $x = \{x \in A . 0 < f'x\}$ in exI*)
apply (*auto intro: Collect-subset [THEN subset-Finite] funrestrict-type*)
done

lemma *munion-multiset* [simp]: $[\![\ multiset(M); \ multiset(N) \]\!] \implies \ multiset(M)$

```

+# N)
apply (unfold multiset-def munion-def mset-of-def, auto)
apply (rule-tac x = A Un Aa in exI)
apply (auto intro!: lam-type intro: Finite-Un simp add: multiset-fun-iff zero-less-add)
done

```

```

lemma mdiff-multiset [simp]: multiset(M -# N)
by (simp add: mdiff-def)

```

```

lemma munion-0 [simp]: multiset(M) ==> M +# 0 = M & 0 +# M = M
apply (simp add: multiset-def)
apply (auto simp add: munion-def mset-of-def)
done

```

```

lemma munion-commute: M +# N = N +# M
by (auto intro!: lam-cong simp add: munion-def)

```

```

lemma munion-assoc: (M +# N) +# K = M +# (N +# K)
apply (unfold munion-def mset-of-def)
apply (rule lam-cong, auto)
done

```

```

lemma munion-lcommute: M +# (N +# K) = N +# (M +# K)
apply (unfold munion-def mset-of-def)
apply (rule lam-cong, auto)
done

```

lemmas munion-ac = munion-commute munion-assoc munion-lcommute

```

lemma mdiff-self-eq-0 [simp]: M -# M = 0
by (simp add: mdiff-def normalize-def mset-of-def)

```

```

lemma mdiff-0 [simp]: 0 -# M = 0
by (simp add: mdiff-def normalize-def)

```

```

lemma mdiff-0-right [simp]: multiset(M) ==> M -# 0 = M
by (auto simp add: multiset-def mdiff-def normalize-def multiset-fun-iff mset-of-def
funrestrict-def)

```

```

lemma mdiff-union-inverse2 [simp]: multiset(M) ==> M +# {#a#} -# {#a#}
= M

```

apply (*unfold multiset-def munion-def mdiff-def msingle-def normalize-def mset-of-def*)
apply (*auto cong add: if-cong simp add: ltD multiset-fun-iff funrestrict-def subset-Un-iff2*
[THEN iffD1])
prefer 2 **apply** (*force intro!: lam-type*)
apply (*subgoal-tac [2] {x ∈ A ∪ {a} . x ≠ a ∧ x ∈ A} = A*)
apply (*rule fun-extension, auto*)
apply (*drule-tac x = A Un {a} in spec*)
apply (*simp add: Finite-Un*)
apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)
done

lemma *mcoun-type [simp,TC]: multiset(M) ==> mcoun(M, a) ∈ nat*
by (*auto simp add: multiset-def mcoun-def mset-of-def multiset-fun-iff*)

lemma *mcoun-0 [simp]: mcoun(0, a) = 0*
by (*simp add: mcoun-def*)

lemma *mcoun-single [simp]: mcoun({#b#}, a) = (if a=b then 1 else 0)*
by (*simp add: mcoun-def mset-of-def msingle-def*)

lemma *mcoun-union [simp]: [| multiset(M); multiset(N) |]
==> mcoun(M +# N, a) = mcoun(M, a) #+ mcoun(N, a)*
apply (*auto simp add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff mcoun-def munion-def mset-of-def*)
done

lemma *mcoun-diff [simp]:
multiset(M) ==> mcoun(M -# N, a) = mcoun(M, a) #- mcoun(N, a)*
apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)
apply (*auto dest!: not-lt-imp-le*)
simp add: mdiff-def multiset-fun-iff mcoun-def normalize-def mset-of-def
apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)
apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)
done

lemma *mcoun-elim: [| multiset(M); a ∈ mset-of(M) |] ==> 0 < mcoun(M, a)*
apply (*simp add: multiset-def, clarify*)
apply (*simp add: mcoun-def mset-of-def*)
apply (*simp add: multiset-fun-iff*)
done

lemma *msize-0 [simp]: msize(0) = #0*
by (*simp add: msize-def*)

lemma *msize-single [simp]: msize({#a#}) = #1*
by (*simp add: msize-def*)

```

lemma msize-type [simp,TC]:  $msize(M) \in int$ 
by (simp add: msize-def)

lemma msize-zpositive:  $multiset(M) ==> \#0 \leq msize(M)$ 
by (auto simp add: msize-def intro: g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos)

lemma msize-int-of-nat:  $multiset(M) ==> \exists n \in nat. msize(M) = \#n$ 
apply (rule not-zneg-int-of)
apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: msize-type [THEN znegative-iff-zless-0] not-zless-iff-zle msize-zpositive)
done

lemma not-empty-multiset-imp-exist:
  [|  $M \neq 0$ ;  $multiset(M)$  |] ==>  $\exists a \in mset-of(M). 0 < mcount(M, a)$ 
apply (simp add: multiset-def)
apply (erule not-emptyE)
apply (auto simp add: mset-of-def mcount-def multiset-fun-iff)
apply (blast dest!: fun-is-rel)
done

lemma msize-eq-0-iff:  $multiset(M) ==> msize(M) = \#0 \leftrightarrow M = 0$ 
apply (simp add: msize-def, auto)
apply (rule-tac Pa = setsum (?u,?v)  $\neq \#0$  in swap)
apply blast
apply (drule not-empty-multiset-imp-exist, assumption, clarify)
apply (subgoal-tac Finite (mset-of (M) - {a}))
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: Finite-Diff)
apply (subgoal-tac setsum (%x.  $\#mcount(M, x)$ , cons (a, mset-of (M) - {a})) =  $\#0$ )
  prefer 2 apply (simp add: cons-Diff, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\#0 \leq setsum (%x.  $\#mcount(M, x)$ , mset-of (M) - {a})$ )
)
apply (rule-tac [2] g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos)
apply (auto simp add: Finite-Diff not-zless-iff-zle [THEN iff-sym] znegative-iff-zless-0 [THEN iff-sym])
apply (rule not-zneg-int-of [THEN bexE])
apply (auto simp del: int-of-0 simp add: int-of-add [symmetric] int-of-0 [symmetric])
done

lemma setsum-mcount-Int:
   $Finite(A) ==> setsum(\%a. \#mcount(N, a), A Int mset-of(N))$ 
   $= setsum(\%a. \#mcount(N, a), A)$ 
apply (erule Finite-induct, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac Finite (B Int mset-of (N)))
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: subset-Finite)
apply (auto simp add: mcount-def Int-cons-left)
done

lemma msize-union [simp]:

```

```

  [| multiset(M); multiset(N) |] ==> msize(M +# N) = msize(M) $+ msize(N)
apply (simp add: msize-def setsum-Un setsum-addf int-of-add setsum-mcount-Int)
apply (subst Int-commute)
apply (simp add: setsum-mcount-Int)
done

```

```

lemma msize-eq-succ-imp-lem: [| msize(M) = $# succ(n); n ∈ nat |] ==> ∃ a. a
  ∈ mset-of(M)
apply (unfold msize-def)
apply (blast dest: setsum-succD)
done

```

```

lemma equality-lemma:
  [| multiset(M); multiset(N); ∀ a. mcount(M, a) = mcount(N, a) |]
  ==> mset-of(M) = mset-of(N)
apply (simp add: multiset-def)
apply (rule sym, rule equalityI)
apply (auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff mcount-def mset-of-def)
apply (drule-tac [!] x=x in spec)
apply (case-tac [2] x ∈ Aa, case-tac x ∈ A, auto)
done

```

```

lemma multiset-equality:
  [| multiset(M); multiset(N) |] ==> M = N <-> (∀ a. mcount(M, a) = mcount(N,
  a))
apply auto
apply (subgoal-tac mset-of (M) = mset-of (N) )
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: equality-lemma)
apply (simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def)
apply (auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff)
apply (rule fun-extension)
apply (blast, blast)
apply (drule-tac x = x in spec)
apply (auto simp add: mcount-def mset-of-def)
done

```

```

lemma munion-eq-0-iff [simp]: [| multiset(M); multiset(N) |] ==> (M +# N = 0)
  <-> (M = 0 & N = 0)
by (auto simp add: multiset-equality)

```

```

lemma empty-eq-munion-iff [simp]: [| multiset(M); multiset(N) |] ==> (0 = M +#
  N) <-> (M = 0 & N = 0)
apply (rule iffI, drule sym)
apply (simp-all add: multiset-equality)
done

```

lemma *munion-right-cancel* [*simp*]:
 $[[\text{multiset}(M); \text{multiset}(N); \text{multiset}(K)]] \implies (M +\# K = N +\# K) \leftrightarrow (M = N)$
by (*auto simp add: multiset-equality*)

lemma *munion-left-cancel* [*simp*]:
 $[[\text{multiset}(K); \text{multiset}(M); \text{multiset}(N)]] \implies (K +\# M = K +\# N) \leftrightarrow (M = N)$
by (*auto simp add: multiset-equality*)

lemma *nat-add-eq-1-cases*: $[[m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat}]] \implies (m \#+ n = 1) \leftrightarrow (m=1 \ \& \ n=0) \mid (m=0 \ \& \ n=1)$
by (*induct-tac n, auto*)

lemma *munion-is-single*:
 $[[\text{multiset}(M); \text{multiset}(N)]] \implies (M +\# N = \{\#a\}) \leftrightarrow (M = \{\#a\} \ \& \ N = 0) \mid (M = 0 \ \& \ N = \{\#a\})$
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-equality*)
apply *safe*
apply *simp-all*
apply (*case-tac aa=a*)
apply (*drule-tac [2] x = aa in spec*)
apply (*drule-tac x = a in spec*)
apply (*simp add: nat-add-eq-1-cases, simp*)
apply (*case-tac aaa=aa, simp*)
apply (*drule-tac x = aa in spec*)
apply (*simp add: nat-add-eq-1-cases*)
apply (*case-tac aaa=a*)
apply (*drule-tac [4] x = aa in spec*)
apply (*drule-tac [3] x = a in spec*)
apply (*drule-tac [2] x = aaa in spec*)
apply (*drule-tac x = aa in spec*)
apply (*simp-all add: nat-add-eq-1-cases*)
done

lemma *msingle-is-union*: $[[\text{multiset}(M); \text{multiset}(N)]] \implies (\{\#a\} = M +\# N) \leftrightarrow (\{\#a\} = M \ \& \ N=0 \mid M = 0 \ \& \ \{\#a\} = N)$
apply (*subgoal-tac (\{\#a\} = M +\# N) \leftrightarrow (M +\# N = \{\#a\})*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: munion-is-single*)
apply *blast*
apply (*blast dest: sym*)
done

lemma *setsum-decr*:
 $\text{Finite}(A)$

```

==> (∀ M. multiset(M) -->
(∀ a ∈ mset-of(M). setsum(%z. $# mcount(M(a:=M'a #- 1), z), A) =
(if a ∈ A then setsum(%z. $# mcount(M, z), A) #- #1
else setsum(%z. $# mcount(M, z), A))))
apply (unfold multiset-def)
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff)
apply (unfold mset-of-def mcount-def)
apply (case-tac x ∈ A, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac $# M ' x $+ #-1 = $# M ' x $- $# 1)
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (rule int-of-diff, auto)
done

```

lemma *setsum-decr2*:

```

Finite(A)
==> ∀ M. multiset(M) --> (∀ a ∈ mset-of(M).
setsum(%x. $# mcount(funrestrict(M, mset-of(M)-{a}), x), A) =
(if a ∈ A then setsum(%x. $# mcount(M, x), A) #- $# M'a
else setsum(%x. $# mcount(M, x), A)))
apply (simp add: multiset-def)
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff mcount-def mset-of-def)
done

```

lemma *setsum-decr3*: $[[\text{Finite}(A); \text{multiset}(M); a \in \text{mset-of}(M)]]$

```

==> setsum(%x. $# mcount(funrestrict(M, mset-of(M)-{a}), x), A - {a})
=
(if a ∈ A then setsum(%x. $# mcount(M, x), A) #- $# M'a
else setsum(%x. $# mcount(M, x), A))
apply (subgoal-tac setsum (%x. $# mcount (funrestrict (M, mset-of (M) -{a}),x),A-{a})
= setsum (%x. $# mcount (funrestrict (M, mset-of (M) -{a}),x),A) )
apply (rule-tac [2] setsum-Diff [symmetric])
apply (rule sym, rule ssubst, blast)
apply (rule sym, drule setsum-decr2, auto)
apply (simp add: mcount-def mset-of-def)
done

```

lemma *nat-le-1-cases*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq 1 \iff (n=0 \mid n=1)$

by (auto elim: natE)

lemma *succ-pred-eq-self*: $[[0 < n; n \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{succ}(n \text{ #- } 1) = n$

```

apply (subgoal-tac 1 le n)
apply (drule add-diff-inverse2, auto)
done

