

The Supplemental Isabelle/HOL Library

June 8, 2008

Contents

1	GCD: The Greatest Common Divisor	9
1.1	Specification of GCD on nats	9
1.2	GCD on nat by Euclid's algorithm	9
1.3	Derived laws for GCD	10
1.4	LCM defined by GCD	12
1.5	GCD and LCM on integers	15
2	Abstract-Rat: Abstract rational numbers	18
3	AssocList: Map operations implemented on association lists	29
3.1	<i>delete</i>	31
3.2	<i>clearjunk</i>	32
3.3	<i>dom</i> and <i>ran</i>	33
3.4	<i>update</i>	34
3.5	<i>updates</i>	36
3.6	<i>map-ran</i>	37
3.7	<i>merge</i>	38
3.8	<i>compose</i>	39
3.9	<i>restrict</i>	42
4	SetsAndFunctions: Operations on sets and functions	45
4.1	Basic definitions	45
4.2	Basic properties	48
5	BigO: Big O notation	53
5.1	Definitions	53
5.2	Setsum	64
5.3	Misc useful stuff	66
5.4	Less than or equal to	67
6	Binomial: Binomial Coefficients	70
6.1	Theorems about <i>choose</i>	72

7 Boolean-Algebra: Boolean Algebras	74
7.1 Complement	75
7.2 Conjunction	75
7.3 Disjunction	76
7.4 De Morgan's Laws	77
7.5 Symmetric Difference	77
8 Product-ord: Order on product types	79
9 Char-nat: Mapping between characters and natural numbers	80
10 Char-ord: Order on characters	85
11 Code-Char: Code generation of pretty characters (and strings)	87
12 Code-Integer: Pretty integer literals for code generation	88
13 Code-Char-chr: Code generation of pretty characters with character codes	90
14 Code-Index: Type of indices	90
14.1 Datatype of indices	91
14.2 Indices as datatype of ints	93
14.3 Basic arithmetic	93
14.4 ML interface	95
14.5 Specialized <i>op -</i> , <i>op div</i> and <i>op mod</i> operations	96
14.6 Code serialization	96
15 Code-Message: Monolithic strings (message strings) for code generation	97
15.1 Datatype of messages	97
15.2 ML interface	98
15.3 Code serialization	98
16 Coinductive-List: Potentially infinite lists as greatest fixed-point	99
16.1 List constructors over the datatype universe	99
16.2 Corecursive lists	99
16.3 Abstract type definition	101
16.4 Equality as greatest fixed-point – the bisimulation principle	104
16.5 Derived operations – both on the set and abstract type	109
16.5.1 <i>Lconst</i>	109
16.5.2 <i>Lmap</i> and <i>lmap</i>	110
16.5.3 <i>Lappend</i>	112

16.6 iterates	113
16.7 A rather complex proof about iterates – cf. Andy Pitts	114
17 Parity: Even and Odd for int and nat	115
17.1 Even and odd are mutually exclusive	116
17.2 Behavior under integer arithmetic operations	116
17.3 Equivalent definitions	117
17.4 even and odd for nats	118
17.5 Equivalent definitions	118
17.6 Parity and powers	119
17.7 General Lemmas About Division	122
17.8 More Even/Odd Results	122
17.9 An Equivalence for $0 \leq a^n$	124
17.10 Miscellaneous	124
18 Commutative-Ring: Proving equalities in commutative rings	125
19 Continuity: Continuity and iterations (of set transformers)	131
19.1 Continuity for complete lattices	131
19.2 Chains	133
19.3 Continuity	134
19.4 Iteration	135
20 Countable: Encoding (almost) everything into natural numbers	137
20.1 The class of countable types	137
20.2 Conversion functions	137
20.3 Countable types	138
21 Dense-Linear-Order: Dense linear order without endpoints and a quantifier elimination procedure in Ferrante and Rackoff style	141
22 The classical QE after Langford for dense linear orders	146
23 Constructive dense linear orders yield QE for linear arithmetic over ordered Fields – see <i>Arith-Tools.thy</i>	147
23.1 Ferrante and Rackoff algorithm over ordered fields	153
24 Efficient-Nat: Implementation of natural numbers by target-language integers	160
24.1 Basic arithmetic	160
24.2 Case analysis	161
24.3 Preprocessors	162
24.4 Target language setup	162

25 Enum: Finite types as explicit enumerations	166
25.1 Class <i>enum</i>	166
25.2 Equality and order on functions	166
25.3 Quantifiers	167
25.4 Default instances	167
26 RType: Reflecting Pure types into HOL	173
27 Eval: A simple term evaluation mechanism	175
27.1 Term representation	176
27.1.1 Terms and class <i>term-of</i>	176
27.1.2 <i>term-of</i> instances	176
27.1.3 Code generator setup	178
27.1.4 Syntax	179
27.2 Evaluation setup	180
28 Eval-Witness: Evaluation Oracle with ML witnesses	181
28.1 Toy Examples	183
28.2 Discussion	183
28.2.1 Conflicts	183
28.2.2 Haskell	183
29 Executable-Set: Implementation of finite sets by lists	184
29.1 Definitional rewrites	184
29.2 Operations on lists	184
29.2.1 Basic definitions	184
29.2.2 Derived definitions	185
29.3 Isomorphism proofs	187
29.4 code generator setup	188
29.4.1 const serializations	188
30 FuncSet: Pi and Function Sets	189
30.1 Basic Properties of <i>Pi</i>	190
30.2 Composition With a Restricted Domain: <i>compose</i>	190
30.3 Bounded Abstraction: <i>restrict</i>	191
30.4 Bijections Between Sets	191
30.5 Extensionality	192
30.6 Cardinality	193
31 Heap: A polymorphic heap based on cantor encodings	193
31.1 Representable types	193
31.2 A polymorphic heap with dynamic arrays and references	195
31.3 Imperative references and arrays	196
31.3.1 Primitive operations	196
31.3.2 Interface operations	197

31.3.3	Reference equality	197
31.3.4	Properties of heap containers	198
32	Heap-Monad: A monad with a polymorphic heap	202
32.1	The monad	202
32.1.1	Monad combinators	202
32.1.2	do-syntax	204
32.1.3	Plain evaluation	205
32.2	Monad properties	205
32.2.1	Superfluous runs	205
32.2.2	Monad laws	206
32.3	Generic combinators	206
32.4	Code generator setup	207
32.4.1	Logical intermediate layer	207
32.4.2	SML	208
32.4.3	OCaml	208
32.4.4	Haskell	208
33	Array: Monadic arrays	209
33.1	Primitives	209
33.2	Derivates	210
33.3	Properties	211
33.4	Code generator setup	212
33.4.1	Logical intermediate layer	212
33.4.2	SML	213
33.4.3	OCaml	213
33.4.4	Haskell	213
34	Ref: Monadic references	213
34.1	Primitives	214
34.2	Derivates	214
34.3	Properties	214
34.4	Code generator setup	214
34.4.1	SML	214
34.4.2	OCaml	215
34.4.3	Haskell	215
35	Imperative-HOL: Entry point into monadic imperative HOL	215
36	Infinite-Set: Infinite Sets and Related Concepts	215
36.1	Infinite Sets	215
36.2	Infinitely Many and Almost All	222
36.3	Enumeration of an Infinite Set	223
36.4	Miscellaneous	224

37 ListVector: Lists as vectors	224
37.1 $+$ and $-$	225
37.2 Inner product	226
38 Multiset: Multisets	227
38.1 The type of multisets	227
38.2 Algebraic properties	229
38.2.1 Union	229
38.2.2 Difference	230
38.2.3 Count of elements	230
38.2.4 Set of elements	230
38.2.5 Size	231
38.2.6 Equality of multisets	232
38.2.7 Intersection	234
38.2.8 Comprehension (filter)	234
38.3 Induction and case splits	235
38.4 Orderings	237
38.4.1 Well-foundedness	237
38.4.2 Closure-free presentation	239
38.4.3 Partial-order properties	241
38.4.4 Monotonicity of multiset union	242
38.5 Link with lists	244
38.6 Pointwise ordering induced by count	246
38.7 Strong induction and subset induction for multisets	250
38.8 The fold combinator	252
38.9 Image	255
39 NatPair: Pairs of Natural Numbers	256
40 Nat-Infinity: Natural numbers with infinity	258
40.1 Definitions	258
40.2 Constructors	259
40.3 Ordering relations	260
40.4 Well-ordering	262
41 Nested-Environment: Nested environments	263
41.1 The lookup operation	264
41.2 The update operation	268
42 Numeral-Type: Numeral Syntax for Types	274
42.1 Preliminary lemmas	274
42.2 Cardinalities of types	275
42.3 Numeral Types	276
42.4 Syntax	277

42.5	Classes with at least 1 and 2	278
42.6	Examples	279
43	Option-ord: Canonical order on option type	279
44	Order-Relation: Orders as Relations	280
44.1	Orders on a set	281
44.2	Orders on the field	282
44.3	Orders on a type	282
45	Permutation: Permutations	282
45.1	Some examples of rule induction on permutations	283
45.2	Ways of making new permutations	283
45.3	Further results	284
45.4	Removing elements	284
46	Primes: Primality on nat	286
47	Quicksort: Quicksort	308
48	Quotient: Quotient types	309
48.1	Equivalence relations and quotient types	309
48.2	Equality on quotients	310
48.3	Picking representing elements	311
49	Ramsey: Ramsey's Theorem	313
49.1	Preliminaries	313
49.1.1	"Axiom" of Dependent Choice	313
49.1.2	Partitions of a Set	313
49.2	Ramsey's Theorem: Infinitary Version	314
49.3	Disjunctive Well-Foundedness	317
50	RBT: Red-Black Trees	319
50.1	Data type and invariant	319
50.2	Operations	320
50.3	Invariant preservation	320
50.4	Map Semantics	321
51	State-Monad: Combinators syntax for generic, open state monads (single threaded monads)	321
51.1	Motivation	321
51.2	State transformations and combinators	322
51.3	Obsolete runs	323
51.4	Monad laws	323
51.5	ML abstract operations	324

51.6 Syntax	324
51.7 Combinators	325
52 Univ-Poly: Univariate Polynomials	327
52.1 Arithmetic Operations on Polynomials	327
52.2 Key Property: if $f\ a = (0::'a)$ then $x - a$ divides $p\ x$	331
52.3 Polynomial length	332
53 While-Combinator: A general “while” combinator	349
54 Word: Binary Words	351
54.1 Auxilary Lemmas	351
54.2 Bits	351
54.3 Bit Vectors	353
54.4 Unsigned Arithmetic Operations	366
54.5 Signed Vectors	368
54.6 Signed Arithmetic Operations	380
54.6.1 Conversion from unsigned to signed	380
54.6.2 Unary minus	380
54.7 Structural operations	392
55 Zorn: Zorn’s Lemma	400
55.1 Mathematical Preamble	401
55.2 Hausdorff’s Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain.	403
55.3 Zorn’s Lemma: If All Chains Have Upper Bounds Then There Is a Maximal Element	404
55.4 Alternative version of Zorn’s Lemma	405
56 List-Prefix: List prefixes and postfixes	410
56.1 Prefix order on lists	410
56.2 Basic properties of prefixes	411
56.3 Parallel lists	414
56.4 Postfix order on lists	415
56.5 Executable code	418
57 List-lexord: Lexicographic order on lists	418
58 Sublist-Order: Sublist Ordering	420
58.1 Definitions and basic lemmas	420
58.2 Appending elements	423
58.3 Relation to standard list operations	423

1 GCD: The Greatest Common Divisor

theory *GCD*
imports *ATP-Linkup*
begin

See [3].

1.1 Specification of GCD on nats

definition

$is_gcd :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where** — *gcd* as a relation
 $is_gcd\ p\ m\ n \longleftrightarrow p\ dvd\ m \wedge p\ dvd\ n \wedge$
 $(\forall d. d\ dvd\ m \longrightarrow d\ dvd\ n \longrightarrow d\ dvd\ p)$

Uniqueness

lemma *is-gcd-unique*: $is_gcd\ m\ a\ b \Longrightarrow is_gcd\ n\ a\ b \Longrightarrow m = n$
by (*simp add: is-gcd-def*) (*blast intro: dvd-anti-sym*)

Connection to divides relation

lemma *is-gcd-dvd*: $is_gcd\ m\ a\ b \Longrightarrow k\ dvd\ a \Longrightarrow k\ dvd\ b \Longrightarrow k\ dvd\ m$
by (*auto simp add: is-gcd-def*)

Commutativity

lemma *is-gcd-commute*: $is_gcd\ k\ m\ n = is_gcd\ k\ n\ m$
by (*auto simp add: is-gcd-def*)

1.2 GCD on nat by Euclid’s algorithm

fun

$gcd :: nat \times nat \Rightarrow nat$

where

$gcd\ (m, n) = (if\ n = 0\ then\ m\ else\ gcd\ (n, m\ mod\ n))$

lemma *gcd-induct*:

fixes $m\ n :: nat$

assumes $\bigwedge m. P\ m\ 0$

and $\bigwedge m\ n. 0 < n \Longrightarrow P\ n\ (m\ mod\ n) \Longrightarrow P\ m\ n$

shows $P\ m\ n$

apply (*rule gcd.induct [of split P (m, n), unfolded Product-Type.split]*)

apply (*case-tac n = 0*)

apply *simp-all*

using *assms* **apply** *simp-all*

done

lemma *gcd-0* [*simp*]: $gcd\ (m, 0) = m$
by *simp*

lemma *gcd-0-left* [*simp*]: $gcd\ (0, m) = m$

by *simp*

lemma *gcd-non-0*: $n > 0 \implies \text{gcd } (m, n) = \text{gcd } (n, m \bmod n)$
 by *simp*

lemma *gcd-1* [*simp*]: $\text{gcd } (m, \text{Suc } 0) = 1$
 by *simp*

declare *gcd.simps* [*simp del*]

$\text{gcd } (m, n)$ divides m and n . The conjunctions don’t seem provable separately.

lemma *gcd-dvd1* [*iff*]: $\text{gcd } (m, n) \text{ dvd } m$
and *gcd-dvd2* [*iff*]: $\text{gcd } (m, n) \text{ dvd } n$
apply (*induct m n rule: gcd-induct*)
apply (*simp-all add: gcd-non-0*)
apply (*blast dest: dvd-mod-imp-dvd*)
done

Maximality: for all m, n, k naturals, if k divides m and k divides n then k divides $\text{gcd } (m, n)$.

lemma *gcd-greatest*: $k \text{ dvd } m \implies k \text{ dvd } n \implies k \text{ dvd } \text{gcd } (m, n)$
by (*induct m n rule: gcd-induct*) (*simp-all add: gcd-non-0 dvd-mod*)

Function *gcd* yields the Greatest Common Divisor.

lemma *is-gcd*: $\text{is-gcd } (\text{gcd } (m, n)) \ m \ n$
by (*simp add: is-gcd-def gcd-greatest*)

1.3 Derived laws for GCD

lemma *gcd-greatest-iff* [*iff*]: $k \text{ dvd } \text{gcd } (m, n) \longleftrightarrow k \text{ dvd } m \wedge k \text{ dvd } n$
by (*blast intro!: gcd-greatest intro: dvd-trans*)

lemma *gcd-zero*: $\text{gcd } (m, n) = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0 \wedge n = 0$
by (*simp only: dvd-0-left-iff [symmetric] gcd-greatest-iff*)

lemma *gcd-commute*: $\text{gcd } (m, n) = \text{gcd } (n, m)$
apply (*rule is-gcd-unique*)
apply (*rule is-gcd*)
apply (*subst is-gcd-commute*)
apply (*simp add: is-gcd*)
done

lemma *gcd-assoc*: $\text{gcd } (\text{gcd } (k, m), n) = \text{gcd } (k, \text{gcd } (m, n))$
apply (*rule is-gcd-unique*)
apply (*rule is-gcd*)
apply (*simp add: is-gcd-def*)
apply (*blast intro: dvd-trans*)

done

lemma *gcd-1-left* [*simp*]: $\text{gcd } (\text{Suc } 0, m) = 1$
 by (*simp add: gcd-commute*)

Multiplication laws

lemma *gcd-mult-distrib2*: $k * \text{gcd } (m, n) = \text{gcd } (k * m, k * n)$
 — [3, page 27]
 apply (*induct m n rule: gcd-induct*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*case-tac k = 0*)
 apply (*simp-all add: mod-geq gcd-non-0 mod-mult-distrib2*)
 done

lemma *gcd-mult* [*simp*]: $\text{gcd } (k, k * n) = k$
 apply (*rule gcd-mult-distrib2 [of k 1 n, simplified, symmetric]*)
 done

lemma *gcd-self* [*simp*]: $\text{gcd } (k, k) = k$
 apply (*rule gcd-mult [of k 1, simplified]*)
 done

lemma *relprime-dvd-mult*: $\text{gcd } (k, n) = 1 \implies k \text{ dvd } m * n \implies k \text{ dvd } m$
 apply (*insert gcd-mult-distrib2 [of m k n]*)
 apply *simp*
 apply (*erule-tac t = m in ssubst*)
 apply *simp*
 done

lemma *relprime-dvd-mult-iff*: $\text{gcd } (k, n) = 1 \implies (k \text{ dvd } m * n) = (k \text{ dvd } m)$
 apply (*blast intro: relprime-dvd-mult dvd-trans*)
 done

lemma *gcd-mult-cancel*: $\text{gcd } (k, n) = 1 \implies \text{gcd } (k * m, n) = \text{gcd } (m, n)$
 apply (*rule dvd-anti-sym*)
 apply (*rule gcd-greatest*)
 apply (*rule-tac n = k in relprime-dvd-mult*)
 apply (*simp add: gcd-assoc*)
 apply (*simp add: gcd-commute*)
 apply (*simp-all add: mult-commute*)
 apply (*blast intro: dvd-trans*)
 done

Addition laws

lemma *gcd-add1* [*simp*]: $\text{gcd } (m + n, n) = \text{gcd } (m, n)$
 apply (*case-tac n = 0*)
 apply (*simp-all add: gcd-non-0*)
 done

```

lemma gcd-add2 [simp]: gcd (m, m + n) = gcd (m, n)
proof –
  have gcd (m, m + n) = gcd (m + n, m) by (rule gcd-commute)
  also have ... = gcd (n + m, m) by (simp add: add-commute)
  also have ... = gcd (n, m) by simp
  also have ... = gcd (m, n) by (rule gcd-commute)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma gcd-add2' [simp]: gcd (m, n + m) = gcd (m, n)
apply (subst add-commute)
apply (rule gcd-add2)
done

```

```

lemma gcd-add-mult: gcd (m, k * m + n) = gcd (m, n)
by (induct k) (simp-all add: add-assoc)

```

```

lemma gcd-dvd-prod: gcd (m, n) dvd m * n
using mult-dvd-mono [of 1] by auto

```

Division by gcd yields relatively primes.

```

lemma div-gcd-relprime:
  assumes nz:  $a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0$ 
  shows gcd (a div gcd(a,b), b div gcd(a,b)) = 1
proof –
  let ?g = gcd (a, b)
  let ?a' = a div ?g
  let ?b' = b div ?g
  let ?g' = gcd (?a', ?b')
  have dvdg: ?g dvd a ?g dvd b by simp-all
  have dvdg': ?g' dvd ?a' ?g' dvd ?b' by simp-all
  from dvdg dvdg' obtain ka kb ka' kb' where
    kab:  $a = ?g * ka$   $b = ?g * kb$   $?a' = ?g' * ka'$   $?b' = ?g' * kb'$ 
  unfolding dvd-def by blast
  then have ?g * ?a' = (?g * ?g') * ka' ?g * ?b' = (?g * ?g') * kb' by simp-all
  then have dvdgg': ?g * ?g' dvd a ?g * ?g' dvd b
    by (auto simp add: dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(1)]
      dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(2)] dvd-def)
  have ?g  $\neq 0$  using nz by (simp add: gcd-zero)
  then have gp: ?g > 0 by simp
  from gcd-greatest [OF dvdgg'] have ?g * ?g' dvd ?g .
  with dvd-mult-cancel1 [OF gp] show ?g' = 1 by simp
qed

```

1.4 LCM defined by GCD

definition

$lcm :: nat \times nat \Rightarrow nat$

where

lcm-prim-def: $\text{lcm} = (\lambda(m, n). m * n \text{ div } \text{gcd } (m, n))$

lemma *lcm-def*:

$\text{lcm } (m, n) = m * n \text{ div } \text{gcd } (m, n)$

unfolding *lcm-prim-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *prod-gcd-lcm*:

$m * n = \text{gcd } (m, n) * \text{lcm } (m, n)$

unfolding *lcm-def* **by** (*simp add: dvd-mult-div-cancel [OF gcd-dvd-prod]*)

lemma *lcm-0* [*simp*]: $\text{lcm } (m, 0) = 0$

unfolding *lcm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *lcm-1* [*simp*]: $\text{lcm } (m, 1) = m$

unfolding *lcm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *lcm-0-left* [*simp*]: $\text{lcm } (0, n) = 0$

unfolding *lcm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *lcm-1-left* [*simp*]: $\text{lcm } (1, m) = m$

unfolding *lcm-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *dvd-pos*:

fixes $n\ m :: \text{nat}$

assumes $n > 0$ **and** $m \text{ dvd } n$

shows $m > 0$

using *assms* **by** (*cases m*) *auto*

lemma *lcm-least*:

assumes $m \text{ dvd } k$ **and** $n \text{ dvd } k$

shows $\text{lcm } (m, n) \text{ dvd } k$

proof (*cases k*)

case 0 **then show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc -*) **then have** *pos-k*: $k > 0$ **by** *auto*

from *assms dvd-pos* [*OF this*] **have** *pos-mn*: $m > 0\ n > 0$ **by** *auto*

with *gcd-zero* [*of m n*] **have** *pos-gcd*: $\text{gcd } (m, n) > 0$ **by** *simp*

from *assms* **obtain** p **where** *k-m*: $k = m * p$ **using** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*

from *assms* **obtain** q **where** *k-n*: $k = n * q$ **using** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*

from *pos-k k-m* **have** *pos-p*: $p > 0$ **by** *auto*

from *pos-k k-n* **have** *pos-q*: $q > 0$ **by** *auto*

have $k * k * \text{gcd } (q, p) = k * \text{gcd } (k * q, k * p)$

by (*simp add: mult-ac gcd-mult-distrib2*)

also have $\dots = k * \text{gcd } (m * p * q, n * q * p)$

by (*simp add: k-m [symmetric] k-n [symmetric]*)

also have $\dots = k * p * q * \text{gcd } (m, n)$

by (*simp add: mult-ac gcd-mult-distrib2*)

finally have $(m * p) * (n * q) * \text{gcd } (q, p) = k * p * q * \text{gcd } (m, n)$

```

    by (simp only: k-m [symmetric] k-n [symmetric])
  then have  $p * q * m * n * \gcd(q, p) = p * q * k * \gcd(m, n)$ 
    by (simp add: mult-ac)
  with pos-p pos-q have  $m * n * \gcd(q, p) = k * \gcd(m, n)$ 
    by simp
  with prod-gcd-lcm [of m n]
  have  $\text{lcm}(m, n) * \gcd(q, p) * \gcd(m, n) = k * \gcd(m, n)$ 
    by (simp add: mult-ac)
  with pos-gcd have  $\text{lcm}(m, n) * \gcd(q, p) = k$  by simp
  then show ?thesis using dvd-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lcm-dvd1 [iff]:
   $m \text{ dvd } \text{lcm}(m, n)$ 
proof (cases m)
  case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Suc -)
  then have mpos:  $m > 0$  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases n)
    case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc -)
    then have npos:  $n > 0$  by simp
    have  $\gcd(m, n) \text{ dvd } n$  by simp
    then obtain k where  $n = \gcd(m, n) * k$  using dvd-def by auto
    then have  $m * n \text{ div } \gcd(m, n) = m * (\gcd(m, n) * k) \text{ div } \gcd(m, n)$  by
      (simp add: mult-ac)
    also have  $\dots = m * k$  using mpos npos gcd-zero by simp
    finally show ?thesis by (simp add: lcm-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma lcm-dvd2 [iff]:
   $n \text{ dvd } \text{lcm}(m, n)$ 
proof (cases n)
  case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Suc -)
  then have npos:  $n > 0$  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases m)
    case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc -)
    then have mpos:  $m > 0$  by simp
    have  $\gcd(m, n) \text{ dvd } m$  by simp
    then obtain k where  $m = \gcd(m, n) * k$  using dvd-def by auto

```

then have $m * n \text{ div } \text{gcd } (m, n) = (\text{gcd } (m, n) * k) * n \text{ div } \text{gcd } (m, n)$ by
 (simp add: mult-ac)
 also have $\dots = n * k$ using mpos npos gcd-zero by simp
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: lcm-def)
 qed
 qed

1.5 GCD and LCM on integers

definition

$\text{igcd} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ where
 $\text{igcd } i \ j = \text{int } (\text{gcd } (\text{nat } (\text{abs } i), \text{nat } (\text{abs } j)))$

lemma igcd-dvd1 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ j \text{ dvd } i$
 by (simp add: igcd-def int-dvd-iff)

lemma igcd-dvd2 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ j \text{ dvd } j$
 by (simp add: igcd-def int-dvd-iff)

lemma igcd-pos: $\text{igcd } i \ j \geq 0$
 by (simp add: igcd-def)

lemma igcd0 [simp]: $(\text{igcd } i \ j = 0) = (i = 0 \wedge j = 0)$
 by (simp add: igcd-def gcd-zero) arith

lemma igcd-commute: $\text{igcd } i \ j = \text{igcd } j \ i$
 unfolding igcd-def by (simp add: gcd-commute)

lemma igcd-neg1 [simp]: $\text{igcd } (- i) \ j = \text{igcd } i \ j$
 unfolding igcd-def by simp

lemma igcd-neg2 [simp]: $\text{igcd } i \ (- j) = \text{igcd } i \ j$
 unfolding igcd-def by simp

lemma zrelprime-dvd-mult: $\text{igcd } i \ j = 1 \implies i \text{ dvd } k * j \implies i \text{ dvd } k$
 unfolding igcd-def

proof –

assume $\text{int } (\text{gcd } (\text{nat } |i|, \text{nat } |j|)) = 1$ $i \text{ dvd } k * j$
 then have $g: \text{gcd } (\text{nat } |i|, \text{nat } |j|) = 1$ by simp
 from $\langle i \text{ dvd } k * j \rangle$ obtain h where $h: k * j = i * h$ unfolding dvd-def by blast
 have $th: \text{nat } |i| \text{ dvd } \text{nat } |k| * \text{nat } |j|$
 unfolding dvd-def
 by (rule-tac $x = \text{nat } |h|$ in exI, simp add: h nat-abs-mult-distrib [symmetric])
 from relprime-dvd-mult [OF g th] obtain h' where $h': \text{nat } |k| = \text{nat } |i| * h'$
 unfolding dvd-def by blast
 from h' have $\text{int } (\text{nat } |k|) = \text{int } (\text{nat } |i| * h')$ by simp
 then have $|k| = |i| * \text{int } h'$ by (simp add: int-mult)
 then show ?thesis
 apply (subst zdvd-abs1 [symmetric])

```

    apply (subst zdvd-abs2 [symmetric])
    apply (unfold dvd-def)
    apply (rule-tac x = int h' in exI, simp)
  done
qed

```

lemma *int-nat-abs*: $\text{int } (\text{nat } (\text{abs } x)) = \text{abs } x$ **by** *arith*

lemma *igcd-greatest*:

```

  assumes k dvd m and k dvd n
  shows k dvd igcd m n
proof -
  let ?k' = nat |k|
  let ?m' = nat |m|
  let ?n' = nat |n|
  from ⟨k dvd m⟩ and ⟨k dvd n⟩ have dvd': ?k' dvd ?m' ?k' dvd ?n'
    unfolding zdvd-int by (simp-all only: int-nat-abs zdvd-abs1 zdvd-abs2)
  from gcd-greatest [OF dvd'] have int (nat |k|) dvd igcd m n
    unfolding igcd-def by (simp only: zdvd-int)
  then have |k| dvd igcd m n by (simp only: int-nat-abs)
  then show k dvd igcd m n by (simp add: zdvd-abs1)
qed

```

lemma *div-igcd-relprime*:

```

  assumes nz: a ≠ 0 ∨ b ≠ 0
  shows igcd (a div (igcd a b)) (b div (igcd a b)) = 1
proof -
  from nz have nz': nat |a| ≠ 0 ∨ nat |b| ≠ 0 by arith
  let ?g = igcd a b
  let ?a' = a div ?g
  let ?b' = b div ?g
  let ?g' = igcd ?a' ?b'
  have dvdg: ?g dvd a ?g dvd b by (simp-all add: igcd-dvd1 igcd-dvd2)
  have dvdg': ?g' dvd ?a' ?g' dvd ?b' by (simp-all add: igcd-dvd1 igcd-dvd2)
  from dvdg dvdg' obtain ka kb ka' kb' where
    kab: a = ?g*ka b = ?g*kb ?a' = ?g'*ka' ?b' = ?g'*kb'
    unfolding dvd-def by blast
  then have ?g*?a' = (?g*?g')*ka'?g*?b' = (?g*?g')*kb' by simp-all
  then have dvdgg': ?g*?g' dvd a ?g*?g' dvd b
    by (auto simp add: zdvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(1)]
      zdvd-mult-div-cancel [OF dvdg(2)] dvd-def)
  have ?g ≠ 0 using nz by simp
  then have gp: ?g ≠ 0 using igcd-pos[where i=a and j=b] by arith
  from igcd-greatest [OF dvdgg'] have ?g*?g' dvd ?g .
  with zdvd-mult-cancel1 [OF gp] have |?g'| = 1 by simp
  with igcd-pos show ?g' = 1 by simp
qed

```

definition *ilcm* = $(\lambda i j. \text{int } (\text{lcm}(\text{nat}(\text{abs } i), \text{nat}(\text{abs } j))))$

lemma *dvd-ilcm-self1*[simp]: $i \text{ dvd ilcm } i \ j$
by(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)

lemma *dvd-ilcm-self2*[simp]: $j \text{ dvd ilcm } i \ j$
by(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)

lemma *dvd-imp-dvd-ilcm1*:
assumes $k \text{ dvd } i$ **shows** $k \text{ dvd } (\text{ilcm } i \ j)$
proof –
have $\text{nat}(\text{abs } k) \text{ dvd nat}(\text{abs } i)$ **using** $\langle k \text{ dvd } i \rangle$
by(simp add:int-dvd-iff[symmetric] dvd-int-iff[symmetric] zdvd-abs1)
thus ?thesis **by**(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)(blast intro: dvd-trans)
qed

lemma *dvd-imp-dvd-ilcm2*:
assumes $k \text{ dvd } j$ **shows** $k \text{ dvd } (\text{ilcm } i \ j)$
proof –
have $\text{nat}(\text{abs } k) \text{ dvd nat}(\text{abs } j)$ **using** $\langle k \text{ dvd } j \rangle$
by(simp add:int-dvd-iff[symmetric] dvd-int-iff[symmetric] zdvd-abs1)
thus ?thesis **by**(simp add:ilcm-def dvd-int-iff)(blast intro: dvd-trans)
qed

lemma *zdvd-self-abs1*: $(d::\text{int}) \text{ dvd } (\text{abs } d)$
by (case-tac $d < 0$, simp-all)

lemma *zdvd-self-abs2*: $(\text{abs } (d::\text{int})) \text{ dvd } d$
by (case-tac $d < 0$, simp-all)

lemma *lcm-pos*:
assumes $mpos: m > 0$
and $npos: n > 0$
shows $\text{lcm } (m, n) > 0$
proof(rule ccontr, simp add: lcm-def gcd-zero)
assume $h: m*n \text{ div gcd}(m, n) = 0$
from $mpos \ npos$ **have** $\text{gcd } (m, n) \neq 0$ **using** gcd-zero **by** simp
hence $\text{gcdp: gcd}(m, n) > 0$ **by** simp
with h
have $m*n < \text{gcd}(m, n)$
by (cases $m * n < \text{gcd } (m, n)$) (auto simp add: div-if[OF gcdp, where $m=m*n$])
moreover
have $\text{gcd}(m, n) \text{ dvd } m$ **by** simp
with $mpos \ \text{dvd-imp-le}$ **have** $t1: \text{gcd}(m, n) \leq m$ **by** simp
with $npos$ **have** $t1: \text{gcd}(m, n)*n \leq m*n$ **by** simp
have $\text{gcd}(m, n) \leq \text{gcd}(m, n)*n$ **using** $npos$ **by** simp

with $t1$ have $\gcd(m,n) \leq m*n$ by *arith*
 ultimately show *False* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *ilcm-pos*:
 assumes *anz*: $a \neq 0$
 and *bnz*: $b \neq 0$
 shows $0 < \text{ilcm } a \ b$
 proof –
 let *?na* = *nat* (*abs a*)
 let *?nb* = *nat* (*abs b*)
 have *nap*: *?na* > 0 using *anz* by *simp*
 have *nbp*: *?nb* > 0 using *bnz* by *simp*
 have $0 < \text{lcm } (?na, ?nb)$ by (rule *lcm-pos*[*OF nap nbp*])
 thus *?thesis* by (*simp add: ilcm-def*)
 qed
 end

