

# Isabelle/FOL — First-Order Logic

Larry Paulson and Markus Wenzel

October 1, 2005

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Intuitionistic first-order logic</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Syntax and axiomatic basis . . . . .	1
1.2	Lemmas and proof tools . . . . .	4
1.3	Intuitionistic Reasoning . . . . .	4
1.4	Atomizing meta-level rules . . . . .	5
1.5	Computational rules . . . . .	6
1.6	“Let” declarations . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Classical first-order logic</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	The classical axiom . . . . .	7
2.2	Lemmas and proof tools . . . . .	7
2.3	Lucas Dixon’s eqstep tactic . . . . .	8
2.4	Other simple lemmas . . . . .	8
2.5	Proof by cases and induction . . . . .	9

## 1 Intuitionistic first-order logic

```
theory IFOL
imports Pure
uses (IFOL-lemmas.ML) (fologic.ML) (hypsubstdata.ML) (intprover.ML)
begin
```

### 1.1 Syntax and axiomatic basis

```
global
```

```
classes term
final-consts term-class
defaultsort term
```

```
typedecl o
```

```
judgment
```

*Trueprop*    ::  $o \Rightarrow prop$                     ((-) 5)

**consts**

*True*        ::  $o$   
*False*       ::  $o$

*op* =        ::  $[ 'a, 'a ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixl** = 50)

*Not*        ::  $o \Rightarrow o$                             ( $\sim$  - [40] 40)  
*op* &        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr** & 35)  
*op* |        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr** | 30)  
*op* -->     ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr** --> 25)  
*op* <->     ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr** <-> 25)

*All*        ::  $( 'a \Rightarrow o ) \Rightarrow o$                     (**binder** ALL 10)  
*Ex*        ::  $( 'a \Rightarrow o ) \Rightarrow o$                     (**binder** EX 10)  
*Ex1*        ::  $( 'a \Rightarrow o ) \Rightarrow o$                     (**binder** EX! 10)

**syntax**

*-not-equal* ::  $[ 'a, 'a ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixl**  $\sim =$  50)

**translations**

$x \sim = y$     ==  $\sim (x = y)$

**syntax** (*xsymbols*)

*Not*        ::  $o \Rightarrow o$                             ( $\neg$  - [40] 40)  
*op* &        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\wedge$  35)  
*op* |        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\vee$  30)  
*ALL*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \forall \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*EX*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \exists \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*EX!*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \exists ! \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*-not-equal* ::  $[ 'a, 'a ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixl**  $\neq$  50)  
*op* -->     ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\longrightarrow$  25)  
*op* <->     ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\longleftrightarrow$  25)

**syntax** (*HTML output*)

*Not*        ::  $o \Rightarrow o$                             ( $\neg$  - [40] 40)  
*op* &        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\wedge$  35)  
*op* |        ::  $[ o, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixr**  $\vee$  30)  
*ALL*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \forall \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*EX*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \exists \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*EX!*        ::  $[ idts, o ] \Rightarrow o$                     ( $(\exists \exists ! \text{-./ -}) [0, 10] 10$ )  
*-not-equal* ::  $[ 'a, 'a ] \Rightarrow o$                     (**infixl**  $\neq$  50)

## local

### finalconsts

*False All Ex*  
*op =*  
*op &*  
*op |*  
*op -->*

### axioms

*refl:*         $a = a$

*conjI:*         $\llbracket P; Q \rrbracket \implies P \& Q$   
*conjunct1:*     $P \& Q \implies P$   
*conjunct2:*     $P \& Q \implies Q$

*disjI1:*         $P \implies P | Q$   
*disjI2:*         $Q \implies P | Q$   
*disjE:*         $\llbracket P | Q; P \implies R; Q \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$

*impI:*          $(P \implies Q) \implies P \dashrightarrow Q$   
*mp:*             $\llbracket P \dashrightarrow Q; P \rrbracket \implies Q$

*FalseE:*         $False \implies P$

*allI:*           $(\forall x. P(x)) \implies (ALL x. P(x))$   
*spec:*           $(ALL x. P(x)) \implies P(x)$

*exI:*             $P(x) \implies (EX x. P(x))$   
*exE:*             $\llbracket EX x. P(x); \forall x. P(x) \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$

*eq-reflection:*  $(x = y) \implies (x == y)$   
*iff-reflection:*  $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \implies (P == Q)$

Thanks to Stephan Merz

### theorem *subst*:

*assumes*  $eq: a = b$  *and*  $p: P(a)$   
  *shows*  $P(b)$

### proof –

*from*  $eq$  *have*  $meta: a \equiv b$

```

    by (rule eq-reflection)
  from p show ?thesis
    by (unfold meta)
qed

```

defs

```

True-def:   True  == False-->False
not-def:    ~P    == P-->False
iff-def:    P<->Q == (P-->Q) & (Q-->P)

```

```

ex1-def:    Ex1(P) == EX x. P(x) & (ALL y. P(y) --> y=x)

```

## 1.2 Lemmas and proof tools

```
use IFOL-lemmas.ML
```

```

use fologic.ML
use hypsubstdata.ML
setup hypsubst-setup
use intprover.ML