```

Specialized for use in the proof below.

lemma *multiset-funrestrict*:

```

 $[[ \forall a \in A. M ' a \in \text{nat} \wedge 0 < M ' a; \text{Finite}(A) ]]$ 

```

```

    ==> multiset(funrestrict(M, A - {a}))
  apply (simp add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff)
  apply (rule-tac x=A-{a} in exI)
  apply (auto intro: Finite-Diff funrestrict-type)
done

lemma multiset-induct-aux:
  assumes prem1: !!M a. [| multiset(M); a∉mset-of(M); P(M) |] ==> P(cons(<a,
1>, M))
  and prem2: !!M b. [| multiset(M); b ∈ mset-of(M); P(M) |] ==> P(M(b:=
M'b #+ 1))
  shows
    [| n ∈ nat; P(0) |]
    ==> (∀ M. multiset(M) -->
      (setsum(%x. $# mcount(M, x), {x ∈ mset-of(M). 0 < M'x}) = $# n) -->
      P(M))
  apply (erule nat-induct, clarify)
  apply (frule msize-eq-0-iff)
  apply (auto simp add: mset-of-def multiset-def multiset-fun-iff msize-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac setsum (%x. $# mcount (M, x), A) = $# succ (x) )
  apply (drule setsum-succD, auto)
  apply (case-tac 1 <M'a)
  apply (drule-tac [2] not-lt-imp-le)
  apply (simp-all add: nat-le-1-cases)
  apply (subgoal-tac M = (M (a:=M'a #- 1)) (a:= (M (a:=M'a #- 1))'a #+ 1)
)
  apply (rule-tac [2] A = A and B = %x. nat and D = %x. nat in fun-extension)
  apply (rule-tac [3] update-type)+
  apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp))
  apply (rule-tac [2] impI)
  apply (rule-tac [2] succ-pred-eq-self [symmetric])
  apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp))
  apply (rule subst, rule sym, blast, rule prem2)
  apply (simp (no-asm) add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff)
  apply (rule-tac x = A in exI)
  apply (force intro: update-type)
  apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: mset-of-def mcount-def)
  apply (drule-tac x = M (a := M ' a #- 1) in spec)
  apply (drule mp, drule-tac [2] mp, simp-all)
  apply (rule-tac x = A in exI)
  apply (auto intro: update-type)
  apply (subgoal-tac Finite ({x ∈ cons (a, A) . x≠a --> 0 < M'x}) )
  prefer 2 apply (blast intro: Collect-subset [THEN subset-Finite] Finite-cons)
  apply (drule-tac A = {x ∈ cons (a, A) . x≠a --> 0 < M'x} in setsum-decr)
  apply (drule-tac x = M in spec)
  apply (subgoal-tac multiset (M) )
  prefer 2
  apply (simp add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff)
  apply (rule-tac x = A in exI, force)

```

```

apply (simp-all add: mset-of-def)
apply (drule-tac psi =  $\forall x \in A. ?u(x)$  in asm-rl)
apply (drule-tac x = a in bspec)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (subgoal-tac cons (a, A) = A)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac M=cons (<a, M'a>, funrestrict (M, A-{a})))
prefer 2
apply (rule fun-cons-funrestrict-eq)
apply (subgoal-tac cons (a, A-{a}) = A)
apply force
apply force
apply (rule-tac a = cons (<a, 1>, funrestrict (M, A - {a})) in ssubst)
apply simp
apply (frule multiset-funrestrict, assumption)
apply (rule prem1, assumption)
apply (simp add: mset-of-def)
apply (drule-tac x = funrestrict (M, A-{a}) in spec)
apply (drule mp)
apply (rule-tac x = A-{a} in exI)
apply (auto intro: Finite-Diff funrestrict-type simp add: funrestrict)
apply (frule-tac A = A and M = M and a = a in setsum-decr3)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff)
apply blast
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: mset-of-def)
apply (drule-tac b = if ?u then ?v else ?w in sym, simp-all)
apply (subgoal-tac  $\{x \in A - \{a\} . 0 < funrestrict (M, A - \{x\}) 'x\} = A - \{a\}$ )
apply (auto intro!: setsum-cong simp add: zdiff-eq-iff zadd-commute multiset-def multiset-fun-iff mset-of-def)
done

```

lemma *multiset-induct2:*

```

[[ multiset(M); P(0);
  (!!M a. [[ multiset(M); anotin mset-of(M); P(M) ]] ==> P(cons(<a, 1>, M)));
  (!!M b. [[ multiset(M); b in mset-of(M); P(M) ]] ==> P(M(b:= M'b #+ 1)))
]]
==> P(M)

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac  $\exists n \in nat. setsum (\lambda x. \$\# mcount (M, x), \{x \in mset-of (M) . 0 < M 'x\}) = \$\# n$ )

```

```

apply (rule-tac [2] not-zneg-int-of)

```

```

apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: znegative-iff-zless-0 not-zless-iff-zle)

```

```

apply (rule-tac [2] g-zpos-imp-setsum-zpos)

```

```

prefer 2 apply (blast intro: multiset-set-of-Finite Collect-subset [THEN subset-Finite])

```

```

prefer 2 apply (simp add: multiset-def multiset-fun-iff, clarify)

```

```

apply (rule multiset-induct-aux [rule-format], auto)

```

```

done

```

lemma *munion-single-case1*:

```
  [| multiset(M); a ∉ mset-of(M) |] ==> M +# {#a#} = cons(<a, 1>, M)
apply (simp add: multiset-def msingle-def)
apply (auto simp add: munion-def)
apply (unfold mset-of-def, simp)
apply (rule fun-extension, rule lam-type, simp-all)
apply (auto simp add: multiset-fun-iff fun-extend-apply)
apply (drule-tac c = a and b = 1 in fun-extend3)
apply (auto simp add: cons-eq Un-commute [of - {a}])
done
```

lemma *munion-single-case2*:

```
  [| multiset(M); a ∈ mset-of(M) |] ==> M +# {#a#} = M(a:=M'a #+ 1)
apply (simp add: multiset-def)
apply (auto simp add: munion-def multiset-fun-iff msingle-def)
apply (unfold mset-of-def, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac A Un {a} = A)
apply (rule fun-extension)
apply (auto dest: domain-type intro: lam-type update-type)
done
```

lemma *multiset-induct*:

```
  assumes M: multiset(M)
    and P0: P(0)
    and step: !!M a. [| multiset(M); P(M) |] ==> P(M +# {#a#})
  shows P(M)
apply (rule multiset-induct2 [OF M])
apply (simp-all add: P0)
apply (frule-tac [2] a1 = b in munion-single-case2 [symmetric])
apply (frule-tac a1 = a in munion-single-case1 [symmetric])
apply (auto intro: step)
done
```

lemma *MCollect-multiset* [simp]:

```
  multiset(M) ==> multiset({# x ∈ M. P(x)#})
apply (simp add: MCollect-def multiset-def mset-of-def, clarify)
apply (rule-tac x = {x ∈ A. P(x)} in exI)
apply (auto dest: CollectD1 [THEN [2] apply-type]
    intro: Collect-subset [THEN subset-Finite] funrestrict-type)
done
```

lemma *mset-of-MCollect* [simp]:

```
  multiset(M) ==> mset-of({# x ∈ M. P(x) #}) ⊆ mset-of(M)
by (auto simp add: mset-of-def MCollect-def multiset-def funrestrict-def)
```

lemma *MCollect-mem-iff* [iff]:

$x \in \text{mset-of}(\{\#x \in M. P(x)\#}) \leftrightarrow x \in \text{mset-of}(M) \ \& \ P(x)$

by (*simp add: MCollect-def mset-of-def*)

lemma *mcount-MCollect* [simp]:

$\text{mcount}(\{\#x \in M. P(x)\#}, a) = (\text{if } P(a) \text{ then } \text{mcount}(M, a) \text{ else } 0)$

by (*simp add: mcount-def MCollect-def mset-of-def*)

lemma *multiset-partition*: $\text{multiset}(M) \implies M = \{\#x \in M. P(x)\#} +\# \{\#x \in M. \sim P(x)\#}$

by (*simp add: multiset-equality*)

lemma *natify-elem-is-self* [simp]:

$[\![\text{multiset}(M); a \in \text{mset-of}(M)]\!] \implies \text{natify}(M'a) = M'a$

by (*auto simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def multiset-fun-iff*)

lemma *munion-eq-conv-diff*: $[\![\text{multiset}(M); \text{multiset}(N)]\!] \implies$

$(M +\# \{\#a\#} = N +\# \{\#b\#}) \leftrightarrow (M = N \ \& \ a = b \mid$

$M = N -\# \{\#a\#} +\# \{\#b\#} \ \& \ N = M -\# \{\#b\#} +\# \{\#a\#})$

apply (*simp del: mcount-single add: multiset-equality*)

apply (*rule iffI, erule-tac [2] disjE, erule-tac [3] conjE*)

apply (*case-tac a=b, auto*)

apply (*drule-tac x = a in spec*)

apply (*drule-tac [2] x = b in spec*)

apply (*drule-tac [3] x = aa in spec*)

apply (*drule-tac [4] x = a in spec, auto*)

apply (*subgoal-tac [!] mcount (N, a) :nat*)

apply (*erule-tac [3] natE, erule natE, auto*)

done

lemma *melem-diff-single*:

$\text{multiset}(M) \implies$

$k \in \text{mset-of}(M -\# \{\#a\#}) \leftrightarrow (k=a \ \& \ 1 < \text{mcount}(M, a)) \mid (k \neq a \ \& \ k \in \text{mset-of}(M))$

apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)

apply (*simp add: normalize-def mset-of-def msingle-def mdiff-def mcount-def*)

apply (*auto dest: domain-type intro: zero-less-diff [THEN iffD1]*)

simp add: multiset-fun-iff apply-iff)

apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)

apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)

apply (*force intro!: lam-type*)

done

lemma *munion-eq-conv-exist*:

$[\![M \in \text{Mult}(A); N \in \text{Mult}(A)]\!] \implies$

$(M +\# \{\#a\#} = N +\# \{\#b\#}) \leftrightarrow$

$(M=N \ \& \ a=b \mid (\exists K \in \text{Mult}(A). M=K +\# \{\#b\#} \ \& \ N=K +\# \{\#a\#}))$

by (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset melem-diff-single munion-eq-conv-diff)

8.2 Multiset Orderings

lemma *multirel1-type*: $\text{multirel1}(A, r) \subseteq \text{Mult}(A) * \text{Mult}(A)$

by (auto simp add: multirel1-def)

lemma *multirel1-0* [simp]: $\text{multirel1}(0, r) = 0$

by (auto simp add: multirel1-def)

lemma *multirel1-iff*:

$\langle N, M \rangle \in \text{multirel1}(A, r) \iff$
 $(\exists a. a \in A \ \&$
 $(\exists M0. M0 \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ (\exists K. K \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \&$
 $M = M0 \ +\# \ \{\#a\# \} \ \& \ N = M0 \ +\# \ K \ \& \ (\forall b \in \text{mset-of}(K). \langle b, a \rangle \in r)))$

by (auto simp add: multirel1-def Mult-iff-multiset Bex-def)

Monotonicity of *multirel1*

lemma *multirel1-mono1*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{multirel1}(A, r) \subseteq \text{multirel1}(B, r)$

apply (auto simp add: multirel1-def)

apply (auto simp add: Un-subset-iff Mult-iff-multiset)

apply (rule-tac $x = a$ in *bexI*)

apply (rule-tac $x = M0$ in *bexI*, *simp*)

apply (rule-tac $x = K$ in *bexI*)

apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)

done

lemma *multirel1-mono2*: $r \subseteq s \implies \text{multirel1}(A, r) \subseteq \text{multirel1}(A, s)$

apply (simp add: multirel1-def, auto)

apply (rule-tac $x = a$ in *bexI*)

apply (rule-tac $x = M0$ in *bexI*)

apply (simp-all add: Mult-iff-multiset)

apply (rule-tac $x = K$ in *bexI*)

apply (simp-all add: Mult-iff-multiset, auto)

done

lemma *multirel1-mono*:

$[\![\ A \subseteq B; \ r \subseteq s \]\!] \implies \text{multirel1}(A, r) \subseteq \text{multirel1}(B, s)$

apply (rule subset-trans)

apply (rule *multirel1-mono1*)

apply (rule-tac [2] *multirel1-mono2*, auto)

done

8.3 Toward the proof of well-foundedness of *multirel1*

lemma *not-less-0* [iff]: $\langle M, 0 \rangle \notin \text{multirel1}(A, r)$

by (auto simp add: multirel1-def Mult-iff-multiset)

lemma *less-munion*: $[\![\ \langle N, M0 \ +\# \ \{\#a\# \} \rangle \in \text{multirel1}(A, r); \ M0 \in \text{Mult}(A) \]\!] \implies$

```

  (∃ M. <M, M0> ∈ multirel1(A, r) & N = M +# {#a#}) |
  (∃ K. K ∈ Mult(A) & (∀ b ∈ mset-of(K). <b, a> ∈ r) & N = M0 +# K)
apply (frule multirel1-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (simp add: multirel1-iff)
apply (auto simp add: munion-eq-conv-exist)
apply (rule-tac x=Ka +# K in exI, auto, simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: munion-left-cancel munion-assoc)
apply (auto simp add: munion-commute)
done

```

```

lemma multirel1-base: [| M ∈ Mult(A); a ∈ A |] ==> <M, M +# {#a#}> ∈
multirel1(A, r)
apply (auto simp add: multirel1-iff)
apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (rule-tac x = a in exI, clarify)
apply (rule-tac x = M in exI, simp)
apply (rule-tac x = 0 in exI, auto)
done

```

```

lemma acc-0: acc(0)=0
by (auto intro!: equalityI dest: acc.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])

```

```

lemma lemma1: [| ∀ b ∈ A. <b,a> ∈ r -->
  (∀ M ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)). M +# {#b#}:acc(multirel1(A, r)));
  M0 ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)); a ∈ A;
  ∀ M. <M,M0> ∈ multirel1(A, r) --> M +# {#a#} ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r))
|]
==> M0 +# {#a#} ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r))
apply (subgoal-tac M0 ∈ Mult(A) )
prefer 2
apply (erule acc.cases)
apply (erule fieldE)
apply (auto dest: multirel1-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (rule accI)
apply (rename-tac N)
apply (drule less-munion, blast)
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (erule-tac P = ∀ x ∈ mset-of (K) . <x, a> ∈ r in rev-mp)
apply (erule-tac P = mset-of (K) ⊆ A in rev-mp)
apply (erule-tac M = K in multiset-induct)

```

```

apply (simp (no-asm-simp))

```

```

apply (simp add: Ball-def Un-subset-iff, clarify)
apply (drule-tac x = aa in spec, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac aa ∈ A)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply (drule-tac x = M0 +# M and P =

```

```

    %x. x ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)) → ?Q(x) in spec
apply (simp add: munion-assoc [symmetric])

apply (auto intro!: multirel1-base [THEN fieldI2] simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

lemma lemma2: [| ∀ b ∈ A. <b,a> ∈ r
  --> (∀ M ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)). M +# {#b#} : acc(multirel1(A, r)));
  M ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)); a ∈ A] ==> M +# {#a#} ∈ acc(multirel1(A,
r))
apply (erule acc-induct)
apply (blast intro: lemma1)
done

lemma lemma3: [| wf[A](r); a ∈ A ]
  ==> ∀ M ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r)). M +# {#a#} ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r))
apply (erule-tac a = a in wf-on-induct, blast)
apply (blast intro: lemma2)
done

lemma lemma4: multiset(M) ==> mset-of(M) ⊆ A -->
  wf[A](r) --> M ∈ field(multirel1(A, r)) --> M ∈ acc(multirel1(A, r))
apply (erule multiset-induct)

apply clarify
apply (rule accI, force)
apply (simp add: multirel1-def)

apply clarify
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac mset-of (M) ⊆ A)
prefer 2 apply blast
apply clarify
apply (erule-tac a = a in lemma3, blast)
apply (subgoal-tac M ∈ field (multirel1 (A,r)))
apply blast
apply (rule multirel1-base [THEN fieldI1])
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

lemma all-accessible: [| wf[A](r); M ∈ Mult(A); A ≠ 0] ==> M ∈ acc(multirel1(A,
r))
apply (erule not-emptyE)
apply (rule lemma4 [THEN mp, THEN mp, THEN mp])
apply (rule-tac [4] multirel1-base [THEN fieldI1])
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

lemma wf-on-multirel1: wf[A](r) ==> wf[A-||>nat-{0}](multirel1(A, r))

```

```

apply (case-tac A=0)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rule wf-imp-wf-on)
apply (rule wf-on-field-imp-wf)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: wf-on-0)
apply (rule-tac A = acc (multirel1 (A,r)) in wf-on-subset-A)
apply (rule wf-on-acc)
apply (blast intro: all-accessible)
done

```

```

lemma wf-multirel1: wf(r) ==> wf(multirel1 (field(r), r))
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: wf-iff-wf-on-field)
apply (drule wf-on-multirel1)
apply (rule-tac A = field (r) -||> nat - {0} in wf-on-subset-A)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rule field-rel-subset)
apply (rule multirel1-type)
done

```

```

lemma multirel-type: multirel(A, r)  $\subseteq$  Mult(A)*Mult(A)
apply (simp add: multirel-def)
apply (rule trancl-type [THEN subset-trans])
apply (auto dest: multirel1-type [THEN subsetD])
done

```

```

lemma multirel-mono:
  [| A $\subseteq$ B; r $\subseteq$ s |] ==> multirel(A, r) $\subseteq$ multirel(B,s)
apply (simp add: multirel-def)
apply (rule trancl-mono)
apply (rule multirel1-mono, auto)
done

```

```

lemma add-diff-eq: k  $\in$  nat ==> 0 < k --> n #+ k #- 1 = n #+ (k #- 1)
by (erule nat-induct, auto)

```

```

lemma mdiff-union-single-conv: [| a  $\in$  mset-of(J); multiset(I); multiset(J) |]
  ==> I +# J -# {#a#} = I +# (J -# {#a#})
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-equality)
apply (case-tac a  $\notin$  mset-of (I) )
apply (auto simp add: mcount-def mset-of-def multiset-def multiset-fun-iff)
apply (auto dest: domain-type simp add: add-diff-eq)
done

```

```

lemma diff-add-commute: [| n le m; m  $\in$  nat; n  $\in$  nat; k  $\in$  nat |] ==> m #-

```

$n \# + k = m \# + k \# - n$
by (*auto simp add: le-iff less-iff-succ-add*)

lemma *multirel-implies-one-step*:

$\langle M, N \rangle \in \text{multirel}(A, r) \implies$
 $\text{trans}[A](r) \dashrightarrow$
 $(\exists I J K.$
 $I \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ J \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ K \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \&$
 $N = I \# + J \ \& \ M = I \# + K \ \& \ J \neq 0 \ \&$
 $(\forall k \in \text{mset-of}(K). \exists j \in \text{mset-of}(J). \langle k, j \rangle \in r))$
apply (*simp add: multirel-def Ball-def Bex-def*)
apply (*erule converse-trancl-induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: multirel1-iff Mult-iff-multiset*)

apply *clarify*
apply (*rule-tac x = M0 in exI, force*)

apply *clarify*
apply (*case-tac a \in mset-of (Ka)*)
apply (*rule-tac x = I in exI, simp (no-asm-simp)*)
apply (*rule-tac x = J in exI, simp (no-asm-simp)*)
apply (*rule-tac x = (Ka -# {#a#}) +# K in exI, simp (no-asm-simp)*)
apply (*simp-all add: Un-subset-iff*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: munion-assoc [symmetric]*)
apply (*drule-tac t = %M. M -# {#a#} in subst-context*)
apply (*simp add: mdiff-union-single-conv melem-diff-single, clarify*)
apply (*erule disjE, simp*)
apply (*erule disjE, simp*)
apply (*drule-tac x = a and P = %x. x :# Ka \longrightarrow ?Q(x) in spec*)
apply *clarify*
apply (*rule-tac x = xa in exI*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp)*)
apply (*blast dest: trans-onD*)

apply (*subgoal-tac a :# I*)
apply (*rule-tac x = I -# {#a#} in exI, simp (no-asm-simp)*)
apply (*rule-tac x = J +# {#a#} in exI*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: Un-subset-iff*)
apply (*rule-tac x = Ka +# K in exI*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: Un-subset-iff*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-equality mcount-elem [THEN succ-pred-eq-self]*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply (*drule-tac t = %M. M -# {#a#} in subst-context*)
apply (*simp add: mdiff-union-inverse2*)
apply (*simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: multiset-equality*)

```

apply (rule diff-add-commute [symmetric])
apply (auto intro: mcount-elem)
apply (subgoal-tac  $a \in \text{mset-of } (I +\# Ka)$  )
apply (drule-tac [2] sym, auto)
done

```

```

lemma melem-imp-eq-diff-union [simp]: [ $a \in \text{mset-of}(M)$ ;  $\text{multiset}(M)$ ] ==>
 $M -\# \{\#a\} +\# \{\#a\} = M$ 
by (simp add: multiset-equality mcount-elem [THEN succ-pred-eq-self])

```

```

lemma msize-eq-succ-imp-eq-union:
  [ $\text{msize}(M)=\#\text{succ}(n)$ ;  $M \in \text{Mult}(A)$ ;  $n \in \text{nat}$ ]
  ==>  $\exists a N. M = N +\# \{\#a\} \ \& \ N \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ a \in A$ 
apply (drule msize-eq-succ-imp-elem, auto)
apply (rule-tac  $x = a$  in exI)
apply (rule-tac  $x = M -\# \{\#a\}$  in exI)
apply (frule Mult-into-multiset)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

```

```

lemma one-step-implies-multirel-lemma [rule-format (no-asm)]:
 $n \in \text{nat} ==>$ 
  ( $\forall I J K.$ 
     $I \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ J \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \& \ K \in \text{Mult}(A) \ \&$ 
    ( $\text{msize}(J) = \#\ n \ \& \ J \neq 0 \ \& \ (\forall k \in \text{mset-of}(K). \exists j \in \text{mset-of}(J). \langle k, j \rangle \in r)$ )
     $--> \langle I +\# K, I +\# J \rangle \in \text{multirel}(A, r)$ )
apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (erule nat-induct, clarify)
apply (drule-tac  $M = J$  in msize-eq-0-iff, auto)

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{msize}(J) = \#\text{succ}(x)$  )
  prefer 2 apply simp
apply (frule-tac  $A = A$  in msize-eq-succ-imp-eq-union)
apply (simp-all add: Mult-iff-multiset, clarify)
apply (rename-tac  $J'$ , simp)
apply (case-tac  $J' = 0$ )
apply (simp add: multirel-def)
apply (rule r-into-trancl, clarify)
apply (simp add: multirel1-iff Mult-iff-multiset, force)

```

```

apply (drule sym, rotate-tac -1, simp)
apply (erule-tac  $V = \#\ x = \text{msize}(J')$  in thin-rl)
apply (frule-tac  $M = K$  and  $P = \%x. \langle x, a \rangle \in r$  in multiset-partition)
apply (erule-tac  $P = \forall k \in \text{mset-of}(K) . ?P(k)$  in rev-mp)
apply (erule ssubst)

```

```

apply (simp add: Ball-def, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac <  $(I +\# \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \in r\# \}) +\# \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \notin r\# \}, (I +\# \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \in r\# \}) +\# J'$ >  $\in \text{multirel}(A, r)$  )
prefer 2
apply (drule-tac  $x = I +\# \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \in r\# \}$  in spec)
apply (rotate-tac -1)
apply (drule-tac  $x = J'$  in spec)
apply (rotate-tac -1)
apply (drule-tac  $x = \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \notin r\# \}$  in spec, simp) apply blast
apply (simp add: munion-assoc [symmetric] multirel-def)
apply (rule-tac  $b = I +\# \{\# x \in K. \langle x, a \rangle \in r\# \} +\# J'$  in trancl-trans, blast)
apply (rule r-into-trancl)
apply (simp add: multirel1-iff Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (rule-tac  $x = a$  in exI)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rule-tac  $x = I +\# J'$  in exI)
apply (auto simp add: munion-ac Un-subset-iff)
done

```

```

lemma one-step-implies-multirel:
  [|  $J \neq 0; \forall k \in \text{mset-of}(K). \exists j \in \text{mset-of}(J). \langle k, j \rangle \in r;$ 
    $I \in \text{Mult}(A); J \in \text{Mult}(A); K \in \text{Mult}(A)$  |]
  ==>  $\langle I +\# K, I +\# J \rangle \in \text{multirel}(A, r)$ 
apply (subgoal-tac multiset (J))
prefer 2 apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (frule-tac  $M = J$  in msize-int-of-nat)
apply (auto intro: one-step-implies-multirel-lemma)
done

```

```

lemma multirel-irrefl-lemma:
   $\text{Finite}(A) ==> \text{part-ord}(A, r) \dashrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in r) \dashrightarrow A=0$ 
apply (erule Finite-induct)
apply (auto dest: subset-consI [THEN [2] part-ord-subset])
apply (auto simp add: part-ord-def irrefl-def)
apply (drule-tac  $x = xa$  in bspec)
apply (drule-tac [2]  $a = xa$  and  $b = x$  in trans-onD, auto)
done