2 Abstract-Rat: Abstract rational numbers

theory *Abstract-Rat*
 imports *GCD*
 begin

types *Num* = *int* \times *int*

abbreviation
 $\text{Num0-syn} :: \text{Num } (0_N)$
 where $0_N \equiv (0, 0)$

abbreviation
 $\text{Numi-syn} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{Num } (-_N)$
 where $i_N \equiv (i, 1)$

definition
 $\text{isnormNum} :: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 where
 $\text{isnormNum} = (\lambda(a,b). (\text{if } a = 0 \text{ then } b = 0 \text{ else } b > 0 \wedge \text{igcd } a \ b = 1))$

definition
 $\text{normNum} :: \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{Num}$
 where
 $\text{normNum} = (\lambda(a,b). (\text{if } a=0 \vee b = 0 \text{ then } (0,0) \text{ else } (\text{let } g = \text{igcd } a \ b \\ \text{in if } b > 0 \text{ then } (a \text{ div } g, b \text{ div } g) \text{ else } (- (a \text{ div } g), - (b \text{ div } g))))))$

lemma *normNum-isnormNum* [*simp*]: $\text{isnormNum } (\text{normNum } x)$

proof –

have $\exists a b. x = (a, b)$ **by** *auto*
then obtain $a b$ **where** $x[simp]: x = (a, b)$ **by** *blast*
{assume $a=0 \vee b=0$ **hence** $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add: normNum-def\ isnormNum-def)$ **}**

moreover

{assume $anz: a \neq 0$ **and** $bnz: b \neq 0$
let $?g = igcd\ a\ b$
let $?a' = a\ div\ ?g$
let $?b' = b\ div\ ?g$
let $?g' = igcd\ ?a'\ ?b'$
from $anz\ bnz$ **have** $?g \neq 0$ **by** *simp* **with** $igcd-pos[of\ a\ b]$
have $gpos: ?g > 0$ **by** *arith*
have $gdvd: ?g\ dvd\ a\ ?g\ dvd\ b$ **by** $(simp-all\ add: igcd-dvd1\ igcd-dvd2)$
from $zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF\ gdvd(1)]\ zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF\ gdvd(2)]$
 $anz\ bnz$
have $nz': ?a' \neq 0\ ?b' \neq 0$
by $-(rule\ notI, simp\ add: igcd-def)+$
from $anz\ bnz$ **have** $stupid: a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0$ **by** *blast*
from $div-igcd-relprime[OF\ stupid]$ **have** $gp1: ?g' = 1$.
from bnz **have** $b < 0 \vee b > 0$ **by** *arith*

moreover

{assume $b: b > 0$
from $pos-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff[OF\ gpos]\ b$
have $?b' \geq 0$ **by** *simp*
with nz' **have** $b': ?b' > 0$ **by** *simp*
from $b\ b'\ anz\ bnz\ nz'\ gp1$ **have** $?thesis$
by $(simp\ add: isnormNum-def\ normNum-def\ Let-def\ split-def\ fst-conv\ snd-conv)$ **}**

moreover **{assume** $b: b < 0$

{assume $b': ?b' \geq 0$
from $gpos$ **have** $th: ?g \geq 0$ **by** *arith*
from $mult-nonneg-nonneg[OF\ th\ b']\ zdvd-mult-div-cancel[OF\ gdvd(2)]$
have *False* **using** b **by** *simp* **}**
hence $b': ?b' < 0$ **by** $(presburger\ add: linorder-not-le[symmetric])$
from $anz\ bnz\ nz'\ b\ b'\ gp1$ **have** $?thesis$

by $(simp\ add: isnormNum-def\ normNum-def\ Let-def\ split-def\ fst-conv\ snd-conv)$ **}**

ultimately have $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

}

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

Arithmetic over Num

definition

$Nadd :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** $+_N$ 60)

where

$Nadd = (\lambda(a, b)\ (a', b').\ if\ a = 0 \vee b = 0\ then\ normNum(a', b') \\ else\ if\ a'=0 \vee b' = 0\ then\ normNum(a, b))$

else normNum($a*b' + b*a', b*b'$)

definition

$Nmul :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** $*_N$ 60)

where

$Nmul = (\lambda(a,b) (a',b'). \text{let } g = \text{igcd } (a*a') (b*b') \\ \text{in } (a*a' \text{ div } g, b*b' \text{ div } g))$

definition

$Nneg :: Num \Rightarrow Num$ (\sim_N)

where

$Nneg \equiv (\lambda(a,b). (-a,b))$

definition

$Nsub :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** $-_N$ 60)

where

$Nsub = (\lambda a b. a +_N \sim_N b)$

definition

$Ninv :: Num \Rightarrow Num$

where

$Ninv \equiv \lambda(a,b). \text{if } a < 0 \text{ then } (-b, |a|) \text{ else } (b,a)$

definition

$Ndiv :: Num \Rightarrow Num \Rightarrow Num$ (**infixl** \div_N 60)

where

$Ndiv \equiv \lambda a b. a *_N Ninv b$

lemma $Nneg\text{-}normN[simp]$: $isnormNum\ x \implies isnormNum\ (\sim_N x)$

by ($simp\ add$: $isnormNum\text{-}def\ Nneg\text{-}def\ split\text{-}def$)

lemma $Nadd\text{-}normN[simp]$: $isnormNum\ (x +_N y)$

by ($simp\ add$: $Nadd\text{-}def\ split\text{-}def$)

lemma $Nsub\text{-}normN[simp]$: $\llbracket isnormNum\ y \rrbracket \implies isnormNum\ (x -_N y)$

by ($simp\ add$: $Nsub\text{-}def\ split\text{-}def$)

lemma $Nmul\text{-}normN[simp]$: **assumes** $xn:isnormNum\ x$ **and** $yn:isnormNum\ y$
shows $isnormNum\ (x *_N y)$

proof–

have $\exists a\ b. x = (a,b)$ **and** $\exists a'\ b'. y = (a',b')$ **by** *auto*

then obtain $a\ b\ a'\ b'$ **where** $ab: x = (a,b)$ **and** $ab': y = (a',b')$ **by** *blast*

{assume $a = 0$

hence *?thesis* **using** $xn\ ab\ ab'$

by ($simp\ add$: $igcd\text{-}def\ isnormNum\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ Nmul\text{-}def\ split\text{-}def$)}

moreover

{assume $a' = 0$

hence *?thesis* **using** $yn\ ab\ ab'$

by ($simp\ add$: $igcd\text{-}def\ isnormNum\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ Nmul\text{-}def\ split\text{-}def$)}

moreover

{assume $a: a \neq 0$ **and** $a': a' \neq 0$

hence $bp: b > 0\ b' > 0$ **using** $xn\ yn\ ab\ ab'$ **by** ($simp\text{-}all\ add$: $isnormNum\text{-}def$)

from *mult-pos-pos*[*OF bp*] **have** $x *_N y = \text{normNum } (a * a', b * b')$
using *ab ab' a a' bp* **by** (*simp add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def normNum-def*)
hence *?thesis* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *Ninv-normN*[*simp*]: $\text{isnormNum } x \implies \text{isnormNum } (\text{Ninv } x)$
by (*simp add: Ninv-def isnormNum-def split-def*)
(cases fst x = 0, auto simp add: igcd-commute)

lemma *isnormNum-int*[*simp*]:
 $\text{isnormNum } 0_N \text{ isnormNum } (1::\text{int})_N \ i \neq 0 \implies \text{isnormNum } i_N$
by (*simp-all add: isnormNum-def igcd-def*)

Relations over Num

definition

Nlt0:: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 >_N)$

where

Nlt0 = $(\lambda(a,b). a < 0)$

definition

Nle0:: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 \geq_N)$

where

Nle0 = $(\lambda(a,b). a \leq 0)$

definition

Nglt0:: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 <_N)$

where

Nglt0 = $(\lambda(a,b). a > 0)$

definition

Nge0:: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (0 \leq_N)$

where

Nge0 = $(\lambda(a,b). a \geq 0)$

definition

Nlt :: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\text{infix } <_N \ 55)$

where

Nlt = $(\lambda a \ b. 0 >_N (a -_N b))$

definition

Nle :: $\text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{Num} \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\text{infix } \leq_N \ 55)$

where

Nle = $(\lambda a \ b. 0 \geq_N (a -_N b))$

definition

INum = $(\lambda(a,b). \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b)$

lemma *INum-int* [*simp*]: $\text{INum } i_N = ((\text{of-int } i) :: 'a::\text{field}) \text{INum } 0_N = (0 :: 'a::\text{field})$

```

by (simp-all add: INum-def)

lemma isnormNum-unique[simp]:
  assumes na: isnormNum x and nb: isnormNum y
  shows ((INum x :: 'a::{ring-char-0,field, division-by-zero}) = INum y) = (x =
y) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  have  $\exists a b a' b'. x = (a,b) \wedge y = (a',b')$  by auto
  then obtain a b a' b' where xy[simp]:  $x = (a,b) \ y = (a',b')$  by blast
  assume H: ?lhs
  {assume  $a = 0 \vee b = 0 \vee a' = 0 \vee b' = 0$  hence ?rhs
    using na nb H
    apply (simp add: INum-def split-def isnormNum-def)
    apply (cases a = 0, simp-all)
    apply (cases b = 0, simp-all)
    apply (cases a' = 0, simp-all)
    apply (cases a' = 0, simp-all add: of-int-eq-0-iff)
    done}
  moreover
  { assume az:  $a \neq 0$  and bz:  $b \neq 0$  and a'z:  $a' \neq 0$  and b'z:  $b' \neq 0$ 
    from az bz a'z b'z na nb have pos:  $b > 0 \ b' > 0$  by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def)
    from prems have eq:  $a * b' = a' * b$ 
      by (simp add: INum-def eq-divide-eq divide-eq-eq of-int-mult[symmetric] del:
of-int-mult)
    from prems have gcd1:  $\text{igcd } a \ b = 1 \ \text{igcd } b \ a = 1 \ \text{igcd } a' \ b' = 1 \ \text{igcd } b' \ a' =$ 
1
      by (simp-all add: isnormNum-def add: igcd-commute)
    from eq have raw-dvd:  $a \ \text{dvd } a' * b \ b \ \text{dvd } b' * a \ a' \ \text{dvd } a * b' \ b' \ \text{dvd } b * a'$ 
      apply (unfold dvd-def)
      apply (rule-tac x=b' in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
      apply (rule-tac x=a' in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
      apply (rule-tac x=b in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
      apply (rule-tac x=a in exI, simp add: mult-ac)
      done
    from zdvd-dvd-eq[OF bz zrelprime-dvd-mult[OF gcd1(2) raw-dvd(2)]
      zrelprime-dvd-mult[OF gcd1(4) raw-dvd(4)]]
      have eq1:  $b = b'$  using pos by simp-all
      with eq have  $a = a'$  using pos by simp
      with eq1 have ?rhs by simp}
  ultimately show ?rhs by blast
next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs by simp
qed

```

```

lemma isnormNum0[simp]: isnormNum x  $\implies$  (INum x = (0 :: 'a::{ring-char-0,
field,division-by-zero})) = (x = 0N)
  unfolding INum-int(2)[symmetric]
  by (rule isnormNum-unique, simp-all)

```

lemma *of-int-div-aux*: $d \sim 0 \implies ((\text{of-int } x)::'a::\{\text{field}, \text{ring-char-0}\}) / (\text{of-int } d) =$

$\text{of-int } (x \text{ div } d) + (\text{of-int } (x \text{ mod } d)) / ((\text{of-int } d)::'a)$

proof –

assume $d \sim 0$

hence $\text{dz: of-int } d \neq (0::'a)$ **by** (*simp add: of-int-eq-0-iff*)

let $?t = \text{of-int } (x \text{ div } d) * ((\text{of-int } d)::'a) + \text{of-int}(x \text{ mod } d)$

let $?f = \lambda x. x / \text{of-int } d$

have $x = (x \text{ div } d) * d + x \text{ mod } d$

by *auto*

then have $\text{eq: of-int } x = ?t$

by (*simp only: of-int-mult[symmetric] of-int-add [symmetric]*)

then have $\text{of-int } x / \text{of-int } d = ?t / \text{of-int } d$

using *cong[OF refl[of ?f] eq]* **by** *simp*

then show $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: add-divide-distrib ring-simps prems*)

qed

lemma *of-int-div*: $(d::\text{int}) \sim 0 \implies d \text{ dvd } n \implies$

$(\text{of-int}(n \text{ div } d)::'a::\{\text{field}, \text{ring-char-0}\}) = \text{of-int } n / \text{of-int } d$

apply (*frule of-int-div-aux [of d n, where ?'a = 'a]*)

apply *simp*

apply (*simp add: zdvd-iff-zmod-eq-0*)

done

lemma *normNum[simp]*: $\text{INum } (\text{normNum } x) = (\text{INum } x :: 'a::\{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{field}, \text{division-by-zero}\})$

proof –

have $\exists a b. x = (a, b)$ **by** *auto*

then obtain $a b$ **where** $x[\text{simp}]: x = (a, b)$ **by** *blast*

{assume $a=0 \vee b=0$ **hence** $?thesis$

by (*simp add: INum-def normNum-def split-def Let-def*)}

moreover

{assume $a: a \neq 0$ **and** $b: b \neq 0$

let $?g = \text{igcd } a b$

from $a b$ **have** $g: ?g \neq 0$ **by** *simp*

from *of-int-div[OF g, where ?'a = 'a]*

have $?thesis$ **by** (*auto simp add: INum-def normNum-def split-def Let-def*)}

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *INum-normNum-iff*: $(\text{INum } x :: 'a::\{\text{field}, \text{division-by-zero}, \text{ring-char-0}\}) = \text{INum } y \longleftrightarrow \text{normNum } x = \text{normNum } y$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $\text{normNum } x = \text{normNum } y \longleftrightarrow (\text{INum } (\text{normNum } x) :: 'a) = \text{INum } (\text{normNum } y)$

by (*simp del: normNum*)

also have $\dots = ?lhs$ **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis* by *simp*
qed

lemma *Nadd[simp]*: $INum (x +_N y) = INum x + (INum y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\})$
proof–

let $?z = 0 :: 'a$

have $\exists a b. x = (a, b) \ \exists a' b'. y = (a', b')$ by *auto*

then obtain $a b a' b'$ where $x[simp]: x = (a, b)$

and $y[simp]: y = (a', b')$ by *blast*

{assume $a=0 \vee a'=0 \vee b=0 \vee b'=0$ hence *?thesis*

apply (cases $a=0, simp-all$ add: *Nadd-def*)

apply (cases $b=0, simp-all$ add: *INum-def*)

apply (cases $a'=0, simp-all$)

apply (cases $b'=0, simp-all$)

done }

moreover

{assume $aa': a \neq 0 \ a' \neq 0$ and $bb': b \neq 0 \ b' \neq 0$

{assume $z: a * b' + b * a' = 0$

hence $\text{of-int } (a * b' + b * a') / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') = ?z$ by *simp*

hence $\text{of-int } b' * \text{of-int } a / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') + \text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } a' / (\text{of-int } b * \text{of-int } b') = ?z$ by (simp add: *add-divide-distrib*)

hence *th*: $\text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b + \text{of-int } a' / \text{of-int } b' = ?z$ using $bb' aa'$ by *simp*

from $z aa' bb'$ have *?thesis*

by (simp add: *th Nadd-def normNum-def INum-def split-def*)}

moreover {assume $z: a * b' + b * a' \neq 0$

let $?g = \text{igcd } (a * b' + b * a') (b * b')$

have $gz: ?g \neq 0$ using z by *simp*

have *?thesis* using $aa' bb' z gz$

of-int-div[where $?a = 'a,$

OF gz igcd-dvd1[where $i = a * b' + b * a'$ and $j = b * b'$]]

of-int-div[where $?a = 'a,$

OF gz igcd-dvd2[where $i = a * b' + b * a'$ and $j = b * b'$]]

by (simp add: *x y Nadd-def INum-def normNum-def Let-def add-divide-distrib*)}

ultimately have *?thesis* using $aa' bb'$

by (simp add: *Nadd-def INum-def normNum-def x y Let-def*) }

ultimately show *?thesis* by *blast*

qed

lemma *Nmul[simp]*: $INum (x *_N y) = INum x * (INum y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\})$

proof–

let $?z = 0 :: 'a$

have $\exists a b. x = (a, b) \ \exists a' b'. y = (a', b')$ by *auto*

then obtain $a b a' b'$ where $x: x = (a, b)$ and $y: y = (a', b')$ by *blast*

{assume $a=0 \vee a'=0 \vee b=0 \vee b'=0$ hence *?thesis*

apply (cases $a=0, simp-all$ add: *x y Nmul-def INum-def Let-def*)

apply (cases $b=0, simp-all$)

apply (cases $a'=0, simp-all$)


```

    done }
  moreover
  {assume z: a ≠ 0 a' ≠ 0 b ≠ 0 b' ≠ 0
    let ?g=igcd (a*a') (b*b')
    have gz: ?g ≠ 0 using z by simp
    from z of-int-div[where ?'a = 'a, OF gz igcd-dvd1[where i=a*a' and j=b*b']]

      of-int-div[where ?'a = 'a , OF gz igcd-dvd2[where i=a*a' and j=b*b']]
    have ?thesis by (simp add: Nmul-def x y Let-def INum-def)}
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Nneg[simp]: INum (∼N x) = − (INum x :: 'a :: field)
  by (simp add: Nneg-def split-def INum-def)

```

```

lemma Nsub[simp]: shows INum (x −N y) = INum x − (INum y :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field})
  by (simp add: Nsub-def split-def)

```

```

lemma Ninv[simp]: INum (Ninv x) = (1 :: 'a :: {division-by-zero, field}) / (INum
x)
  by (simp add: Ninv-def INum-def split-def)

```

```

lemma Ndiv[simp]: INum (x ÷N y) = INum x / (INum y :: 'a :: {ring-char-0,
division-by-zero, field}) by (simp add: Ndiv-def)

```

```

lemma Nlt0-iff[simp]: assumes nx: isnormNum x
  shows ((INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field}) < 0) = 0 >N
x

```

```

proof −
  have ∃ a b. x = (a, b) by simp
  then obtain a b where x[simp]: x = (a, b) by blast
  {assume a = 0 hence ?thesis by (simp add: Nlt0-def INum-def) }
  moreover
  {assume a: a ≠ 0 hence b: (of-int b :: 'a) > 0 using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
    from pos-divide-less-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0 :: 'a]
    have ?thesis by (simp add: Nlt0-def INum-def)}
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma Nle0-iff[simp]: assumes nx: isnormNum x
  shows ((INum x :: 'a :: {ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field}) ≤ 0) = 0 ≥N
x

```

```

proof −
  have ∃ a b. x = (a, b) by simp
  then obtain a b where x[simp]: x = (a, b) by blast
  {assume a = 0 hence ?thesis by (simp add: Nle0-def INum-def) }
  moreover
  {assume a: a ≠ 0 hence b: (of-int b :: 'a) > 0 using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
    from pos-divide-le-eq[OF b, where b=of-int a and a=0 :: 'a]

```

have $?thesis$ by (simp add: Nle0-def INum-def)}
 ultimately show $?thesis$ by blast
 qed

lemma Ngt0-iff[simp]:assumes $nx: isnormNum\ x$ shows $((INum\ x :: 'a :: \{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field\}) = 0 <_N x$

proof–

have $\exists\ a\ b.\ x = (a,b)$ by simp
 then obtain $a\ b$ where $x[simp]:x = (a,b)$ by blast
 {assume $a = 0$ hence $?thesis$ by (simp add: Ngt0-def INum-def) }
 moreover
 {assume $a: a \neq 0$ hence $b: (of-int\ b :: 'a) > 0$ using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
 from pos-less-divide-eq[OF b , where $b=of-int\ a$ and $a=0::'a$]
 have $?thesis$ by (simp add: Ngt0-def INum-def)}
 ultimately show $?thesis$ by blast

qed

lemma Nge0-iff[simp]:assumes $nx: isnormNum\ x$
 shows $((INum\ x :: 'a :: \{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field\}) \geq 0) = 0 \leq_N x$

proof–

have $\exists\ a\ b.\ x = (a,b)$ by simp
 then obtain $a\ b$ where $x[simp]:x = (a,b)$ by blast
 {assume $a = 0$ hence $?thesis$ by (simp add: Nge0-def INum-def) }
 moreover
 {assume $a: a \neq 0$ hence $b: (of-int\ b :: 'a) > 0$ using nx by (simp add: isnormNum-def)
 from pos-le-divide-eq[OF b , where $b=of-int\ a$ and $a=0::'a$]
 have $?thesis$ by (simp add: Nge0-def INum-def)}
 ultimately show $?thesis$ by blast

qed

lemma Nlt-iff[simp]: assumes $nx: isnormNum\ x$ and $ny: isnormNum\ y$
 shows $((INum\ x :: 'a :: \{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field\}) < INum\ y)$
 $= (x <_N y)$

proof–

let $?z = 0::'a$
 have $((INum\ x :: 'a) < INum\ y) = (INum\ (x -_N y) < ?z)$ using $nx\ ny$ by simp
 also have $\dots = (0 >_N (x -_N y))$ using Nlt0-iff[OF Nsub-normN[OF ny]] by

simp

finally show $?thesis$ by (simp add: Nlt-def)

qed

lemma Nle-iff[simp]: assumes $nx: isnormNum\ x$ and $ny: isnormNum\ y$
 shows $((INum\ x :: 'a :: \{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field\}) \leq INum\ y)$
 $= (x \leq_N y)$

proof–

have $((INum\ x :: 'a) \leq INum\ y) = (INum\ (x -_N y) \leq (0::'a))$ using $nx\ ny$ by
 simp
 also have $\dots = (0 \geq_N (x -_N y))$ using Nle0-iff[OF Nsub-normN[OF ny]] by

simp

finally show ?thesis by (simp add: Nle-def)
qed

lemma Nadd-commute: $x +_N y = y +_N x$

proof –

have $n: \text{isnormNum } (x +_N y) \text{ isnormNum } (y +_N x)$ by simp-all
have $(\text{INum } (x +_N y) :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\}) = \text{INum } (y +_N x)$ by simp
with isnormNum-unique[OF n] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma[simp]: $(0, b) +_N y = \text{normNum } y \ (a, 0) +_N y = \text{normNum } y$
 $x +_N (0, b) = \text{normNum } x \ x +_N (a, 0) = \text{normNum } x$
apply (simp add: Nadd-def split-def, simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
apply (subst Nadd-commute, simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
apply (subst Nadd-commute, simp add: Nadd-def split-def)
done

lemma normNum-nilpotent-aux[simp]: assumes $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$
shows $\text{normNum } x = x$

proof –

let $?a = \text{normNum } x$
have $n: \text{isnormNum } ?a$ by simp
have $th: \text{INum } ?a = (\text{INum } x :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\})$ by simp
with isnormNum-unique[OF n nx]
show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma normNum-nilpotent[simp]: $\text{normNum } (\text{normNum } x) = \text{normNum } x$
by simp

lemma normNum0[simp]: $\text{normNum } (0, b) = 0_N \ \text{normNum } (a, 0) = 0_N$
by (simp-all add: normNum-def)

lemma normNum-Nadd: $\text{normNum } (x +_N y) = x +_N y$ by simp

lemma Nadd-normNum1[simp]: $\text{normNum } x +_N y = x +_N y$

proof –

have $n: \text{isnormNum } (\text{normNum } x +_N y) \text{ isnormNum } (x +_N y)$ by simp-all
have $\text{INum } (\text{normNum } x +_N y) = \text{INum } x + (\text{INum } y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\})$ by simp
also have $\dots = \text{INum } (x +_N y)$ by simp
finally show ?thesis using isnormNum-unique[OF n] by simp
qed

lemma Nadd-normNum2[simp]: $x +_N \text{normNum } y = x +_N y$

proof –

have $n: \text{isnormNum } (x +_N \text{normNum } y) \text{ isnormNum } (x +_N y)$ by simp-all
have $\text{INum } (x +_N \text{normNum } y) = \text{INum } x + (\text{INum } y :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\})$ by simp
also have $\dots = \text{INum } (x +_N y)$ by simp
finally show ?thesis using isnormNum-unique[OF n] by simp
qed

lemma *Nadd-assoc*: $x +_N y +_N z = x +_N (y +_N z)$
proof–
 have $n: \text{isnormNum } (x +_N y +_N z) \text{ isnormNum } (x +_N (y +_N z))$ **by** *simp-all*
 have $\text{INum } (x +_N y +_N z) = (\text{INum } (x +_N (y +_N z))) :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\}$ **by** *simp*
 with *isnormNum-unique*[*OF* n] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Nmul-commute*: $\text{isnormNum } x \implies \text{isnormNum } y \implies x *_N y = y *_N x$
by (*simp add: Nmul-def split-def Let-def igcd-commute mult-commute*)

lemma *Nmul-assoc*: **assumes** $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $ny: \text{isnormNum } y$ **and** $nz: \text{isnormNum } z$
shows $x *_N y *_N z = x *_N (y *_N z)$
proof–
 from $nx \ ny \ nz$ **have** $n: \text{isnormNum } (x *_N y *_N z) \text{ isnormNum } (x *_N (y *_N z))$
by *simp-all*
 have $\text{INum } (x *_N y *_N z) = (\text{INum } (x *_N (y *_N z))) :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, field}\}$ **by** *simp*
 with *isnormNum-unique*[*OF* n] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *Nsub0*: **assumes** $x: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $y: \text{isnormNum } y$ **shows** $(x -_N y = 0_N) = (x = y)$
proof–
 {**fix** $h :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field}\}$
 from *isnormNum-unique*[**where** $?a = 'a$, *OF* *Nsub-normN*[*OF* y], **where** $y=0_N$]
 have $(x -_N y = 0_N) = (\text{INum } (x -_N y) = (\text{INum } 0_N :: 'a))$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = (\text{INum } x = (\text{INum } y :: 'a))$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = (x = y)$ **using** $x \ y$ **by** *simp*
 finally **show** *?thesis* .}
qed

lemma *Nmul0[simp]*: $c *_N 0_N = 0_N \ 0_N *_N c = 0_N$
by (*simp-all add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def*)

lemma *Nmul-eq0[simp]*: **assumes** $nx: \text{isnormNum } x$ **and** $ny: \text{isnormNum } y$
shows $(x *_N y = 0_N) = (x = 0_N \vee y = 0_N)$
proof–
 {**fix** $h :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0, division-by-zero, ordered-field}\}$
 have $\exists a \ b \ a' \ b'. x = (a, b) \wedge y = (a', b')$ **by** *auto*
 then **obtain** $a \ b \ a' \ b'$ **where** $xy[simp]: x = (a, b) \ y = (a', b')$ **by** *blast*
 have $n0: \text{isnormNum } 0_N$ **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **using** $nx \ ny$
apply (*simp only: isnormNum-unique*[**where** $?a = 'a$, *OF* *Nmul-normN*[*OF* $nx \ ny$], *n0*, *symmetric*] *Nmul*[**where** $?a = 'a$])

```

  apply (simp add: INum-def split-def isnormNum-def fst-conv snd-conv)
  apply (cases a=0, simp-all)
  apply (cases a'=0, simp-all)
  done }
qed
lemma Nneg-Nneg[simp]:  $\sim_N (\sim_N c) = c$ 
  by (simp add: Nneg-def split-def)

lemma Nmul1[simp]:
  isnormNum c  $\implies 1_N * c = c$ 
  isnormNum c  $\implies c * 1_N = c$ 
  apply (simp-all add: Nmul-def Let-def split-def isnormNum-def)
  by (cases fst c = 0, simp-all, cases c, simp-all)+

end

```

3 AssocList: Map operations implemented on association lists

```

theory AssocList
imports Map
begin

```

The operations preserve distinctness of keys and function *clearjunk* distributes over them. Since *clearjunk* enforces distinctness of keys it can be used to establish the invariant, e.g. for inductive proofs.

```

primrec
  delete :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list
where
  delete k [] = []
  | delete k (p#ps) = (if fst p = k then delete k ps else p # delete k ps)

primrec
  update :: 'key  $\Rightarrow$  'val  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list
where
  update k v [] = [(k, v)]
  | update k v (p#ps) = (if fst p = k then (k, v) # ps else p # update k v ps)

primrec
  updates :: 'key list  $\Rightarrow$  'val list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list
where
  updates [] vs ps = ps
  | updates (k#ks) vs ps = (case vs
    of []  $\Rightarrow$  ps
    | (v#vs')  $\Rightarrow$  updates ks vs' (update k v ps))

primrec
  merge :: ('key  $\times$  'val) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('key  $\times$  'val) list

```

where

$merge\ qs\ [] = qs$
 $| merge\ qs\ (p\#ps) = update\ (fst\ p)\ (snd\ p)\ (merge\ qs\ ps)$

lemma *length-delete-le*: $length\ (delete\ k\ al) \leq length\ al$

proof (*induct al*)

case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons a al*)

note *length-filter-le* [*of* $\lambda p. fst\ p \neq fst\ a\ al$]

also have $\bigwedge n. n \leq Suc\ n$

by *simp*

finally have $length\ [p \leftarrow al \ .\ fst\ p \neq fst\ a] \leq Suc\ (length\ al) \ .$

with *Cons* **show** ?*case*

by *auto*

qed

lemma *compose-hint* [*simp*]:

$length\ (delete\ k\ al) < Suc\ (length\ al)$

proof –

note *length-delete-le*

also have $\bigwedge n. n < Suc\ n$

by *simp*

finally show ?*thesis* .

qed

fun

compose :: (*'key* \times *'a*) *list* \Rightarrow (*'a* \times *'b*) *list* \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'b*) *list*

where

compose [] *ys* = []

| *compose* (*x#xs*) *ys* = (*case map-of ys (snd x)*

of None \Rightarrow *compose* (*delete* (*fst x*) *xs*) *ys*

| *Some v* \Rightarrow (*fst x*, *v*) # *compose xs ys*)

primrec

restrict :: *'key set* \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'val*) *list* \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'val*) *list*

where

restrict A [] = []

| *restrict A* (*p#ps*) = (*if fst p* \in *A* *then* *p#restrict A ps* *else* *restrict A ps*)

primrec

map-ran :: (*'key* \Rightarrow *'val* \Rightarrow *'val*) \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'val*) *list* \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'val*) *list*

where

map-ran f [] = []

| *map-ran f* (*p#ps*) = (*fst p*, *f* (*fst p*) (*snd p*)) # *map-ran f ps*

fun

clearjunk :: (*'key* \times *'val*) *list* \Rightarrow (*'key* \times *'val*) *list*

where

```

clearjunk [] = []
| clearjunk (p#ps) = p # clearjunk (delete (fst p) ps)

```

lemmas [simp del] = compose-hint

3.1 delete

lemma delete-eq:
 delete k xs = filter ($\lambda p. \text{fst } p \neq k$) xs
 by (induct xs) auto

lemma delete-id [simp]: $k \notin \text{fst} \text{ ` set } al \implies \text{delete } k \text{ al} = al$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma delete-conv: $\text{map-of } (\text{delete } k \text{ al}) \text{ } k' = ((\text{map-of } al)(k := \text{None})) \text{ } k'$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma delete-conv': $\text{map-of } (\text{delete } k \text{ al}) = ((\text{map-of } al)(k := \text{None}))$
 by (rule ext) (rule delete-conv)

lemma delete-idem: $\text{delete } k (\text{delete } k \text{ al}) = \text{delete } k \text{ al}$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma map-of-delete [simp]:
 $k' \neq k \implies \text{map-of } (\text{delete } k \text{ al}) \text{ } k' = \text{map-of } al \text{ } k'$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma delete-notin-dom: $k \notin \text{fst} \text{ ` set } (\text{delete } k \text{ al})$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma dom-delete-subset: $\text{fst} \text{ ` set } (\text{delete } k \text{ al}) \subseteq \text{fst} \text{ ` set } al$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma distinct-delete:
 assumes distinct (map fst al)
 shows distinct (map fst (delete k al))
 using assms
 proof (induct al)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
 next
 case (Cons a al)
 from Cons.prem1 obtain
 a-notin-al: $\text{fst } a \notin \text{fst} \text{ ` set } al$ and
 dist-al: distinct (map fst al)
 by auto
 show ?case
 proof (cases fst a = k)
 case True
 with Cons dist-al show ?thesis by simp

```

next
  case False
  from dist-al
  have distinct (map fst (delete k al))
    by (rule Cons.hyps)
  moreover from a-notin-al dom-delete-subset [of k al]
  have fst a  $\notin$  fst ‘ set (delete k al)
    by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using False by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma delete-twist: delete x (delete y al) = delete y (delete x al)
  by (induct al) auto

```

```

lemma clearjunk-delete: clearjunk (delete x al) = delete x (clearjunk al)
  by (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct) (auto simp add: delete-idem delete-twist)

```

3.2 *clearjunk*

```

lemma insert-fst-filter:
  insert a (fst ‘ {x  $\in$  set ps. fst x  $\neq$  a}) = insert a (fst ‘ set ps)
  by (induct ps) auto

```

```

lemma dom-clearjunk: fst ‘ set (clearjunk al) = fst ‘ set al
  by (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct) (simp-all add: insert-fst-filter delete-eq)

```

```

lemma notin-filter-fst: a  $\notin$  fst ‘ {x  $\in$  set ps. fst x  $\neq$  a}
  by (induct ps) auto

```

```

lemma distinct-clearjunk [simp]: distinct (map fst (clearjunk al))
  by (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct)
  (simp-all add: dom-clearjunk notin-filter-fst delete-eq)

```

```

lemma map-of-filter: k  $\neq$  a  $\implies$  map-of [q  $\leftarrow$  ps . fst q  $\neq$  a] k = map-of ps k
  by (induct ps) auto

```

```

lemma map-of-clearjunk: map-of (clearjunk al) = map-of al
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: map-of-filter)
  done

```

```

lemma length-clearjunk: length (clearjunk al)  $\leq$  length al
proof (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct [case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons p ps)

```


from *Cons* **have** $\text{length } (\text{clearjunk } [q \leftarrow ps . \text{fst } q \neq \text{fst } p]) \leq \text{length } [q \leftarrow ps . \text{fst } q \neq \text{fst } p]$
by (*simp add: delete-eq*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{length } ps$
by *simp*
finally show *?case*
by (*simp add: delete-eq*)
qed

lemma *notin-fst-filter*: $a \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } ps \implies [q \leftarrow ps . \text{fst } q \neq a] = ps$
by (*induct ps*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-clearjunk-id* [*simp*]: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } al) \implies \text{clearjunk } al = al$
by (*induct al rule: clearjunk.induct*) (*auto simp add: notin-fst-filter*)

lemma *clearjunk-idem*: $\text{clearjunk } (\text{clearjunk } al) = \text{clearjunk } al$
by *simp*

3.3 dom and ran

lemma *dom-map-of'*: $\text{fst } \text{'set } al = \text{dom } (\text{map-of } al)$
by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemmas *dom-map-of* = *dom-map-of'* [*symmetric*]

lemma *ran-clearjunk*: $\text{ran } (\text{map-of } (\text{clearjunk } al)) = \text{ran } (\text{map-of } al)$
by (*simp add: map-of-clearjunk*)

lemma *ran-distinct*:
assumes *dist*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } al)$
shows $\text{ran } (\text{map-of } al) = \text{snd } \text{'set } al$
using *dist*
proof (*induct al*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case* **by** *simp*

next
case (*Cons a al*)
hence *hyp*: $\text{snd } \text{'set } al = \text{ran } (\text{map-of } al)$
by *simp*

have $\text{ran } (\text{map-of } (a \# al)) = \{\text{snd } a\} \cup \text{ran } (\text{map-of } al)$

proof
show $\text{ran } (\text{map-of } (a \# al)) \subseteq \{\text{snd } a\} \cup \text{ran } (\text{map-of } al)$
proof
fix *v*
assume $v \in \text{ran } (\text{map-of } (a \# al))$
then obtain *x* **where** $\text{map-of } (a \# al) \ x = \text{Some } v$
by (*auto simp add: ran-def*)
then show $v \in \{\text{snd } a\} \cup \text{ran } (\text{map-of } al)$

```

      by (auto split: split-if-asm simp add: ran-def)
    qed
  next
    show  $\{snd\ a\} \cup ran\ (map-of\ al) \subseteq ran\ (map-of\ (a\ \# \ al))$ 
  proof
    fix v
    assume v-in:  $v \in \{snd\ a\} \cup ran\ (map-of\ al)$ 
    show  $v \in ran\ (map-of\ (a\ \# \ al))$ 
  proof (cases v=snd a)
    case True
    with v-in show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: ran-def)
  next
    case False
    with v-in have  $v \in ran\ (map-of\ al)$  by auto
    then obtain x where al-x:  $map-of\ al\ x = Some\ v$ 
      by (auto simp add: ran-def)
    from map-of-SomeD [OF this]
    have  $x \in fst\ 'set\ al$ 
      by (force simp add: image-def)
    with Cons.prem1 have  $x \neq fst\ a$ 
      by - (rule ccontr,simp)
    with al-x
    show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: ran-def)
  qed
qed
qed
with hyp show ?case
  by (simp only:) auto
qed