```

## 1.3 Intuitionistic Reasoning

```

lemma impE':
  assumes 1: P --> Q
    and 2: Q ==> R
    and 3: P --> Q ==> P
  shows R
proof -
  from 3 and 1 have P .
  with 1 have Q by (rule impE)
  with 2 show R .
qed

```

```

lemma allE':
  assumes 1: ALL x. P(x)
    and 2: P(x) ==> ALL x. P(x) ==> Q
  shows Q
proof -
  from 1 have P(x) by (rule spec)
  from this and 1 show Q by (rule 2)
qed

```

```

lemma notE':
  assumes 1: ~ P

```

```

    and 2:  $\sim P \implies P$ 
  shows R
proof -
  from 2 and 1 have P .
  with 1 show R by (rule notE)
qed

```

```

lemmas [Pure.elim!] = disjE iffE FalseE conjE exE
  and [Pure.intro!] = iffI conjI impI TrueI notI allI refl
  and [Pure.elim 2] = allE notE' impE'
  and [Pure.intro] = exI disjI2 disjI1

```

```

setup ⟨⟨
  [ContextRules.addSWrapper (fn tac => hyp-subst-tac ORELSE' tac)]
⟩⟩

```

```

lemma iff-not-sym:  $\sim (Q \longleftrightarrow P) \implies \sim (P \longleftrightarrow Q)$ 
  by iprover

```

```

lemmas [sym] = sym iff-sym not-sym iff-not-sym
  and [Pure.elim?] = iffD1 iffD2 impE

```

```

lemma eq-commute:  $a=b \longleftrightarrow b=a$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (erule sym)+
  done

```

#### 1.4 Atomizing meta-level rules

```

lemma atomize-all [atomize]:  $(!!x. P(x)) \implies \text{Trueprop } (ALL x. P(x))$ 
proof
  assume !!x. P(x)
  show ALL x. P(x) ..
next
  assume ALL x. P(x)
  thus !!x. P(x) ..
qed

```

```

lemma atomize-imp [atomize]:  $(A \implies B) \implies \text{Trueprop } (A \dashrightarrow B)$ 
proof
  assume A  $\implies$  B
  thus A  $\dashrightarrow$  B ..
next
  assume A  $\dashrightarrow$  B and A
  thus B by (rule mp)
qed

```

**lemma** *atomize-eq* [*atomize*]:  $(x == y) == \text{Trueprop } (x = y)$

**proof**

**assume**  $x == y$

**show**  $x = y$  **by** (*unfold prems*) (*rule refl*)

**next**

**assume**  $x = y$

**thus**  $x == y$  **by** (*rule eq-reflection*)

**qed**

**lemma** *atomize-conj* [*atomize*]:

$(!!C. (A ==> B ==> \text{PROP } C) ==> \text{PROP } C) == \text{Trueprop } (A \& B)$

**proof**

**assume**  $!!C. (A ==> B ==> \text{PROP } C) ==> \text{PROP } C$

**show**  $A \& B$  **by** (*rule conjI*)

**next**

**fix**  $C$

**assume**  $A \& B$

**assume**  $A ==> B ==> \text{PROP } C$

**thus**  $\text{PROP } C$

**proof** *this*

**show**  $A$  **by** (*rule conjunct1*)

**show**  $B$  **by** (*rule conjunct2*)

**qed**

**qed**

**lemmas** [*symmetric, rulify*] = *atomize-all atomize-imp*

## 1.5 Calculational rules

**lemma** *forw-subst*:  $a = b ==> P(b) ==> P(a)$

**by** (*rule ssubst*)

**lemma** *back-subst*:  $P(a) ==> a = b ==> P(b)$

**by** (*rule subst*)

Note that this list of rules is in reverse order of priorities.

**lemmas** *basic-trans-rules* [*trans*] =

*forw-subst*

*back-subst*

*rev-mp*

*mp*

*trans*

## 1.6 “Let” declarations

**nonterminals** *letbinds letbind*

**constdefs**

$\text{Let} :: ['a::\{\}, 'b] ==> ('b::\{\})$

$Let(s, f) == f(s)$

**syntax**

$-bind$      ::  $[pttrn, 'a] ==> letbind$       $((2- =/ -) 10)$   
           ::  $letbind ==> letbinds$       $(-)$   
 $-binds$     ::  $[letbind, letbinds] ==> letbinds$   $(-;/ -)$   
 $-Let$        ::  $[letbinds, 'a] ==> 'a$         $((let (-)/ in (-)) 10)$

**translations**

$-Let(-binds(b, bs), e) == -Let(b, -Let(bs, e))$   
 $let x = a in e$          $== Let(a, \%x. e)$

**lemma LetI:**

**assumes**  $prem: (!!x. x=t ==> P(u(x)))$   
  **shows**  $P(let x=t in u(x))$   
**apply**  $(unfold Let-def)$   
**apply**  $(rule refl [THEN prem])$   
**done**