```

```

lemma irrefl-on-multirel:
   $\text{part-ord}(A, r) ==> \text{irrefl}(\text{Mult}(A), \text{multirel}(A, r))$ 
apply (simp add: irrefl-def)
apply (subgoal-tac trans[A](r))
prefer 2 apply (simp add: part-ord-def, clarify)
apply (drule multirel-implies-one-step, clarify)
apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset, clarify)

```

```

apply (subgoal-tac Finite (mset-of (K)))
apply (frule-tac r = r in multirel-irrefl-lemma)
apply (frule-tac B = mset-of (K) in part-ord-subset)
apply simp-all
apply (auto simp add: multiset-def mset-of-def)
done

```

```

lemma trans-on-multirel: trans[Mult(A)](multirel(A, r))
apply (simp add: multirel-def trans-on-def)
apply (blast intro: trancl-trans)
done

```

```

lemma multirel-trans:
  [| <M, N> ∈ multirel(A, r); <N, K> ∈ multirel(A, r) |] ==> <M, K> ∈
  multirel(A,r)
apply (simp add: multirel-def)
apply (blast intro: trancl-trans)
done

```

```

lemma trans-multirel: trans(multirel(A,r))
apply (simp add: multirel-def)
apply (rule trans-trancl)
done

```

```

lemma part-ord-multirel: part-ord(A,r) ==> part-ord(Mult(A), multirel(A, r))
apply (simp (no-asm) add: part-ord-def)
apply (blast intro: irrefl-on-multirel trans-on-multirel)
done

```

```

lemma munion-multirel1-mono:
  [|<M,N> ∈ multirel1(A, r); K ∈ Mult(A) |] ==> <K +# M, K +# N> ∈
  multirel1(A, r)
apply (frule multirel1-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (auto simp add: multirel1-iff Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (rule-tac x = a in exI)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rule-tac x = K+#M0 in exI)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: Un-subset-iff)
apply (rule-tac x = Ka in exI)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: munion-assoc)
done

```

```

lemma munion-multirel-mono2:
  [| <M, N> ∈ multirel(A, r); K ∈ Mult(A) |] ==> <K +# M, K +# N> ∈
  multirel(A, r)
apply (frule multirel-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: multirel-def)

```

```

apply clarify
apply (drule-tac psi = <M,N> ∈ multirel1 (A, r) ^+ in asm-rl)
apply (erule rev-mp)
apply (erule rev-mp)
apply (erule rev-mp)
apply (erule trancl-induct, clarify)
apply (blast intro: munion-multirel1-mono r-into-trancl, clarify)
apply (subgoal-tac y ∈ Mult(A) )
prefer 2
apply (blast dest: multirel-type [unfolded multirel-def, THEN subsetD])
apply (subgoal-tac <K+# y, K+# z> ∈ multirel1 (A, r) )
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: munion-multirel1-mono)
apply (blast intro: r-into-trancl trancl-trans)
done

```

lemma munion-multirel-mono1:

```

[[<M, N> ∈ multirel(A, r); K ∈ Mult(A)]] ==> <M+# K, N+# K> ∈
multirel(A, r)
apply (erule multirel-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (rule-tac P = %x. <x,?u> ∈ multirel(A, r) in munion-commute [THEN
subst])
apply (subst munion-commute [of N])
apply (rule munion-multirel-mono2)
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

```

lemma munion-multirel-mono:

```

[[<M,K> ∈ multirel(A, r); <N,L> ∈ multirel(A, r)]]
==> <M+# N, K+# L> ∈ multirel(A, r)
apply (subgoal-tac M ∈ Mult(A) & N ∈ Mult(A) & K ∈ Mult(A) & L ∈ Mult(A)
)
prefer 2 apply (blast dest: multirel-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (blast intro: munion-multirel-mono1 multirel-trans munion-multirel-mono2)
done

```

8.4 Ordinal Multisets

lemmas field-Memrel-mono = Memrel-mono [THEN field-mono, standard]

lemmas multirel-Memrel-mono = multirel-mono [OF field-Memrel-mono Memrel-mono]

```

lemma omultiset-is-multiset [simp]: omultiset(M) ==> multiset(M)
apply (simp add: omultiset-def)
apply (auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
done

```

lemma munion-omultiset [simp]: [[omultiset(M); omultiset(N)]] ==> omulti-

```

set( $M +\# N$ )
apply (simp add: omultiset-def, clarify)
apply (rule-tac  $x = i \text{ Un } ia$  in  $exI$ )
apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset Ord-Un Un-subset-iff)
apply (blast intro: field-Memrel-mono)
done

```

```

lemma mdiff-omultiset [simp]:  $omultiset(M) ==> omultiset(M -\# N)$ 
apply (simp add: omultiset-def, clarify)
apply (simp add: Mult-iff-multiset)
apply (rule-tac  $x = i$  in  $exI$ )
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
done

```

```

lemma irrefl-Memrel:  $Ord(i) ==> irrefl(field(Memrel(i)), Memrel(i))$ 
apply (rule irrefl, clarify)
apply (subgoal-tac  $Ord(x)$ )
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: Ord-in-Ord)
apply (drule-tac  $i = x$  in  $ltI$  [THEN lt-irrefl], auto)
done

```

```

lemma trans-iff-trans-on:  $trans(r) <-> trans[field(r)](r)$ 
by (simp add: trans-on-def trans-def, auto)

```

```

lemma part-ord-Memrel:  $Ord(i) ==> part-ord(field(Memrel(i)), Memrel(i))$ 
apply (simp add: part-ord-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: trans-iff-trans-on [THEN iff-sym])
apply (blast intro: trans-Memrel irrefl-Memrel)
done

```

```

lemmas part-ord-mless = part-ord-Memrel [THEN part-ord-multirel, standard]

```

```

lemma mless-not-refl:  $\sim(M <\# M)$ 
apply (simp add: mless-def, clarify)
apply (frule multirel-type [THEN subsetD])
apply (drule part-ord-mless)
apply (simp add: part-ord-def irrefl-def)
done

```

```

lemmas mless-irrefl = mless-not-refl [THEN notE, standard, elim!]

```

lemma *mless-trans*: $[| K <\# M; M <\# N |] \implies K <\# N$
apply (*simp add: mless-def, clarify*)
apply (*rule-tac x = i Un ia in exI*)
apply (*blast dest: multirel-Memrel-mono [OF Un-upper1 Un-upper1, THEN subsetD]*)
multirel-Memrel-mono [OF Un-upper2 Un-upper2, THEN subsetD]
intro: multirel-trans Ord-Un
done

lemma *mless-not-sym*: $M <\# N \implies \sim N <\# M$
apply *clarify*
apply (*rule mless-not-refl [THEN notE]*)
apply (*erule mless-trans, assumption*)
done

lemma *mless-asy*: $[| M <\# N; \sim P \implies N <\# M |] \implies P$
by (*blast dest: mless-not-sym*)

lemma *mle-refl* [*simp*]: $omultiset(M) \implies M <\# = M$
by (*simp add: mle-def*)

lemma *mle-antisym*:
 $[| M <\# = N; N <\# = M |] \implies M = N$
apply (*simp add: mle-def*)
apply (*blast dest: mless-not-sym*)
done

lemma *mle-trans*: $[| K <\# = M; M <\# = N |] \implies K <\# = N$
apply (*simp add: mle-def*)
apply (*blast intro: mless-trans*)
done

lemma *mless-le-iff*: $M <\# N \iff (M <\# = N \ \& \ M \neq N)$
by (*simp add: mle-def, auto*)

lemma *munion-less-mono2*: $[| M <\# N; omultiset(K) |] \implies K +\# M <\# K +\# N$
apply (*simp add: mless-def omultiset-def, clarify*)
apply (*rule-tac x = i Un ia in exI*)
apply (*simp add: Mult-iff-multiset Ord-Un Un-subset-iff*)
apply (*rule munion-multirel-mono2*)
apply (*blast intro: multirel-Memrel-mono [THEN subsetD]*)
apply (*simp add: Mult-iff-multiset*)
apply (*blast intro: field-Memrel-mono [THEN subsetD]*)

done

lemma *munion-less-mono1*: [| $M <\# N$; $omultiset(K)$ |] ==> $M +\# K <\# N +\# K$
by (*force dest: munion-less-mono2 simp add: munion-commute*)

lemma *mless-imp-omultiset*: $M <\# N$ ==> $omultiset(M) \& omultiset(N)$
by (*auto simp add: mless-def omultiset-def dest: multirel-type [THEN subsetD]*)

lemma *munion-less-mono*: [| $M <\# K$; $N <\# L$ |] ==> $M +\# N <\# K +\# L$
apply (*frule-tac M = M in mless-imp-omultiset*)
apply (*frule-tac M = N in mless-imp-omultiset*)
apply (*blast intro: munion-less-mono1 munion-less-mono2 mless-trans*)
done

lemma *mle-imp-omultiset*: $M <\#= N$ ==> $omultiset(M) \& omultiset(N)$
by (*auto simp add: mle-def mless-imp-omultiset*)

lemma *mle-mono*: [| $M <\#= K$; $N <\#= L$ |] ==> $M +\# N <\#= K +\# L$
apply (*frule-tac M = M in mle-imp-omultiset*)
apply (*frule-tac M = N in mle-imp-omultiset*)
apply (*auto simp add: mle-def intro: munion-less-mono1 munion-less-mono2 munion-less-mono*)
done

lemma *omultiset-0 [iff]*: $omultiset(0)$
by (*auto simp add: omultiset-def Mult-iff-multiset*)

lemma *empty-leI [simp]*: $omultiset(M)$ ==> $0 <\#= M$
apply (*simp add: mle-def mless-def*)
apply (*subgoal-tac $\exists i. Ord(i) \& M \in Mult(field(Memrel(i)))$)*
prefer 2 apply (*simp add: omultiset-def*)
apply (*case-tac M=0, simp-all, clarify*)
apply (*subgoal-tac $<0 +\# 0, 0 +\# M> \in multirel(field (Memrel(i)), Memrel(i))$*)
apply (*rule-tac [2] one-step-implies-multirel*)
apply (*auto simp add: Mult-iff-multiset*)
done

lemma *munion-upper1*: [| $omultiset(M)$; $omultiset(N)$ |] ==> $M <\#= M +\# N$
apply (*subgoal-tac $M +\# 0 <\#= M +\# N$*)
apply (*rule-tac [2] mle-mono, auto*)
done

ML

⟨⟨
val munion-ac = thms munion-ac;
val funrestrict-subset = thm funrestrict-subset;

```

val funrestrict-type = thm funrestrict-type;
val funrestrict-type2 = thm funrestrict-type2;
val funrestrict = thm funrestrict;
val funrestrict-empty = thm funrestrict-empty;
val domain-funrestrict = thm domain-funrestrict;
val fun-cons-funrestrict-eq = thm fun-cons-funrestrict-eq;
val multiset-fun-iff = thm multiset-fun-iff;
val multiset-into-Mult = thm multiset-into-Mult;
val Mult-into-multiset = thm Mult-into-multiset;
val Mult-iff-multiset = thm Mult-iff-multiset;
val multiset-iff-Mult-mset-of = thm multiset-iff-Mult-mset-of;
val multiset-0 = thm multiset-0;
val multiset-set-of-Finite = thm multiset-set-of-Finite;
val mset-of-0 = thm mset-of-0;
val mset-is-0-iff = thm mset-is-0-iff;
val mset-of-single = thm mset-of-single;
val mset-of-union = thm mset-of-union;
val mset-of-diff = thm mset-of-diff;
val msingle-not-0 = thm msingle-not-0;
val msingle-eq-iff = thm msingle-eq-iff;
val msingle-multiset = thm msingle-multiset;
val Collect-Finite = thms Collect-Finite;
val normalize-idem = thm normalize-idem;
val normalize-multiset = thm normalize-multiset;
val multiset-normalize = thm multiset-normalize;
val munion-multiset = thm munion-multiset;
val mdiff-multiset = thm mdiff-multiset;
val munion-0 = thm munion-0;
val munion-commute = thm munion-commute;
val munion-assoc = thm munion-assoc;
val munion-lcommute = thm munion-lcommute;
val mdiff-self-eq-0 = thm mdiff-self-eq-0;
val mdiff-0 = thm mdiff-0;
val mdiff-0-right = thm mdiff-0-right;
val mdiff-union-inverse2 = thm mdiff-union-inverse2;
val mcount-type = thm mcount-type;
val mcount-0 = thm mcount-0;
val mcount-single = thm mcount-single;
val mcount-union = thm mcount-union;
val mcount-diff = thm mcount-diff;
val mcount-elem = thm mcount-elem;
val msize-0 = thm msize-0;
val msize-single = thm msize-single;
val msize-type = thm msize-type;
val msize-zpositive = thm msize-zpositive;
val msize-int-of-nat = thm msize-int-of-nat;
val not-empty-multiset-imp-exist = thm not-empty-multiset-imp-exist;
val msize-eq-0-iff = thm msize-eq-0-iff;
val setsum-mcount-Int = thm setsum-mcount-Int;