```

lemma *ran-map-of*: $ran\ (map-of\ al) = snd\ 'set\ (clearjunk\ al)$
proof –

```

  have  $ran\ (map-of\ al) = ran\ (map-of\ (clearjunk\ al))$ 
    by (simp add: ran-clearjunk)
  also have  $\dots = snd\ 'set\ (clearjunk\ al)$ 
    by (simp add: ran-distinct)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

3.4 update

lemma *update-conv*: $map-of\ (update\ k\ v\ al)\ k' = ((map-of\ al)(k \mapsto v))\ k'$
 by (induct al) auto

lemma *update-conv'*: $map-of\ (update\ k\ v\ al) = ((map-of\ al)(k \mapsto v))$
 by (rule ext) (rule update-conv)

lemma *dom-update*: $\text{fst } ' \text{ set } (\text{update } k \ v \ al) = \{k\} \cup \text{fst } ' \text{ set } al$
by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-update*:
assumes *distinct* (*map fst al*)
shows *distinct* (*map fst (update k v al)*)
using *assms*
proof (*induct al*)
 case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*Cons a al*)
 from *Cons.prem*s **obtain**
 a-notin-al: $\text{fst } a \notin \text{fst } ' \text{ set } al$ **and**
 dist-al: *distinct* (*map fst al*)
 by *auto*
 show ?*case*
 proof (*cases fst a = k*)
 case *True*
 from *True dist-al a-notin-al* **show** ?*thesis* **by** *simp*
 next
 case *False*
 from *dist-al*
 have *distinct* (*map fst (update k v al)*)
 by (*rule Cons.hyps*)
 with *False a-notin-al* **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*simp add: dom-update*)
 qed
qed

lemma *update-filter*:
 $a \neq k \implies \text{update } k \ v \ [q \leftarrow ps \ . \ \text{fst } q \neq a] = [q \leftarrow \text{update } k \ v \ ps \ . \ \text{fst } q \neq a]$
by (*induct ps*) *auto*

lemma *clearjunk-update*: $\text{clearjunk } (\text{update } k \ v \ al) = \text{update } k \ v \ (\text{clearjunk } al)$
by (*induct al rule: clearjunk.induct*) (*auto simp add: update-filter delete-eq*)

lemma *update-triv*: $\text{map-of } al \ k = \text{Some } v \implies \text{update } k \ v \ al = al$
by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemma *update-nonempty* [*simp*]: $\text{update } k \ v \ al \neq []$
by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemma *update-eqD*: $\text{update } k \ v \ al = \text{update } k \ v' \ al' \implies v = v'$

proof (*induct al arbitrary: al'*)
 case *Nil* **thus** ?*case*
 by (*cases al'*) (*auto split: split-if-asm*)
next
 case *Cons* **thus** ?*case*
 by (*cases al'*) (*auto split: split-if-asm*)
qed

lemma *update-last [simp]*: $\text{update } k \ v \ (\text{update } k \ v' \ al) = \text{update } k \ v \ al$
by (*induct al*) *auto*

Note that the lists are not necessarily the same: $\text{update } k \ v \ (\text{update } k' \ v' \ []) = [(k', v'), (k, v)]$ and $\text{update } k' \ v' \ (\text{update } k \ v \ []) = [(k, v), (k', v')]$.

lemma *update-swap: k ≠ k'*
 $\implies \text{map-of } (\text{update } k \ v \ (\text{update } k' \ v' \ al)) = \text{map-of } (\text{update } k' \ v' \ (\text{update } k \ v \ al))$
by (*auto simp add: update-conv' intro: ext*)

lemma *update-Some-unfold*:
 $(\text{map-of } (\text{update } k \ v \ al) \ x = \text{Some } y) =$
 $(x = k \wedge v = y \vee x \neq k \wedge \text{map-of } al \ x = \text{Some } y)$
by (*simp add: update-conv' map-upd-Some-unfold*)

lemma *image-update[simp]*: $x \notin A \implies \text{map-of } (\text{update } x \ y \ al) \ `A = \text{map-of } al \ `A$
by (*simp add: update-conv' image-map-upd*)

3.5 updates

lemma *updates-conv*: $\text{map-of } (\text{updates } ks \ vs \ al) \ k = ((\text{map-of } al)(ks[\mapsto]vs)) \ k$

proof (*induct ks arbitrary: vs al*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons k ks*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases vs*)
case *Nil*
with *Cons* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons k ks'*)
with *Cons.hyps* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add: update-conv fun-upd-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *updates-conv'*: $\text{map-of } (\text{updates } ks \ vs \ al) = ((\text{map-of } al)(ks[\mapsto]vs))$
by (*rule ext*) (*rule updates-conv*)

lemma *distinct-updates*:
assumes *distinct (map fst al)*
shows *distinct (map fst (updates ks vs al))*
using *assms*
by (*induct ks arbitrary: vs al*)
(auto simp add: distinct-update split: list.splits)

lemma *clearjunk-updates*:

clearjunk (updates ks vs al) = updates ks vs (clearjunk al)

by (*induct ks arbitrary: vs al*) (*auto simp add: clearjunk-update split: list.splits*)

lemma *updates-empty[simp]*: *updates vs [] al = al*

by (*induct vs*) *auto*

lemma *updates-Cons*: *updates (k#ks) (v#vs) al = updates ks vs (update k v al)*

by *simp*

lemma *updates-append1[simp]*: *size ks < size vs \implies*

updates (ks@[k]) vs al = update k (vs!size ks) (updates ks vs al)

by (*induct ks arbitrary: vs al*) (*auto split: list.splits*)

lemma *updates-list-update-drop[simp]*:

[[size ks \leq i; i < size vs]]

\implies updates ks (vs[i:=v]) al = updates ks vs al

by (*induct ks arbitrary: al vs i*) (*auto split: list.splits nat.splits*)

lemma *update-updates-conv-if*:

map-of (updates xs ys (update x y al)) =

map-of (if x \in set (take (length ys) xs) then updates xs ys al
else (update x y (updates xs ys al)))

by (*simp add: updates-conv' update-conv' map-upd-upds-conv-if*)

lemma *updates-twist [simp]*:

k \notin set ks \implies

map-of (updates ks vs (update k v al)) = map-of (update k v (updates ks vs al))

by (*simp add: updates-conv' update-conv' map-upds-twist*)

lemma *updates-apply-notin[simp]*:

k \notin set ks \implies map-of (updates ks vs al) k = map-of al k

by (*simp add: updates-conv*)

lemma *updates-append-drop[simp]*:

size xs = size ys \implies updates (xs@zs) ys al = updates xs ys al

by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys al*) (*auto split: list.splits*)

lemma *updates-append2-drop[simp]*:

size xs = size ys \implies updates xs (ys@zs) al = updates xs ys al

by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys al*) (*auto split: list.splits*)

3.6 map-ran

lemma *map-ran-conv*: *map-of (map-ran f al) k = option-map (f k) (map-of al k)*

by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemma *dom-map-ran*: *fst ‘ set (map-ran f al) = fst ‘ set al*

by (*induct al*) *auto*

lemma *distinct-map-ran*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{al}) \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{map-ran } f \text{ al}))$

by (*induct al*) (*auto simp add: dom-map-ran*)

lemma *map-ran-filter*: $\text{map-ran } f \ [p \leftarrow \text{ps}. \text{fst } p \neq a] = [p \leftarrow \text{map-ran } f \text{ ps}. \text{fst } p \neq a]$

by (*induct ps*) *auto*

lemma *clearjunk-map-ran*: $\text{clearjunk } (\text{map-ran } f \text{ al}) = \text{map-ran } f \ (\text{clearjunk } \text{al})$

by (*induct al rule: clearjunk.induct*) (*auto simp add: delete-eq map-ran-filter*)

3.7 merge

lemma *dom-merge*: $\text{fst } ' \text{ set } (\text{merge } \text{xs } \text{ys}) = \text{fst } ' \text{ set } \text{xs} \cup \text{fst } ' \text{ set } \text{ys}$

by (*induct ys arbitrary: xs*) (*auto simp add: dom-update*)

lemma *distinct-merge*:

assumes *distinct* ($\text{map } \text{fst } \text{xs}$)

shows *distinct* ($\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{merge } \text{xs } \text{ys})$)

using *assms*

by (*induct ys arbitrary: xs*) (*auto simp add: dom-merge distinct-update*)

lemma *clearjunk-merge*:

$\text{clearjunk } (\text{merge } \text{xs } \text{ys}) = \text{merge } (\text{clearjunk } \text{xs}) \text{ ys}$

by (*induct ys*) (*auto simp add: clearjunk-update*)

lemma *merge-conv*: $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } \text{xs } \text{ys}) \text{ k} = (\text{map-of } \text{xs} ++ \text{map-of } \text{ys}) \text{ k}$

proof (*induct ys*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons y ys*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases k = fst y*)

case *True*

from *True* **show** *?thesis*

by (*simp add: update-conv*)

next

case *False*

from *False* **show** *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: update-conv Cons.hyps map-add-def*)

qed

qed

lemma *merge-conv'*: $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } \text{xs } \text{ys}) = (\text{map-of } \text{xs} ++ \text{map-of } \text{ys})$

by (*rule ext*) (*rule merge-conv*)

lemma *merge-empt*: $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } [] \text{ ys}) = \text{map-of } \text{ys}$

by (*simp add: merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-assoc*[*simp*]: $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } m1 \ (\text{merge } m2 \ m3)) =$
 $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } (\text{merge } m1 \ m2) \ m3)$
by (*simp add: merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-Some-iff*:
 $(\text{map-of } (\text{merge } m \ n) \ k = \text{Some } x) =$
 $(\text{map-of } n \ k = \text{Some } x \vee \text{map-of } n \ k = \text{None} \wedge \text{map-of } m \ k = \text{Some } x)$
by (*simp add: merge-conv' map-add-Some-iff*)

lemmas *merge-SomeD* = *merge-Some-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard*]
declare *merge-SomeD* [*dest!*]

lemma *merge-find-right*[*simp*]: $\text{map-of } n \ k = \text{Some } v \implies \text{map-of } (\text{merge } m \ n) \ k$
 $= \text{Some } v$
by (*simp add: merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-None* [*iff*]:
 $(\text{map-of } (\text{merge } m \ n) \ k = \text{None}) = (\text{map-of } n \ k = \text{None} \wedge \text{map-of } m \ k = \text{None})$
by (*simp add: merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-upd*[*simp*]:
 $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } m \ (\text{update } k \ v \ n)) = \text{map-of } (\text{update } k \ v \ (\text{merge } m \ n))$
by (*simp add: update-conv' merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-updatess*[*simp*]:
 $\text{map-of } (\text{merge } m \ (\text{updates } xs \ ys \ n)) = \text{map-of } (\text{updates } xs \ ys \ (\text{merge } m \ n))$
by (*simp add: updates-conv' merge-conv'*)

lemma *merge-append*: $\text{map-of } (xs@ys) = \text{map-of } (\text{merge } ys \ xs)$
by (*simp add: merge-conv'*)

3.8 compose

lemma *compose-first-None* [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{map-of } xs \ k = \text{None}$
shows $\text{map-of } (\text{compose } xs \ ys) \ k = \text{None}$
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs ys rule: compose.induct*)
(auto split: option.splits split-if-asm)

lemma *compose-conv*:
shows $\text{map-of } (\text{compose } xs \ ys) \ k = (\text{map-of } ys \circ_m \text{map-of } xs) \ k$
proof (*induct xs ys rule: compose.induct*)
case 1 **then show** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case ($2 \ x \ xs \ ys$) **show** *?case*
proof (*cases map-of ys (snd x)*)
case None **with** 2
have *hyp*: $\text{map-of } (\text{compose } (\text{delete } (\text{fst } x) \ xs) \ ys) \ k =$

```

      (map-of ys ◦m map-of (delete (fst x) xs)) k
    by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases fst x = k)
    case True
    from True delete-notin-dom [of k xs]
    have map-of (delete (fst x) xs) k = None
      by (simp add: map-of-eq-None-iff)
    with hyp show ?thesis
      using True None
      by simp
  next
    case False
    from False have map-of (delete (fst x) xs) k = map-of xs k
      by simp
    with hyp show ?thesis
      using False None
      by (simp add: map-comp-def)
  qed
next
  case (Some v)
  with 2
  have map-of (compose xs ys) k = (map-of ys ◦m map-of xs) k
    by simp
  with Some show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: map-comp-def)
  qed
qed

lemma compose-conv':
  shows map-of (compose xs ys) = (map-of ys ◦m map-of xs)
  by (rule ext) (rule compose-conv)

lemma compose-first-Some [simp]:
  assumes map-of xs k = Some v
  shows map-of (compose xs ys) k = map-of ys v
  using assms by (simp add: compose-conv)

lemma dom-compose: fst `set (compose xs ys) ⊆ fst `set xs
  proof (induct xs ys rule: compose.induct)
    case 1 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (2 x xs ys)
    show ?case
    proof (cases map-of ys (snd x))
      case None
      with 2.hyps
      have fst `set (compose (delete (fst x) xs) ys) ⊆ fst `set (delete (fst x) xs)
        by simp

```



```

    also
    have ...  $\subseteq$  fst ‘ set xs
      by (rule dom-delete-subset)
    finally show ?thesis
      using None
      by auto
  next
  case (Some v)
  with 2.hyps
  have fst ‘ set (compose xs ys)  $\subseteq$  fst ‘ set xs
    by simp
  with Some show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma distinct-compose:
  assumes distinct (map fst xs)
  shows distinct (map fst (compose xs ys))
  using assms
  proof (induct xs ys rule: compose.induct)
    case 1 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (2 x xs ys)
    show ?case
    proof (cases map-of ys (snd x))
      case None
      with 2 show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Some v)
      with 2 dom-compose [of xs ys] show ?thesis
        by (auto)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma compose-delete-twist: (compose (delete k xs) ys) = delete k (compose xs
ys)
proof (induct xs ys rule: compose.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 x xs ys)
  show ?case
  proof (cases map-of ys (snd x))
    case None
    with 2 have
      hyp: compose (delete k (delete (fst x) xs)) ys =
        delete k (compose (delete (fst x) xs) ys)
      by simp
    show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases fst x = k)
  case True
  with None hyp
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: delete-idem)
next
  case False
  from None False hyp
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: delete-twist)
qed
next
  case (Some v)
  with 2 have hyp: compose (delete k xs) ys = delete k (compose xs ys) by simp
  with Some show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma compose-clearjunk: compose xs (clearjunk ys) = compose xs ys
  by (induct xs ys rule: compose.induct)
  (auto simp add: map-of-clearjunk split: option.splits)

```

```

lemma clearjunk-compose: clearjunk (compose xs ys) = compose (clearjunk xs) ys
  by (induct xs rule: clearjunk.induct)
  (auto split: option.splits simp add: clearjunk-delete delete-idem
    compose-delete-twist)

```

```

lemma compose-empty [simp]:
  compose xs [] = []
  by (induct xs) (auto simp add: compose-delete-twist)

```

```

lemma compose-Some-iff:
  (map-of (compose xs ys) k = Some v) =
    (∃ k'. map-of xs k = Some k' ∧ map-of ys k' = Some v)
  by (simp add: compose-conv map-comp-Some-iff)

```

```

lemma map-comp-None-iff:
  (map-of (compose xs ys) k = None) =
    (map-of xs k = None ∨ (∃ k'. map-of xs k = Some k' ∧ map-of ys k' = None))
  by (simp add: compose-conv map-comp-None-iff)

```

3.9 restrict

```

lemma restrict-eq:
  restrict A = filter (λp. fst p ∈ A)

```

```

proof
  fix xs

```

```

show restrict A xs = filter ( $\lambda p. \text{fst } p \in A$ ) xs
by (induct xs) auto
qed

```

```

lemma distinct-restr: distinct (map fst al)  $\implies$  distinct (map fst (restrict A al))
by (induct al) (auto simp add: restrict-eq)

```

```

lemma restr-conv: map-of (restrict A al) k = ((map-of al)|' A) k
apply (induct al)
apply (simp add: restrict-eq)
apply (cases k  $\in$  A)
apply (auto simp add: restrict-eq)
done

```

```

lemma restr-conv': map-of (restrict A al) = ((map-of al)|' A)
by (rule ext) (rule restr-conv)

```

```

lemma restr-empty [simp]:
  restrict {} al = []
  restrict A [] = []
by (induct al) (auto simp add: restrict-eq)

```

```

lemma restr-in [simp]:  $x \in A \implies \text{map-of } (restrict A al) x = \text{map-of } al x$ 
by (simp add: restr-conv')

```

```

lemma restr-out [simp]:  $x \notin A \implies \text{map-of } (restrict A al) x = \text{None}$ 
by (simp add: restr-conv')

```

```

lemma dom-restr [simp]:  $\text{fst } \text{'set } (restrict A al) = \text{fst } \text{'set } al \cap A$ 
by (induct al) (auto simp add: restrict-eq)

```

```

lemma restr-upd-same [simp]: restrict ( $-\{x\}$ ) (update x y al) = restrict ( $-\{x\}$ )
al
by (induct al) (auto simp add: restrict-eq)

```

```

lemma restr-restr [simp]: restrict A (restrict B al) = restrict (A  $\cap$  B) al
by (induct al) (auto simp add: restrict-eq)

```

```

lemma restr-update[simp]:
  map-of (restrict D (update x y al)) =
  map-of ((if  $x \in D$  then (update x y (restrict (D - {x}) al)) else restrict D al))
by (simp add: restr-conv' update-conv')

```

```

lemma restr-delete [simp]:
  (delete x (restrict D al)) =
  (if  $x \in D$  then restrict (D - {x}) al else restrict D al)

```

```

proof (induct al)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Cons a al)
show ?case
proof (cases x ∈ D)
  case True
  note x-D = this
  with Cons have hyp: delete x (restrict D al) = restrict (D - {x}) al
  by simp
  show ?thesis
proof (cases fst a = x)
  case True
  from Cons.hyps
  show ?thesis
  using x-D True
  by simp
next
case False
note not-fst-a-x = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases fst a ∈ D)
  case True
  with not-fst-a-x
  have delete x (restrict D (a # al)) = a # (delete x (restrict D al))
  by (cases a) (simp add: restrict-eq)
  also from not-fst-a-x True hyp have ... = restrict (D - {x}) (a # al)
  by (cases a) (simp add: restrict-eq)
  finally show ?thesis
  using x-D by simp
next
case False
hence delete x (restrict D (a # al)) = delete x (restrict D al)
  by (cases a) (simp add: restrict-eq)
moreover from False not-fst-a-x
have restrict (D - {x}) (a # al) = restrict (D - {x}) al
  by (cases a) (simp add: restrict-eq)
ultimately
show ?thesis using x-D hyp by simp
qed
qed
next
case False
from False Cons show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *update-restr*:

```

map-of (update x y (restrict D al)) = map-of (update x y (restrict (D - {x}) al))
  by (simp add: update-conv' restr-conv') (rule fun-upd-restrict)

```

lemma *upate-restr-conv* [simp]:

$x \in D \implies$

$\text{map-of } (\text{update } x \ y \ (\text{restrict } D \ al)) = \text{map-of } (\text{update } x \ y \ (\text{restrict } (D - \{x\}) \ al))$

by (simp add: update-conv' restr-conv')

lemma *restr-updates* [simp]:

$\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; \text{set } xs \subseteq D \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{map-of } (\text{restrict } D \ (\text{updates } xs \ ys \ al)) =$

$\text{map-of } (\text{updates } xs \ ys \ (\text{restrict } (D - \text{set } xs) \ al))$

by (simp add: updates-conv' restr-conv')

lemma *restr-delete-twist*: $(\text{restrict } A \ (\text{delete } a \ ps)) = \text{delete } a \ (\text{restrict } A \ ps)$

by (induct ps) auto

lemma *clearjunk-restrict*:

$\text{clearjunk } (\text{restrict } A \ al) = \text{restrict } A \ (\text{clearjunk } al)$

by (induct al rule: clearjunk.induct) (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)

end

4 SetsAndFunctions: Operations on sets and functions

theory *SetsAndFunctions*

imports *ATP-Linkup*

begin

This library lifts operations like addition and multiplication to sets and functions of appropriate types. It was designed to support asymptotic calculations. See the comments at the top of theory *BigO*.

4.1 Basic definitions

definition

$\text{set-plus} :: ('a::plus) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \ (\text{infixl } \oplus \ 65) \ \text{where}$

$A \oplus B == \{c. \exists a:A. \exists b:B. c = a + b\}$

instantiation *fun* :: (type, plus) plus

begin

definition

$\text{func-plus}: f + g == (\%x. f \ x + g \ x)$

instance ..

end

definition

set-times :: ('a::times) set => 'a set => 'a set (**infixl** \otimes 70) **where**
 $A \otimes B == \{c. \text{EX } a:A. \text{EX } b:B. c = a * b\}$

instantiation *fun* :: (type, times) times
begin

definition

func-times: $f * g == (\%x. f\ x * g\ x)$

instance ..

end

instantiation *fun* :: (type, zero) zero
begin

definition

func-zero: $0::('a::type) => ('b::zero)) == \%x. 0$

instance ..

end

instantiation *fun* :: (type, one) one
begin

definition

func-one: $1::('a::type) => ('b::one)) == \%x. 1$

instance ..

end

definition

elt-set-plus :: 'a::plus => 'a set => 'a set (**infixl** $+_o$ 70) **where**
 $a +_o B = \{c. \text{EX } b:B. c = a + b\}$

definition

elt-set-times :: 'a::times => 'a set => 'a set (**infixl** $*_o$ 80) **where**
 $a *_o B = \{c. \text{EX } b:B. c = a * b\}$

abbreviation (*input*)

elt-set-eq :: 'a => 'a set => bool (**infix** $=_o$ 50) **where**
 $x =_o A == x : A$

instance *fun* :: (type, semigroup-add) semigroup-add
by default (auto simp add: func-plus add-assoc)

```
instance fun :: (type,comm-monoid-add)comm-monoid-add
  by default (auto simp add: func-zero func-plus add-ac)
```

```
instance fun :: (type,ab-group-add)ab-group-add
  apply default
  apply (simp add: fun-Compl-def func-plus func-zero)
  apply (simp add: fun-Compl-def func-plus fun-diff-def diff-minus)
  done
```

```
instance fun :: (type,semigroup-mult)semigroup-mult
  apply default
  apply (auto simp add: func-times mult-assoc)
  done
```

```
instance fun :: (type,comm-monoid-mult)comm-monoid-mult
  apply default
  apply (auto simp add: func-one func-times mult-ac)
  done
```

```
instance fun :: (type,comm-ring-1)comm-ring-1
  apply default
  apply (auto simp add: func-plus func-times fun-Compl-def fun-diff-def ext
    func-one func-zero ring-simps)
  apply (drule fun-cong)
  apply simp
  done
```

```
interpretation set-semigroup-add: semigroup-add [op  $\oplus$  :: ('a::semigroup-add) set
=> 'a set => 'a set]
  apply default
  apply (unfold set-plus-def)
  apply (force simp add: add-assoc)
  done
```

```
interpretation set-semigroup-mult: semigroup-mult [op  $\otimes$  :: ('a::semigroup-mult)
set => 'a set => 'a set]
  apply default
  apply (unfold set-times-def)
  apply (force simp add: mult-assoc)
  done
```

```
interpretation set-comm-monoid-add: comm-monoid-add [{0} op  $\oplus$  :: ('a::comm-monoid-add)
set => 'a set => 'a set]
  apply default
  apply (unfold set-plus-def)
  apply (force simp add: add-ac)
  apply force
  done
```

```

interpretation set-comm-monoid-mult: comm-monoid-mult [{1} op ⊗ :: ('a::comm-monoid-mult)
set => 'a set => 'a set]
  apply default
  apply (unfold set-times-def)
  apply (force simp add: mult-ac)
  apply force
  done

```

4.2 Basic properties

```

lemma set-plus-intro [intro]: a : C ==> b : D ==> a + b : C ⊕ D
  by (auto simp add: set-plus-def)

```

```

lemma set-plus-intro2 [intro]: b : C ==> a + b : a +o C
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def)

```

```

lemma set-plus-rearrange: ((a::'a::comm-monoid-add) +o C) ⊕
  (b +o D) = (a + b) +o (C ⊕ D)
  apply (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def set-plus-def add-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = ba + bb in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = aa + a in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  done

```

```

lemma set-plus-rearrange2: (a::'a::semigroup-add) +o (b +o C) =
  (a + b) +o C
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def add-assoc)

```

```

lemma set-plus-rearrange3: ((a::'a::semigroup-add) +o B) ⊕ C =
  a +o (B ⊕ C)
  apply (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def set-plus-def)
  apply (blast intro: add-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = a + aa in exI)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule-tac x = aa in bexI)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = ba in bexI)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  done

```

```

theorem set-plus-rearrange4: C ⊕ ((a::'a::comm-monoid-add) +o D) =
  a +o (C ⊕ D)
  apply (auto intro!: subsetI simp add: elt-set-plus-def set-plus-def add-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = aa + ba in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  done

```


theorems *set-plus-rearranges* = *set-plus-rearrange set-plus-rearrange2 set-plus-rearrange3 set-plus-rearrange4*

lemma *set-plus-mono* [intro!]: $C \leq D \implies a +_o C \leq a +_o D$
by (*auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def*)

lemma *set-plus-mono2* [intro]: $(C :: ('a :: plus) set) \leq D \implies E \leq F \implies C \oplus E \leq D \oplus F$
by (*auto simp add: set-plus-def*)

lemma *set-plus-mono3* [intro]: $a : C \implies a +_o D \leq C \oplus D$
by (*auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def set-plus-def*)

lemma *set-plus-mono4* [intro]: $(a :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add) : C \implies a +_o D \leq D \oplus C$
by (*auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def set-plus-def add-ac*)

lemma *set-plus-mono5*: $a : C \implies B \leq D \implies a +_o B \leq C \oplus D$
apply (*subgoal-tac a +_o B \leq a +_o D*)
apply (*erule order-trans*)
apply (*erule set-plus-mono3*)
apply (*erule set-plus-mono*)
done

lemma *set-plus-mono-b*: $C \leq D \implies x : a +_o C \implies x : a +_o D$
apply (*frule set-plus-mono*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *set-plus-mono2-b*: $C \leq D \implies E \leq F \implies x : C \oplus E \implies x : D \oplus F$
apply (*frule set-plus-mono2*)
prefer 2
apply *force*
apply *assumption*
done

lemma *set-plus-mono3-b*: $a : C \implies x : a +_o D \implies x : C \oplus D$
apply (*frule set-plus-mono3*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *set-plus-mono4-b*: $(a :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add) : C \implies x : a +_o D \implies x : D \oplus C$
apply (*frule set-plus-mono4*)
apply *auto*
done

```

lemma set-zero-plus [simp]: ( $0 :: 'a :: \text{comm-monoid-add}$ ) +  $o$   $C = C$ 
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def)

lemma set-zero-plus2: ( $0 :: 'a :: \text{comm-monoid-add}$ ) :  $A ==> B <= A \oplus B$ 
  apply (auto intro!: subsetI simp add: set-plus-def)
  apply (rule-tac x = 0 in bexI)
  apply (rule-tac x = x in bexI)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  done

lemma set-plus-imp-minus: ( $a :: 'a :: \text{ab-group-add}$ ) :  $b + o$   $C ==> (a - b) : C$ 
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def add-ac diff-minus)

lemma set-minus-imp-plus: ( $a :: 'a :: \text{ab-group-add}$ ) -  $b : C ==> a : b + o$   $C$ 
  apply (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def add-ac diff-minus)
  apply (subgoal-tac a = (a + - b) + b)
  apply (rule bexI, assumption, assumption)
  apply (auto simp add: add-ac)
  done

lemma set-minus-plus: (( $a :: 'a :: \text{ab-group-add}$ ) -  $b : C$ ) = ( $a : b + o$   $C$ )
  by (rule iffI, rule set-minus-imp-plus, assumption, rule set-plus-imp-minus,
    assumption)

lemma set-times-intro [intro]:  $a : C ==> b : D ==> a * b : C \otimes D$ 
  by (auto simp add: set-times-def)

lemma set-times-intro2 [intro!]:  $b : C ==> a * b : a * o$   $C$ 
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def)

lemma set-times-rearrange: (( $a :: 'a :: \text{comm-monoid-mult}$ ) *  $o$   $C$ )  $\otimes$ 
  ( $b * o$   $D$ ) = ( $a * b$ ) *  $o$  ( $C \otimes D$ )
  apply (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def set-times-def)
  apply (rule-tac x = ba * bb in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: mult-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = aa * a in exI)
  apply (auto simp add: mult-ac)
  done

lemma set-times-rearrange2: ( $a :: 'a :: \text{semigroup-mult}$ ) *  $o$  ( $b * o$   $C$ ) =
  ( $a * b$ ) *  $o$   $C$ 
  by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def mult-assoc)

lemma set-times-rearrange3: (( $a :: 'a :: \text{semigroup-mult}$ ) *  $o$   $B$ )  $\otimes$   $C =$ 
   $a * o$  ( $B \otimes C$ )
  apply (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def set-times-def)
  apply (blast intro: mult-ac)
  apply (rule-tac x = a * aa in exI)
  apply (rule conjI)

```

```

apply (rule-tac x = aa in bexI)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = ba in bexI)
apply (auto simp add: mult-ac)
done

theorem set-times-rearrange4:  $C \otimes ((a::'a::comm-monoid-mult) *o D) =$ 
   $a *o (C \otimes D)$ 
apply (auto intro!: subsetI simp add: elt-set-times-def set-times-def
  mult-ac)
apply (rule-tac x = aa * ba in exI)
apply (auto simp add: mult-ac)
done

theorems set-times-rearranges = set-times-rearrange set-times-rearrange2
  set-times-rearrange3 set-times-rearrange4

lemma set-times-mono [intro]:  $C \leq D \implies a *o C \leq a *o D$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def)

lemma set-times-mono2 [intro]:  $(C::('a::times) set) \leq D \implies E \leq F \implies$ 
   $C \otimes E \leq D \otimes F$ 
by (auto simp add: set-times-def)

lemma set-times-mono3 [intro]:  $a : C \implies a *o D \leq C \otimes D$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def set-times-def)

lemma set-times-mono4 [intro]:  $(a::'a::comm-monoid-mult) : C \implies$ 
   $a *o D \leq D \otimes C$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def set-times-def mult-ac)

lemma set-times-mono5:  $a:C \implies B \leq D \implies a *o B \leq C \otimes D$ 
apply (subgoal-tac a *o B  $\leq a *o D$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (erule set-times-mono3)
apply (erule set-times-mono)
done

lemma set-times-mono-b:  $C \leq D \implies x : a *o C$ 
   $\implies x : a *o D$ 
apply (frule set-times-mono)
apply auto
done

lemma set-times-mono2-b:  $C \leq D \implies E \leq F \implies x : C \otimes E \implies$ 
   $x : D \otimes F$ 
apply (frule set-times-mono2)
prefer 2
apply force

```

```

apply assumption
done

lemma set-times-mono3-b:  $a : C \implies x : a *o D \implies x : C \otimes D$ 
apply (frule set-times-mono3)
apply auto
done

lemma set-times-mono4-b:  $(a::'a::comm-monoid-mult) : C \implies$ 
 $x : a *o D \implies x : D \otimes C$ 
apply (frule set-times-mono4)
apply auto
done

lemma set-one-times [simp]:  $(1::'a::comm-monoid-mult) *o C = C$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def)

lemma set-times-plus-distrib:  $(a::'a::semiring) *o (b +o C) =$ 
 $(a * b) +o (a *o C)$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-plus-def elt-set-times-def ring-distrib)

lemma set-times-plus-distrib2:  $(a::'a::semiring) *o (B \oplus C) =$ 
 $(a *o B) \oplus (a *o C)$ 
apply (auto simp add: set-plus-def elt-set-times-def ring-distrib)
apply blast
apply (rule-tac x = b + bb in exI)
apply (auto simp add: ring-distrib)
done

lemma set-times-plus-distrib3:  $((a::'a::semiring) +o C) \otimes D \leq$ 
 $a *o D \oplus C \otimes D$ 
apply (auto intro!: subsetI simp add:
 $elt-set-plus-def elt-set-times-def set-times-def$ 
 $set-plus-def ring-distrib$ )
apply auto
done

theorems set-times-plus-distrib =
 $set-times-plus-distrib$ 
 $set-times-plus-distrib2$ 

lemma set-neg-intro:  $(a::'a::ring-1) : (- 1) *o C \implies$ 
 $- a : C$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def)

lemma set-neg-intro2:  $(a::'a::ring-1) : C \implies$ 
 $- a : (- 1) *o C$ 
by (auto simp add: elt-set-times-def)

```

end

5 BigO: Big O notation

```
theory BigO
imports Main SetsAndFunctions
begin
```

This library is designed to support asymptotic “big O” calculations, i.e. reasoning with expressions of the form $f = O(g)$ and $f = g + O(h)$. An earlier version of this library is described in detail in [2].

The main changes in this version are as follows:

- We have eliminated the O operator on sets. (Most uses of this seem to be inessential.)
- We no longer use $+$ as output syntax for $+o$
- Lemmas involving *sumr* have been replaced by more general lemmas involving ‘*setsum*’.
- The library has been expanded, with e.g. support for expressions of the form $f < g + O(h)$.

See `Complex/ex/BigO_Complex.thy` for additional lemmas that require the `HOL-Complex` logic image.

Note also since the Big O library includes rules that demonstrate set inclusion, to use the automated reasoners effectively with the library one should redeclare the theorem *subsetI* as an intro rule, rather than as an *intro!* rule, for example, using `declare subsetI [del, intro]`.