**ML**

⟨⟨  
   $val Let-def = thm Let-def;$   
   $val LetI = thm LetI;$   
⟩⟩

**end**

## 2 Classical first-order logic

**theory FOL**

**imports IFOL**

**uses**  $(FOL-lemmas1.ML)$   $(cladata.ML)$   $(blastdata.ML)$   $(simpdata.ML)$   
       $(eqrule-FOL-data.ML)$   
       $(~~/src/Provers/eqsubst.ML)$

**begin**

### 2.1 The classical axiom

**axioms**

$classical: (\sim P ==> P) ==> P$

### 2.2 Lemmas and proof tools

**use**  $FOL-lemmas1.ML$

**theorems**  $case-split = case-split-thm$   $[case-names True False, cases type: o]$

**use**  $cladata.ML$

```

setup Cla.setup
setup cla-setup
setup case-setup

```

```

use blastdata.ML
setup Blast.setup

```

```

lemma ex1-functional: [| EX! z. P(a,z); P(a,b); P(a,c) |] ==> b = c
by blast

```

```

ML ⟨⟨
  val ex1-functional = thm ex1-functional;
  ⟩⟩

```

```

use simpdata.ML
setup simpsetup
setup Simplifier.method-setup Splitter.split-modifiers
setup Splitter.setup
setup Clasimp.setup

```

## 2.3 Lucas Dixon's eqstep tactic

```

use ~/src/Provers/eqsubst.ML
use eqrule-FOL-data.ML

```

```

setup EQSubstTac.setup

```

## 2.4 Other simple lemmas

```

lemma [simp]: ((P-->R) <-> (Q-->R)) <-> ((P<->Q) | R)
by blast

```

```

lemma [simp]: ((P-->Q) <-> (P-->R)) <-> (P --> (Q<->R))
by blast

```

```

lemma not-disj-iff-imp: ~P | Q <-> (P-->Q)
by blast

```

```

lemma conj-mono: [| P1-->Q1; P2-->Q2 |] ==> (P1&P2) --> (Q1&Q2)
by fast

```

```

lemma disj-mono: [| P1-->Q1; P2-->Q2 |] ==> (P1|P2) --> (Q1|Q2)
by fast

```

```

lemma imp-mono: [| Q1-->P1; P2-->Q2 |] ==> (P1-->P2)-->(Q1-->Q2)
by fast

```

**lemma** *imp-refl*:  $P \dashrightarrow P$   
**by** (*rule impI, assumption*)

**lemma** *ex-mono*:  $(!!x. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q(x)) \implies (EX x. P(x)) \dashrightarrow (EX x. Q(x))$   
**by** *blast*

**lemma** *all-mono*:  $(!!x. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q(x)) \implies (ALL x. P(x)) \dashrightarrow (ALL x. Q(x))$   
**by** *blast*

## 2.5 Proof by cases and induction

Proper handling of non-atomic rule statements.

**constdefs**

*induct-forall* ::  $('a \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$   
*induct-forall*( $P$ ) ==  $\forall x. P(x)$   
*induct-implies* ::  $o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o$   
*induct-implies*( $A, B$ ) ==  $A \dashrightarrow B$   
*induct-equal* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow o$   
*induct-equal*( $x, y$ ) ==  $x = y$

**lemma** *induct-forall-eq*:  $(!!x. P(x)) == \text{Trueprop}(\text{induct-forall}(\lambda x. P(x)))$   
**by** (*simp only: atomize-all induct-forall-def*)

**lemma** *induct-implies-eq*:  $(A \implies B) == \text{Trueprop}(\text{induct-implies}(A, B))$   
**by** (*simp only: atomize-imp induct-implies-def*)

**lemma** *induct-equal-eq*:  $(x == y) == \text{Trueprop}(\text{induct-equal}(x, y))$   
**by** (*simp only: atomize-eq induct-equal-def*)

**lemma** *induct-impliesI*:  $(A \implies B) \implies \text{induct-implies}(A, B)$   
**by** (*simp add: induct-implies-def*)

**lemmas** *induct-atomize* = *atomize-conj induct-forall-eq induct-implies-eq induct-equal-eq*

**lemmas** *induct-rulify1* [*symmetric, standard*] = *induct-forall-eq induct-implies-eq induct-equal-eq*

**lemmas** *induct-rulify2* = *induct-forall-def induct-implies-def induct-equal-def*

**lemma** *all-conj-eq*:  $(ALL x. P(x)) \& (ALL y. Q(y)) == (ALL x y. P(x) \& Q(y))$   
**by** *simp*

**hide** *const induct-forall induct-implies induct-equal*

Method setup.

**ML**  $\langle\langle$   
*structure* *InductMethod* = *InductMethodFun*  
*(struct*  
*val* *dest-concls* = *FOLogic.dest-concls*;

```
val cases-default = thm case-split;
val local-impI = thm induct-impliesI;
val conjI = thm conjI;
val atomize = thms induct-atomize;
val rulify1 = thms induct-rulify1;
val rulify2 = thms induct-rulify2;
val localize = [Thm.symmetric (thm induct-implies-def),
  Thm.symmetric (thm atomize-all), thm all-conj-eq];
end);
>>

setup InductMethod.setup

end
```