```

```

val msize-union = thm msize-union;
val msize-eq-succ-imp-elem = thm msize-eq-succ-imp-elem;
val multiset-equality = thm multiset-equality;
val munion-eq-0-iff = thm munion-eq-0-iff;
val empty-eq-munion-iff = thm empty-eq-munion-iff;
val munion-right-cancel = thm munion-right-cancel;
val munion-left-cancel = thm munion-left-cancel;
val nat-add-eq-1-cases = thm nat-add-eq-1-cases;
val munion-is-single = thm munion-is-single;
val msingle-is-union = thm msingle-is-union;
val setsum-decr = thm setsum-decr;
val setsum-decr2 = thm setsum-decr2;
val setsum-decr3 = thm setsum-decr3;
val nat-le-1-cases = thm nat-le-1-cases;
val succ-pred-eq-self = thm succ-pred-eq-self;
val multiset-funrestrict = thm multiset-funrestrict;
val multiset-induct-aux = thm multiset-induct-aux;
val multiset-induct2 = thm multiset-induct2;
val munion-single-case1 = thm munion-single-case1;
val munion-single-case2 = thm munion-single-case2;
val multiset-induct = thm multiset-induct;
val MCollect-multiset = thm MCollect-multiset;
val mset-of-MCollect = thm mset-of-MCollect;
val MCollect-mem-iff = thm MCollect-mem-iff;
val mcount-MCollect = thm mcount-MCollect;
val multiset-partition = thm multiset-partition;
val natify-elem-is-self = thm natify-elem-is-self;
val munion-eq-conv-diff = thm munion-eq-conv-diff;
val melem-diff-single = thm melem-diff-single;
val munion-eq-conv-exist = thm munion-eq-conv-exist;
val multirel1-type = thm multirel1-type;
val multirel1-0 = thm multirel1-0;
val multirel1-iff = thm multirel1-iff;
val multirel1-mono1 = thm multirel1-mono1;
val multirel1-mono2 = thm multirel1-mono2;
val multirel1-mono = thm multirel1-mono;
val not-less-0 = thm not-less-0;
val less-munion = thm less-munion;
val multirel1-base = thm multirel1-base;
val acc-0 = thm acc-0;
val all-accessible = thm all-accessible;
val wf-on-multirel1 = thm wf-on-multirel1;
val wf-multirel1 = thm wf-multirel1;
val multirel-type = thm multirel-type;
val multirel-mono = thm multirel-mono;
val add-diff-eq = thm add-diff-eq;
val mdiff-union-single-conv = thm mdiff-union-single-conv;
val diff-add-commute = thm diff-add-commute;
val multirel-implies-one-step = thm multirel-implies-one-step;

```

```

val melem-imp-eq-diff-union = thm melem-imp-eq-diff-union;
val msize-eq-succ-imp-eq-union = thm msize-eq-succ-imp-eq-union;
val one-step-implies-multirel = thm one-step-implies-multirel;
val irrefl-on-multirel = thm irrefl-on-multirel;
val trans-on-multirel = thm trans-on-multirel;
val multirel-trans = thm multirel-trans;
val trans-multirel = thm trans-multirel;
val part-ord-multirel = thm part-ord-multirel;
val munion-multirel1-mono = thm munion-multirel1-mono;
val munion-multirel-mono2 = thm munion-multirel-mono2;
val munion-multirel-mono1 = thm munion-multirel-mono1;
val munion-multirel-mono = thm munion-multirel-mono;
val field-Memrel-mono = thms field-Memrel-mono;
val multirel-Memrel-mono = thms multirel-Memrel-mono;
val omultiset-is-multiset = thm omultiset-is-multiset;
val munion-omultiset = thm munion-omultiset;
val mdiff-omultiset = thm mdiff-omultiset;
val irrefl-Memrel = thm irrefl-Memrel;
val trans-iff-trans-on = thm trans-iff-trans-on;
val part-ord-Memrel = thm part-ord-Memrel;
val part-ord-mless = thms part-ord-mless;
val mless-not-refl = thm mless-not-refl;
val mless-irrefl = thms mless-irrefl;
val mless-trans = thm mless-trans;
val mless-not-sym = thm mless-not-sym;
val mless-asy = thm mless-asy;
val mle-refl = thm mle-refl;
val mle-antisym = thm mle-antisym;
val mle-trans = thm mle-trans;
val mless-le-iff = thm mless-le-iff;
val munion-less-mono2 = thm munion-less-mono2;
val munion-less-mono1 = thm munion-less-mono1;
val mless-imp-omultiset = thm mless-imp-omultiset;
val munion-less-mono = thm munion-less-mono;
val mle-imp-omultiset = thm mle-imp-omultiset;
val mle-mono = thm mle-mono;
val omultiset-0 = thm omultiset-0;
val empty-leI = thm empty-leI;
val munion-upper1 = thm munion-upper1;
>>

```

end

9 An operator to “map” a relation over a list

theory *Rmap* imports *Main* begin

consts

$rmap :: i \Rightarrow i$

inductive

domains $rmap(r) \subseteq list(domain(r)) \times list(range(r))$

intros

$NilI: \langle Nil, Nil \rangle \in rmap(r)$

$ConsI: [| \langle x, y \rangle : r; \langle xs, ys \rangle \in rmap(r) |]$
 $\Rightarrow \langle Cons(x, xs), Cons(y, ys) \rangle \in rmap(r)$

type-intros $domainI$ $rangeI$ $list.intros$

lemma $rmap\text{-}mono: r \subseteq s \Rightarrow rmap(r) \subseteq rmap(s)$

apply ($unfold$ $rmap.defs$)

apply ($rule$ $lfp\text{-}mono$)

apply ($rule$ $rmap.bnd\text{-}mono$) $+$

apply ($assumption$ | $rule$ $Sigma\text{-}mono$ $list\text{-}mono$ $domain\text{-}mono$ $range\text{-}mono$ $basic\text{-}monos$) $+$

done

inductive-cases

$Nil\text{-}rmap\text{-}case$ [$elim!$]: $\langle Nil, zs \rangle \in rmap(r)$

and $Cons\text{-}rmap\text{-}case$ [$elim!$]: $\langle Cons(x, xs), zs \rangle \in rmap(r)$

declare $rmap.intros$ [$intro$]

lemma $rmap\text{-}rel\text{-}type: r \subseteq A \times B \Rightarrow rmap(r) \subseteq list(A) \times list(B)$

apply ($rule$ $rmap.dom\text{-}subset$ [$THEN$ $subset\text{-}trans$])

apply ($assumption$ |

$rule$ $domain\text{-}rel\text{-}subset$ $range\text{-}rel\text{-}subset$ $Sigma\text{-}mono$ $list\text{-}mono$) $+$

done

lemma $rmap\text{-}total: A \subseteq domain(r) \Rightarrow list(A) \subseteq domain(rmap(r))$

apply ($rule$ $subsetI$)

apply ($erule$ $list.induct$)

apply $blast$ $+$

done

lemma $rmap\text{-}functional: function(r) \Rightarrow function(rmap(r))$

apply ($unfold$ $function\text{-}def$)

apply ($rule$ $impI$ [$THEN$ $allI$, $THEN$ $allI$])

apply ($erule$ $rmap.induct$)

apply $blast$ $+$

done

If f is a function then $rmap(f)$ behaves as expected.

lemma $rmap\text{-}fun\text{-}type: f \in A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow rmap(f): list(A) \rightarrow list(B)$

by ($simp$ $add: Pi\text{-}iff$ $rmap\text{-}rel\text{-}type$ $rmap\text{-}functional$ $rmap\text{-}total$)

lemma $rmap\text{-}Nil: rmap(f) 'Nil = Nil$

```

by (unfold apply-def) blast

lemma rmap-Cons: [| f ∈ A->B; x ∈ A; xs: list(A) |]
  ==> rmap(f) ` Cons(x,xs) = Cons(f`x, rmap(f)`xs)
by (blast intro: apply-equality apply-Pair rmap-fun-type rmap.intros)

end

```

10 Meta-theory of propositional logic

theory PropLog imports Main begin

Datatype definition of propositional logic formulae and inductive definition of the propositional tautologies.

Inductive definition of propositional logic. Soundness and completeness w.r.t. truth-tables.

Prove: If $H \models p$ then $G \models p$ where $G \in \text{Fin}(H)$

10.1 The datatype of propositions

consts

propn :: *i*

datatype *propn* =

Fls
| *Var* (*n* ∈ *nat*) (#- [100] 100)
| *Imp* (*p* ∈ *propn*, *q* ∈ *propn*) (**infixr** ==> 90)

10.2 The proof system

consts *thms* :: *i* ==> *i*

syntax *-thms* :: [*i*,*i*] ==> *o* (**infixl** |- 50)

translations $H \vdash p == p \in \text{thms}(H)$

inductive

domains *thms*(*H*) ⊆ *propn*

intros

H: [| *p* ∈ *H*; *p* ∈ *propn* |] ==> *H* |- *p*

K: [| *p* ∈ *propn*; *q* ∈ *propn* |] ==> *H* |- *p*==>*q*==>*p*

S: [| *p* ∈ *propn*; *q* ∈ *propn*; *r* ∈ *propn* |]

==> *H* |- (*p*==>*q*==>*r*) ==> (*p*==>*q*) ==> *p*==>*r*

DN: *p* ∈ *propn* ==> *H* |- ((*p*==>*Fls*) ==> *Fls*) ==> *p*

MP: [| *H* |- *p*==>*q*; *H* |- *p*; *p* ∈ *propn*; *q* ∈ *propn* |] ==> *H* |- *q*

type-intros *propn.intros*

declare *propn.intros* [*simp*]

10.3 The semantics

10.3.1 Semantics of propositional logic.

consts

$is\text{-}true\text{-}fun :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(Fls, t) = 0$

$is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(Var(v), t) = (if\ v \in t\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

$is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(p \Rightarrow q, t) = (if\ is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(p,t) = 1\ then\ is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(q,t)\ else\ 1)$

constdefs

$is\text{-}true :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$

$is\text{-}true(p,t) == is\text{-}true\text{-}fun(p,t) = 1$

— this definition is required since predicates can't be recursive

lemma $is\text{-}true\text{-}Fls$ [simp]: $is\text{-}true(Fls,t) \Leftrightarrow False$

by (simp add: is-true-def)

lemma $is\text{-}true\text{-}Var$ [simp]: $is\text{-}true(\#v,t) \Leftrightarrow v \in t$

by (simp add: is-true-def)

lemma $is\text{-}true\text{-}Imp$ [simp]: $is\text{-}true(p \Rightarrow q,t) \Leftrightarrow (is\text{-}true(p,t) \rightarrow is\text{-}true(q,t))$

by (simp add: is-true-def)

10.3.2 Logical consequence

For every valuation, if all elements of H are true then so is p .

constdefs

$logcon :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$ (infixl $|\equiv$ 50)

$H |\equiv p == \forall t. (\forall q \in H. is\text{-}true(q,t)) \rightarrow is\text{-}true(p,t)$

A finite set of hypotheses from t and the $Vars$ in p .

consts

$hypos :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$hypos(Fls, t) = 0$

$hypos(Var(v), t) = (if\ v \in t\ then\ \{\#v\}\ else\ \{\#v \Rightarrow Fls\})$

$hypos(p \Rightarrow q, t) = hypos(p,t) \cup hypos(q,t)$

10.4 Proof theory of propositional logic

lemma $thms\text{-}mono$: $G \subseteq H \Rightarrow thms(G) \subseteq thms(H)$

apply (unfold thms.defs)

apply (rule lfp-mono)

apply (rule thms.bnd-mono)+

apply (assumption | rule univ-mono basic-monos)+

done

lemmas *thms-in-pl* = *thms.dom-subset* [*THEN subsetD*]

inductive-cases *ImpE*: $p \Rightarrow q \in \text{propn}$

lemma *thms-MP*: $[| H \mid - p \Rightarrow q; H \mid - p |] \Rightarrow H \mid - q$

— Stronger Modus Ponens rule: no typechecking!

apply (*rule thms.MP*)

apply (*erule asm-rl thms-in-pl thms-in-pl [THEN ImpE]*)+

done

lemma *thms-I*: $p \in \text{propn} \Rightarrow H \mid - p \Rightarrow p$

— Rule is called *I* for Identity Combinator, not for Introduction.