5.1 Definitions

definition

```
bigo :: ('a => 'b::ordered-idom) => ('a => 'b) set ((1O'(-')) where
O(f::('a => 'b)) =
{h. EX c. ALL x. abs (h x) <= c * abs (f x)}
```

lemma *bigo-pos-const*: $(EX (c::'a::ordered-idom).$

```
ALL x. (abs (h x)) <= (c * (abs (f x))))
= (EX c. 0 < c & (ALL x. (abs(h x)) <= (c * (abs (f x)))))
```

apply *auto*

apply $(case-tac\ c = 0)$

apply *simp*

apply $(rule-tac\ x = 1\ \text{in}\ exI)$

apply *simp*

apply $(rule-tac\ x = abs\ c\ \text{in}\ exI)$

```

apply auto
apply (subgoal-tac  $c * \text{abs}(f\ x) \leq \text{abs}\ c * \text{abs}\ (f\ x)$ )
apply (erule-tac  $x = x$  in allE)
apply force
apply (rule mult-right-mono)
apply (rule abs-ge-self)
apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
done

lemma bigo-alt-def:  $O(f) =$ 
   $\{h. \text{EX } c. (0 < c \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x. \text{abs}\ (h\ x) \leq c * \text{abs}\ (f\ x)))\}$ 
by (auto simp add: bigo-def bigo-pos-const)

lemma bigo-elt-subset [intro]:  $f : O(g) \implies O(f) \leq O(g)$ 
apply (auto simp add: bigo-alt-def)
apply (rule-tac  $x = ca * c$  in exI)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule mult-pos-pos)
apply (assumption)+
apply (rule allI)
apply (drule-tac  $x = xa$  in spec)+
apply (subgoal-tac  $ca * \text{abs}(f\ xa) \leq ca * (c * \text{abs}(g\ xa))$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (simp add: mult-ac)
apply (rule mult-left-mono, assumption)
apply (rule order-less-imp-le, assumption)
done

lemma bigo-refl [intro]:  $f : O(f)$ 
apply (auto simp add: bigo-def)
apply (rule-tac  $x = 1$  in exI)
apply simp
done

lemma bigo-zero:  $0 : O(g)$ 
apply (auto simp add: bigo-def func-zero)
apply (rule-tac  $x = 0$  in exI)
apply auto
done

lemma bigo-zero2:  $O(\%x.0) = \{\%x.0\}$ 
apply (auto simp add: bigo-def)
apply (rule ext)
apply auto
done

lemma bigo-plus-self-subset [intro]:
   $O(f) \oplus O(f) \leq O(f)$ 
apply (auto simp add: bigo-alt-def set-plus-def)

```

```

apply (rule-tac  $x = c + ca$  in  $exI$ )
apply auto
apply (simp add: ring-distrib func-plus)
apply (rule order-trans)
apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (rule add-mono)
apply force
apply force
done

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-idemp [simp]:  $O(f) \oplus O(f) = O(f)$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule bigo-plus-self-subset)
apply (rule set-zero-plus2)
apply (rule bigo-zero)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-subset [intro]:  $O(f + g) \leq O(f) \oplus O(g)$ 
apply (rule subsetI)
apply (auto simp add: bigo-def bigo-pos-const func-plus set-plus-def)
apply (subst bigo-pos-const [symmetric])+
apply (rule-tac  $x =$ 
  %n. if abs (g n) <= (abs (f n)) then x n else 0 in  $exI$ )
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac  $x = c + c$  in  $exI$ )
apply (clarsimp)
apply (auto)
apply (subgoal-tac  $c * \text{abs } (f \, xa + g \, xa) \leq (c + c) * \text{abs } (f \, xa)$ )
apply (erule-tac  $x = xa$  in  $allE$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (simp)
apply (subgoal-tac  $c * \text{abs } (f \, xa + g \, xa) \leq c * (\text{abs } (f \, xa) + \text{abs } (g \, xa))$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (simp add: ring-distrib)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply assumption
apply (simp add: order-less-le)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply (simp add: abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (simp add: order-less-le)
apply (rule mult-nonneg-nonneg)
apply (rule add-nonneg-nonneg)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac  $x =$  %n. if (abs (f n)) < abs (g n) then x n else 0
  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule-tac  $x = c + c$  in  $exI$ )
apply auto
apply (subgoal-tac  $c * \text{abs } (f \, xa + g \, xa) \leq (c + c) * \text{abs } (g \, xa)$ )

```

```

apply (erule-tac  $x = xa$  in  $allE$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (simp)
apply (subgoal-tac  $c * abs (f xa + g xa) \leq c * (abs (f xa) + abs (g xa))$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply (simp add: ring-distrib)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply (simp add: order-less-le)
apply (simp add: order-less-le)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (simp add: order-less-le)
apply (rule mult-nonneg-nonneg)
apply (rule add-nonneg-nonneg)
apply (erule order-less-imp-le)+
apply simp
apply (rule ext)
apply (auto simp add: if-splits linorder-not-le)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-subset2 [intro]:  $A \leq O(f) \implies B \leq O(f) \implies A \oplus B \leq O(f)$ 
apply (subgoal-tac  $A \oplus B \leq O(f) \oplus O(f)$ )
apply (erule order-trans)
apply simp
apply (auto del: subsetI simp del: bigo-plus-idemp)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-eq:  $ALL x. 0 \leq f x \implies ALL x. 0 \leq g x \implies O(f + g) = O(f) \oplus O(g)$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule bigo-plus-subset)
apply (simp add: bigo-alt-def set-plus-def func-plus)
apply clarify
apply (erule-tac  $x = max c ca$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule conjI)
apply (subgoal-tac  $c \leq max c ca$ )
apply (erule order-less-le-trans)
apply assumption
apply (rule le-maxI1)
apply clarify
apply (erule-tac  $x = xa$  in  $spec$ ) +
apply (subgoal-tac  $0 \leq f xa + g xa$ )
apply (simp add: ring-distrib)
apply (subgoal-tac  $abs(a xa + b xa) \leq abs(a xa) + abs(b xa)$ )
apply (subgoal-tac  $abs(a xa) + abs(b xa) \leq max c ca * f xa + max c ca * g xa$ )
apply (force)
apply (rule add-mono)

```



```

apply (subgoal-tac c * f xa <= max c ca * f xa)
apply (force)
apply (rule mult-right-mono)
apply (rule le-maxI1)
apply assumption
apply (subgoal-tac ca * g xa <= max c ca * g xa)
apply (force)
apply (rule mult-right-mono)
apply (rule le-maxI2)
apply assumption
apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (rule add-nonneg-nonneg)
apply assumption+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-bounded-alt: ALL x. 0 <= f x ==> ALL x. f x <= c * g x ==>
  f : O(g)
apply (auto simp add: bigo-def)
apply (rule-tac x = abs c in exI)
apply auto
apply (drule-tac x = x in spec)+
apply (simp add: abs-mult [symmetric])
done

```

```

lemma bigo-bounded: ALL x. 0 <= f x ==> ALL x. f x <= g x ==>
  f : O(g)
apply (erule bigo-bounded-alt [of f 1 g])
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma bigo-bounded2: ALL x. lb x <= f x ==> ALL x. f x <= lb x + g x ==>
  f : lb +o O(g)
apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
apply (rule bigo-bounded)
apply (auto simp add: diff-minus fun-Compl-def func-plus)
apply (drule-tac x = x in spec)+
apply force
apply (drule-tac x = x in spec)+
apply force
done

```

```

lemma bigo-abs: (%x. abs(f x)) =o O(f)
apply (unfold bigo-def)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = 1 in exI)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma bigo-abs2: f =o O(%x. abs(f x))

```

```

apply (unfold bigo-def)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac  $x = 1$  in  $exI$ )
apply auto
done

lemma bigo-abs3:  $O(f) = O(\%x. abs(f\ x))$ 
apply (rule equalityI)
apply (rule bigo-elt-subset)
apply (rule bigo-abs2)
apply (rule bigo-elt-subset)
apply (rule bigo-abs)
done

lemma bigo-abs4:  $f =_o g +_o O(h) ==>$ 
   $(\%x. abs(f\ x)) =_o (\%x. abs(g\ x)) +_o O(h)$ 
apply (drule set-plus-imp-minus)
apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
apply (subst fun-diff-def)
proof –
  assume  $a: f - g : O(h)$ 
  have  $(\%x. abs(f\ x) - abs(g\ x)) =_o O(\%x. abs(abs(f\ x) - abs(g\ x)))$ 
    by (rule bigo-abs2)
  also have  $\dots \leq O(\%x. abs(f\ x - g\ x))$ 
    apply (rule bigo-elt-subset)
    apply (rule bigo-bounded)
    apply force
    apply (rule allI)
    apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq3)
    done
  also have  $\dots \leq O(f - g)$ 
    apply (rule bigo-elt-subset)
    apply (subst fun-diff-def)
    apply (rule bigo-abs)
    done
  also from  $a$  have  $\dots \leq O(h)$ 
    by (rule bigo-elt-subset)
  finally show  $(\%x. abs(f\ x) - abs(g\ x)) : O(h)$ .
qed

lemma bigo-abs5:  $f =_o O(g) ==> (\%x. abs(f\ x)) =_o O(g)$ 
  by (unfold bigo-def, auto)

lemma bigo-elt-subset2 [intro]:  $f : g +_o O(h) ==> O(f) \leq O(g) \oplus O(h)$ 
proof –
  assume  $f : g +_o O(h)$ 
  also have  $\dots \leq O(g) \oplus O(h)$ 
    by (auto del: subsetI)
  also have  $\dots = O(\%x. abs(g\ x)) \oplus O(\%x. abs(h\ x))$ 

```

```

    apply (subst bigo-abs3 [symmetric])+
    apply (rule refl)
  done
  also have ... =  $O((\%x. \text{abs}(g\ x)) + (\%x. \text{abs}(h\ x)))$ 
    by (rule bigo-plus-eq [symmetric], auto)
  finally have  $f : \dots$ 
  then have  $O(f) \leq \dots$ 
    by (elim bigo-elt-subset)
  also have ... =  $O(\%x. \text{abs}(g\ x)) \oplus O(\%x. \text{abs}(h\ x))$ 
    by (rule bigo-plus-eq, auto)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: bigo-abs3 [symmetric])
qed

```

```

lemma bigo-mult [intro]:  $O(f) \otimes O(g) \leq O(f * g)$ 
  apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (subst bigo-def)
  apply (auto simp add: bigo-alt-def set-times-def func-times)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = c * ca$  in exI)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (erule-tac  $x = x$  in allE)+
  apply (subgoal-tac  $c * ca * \text{abs}(f\ x * g\ x) =$ 
     $(c * \text{abs}(f\ x)) * (ca * \text{abs}(g\ x))$ )
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (subst abs-mult)
  apply (rule mult-mono)
  apply assumption+
  apply (rule mult-nonneg-nonneg)
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: mult-ac abs-mult)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-mult2 [intro]:  $f * o\ O(g) \leq O(f * g)$ 
  apply (auto simp add: bigo-def elt-set-times-def func-times abs-mult)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = c$  in exI)
  apply auto
  apply (drule-tac  $x = x$  in spec)
  apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{abs}(f\ x) * \text{abs}(b\ x) \leq \text{abs}(f\ x) * (c * \text{abs}(g\ x))$ )
  apply (force simp add: mult-ac)
  apply (rule mult-left-mono, assumption)
  apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-mult3:  $f : O(h) \implies g : O(j) \implies f * g : O(h * j)$ 
  apply (rule subsetD)
  apply (rule bigo-mult)
  apply (erule set-times-intro, assumption)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-mult4 [intro]: f : k +o O(h) ==> g * f : (g * k) +o O(g * h)
  apply (drule set-plus-imp-minus)
  apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
  apply (drule bigo-mult3 [where g = g and j = g])
  apply (auto simp add: ring-simps)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-mult5: ALL x. f x ~ = 0 ==>
  O(f * g) <= (f::'a ==> ('b::ordered-field)) *o O(g)
proof -
  assume ALL x. f x ~ = 0
  show O(f * g) <= f *o O(g)
proof
  fix h
  assume h : O(f * g)
  then have (%x. 1 / (f x)) * h : (%x. 1 / f x) *o O(f * g)
  by auto
  also have ... <= O((%x. 1 / f x) * (f * g))
  by (rule bigo-mult2)
  also have (%x. 1 / f x) * (f * g) = g
  apply (simp add: func-times)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (simp add: prems nonzero-divide-eq-eq mult-ac)
  done
  finally have (%x. (1::'b) / f x) * h : O(g).
  then have f * ((%x. (1::'b) / f x) * h) : f *o O(g)
  by auto
  also have f * ((%x. (1::'b) / f x) * h) = h
  apply (simp add: func-times)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (simp add: prems nonzero-divide-eq-eq mult-ac)
  done
  finally show h : f *o O(g).
qed
qed

```

```

lemma bigo-mult6: ALL x. f x ~ = 0 ==>
  O(f * g) = (f::'a ==> ('b::ordered-field)) *o O(g)
  apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (erule bigo-mult5)
  apply (rule bigo-mult2)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-mult7: ALL x. f x ~ = 0 ==>
  O(f * g) <= O(f::'a ==> ('b::ordered-field)) ⊗ O(g)
  apply (subst bigo-mult6)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule set-times-mono3)
  apply (rule bigo-refl)

```

done

lemma *bigo-mult8*: $ALL\ x.\ f\ x\ \sim =\ 0\ ==>$
 $O(f * g) = O(f :: 'a ==> ('b :: ordered-field)) \otimes O(g)$
apply (*rule equalityI*)
apply (*erule bigo-mult7*)
apply (*rule bigo-mult*)
done

lemma *bigo-minus [intro]*: $f : O(g) ==> -f : O(g)$
by (*auto simp add: bigo-def fun-Compl-def*)

lemma *bigo-minus2*: $f : g +_o O(h) ==> -f : -g +_o O(h)$
apply (*rule set-minus-imp-plus*)
apply (*drule set-plus-imp-minus*)
apply (*drule bigo-minus*)
apply (*simp add: diff-minus*)
done

lemma *bigo-minus3*: $O(-f) = O(f)$
by (*auto simp add: bigo-def fun-Compl-def abs-minus-cancel*)

lemma *bigo-plus-absorb-lemma1*: $f : O(g) ==> f +_o O(g) <= O(g)$
proof –
assume $a: f : O(g)$
show $f +_o O(g) <= O(g)$
proof –
have $f : O(f)$ **by** *auto*
then have $f +_o O(g) <= O(f) \oplus O(g)$
by (*auto del: subsetI*)
also have $\dots <= O(g) \oplus O(g)$
proof –
from a **have** $O(f) <= O(g)$ **by** (*auto del: subsetI*)
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto del: subsetI*)
qed
also have $\dots <= O(g)$ **by** (*simp add: bigo-plus-idemp*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
qed

lemma *bigo-plus-absorb-lemma2*: $f : O(g) ==> O(g) <= f +_o O(g)$
proof –
assume $a: f : O(g)$
show $O(g) <= f +_o O(g)$
proof –
from a **have** $-f : O(g)$ **by** *auto*
then have $-f +_o O(g) <= O(g)$ **by** (*elim bigo-plus-absorb-lemma1*)
then have $f +_o (-f +_o O(g)) <= f +_o O(g)$ **by** *auto*
also have $f +_o (-f +_o O(g)) = O(g)$

```

    by (simp add: set-plus-rearranges)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-absorb [simp]:  $f : O(g) \implies f + o O(g) = O(g)$ 
  apply (rule equalityI)
  apply (erule bigo-plus-absorb-lemma1)
  apply (erule bigo-plus-absorb-lemma2)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-plus-absorb2 [intro]:  $f : O(g) \implies A \leq O(g) \implies f + o A \leq O(g)$ 
  apply (subgoal-tac  $f + o A \leq f + o O(g)$ )
  apply force+
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-add-commute-imp:  $f : g + o O(h) \implies g : f + o O(h)$ 
  apply (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric])
  apply (subgoal-tac  $g - f = - (f - g)$ )
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (rule bigo-minus)
  apply (subst set-minus-plus)
  apply assumption
  apply (simp add: diff-minus add-ac)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-add-commute:  $(f : g + o O(h)) = (g : f + o O(h))$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (erule bigo-add-commute-imp)+
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-const1:  $(\%x. c) : O(\%x. 1)$ 
  by (auto simp add: bigo-def mult-ac)

```

```

lemma bigo-const2 [intro]:  $O(\%x. c) \leq O(\%x. 1)$ 
  apply (rule bigo-elt-subset)
  apply (rule bigo-const1)
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-const3:  $(c::'a::ordered-field) \sim 0 \implies (\%x. 1) : O(\%x. c)$ 
  apply (simp add: bigo-def)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = \text{abs}(\text{inverse } c)$  in exI)
  apply (simp add: abs-mult [symmetric])
  done

```

```

lemma bigo-const4:  $(c::'a::ordered-field) \sim 0 \implies O(\%x. 1) \leq O(\%x. c)$ 
  by (rule bigo-elt-subset, rule bigo-const3, assumption)

```

lemma *bigo-const* [*simp*]: ($c :: 'a :: \text{ordered-field}$) $\sim = 0 ==>$
 $O(\%x. c) = O(\%x. 1)$
by (*rule equalityI*, *rule bigo-const2*, *rule bigo-const4*, *assumption*)

lemma *bigo-const-mult1*: ($\%x. c * f x$) : $O(f)$
apply (*simp add: bigo-def*)
apply (*rule-tac x = abs(c) in exI*)
apply (*auto simp add: abs-mult [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *bigo-const-mult2*: $O(\%x. c * f x) \leq O(f)$
by (*rule bigo-elt-subset*, *rule bigo-const-mult1*)

lemma *bigo-const-mult3*: ($c :: 'a :: \text{ordered-field}$) $\sim = 0 ==> f : O(\%x. c * f x)$
apply (*simp add: bigo-def*)
apply (*rule-tac x = abs(inverse c) in exI*)
apply (*simp add: abs-mult [symmetric] mult-assoc [symmetric]*)
done

lemma *bigo-const-mult4*: ($c :: 'a :: \text{ordered-field}$) $\sim = 0 ==>$
 $O(f) \leq O(\%x. c * f x)$
by (*rule bigo-elt-subset*, *rule bigo-const-mult3*, *assumption*)

lemma *bigo-const-mult* [*simp*]: ($c :: 'a :: \text{ordered-field}$) $\sim = 0 ==>$
 $O(\%x. c * f x) = O(f)$
by (*rule equalityI*, *rule bigo-const-mult2*, *erule bigo-const-mult4*)

lemma *bigo-const-mult5* [*simp*]: ($c :: 'a :: \text{ordered-field}$) $\sim = 0 ==>$
 $(\%x. c) * o O(f) = O(f)$
apply (*auto del: subsetI*)
apply (*rule order-trans*)
apply (*rule bigo-mult2*)
apply (*simp add: func-times*)
apply (*auto intro!: subsetI simp add: bigo-def elt-set-times-def func-times*)
apply (*rule-tac x = \%y. inverse c * x y in exI*)
apply (*simp add: mult-assoc [symmetric] abs-mult*)
apply (*rule-tac x = abs (inverse c) * ca in exI*)
apply (*rule allI*)
apply (*subst mult-assoc*)
apply (*rule mult-left-mono*)
apply (*erule spec*)
apply *force*
done

lemma *bigo-const-mult6* [*intro*]: $(\%x. c) * o O(f) \leq O(f)$
apply (*auto intro!: subsetI*)
 $\text{simp add: bigo-def elt-set-times-def func-times}$
apply (*rule-tac x = ca * (abs c) in exI*)
apply (*rule allI*)

```

apply (subgoal-tac ca * abs(c) * abs(f x) = abs(c) * (ca * abs(f x)))
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (subst abs-mult)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply (erule spec)
apply simp
apply(simp add: mult-ac)
done

lemma bigo-const-mult7 [intro]: f =o O(g) ==> (%x. c * f x) =o O(g)
proof -
  assume f =o O(g)
  then have (%x. c) * f =o (%x. c) *o O(g)
    by auto
  also have (%x. c) * f = (%x. c * f x)
    by (simp add: func-times)
  also have (%x. c) *o O(g) <= O(g)
    by (auto del: subsetI)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bigo-compose1: f =o O(g) ==> (%x. f(k x)) =o O(%x. g(k x))
by (unfold bigo-def, auto)

lemma bigo-compose2: f =o g +o O(h) ==> (%x. f(k x)) =o (%x. g(k x)) +o
  O(%x. h(k x))
  apply (simp only: set-minus-plus [symmetric] diff-minus fun-Compl-def
    func-plus)
  apply (erule bigo-compose1)
done

## 5.2 Setsum

lemma bigo-setsum-main: ALL x. ALL y : A x. 0 <= h x y ==>
  EX c. ALL x. ALL y : A x. abs(f x y) <= c * (h x y) ==>
  (%x. SUM y : A x. f x y) =o O(%x. SUM y : A x. h x y)
  apply (auto simp add: bigo-def)
  apply (rule-tac x = abs c in exI)
  apply (subst abs-of-nonneg) back back
  apply (rule setsum-nonneg)
  apply force
  apply (subst setsum-right-distrib)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  apply (rule setsum-abs)
  apply (rule setsum-mono)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  apply (drule spec)+
  apply (drule bspec)+

```



```

apply assumption+
apply (drule bspec)
apply assumption+
apply (rule mult-right-mono)
apply (rule abs-ge-self)
apply force
done

lemma bigo-setsum1:  $ALL\ x\ y.\ 0 \leq h\ x\ y \implies$ 
   $EX\ c.\ ALL\ x\ y.\ abs(f\ x\ y) \leq c * (h\ x\ y) \implies$ 
   $(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ f\ x\ y) = o\ O(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ h\ x\ y)$ 
apply (rule bigo-setsum-main)
apply force
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac x = c in exI)
apply force
done

lemma bigo-setsum2:  $ALL\ y.\ 0 \leq h\ y \implies$ 
   $EX\ c.\ ALL\ y.\ abs(f\ y) \leq c * (h\ y) \implies$ 
   $(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ f\ y) = o\ O(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ h\ y)$ 
by (rule bigo-setsum1, auto)

lemma bigo-setsum3:  $f = o\ O(h) \implies$ 
   $(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ (l\ x\ y) * f(k\ x\ y)) = o$ 
   $O(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ abs(l\ x\ y * h(k\ x\ y)))$ 
apply (rule bigo-setsum1)
apply (rule allI)+
apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
apply (unfold bigo-def)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = c in exI)
apply (rule allI)+
apply (subst abs-mult)+
apply (subst mult-left-commute)
apply (rule mult-left-mono)
apply (erule spec)
apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
done

lemma bigo-setsum4:  $f = o\ g + o\ O(h) \implies$ 
   $(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ l\ x\ y * f(k\ x\ y)) = o$ 
   $(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ l\ x\ y * g(k\ x\ y)) + o$ 
   $O(\%x.\ SUM\ y : A\ x.\ abs(l\ x\ y * h(k\ x\ y)))$ 
apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
apply (subst fun-diff-def)
apply (subst setsum-subtractf [symmetric])
apply (subst right-diff-distrib [symmetric])
apply (rule bigo-setsum3)

```

```

apply (subst fun-diff-def [symmetric])
apply (erule set-plus-imp-minus)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-setsum5:  $f =_o O(h) \implies \text{ALL } x y. 0 \leq l x y \implies$ 
   $\text{ALL } x. 0 \leq h x \implies$ 
   $(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * f(k x y)) =_o$ 
   $O(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * h(k x y))$ 
apply (subgoal-tac ( $\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * h(k x y) =$ 
   $(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. \text{abs}((l x y) * h(k x y)))$ )
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (erule bigo-setsum3)
apply (rule ext)
apply (rule setsum-cong2)
apply (subst abs-of-nonneg)
apply (rule mult-nonneg-nonneg)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma bigo-setsum6:  $f =_o g +_o O(h) \implies \text{ALL } x y. 0 \leq l x y \implies$ 
   $\text{ALL } x. 0 \leq h x \implies$ 
   $(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * f(k x y)) =_o$ 
   $(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * g(k x y)) +_o$ 
   $O(\%x. \text{SUM } y : A x. (l x y) * h(k x y))$ 
apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
apply (subst fun-diff-def)
apply (subst setsum-subtractf [symmetric])
apply (subst right-diff-distrib [symmetric])
apply (rule bigo-setsum5)
apply (subst fun-diff-def [symmetric])
apply (erule set-plus-imp-minus)
apply auto
done

```

5.3 Misc useful stuff

```

lemma bigo-useful-intro:  $A \leq O(f) \implies B \leq O(f) \implies$ 
   $A \oplus B \leq O(f)$ 
apply (subst bigo-plus-idemp [symmetric])
apply (rule set-plus-mono2)
apply assumption+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-useful-add:  $f =_o O(h) \implies g =_o O(h) \implies f + g =_o O(h)$ 
apply (subst bigo-plus-idemp [symmetric])
apply (rule set-plus-intro)
apply assumption+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-useful-const-mult: (c::'a::ordered-field)  $\sim = 0 \implies$ 
  ( $\%x. c$ ) *  $f =_o O(h) \implies f =_o O(h)$ 
apply (rule subsetD)
apply (subgoal-tac ( $\%x. 1 / c$ ) *  $O(h) \leq O(h)$ )
apply assumption
apply (rule bigo-const-mult6)
apply (subgoal-tac  $f = (\%x. 1 / c) * ((\%x. c) * f)$ )
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (erule set-times-intro2)
apply (simp add: func-times)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-fix: ( $\%x. f ((x::nat) + 1) =_o O(\%x. h(x + 1)) \implies f\ 0 = 0 \implies$ 
   $f =_o O(h)$ )
apply (simp add: bigo-alt-def)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac  $x = c$  in exI)
apply auto
apply (case-tac  $x = 0$ )
apply simp
apply (rule mult-nonneg-nonneg)
apply force
apply force
apply (subgoal-tac  $x = \text{Suc } (x - 1)$ )
apply (erule ssubst) back
apply (erule spec)
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma bigo-fix2:
  ( $\%x. f ((x::nat) + 1) =_o (\%x. g(x + 1)) +_o O(\%x. h(x + 1)) \implies$ 
   $f\ 0 = g\ 0 \implies f =_o g +_o O(h)$ )
apply (rule set-minus-imp-plus)
apply (rule bigo-fix)
apply (subst fun-diff-def)
apply (subst fun-diff-def [symmetric])
apply (rule set-plus-imp-minus)
apply simp
apply (simp add: fun-diff-def)
done

```

5.4 Less than or equal to

definition

```

  lessor :: ('a => 'b::ordered-idom) => ('a => 'b) => ('a => 'b)
  (infixl <_o 70) where
     $f <_o g = (\%x. \max (f\ x - g\ x)\ 0)$ 

```

```

lemma bigo-lesseq1:  $f =_o O(h) \implies \text{ALL } x. \text{abs } (g\ x) \leq \text{abs } (f\ x) \implies$ 

```

```

  g =o O(h)
  apply (unfold bigo-def)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac x = c in exI)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  apply (erule spec)+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-lesseq2: f =o O(h) ==> ALL x. abs (g x) <= f x ==>
  g =o O(h)
  apply (erule bigo-lesseq1)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (drule-tac x = x in spec)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  apply assumption
  apply (rule abs-ge-self)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-lesseq3: f =o O(h) ==> ALL x. 0 <= g x ==> ALL x. g x <= f
x ==>
  g =o O(h)
  apply (erule bigo-lesseq2)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (subst abs-of-nonneg)
  apply (erule spec)+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-lesseq4: f =o O(h) ==>
  ALL x. 0 <= g x ==> ALL x. g x <= abs (f x) ==>
  g =o O(h)
  apply (erule bigo-lesseq1)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (subst abs-of-nonneg)
  apply (erule spec)+
done

```

```

lemma bigo-lesso1: ALL x. f x <= g x ==> f <o g =o O(h)
  apply (unfold less-def)
  apply (subgoal-tac (%x. max (f x - g x) 0) = 0)
  apply (erule ssubst)
  apply (rule bigo-zero)
  apply (unfold func-zero)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (simp split: split-max)
done

```

```

lemma bigo-lesso2: f =o g +o O(h) ==>
  ALL x. 0 <= k x ==> ALL x. k x <= f x ==>

```

```

    k < o g = o O(h)
  apply (unfold less-def)
  apply (rule bigo-lesseq4)
  apply (erule set-plus-imp-minus)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule le-maxI2)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (subst fun-diff-def)
  apply (case-tac 0 <= k x - g x)
  apply simp
  apply (subst abs-of-nonneg)
  apply (drule-tac x = x in spec) back
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (subst diff-minus)+
  apply (rule add-right-mono)
  apply (erule spec)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  prefer 2
  apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  done

```

lemma *bigo-lesso3*: $f = o g + o O(h) ==>$
 $ALL\ x.\ 0 <= k\ x ==> ALL\ x.\ g\ x <= k\ x ==>$
 $f < o k = o O(h)$

```

  apply (unfold less-def)
  apply (rule bigo-lesseq4)
  apply (erule set-plus-imp-minus)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (rule le-maxI2)
  apply (rule allI)
  apply (subst fun-diff-def)
  apply (case-tac 0 <= f x - k x)
  apply simp
  apply (subst abs-of-nonneg)
  apply (drule-tac x = x in spec) back
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  apply (subst diff-minus)+
  apply (rule add-left-mono)
  apply (rule le-imp-neg-le)
  apply (erule spec)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  prefer 2
  apply (rule abs-ge-zero)
  apply (simp add: compare-rls)
  done

```

lemma *bigo-lesso4*: $f < o g = o O(k::'a=>'b::ordered-field) ==>$
 $g = o h + o O(k) ==> f < o h = o O(k)$

```

apply (unfold less-def)
apply (drule set-plus-imp-minus)
apply (drule bigo-abs5) back
apply (simp add: fun-diff-def)
apply (drule bigo-useful-add)
apply assumption
apply (erule bigo-lesseq2) back
apply (rule allI)
apply (auto simp add: func-plus fun-diff-def compare-rls
  split: split-max abs-split)
done

lemma bigo-lesso5:  $f <_o g =_o O(h) \implies$ 
   $\text{EX } C. \text{ ALL } x. f\ x \leq g\ x + C * \text{abs}(h\ x)$ 
apply (simp only: less-def bigo-alt-def)
apply clarsimp
apply (rule-tac  $x = c$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule allI)
apply (drule-tac  $x = x$  in  $spec$ )
apply (subgoal-tac  $\text{abs}(\max(f\ x - g\ x)\ 0) = \max(f\ x - g\ x)\ 0$ )
apply (clarsimp simp add: compare-rls add-ac)
apply (rule abs-of-nonneg)
apply (rule le-maxI2)
done

lemma less-add:  $f <_o g =_o O(h) \implies$ 
   $k <_o l =_o O(h) \implies (f + k) <_o (g + l) =_o O(h)$ 
apply (unfold less-def)
apply (rule bigo-lesseq3)
apply (erule bigo-useful-add)
apply assumption
apply (force split: split-max)
apply (auto split: split-max simp add: func-plus)
done

end

```

6 Binomial: Binomial Coefficients

```

theory Binomial
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

This development is based on the work of Andy Gordon and Florian KammueLLer.

```

consts
  binomial ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$     (infixl choose 65)
primrec

```

binomial-0: $(0 \text{ choose } k) = (\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
binomial-Suc: $(\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } k) =$
 $(\text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } (n \text{ choose } (k - 1)) + (n \text{ choose } k))$

lemma *binomial-n-0* [simp]: $(n \text{ choose } 0) = 1$
by (cases *n*) simp-all

lemma *binomial-0-Suc* [simp]: $(0 \text{ choose } \text{Suc } k) = 0$
by simp

lemma *binomial-Suc-Suc* [simp]:
 $(\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } k) = (n \text{ choose } k) + (n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } k)$
by simp

lemma *binomial-eq-0*: $!!k. n < k \implies (n \text{ choose } k) = 0$
by (induct *n*) auto

declare *binomial-0* [simp del] *binomial-Suc* [simp del]

lemma *binomial-n-n* [simp]: $(n \text{ choose } n) = 1$
by (induct *n*) (simp-all add: *binomial-eq-0*)

lemma *binomial-Suc-n* [simp]: $(\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } n) = \text{Suc } n$
by (induct *n*) simp-all

lemma *binomial-1* [simp]: $(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } 0) = n$
by (induct *n*) simp-all

lemma *zero-less-binomial*: $k \leq n \implies (n \text{ choose } k) > 0$
by (induct *n k* rule: diff-induct) simp-all

lemma *binomial-eq-0-iff*: $(n \text{ choose } k = 0) = (n < k)$
apply (safe intro!: *binomial-eq-0*)
apply (erule contrapos-pp)
apply (simp add: *zero-less-binomial*)
done

lemma *zero-less-binomial-iff*: $(n \text{ choose } k > 0) = (k \leq n)$
by(simp add: linorder-not-less *binomial-eq-0-iff* *neq0-conv*[symmetric]
del: *neq0-conv*)

lemma *Suc-times-binomial-eq*:
 $!!k. k \leq n \implies \text{Suc } n * (n \text{ choose } k) = (\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } k) * \text{Suc } k$
apply (induct *n*)
apply (simp add: *binomial-0*)
apply (case-tac *k*)
apply (auto simp add: add-mult-distrib add-mult-distrib2 le-Suc-eq
binomial-eq-0)

done

This is the well-known version, but it’s harder to use because of the need to reason about division.

lemma *binomial-Suc-Suc-eq-times*:

$k \leq n \implies (\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } k) = (\text{Suc } n * (n \text{ choose } k)) \text{ div } \text{Suc } k$

by (*simp add: Suc-times-binomial-eq div-mult-self-is-m zero-less-Suc*
del: mult-Suc mult-Suc-right)

Another version, with -1 instead of Suc.

lemma *times-binomial-minus1-eq*:

$[k \leq n; 0 < k] \implies (n \text{ choose } k) * k = n * ((n - 1) \text{ choose } (k - 1))$

apply (*cut-tac n = n - 1 and k = k - 1 in Suc-times-binomial-eq*)

apply (*simp split add: nat-diff-split, auto*)

done

6.1 Theorems about *choose*

Basic theorem about *choose*. By Florian Kammüller, tidied by LCP.

lemma *card-s-0-eq-empty*:

$\text{finite } A \implies \text{card } \{B. B \subseteq A \ \& \ \text{card } B = 0\} = 1$

apply (*simp cong add: conj-cong add: finite-subset [THEN card-0-eq]*)

apply (*simp cong add: rev-conj-cong*)

done

lemma *choose-deconstruct*: $\text{finite } M \implies x \notin M$

$\implies \{s. s \leq \text{insert } x M \ \& \ \text{card}(s) = \text{Suc } k\}$

$= \{s. s \leq M \ \& \ \text{card}(s) = \text{Suc } k\} \text{ Un }$

$\{s. \exists t. t \leq M \ \& \ \text{card}(t) = k \ \& \ s = \text{insert } x t\}$

apply *safe*

apply (*auto intro: finite-subset [THEN card-insert-disjoint]*)

apply (*drule-tac x = xa - {x} in spec*)

apply (*subgoal-tac x \notin xa, auto*)

apply (*erule rev-mp, subst card-Diff-singleton*)

apply (*auto intro: finite-subset*)

done

There are as many subsets of A having cardinality k as there are sets obtained from the former by inserting a fixed element x into each.

lemma *constr-bij*:

$[\text{finite } A; x \notin A] \implies$

$\text{card } \{B. \exists C. C \leq A \ \& \ \text{card}(C) = k \ \& \ B = \text{insert } x C\} =$

$\text{card } \{B. B \leq A \ \& \ \text{card}(B) = k\}$

apply (*rule-tac f = %s. s - {x} and g = insert x in card-bij-eq*)

apply (*auto elim!: equalityE simp add: inj-on-def*)

apply (*subst Diff-insert0, auto*)

finiteness of the two sets


```

apply (rule-tac [2] B = Pow (A) in finite-subset)
apply (rule-tac B = Pow (insert x A) in finite-subset)
apply fast+
done

```

Main theorem: combinatorial statement about number of subsets of a set.

lemma *n-sub-lemma*:

```

!!A. finite A ==> card {B. B <= A & card B = k} = (card A choose k)
apply (induct k)
apply (simp add: card-s-0-eq-empty, atomize)
apply (rotate-tac -1, erule finite-induct)
apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp) cong add: conj-cong
  add: card-s-0-eq-empty choose-deconstruct)
apply (subst card-Un-disjoint)
prefer 4 apply (force simp add: constr-bij)
prefer 3 apply force
prefer 2 apply (blast intro: finite-Pow-iff [THEN iffD2]
  finite-subset [of - Pow (insert x F), standard])
apply (blast intro: finite-Pow-iff [THEN iffD2, THEN [2] finite-subset])
done

```

theorem *n-subsets*:

```

finite A ==> card {B. B <= A & card B = k} = (card A choose k)
by (simp add: n-sub-lemma)

```

The binomial theorem (courtesy of Tobias Nipkow):

```

theorem binomial: (a+b::nat) ^ n = (∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n-k))
proof (induct n)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  have decomp: {0..n+1} = {0} ∪ {n+1} ∪ {1..n}
  by (auto simp add: atLeastAtMost-def atLeast-def atMost-def)
  have decomp2: {0..n} = {0} ∪ {1..n}
  by (auto simp add: atLeastAtMost-def atLeast-def atMost-def)
  have (a+b::nat) ^ (n+1) = (a+b) * (∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n-k))
  using Suc by simp
  also have ... = a*(∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n-k)) +
    b*(∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n-k))
  by (rule nat-distrib)
  also have ... = (∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ (k+1) * b ^ (n-k)) +
    (∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n-k+1))
  by (simp add: setsum-right-distrib mult-ac)
  also have ... = (∑ k=0..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k)) +
    (∑ k=1..n+1. (n choose (k-1)) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k))
  by (simp add: setsum-shift-bounds-cl-Suc-ivl Suc-diff-le
    del: setsum-cl-ivl-Suc)
  also have ... = a ^ (n+1) + b ^ (n+1) +

```

```

      (∑ k=1..n. (n choose (k - 1)) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k)) +
      (∑ k=1..n. (n choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k))
    by (simp add: decomp2)
  also have
    ... = a ^ (n+1) + b ^ (n+1) + (∑ k=1..n. (n+1 choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k))
    by (simp add: nat-distrib setsum-addf binomial.simps)
  also have ... = (∑ k=0..n+1. (n+1 choose k) * a ^ k * b ^ (n+1-k))
    using decomp by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
qed

end

```

7 Boolean-Algebra: Boolean Algebras

```

theory Boolean-Algebra
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

```

locale boolean =
  fixes conj :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixr □ 70)
  fixes disj :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixr ⊔ 65)
  fixes compl :: 'a ⇒ 'a (∼ - [81] 80)
  fixes zero :: 'a (0)
  fixes one  :: 'a (1)
  assumes conj-assoc: (x □ y) □ z = x □ (y □ z)
  assumes disj-assoc: (x ⊔ y) ⊔ z = x ⊔ (y ⊔ z)
  assumes conj-commute: x □ y = y □ x
  assumes disj-commute: x ⊔ y = y ⊔ x
  assumes conj-disj-distrib: x □ (y ⊔ z) = (x □ y) ⊔ (x □ z)
  assumes disj-conj-distrib: x ⊔ (y □ z) = (x ⊔ y) □ (x ⊔ z)
  assumes conj-one-right [simp]: x □ 1 = x
  assumes disj-zero-right [simp]: x ⊔ 0 = x
  assumes conj-cancel-right [simp]: x □ ∼ x = 0
  assumes disj-cancel-right [simp]: x ⊔ ∼ x = 1
begin

```

```

lemmas disj-ac =
  disj-assoc disj-commute
  mk-left-commute [where 'a = 'a, of disj, OF disj-assoc disj-commute]

```

```

lemmas conj-ac =
  conj-assoc conj-commute
  mk-left-commute [where 'a = 'a, of conj, OF conj-assoc conj-commute]

```

```

lemma dual: boolean disj conj compl one zero
apply (rule boolean.intro)
apply (rule disj-assoc)

```

```

apply (rule conj-assoc)
apply (rule disj-commute)
apply (rule conj-commute)
apply (rule disj-conj-distrib)
apply (rule conj-disj-distrib)
apply (rule disj-zero-right)
apply (rule conj-one-right)
apply (rule disj-cancel-right)
apply (rule conj-cancel-right)
done

```

7.1 Complement

lemma *complement-unique*:

```

assumes 1:  $a \sqcap x = 0$ 
assumes 2:  $a \sqcup x = 1$ 
assumes 3:  $a \sqcap y = 0$ 
assumes 4:  $a \sqcup y = 1$ 
shows  $x = y$ 
proof -
  have  $(a \sqcap x) \sqcup (x \sqcap y) = (a \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap y)$  using 1 3 by simp
  hence  $(x \sqcap a) \sqcup (x \sqcap y) = (y \sqcap a) \sqcup (y \sqcap x)$  using conj-commute by simp
  hence  $x \sqcap (a \sqcup y) = y \sqcap (a \sqcup x)$  using conj-disj-distrib by simp
  hence  $x \sqcap 1 = y \sqcap 1$  using 2 4 by simp
  thus  $x = y$  using conj-one-right by simp
qed

```

lemma *compl-unique*: $\llbracket x \sqcap y = 0; x \sqcup y = 1 \rrbracket \implies \sim x = y$
 by (rule complement-unique [OF conj-cancel-right disj-cancel-right])

lemma *double-compl* [simp]: $\sim (\sim x) = x$

proof (rule compl-unique)
 from conj-cancel-right show $\sim x \sqcap x = 0$ by (simp only: conj-commute)
 from disj-cancel-right show $\sim x \sqcup x = 1$ by (simp only: disj-commute)
 qed

lemma *compl-eq-compl-iff* [simp]: $(\sim x = \sim y) = (x = y)$
 by (rule inj-eq [OF inj-on-inverseI], rule double-compl)

7.2 Conjunction

lemma *conj-absorb* [simp]: $x \sqcap x = x$

proof -
 have $x \sqcap x = (x \sqcap x) \sqcup 0$ using disj-zero-right by simp
 also have $\dots = (x \sqcap x) \sqcup (x \sqcap \sim x)$ using conj-cancel-right by simp
 also have $\dots = x \sqcap (x \sqcup \sim x)$ using conj-disj-distrib by (simp only:)
 also have $\dots = x \sqcap 1$ using disj-cancel-right by simp
 also have $\dots = x$ using conj-one-right by simp
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *conj-zero-right* [*simp*]: $x \sqcap \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
proof –
 have $x \sqcap \mathbf{0} = x \sqcap (x \sqcap \sim x)$ **using** *conj-cancel-right* **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = (x \sqcap x) \sqcap \sim x$ **using** *conj-assoc* **by** (*simp only*:)
 also have $\dots = x \sqcap \sim x$ **using** *conj-absorb* **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = \mathbf{0}$ **using** *conj-cancel-right* **by** *simp*
 finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *compl-one* [*simp*]: $\sim \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$
by (*rule compl-unique* [*OF conj-zero-right disj-zero-right*])

lemma *conj-zero-left* [*simp*]: $\mathbf{0} \sqcap x = \mathbf{0}$
by (*subst conj-commute*) (*rule conj-zero-right*)

lemma *conj-one-left* [*simp*]: $\mathbf{1} \sqcap x = x$
by (*subst conj-commute*) (*rule conj-one-right*)

lemma *conj-cancel-left* [*simp*]: $\sim x \sqcap x = \mathbf{0}$
by (*subst conj-commute*) (*rule conj-cancel-right*)

lemma *conj-left-absorb* [*simp*]: $x \sqcap (x \sqcap y) = x \sqcap y$
by (*simp only: conj-assoc [symmetric] conj-absorb*)

lemma *conj-disj-distrib2*:
 $(y \sqcup z) \sqcap x = (y \sqcap x) \sqcup (z \sqcap x)$
by (*simp only: conj-commute conj-disj-distrib*)

lemmas *conj-disj-distrib* =
conj-disj-distrib conj-disj-distrib2

7.3 Disjunction

lemma *disj-absorb* [*simp*]: $x \sqcup x = x$
by (*rule boolean.conj-absorb [OF dual]*)

lemma *disj-one-right* [*simp*]: $x \sqcup \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$
by (*rule boolean.conj-zero-right [OF dual]*)

lemma *compl-zero* [*simp*]: $\sim \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{1}$
by (*rule boolean.compl-one [OF dual]*)

lemma *disj-zero-left* [*simp*]: $\mathbf{0} \sqcup x = x$
by (*rule boolean.conj-one-left [OF dual]*)

lemma *disj-one-left* [*simp*]: $\mathbf{1} \sqcup x = \mathbf{1}$
by (*rule boolean.conj-zero-left [OF dual]*)

lemma *disj-cancel-left* [*simp*]: $\sim x \sqcup x = \mathbf{1}$
by (rule *boolean.conj-cancel-left* [*OF dual*])

lemma *disj-left-absorb* [*simp*]: $x \sqcup (x \sqcup y) = x \sqcup y$
by (rule *boolean.conj-left-absorb* [*OF dual*])

lemma *disj-conj-distrib2*:
 $(y \sqcap z) \sqcup x = (y \sqcup x) \sqcap (z \sqcup x)$
by (rule *boolean.conj-disj-distrib2* [*OF dual*])

lemmas *disj-conj-distrib* =
disj-conj-distrib disj-conj-distrib2

7.4 De Morgan’s Laws

lemma *de-Morgan-conj* [*simp*]: $\sim (x \sqcap y) = \sim x \sqcup \sim y$
proof (rule *compl-unique*)
have $(x \sqcap y) \sqcap (\sim x \sqcup \sim y) = ((x \sqcap y) \sqcap \sim x) \sqcup ((x \sqcap y) \sqcap \sim y)$
by (rule *conj-disj-distrib*)
also have $\dots = (y \sqcap (x \sqcap \sim x)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcap \sim y))$
by (*simp only: conj-ac*)
finally show $(x \sqcap y) \sqcap (\sim x \sqcup \sim y) = \mathbf{0}$
by (*simp only: conj-cancel-right conj-zero-right disj-zero-right*)
next
have $(x \sqcap y) \sqcup (\sim x \sqcup \sim y) = (x \sqcup (\sim x \sqcup \sim y)) \sqcap (y \sqcup (\sim x \sqcup \sim y))$
by (rule *disj-conj-distrib2*)
also have $\dots = (\sim y \sqcup (x \sqcup \sim x)) \sqcap (\sim x \sqcup (y \sqcup \sim y))$
by (*simp only: disj-ac*)
finally show $(x \sqcap y) \sqcup (\sim x \sqcup \sim y) = \mathbf{1}$
by (*simp only: disj-cancel-right disj-one-right conj-one-right*)
qed

lemma *de-Morgan-disj* [*simp*]: $\sim (x \sqcup y) = \sim x \sqcap \sim y$
by (rule *boolean.de-Morgan-conj* [*OF dual*])

end

7.5 Symmetric Difference

locale *boolean-xor* = *boolean* +
fixes *xor* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixr** \oplus 65)
assumes *xor-def*: $x \oplus y = (x \sqcap \sim y) \sqcup (\sim x \sqcap y)$
begin

lemma *xor-def2*:
 $x \oplus y = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (\sim x \sqcup \sim y)$
by (*simp only: xor-def conj-disj-distrib*
disj-ac conj-ac conj-cancel-right disj-zero-left)

lemma *xor-commute*: $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$

by (simp only: xor-def conj-commute disj-commute)

lemma xor-assoc: $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$

proof –

let $?t = (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap \sim y \sqcap \sim z) \sqcup$
 $(\sim x \sqcap y \sqcap \sim z) \sqcup (\sim x \sqcap \sim y \sqcap z)$

have $?t \sqcup (z \sqcap x \sqcap \sim x) \sqcup (z \sqcap y \sqcap \sim y) =$
 $?t \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap \sim y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z \sqcap \sim z)$

by (simp only: conj-cancel-right conj-zero-right)

thus $(x \oplus y) \oplus z = x \oplus (y \oplus z)$

apply (simp only: xor-def de-Morgan-disj de-Morgan-conj double-compl)

apply (simp only: conj-disj-distrib conj-ac disj-ac)

done

qed

lemmas xor-ac =

xor-assoc xor-commute

mk-left-commute [where 'a = 'a, of xor, OF xor-assoc xor-commute]

lemma xor-zero-right [simp]: $x \oplus \mathbf{0} = x$

by (simp only: xor-def compl-zero conj-one-right conj-zero-right disj-zero-right)

lemma xor-zero-left [simp]: $\mathbf{0} \oplus x = x$

by (subst xor-commute) (rule xor-zero-right)

lemma xor-one-right [simp]: $x \oplus \mathbf{1} = \sim x$

by (simp only: xor-def compl-one conj-zero-right conj-one-right disj-zero-left)

lemma xor-one-left [simp]: $\mathbf{1} \oplus x = \sim x$

by (subst xor-commute) (rule xor-one-right)

lemma xor-self [simp]: $x \oplus x = \mathbf{0}$

by (simp only: xor-def conj-cancel-right conj-cancel-left disj-zero-right)

lemma xor-left-self [simp]: $x \oplus (x \oplus y) = y$

by (simp only: xor-assoc [symmetric] xor-self xor-zero-left)

lemma xor-compl-left: $\sim x \oplus y = \sim (x \oplus y)$

apply (simp only: xor-def de-Morgan-disj de-Morgan-conj double-compl)

apply (simp only: conj-disj-distrib)

apply (simp only: conj-cancel-right conj-cancel-left)

apply (simp only: disj-zero-left disj-zero-right)

apply (simp only: disj-ac conj-ac)

done

lemma xor-compl-right: $x \oplus \sim y = \sim (x \oplus y)$

apply (simp only: xor-def de-Morgan-disj de-Morgan-conj double-compl)

apply (simp only: conj-disj-distrib)

apply (simp only: conj-cancel-right conj-cancel-left)

```

apply (simp only: disj-zero-left disj-zero-right)
apply (simp only: disj-ac conj-ac)
done

```

```

lemma xor-cancel-right [simp]:  $x \oplus \sim x = \mathbf{1}$ 
by (simp only: xor-compl-right xor-self compl-zero)

```

```

lemma xor-cancel-left [simp]:  $\sim x \oplus x = \mathbf{1}$ 
by (subst xor-commute) (rule xor-cancel-right)

```

```

lemma conj-xor-distrib:  $x \sqcap (y \oplus z) = (x \sqcap y) \oplus (x \sqcap z)$ 
proof –
  have  $(x \sqcap y \sqcap \sim z) \sqcup (x \sqcap \sim y \sqcap z) =$ 
     $(y \sqcap x \sqcap \sim x) \sqcup (z \sqcap x \sqcap \sim x) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap \sim z) \sqcup (x \sqcap \sim y \sqcap z)$ 
  by (simp only: conj-cancel-right conj-zero-right disj-zero-left)
  thus  $x \sqcap (y \oplus z) = (x \sqcap y) \oplus (x \sqcap z)$ 
  by (simp (no-asm-use) only:
    xor-def de-Morgan-disj de-Morgan-conj double-compl
    conj-disj-distrib conj-ac disj-ac)
qed

```

```

lemma conj-xor-distrib2:
   $(y \oplus z) \sqcap x = (y \sqcap x) \oplus (z \sqcap x)$ 
proof –
  have  $x \sqcap (y \oplus z) = (x \sqcap y) \oplus (x \sqcap z)$ 
  by (rule conj-xor-distrib)
  thus  $(y \oplus z) \sqcap x = (y \sqcap x) \oplus (z \sqcap x)$ 
  by (simp only: conj-commute)
qed

```

```

lemmas conj-xor-distrib =
  conj-xor-distrib conj-xor-distrib2

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

8 Product-ord: Order on product types

```

theory Product-ord
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

```

instantiation * :: (ord, ord) ord
begin

```

```

definition
  prod-le-def [code func del]:  $x \leq y \iff \text{fst } x < \text{fst } y \vee \text{fst } x = \text{fst } y \wedge \text{snd } x \leq$ 

```

snd y

definition

prod-less-def [code func del]: $x < y \iff \text{fst } x < \text{fst } y \vee \text{fst } x = \text{fst } y \wedge \text{snd } x < \text{snd } y$

instance ..

end

lemma [*code, code func del*]:

$(x1, y1) \leq (x2, y2) \iff x1 < x2 \vee x1 = x2 \wedge y1 \leq y2$

$(x1, y1) < (x2, y2) \iff x1 < x2 \vee x1 = x2 \wedge y1 < y2$

unfolding *prod-le-def prod-less-def* **by** *simp-all*

lemma [*code func*]:

$(x1::'a::\{\text{ord}, \text{eq}\}, y1) \leq (x2, y2) \iff x1 < x2 \vee x1 = x2 \wedge y1 \leq y2$

$(x1::'a::\{\text{ord}, \text{eq}\}, y1) < (x2, y2) \iff x1 < x2 \vee x1 = x2 \wedge y1 < y2$

unfolding *prod-le-def prod-less-def* **by** *simp-all*

instance $\ast :: (\text{order}, \text{order}) \text{ order}$

by *default (auto simp: prod-le-def prod-less-def intro: order-less-trans)*

instance $\ast :: (\text{linorder}, \text{linorder}) \text{ linorder}$

by *default (auto simp: prod-le-def)*

instantiation $\ast :: (\text{linorder}, \text{linorder}) \text{ distrib-lattice}$

begin

definition

inf-prod-def: $(\text{inf} :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow -) = \text{min}$

definition

sup-prod-def: $(\text{sup} :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow -) = \text{max}$

instance

by *intro-classes*

(auto simp add: inf-prod-def sup-prod-def min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)

end

end

9 Char-nat: Mapping between characters and natural numbers

theory *Char-nat*


```

imports List
begin

```

Conversions between nibbles and natural numbers in [0..15].

```

primrec

```

```

  nat-of-nibble :: nibble  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    nat-of-nibble Nibble0 = 0
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble1 = 1
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble2 = 2
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble3 = 3
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble4 = 4
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble5 = 5
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble6 = 6
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble7 = 7
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble8 = 8
  | nat-of-nibble Nibble9 = 9
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleA = 10
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleB = 11
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleC = 12
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleD = 13
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleE = 14
  | nat-of-nibble NibbleF = 15

```

```

definition

```

```

  nibble-of-nat :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nibble where
    nibble-of-nat x = (let y = x mod 16 in
      if y = 0 then Nibble0 else
      if y = 1 then Nibble1 else
      if y = 2 then Nibble2 else
      if y = 3 then Nibble3 else
      if y = 4 then Nibble4 else
      if y = 5 then Nibble5 else
      if y = 6 then Nibble6 else
      if y = 7 then Nibble7 else
      if y = 8 then Nibble8 else
      if y = 9 then Nibble9 else
      if y = 10 then NibbleA else
      if y = 11 then NibbleB else
      if y = 12 then NibbleC else
      if y = 13 then NibbleD else
      if y = 14 then NibbleE else
      NibbleF)

```

```

lemma nibble-of-nat-norm:

```

```

  nibble-of-nat (n mod 16) = nibble-of-nat n
  unfolding nibble-of-nat-def Let-def by auto

```

```

lemmas [code func] = nibble-of-nat-norm [symmetric]

```

by (*cases n*) *auto*

lemma *nat-of-nibble-div-16*: *nat-of-nibble* *n* *div* 16 = 0
by (*cases* *n*) *auto*

Conversion between chars and nats.

definition

nibble-pair-of-nat :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nibble* \times *nibble* **where**
nibble-pair-of-nat *n* = (*nibble-of-nat* (*n div* 16), *nibble-of-nat* (*n mod* 16))

lemma *nibble-of-pair* [*code func*]:

nibble-pair-of-nat *n* = (*nibble-of-nat* (*n div* 16), *nibble-of-nat* *n*)
unfolding *nibble-of-nat-norm* [*of n, symmetric*] *nibble-pair-of-nat-def* ..

primrec

nat-of-char :: *char* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
nat-of-char (*Char* *n* *m*) = *nat-of-nibble* *n* * 16 + *nat-of-nibble* *m*

lemmas [*simp del*] = *nat-of-char.simps*

definition

char-of-nat :: *nat* \Rightarrow *char* **where**
char-of-nat-def: *char-of-nat* *n* = *split Char* (*nibble-pair-of-nat* *n*)

lemma *Char-char-of-nat*:

Char *n* *m* = *char-of-nat* (*nat-of-nibble* *n* * 16 + *nat-of-nibble* *m*)
unfolding *char-of-nat-def* *Let-def nibble-pair-of-nat-def*
by (*auto simp add: div-add1-eq mod-add1-eq nat-of-nibble-div-16 nibble-of-nat-norm nibble-of-nat-of-nibble*)

lemma *char-of-nat-of-char*:

char-of-nat (*nat-of-char* *c*) = *c*
by (*cases* *c*) (*simp add: nat-of-char.simps, simp add: Char-char-of-nat*)

lemma *nat-of-char-of-nat*:

nat-of-char (*char-of-nat* *n*) = *n mod* 256

proof –

from *mod-div-equality* [*of n, symmetric, of 16*]

have *mod-mult-self3*: $\bigwedge m\ k\ n :: \text{nat. } (k * n + m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$

proof –

fix *m* *k* *n* :: *nat*

show $(k * n + m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$

by (*simp only: mod-mult-self1 [symmetric, of m n k] add-commute*)

qed

from *mod-div-decomp* [*of n 256*] **obtain** *k* *l* **where** *n*: *n* = *k* * 256 + *l*

and *k*: *k* = *n div* 256 **and** *l*: *l* = *n mod* 256 **by** *blast*

have *16*: $(0 :: \text{nat}) < 16$ **by** *auto*

have *256*: $(256 :: \text{nat}) = 16 * 16$ **by** *auto*

have *l-256*: *l mod* 256 = *l* **using** *l* **by** *auto*

have *l-div-256*: *l div* 16 * 16 *mod* 256 = *l div* 16 * 16

```

    using l by auto
    have aux2: (k * 256 mod 16 + l mod 16) div 16 = 0
    unfolding 256 mult-assoc [symmetric] mod-mult-self-is-0 by simp
    have aux3: (k * 256 + l) div 16 = k * 16 + l div 16
    unfolding div-add1-eq [of k * 256 l 16] aux2 256
      mult-assoc [symmetric] div-mult-self-is-m [OF 16] by simp
    have aux4: (k * 256 + l) mod 16 = l mod 16
    unfolding 256 mult-assoc [symmetric] mod-mult-self3 ..
    show ?thesis
    by (simp add: nat-of-char.simps char-of-nat-def nibble-of-pair
      nat-of-nibble-of-nat mod-mult-distrib
      n aux3 mod-mult-self3 l-256 aux4 mod-add1-eq [of 256 * k] l-div-256)
qed

lemma nibble-pair-of-nat-char:
  nibble-pair-of-nat (nat-of-char (Char n m)) = (n, m)
proof -
  have nat-of-nibble-256:
     $\bigwedge n\ m. (\text{nat-of-nibble } n * 16 + \text{nat-of-nibble } m) \bmod 256 =$ 
     $\text{nat-of-nibble } n * 16 + \text{nat-of-nibble } m$ 
  proof -
    fix n m
    have nat-of-nibble-less-eq-15:  $\bigwedge n. \text{nat-of-nibble } n \leq 15$ 
    using Suc-leI [OF nat-of-nibble-less-16] by (auto simp add: nat-number)
    have less-eq-240:  $\text{nat-of-nibble } n * 16 \leq 240$ 
    using nat-of-nibble-less-eq-15 by auto
    have nat-of-nibble n * 16 + nat-of-nibble m  $\leq 240 + 15$ 
    by (rule add-le-mono [of - 240 - 15]) (auto intro: nat-of-nibble-less-eq-15
      less-eq-240)
    then have nat-of-nibble n * 16 + nat-of-nibble m < 256 (is ?rhs < -) by auto
    then show ?rhs mod 256 = ?rhs by auto
  qed
  show ?thesis
  unfolding nibble-pair-of-nat-def Char-char-of-nat nat-of-char-of-nat nat-of-nibble-256
  by (simp add: add-commute nat-of-nibble-div-16 nibble-of-nat-norm nibble-of-nat-of-nibble)
qed

```

Code generator setup

code-modulename *SML*

Char-nat List

code-modulename *OCaml*

Char-nat List

code-modulename *Haskell*

Char-nat List

end

10 Char-ord: Order on characters

```

theory Char-ord
imports Product-ord Char-nat
begin

instantiation nibble :: linorder
begin

definition
  nibble-less-eq-def:  $n \leq m \longleftrightarrow \text{nat-of-nibble } n \leq \text{nat-of-nibble } m$ 

definition
  nibble-less-def:  $n < m \longleftrightarrow \text{nat-of-nibble } n < \text{nat-of-nibble } m$ 

instance proof
  fix n :: nibble
  show  $n \leq n$  unfolding nibble-less-eq-def nibble-less-def by auto
next
  fix n m q :: nibble
  assume  $n \leq m$ 
  and  $m \leq q$ 
  then show  $n \leq q$  unfolding nibble-less-eq-def nibble-less-def by auto
next
  fix n m :: nibble
  assume  $n \leq m$ 
  and  $m \leq n$ 
  then show  $n = m$ 
  unfolding nibble-less-eq-def nibble-less-def
  by (auto simp add: nat-of-nibble-eq)
next
  fix n m :: nibble
  show  $n < m \longleftrightarrow n \leq m \wedge n \neq m$ 
  unfolding nibble-less-eq-def nibble-less-def less-le
  by (auto simp add: nat-of-nibble-eq)
next
  fix n m :: nibble
  show  $n \leq m \vee m \leq n$ 
  unfolding nibble-less-eq-def by auto
qed

end

instantiation nibble :: distrib-lattice
begin

definition
  (inf :: nibble  $\Rightarrow$  -) = min

```

definition

$$(sup :: nibble \Rightarrow -) = max$$
instance by default (*auto simp add:*

$$inf-nibble-def\ sup-nibble-def\ min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)$$
end
instantiation *char :: linorder*
begin**definition**

$$\begin{aligned} &char-less-eq-def\ [code\ func\ del]:\ c1 \leq c2 \longleftrightarrow (case\ c1\ of\ Char\ n1\ m1 \Rightarrow case\ c2 \\ &of\ Char\ n2\ m2 \Rightarrow \\ &\quad n1 < n2 \vee n1 = n2 \wedge m1 \leq m2) \end{aligned}$$
definition

$$\begin{aligned} &char-less-def\ [code\ func\ del]:\ c1 < c2 \longleftrightarrow (case\ c1\ of\ Char\ n1\ m1 \Rightarrow case\ c2\ of \\ &Char\ n2\ m2 \Rightarrow \\ &\quad n1 < n2 \vee n1 = n2 \wedge m1 < m2) \end{aligned}$$
instance
by default (*auto simp: char-less-eq-def char-less-def split: char.splits*)
end
instantiation *char :: distrib-lattice*
begin**definition**

$$(inf :: char \Rightarrow -) = min$$
definition

$$(sup :: char \Rightarrow -) = max$$
instance by default (*auto simp add:*

$$inf-char-def\ sup-char-def\ min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)$$
end
lemma [*simp, code func*]:

shows *char-less-eq-simp*: $Char\ n1\ m1 \leq Char\ n2\ m2 \longleftrightarrow n1 < n2 \vee n1 = n2 \wedge m1 \leq m2$
and *char-less-simp*: $Char\ n1\ m1 < Char\ n2\ m2 \longleftrightarrow n1 < n2 \vee n1 = n2 \wedge m1 < m2$
unfolding *char-less-eq-def char-less-def by simp-all*
end

11 Code-Char: Code generation of pretty characters (and strings)

```

theory Code-Char
imports List
begin

declare char.recs [code func del] char.cases [code func del]

lemma [code func]:
  size (c::char) = 0
by (cases c) simp

lemma [code func]:
  char-size (c::char) = 0
by (cases c) simp

code-type char
  (SML char)
  (OCaml char)
  (Haskell Char)

setup ⟨⟨
  let
    val charr = @{const-name Char}
    val nibbles = [@{const-name Nibble0}, @{const-name Nibble1},
      @{const-name Nibble2}, @{const-name Nibble3},
      @{const-name Nibble4}, @{const-name Nibble5},
      @{const-name Nibble6}, @{const-name Nibble7},
      @{const-name Nibble8}, @{const-name Nibble9},
      @{const-name NibbleA}, @{const-name NibbleB},
      @{const-name NibbleC}, @{const-name NibbleD},
      @{const-name NibbleE}, @{const-name NibbleF}];
  in
    fold (fn target => CodeTarget.add-pretty-char target charr nibbles)
      [SML, OCaml, Haskell]
    #> CodeTarget.add-pretty-list-string Haskell
      @{const-name Nil} @ {const-name Cons} charr nibbles
  end
  ⟩⟩

code-instance char :: eq
  (Haskell −)

code-reserved SML
  char

code-reserved OCaml
  char

```

```

code-const op = :: char ⇒ char ⇒ bool
  (SML !((- : char) = -))
  (OCaml !((- : char) = -))
  (Haskell infixl 4 ==)

end

```

12 Code-Integer: Pretty integer literals for code generation

```

theory Code-Integer
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

HOL numeral expressions are mapped to integer literals in target languages, using predefined target language operations for abstract integer operations.

```

code-type int
  (SML IntInf.int)
  (OCaml Big'-int.big'-int)
  (Haskell Integer)

code-instance int :: eq
  (Haskell -)

setup ⟨⟨
  fold (Numeral.add-code @{const-name number-int-inst.number-of-int}
    true true) [SML, OCaml, Haskell]
  ⟩⟩

```

```

code-const Int.Pls and Int.Min and Int.Bit0 and Int.Bit1
  (SML raise/ Fail/ Pls
    and raise/ Fail/ Min
    and !((-);/ raise/ Fail/ Bit0)
    and !((-);/ raise/ Fail/ Bit1))
  (OCaml failwith/ Pls
    and failwith/ Min
    and !((-);/ failwith/ Bit0)
    and !((-);/ failwith/ Bit1))
  (Haskell error/ Pls
    and error/ Min
    and error/ Bit0
    and error/ Bit1)

```

```

code-const Int.pred
  (SML IntInf.- ((-), 1))

```



```

(OCaml Big'-int.pred'-big'-int)
(Haskell !(-/ -/ 1))

code-const Int.succ
(SML IntInf.+ ((-), 1))
(OCaml Big'-int.succ'-big'-int)
(Haskell !(-/ +/ 1))

code-const op + :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int
(SML IntInf.+ ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.add'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 6 +)

code-const uminus :: int ⇒ int
(SML IntInf.~)
(OCaml Big'-int.minus'-big'-int)
(Haskell negate)

code-const op - :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int
(SML IntInf.- ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.sub'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 6 -)

code-const op * :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int
(SML IntInf.* ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.mult'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 7 *)

code-const op = :: int ⇒ int ⇒ bool
(SML !((- : IntInf.int) = -))
(OCaml Big'-int.eq'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 4 ==)

code-const op ≤ :: int ⇒ int ⇒ bool
(SML IntInf.<= ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.le'-big'-int)
(Haskell infix 4 <=)

code-const op < :: int ⇒ int ⇒ bool
(SML IntInf.< ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.lt'-big'-int)
(Haskell infix 4 <)

code-reserved SML IntInf
code-reserved OCaml Big-int

end

```

13 Code-Char-chr: Code generation of pretty characters with character codes

```

theory Code-Char-chr
imports Char-nat Code-Char Code-Integer
begin

definition
  int-of-char = int o nat-of-char

lemma [code func]:
  nat-of-char = nat o int-of-char
  unfolding int-of-char-def by (simp add: expand-fun-eq)

definition
  char-of-int = char-of-nat o nat

lemma [code func]:
  char-of-nat = char-of-int o int
  unfolding char-of-int-def by (simp add: expand-fun-eq)

lemmas [code func del] = char.recs char.cases char.size

lemma [code func, code inline]:
  char-rec f c = split f (nibble-pair-of-nat (nat-of-char c))
  by (cases c) (auto simp add: nibble-pair-of-nat-char)

lemma [code func, code inline]:
  char-case f c = split f (nibble-pair-of-nat (nat-of-char c))
  by (cases c) (auto simp add: nibble-pair-of-nat-char)

lemma [code func]:
  size (c::char) = 0
  by (cases c) auto

code-const int-of-char and char-of-int
  (SML !(IntInf.fromInt o Char.ord) and !(Char.chr o IntInf.toInt))
  (OCaml Big'-int.big'-int'-of'-int (Char.code -) and Char.chr (Big'-int.int'-of'-big'-int
-))
  (Haskell toInteger (fromEnum (- :: Char)) and !(let chr k | k < 256 = toEnum
k :: Char in chr . fromInteger))

end

```

14 Code-Index: Type of indices

```

theory Code-Index
imports ATP-Linkup

```

begin

Indices are isomorphic to HOL *nat* but mapped to target-language builtin integers

14.1 Datatype of indices

typedef *index* = *UNIV* :: *nat set*
morphisms *nat-of-index* *index-of-nat* **by** *rule*

lemma *index-of-nat-nat-of-index* [*simp*]:
index-of-nat (*nat-of-index* *k*) = *k*
by (*rule nat-of-index-inverse*)

lemma *nat-of-index-index-of-nat* [*simp*]:
nat-of-index (*index-of-nat* *n*) = *n*
by (*rule index-of-nat-inverse*)
(*unfold index-def*, *rule UNIV-I*)

lemma *index*:
 $(\bigwedge n::\text{index}. \text{PROP } P \ n) \equiv (\bigwedge n::\text{nat}. \text{PROP } P \ (\text{index-of-nat } n))$
proof
fix *n* :: *nat*
assume $\bigwedge n::\text{index}. \text{PROP } P \ n$
then show *PROP P* (*index-of-nat n*) .
next
fix *n* :: *index*
assume $\bigwedge n::\text{nat}. \text{PROP } P \ (\text{index-of-nat } n)$
then have *PROP P* (*index-of-nat* (*nat-of-index n*)) .
then show *PROP P n* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *index-case*:
assumes $\bigwedge n. k = \text{index-of-nat } n \implies P$
shows *P*
by (*rule assms [of nat-of-index k]*) *simp*

lemma *index-induct-raw*:
assumes $\bigwedge n. P \ (\text{index-of-nat } n)$
shows *P k*
proof –
from *assms* **have** *P* (*index-of-nat* (*nat-of-index k*)) .
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *nat-of-index-inject* [*simp*]:
nat-of-index *k* = *nat-of-index* *l* \longleftrightarrow *k* = *l*
by (*rule nat-of-index-inject*)

```

lemma index-of-nat-inject [simp]:
  index-of-nat n = index-of-nat m  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = m
  by (auto intro!: index-of-nat-inject simp add: index-def)

instantiation index :: zero
begin

definition [simp, code func del]:
  0 = index-of-nat 0

instance ..