apply (*rule thms.S [THEN thms-MP, THEN thms-MP]*)

apply (*rule-tac [5] thms.K*)

apply (*rule-tac [4] thms.K*)

apply *simp-all*

done

10.4.1 Weakening, left and right

lemma *weaken-left*: $[| G \subseteq H; G \mid - p |] \Rightarrow H \mid - p$

— Order of premises is convenient with *THEN*

by (*erule thms-mono [THEN subsetD]*)

lemma *weaken-left-cons*: $H \mid - p \Rightarrow \text{cons}(a, H) \mid - p$

by (*erule subset-consI [THEN weaken-left]*)

lemmas *weaken-left-Un1* = *Un-upper1* [*THEN weaken-left*]

lemmas *weaken-left-Un2* = *Un-upper2* [*THEN weaken-left*]

lemma *weaken-right*: $[| H \mid - q; p \in \text{propn} |] \Rightarrow H \mid - p \Rightarrow q$

by (*simp-all add: thms.K [THEN thms-MP] thms-in-pl*)

10.4.2 The deduction theorem

theorem *deduction*: $[| \text{cons}(p, H) \mid - q; p \in \text{propn} |] \Rightarrow H \mid - p \Rightarrow q$

apply (*erule thms.induct*)

apply (*blast intro: thms-I thms.H [THEN weaken-right]*)

apply (*blast intro: thms.K [THEN weaken-right]*)

apply (*blast intro: thms.S [THEN weaken-right]*)

apply (*blast intro: thms.DN [THEN weaken-right]*)

apply (*blast intro: thms.S [THEN thms-MP [THEN thms-MP]]*)

done

10.4.3 The cut rule

lemma *cut*: $[| H \mid - p; \text{cons}(p, H) \mid - q |] \Rightarrow H \mid - q$

apply (*rule deduction [THEN thms-MP]*)

apply (*simp-all add: thms-in-pl*)

done

```

lemma thms-FlsE: [| H |- Fls; p ∈ propn |] ==> H |- p
  apply (rule thms.DN [THEN thms-MP])
  apply (rule-tac [2] weaken-right)
  apply (simp-all add: propn.intros)
done

```

```

lemma thms-notE: [| H |- p=>Fls; H |- p; q ∈ propn |] ==> H |- q
  by (erule thms-MP [THEN thms-FlsE])

```

10.4.4 Soundness of the rules wrt truth-table semantics

```

theorem soundness: H |- p ==> H |= p
  apply (unfold logcon-def)
  apply (erule thms.induct)
  apply auto
done

```

10.5 Completeness

10.5.1 Towards the completeness proof

```

lemma Fls-Imp: [| H |- p=>Fls; q ∈ propn |] ==> H |- p=>q
  apply (frule thms-in-pl)
  apply (rule deduction)
  apply (rule weaken-left-cons [THEN thms-notE])
  apply (blast intro: thms.H elim: ImpE)+
done

```

```

lemma Imp-Fls: [| H |- p; H |- q=>Fls |] ==> H |- (p=>q)=>Fls
  apply (frule thms-in-pl)
  apply (frule thms-in-pl [of concl: q=>Fls])
  apply (rule deduction)
  apply (erule weaken-left-cons [THEN thms-MP])
  apply (rule consI1 [THEN thms.H, THEN thms-MP])
  apply (blast intro: weaken-left-cons elim: ImpE)+
done

```

```

lemma hys-thms-if:
  p ∈ propn ==> hys(p,t) |- (if is-true(p,t) then p else p=>Fls)
  — Typical example of strengthening the induction statement.
  apply simp
  apply (induct-tac p)
  apply (simp-all add: thms-I thms.H)
  apply (safe elim!: Fls-Imp [THEN weaken-left-Un1] Fls-Imp [THEN weaken-left-Un2])
  apply (blast intro: weaken-left-Un1 weaken-left-Un2 weaken-right Imp-Fls)+
done

```

```

lemma logcon-thms-p: [| p ∈ propn; 0 |= p |] ==> hys(p,t) |- p
  — Key lemma for completeness; yields a set of assumptions satisfying p

```

```

apply (drule hyps-thms-if)
apply (simp add: logcon-def)
done

```

For proving certain theorems in our new propositional logic.

```

lemmas propn-SIs = propn.intros deduction
and propn-Is = thms-in-pl thms.H thms.H [THEN thms-MP]

```

The excluded middle in the form of an elimination rule.

```

lemma thms-excluded-middle:
  [| p ∈ propn; q ∈ propn |] ==> H |- (p=>q) => ((p=>Fls)=>q) => q
apply (rule deduction [THEN deduction])
apply (rule thms.DN [THEN thms-MP])
apply (best intro!: propn-SIs intro: propn-Is)+
done

```

```

lemma thms-excluded-middle-rule:
  [| cons(p,H) |- q; cons(p=>Fls,H) |- q; p ∈ propn |] ==> H |- q
  — Hard to prove directly because it requires cuts
apply (rule thms-excluded-middle [THEN thms-MP, THEN thms-MP])
apply (blast intro!: propn-SIs intro: propn-Is)+
done

```

10.5.2 Completeness – lemmas for reducing the set of assumptions

For the case $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \text{cons}(\#v, Y) \vdash p$ we also have $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \{\#v\} \subseteq \text{hyps}(p, t - \{v\})$.

```

lemma hyps-Diff:
  p ∈ propn ==> hyps(p, t - {v}) ⊆ cons(#v=>Fls, hyps(p,t) - {#v})
by (induct-tac p) auto

```

For the case $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \text{cons}(\#v \Rightarrow \text{Fls}, Y) \vdash p$ we also have $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \{\#v \Rightarrow \text{Fls}\} \subseteq \text{hyps}(p, \text{cons}(v, t))$.

```

lemma hyps-cons:
  p ∈ propn ==> hyps(p, cons(v,t)) ⊆ cons(#v, hyps(p,t) - {#v=>Fls})
by (induct-tac p) auto

```

Two lemmas for use with *weaken-left*

```

lemma cons-Diff-same: B - C ⊆ cons(a, B - cons(a,C))
by blast

```

```

lemma cons-Diff-subset2: cons(a, B - {c}) - D ⊆ cons(a, B - cons(c,D))
by blast

```

The set $\text{hyps}(p, t)$ is finite, and elements have the form $\#v$ or $\#v \Rightarrow \text{Fls}$; could probably prove the stronger $\text{hyps}(p, t) \in \text{Fin}(\text{hyps}(p, 0) \cup \text{hyps}(p, \text{nat}))$.

lemma *hyps-finite*: $p \in \text{propn} \implies \text{hyps}(p,t) \in \text{Fin}(\bigcup v \in \text{nat}. \{\#v, \#v \Rightarrow \text{Fls}\})$
by (*induct-tac p*) *auto*

lemmas *Diff-weaken-left = Diff-mono [OF - subset-refl, THEN weaken-left]*

Induction on the finite set of assumptions $\text{hyps}(p, t0)$. We may repeatedly subtract assumptions until none are left!

lemma *completeness-0-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $[\![p \in \text{propn}; 0 \models p]\!] \implies \forall t. \text{hyps}(p,t) - \text{hyps}(p,t0) \vdash p$
apply (*frule hyps-finite*)
apply (*erule Fin-induct*)
apply (*simp add: logcon-thms-p Diff-0*)

inductive step

apply *safe*

Case $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \text{cons}(\#v, Y) \vdash p$

apply (*rule thms-excluded-middle-rule*)
apply (*erule-tac [3] propn.intros*)
apply (*blast intro: cons-Diff-same [THEN weaken-left]*)
apply (*blast intro: cons-Diff-subset2 [THEN weaken-left]*)
hyps-Diff [THEN Diff-weaken-left])

Case $\text{hyps}(p, t) - \text{cons}(\#v \Rightarrow \text{Fls}, Y) \vdash p$

apply (*rule thms-excluded-middle-rule*)
apply (*erule-tac [3] propn.intros*)
apply (*blast intro: cons-Diff-subset2 [THEN weaken-left]*)
hyps-cons [THEN Diff-weaken-left])
apply (*blast intro: cons-Diff-same [THEN weaken-left]*)
done

10.5.3 Completeness theorem

lemma *completeness-0*: $[\![p \in \text{propn}; 0 \models p]\!] \implies 0 \vdash p$
— The base case for completeness
apply (*rule Diff-cancel [THEN subst]*)
apply (*blast intro: completeness-0-lemma*)
done

lemma *logcon-Imp*: $[\![\text{cons}(p,H) \models q]\!] \implies H \models p \Rightarrow q$
— A semantic analogue of the Deduction Theorem
by (*simp add: logcon-def*)

lemma *completeness* [*rule-format*]:
 $H \in \text{Fin}(\text{propn}) \implies \forall p \in \text{propn}. H \models p \dashv\vdash H \vdash p$
apply (*erule Fin-induct*)
apply (*safe intro!: completeness-0*)
apply (*rule weaken-left-cons [THEN thms-MP]*)
apply (*blast intro!: logcon-Imp propn.intros*)

```

apply (blast intro: propn-Is)
done

theorem thms-iff:  $H \in \text{Fin}(\text{propn}) \implies H \vdash p \leftrightarrow H \models p \wedge p \in \text{propn}$ 
  by (blast intro: soundness completeness thms-in-pl)

end

```

11 Lists of n elements

theory ListN **imports** Main **begin**

Inductive definition of lists of n elements; see [?].

```

consts listn ::  $i \implies i$ 
inductive
  domains listn(A)  $\subseteq \text{nat} \times \text{list}(A)$ 
  intros
    NilI:  $\langle 0, \text{Nil} \rangle \in \text{listn}(A)$ 
    ConsI:  $\llbracket a \in A; \langle n, l \rangle \in \text{listn}(A) \rrbracket \implies \langle \text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l) \rangle \in \text{listn}(A)$ 
  type-intros nat-typechecks list.intros

```

lemma list-into-listn: $l \in \text{list}(A) \implies \langle \text{length}(l), l \rangle \in \text{listn}(A)$
by (erule list.induct) (simp-all add: listn.intros)

lemma listn-iff: $\langle n, l \rangle \in \text{listn}(A) \leftrightarrow l \in \text{list}(A) \ \& \ \text{length}(l)=n$
apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule listn.induct)
apply auto
apply (blast intro: list-into-listn)
done

lemma listn-image-eq: $\text{listn}(A)^{\{n\}} = \{l \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(l)=n\}$
apply (rule equality-iffI)
apply (simp add: listn-iff separation image-singleton-iff)
done

lemma listn-mono: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{listn}(A) \subseteq \text{listn}(B)$
apply (unfold listn.defs)
apply (rule lfp-mono)
apply (rule listn.bnd-mono)+
apply (assumption | rule univ-mono Sigma-mono list-mono basic-monos)+
done

lemma listn-append:
 $\llbracket \langle n, l \rangle \in \text{listn}(A); \langle n', l' \rangle \in \text{listn}(A) \rrbracket \implies \langle n\# + n', l @ l' \rangle \in \text{listn}(A)$
apply (erule listn.induct)
apply (frule listn.dom-subset [THEN subsetD])

```

apply (simp-all add: listn.intros)
done

inductive-cases
  Nil-listn-case:  $\langle i, Nil \rangle \in listn(A)$ 
and Cons-listn-case:  $\langle i, Cons(x,l) \rangle \in listn(A)$ 

inductive-cases
  zero-listn-case:  $\langle 0, l \rangle \in listn(A)$ 
and succ-listn-case:  $\langle succ(i), l \rangle \in listn(A)$ 

end

```

12 Combinatory Logic example: the Church-Rosser Theorem

```
theory Comb imports Main begin
```

Curiously, combinators do not include free variables.
 Example taken from [?].