end

definition [simp]:
  Suc-index k = index-of-nat (Suc (nat-of-index k))

lemma index-induct: P 0  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge k. P\ k \implies P\ (\textit{Suc-index}\ k) \implies P\ k$ 
proof –
  assume P 0 then have init: P (index-of-nat 0) by simp
  assume  $\bigwedge k. P\ k \implies P\ (\textit{Suc-index}\ k)$ 
  then have  $\bigwedge n. P\ (\textit{index-of-nat}\ n) \implies P\ (\textit{Suc-index}\ (\textit{index-of-nat}\ n))$  .
  then have step:  $\bigwedge n. P\ (\textit{index-of-nat}\ n) \implies P\ (\textit{index-of-nat}\ (\textit{Suc}\ n))$  by simp
  from init step have P (index-of-nat (nat-of-index k))
  by (induct nat-of-index k simp-all)
  then show P k by simp
qed

lemma Suc-not-Zero-index: Suc-index k  $\neq$  0
  by simp

lemma Zero-not-Suc-index: 0  $\neq$  Suc-index k
  by simp

lemma Suc-Suc-index-eq: Suc-index k = Suc-index l  $\longleftrightarrow$  k = l
  by simp

rep-datatype index
  distinct Suc-not-Zero-index Zero-not-Suc-index
  inject Suc-Suc-index-eq
  induction index-induct

lemmas [code func del] = index.recs index.cases

declare index-case [case-names nat, cases type: index]
declare index-induct [case-names nat, induct type: index]

lemma [code func]:
  index-size = nat-of-index

```

```

proof (rule ext)
  fix k
  have index-size k = nat-size (nat-of-index k)
    by (induct k rule: index.induct) (simp-all del: zero-index-def Suc-index-def,
simp-all)
  also have nat-size (nat-of-index k) = nat-of-index k by (induct nat-of-index k)
simp-all
  finally show index-size k = nat-of-index k .
qed

```

```

lemma [code func]:
  size = nat-of-index
proof (rule ext)
  fix k
  show size k = nat-of-index k
  by (induct k) (simp-all del: zero-index-def Suc-index-def, simp-all)
qed

```

```

lemma [code func]:
  k = l  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-of-index k = nat-of-index l
  by (cases k, cases l) simp

```

14.2 Indices as datatype of ints

```

instantiation index :: number
begin

```

```

definition
  number-of = index-of-nat o nat

```

```

instance ..

```

```

end

```

```

lemma nat-of-index-number [simp]:
  nat-of-index (number-of k) = number-of k
  by (simp add: number-of-index-def nat-number-of-def number-of-is-id)

```

```

code-datatype number-of :: int  $\Rightarrow$  index

```

14.3 Basic arithmetic

```

instantiation index :: {minus, ordered-semidom, Divides.div, linorder}
begin

```

```

lemma zero-index-code [code inline, code func]:
  (0::index) = Numeral0
  by (simp add: number-of-index-def Pls-def)
lemma [code post]: Numeral0 = (0::index)
  using zero-index-code ..

```

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$(1::index) = index-of-nat\ 1$

lemma *one-index-code* [*code inline*, *code func*]:

$(1::index) = Numeral1$

by (*simp add: number-of-index-def Pls-def Bit1-def*)

lemma [*code post*]: $Numeral1 = (1::index)$

using *one-index-code ..*

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n + m = index-of-nat\ (nat-of-index\ n + nat-of-index\ m)$

lemma *plus-index-code* [*code func*]:

$index-of-nat\ n + index-of-nat\ m = index-of-nat\ (n + m)$

by *simp*

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n - m = index-of-nat\ (nat-of-index\ n - nat-of-index\ m)$

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n * m = index-of-nat\ (nat-of-index\ n * nat-of-index\ m)$

lemma *times-index-code* [*code func*]:

$index-of-nat\ n * index-of-nat\ m = index-of-nat\ (n * m)$

by *simp*

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n \div m = index-of-nat\ (nat-of-index\ n \div nat-of-index\ m)$

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n \bmod m = index-of-nat\ (nat-of-index\ n \bmod nat-of-index\ m)$

lemma *div-index-code* [*code func*]:

$index-of-nat\ n \div index-of-nat\ m = index-of-nat\ (n \div m)$

by *simp*

lemma *mod-index-code* [*code func*]:

$index-of-nat\ n \bmod index-of-nat\ m = index-of-nat\ (n \bmod m)$

by *simp*

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n \leq m \longleftrightarrow nat-of-index\ n \leq nat-of-index\ m$

definition [*simp*, *code func del*]:

$n < m \longleftrightarrow nat-of-index\ n < nat-of-index\ m$

lemma *less-eq-index-code* [*code func*]:

$index-of-nat\ n \leq index-of-nat\ m \longleftrightarrow n \leq m$

```

by simp

lemma less-index-code [code func]:
  index-of-nat n < index-of-nat m  $\longleftrightarrow$  n < m
by simp

instance by default (auto simp add: left-distrib index)

end

lemma Suc-index-minus-one: Suc-index n - 1 = n by simp

lemma index-of-nat-code [code]:
  index-of-nat = of-nat
proof
  fix n :: nat
  have of-nat n = index-of-nat n
    by (induct n) simp-all
  then show index-of-nat n = of-nat n
    by (rule sym)
qed

lemma index-not-eq-zero: i  $\neq$  index-of-nat 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  i  $\geq$  1
  by (cases i) auto

definition
  nat-of-index-aux :: index  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  nat-of-index-aux i n = nat-of-index i + n

lemma nat-of-index-aux-code [code]:
  nat-of-index-aux i n = (if i = 0 then n else nat-of-index-aux (i - 1) (Suc n))
  by (auto simp add: nat-of-index-aux-def index-not-eq-zero)

lemma nat-of-index-code [code]:
  nat-of-index i = nat-of-index-aux i 0
  by (simp add: nat-of-index-aux-def)

```

14.4 ML interface

```

ML <<
  structure Index =
  struct

    fun mk k = HOLogic.mk-number @{typ index} k;

  end;
  >>

```

14.5 Specialized $op -$, $op \text{ div}$ and $op \text{ mod}$ operations

definition

$minus\text{-}index\text{-}aux :: index \Rightarrow index \Rightarrow index$

where

$[code \text{ func } del]: minus\text{-}index\text{-}aux = op -$

lemma $[code \text{ func}]: op - = minus\text{-}index\text{-}aux$

using $minus\text{-}index\text{-}aux\text{-}def \ ..$

definition

$div\text{-}mod\text{-}index :: index \Rightarrow index \Rightarrow index \times index$

where

$[code \text{ func } del]: div\text{-}mod\text{-}index \ n \ m = (n \text{ div } m, n \text{ mod } m)$

lemma $[code \text{ func}]:$

$div\text{-}mod\text{-}index \ n \ m = (if \ m = 0 \text{ then } (0, n) \text{ else } (n \text{ div } m, n \text{ mod } m))$

unfolding $div\text{-}mod\text{-}index\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $[code \text{ func}]:$

$n \text{ div } m = fst \ (div\text{-}mod\text{-}index \ n \ m)$

unfolding $div\text{-}mod\text{-}index\text{-}def$ **by** $simp$

lemma $[code \text{ func}]:$

$n \text{ mod } m = snd \ (div\text{-}mod\text{-}index \ n \ m)$

unfolding $div\text{-}mod\text{-}index\text{-}def$ **by** $simp$

14.6 Code serialization

Implementation of indices by bounded integers

code-type $index$

$(SML \ int)$

$(OCaml \ int)$

$(Haskell \ Int)$

code-instance $index :: eq$

$(Haskell \ -)$

setup \ll

$fold \ (Numeral.add\text{-}code \ @\{const\text{-}name \ number\text{-}index\text{-}inst.number\text{-}of\text{-}index\}$

$\ false \ false) \ [SML, \ OCaml, \ Haskell]$

\gg

code-reserved $SML \ Int \ int$

code-reserved $OCaml \ Pervasives \ int$

code-const $op + :: index \Rightarrow index \Rightarrow index$

$(SML \ Int.+ / ((-), / (-)))$

$(OCaml \ Pervasives.(+))$


```

(Haskell infixl 6 +)

code-const minus-index-aux :: index ⇒ index ⇒ index
  (SML Int.max / (- / - / -, / 0 : int))
  (OCaml Pervasives.max / (- / - / -) / (0 : int) )
  (Haskell max / (- / - / -) / (0 :: Int))

code-const op * :: index ⇒ index ⇒ index
  (SML Int.* / ((-), / (-)))
  (OCaml Pervasives.( * ))
  (Haskell infixl 7 *)

code-const div-mod-index
  (SML (fn n => fn m => / (n div m, n mod m)))
  (OCaml (fun n -> fun m -> / (n ' / m, n mod m)))
  (Haskell divMod)

code-const op = :: index ⇒ index ⇒ bool
  (SML !((- : Int.int) = -))
  (OCaml !((- : int) = -))
  (Haskell infixl 4 ==)

code-const op ≤ :: index ⇒ index ⇒ bool
  (SML Int.<= / ((-), / (-)))
  (OCaml !((- : int) <= -))
  (Haskell infix 4 <=)

code-const op < :: index ⇒ index ⇒ bool
  (SML Int.< / ((-), / (-)))
  (OCaml !((- : int) < -))
  (Haskell infix 4 <)

end

```

15 Code-Message: Monolithic strings (message strings) for code generation

```

theory Code-Message
imports List
begin

```

15.1 Datatype of messages

```

datatype message-string = STR string

```

```

lemmas [code func del] = message-string.recs message-string.cases

```

```
lemma [code func]: size (s::message-string) = 0
  by (cases s) simp-all
```

```
lemma [code func]: message-string-size (s::message-string) = 0
  by (cases s) simp-all
```

15.2 ML interface

```
ML ⟨⟨
  structure Message-String =
  struct

    fun mk s = @{term STR} $ HOLogic.mk-string s;

  end;
  ⟩⟩
```

15.3 Code serialization

```
code-type message-string
  (SML string)
  (OCaml string)
  (Haskell String)

setup ⟨⟨
  let
    val charr = @{const-name Char}
    val nibbles = [@{const-name Nibble0}, @{const-name Nibble1},
      @{const-name Nibble2}, @{const-name Nibble3},
      @{const-name Nibble4}, @{const-name Nibble5},
      @{const-name Nibble6}, @{const-name Nibble7},
      @{const-name Nibble8}, @{const-name Nibble9},
      @{const-name NibbleA}, @{const-name NibbleB},
      @{const-name NibbleC}, @{const-name NibbleD},
      @{const-name NibbleE}, @{const-name NibbleF}];
  in
    fold (fn target => CodeTarget.add-pretty-message target
      charr nibbles @{const-name Nil} @{const-name Cons} @{const-name STR})
      [SML, OCaml, Haskell]
  end
  ⟩⟩

code-reserved SML string
code-reserved OCaml string

code-instance message-string :: eq
  (Haskell -)

code-const op = :: message-string ⇒ message-string ⇒ bool
  (SML !((- : string) = -))
```

```

(OCaml !((- : string) = -))
(Haskell infixl 4 ==)

```

```

end

```

16 Coinductive-List: Potentially infinite lists as greatest fixed-point

```

theory Coinductive-List
imports List
begin

```

16.1 List constructors over the datatype universe

```

definition NIL = Datatype.In0 (Datatype.Numb 0)
definition CONS M N = Datatype.In1 (Datatype.Scons M N)

```

```

lemma CONS-not-NIL [iff]: CONS M N ≠ NIL
  and NIL-not-CONS [iff]: NIL ≠ CONS M N
  and CONS-inject [iff]: (CONS K M) = (CONS L N) = (K = L ∧ M = N)
  by (simp-all add: NIL-def CONS-def)

```

```

lemma CONS-mono: M ⊆ M' ⇒ N ⊆ N' ⇒ CONS M N ⊆ CONS M' N'
  by (simp add: CONS-def In1-mono Scons-mono)

```

```

lemma CONS-UN1: CONS M (⋃ x. f x) = (⋃ x. CONS M (f x))
  — A continuity result?
  by (simp add: CONS-def In1-UN1 Scons-UN1-y)

```

```

definition List-case c h = Datatype.Case (λ-. c) (Datatype.Split h)

```

```

lemma List-case-NIL [simp]: List-case c h NIL = c
  and List-case-CONS [simp]: List-case c h (CONS M N) = h M N
  by (simp-all add: List-case-def NIL-def CONS-def)

```

16.2 Corecursive lists

```

coinductive-set LList for A
where NIL [intro]: NIL ∈ LList A
  | CONS [intro]: a ∈ A ⇒ M ∈ LList A ⇒ CONS a M ∈ LList A

```

```

lemma LList-mono:
  assumes subset: A ⊆ B
  shows LList A ⊆ LList B
  — This justifies using LList in other recursive type definitions.

```

```

proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ LList A

```

```

then show  $x \in LList\ B$ 
proof coinduct
  case LList
  then show  $?case$  using subset
    by cases blast+
qed
qed

```

consts

```

LList-corec-aux :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b Datatype.item  $\times$  'a) option)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b Datatype.item

```

primrec

```

LList-corec-aux 0  $f\ x = \{\}$ 
LList-corec-aux (Suc  $k$ )  $f\ x =$ 
  (case  $f\ x$  of
    None  $\Rightarrow NIL$ 
  | Some ( $z, w$ )  $\Rightarrow CONS\ z\ (LList-corec-aux\ k\ f\ w)$ )

```

definition *LList-corec* $a\ f = (\bigcup k. LList-corec-aux\ k\ f\ a)$

Note: the subsequent recursion equation for *LList-corec* may be used with the Simplifier, provided it operates in a non-strict fashion for case expressions (i.e. the usual *case* congruence rule needs to be present).

lemma *LList-corec*:

```

LList-corec  $a\ f =$ 
  (case  $f\ a$  of None  $\Rightarrow NIL$  | Some ( $z, w$ )  $\Rightarrow CONS\ z\ (LList-corec\ w\ f)$ )
  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )

```

proof

```

show  $?lhs \subseteq ?rhs$ 
  apply (unfold LList-corec-def)
  apply (rule UN-least)
  apply (case-tac k)
  apply (simp-all (no-asm-simp) split: option.splits)
  apply (rule allI impI subset-refl [THEN CONS-mono] UNIV-I [THEN UN-upper]) +
  done
show  $?rhs \subseteq ?lhs$ 
  apply (simp add: LList-corec-def split: option.splits)
  apply (simp add: CONS-UN1)
  apply safe
  apply (rule-tac a = Suc ?k in UN-I, simp, simp) +
  done

```

qed

lemma *LList-corec-type*: *LList-corec* $a\ f \in LList\ UNIV$

proof –

```

have  $\exists x. LList-corec\ a\ f = LList-corec\ x\ f$  by blast
then show  $?thesis$ 
proof coinduct
  case (LList L)

```

```

then obtain  $x$  where  $L: L = LList\text{-corec } x f$  by blast
show ?case
proof (cases f x)
  case None
    then have  $LList\text{-corec } x f = NIL$ 
      by (simp add: LList-corec)
    with  $L$  have ?NIL by simp
    then show ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Some p)
    then have  $LList\text{-corec } x f = CONS (fst p) (LList\text{-corec } (snd p) f)$ 
      by (simp add: LList-corec split: prod.split)
    with  $L$  have ?CONS by auto
    then show ?thesis ..
qed
qed
qed

```

16.3 Abstract type definition

```

typedef 'a llist =  $LList (range Datatype.Leaf) :: 'a Datatype.item set$ 
proof
  show  $NIL \in ?llist ..$ 
qed

```

```

lemma NIL-type:  $NIL \in llist$ 
  unfolding llist-def by (rule LList.NIL)

```

```

lemma CONS-type:  $a \in range Datatype.Leaf \implies$ 
   $M \in llist \implies CONS a M \in llist$ 
  unfolding llist-def by (rule LList.CONS)

```

```

lemma llistI:  $x \in LList (range Datatype.Leaf) \implies x \in llist$ 
  by (simp add: llist-def)

```

```

lemma llistD:  $x \in llist \implies x \in LList (range Datatype.Leaf)$ 
  by (simp add: llist-def)

```

```

lemma Rep-llist-UNIV:  $Rep\text{-llist } x \in LList UNIV$ 
proof –
  have  $Rep\text{-llist } x \in llist$  by (rule Rep-llist)
  then have  $Rep\text{-llist } x \in LList (range Datatype.Leaf)$ 
    by (simp add: llist-def)
  also have  $\dots \subseteq LList UNIV$  by (rule LList-mono) simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

definition LNil =  $Abs\text{-llist } NIL$ 

```

```

definition LCons  $x xs = Abs\text{-llist } (CONS (Datatype.Leaf x) (Rep\text{-llist } xs))$ 

```

```

lemma LCons-not-LNil [iff]: LCons x xs  $\neq$  LNil
  apply (simp add: LNil-def LCons-def)
  apply (subst Abs-llist-inject)
  apply (auto intro: NIL-type CONS-type Rep-llist)
  done

lemma LNil-not-LCons [iff]: LNil  $\neq$  LCons x xs
  by (rule LCons-not-LNil [symmetric])

lemma LCons-inject [iff]: (LCons x xs = LCons y ys) = (x = y  $\wedge$  xs = ys)
  apply (simp add: LCons-def)
  apply (subst Abs-llist-inject)
  apply (auto simp add: Rep-llist-inject intro: CONS-type Rep-llist)
  done

lemma Rep-llist-LNil: Rep-llist LNil = NIL
  by (simp add: LNil-def add: Abs-llist-inverse NIL-type)

lemma Rep-llist-LCons: Rep-llist (LCons x l) =
  CONS (Datatype.Leaf x) (Rep-llist l)
  by (simp add: LCons-def Abs-llist-inverse CONS-type Rep-llist)

lemma llist-cases [cases type: llist]:
  obtains
    (LNil) l = LNil
  | (LCons) x l' where l = LCons x l'
proof (cases l)
  case (Abs-llist L)
  from  $\langle L \in \text{llist} \rangle$  have L  $\in$  LList (range Datatype.Leaf) by (rule llistD)
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
  case NIL
  with Abs-llist have l = LNil by (simp add: LNil-def)
  with LNil show ?thesis .
  next
  case (CONS a K)
  then have K  $\in$  llist by (blast intro: llistI)
  then obtain l' where K = Rep-llist l' by cases
  with CONS and Abs-llist obtain x where l = LCons x l'
  by (auto simp add: LCons-def Abs-llist-inject)
  with LCons show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

definition
  llist-case c d l =
    List-case c ( $\lambda x y. d$  (inv Datatype.Leaf x) (Abs-llist y)) (Rep-llist l)

```

syntax

$LNil :: \text{logic}$
 $LCons :: \text{logic}$

translations

$\text{case } p \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow a \mid LCons \ x \ l \Rightarrow b \equiv \text{CONST } \text{llist-case } a \ (\lambda x \ l. \ b) \ p$

lemma llist-case-LNil [simp]: $\text{llist-case } c \ d \ LNil = c$

by (simp add: $\text{llist-case-def } LNil\text{-def}$
 $NIL\text{-type } Abs\text{-llist-inverse}$)

lemma llist-case-LCons [simp]: $\text{llist-case } c \ d \ (LCons \ M \ N) = d \ M \ N$

by (simp add: $\text{llist-case-def } LCons\text{-def}$
 $CONS\text{-type } Abs\text{-llist-inverse } Rep\text{-llist } Rep\text{-llist-inverse } inj\text{-Leaf}$)

definition

$\text{llist-corec } a \ f =$
 $Abs\text{-llist } (LList\text{-corec } a$
 $(\lambda z.$
 $\text{case } f \ z \text{ of } None \Rightarrow None$
 $\mid \text{Some } (v, w) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Datatype.Leaf \ v, \ w)))$

lemma $LList\text{-corec-type2}$:

$LList\text{-corec } a$
 $(\lambda z. \text{case } f \ z \text{ of } None \Rightarrow None$
 $\mid \text{Some } (v, w) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Datatype.Leaf \ v, \ w)) \in \text{llist}$
 $(\text{is } ?corec \ a \in -)$

proof (unfold llist-def)

let $LList\text{-corec } a \ ?g = ?corec \ a$
have $\exists x. ?corec \ a = ?corec \ x$ **by** blast
then show $?corec \ a \in LList \ (\text{range } Datatype.Leaf)$
proof coinduct
case ($LList \ L$)
then obtain x **where** $L: L = ?corec \ x$ **by** blast
show $?case$
proof (cases $f \ x$)
case None
then have $?corec \ x = NIL$
by (simp add: $LList\text{-corec}$)
with L **have** $?NIL$ **by** simp
then show $?thesis \ ..$

next

case ($\text{Some } p$)
then have $?corec \ x =$
 $CONS \ (Datatype.Leaf \ (fst \ p)) \ (?corec \ (snd \ p))$
by (simp add: $LList\text{-corec } split: \text{prod.split}$)
with L **have** $?CONS$ **by** auto
then show $?thesis \ ..$

```

    qed
  qed
qed

lemma llist-corec:
  llist-corec a f =
    (case f a of None  $\Rightarrow$  LNil | Some (z, w)  $\Rightarrow$  LCons z (llist-corec w f))
proof (cases f a)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: llist-corec-def LList-corec LNil-def)
next
  case (Some p)

  let ?corec a = llist-corec a f
  let ?rep-corec a =
    LList-corec a
    ( $\lambda z$ . case f z of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
      | Some (v, w)  $\Rightarrow$  Some (Datatype.Leaf v, w))

  have ?corec a = Abs-llist (?rep-corec a)
  by (simp only: llist-corec-def)
  also from Some have ?rep-corec a =
    CONS (Datatype.Leaf (fst p)) (?rep-corec (snd p))
  by (simp add: LList-corec split: prod.split)
  also have ?rep-corec (snd p) = Rep-llist (?corec (snd p))
  by (simp only: llist-corec-def Abs-llist-inverse LList-corec-type2)
  finally have ?corec a = LCons (fst p) (?corec (snd p))
  by (simp only: LCons-def)
  with Some show ?thesis by (simp split: prod.split)
qed

```

16.4 Equality as greatest fixed-point – the bisimulation principle

```

coinductive-set EqLList for r
where EqNIL: (NIL, NIL)  $\in$  EqLList r
  | EqCONS: (a, b)  $\in$  r  $\Longrightarrow$  (M, N)  $\in$  EqLList r  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    (CONS a M, CONS b N)  $\in$  EqLList r

```

```

lemma EqLList-unfold:
  EqLList r = dsum (diag {Datatype.Numb 0}) (dprod r (EqLList r))
by (fast intro!: EqLList.intros [unfolded NIL-def CONS-def]
  elim: EqLList.cases [unfolded NIL-def CONS-def])

```

```

lemma EqLList-implies-ntrunc-equality:
  (M, N)  $\in$  EqLList (diag A)  $\Longrightarrow$  ntrunc k M = ntrunc k N
apply (induct k arbitrary: M N rule: nat-less-induct)
apply (erule EqLList.cases)

```



```

  apply (safe del: equalityI)
  apply (case-tac n)
  apply simp
  apply (rename-tac n')
  apply (case-tac n')
  apply (simp-all add: CONS-def less-Suc-eq)
done

lemma Domain-EqLList: Domain (EqLList (diag A))  $\subseteq$  LList A
  apply (rule subsetI)
  apply (erule LList.coinduct)
  apply (subst (asm) EqLList-unfold)
  apply (auto simp add: NIL-def CONS-def)
done

lemma EqLList-diag: EqLList (diag A) = diag (LList A)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  show ?lhs  $\subseteq$  ?rhs
    apply (rule subsetI)
    apply (rule-tac p = x in PairE)
    apply clarify
    apply (rule diag-eqI)
    apply (rule EqLList-implies-ntrunc-equality [THEN ntrunc-equality],
      assumption)
    apply (erule DomainI [THEN Domain-EqLList [THEN subsetD]])
    done
  {
    fix M N assume (M, N)  $\in$  diag (LList A)
    then have (M, N)  $\in$  EqLList (diag A)
    proof coinduct
      case (EqLList M N)
      then obtain L where L: L  $\in$  LList A and MN: M = L N = L by blast
      from L show ?case
      proof cases
        case NIL with MN have ?EqNIL by simp
        then show ?thesis ..
      next
        case CONS with MN have ?EqCONS by (simp add: diagI)
        then show ?thesis ..
      qed
    qed
  }
  then show ?rhs  $\subseteq$  ?lhs by auto
qed

lemma EqLList-diag-iff [iff]: (p  $\in$  EqLList (diag A)) = (p  $\in$  diag (LList A))
  by (simp only: EqLList-diag)

```

To show two LLists are equal, exhibit a bisimulation! (Also admits true

equality.)

lemma *LList-equalityI*

[*consumes 1, case-names EqLList, case-conclusion EqLList EqNIL EqCONS*]:

assumes $r: (M, N) \in r$

and step: $\bigwedge M N. (M, N) \in r \implies$

$M = \text{NIL} \wedge N = \text{NIL} \vee$

$(\exists a b M' N'.$

$M = \text{CONS } a M' \wedge N = \text{CONS } b N' \wedge (a, b) \in \text{diag } A \wedge$

$((M', N') \in r \vee (M', N') \in \text{EqLList } (\text{diag } A)))$

shows $M = N$

proof –

from r **have** $(M, N) \in \text{EqLList } (\text{diag } A)$

proof *coinduct*

case *EqLList*

then show *?case* **by** (*rule step*)

qed

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *LList-fun-equalityI*

[*consumes 1, case-names NIL-type NIL CONS, case-conclusion CONS EqNIL EqCONS*]:

assumes $M: M \in \text{LList } A$

and fun-NIL: $g \text{ NIL} \in \text{LList } A \quad f \text{ NIL} = g \text{ NIL}$

and fun-CONS: $\bigwedge x l. x \in A \implies l \in \text{LList } A \implies$

$(f (\text{CONS } x l), g (\text{CONS } x l)) = (\text{NIL}, \text{NIL}) \vee$

$(\exists M N a b.$

$(f (\text{CONS } x l), g (\text{CONS } x l)) = (\text{CONS } a M, \text{CONS } b N) \wedge$

$(a, b) \in \text{diag } A \wedge$

$(M, N) \in \{(f u, g u) \mid u. u \in \text{LList } A\} \cup \text{diag } (\text{LList } A))$

(is $\bigwedge x l. - \implies - \implies ?\text{fun-CONS } x l$)

shows $f M = g M$

proof –

let $?bisim = \{(f L, g L) \mid L. L \in \text{LList } A\}$

have $(f M, g M) \in ?bisim$ **using** M **by** *blast*

then show *?thesis*

proof (*coinduct taking: A rule: LList-equalityI*)

case (*EqLList M N*)

then obtain L **where** $MN: M = f L N = g L$ **and** $L: L \in \text{LList } A$ **by** *blast*

from L **show** *?case*

proof (*cases L*)

case *NIL*

with fun-NIL and MN have $(M, N) \in \text{diag } (\text{LList } A)$ **by** *auto*

then have $(M, N) \in \text{EqLList } (\text{diag } A)$ **..**

then show *?thesis* **by** *cases simp-all*

next

case (*CONS a K*)

from fun-CONS and $\langle a \in A \rangle \langle K \in \text{LList } A \rangle$

have *?fun-CONS a K* **(is** *?NIL* \vee *?CONS*) **.**

```

then show ?thesis
proof
  assume ?NIL
  with MN CONS have  $(M, N) \in \text{diag } (\text{LList } A)$  by auto
  then have  $(M, N) \in \text{EqLList } (\text{diag } A)$  ..
  then show ?thesis by cases simp-all
next
  assume ?CONS
  with CONS obtain  $a\ b\ M'\ N'$  where
    fg:  $(f\ L,\ g\ L) = (\text{CONS } a\ M',\ \text{CONS } b\ N')$ 
    and ab:  $(a,\ b) \in \text{diag } A$ 
    and  $M'N'$ :  $(M', N') \in ?\text{bisim} \cup \text{diag } (\text{LList } A)$ 
    by blast
  from  $M'N'$  show ?thesis
proof
  assume  $(M', N') \in ?\text{bisim}$ 
  with MN fg ab show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $(M', N') \in \text{diag } (\text{LList } A)$ 
  then have  $(M', N') \in \text{EqLList } (\text{diag } A)$  ..
  with MN fg ab show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Finality of *lList* *A*: Uniqueness of functions defined by corecursion.

```

lemma equals-LList-corec:
  assumes  $h: \bigwedge x. h\ x =$ 
     $(\text{case } f\ x\ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{NIL} \mid \text{Some } (z,\ w) \Rightarrow \text{CONS } z\ (h\ w))$ 
  shows  $h\ x = (\lambda x. \text{LList-corec } x\ f)\ x$ 
proof -
  def  $h' \equiv \lambda x. \text{LList-corec } x\ f$ 
  then have  $h': \bigwedge x. h'\ x =$ 
     $(\text{case } f\ x\ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{NIL} \mid \text{Some } (z,\ w) \Rightarrow \text{CONS } z\ (h'\ w))$ 
  unfolding  $h'$ -def by (simp add: LList-corec)
  have  $(h\ x,\ h'\ x) \in \{(h\ u,\ h'\ u) \mid u. \text{True}\}$  by blast
  then show  $h\ x = h'\ x$ 
proof (coinduct taking: UNIV rule: LList-equalityI)
  case (EqLList  $M\ N$ )
  then obtain  $x$  where  $MN: M = h\ x\ N = h'\ x$  by blast
  show ?case
proof (cases  $f\ x$ )
  case None
  with  $h\ h'\ MN$  have ?EqNIL by simp
  then show ?thesis ..
next
  case (Some  $p$ )

```

```

    with  $h\ h'\ MN$  have  $M = \text{CONS } (fst\ p)\ (h\ (snd\ p))$ 
    and  $N = \text{CONS } (fst\ p)\ (h'\ (snd\ p))$ 
    by (simp-all split: prod.split)
    then have  $?EqCONS$  by (auto iff: diag-iff)
    then show  $?thesis ..$ 
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *l1ist-equalityI*

[*consumes 1, case-names Eqllist, case-conclusion Eqllist EqLNil EqLCons*]:

```

assumes  $r: (l1, l2) \in r$ 
and  $step: \bigwedge q. q \in r \implies$ 
   $q = (LNil, LNil) \vee$ 
   $(\exists l1\ l2\ a\ b.$ 
     $q = (LCons\ a\ l1, LCons\ b\ l2) \wedge a = b \wedge$ 
     $((l1, l2) \in r \vee l1 = l2))$ 
   $(is\ \bigwedge q. - \implies ?EqLNil\ q \vee ?EqLCons\ q)$ 
shows  $l1 = l2$ 
proof -
  def  $M \equiv Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l1$  and  $N \equiv Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l2$ 
  with  $r$  have  $(M, N) \in \{(Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l1, Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l2) \mid l1\ l2. (l1, l2) \in r\}$ 
  by blast
  then have  $M = N$ 
  proof (coinduct taking: UNIV rule: LList-equalityI)
    case (EqLList M N)
    then obtain  $l1\ l2$  where
       $MN: M = Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l1\ N = Rep\text{-}l1ist\ l2$  and  $r: (l1, l2) \in r$ 
    by auto
    from  $step\ [OF\ r]$  show  $?case$ 
  proof
    assume  $?EqLNil\ (l1, l2)$ 
    with  $MN$  have  $?EqNIL$  by (simp add: Rep-l1ist-LNil)
    then show  $?thesis ..$ 
  next
    assume  $?EqLCons\ (l1, l2)$ 
    with  $MN$  have  $?EqCONS$ 
      by (force simp add: Rep-l1ist-LCons EqLList-diag intro: Rep-l1ist-UNIV)
    then show  $?thesis ..$ 
  qed
qed
qed
then show  $?thesis$  by (simp add: M-def N-def Rep-l1ist-inject)
qed

```

lemma *l1ist-fun-equalityI*

[*case-names LNil LCons, case-conclusion LCons EqLNil EqLCons*]:

assumes *fun-LNil*: $f\ LNil = g\ LNil$

and *fun-LCons*: $\bigwedge x\ l.$

```

(f (LCons x l), g (LCons x l)) = (LNil, LNil) ∨
  (∃ l1 l2 a b.
    (f (LCons x l), g (LCons x l)) = (LCons a l1, LCons b l2) ∧
    a = b ∧ ((l1, l2) ∈ {(f u, g u) | u. True} ∨ l1 = l2))
  (is ∧ x l. ?fun-LCons x l)
shows f l = g l
proof -
  have (f l, g l) ∈ {(f l, g l) | l. True} by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof (coinduct rule: llist-equalityI)
    case (Eqllist q)
    then obtain l where q: q = (f l, g l) by blast
    show ?case
    proof (cases l)
      case LNil
      with fun-LNil and q have q = (g LNil, g LNil) by simp
      then show ?thesis by (cases g LNil) simp-all
    next
      case (LCons x l')
      with ⟨?fun-LCons x l'⟩ q LCons show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
qed

```

16.5 Derived operations – both on the set and abstract type

16.5.1 Lconst

definition $Lconst\ M \equiv lfp\ (\lambda N. CONS\ M\ N)$

lemma *Lconst-fun-mono*: $mono\ (CONS\ M)$
 by (simp add: monoI CONS-mono)

lemma *Lconst*: $Lconst\ M = CONS\ M\ (Lconst\ M)$
 by (rule Lconst-def [THEN def-lfp-unfold]) (rule Lconst-fun-mono)

lemma *Lconst-type*:

assumes $M \in A$
 shows $Lconst\ M \in LList\ A$

proof –

have $Lconst\ M \in \{Lconst\ (id\ M)\}$ by simp
 then show ?thesis
 proof coinduct
 case (LList N)
 then have $N = Lconst\ M$ by simp
 also have $\dots = CONS\ M\ (Lconst\ M)$ by (rule Lconst)
 finally have ?CONS using ⟨ $M \in A$ ⟩ by simp
 then show ?case ..

qed

qed

```

lemma Lconst-eq-LList-corec: Lconst M = LList-corec M ( $\lambda x. \text{Some } (x, x)$ )
  apply (rule equals-LList-corec)
  apply simp
  apply (rule Lconst)
  done

```

```

lemma gfp-Lconst-eq-LList-corec:
  gfp ( $\lambda N. \text{CONS } M \ N$ ) = LList-corec M ( $\lambda x. \text{Some}(x, x)$ )
  apply (rule equals-LList-corec)
  apply simp
  apply (rule Lconst-fun-mono [THEN gfp-unfold])
  done

```

16.5.2 *Lmap* and *lmap*

definition

$Lmap \ f \ M = LList-corec \ M \ (\text{List-case } None \ (\lambda x \ M'. \text{Some } (f \ x, M')))$

definition

$lmap \ f \ l = llist-corec \ l$
 $(\lambda z.$
 $\text{case } z \text{ of } LNil \Rightarrow None$
 $\mid LCons \ y \ z \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f \ y, z))$