12.1 Definitions

Datatype definition of combinators S and K .

```

consts comb :: i
datatype comb =
  K
  | S
  | app (p ∈ comb, q ∈ comb)  (infixl @@ 90)

```

Inductive definition of contractions, $-1-\>$ and (multi-step) reductions, $----\>$.

```

consts
  contract :: i
syntax
  -contract      :: [i, i] => o  (infixl -1-> 50)
  -contract-multi :: [i, i] => o  (infixl ----> 50)
translations
  p -1-> q ==  $\langle p, q \rangle \in contract$ 
  p ----> q ==  $\langle p, q \rangle \in contract^*$ 

```

```

syntax (xsymbols)
  comb.app    :: [i, i] => i      (infixl · 90)

```

```

inductive
  domains contract ⊆ comb × comb

```

intros

$K: \llbracket p \in \text{comb}; q \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies K \cdot p \cdot q \text{ -1-} \rightarrow p$
 $S: \llbracket p \in \text{comb}; q \in \text{comb}; r \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies S \cdot p \cdot q \cdot r \text{ -1-} \rightarrow (p \cdot r) \cdot (q \cdot r)$
 $Ap1: \llbracket p \text{ -1-} \rightarrow q; r \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies p \cdot r \text{ -1-} \rightarrow q \cdot r$
 $Ap2: \llbracket p \text{ -1-} \rightarrow q; r \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies r \cdot p \text{ -1-} \rightarrow r \cdot q$

type-intros *comb.intros*

Inductive definition of parallel contractions, $=1=>$ and (multi-step) parallel reductions, $====>$.

consts*parcontract* :: *i***syntax***-parcontract* :: [*i,i*] => *o* (**infixl** =1=> 50)*-parcontract-multi* :: [*i,i*] => *o* (**infixl** ====> 50)**translations** $p =1=> q \iff \langle p, q \rangle \in \text{parcontract}$ $p ====> q \iff \langle p, q \rangle \in \text{parcontract}^+$ **inductive****domains** *parcontract* \subseteq *comb* \times *comb***intros***refl*: $\llbracket p \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies p =1=> p$ $K: \llbracket p \in \text{comb}; q \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies K \cdot p \cdot q =1=> p$ $S: \llbracket p \in \text{comb}; q \in \text{comb}; r \in \text{comb} \rrbracket \implies S \cdot p \cdot q \cdot r =1=> (p \cdot r) \cdot (q \cdot r)$ $Ap: \llbracket p =1=> q; r =1=> s \rrbracket \implies p \cdot r =1=> q \cdot s$ **type-intros** *comb.intros*

Misc definitions.

constdefs*I* :: *i* $I \iff S \cdot K \cdot K$ *diamond* :: *i* => *o**diamond*(*r*) == $\forall x y. \langle x, y \rangle \in r \longrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle \in r \longrightarrow (\exists z. \langle y, z \rangle \in r \ \& \ \langle y', z \rangle \in r))$

12.2 Transitive closure preserves the Church-Rosser property

lemma *diamond-strip-lemmaD* [*rule-format*]: $\llbracket \text{diamond}(r); \langle x, y \rangle : r^+ \rrbracket \implies$ $\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle : r \longrightarrow (\exists z. \langle y', z \rangle : r^+ \ \& \ \langle y, z \rangle : r)$ **apply** (*unfold diamond-def*)**apply** (*erule trancl-induct*)**apply** (*blast intro: r-into-trancl*)**apply** *clarify***apply** (*erule spec* [*THEN mp*], *assumption*)**apply** (*blast intro: r-into-trancl trans-trancl* [*THEN transD*])**done**

```

lemma diamond-trancl: diamond(r) ==> diamond(r^+)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: diamond-def)
apply (rule impI [THEN allI, THEN allI])
apply (erule trancl-induct)
apply auto
apply (best intro: r-into-trancl trans-trancl [THEN transD]
  dest: diamond-strip-lemmaD)+
done

```

```

inductive-cases Ap-E [elim!]: p·q ∈ comb

```

```

declare comb.intros [intro!]

```

12.3 Results about Contraction

For type checking: replaces $a -1-> b$ by $a, b \in \text{comb}$.

```

lemmas contract-combE2 = contract.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaE2]
and contract-combD1 = contract.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1]
and contract-combD2 = contract.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD2]

```

```

lemma field-contract-eq: field(contract) = comb
by (blast intro: contract.K elim!: contract-combE2)

```

```

lemmas reduction-refl =
  field-contract-eq [THEN equalityD2, THEN subsetD, THEN rtrancl-refl]

```

```

lemmas rtrancl-into-rtrancl2 =
  r-into-rtrancl [THEN trans-rtrancl [THEN transD]]

```

```

declare reduction-refl [intro!] contract.K [intro!] contract.S [intro!]

```

```

lemmas reduction-rls =
  contract.K [THEN rtrancl-into-rtrancl2]
  contract.S [THEN rtrancl-into-rtrancl2]
  contract.Ap1 [THEN rtrancl-into-rtrancl2]
  contract.Ap2 [THEN rtrancl-into-rtrancl2]

```

```

lemma p ∈ comb ==> I·p ----> p
  — Example only: not used
by (unfold I-def) (blast intro: reduction-rls)

```

```

lemma comb-I: I ∈ comb
by (unfold I-def) blast

```

12.4 Non-contraction results

Derive a case for each combinator constructor.

inductive-cases

K-contractE [elim!]: $K -1-\> r$
and *S-contractE* [elim!]: $S -1-\> r$
and *Ap-contractE* [elim!]: $p \cdot q -1-\> r$

lemma *I-contract-E*: $I -1-\> r \implies P$
by (*auto simp add: I-def*)

lemma *K1-contractD*: $K \cdot p -1-\> r \implies (\exists q. r = K \cdot q \ \& \ p -1-\> q)$
by *auto*

lemma *Ap-reduce1*: $[[p \dashrightarrow q; r \in \text{comb}]] \implies p \cdot r \dashrightarrow q \cdot r$
apply (*frule rtrancl-type [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1]*)
apply (*drule field-contract-eq [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD]*)
apply (*erule rtrancl-induct*)
apply (*blast intro: reduction-rls*)
apply (*erule trans-rtrancl [THEN transD]*)
apply (*blast intro: contract-combD2 reduction-rls*)
done

lemma *Ap-reduce2*: $[[p \dashrightarrow q; r \in \text{comb}]] \implies r \cdot p \dashrightarrow r \cdot q$
apply (*frule rtrancl-type [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1]*)
apply (*drule field-contract-eq [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD]*)
apply (*erule rtrancl-induct*)
apply (*blast intro: reduction-rls*)
apply (*blast intro: trans-rtrancl [THEN transD]*
 contract-combD2 reduction-rls)
done

Counterexample to the diamond property for $-1-\>$.

lemma *KIII-contract1*: $K \cdot I \cdot (I \cdot I) -1-\> I$
by (*blast intro: comb.intros contract.K comb-I*)

lemma *KIII-contract2*: $K \cdot I \cdot (I \cdot I) -1-\> K \cdot I \cdot ((K \cdot I) \cdot (K \cdot I))$
by (*unfold I-def*) (*blast intro: comb.intros contract.intros*)

lemma *KIII-contract3*: $K \cdot I \cdot ((K \cdot I) \cdot (K \cdot I)) -1-\> I$
by (*blast intro: comb.intros contract.K comb-I*)

lemma *not-diamond-contract*: $\neg \text{diamond}(\text{contract})$
apply (*unfold diamond-def*)
apply (*blast intro: KIII-contract1 KIII-contract2 KIII-contract3*
 elim!: I-contract-E)
done

12.5 Results about Parallel Contraction

For type checking: replaces $a =1\implies b$ by $a, b \in \text{comb}$

lemmas *parcontract-combE2* = *parcontract.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN*

SigmaE2]
and *parcontract-combD1* = *parcontract.dom-subset* [*THEN subsetD*, *THEN SigmaD1*]
and *parcontract-combD2* = *parcontract.dom-subset* [*THEN subsetD*, *THEN SigmaD2*]

lemma *field-parcontract-eq*: *field(parcontract) = comb*
by (*blast intro: parcontract.K elim!: parcontract-combE2*)

Derive a case for each combinator constructor.

inductive-cases

K-parcontractE [*elim!*]: $K = 1 \Rightarrow r$
and *S-parcontractE* [*elim!*]: $S = 1 \Rightarrow r$
and *Ap-parcontractE* [*elim!*]: $p \cdot q = 1 \Rightarrow r$

declare *parcontract.intros* [*intro*]

12.6 Basic properties of parallel contraction

lemma *K1-parcontractD* [*dest!*]:
 $K \cdot p = 1 \Rightarrow r \Rightarrow (\exists p'. r = K \cdot p' \ \& \ p = 1 \Rightarrow p')$
by *auto*

lemma *S1-parcontractD* [*dest!*]:
 $S \cdot p = 1 \Rightarrow r \Rightarrow (\exists p'. r = S \cdot p' \ \& \ p = 1 \Rightarrow p')$
by *auto*

lemma *S2-parcontractD* [*dest!*]:
 $S \cdot p \cdot q = 1 \Rightarrow r \Rightarrow (\exists p' q'. r = S \cdot p' \cdot q' \ \& \ p = 1 \Rightarrow p' \ \& \ q = 1 \Rightarrow q')$
by *auto*

lemma *diamond-parcontract*: *diamond(parcontract)*
— Church-Rosser property for parallel contraction
apply (*unfold diamond-def*)
apply (*rule impI* [*THEN allI*, *THEN allI*])
apply (*erule parcontract.induct*)
apply (*blast elim!: comb.free-elims intro: parcontract-combD2*) +
done

Equivalence of $p \dashrightarrow q$ and $p \Longrightarrow q$.

lemma *contract-imp-parcontract*: $p - 1 - \rightarrow q \Rightarrow p = 1 \Rightarrow q$
by (*erule contract.induct*) *auto*

lemma *reduce-imp-parreduce*: $p \dashrightarrow q \Rightarrow p \Longrightarrow q$
apply (*frule rtrancl-type* [*THEN subsetD*, *THEN SigmaD1*])
apply (*drule field-contract-eq* [*THEN equalityD1*, *THEN subsetD*])
apply (*erule rtrancl-induct*)
apply (*blast intro: r-into-trancl*)
apply (*blast intro: contract-imp-parcontract r-into-trancl*)

```

    trans-trancl [THEN transD])
  done

lemma parcontract-imp-reduce: p=1=>q ==> p---->q
  apply (erule parcontract.induct)
  apply (blast intro: reduction-rls)
  apply (blast intro: reduction-rls)
  apply (blast intro: reduction-rls)
  apply (blast intro: trans-rtrancl [THEN transD])
  Ap-reduce1 Ap-reduce2 parcontract-combD1 parcontract-combD2)
done

lemma parreduce-imp-reduce: p===>q ==> p---->q
  apply (frule trancl-type [THEN subsetD, THEN SigmaD1])
  apply (drule field-parcontract-eq [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD])
  apply (erule trancl-induct, erule parcontract-imp-reduce)
  apply (erule trans-rtrancl [THEN transD])
  apply (erule parcontract-imp-reduce)
done

lemma parreduce-iff-reduce: p===>q <-> p---->q
  by (blast intro: parreduce-imp-reduce reduce-imp-parreduce)

end

```

13 Primitive Recursive Functions: the inductive definition

theory Primrec imports Main begin

Proof adopted from [?].

See also [?, page 250, exercise 11].

13.1 Basic definitions

constdefs

SC :: *i*

SC == $\lambda l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}). \text{list-case}(0, \lambda x \text{ xs}. \text{succ}(x), l)$

CONST :: $i \Rightarrow i$

CONST(*k*) == $\lambda l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}). k$

PROJ :: $i \Rightarrow i$

PROJ(*i*) == $\lambda l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}). \text{list-case}(0, \lambda x \text{ xs}. x, \text{drop}(i, l))$

COMP :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

COMP(*g*, *fs*) == $\lambda l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}). g \text{ ' List.map}(\lambda f. f'l, fs)$

$PREC :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$
 $PREC(f,g) ==$
 $\lambda l \in list(nat). list-case(0,$
 $\lambda x xs. rec(x, f'xs, \lambda y r. g ' Cons(r, Cons(y, xs))), l)$
 — Note that g is applied first to $PREC(f, g) ' y$ and then to $y!$

consts

$ACK :: i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$ACK(0) = SC$

$ACK(succ(i)) = PREC (CONST (ACK(i) ' [1]), COMP(ACK(i), [PROJ(0)]))$

syntax

$ack :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$

translations

$ack(x,y) == ACK(x) ' [y]$

Useful special cases of evaluation.

lemma SC: $[| x \in nat; l \in list(nat) |] \Rightarrow SC ' (Cons(x,l)) = succ(x)$
 by (simp add: SC-def)

lemma CONST: $l \in list(nat) \Rightarrow CONST(k) ' l = k$
 by (simp add: CONST-def)

lemma PROJ-0: $[| x \in nat; l \in list(nat) |] \Rightarrow PROJ(0) ' (Cons(x,l)) = x$
 by (simp add: PROJ-def)

lemma COMP-1: $l \in list(nat) \Rightarrow COMP(g,[f]) ' l = g ' [f'l]$
 by (simp add: COMP-def)

lemma PREC-0: $l \in list(nat) \Rightarrow PREC(f,g) ' (Cons(0,l)) = f'l$
 by (simp add: PREC-def)

lemma PREC-succ:

$[| x \in nat; l \in list(nat) |]$
 $\Rightarrow PREC(f,g) ' (Cons(succ(x),l)) =$
 $g ' Cons(PREC(f,g)'(Cons(x,l)), Cons(x,l))$
 by (simp add: PREC-def)

13.2 Inductive definition of the PR functions

consts

$prim-rec :: i$

inductive

domains $prim-rec \subseteq list(nat) \rightarrow nat$

intros

$SC \in prim-rec$

$k \in \text{nat} \implies \text{CONST}(k) \in \text{prim-rec}$
 $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{PROJ}(i) \in \text{prim-rec}$
 $[[g \in \text{prim-rec}; fs \in \text{list}(\text{prim-rec})]] \implies \text{COMP}(g,fs) \in \text{prim-rec}$
 $[[f \in \text{prim-rec}; g \in \text{prim-rec}]] \implies \text{PREC}(f,g) \in \text{prim-rec}$
monos *list-mono*
con-defs *SC-def CONST-def PROJ-def COMP-def PREC-def*
type-intros *nat-typechecks list.intros*
lam-type list-case-type drop-type List.map-type
apply-type rec-type

lemma *prim-rec-into-fun* [*TC*]: $c \in \text{prim-rec} \implies c \in \text{list}(\text{nat}) \rightarrow \text{nat}$
by (*erule subsetD [OF prim-rec.dom-subset]*)

lemmas [*TC*] = *apply-type [OF prim-rec-into-fun]*

declare *prim-rec.intros* [*TC*]
declare *nat-into-Ord* [*TC*]
declare *rec-type* [*TC*]

lemma *ACK-in-prim-rec* [*TC*]: $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{ACK}(i) \in \text{prim-rec}$
by (*induct-tac i*) *simp-all*

lemma *ack-type* [*TC*]: $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{ack}(i,j) \in \text{nat}$
by *auto*

13.3 Ackermann's function cases

lemma *ack-0*: $j \in \text{nat} \implies \text{ack}(0,j) = \text{succ}(j)$
— *PROPERTY A 1*
by (*simp add: SC*)

lemma *ack-succ-0*: $\text{ack}(\text{succ}(i), 0) = \text{ack}(i,1)$
— *PROPERTY A 2*
by (*simp add: CONST PREC-0*)

lemma *ack-succ-succ*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{ack}(\text{succ}(i), \text{succ}(j)) = \text{ack}(i, \text{ack}(\text{succ}(i), j))$
— *PROPERTY A 3*
by (*simp add: CONST PREC-succ COMP-1 PROJ-0*)

lemmas [*simp*] = *ack-0 ack-succ-0 ack-succ-succ ack-type*
and [*simp del*] = *ACK.simps*

lemma *lt-ack2* [*rule-format*]: $i \in \text{nat} \implies \forall j \in \text{nat}. j < \text{ack}(i,j)$
— *PROPERTY A 4*
apply (*induct-tac i*)
apply *simp*

```

apply (rule ballI)
apply (induct-tac j)
apply (erule-tac [2] succ-leI [THEN lt-trans1])
apply (rule nat-0I [THEN nat-0-le, THEN lt-trans])
apply auto
done

lemma ack-lt-ack-succ2: [[i∈nat; j∈nat]] ==> ack(i,j) < ack(i, succ(j))
  — PROPERTY A 5-, the single-step lemma
  by (induct-tac i) (simp-all add: lt-ack2)

lemma ack-lt-mono2: [[j < k; i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat ]] ==> ack(i,j) < ack(i,k)
  — PROPERTY A 5, monotonicity for <
  apply (frule lt-nat-in-nat, assumption)
  apply (erule succ-lt-induct)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule-tac [2] lt-trans)
  apply (auto intro: ack-lt-ack-succ2)
done

lemma ack-le-mono2: [[j ≤ k; i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat]] ==> ack(i,j) ≤ ack(i,k)
  — PROPERTY A 5', monotonicity for ≤
  apply (rule-tac f = λj. ack (i,j) in Ord-lt-mono-imp-le-mono)
  apply (assumption | rule ack-lt-mono2 ack-type [THEN nat-into-Ord])+
done

lemma ack2-le-ack1:
  [[ i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat ]] ==> ack(i, succ(j)) ≤ ack(succ(i), j)
  — PROPERTY A 6
  apply (induct-tac j)
  apply simp-all
  apply (rule ack-le-mono2)
  apply (rule lt-ack2 [THEN succ-leI, THEN le-trans])
  apply auto
done

lemma ack-lt-ack-succ1: [[ i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat ]] ==> ack(i,j) < ack(succ(i),j)
  — PROPERTY A 7-, the single-step lemma
  apply (rule ack-lt-mono2 [THEN lt-trans2])
  apply (rule-tac [4] ack2-le-ack1)
  apply auto
done

lemma ack-lt-mono1: [[ i < j; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat ]] ==> ack(i,k) < ack(j,k)
  — PROPERTY A 7, monotonicity for <
  apply (frule lt-nat-in-nat, assumption)
  apply (erule succ-lt-induct)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule-tac [2] lt-trans)

```

```

    apply (auto intro: ack-lt-ack-succ1)
  done

lemma ack-le-mono1: [| i ≤ j; j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat |] ==> ack(i,k) ≤ ack(j,k)
  — PROPERTY A 7', monotonicity for ≤
  apply (rule-tac f = λj. ack (j,k) in Ord-lt-mono-imp-le-mono)
    apply (assumption | rule ack-lt-mono1 ack-type [THEN nat-into-Ord])+
  done

lemma ack-1: j ∈ nat ==> ack(1,j) = succ(succ(j))
  — PROPERTY A 8
  by (induct-tac j) simp-all

lemma ack-2: j ∈ nat ==> ack(succ(1),j) = succ(succ(succ(j#+j)))
  — PROPERTY A 9
  by (induct-tac j) (simp-all add: ack-1)

lemma ack-nest-bound:
  [| i1 ∈ nat; i2 ∈ nat; j ∈ nat |]
  ==> ack(i1, ack(i2,j)) < ack(succ(succ(i1#+i2)), j)
  — PROPERTY A 10
  apply (rule lt-trans2 [OF - ack2-le-ack1])
    apply simp
    apply (rule add-le-self [THEN ack-le-mono1, THEN lt-trans1])
      apply auto
  apply (force intro: add-le-self2 [THEN ack-lt-mono1, THEN ack-lt-mono2])
  done

lemma ack-add-bound:
  [| i1 ∈ nat; i2 ∈ nat; j ∈ nat |]
  ==> ack(i1,j) #+ ack(i2,j) < ack(succ(succ(succ(succ(i1#+i2))))), j)
  — PROPERTY A 11
  apply (rule-tac j = ack (succ (1), ack (i1 #+ i2, j)) in lt-trans)
    apply (simp add: ack-2)
    apply (rule-tac [2] ack-nest-bound [THEN lt-trans2])
      apply (rule add-le-mono [THEN leI, THEN leI])
        apply (auto intro: add-le-self add-le-self2 ack-le-mono1)
  done

lemma ack-add-bound2:
  [| i < ack(k,j); j ∈ nat; k ∈ nat |]
  ==> i#+j < ack(succ(succ(succ(succ(k))))), j)
  — PROPERTY A 12.
  — Article uses existential quantifier but the ALF proof used k #+ #4.
  — Quantified version must be nested ∃ k'. ∀ i,j ...
  apply (rule-tac j = ack (k,j) #+ ack (0,j) in lt-trans)
    apply (rule-tac [2] ack-add-bound [THEN lt-trans2])
      apply (rule add-lt-mono)
    apply auto

```

done

13.4 Main result

declare *list-add-type* [simp]

lemma *SC-case*: $l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \text{SC } 'l < \text{ack}(1, \text{list-add}(l))$
 apply (unfold *SC-def*)
 apply (erule *list.cases*)
 apply (simp add: *succ-iff*)
 apply (simp add: *ack-1 add-le-self*)
 done

lemma *lt-ack1*: $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies i < \text{ack}(i,j)$
 — PROPERTY A 4'? Extra lemma needed for *CONST* case, constant functions.

apply (induct-tac *i*)
 apply (simp add: *nat-0-le*)
 apply (erule *lt-trans1* [OF *succ-leI ack-lt-ack-succ1*])
 apply auto
 done

lemma *CONST-case*:
 $[[l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}); k \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{CONST}(k) 'l < \text{ack}(k, \text{list-add}(l))$
 by (simp add: *CONST-def lt-ack1*)

lemma *PROJ-case* [rule-format]:
 $l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{PROJ}(i) 'l < \text{ack}(0, \text{list-add}(l))$
 apply (unfold *PROJ-def*)
 apply simp
 apply (erule *list.induct*)
 apply (simp add: *nat-0-le*)
 apply simp
 apply (rule *ballI*)
 apply (erule-tac $n = i$ in *natE*)
 apply (simp add: *add-le-self*)
 apply simp
 apply (erule *bspec* [THEN *lt-trans2*])
 apply (rule-tac [2] *add-le-self2* [THEN *succ-leI*])
 apply auto
 done

COMP case.

lemma *COMP-map-lemma*:
 $fs \in \text{list}(\{f \in \text{prim-rec}. \exists kf \in \text{nat}. \forall l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}). f'l < \text{ack}(kf, \text{list-add}(l))\})$
 $\implies \exists k \in \text{nat}. \forall l \in \text{list}(\text{nat}).$
 $\text{list-add}(\text{map}(\lambda f. f 'l, fs)) < \text{ack}(k, \text{list-add}(l))$
 apply (erule *list.induct*)
 apply (rule-tac $x = 0$ in *beXI*)

```

apply (simp-all add: lt-ack1 nat-0-le)
apply clarify
apply (rule ballI [THEN beXI])
apply (rule add-lt-mono [THEN lt-trans])
  apply (rule-tac [5] ack-add-bound)
    apply blast
    apply auto
done

```

lemma *COMP-case*:

```

[[ kg ∈ nat;
  ∀ l ∈ list(nat). gl < ack(kg, list-add(l));
  fs ∈ list({f ∈ prim-rec .
    ∃ kf ∈ nat. ∀ l ∈ list(nat).
      fl < ack(kf, list-add(l))}) ] ]
==> ∃ k ∈ nat. ∀ l ∈ list(nat). COMP(g,fs)l < ack(k, list-add(l))
apply (simp add: COMP-def)
apply (frule list-CollectD)
apply (erule COMP-map-lemma [THEN beXE])
apply (rule ballI [THEN beXI])
apply (erule bspec [THEN lt-trans])
apply (rule-tac [2] lt-trans)
apply (rule-tac [3] ack-nest-bound)
apply (erule-tac [2] bspec [THEN ack-lt-mono2])
apply auto
done

```

PREC case.

lemma *PREC-case-lemma*:

```

[[ ∀ l ∈ list(nat). fl #+ list-add(l) < ack(kf, list-add(l));
  ∀ l ∈ list(nat). gl #+ list-add(l) < ack(kg, list-add(l));
  f ∈ prim-rec; kf ∈ nat;
  g ∈ prim-rec; kg ∈ nat;
  l ∈ list(nat) ] ]
==> PREC(f,g)l #+ list-add(l) < ack(succ(kf#+kg), list-add(l))
apply (unfold PREC-def)
apply (erule list.cases)
apply (simp add: lt-trans [OF nat-le-refl lt-ack2])
apply simp
apply (erule ssubst) — get rid of the needless assumption
apply (induct-tac a)
apply simp-all

```

base case

```

apply (rule lt-trans, erule bspec, assumption)
apply (simp add: add-le-self [THEN ack-lt-mono1])

```

ind step

```

apply (rule succ-leI [THEN lt-trans1])

```

```

apply (rule-tac j = g ‘ ?ll #+ ?mm in lt-trans1)
apply (erule-tac [2] bspec)
apply (rule nat-le-refl [THEN add-le-mono])
apply typecheck
apply (simp add: add-le-self2)

```

final part of the simplification

```

apply simp
apply (rule add-le-self2 [THEN ack-le-mono1, THEN lt-trans1])
apply (erule-tac [4] ack-lt-mono2)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *PREC-case*:

```

[[ f ∈ prim-rec; kf ∈ nat;
  g ∈ prim-rec; kg ∈ nat;
  ∀ l ∈ list(nat). f'l < ack(kf, list-add(l));
  ∀ l ∈ list(nat). g'l < ack(kg, list-add(l)) ]]
==> ∃ k ∈ nat. ∀ l ∈ list(nat). PREC(f,g)'l < ack(k, list-add(l))
apply (rule ballI [THEN bexI])
apply (rule lt-trans1 [OF add-le-self PREC-case-lemma])
apply typecheck
apply (blast intro: ack-add-bound2 list-add-type)+
done

```

lemma *ack-bounds-prim-rec*:

```

f ∈ prim-rec ==> ∃ k ∈ nat. ∀ l ∈ list(nat). f'l < ack(k, list-add(l))
apply (erule prim-rec.induct)
apply (auto intro: SC-case CONST-case PROJ-case COMP-case PREC-case)
done

```

theorem *ack-not-prim-rec*:

```

(λ l ∈ list(nat). list-case(0, λ x xs. ack(x,x), l)) ∉ prim-rec
apply (rule notI)
apply (drule ack-bounds-prim-rec)
apply force
done

```

end