```

lemma Lmap-NIL [simp]: Lmap f NIL = NIL
  and Lmap-CONS [simp]: Lmap f (CONS M N) = CONS (f M) (Lmap f N)
  by (simp-all add: Lmap-def LList-corec)

```

lemma *Lmap-type*:

assumes *M*: *M* \in *LList* *A*
and *f*: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f \ x \in B$
shows *Lmap* *f* *M* \in *LList* *B*

proof –

from *M* **have** *Lmap* *f* *M* $\in \{Lmap \ f \ N \mid N. N \in LList \ A\}$ **by** *blast*

then show *?thesis*

proof *coinduct*

case (*LList* *L*)

then obtain *N* **where** *L*: *L* = *Lmap* *f* *N* **and** *N*: *N* \in *LList* *A* **by** *blast*

from *N* **show** *?case*

proof *cases*

case *NIL*

with *L* **have** *?NIL* **by** *simp*

then show *?thesis* ..

next

case (*CONS* *K* *a*)

with *f* *L* **have** *?CONS* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* ..

qed

qed

qed

lemma *Lmap-compose*:

assumes $M: M \in LList\ A$

shows $Lmap\ (f\ o\ g)\ M = Lmap\ f\ (Lmap\ g\ M)$ (is ?lhs $M = ?rhs\ M$)

proof –

have $(?lhs\ M, ?rhs\ M) \in \{(?lhs\ N, ?rhs\ N) \mid N. N \in LList\ A\}$

using M by *blast*

then show ?thesis

proof (coinduct taking: range $(\lambda N. N)$ rule: *LList-equalityI*)

case $(EqLList\ L\ M)$

then obtain N where $LM: L = ?lhs\ N\ M = ?rhs\ N$ and $N: N \in LList\ A$

by *blast*

from N show ?case

proof cases

case *NIL*

with LM have ?EqNIL by *simp*

then show ?thesis ..

next

case *CONS*

with LM have ?EqCONS by *auto*

then show ?thesis ..

qed

qed

qed

lemma *Lmap-ident*:

assumes $M: M \in LList\ A$

shows $Lmap\ (\lambda x. x)\ M = M$ (is ?lmap $M = -$)

proof –

have $(?lmap\ M, M) \in \{(?lmap\ N, N) \mid N. N \in LList\ A\}$ using M by *blast*

then show ?thesis

proof (coinduct taking: range $(\lambda N. N)$ rule: *LList-equalityI*)

case $(EqLList\ L\ M)$

then obtain N where $LM: L = ?lmap\ N\ M = N$ and $N: N \in LList\ A$ by

blast

from N show ?case

proof cases

case *NIL*

with LM have ?EqNIL by *simp*

then show ?thesis ..

next

case *CONS*

with LM have ?EqCONS by *auto*

then show ?thesis ..

qed

qed

qed

lemma *lmap-LNil* [*simp*]: $\text{lmap } f \text{ LNil} = \text{LNil}$
and *lmap-LCons* [*simp*]: $\text{lmap } f \text{ (LCons } M \text{ } N) = \text{LCons } (f \text{ } M) (\text{lmap } f \text{ } N)$
by (*simp-all add: lmap-def llist-corec*)

lemma *lmap-compose* [*simp*]: $\text{lmap } (f \circ g) \text{ } l = \text{lmap } f \text{ (lmap } g \text{ } l)$
by (*coinduct l rule: llist-fun-equalityI*) *auto*

lemma *lmap-ident* [*simp*]: $\text{lmap } (\lambda x. x) \text{ } l = l$
by (*coinduct l rule: llist-fun-equalityI*) *auto*

16.5.3 Lappend

definition

$\text{Lappend } M \text{ } N = \text{LList-corec } (M, N)$
(split (List-case
(List-case None ($\lambda N1 \text{ } N2. \text{Some } (N1, (\text{NIL}, N2))$))
($\lambda M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N. \text{Some } (M1, (M2, N))$)))

definition

$\text{lappend } l \text{ } n = \text{llist-corec } (l, n)$
(split (llist-case
(llist-case None ($\lambda n1 \text{ } n2. \text{Some } (n1, (\text{LNil}, n2))$))
($\lambda l1 \text{ } l2 \text{ } n. \text{Some } (l1, (l2, n))$)))

lemma *Lappend-NIL-NIL* [*simp*]:
 $\text{Lappend } \text{NIL} \text{ } \text{NIL} = \text{NIL}$
and *Lappend-NIL-CONS* [*simp*]:
 $\text{Lappend } \text{NIL} \text{ (CONS } N \text{ } N') = \text{CONS } N \text{ (Lappend } \text{NIL} \text{ } N')$
and *Lappend-CONS* [*simp*]:
 $\text{Lappend } (\text{CONS } M \text{ } M') \text{ } N = \text{CONS } M \text{ (Lappend } M' \text{ } N)$
by (*simp-all add: Lappend-def LList-corec*)

lemma *Lappend-NIL* [*simp*]: $M \in \text{LList } A \implies \text{Lappend } \text{NIL} \text{ } M = M$
by (*erule LList-fun-equalityI*) *auto*

lemma *Lappend-NIL2*: $M \in \text{LList } A \implies \text{Lappend } M \text{ } \text{NIL} = M$
by (*erule LList-fun-equalityI*) *auto*

lemma Lappend-type:

assumes $M \in \text{LList } A$ **and** $N \in \text{LList } A$
shows $\text{Lappend } M \text{ } N \in \text{LList } A$

proof –

have $\text{Lappend } M \text{ } N \in \{\text{Lappend } u \text{ } v \mid u \text{ } v. u \in \text{LList } A \wedge v \in \text{LList } A\}$
using $M \text{ } N$ **by** *blast*

then show *?thesis*

proof *coinduct*

case ($\text{LList } L$)

then obtain $M \text{ } N$ **where** $L: L = \text{Lappend } M \text{ } N$

and $M: M \in \text{LList } A$ **and** $N: N \in \text{LList } A$

by *blast*


```

from  $M$  show  $?case$ 
proof  $cases$ 
  case  $NIL$ 
    from  $N$  show  $?thesis$ 
    proof  $cases$ 
      case  $NIL$ 
        with  $L$  and  $\langle M = NIL \rangle$  have  $?NIL$  by  $simp$ 
        then show  $?thesis ..$ 
      next
        case  $CONS$ 
        with  $L$  and  $\langle M = NIL \rangle$  have  $?CONS$  by  $simp$ 
        then show  $?thesis ..$ 
      qed
    next
      case  $CONS$ 
      with  $L N$  have  $?CONS$  by  $auto$ 
      then show  $?thesis ..$ 
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma  $lappend-LNil-LNil$  [ $simp$ ]:  $lappend\ LNil\ LNil = LNil$ 
  and  $lappend-LNil-LCons$  [ $simp$ ]:  $lappend\ LNil\ (LCons\ l\ l') = LCons\ l\ (lappend\ LNil\ l')$ 
  and  $lappend-LCons$  [ $simp$ ]:  $lappend\ (LCons\ l\ l')\ m = LCons\ l\ (lappend\ l'\ m)$ 
  by ( $simp$ -all add:  $lappend$ -def  $llist$ -corec)

lemma  $lappend-LNil1$  [ $simp$ ]:  $lappend\ LNil\ l = l$ 
  by ( $coinduct\ l$  rule:  $llist$ -fun-equalityI)  $auto$ 

lemma  $lappend-LNil2$  [ $simp$ ]:  $lappend\ l\ LNil = l$ 
  by ( $coinduct\ l$  rule:  $llist$ -fun-equalityI)  $auto$ 

lemma  $lappend$ -assoc:  $lappend\ (lappend\ l1\ l2)\ l3 = lappend\ l1\ (lappend\ l2\ l3)$ 
  by ( $coinduct\ l1$  rule:  $llist$ -fun-equalityI)  $auto$ 

lemma  $lmap$ - $lappend$ -distrib:  $lmap\ f\ (lappend\ l\ n) = lappend\ (lmap\ f\ l)\ (lmap\ f\ n)$ 
  by ( $coinduct\ l$  rule:  $llist$ -fun-equalityI)  $auto$ 

```

16.6 iterates

$llist$ -fun-equalityI cannot be used here!

definition

$iterates :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ llist$ **where**
 $iterates\ f\ a = llist$ -corec $a\ (\lambda x. Some\ (x, f\ x))$

lemma $iterates$: $iterates\ f\ x = LCons\ x\ (iterates\ f\ (f\ x))$
apply ($unfold\ iterates$ -def)
apply ($subst\ llist$ -corec)

```

apply simp
done

lemma lmap-iterates:  $lmap\ f\ (iterates\ f\ x) = iterates\ f\ (f\ x)$ 
proof –
  have  $(lmap\ f\ (iterates\ f\ x), iterates\ f\ (f\ x)) \in$ 
     $\{(lmap\ f\ (iterates\ f\ u), iterates\ f\ (f\ u)) \mid u. True\}$  by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof (coinduct rule: llist-equalityI)
    case (Eqllist q)
    then obtain x where  $q = (lmap\ f\ (iterates\ f\ x), iterates\ f\ (f\ x))$ 
    by blast
    also have  $iterates\ f\ (f\ x) = LCons\ (f\ x)\ (iterates\ f\ (f\ (f\ x)))$ 
    by (subst iterates) rule
    also have  $iterates\ f\ x = LCons\ x\ (iterates\ f\ (f\ x))$ 
    by (subst iterates) rule
    finally have ?EqLCons by auto
    then show ?case ..
  qed
qed

lemma iterates-lmap:  $iterates\ f\ x = LCons\ x\ (lmap\ f\ (iterates\ f\ x))$ 
by (subst lmap-iterates) (rule iterates)



### 16.7 A rather complex proof about iterates – cf. Andy Pitts

lemma funpow-lmap:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  shows  $(lmap\ f\ ^n)\ (LCons\ b\ l) = LCons\ ((f\ ^n)\ b)\ ((lmap\ f\ ^n)\ l)$ 
by (induct n) simp-all

lemma iterates-equality:
  assumes  $h: \bigwedge x. h\ x = LCons\ x\ (lmap\ f\ (h\ x))$ 
  shows  $h = iterates\ f$ 
proof
  fix x
  have  $(h\ x, iterates\ f\ x) \in$ 
     $\{((lmap\ f\ ^n)\ (h\ u), (lmap\ f\ ^n)\ (iterates\ f\ u)) \mid u\ n. True\}$ 
  proof –
    have  $(h\ x, iterates\ f\ x) = ((lmap\ f\ ^0)\ (h\ x), (lmap\ f\ ^0)\ (iterates\ f\ x))$ 
    by simp
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  then show  $h\ x = iterates\ f\ x$ 
proof (coinduct rule: llist-equalityI)
  case (Eqllist q)
  then obtain u n where  $q = ((lmap\ f\ ^n)\ (h\ u), (lmap\ f\ ^n)\ (iterates\ f\ u))$ 
    (is - = (?q1, ?q2))

```

```

    by auto
  also have ?q1 = LCons ((f ^ n) u) ((lmap f ^ Suc n) (h u))
proof -
  have ?q1 = (lmap f ^ n) (LCons u (lmap f (h u)))
    by (subst h) rule
  also have ... = LCons ((f ^ n) u) ((lmap f ^ n) (lmap f (h u)))
    by (rule funpow-lmap)
  also have (lmap f ^ n) (lmap f (h u)) = (lmap f ^ Suc n) (h u)
    by (simp add: funpow-swap1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
also have ?q2 = LCons ((f ^ n) u) ((lmap f ^ Suc n) (iterates f u))
proof -
  have ?q2 = (lmap f ^ n) (LCons u (iterates f (f u)))
    by (subst iterates) rule
  also have ... = LCons ((f ^ n) u) ((lmap f ^ n) (iterates f (f u)))
    by (rule funpow-lmap)
  also have (lmap f ^ n) (iterates f (f u)) = (lmap f ^ Suc n) (iterates f u)
    by (simp add: lmap-iterates funpow-swap1)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally have ?EqLCons by (auto simp del: funpow.simps)
then show ?case ..
qed
qed

lemma lappend-iterates: lappend (iterates f x) l = iterates f x
proof -
  have (lappend (iterates f x) l, iterates f x) ∈
    {(lappend (iterates f u) l, iterates f u) | u. True} by blast
  then show ?thesis
proof (coinduct rule: llist-equalityI)
  case (Eqllist q)
  then obtain x where q = (lappend (iterates f x) l, iterates f x) by blast
  also have iterates f x = LCons x (iterates f (f x)) by (rule iterates)
  finally have ?EqLCons by auto
  then show ?case ..
qed
qed

end

```

17 Parity: Even and Odd for int and nat

```

theory Parity
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

```
class even-odd = type +
  fixes even :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

abbreviation

```
odd :: 'a::even-odd  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  odd x  $\equiv \neg$  even x
```

```
instantiation nat and int :: even-odd
begin
```

definition

```
even-def [presburger]: even x  $\longleftrightarrow$  (x::int) mod 2 = 0
```

definition

```
even-nat-def [presburger]: even x  $\longleftrightarrow$  even (int x)
```

```
instance ..
```

```
end
```

17.1 Even and odd are mutually exclusive

lemma int-pos-lt-two-imp-zero-or-one:

```
0 <= x ==> (x::int) < 2 ==> x = 0 | x = 1
by presburger
```

lemma neq-one-mod-two [simp, presburger]:

```
((x::int) mod 2  $\sim$  0) = (x mod 2 = 1) by presburger
```

17.2 Behavior under integer arithmetic operations

lemma even-times-anything: even (x::int) ==> even (x * y)

```
by (simp add: even-def zmod-zmult1-eq')
```

lemma anything-times-even: even (y::int) ==> even (x * y)

```
by (simp add: even-def zmod-zmult1-eq)
```

lemma odd-times-odd: odd (x::int) ==> odd y ==> odd (x * y)

```
by (simp add: even-def zmod-zmult1-eq)
```

lemma even-product[presburger]: even((x::int) * y) = (even x | even y)

```
apply (auto simp add: even-times-anything anything-times-even)
```

```
apply (rule ccontr)
```

```
apply (auto simp add: odd-times-odd)
```

```
done
```

lemma even-plus-even: even (x::int) ==> even y ==> even (x + y)

```
by presburger
```

lemma even-plus-odd: even (x::int) ==> odd y ==> odd (x + y)

by *presburger*

lemma *odd-plus-even*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{int}) \implies \text{even } y \implies \text{odd } (x + y)$
by *presburger*

lemma *odd-plus-odd*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{int}) \implies \text{odd } y \implies \text{even } (x + y)$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-sum*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } ((x::\text{int}) + y) = ((\text{even } x \ \& \ \text{even } y) \mid (\text{odd } x \ \& \ \text{odd } y))$
by *presburger*

lemma *even-neg*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } (-(x::\text{int})) = \text{even } x$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-difference*:
 $\text{even } ((x::\text{int}) - y) = ((\text{even } x \ \& \ \text{even } y) \mid (\text{odd } x \ \& \ \text{odd } y))$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-pow-gt-zero*:
 $\text{even } (x::\text{int}) \implies 0 < n \implies \text{even } (x^n)$
by (*induct n*) (*auto simp add: even-product*)

lemma *odd-pow-iff*[*presburger*]: $\text{odd } ((x::\text{int})^n) \longleftrightarrow (n = 0 \vee \text{odd } x)$
apply (*induct n, simp-all*)
apply *presburger*
apply (*case-tac n, auto*)
apply (*simp-all add: even-product*)
done

lemma *odd-pow*: $\text{odd } x \implies \text{odd } ((x::\text{int})^n)$ **by** (*simp add: odd-pow-iff*)

lemma *even-power*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } ((x::\text{int})^n) = (\text{even } x \ \& \ 0 < n)$
apply (*auto simp add: even-pow-gt-zero*)
apply (*erule contrapos-pp, erule odd-pow*)
apply (*erule contrapos-pp, simp add: even-def*)
done

lemma *even-zero*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } (0::\text{int})$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *odd-one*[*presburger*]: $\text{odd } (1::\text{int})$ **by** *presburger*

lemmas *even-odd-simps* [*simp*] = *even-def*[*of number-of v, standard*] *even-zero*
odd-one even-product even-sum even-neg even-difference even-power

17.3 Equivalent definitions

lemma *two-times-even-div-two*: $\text{even } (x::\text{int}) \implies 2 * (x \text{ div } 2) = x$
by *presburger*

lemma *two-times-odd-div-two-plus-one*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{int}) \implies$
 $2 * (x \text{ div } 2) + 1 = x$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-equiv-def*: $\text{even } (x::\text{int}) = (\text{EX } y. x = 2 * y)$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *odd-equiv-def*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{int}) = (\text{EX } y. x = 2 * y + 1)$ **by** *presburger*

17.4 even and odd for nats

lemma *pos-int-even-equiv-nat-even*: $0 \leq x \implies \text{even } x = \text{even } (\text{nat } x)$
by (*simp add: even-nat-def*)

lemma *even-nat-product*[*presburger*]: $\text{even}((x::\text{nat}) * y) = (\text{even } x \mid \text{even } y)$
by (*simp add: even-nat-def int-mult*)

lemma *even-nat-sum*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } ((x::\text{nat}) + y) =$
 $((\text{even } x \ \& \ \text{even } y) \mid (\text{odd } x \ \& \ \text{odd } y))$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-difference*[*presburger*]:
 $\text{even } ((x::\text{nat}) - y) = (x < y \mid (\text{even } x \ \& \ \text{even } y) \mid (\text{odd } x \ \& \ \text{odd } y))$
by *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-Suc*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } (\text{Suc } x) = \text{odd } x$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-power*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } ((x::\text{nat}) ^ y) = (\text{even } x \ \& \ 0 < y)$
by (*simp add: even-nat-def int-power*)

lemma *even-nat-zero*[*presburger*]: $\text{even } (0::\text{nat})$ **by** *presburger*

lemmas *even-odd-nat-simps* [*simp*] = *even-nat-def*[*of number-of v, standard*]
even-nat-zero even-nat-Suc even-nat-product even-nat-sum even-nat-power

17.5 Equivalent definitions

lemma *nat-lt-two-imp-zero-or-one*: $(x::\text{nat}) < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \implies$
 $x = 0 \mid x = \text{Suc } 0$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-mod-two-eq-zero*: $\text{even } (x::\text{nat}) \implies x \bmod (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = 0$
by *presburger*

lemma *odd-nat-mod-two-eq-one*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{nat}) \implies x \bmod (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = \text{Suc } 0$
by *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-equiv-def*: $\text{even } (x::\text{nat}) = (x \bmod \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = 0)$
by *presburger*

lemma *odd-nat-equiv-def*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{nat}) = (x \bmod \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{Suc } 0)$
by *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-div-two-times-two*: $\text{even } (x::\text{nat}) \implies$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) * (x \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = x$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *odd-nat-div-two-times-two-plus-one*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{nat}) \implies$
 $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } 0) * (x \text{ div } \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } 0))) = x$ **by** *presburger*

lemma *even-nat-equiv-def2*: $\text{even } (x::\text{nat}) = (\text{EX } y. x = \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } 0) * y)$
by *presburger*

lemma *odd-nat-equiv-def2*: $\text{odd } (x::\text{nat}) = (\text{EX } y. x = \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } 0) * y))$
by *presburger*

17.6 Parity and powers

lemma *minus-one-even-odd-power*:
 $(\text{even } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{recpower}\})^x = 1) \ \&$
 $(\text{odd } x \implies (-1::'a)^x = -1)$
apply (*induct x*)
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply (*simp*)
apply (*insert even-nat-zero, blast*)
apply (*simp add: power-Suc*)
done

lemma *minus-one-even-power [simp]*:
 $\text{even } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{recpower}\})^x = 1$
using *minus-one-even-odd-power* **by** *blast*

lemma *minus-one-odd-power [simp]*:
 $\text{odd } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{recpower}\})^x = -1$
using *minus-one-even-odd-power* **by** *blast*

lemma *neg-one-even-odd-power*:
 $(\text{even } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{number-ring}, \text{recpower}\})^x = 1) \ \&$
 $(\text{odd } x \implies (-1::'a)^x = -1)$
apply (*induct x*)
apply (*simp, simp add: power-Suc*)
done

lemma *neg-one-even-power [simp]*:
 $\text{even } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{number-ring}, \text{recpower}\})^x = 1$
using *neg-one-even-odd-power* **by** *blast*

lemma *neg-one-odd-power [simp]*:
 $\text{odd } x \implies (-1::'a::\{\text{number-ring}, \text{recpower}\})^x = -1$
using *neg-one-even-odd-power* **by** *blast*

lemma *neg-power-if*:
 $(-x::'a::\{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{recpower}\})^n =$
 $(\text{if even } n \text{ then } (x^n) \text{ else } -(x^n))$
apply (*induct n*)
apply (*simp-all split: split-if-asm add: power-Suc*)

done

lemma *zero-le-even-power: even n ==>*
 $0 \leq (x :: 'a :: \{\text{recpower, ordered-ring-strict}\})^n$
apply (*simp add: even-nat-equiv-def2*)
apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*erule ssubst*)
apply (*subst power-add*)
apply (*rule zero-le-square*)
done

lemma *zero-le-odd-power: odd n ==>*
 $(0 \leq (x :: 'a :: \{\text{recpower, ordered-idom}\})^n) = (0 \leq x)$
apply (*simp add: odd-nat-equiv-def2*)
apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*erule ssubst*)
apply (*subst power-Suc*)
apply (*subst power-add*)
apply (*subst zero-le-mult-iff*)
apply *auto*
apply (*subgoal-tac x = 0 & y > 0*)
apply (*erule conjE, assumption*)
apply (*subst power-eq-0-iff [symmetric]*)
apply (*subgoal-tac 0 ≤ x^y * x^y*)
apply *simp*
apply (*rule zero-le-square*)+
done

lemma *zero-le-power-eq[presburger]: (0 ≤ (x :: 'a :: \{\text{recpower, ordered-idom}\})^n)*
 $=$
 $(\text{even } n \mid (\text{odd } n \ \& \ 0 \leq x))$
apply *auto*
apply (*subst zero-le-odd-power [symmetric]*)
apply *assumption+*
apply (*erule zero-le-even-power*)
done

lemma *zero-less-power-eq[presburger]: (0 < (x :: 'a :: \{\text{recpower, ordered-idom}\})^n)*
 $=$
 $(n = 0 \mid (\text{even } n \ \& \ x \sim 0) \mid (\text{odd } n \ \& \ 0 < x))$
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*rule conjI*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*rule ccontr*)
apply (*subgoal-tac ~ (0 ≤ x^n)*)
apply *simp*
apply (*subst zero-le-odd-power*)
apply *assumption*


```

apply simp
apply (rule notI)
apply (simp add: power-0-left)
apply (rule notI)
apply (simp add: power-0-left)
apply auto
apply (subgoal-tac 0 <= x ^ n)
apply (frule order-le-imp-less-or-eq)
apply simp
apply (erule zero-le-even-power)
done

lemma power-less-zero-eq[presburger]: ((x::'a::{recpower,ordered-idom}) ^ n < 0)
=
  (odd n & x < 0)
apply (subst linorder-not-le [symmetric])+
apply (subst zero-le-power-eq)
apply auto
done

lemma power-le-zero-eq[presburger]: ((x::'a::{recpower,ordered-idom}) ^ n <= 0)
=
  (n ~ 0 & ((odd n & x <= 0) | (even n & x = 0)))
apply (subst linorder-not-less [symmetric])+
apply (subst zero-less-power-eq)
apply auto
done

lemma power-even-abs: even n ==>
  (abs (x::'a::{recpower,ordered-idom})) ^ n = x ^ n
apply (subst power-abs [symmetric])
apply (simp add: zero-le-even-power)
done

lemma zero-less-power-nat-eq[presburger]: (0 < (x::nat) ^ n) = (n = 0 | 0 < x)
by (induct n) auto

lemma power-minus-even [simp]: even n ==>
  (- x) ^ n = (x ^ n :: 'a::{recpower,comm-ring-1})
apply (subst power-minus)
apply simp
done

lemma power-minus-odd [simp]: odd n ==>
  (- x) ^ n = - (x ^ n :: 'a::{recpower,comm-ring-1})
apply (subst power-minus)
apply simp
done

```

17.7 General Lemmas About Division

lemma *Suc-times-mod-eq*: $1 < k \implies \text{Suc } (k * m) \bmod k = 1$
apply (*induct m*)
apply (*simp-all add: mod-Suc*)
done

declare *Suc-times-mod-eq* [*of number-of w, standard, simp*]

lemma [*simp*]: $n \text{ div } k \leq (\text{Suc } n) \text{ div } k$
by (*simp add: div-le-mono*)

lemma *Suc-n-div-2-gt-zero* [*simp*]: $(0::\text{nat}) < n \implies 0 < (n + 1) \text{ div } 2$
by *arith*

lemma *div-2-gt-zero* [*simp*]: $(1::\text{nat}) < n \implies 0 < n \text{ div } 2$
by *arith*

lemma *mod-mult-self3* [*simp*]: $(k*n + m) \bmod n = m \bmod (n::\text{nat})$
by (*simp add: mult-ac add-ac*)

lemma *mod-mult-self4* [*simp*]: $\text{Suc } (k*n + m) \bmod n = \text{Suc } m \bmod n$
proof –
 have $\text{Suc } (k * n + m) \bmod n = (k * n + \text{Suc } m) \bmod n$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{Suc } m \bmod n$ **by** (*rule mod-mult-self3*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *mod-Suc-eq-Suc-mod*: $\text{Suc } m \bmod n = \text{Suc } (m \bmod n) \bmod n$
apply (*subst mod-Suc [of m]*)
apply (*subst mod-Suc [of m mod n], simp*)
done

17.8 More Even/Odd Results

lemma *even-mult-two-ex*: $\text{even}(n) = (\exists m::\text{nat}. n = 2*m)$
by (*simp add: even-nat-equiv-def2 numeral-2-eq-2*)

lemma *odd-Suc-mult-two-ex*: $\text{odd}(n) = (\exists m. n = \text{Suc } (2*m))$
by (*simp add: odd-nat-equiv-def2 numeral-2-eq-2*)

lemma *even-add* [*simp*]: $\text{even}(m + n::\text{nat}) = (\text{even } m = \text{even } n)$
by *auto*

lemma *odd-add* [*simp*]: $\text{odd}(m + n::\text{nat}) = (\text{odd } m \neq \text{odd } n)$
by *auto*

lemma *div-Suc*: $\text{Suc } a \text{ div } c = a \text{ div } c + \text{Suc } 0 \text{ div } c +$
 $(a \bmod c + \text{Suc } 0 \bmod c) \text{ div } c$
apply (*subgoal-tac Suc a = a + Suc 0*)

```

apply (erule ssubst)
apply (rule div-add1-eq, simp)
done

lemma lemma-even-div2 [simp]: even (n::nat) ==> (n + 1) div 2 = n div 2
apply (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)
apply (subst div-Suc)
apply (simp add: even-nat-mod-two-eq-zero)
done

lemma lemma-not-even-div2 [simp]: ~ even n ==> (n + 1) div 2 = Suc (n div
2)
apply (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)
apply (subst div-Suc)
apply (simp add: odd-nat-mod-two-eq-one)
done

lemma even-num-iff: 0 < n ==> even n = (~ even(n - 1 :: nat))
by (case-tac n, auto)

lemma even-even-mod-4-iff: even (n::nat) = even (n mod 4)
apply (induct n, simp)
apply (subst mod-Suc, simp)
done

lemma lemma-odd-mod-4-div-2: n mod 4 = (3::nat) ==> odd((n - 1) div 2)
apply (rule-tac t = n and n1 = 4 in mod-div-equality [THEN subst])
apply (simp add: even-num-iff)
done

lemma lemma-even-mod-4-div-2: n mod 4 = (1::nat) ==> even ((n - 1) div 2)
by (rule-tac t = n and n1 = 4 in mod-div-equality [THEN subst], simp)

  Simplify, when the exponent is a numeral

lemmas power-0-left-number-of = power-0-left [of number-of w, standard]
declare power-0-left-number-of [simp]

lemmas zero-le-power-eq-number-of [simp] =
  zero-le-power-eq [of - number-of w, standard]

lemmas zero-less-power-eq-number-of [simp] =
  zero-less-power-eq [of - number-of w, standard]

lemmas power-le-zero-eq-number-of [simp] =
  power-le-zero-eq [of - number-of w, standard]

lemmas power-less-zero-eq-number-of [simp] =
  power-less-zero-eq [of - number-of w, standard]

```

lemmas *zero-less-power-nat-eq-number-of* [simp] =
zero-less-power-nat-eq [of - number-of w, standard]

lemmas *power-eq-0-iff-number-of* [simp] = *power-eq-0-iff* [of - number-of w, standard]

lemmas *power-even-abs-number-of* [simp] = *power-even-abs* [of number-of w -, standard]

17.9 An Equivalence for $0 \leq a^n$

lemma *even-power-le-0-imp-0*:

$a^n \leq (0 :: 'a :: \{\text{ordered-idom}, \text{recpower}\}) \implies a = 0$

by (induct k) (auto simp add: zero-le-mult-iff mult-le-0-iff power-Suc)

lemma *zero-le-power-iff* [presburger]:

$(0 \leq a^n) = (0 \leq (a :: 'a :: \{\text{ordered-idom}, \text{recpower}\}) \mid \text{even } n)$

proof cases

assume *even*: even n

then obtain k **where** $n = 2 * k$

by (auto simp add: even-nat-equiv-def2 numeral-2-eq-2)

thus ?thesis **by** (simp add: zero-le-even-power even)

next

assume *odd*: odd n

then obtain k **where** $n = \text{Suc}(2 * k)$

by (auto simp add: odd-nat-equiv-def2 numeral-2-eq-2)

thus ?thesis

by (auto simp add: power-Suc zero-le-mult-iff zero-le-even-power
dest!: even-power-le-0-imp-0)

qed

17.10 Miscellaneous

lemma *odd-pos*: odd (n::nat) $\implies 0 < n$

by (cases n, simp-all)

lemma [presburger]: $(x + 1) \text{ div } 2 = x \text{ div } 2 \iff \text{even } (x :: \text{int})$ **by** presburger

lemma [presburger]: $(x + 1) \text{ div } 2 = x \text{ div } 2 + 1 \iff \text{odd } (x :: \text{int})$ **by** presburger

lemma *even-plus-one-div-two*: even (x::int) $\implies (x + 1) \text{ div } 2 = x \text{ div } 2$ **by** presburger

lemma *odd-plus-one-div-two*: odd (x::int) $\implies (x + 1) \text{ div } 2 = x \text{ div } 2 + 1$ **by** presburger

lemma [presburger]: $(\text{Suc } x) \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = x \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \iff \text{even } x$ **by** presburger

lemma [presburger]: $(\text{Suc } x) \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = x \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \iff \text{even } x$ **by** presburger

lemma *even-nat-plus-one-div-two*: even (x::nat) \implies

$(\text{Suc } x) \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = x \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$ **by** presburger

```

lemma odd-nat-plus-one-div-two:  $\text{odd } (x::\text{nat}) ==>$ 
   $(\text{Suc } x) \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{Suc } (x \text{ div } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))$  by presburger

end

```

18 Commutative-Ring: Proving equalities in commutative rings

```

theory Commutative-Ring
imports List Parity
uses (comm-ring.ML)
begin

```

Syntax of multivariate polynomials (pol) and polynomial expressions.

```

datatype 'a pol =
  | Pc 'a
  | Pinj nat 'a pol
  | PX 'a pol nat 'a pol

```

```

datatype 'a polex =
  | Pol 'a pol
  | Add 'a polex 'a polex
  | Sub 'a polex 'a polex
  | Mul 'a polex 'a polex
  | Pow 'a polex nat
  | Neg 'a polex

```

Interpretation functions for the shadow syntax.

```

fun
  Ipol :: 'a::{comm-ring,recpower} list  $\Rightarrow$  'a pol  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  | Ipol l (Pc c) = c
  | Ipol l (Pinj i P) = Ipol (drop i l) P
  | Ipol l (PX P x Q) = Ipol l P * (hd l) ^ x + Ipol (drop 1 l) Q

```

```

fun
  Ipolex :: 'a::{comm-ring,recpower} list  $\Rightarrow$  'a polex  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  | Ipolex l (Pol P) = Ipol l P
  | Ipolex l (Add P Q) = Ipolex l P + Ipolex l Q
  | Ipolex l (Sub P Q) = Ipolex l P - Ipolex l Q
  | Ipolex l (Mul P Q) = Ipolex l P * Ipolex l Q
  | Ipolex l (Pow p n) = Ipolex l p ^ n
  | Ipolex l (Neg P) = - Ipolex l P

```

Create polynomial normalized polynomials given normalized inputs.

definition

$mkPinj :: nat \Rightarrow 'a\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol$ **where**
 $mkPinj\ x\ P = (case\ P\ of$
 $\quad Pc\ c \Rightarrow Pc\ c \mid$
 $\quad Pinj\ y\ P \Rightarrow Pinj\ (x + y)\ P \mid$
 $\quad PX\ p1\ y\ p2 \Rightarrow Pinj\ x\ P)$

definition

$mkPX :: 'a::\{comm-ring,recpower\}\ pol \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol$ **where**
 $mkPX\ P\ i\ Q = (case\ P\ of$
 $\quad Pc\ c \Rightarrow (if\ (c = 0)\ then\ (mkPinj\ 1\ Q)\ else\ (PX\ P\ i\ Q)) \mid$
 $\quad Pinj\ j\ R \Rightarrow PX\ P\ i\ Q \mid$
 $\quad PX\ P2\ i2\ Q2 \Rightarrow (if\ (Q2 = (Pc\ 0))\ then\ (PX\ P2\ (i+i2)\ Q)\ else\ (PX\ P\ i\ Q))$
 $)$

Defining the basic ring operations on normalized polynomials

function

$add :: 'a::\{comm-ring,recpower\}\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol$ (**infixl** \oplus 65)
where

$Pc\ a \oplus Pc\ b = Pc\ (a + b)$
 $\mid Pc\ c \oplus Pinj\ i\ P = Pinj\ i\ (P \oplus Pc\ c)$
 $\mid Pinj\ i\ P \oplus Pc\ c = Pinj\ i\ (P \oplus Pc\ c)$
 $\mid Pc\ c \oplus PX\ P\ i\ Q = PX\ P\ i\ (Q \oplus Pc\ c)$
 $\mid PX\ P\ i\ Q \oplus Pc\ c = PX\ P\ i\ (Q \oplus Pc\ c)$
 $\mid Pinj\ x\ P \oplus Pinj\ y\ Q =$
 $\quad (if\ x = y\ then\ mkPinj\ x\ (P \oplus Q)$
 $\quad \quad else\ (if\ x > y\ then\ mkPinj\ y\ (Pinj\ (x - y)\ P \oplus Q)$
 $\quad \quad \quad else\ mkPinj\ x\ (Pinj\ (y - x)\ Q \oplus P)))$
 $\mid Pinj\ x\ P \oplus PX\ Q\ y\ R =$
 $\quad (if\ x = 0\ then\ P \oplus PX\ Q\ y\ R$
 $\quad \quad else\ (if\ x = 1\ then\ PX\ Q\ y\ (R \oplus P)$
 $\quad \quad \quad else\ PX\ Q\ y\ (R \oplus Pinj\ (x - 1)\ P)))$
 $\mid PX\ P\ x\ R \oplus Pinj\ y\ Q =$
 $\quad (if\ y = 0\ then\ PX\ P\ x\ R \oplus Q$
 $\quad \quad else\ (if\ y = 1\ then\ PX\ P\ x\ (R \oplus Q)$
 $\quad \quad \quad else\ PX\ P\ x\ (R \oplus Pinj\ (y - 1)\ Q)))$
 $\mid PX\ P1\ x\ P2 \oplus PX\ Q1\ y\ Q2 =$
 $\quad (if\ x = y\ then\ mkPX\ (P1 \oplus Q1)\ x\ (P2 \oplus Q2)$
 $\quad \quad else\ (if\ x > y\ then\ mkPX\ (PX\ P1\ (x - y)\ (Pc\ 0) \oplus Q1)\ y\ (P2 \oplus Q2)$
 $\quad \quad \quad else\ mkPX\ (PX\ Q1\ (y - x)\ (Pc\ 0) \oplus P1)\ x\ (P2 \oplus Q2)))$

by *pat-completeness auto*

termination by (*relation measure* $(\lambda(x, y). size\ x + size\ y)$) *auto*

function

$mul :: 'a::\{comm-ring,recpower\}\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol \Rightarrow 'a\ pol$ (**infixl** \otimes 70)
where

$Pc\ a \otimes Pc\ b = Pc\ (a * b)$
 $\mid Pc\ c \otimes Pinj\ i\ P =$
 $\quad (if\ c = 0\ then\ Pc\ 0\ else\ mkPinj\ i\ (P \otimes Pc\ c))$
 $\mid Pinj\ i\ P \otimes Pc\ c =$

```

    (if c = 0 then Pc 0 else mkPinj i (P ⊗ Pc c))
  | Pc c ⊗ PX P i Q =
    (if c = 0 then Pc 0 else mkPX (P ⊗ Pc c) i (Q ⊗ Pc c))
  | PX P i Q ⊗ Pc c =
    (if c = 0 then Pc 0 else mkPX (P ⊗ Pc c) i (Q ⊗ Pc c))
  | Pinj x P ⊗ Pinj y Q =
    (if x = y then mkPinj x (P ⊗ Q) else
     (if x > y then mkPinj y (Pinj (x-y) P ⊗ Q)
      else mkPinj x (Pinj (y - x) Q ⊗ P)))
  | Pinj x P ⊗ PX Q y R =
    (if x = 0 then P ⊗ PX Q y R else
     (if x = 1 then mkPX (Pinj x P ⊗ Q) y (R ⊗ P)
      else mkPX (Pinj x P ⊗ Q) y (R ⊗ Pinj (x - 1) P)))
  | PX P x R ⊗ Pinj y Q =
    (if y = 0 then PX P x R ⊗ Q else
     (if y = 1 then mkPX (Pinj y Q ⊗ P) x (R ⊗ Q)
      else mkPX (Pinj y Q ⊗ P) x (R ⊗ Pinj (y - 1) Q)))
  | PX P1 x P2 ⊗ PX Q1 y Q2 =
    mkPX (P1 ⊗ Q1) (x + y) (P2 ⊗ Q2) ⊕
    (mkPX (P1 ⊗ mkPinj 1 Q2) x (Pc 0) ⊕
     (mkPX (Q1 ⊗ mkPinj 1 P2) y (Pc 0)))

```

by pat-completeness auto

termination by (relation measure (λ(x, y). size x + size y))
 (auto simp add: mkPinj-def split: pol.split)

Negation

fun

neg :: 'a::{comm-ring,recpower} pol ⇒ 'a pol

where

```

    neg (Pc c) = Pc (-c)
  | neg (Pinj i P) = Pinj i (neg P)
  | neg (PX P x Q) = PX (neg P) x (neg Q)

```

Substraction

definition

sub :: 'a::{comm-ring,recpower} pol ⇒ 'a pol ⇒ 'a pol (**infixl** ⊖ 65)

where

sub P Q = P ⊕ neg Q

Square for Fast Exponentation

fun

sqr :: 'a::{comm-ring,recpower} pol ⇒ 'a pol

where

```

    sqr (Pc c) = Pc (c * c)
  | sqr (Pinj i P) = mkPinj i (sqr P)
  | sqr (PX A x B) = mkPX (sqr A) (x + x) (sqr B) ⊕
    mkPX (Pc (1 + 1) ⊗ A ⊗ mkPinj 1 B) x (Pc 0)

```

Fast Exponentation

fun

```

lemma add-ci:  $Ipol\ l\ (P \oplus Q) = Ipol\ l\ P + Ipol\ l\ Q$ 
proof (induct P Q arbitrary: l rule: add.induct)
  case (6 x P y Q)
  show ?case
  proof (rule linorder-cases)
    assume  $x < y$ 
    with 6 show ?case by (simp add: mkPinj-ci ring-simps)
  next
    assume  $x = y$ 
    with 6 show ?case by (simp add: mkPinj-ci)
  next

```



```

    assume  $x > y$ 
    with 6 show ?case by (simp add: mkPinj-ci ring-simps)
  qed
next
  case ( $\gamma$   $x$   $P$   $Q$   $y$   $R$ )
  have  $x = 0 \vee x = 1 \vee x > 1$  by arith
  moreover
  { assume  $x = 0$  with 7 have ?case by simp }
  moreover
  { assume  $x = 1$  with 7 have ?case by (simp add: ring-simps) }
  moreover
  { assume  $x > 1$  from 7 have ?case by (cases x) simp-all }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case ( $\delta$   $P$   $x$   $R$   $y$   $Q$ )
  have  $y = 0 \vee y = 1 \vee y > 1$  by arith
  moreover
  { assume  $y = 0$  with 8 have ?case by simp }
  moreover
  { assume  $y = 1$  with 8 have ?case by simp }
  moreover
  { assume  $y > 1$  with 8 have ?case by simp }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case ( $\eta$   $P1$   $x$   $P2$   $Q1$   $y$   $Q2$ )
  show ?case
  proof (rule linorder-cases)
    assume  $a: x < y$  hence EX  $d. d + x = y$  by arith
    with 9 a show ?case by (auto simp add: mkPX-ci power-add ring-simps)
  next
    assume  $a: y < x$  hence EX  $d. d + y = x$  by arith
    with 9 a show ?case by (auto simp add: power-add mkPX-ci ring-simps)
  next
    assume  $x = y$ 
    with 9 show ?case by (simp add: mkPX-ci ring-simps)
  qed
qed (auto simp add: ring-simps)

```

Multiplication

lemma *mul-ci*: $\text{Ipol } l \ (P \otimes Q) = \text{Ipol } l \ P * \text{Ipol } l \ Q$
 by (induct P Q arbitrary: l rule: mul.induct)
 (simp-all add: mkPX-ci mkPinj-ci ring-simps add-ci power-add)

Substraction

lemma *sub-ci*: $\text{Ipol } l \ (P \ominus Q) = \text{Ipol } l \ P - \text{Ipol } l \ Q$
 by (simp add: add-ci neg-ci sub-def)

Square

lemma *sqr-ci*: $\text{Ipol } ls \ (\text{sqr } P) = \text{Ipol } ls \ P * \text{Ipol } ls \ P$

```

by (induct P arbitrary: ls)
  (simp-all add: add-ci mkPinj-ci mkPX-ci mul-ci ring-simps power-add)

Power

lemma even-pow:even n  $\implies$  pow n P = pow (n div 2) (sqr P)
by (induct n) simp-all

lemma pow-ci: Ipol ls (pow n P) = Ipol ls P ^ n
proof (induct n arbitrary: P rule: nat-less-induct)
  case (1 k)
  show ?case
  proof (cases k)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc l)
    show ?thesis
    proof cases
      assume even l
      then have Suc l div 2 = l div 2
        by (simp add: nat-number even-nat-plus-one-div-two)
      moreover
      from Suc have l < k by simp
      with 1 have  $\bigwedge P. \text{Ipol } ls \text{ (pow } l \text{ P)} = \text{Ipol } ls \text{ P} ^ l$  by simp
      moreover
      note Suc (even l) even-nat-plus-one-div-two
      ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp add: mul-ci power-Suc even-pow)
    next
      assume odd l
      {
        fix p
        have Ipol ls (sqr P) ^ (Suc l div 2) = Ipol ls P ^ Suc l
        proof (cases l)
          case 0
          with (odd l) show ?thesis by simp
        next
          case (Suc w)
          with (odd l) have even w by simp
          have two-times:  $2 * (w \text{ div } 2) = w$ 
            by (simp only: numerals even-nat-div-two-times-two [OF (even w)])
          have Ipol ls P * Ipol ls P = Ipol ls P ^ Suc (Suc 0)
            by (simp add: power-Suc)
          then have Ipol ls P * Ipol ls P = Ipol ls P ^ 2
            by (simp add: numerals)
          with Suc show ?thesis
          by (auto simp add: power-mult [symmetric, of - 2 -] two-times mul-ci
sqr-ci)
        qed
      } with 1 Suc (odd l) show ?thesis by simp

```

qed
qed
qed

Normalization preserves semantics

lemma *norm-ci*: $I_{\text{polex}} \ l \ Pe = I_{\text{pol}} \ l \ (\text{norm } Pe)$
by (*induct* Pe) (*simp-all* $\text{add: add-ci sub-ci mul-ci neg-ci pow-ci}$)

Reflection lemma: Key to the (incomplete) decision procedure

lemma *norm-eq*:
assumes $\text{norm } P1 = \text{norm } P2$
shows $I_{\text{polex}} \ l \ P1 = I_{\text{polex}} \ l \ P2$
proof –
from *prems* **have** $I_{\text{pol}} \ l \ (\text{norm } P1) = I_{\text{pol}} \ l \ (\text{norm } P2)$ **by** *simp*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp only: norm-ci*)
qed

use *comm-ring.ML*
setup *CommRing.setup*

end

19 Continuity: Continuity and iterations (of set transformers)

theory *Continuity*
imports *ATP-Linkup*
begin

19.1 Continuity for complete lattices

definition
 $\text{chain} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{complete-lattice}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{chain } M \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. M \ i \leq M \ (\text{Suc } i))$

definition
 $\text{continuous} :: ('a::\text{complete-lattice} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{complete-lattice}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{continuous } F \longleftrightarrow (\forall M. \text{chain } M \longrightarrow F \ (\text{SUP } i. M \ i) = (\text{SUP } i. F \ (M \ i)))$

lemma *SUP-nat-conv*:
 $(\text{SUP } n. M \ n) = \text{sup } (M \ 0) \ (\text{SUP } n. M \ (\text{Suc } n))$
apply(*rule order-antisym*)
apply(*rule SUP-leI*)
apply(*case-tac n*)
apply *simp*
apply (*fast intro:le-SUPI le-supI2*)

```

apply(simp)
apply (blast intro: SUP-leI le-SUPI)
done

```

```

lemma continuous-mono: fixes F :: 'a::complete-lattice  $\Rightarrow$  'a::complete-lattice
  assumes continuous F shows mono F
proof
  fix A B :: 'a assume A <= B
  let ?C = %i::nat. if i=0 then A else B
  have chain ?C using <A <= B> by (simp add: chain-def)
  have F B = sup (F A) (F B)
  proof -
    have sup A B = B using <A <= B> by (simp add: sup-absorb2)
    hence F B = F (SUP i. ?C i) by (subst SUP-nat-conv) simp
    also have ... = (SUP i. F (?C i))
      using <chain ?C> <continuous F> by (simp add: continuous-def)
    also have ... = sup (F A) (F B) by (subst SUP-nat-conv) simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  thus F A  $\leq$  F B by (subst le-iff-sup, simp)
qed

```

```

lemma continuous-lfp:
  assumes continuous F shows lfp F = (SUP i. (F^i) bot)
proof -
  note mono = continuous-mono[OF <continuous F>]
  { fix i have (F^i) bot  $\leq$  lfp F
    proof (induct i)
      show (F^0) bot  $\leq$  lfp F by simp
    next
      case (Suc i)
      have (F^(Suc i)) bot = F((F^i) bot) by simp
      also have ...  $\leq$  F(lfp F) by (rule monoD[OF mono Suc])
      also have ... = lfp F by (simp add: lfp-unfold[OF mono, symmetric])
      finally show ?case .
    qed }
  hence (SUP i. (F^i) bot)  $\leq$  lfp F by (blast intro!: SUP-leI)
  moreover have lfp F  $\leq$  (SUP i. (F^i) bot) (is -  $\leq$  ?U)
  proof (rule lfp-lowerbound)
    have chain(%i. (F^i) bot)
    proof -
      { fix i have (F^i) bot  $\leq$  (F^(Suc i)) bot
        proof (induct i)
          case 0 show ?case by simp
        next
          case Suc thus ?case using monoD[OF mono Suc] by auto
        qed }
      thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: chain-def)
    qed
  qed

```

hence $F \text{ ?}U = (\text{SUP } i. (F^{(i+1)})) \text{ bot}$ **using** $\langle \text{continuous } F \rangle$ **by** $(\text{simp add: continuous-def})$
 also have $\dots \leq \text{?}U$ **by** $(\text{fast intro: SUP-leI le-SUPI})$
 finally show $F \text{ ?}U \leq \text{?}U$.
qed
 ultimately show ?thesis **by** $(\text{blast intro: order-antisym})$
qed

The following development is just for sets but presents an up and a down version of chains and continuity and covers *gfp*.

19.2 Chains

definition

$\text{up-chain} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{up-chain } F = (\forall i. F \ i \subseteq F \ (\text{Suc } i))$

lemma $\text{up-chainI}: (!i. F \ i \subseteq F \ (\text{Suc } i)) \Rightarrow \text{up-chain } F$
by $(\text{simp add: up-chain-def})$

lemma $\text{up-chainD}: \text{up-chain } F \Rightarrow F \ i \subseteq F \ (\text{Suc } i)$
by $(\text{simp add: up-chain-def})$

lemma $\text{up-chain-less-mono}$:

$\text{up-chain } F \Rightarrow x < y \Rightarrow F \ x \subseteq F \ y$
apply $(\text{induct } y)$
apply $(\text{blast dest: up-chainD elim: less-SucE})+$
done

lemma $\text{up-chain-mono}: \text{up-chain } F \Rightarrow x \leq y \Rightarrow F \ x \subseteq F \ y$
apply $(\text{drule le-imp-less-or-eq})$
apply $(\text{blast dest: up-chain-less-mono})$
done

definition

$\text{down-chain} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{down-chain } F = (\forall i. F \ (\text{Suc } i) \subseteq F \ i)$

lemma $\text{down-chainI}: (!i. F \ (\text{Suc } i) \subseteq F \ i) \Rightarrow \text{down-chain } F$
by $(\text{simp add: down-chain-def})$

lemma $\text{down-chainD}: \text{down-chain } F \Rightarrow F \ (\text{Suc } i) \subseteq F \ i$
by $(\text{simp add: down-chain-def})$

lemma $\text{down-chain-less-mono}$:

$\text{down-chain } F \Rightarrow x < y \Rightarrow F \ y \subseteq F \ x$
apply $(\text{induct } y)$
apply $(\text{blast dest: down-chainD elim: less-SucE})+$

done

lemma *down-chain-mono*: $\text{down-chain } F \implies x \leq y \implies F y \subseteq F x$
apply (*drule le-imp-less-or-eq*)
apply (*blast dest: down-chain-less-mono*)
done

19.3 Continuity

definition

$\text{up-cont} :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{up-cont } f = (\forall F. \text{up-chain } F \longrightarrow f (\bigcup (\text{range } F)) = \bigcup (f ` \text{range } F))$

lemma *up-contI*:

$(!!F. \text{up-chain } F \implies f (\bigcup (\text{range } F)) = \bigcup (f ` \text{range } F)) \implies \text{up-cont } f$
apply (*unfold up-cont-def*)
apply *blast*
done

lemma *up-contD*:

$\text{up-cont } f \implies \text{up-chain } F \implies f (\bigcup (\text{range } F)) = \bigcup (f ` \text{range } F)$
apply (*unfold up-cont-def*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *up-cont-mono*: $\text{up-cont } f \implies \text{mono } f$

apply (*rule monoI*)
apply (*drule-tac F = $\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } y$ in up-contD*)
apply (*rule up-chainI*)
apply *simp*
apply (*drule Un-absorb1*)
apply (*auto simp add: nat-not-singleton*)
done

definition

$\text{down-cont} :: ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{down-cont } f =$
 $(\forall F. \text{down-chain } F \longrightarrow f (\text{Inter } (\text{range } F)) = \text{Inter } (f ` \text{range } F))$

lemma *down-contI*:

$(!!F. \text{down-chain } F \implies f (\text{Inter } (\text{range } F)) = \text{Inter } (f ` \text{range } F)) \implies \text{down-cont } f$
apply (*unfold down-cont-def*)
apply *blast*
done

lemma *down-contD*: $\text{down-cont } f \implies \text{down-chain } F \implies$

```

  f (Inter (range F)) = Inter (f ‘ range F)
apply (unfold down-cont-def)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma down-cont-mono: down-cont f ==> mono f
apply (rule monoI)
apply (drule-tac F =  $\lambda i$ . if i = 0 then y else x in down-contD)
apply (rule down-chainI)
apply simp
apply (drule Int-absorb1)
apply auto
apply (auto simp add: nat-not-singleton)
done

```

19.4 Iteration

definition

```

up-iterate :: ('a set => 'a set) => nat => 'a set where
up-iterate f n = (f^n) {}

```

```

lemma up-iterate-0 [simp]: up-iterate f 0 = {}
by (simp add: up-iterate-def)

```

```

lemma up-iterate-Suc [simp]: up-iterate f (Suc i) = f (up-iterate f i)
by (simp add: up-iterate-def)

```

```

lemma up-iterate-chain: mono F ==> up-chain (up-iterate F)
apply (rule up-chainI)
apply (induct-tac i)
apply simp+
apply (erule (1) monoD)
done

```

lemma UNION-up-iterate-is-fp:

```

up-cont F ==>
  F (UNION UNIV (up-iterate F)) = UNION UNIV (up-iterate F)
apply (frule up-cont-mono [THEN up-iterate-chain])
apply (drule (1) up-contD)
apply simp
apply (auto simp del: up-iterate-Suc simp add: up-iterate-Suc [symmetric])
apply (case-tac xa)
apply auto
done

```

lemma UNION-up-iterate-lowerbound:

```

mono F ==> F P = P ==> UNION UNIV (up-iterate F)  $\subseteq$  P
apply (subgoal-tac (!i. up-iterate F i  $\subseteq$  P))
apply fast

```

```

apply (induct-tac i)
prefer 2 apply (drule (1) monoD)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma UNION-up-iterate-is-lfp:
  up-cont F ==> lfp F = UNION UNIV (up-iterate F)
apply (rule set-eq-subset [THEN iffD2])
apply (rule conjI)
prefer 2
apply (drule up-cont-mono)
apply (rule UNION-up-iterate-lowerbound)
apply assumption
apply (erule lfp-unfold [symmetric])
apply (rule lfp-lowerbound)
apply (rule set-eq-subset [THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2])
apply (erule UNION-up-iterate-is-fp [symmetric])
done

```

definition

```

down-iterate :: ('a set => 'a set) => nat => 'a set where
down-iterate f n = (f^n) UNIV

```

```

lemma down-iterate-0 [simp]: down-iterate f 0 = UNIV
by (simp add: down-iterate-def)

```

```

lemma down-iterate-Suc [simp]:
  down-iterate f (Suc i) = f (down-iterate f i)
by (simp add: down-iterate-def)

```

```

lemma down-iterate-chain: mono F ==> down-chain (down-iterate F)
apply (rule down-chainI)
apply (induct-tac i)
apply simp+
apply (erule (1) monoD)
done

```

```

lemma INTER-down-iterate-is-fp:
  down-cont F ==>
  F (INTER UNIV (down-iterate F)) = INTER UNIV (down-iterate F)
apply (frule down-cont-mono [THEN down-iterate-chain])
apply (drule (1) down-contD)
apply simp
apply (auto simp del: down-iterate-Suc simp add: down-iterate-Suc [symmetric])
apply (case-tac xa)
apply auto
done

```



```

lemma INTER-down-iterate-upperbound:
  mono F ==> F P = P ==> P ⊆ INTER UNIV (down-iterate F)
  apply (subgoal-tac (!i. P ⊆ down-iterate F i))
  apply fast
  apply (induct-tac i)
  prefer 2 apply (drule (1) monoD)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma INTER-down-iterate-is-gfp:
  down-cont F ==> gfp F = INTER UNIV (down-iterate F)
  apply (rule set-eq-subset [THEN iffD2])
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (drule down-cont-mono)
  apply (rule INTER-down-iterate-upperbound)
  apply assumption
  apply (erule gfp-unfold [symmetric])
  apply (rule gfp-upperbound)
  apply (rule set-eq-subset [THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2])
  apply (erule INTER-down-iterate-is-fp)
done

```

end

20 Countable: Encoding (almost) everything into natural numbers

```

theory Countable
imports Finite-Set List Hilbert-Choice
begin

```

20.1 The class of countable types

```

class countable = itself +
  assumes ex-inj:  $\exists \text{to-nat} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \text{inj to-nat}$ 

```

```

lemma countable-classI:
  fixes f ::  $'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. f x = f y \implies x = y$ 
  shows OFCLASS('a, countable-class)
proof (intro-classes, rule exI)
  show inj f
  by (rule injI [OF assms]) assumption
qed

```

20.2 Conversion functions

```

definition to-nat ::  $'a::\text{countable} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where

```

$to\text{-}nat = (SOME\ f.\ inj\ f)$

definition $from\text{-}nat :: nat \Rightarrow 'a::countable$ **where**
 $from\text{-}nat = inv\ (to\text{-}nat :: 'a \Rightarrow nat)$

lemma $inj\text{-}to\text{-}nat$ $[simp]: inj\ to\text{-}nat$
by $(rule\ exE\text{-}some\ [OF\ ex\text{-}inj])\ (simp\ add:\ to\text{-}nat\text{-}def)$

lemma $to\text{-}nat\text{-}split$ $[simp]: to\text{-}nat\ x = to\text{-}nat\ y \longleftrightarrow x = y$
using $injD\ [OF\ inj\text{-}to\text{-}nat]$ **by** $auto$

lemma $from\text{-}nat\text{-}to\text{-}nat$ $[simp]:$
 $from\text{-}nat\ (to\text{-}nat\ x) = x$
by $(simp\ add:\ from\text{-}nat\text{-}def)$

20.3 Countable types

instance $nat :: countable$
by $(rule\ countable\text{-}classI\ [of\ id])\ simp$

subclass $(in\ finite)\ countable$

proof $unfold\text{-}locales$

have $finite\ (UNIV::'a\ set)$ **by** $(rule\ finite\text{-}UNIV)$

with $finite\text{-}conv\text{-}nat\text{-}seg\text{-}image\ [of\ UNIV]$

obtain n **and** $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$

where $UNIV = f\ ` \{i.\ i < n\}$ **by** $auto$

then have $surj\ f$ **unfolding** $surj\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

then have $inj\ (inv\ f)$ **by** $(rule\ surj\text{-}imp\text{-}inj\text{-}inv)$

then show $\exists\ to\text{-}nat :: 'a \Rightarrow nat.\ inj\ to\text{-}nat$ **by** $(rule\ exI\ [of\ inj])$

qed

Pairs

primrec $sum :: nat \Rightarrow nat$

where

$sum\ 0 = 0$

$| sum\ (Suc\ n) = Suc\ n + sum\ n$

lemma $sum\text{-}arith: sum\ n = n * Suc\ n\ div\ 2$
by $(induct\ n)\ auto$

lemma $sum\text{-}mono: n \geq m \implies sum\ n \geq sum\ m$
by $(induct\ n\ m\ rule:\ diff\text{-}induct)\ auto$

definition

$pair\text{-}encode = (\lambda(m, n).\ sum\ (m + n) + m)$

lemma $inj\text{-}pair\text{-}cencode: inj\ pair\text{-}encode$

unfolding $pair\text{-}encode\text{-}def$

proof $(rule\ injI,\ simp\ only:\ split\text{-}paired\text{-}all\ split\text{-}conv)$

fix $a\ b\ c\ d$

```

assume eq:  $\text{sum } (a + b) + a = \text{sum } (c + d) + c$ 
have  $a + b = c + d \vee a + b \geq \text{Suc } (c + d) \vee c + d \geq \text{Suc } (a + b)$  by arith
then
show  $(a, b) = (c, d)$ 
proof (elim disjE)
  assume sumeq:  $a + b = c + d$ 
  then have  $a = c$  using eq by auto
  moreover from sumeq this have  $b = d$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $a + b \geq \text{Suc } (c + d)$ 
  from sum-mono[OF this] eq
  show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume  $c + d \geq \text{Suc } (a + b)$ 
  from sum-mono[OF this] eq
  show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

instance * :: (countable, countable) countable
by (rule countable-classI [of  $\lambda(x, y). \text{pair-encode } (\text{to-nat } x, \text{to-nat } y)$ ]]
  (auto dest: injD [OF inj-pair-cencode] injD [OF inj-to-nat]))

```

Sums

```

instance +:: (countable, countable) countable
by (rule countable-classI [of ( $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } a \Rightarrow \text{to-nat } (\text{False}, \text{to-nat } a) \mid \text{Inr } b \Rightarrow \text{to-nat } (\text{True}, \text{to-nat } b)$ )]])
  (auto split:sum.splits)

```

Integers

```

lemma int-cases:  $(i::\text{int}) = 0 \vee i < 0 \vee i > 0$ 
by presburger

```

```

lemma int-pos-neg-zero:
  obtains (zero)  $(z::\text{int}) = 0 \text{ sgn } z = 0 \text{ abs } z = 0$ 
  | (pos)  $n$  where  $z = \text{of-nat } n \text{ sgn } z = 1 \text{ abs } z = \text{of-nat } n$ 
  | (neg)  $n$  where  $z = -(\text{of-nat } n) \text{ sgn } z = -1 \text{ abs } z = \text{of-nat } n$ 
apply atomize-elim
apply (insert int-cases[of z])
apply (auto simp:zsgn-def)
apply (rule-tac x=nat  $(-z)$  in exI, simp)
apply (rule-tac x=nat z in exI, simp)
done

```

```

instance int :: countable
proof (rule countable-classI [of ( $\lambda i. \text{to-nat } (\text{nat } (\text{sgn } i + 1), \text{nat } (\text{abs } i))$ )]],
  auto dest: injD [OF inj-to-nat])
fix  $x y$ 

```

```

assume  $a$ :  $\text{nat } (\text{sgn } x + 1) = \text{nat } (\text{sgn } y + 1) \text{ nat } (\text{abs } x) = \text{nat } (\text{abs } y)$ 
show  $x = y$ 
proof (cases rule: int-pos-neg-zero[of  $x$ ])
  case zero
  with  $a$  show  $x = y$  by (cases rule: int-pos-neg-zero[of  $y$ ]) auto
next
  case (pos  $n$ )
  with  $a$  show  $x = y$  by (cases rule: int-pos-neg-zero[of  $y$ ]) auto
next
  case (neg  $n$ )
  with  $a$  show  $x = y$  by (cases rule: int-pos-neg-zero[of  $y$ ]) auto
qed
qed

```

Options

```

instance option :: (countable) countable
by (rule countable-classI [of  $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0$ 
  |  $\text{Some } y \Rightarrow \text{Suc } (\text{to-nat } y)$ ])
(auto split:option.splits)

```

Lists

```

lemma from-nat-to-nat-map [simp]:  $\text{map from-nat } (\text{map to-nat } xs) = xs$ 
by (simp add: comp-def map-compose [symmetric])

```

primrec

```

  list-encode :: 'a::countable list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  list-encode [] = 0
  | list-encode (x#xs) = Suc (to-nat (x, list-encode xs))

```

```

instance list :: (countable) countable
proof (rule countable-classI [of list-encode])
  fix  $xs \ ys :: 'a \text{ list}$ 
  assume cenc:  $\text{list-encode } xs = \text{list-encode } ys$ 
  then show  $xs = ys$ 
  proof (induct xs arbitrary: ys)
    case (Nil ys)
    with cenc show ?case by (cases ys, auto)
  next
    case (Cons  $x \ xs' \ ys$ )
    thus ?case by (cases ys) auto
  qed
qed

```

Functions

```

instance fun :: (finite, countable) countable
proof
  obtain  $xs :: 'a \text{ list}$  where  $xs$ :  $\text{set } xs = \text{UNIV}$ 
  using finite-list [OF finite-UNIV] ..

```

```

show  $\exists to\text{-}nat::('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat. inj\ to\text{-}nat$ 
proof
  show  $inj\ (\lambda f. to\text{-}nat\ (map\ f\ xs))$ 
  by (rule  $injI$ , simp add:  $xs\ expand\text{-}fun\text{-}eq$ )
qed
qed
end

```

21 Dense-Linear-Order: Dense linear order without endpoints and a quantifier elimination procedure in Ferrante and Rackoff style

```

theory Dense-Linear-Order
imports Arith-Tools
uses
  ~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/qelim.ML
  ~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/langford-data.ML
  ~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/ferrante-rackoff-data.ML
  (~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/langford.ML)
  (~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/ferrante-rackoff.ML)
begin

setup Langford-Data.setup
setup Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.setup

context linorder
begin

lemma less-not-permute:  $\neg (x < y \wedge y < x)$  by (simp add: not-less linear)

lemma gather-simps:
  shows
     $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y) \wedge x < u \wedge P\ x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in (insert\ u\ U). x < y) \wedge P\ x)$ 
    and  $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y) \wedge l < x \wedge P\ x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. (\forall y \in (insert\ l\ L). y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y) \wedge P\ x)$ 
     $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y) \wedge x < u) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in (insert\ u\ U). x < y))$ 
    and  $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y) \wedge l < x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. (\forall y \in (insert\ l\ L). y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y))$  by auto

lemma
  gather-start:  $(\exists x. P\ x) \equiv (\exists x. (\forall y \in \{\}. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in \{\}. x < y) \wedge P\ x)$ 
  by simp

  Theorems for  $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (P\ x \longleftrightarrow P_{-\infty})$ 

```

lemma *minf-lt*: $\exists z . \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (x < t \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *minf-gt*: $\exists z . \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (t < x \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$

by (*simp add: not-less*) (*rule exI[where x=t]*, *auto simp add: less-le*)

lemma *minf-le*: $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (x \leq t \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** (*auto simp add: less-le*)

lemma *minf-ge*: $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (t \leq x \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$

by (*auto simp add: less-le not-less not-le*)

lemma *minf-eq*: $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (x = t \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *minf-neq*: $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (x \neq t \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *minf-P*: $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (P \longleftrightarrow P)$ **by** *blast*

Theorems for $\exists z. \forall x. x < z \longrightarrow (P \longleftrightarrow P_{+\infty})$

lemma *pinf-gt*: $\exists z . \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (t < x \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *pinf-lt*: $\exists z . \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (x < t \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$

by (*simp add: not-less*) (*rule exI[where x=t]*, *auto simp add: less-le*)

lemma *pinf-ge*: $\exists z. \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (t \leq x \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** (*auto simp add: less-le*)

lemma *pinf-le*: $\exists z. \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (x \leq t \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$

by (*auto simp add: less-le not-less not-le*)

lemma *pinf-eq*: $\exists z. \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (x = t \longleftrightarrow \text{False})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *pinf-neq*: $\exists z. \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (x \neq t \longleftrightarrow \text{True})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *pinf-P*: $\exists z. \forall x. z < x \longrightarrow (P \longleftrightarrow P)$ **by** *blast*

lemma *nmi-lt*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge x < t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-gt*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge t < x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$

by (*auto simp add: le-less*)

lemma *nmi-le*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge x \leq t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-ge*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge t \leq x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-eq*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge x = t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-neq*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge x \neq t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-P*: $\forall x. \sim P \wedge P \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-conj*: $\llbracket \forall x. \neg P1' \wedge P1 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x) ;$

$\forall x. \neg P2' \wedge P2 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\forall x. \neg(P1' \wedge P2') \wedge (P1 x \wedge P2 x) \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *nmi-disj*: $\llbracket \forall x. \neg P1' \wedge P1 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x) ;$

$\forall x. \neg P2' \wedge P2 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\forall x. \neg(P1' \vee P2') \wedge (P1 x \vee P2 x) \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-lt*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge x < t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** (*auto simp add: le-less*)

lemma *npi-gt*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge t < x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-le*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge x \leq t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-ge*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge t \leq x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-eq*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{False} \wedge x = t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-neq*: $t \in U \Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg \text{True} \wedge x \neq t \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-P*: $\forall x. \sim P \wedge P \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-conj*: $\llbracket \forall x. \neg P1' \wedge P1 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u) ; \forall x. \neg P2' \wedge P2 x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u) \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow \forall x. \neg(P1' \wedge P2') \wedge (P1 x \wedge P2 x) \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *npi-disj*: $\llbracket \forall x. \neg P1' \wedge P1\ x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u) ; \forall x. \neg P2' \wedge P2\ x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall x. \neg(P1' \vee P2') \wedge (P1\ x \vee P2\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *lin-dense-lt*: $t \in U \implies \forall x\ l\ u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge x < t \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow y < t)$

proof(*clarsimp*)

fix $x\ l\ u\ y$ **assume** $tU: t \in U$ **and** $noU: \forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U$ **and** $lx: l < x$

and $xu: x < u$ **and** $px: x < t$ **and** $ly: l < y$ **and** $yu: y < u$

from $tU\ noU\ ly\ yu$ **have** $tny: t \neq y$ **by** *auto*

{**assume** $H: t < y$

from *less-trans*[*OF* $lx\ px$] *less-trans*[*OF* $H\ yu$]

have $l < t \wedge t < u$ **by** *simp*

with $tU\ noU$ **have** *False* **by** *auto*}

hence $\neg t < y$ **by** *auto* **hence** $y \leq t$ **by** (*simp add: not-less*)

thus $y < t$ **using** tny **by** (*simp add: less-le*)

qed

lemma *lin-dense-gt*: $t \in U \implies \forall x\ l\ u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge t < x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow t < y)$

proof(*clarsimp*)

fix $x\ l\ u\ y$

assume $tU: t \in U$ **and** $noU: \forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U$ **and** $lx: l < x$ **and**

$xu: x < u$

and $px: t < x$ **and** $ly: l < y$ **and** $yu: y < u$

from $tU\ noU\ ly\ yu$ **have** $tny: t \neq y$ **by** *auto*

{**assume** $H: y < t$

from *less-trans*[*OF* $ly\ H$] *less-trans*[*OF* $px\ xu$] **have** $l < t \wedge t < u$ **by** *simp*

with $tU\ noU$ **have** *False* **by** *auto*}

hence $\neg y < t$ **by** *auto* **hence** $t \leq y$ **by** (*auto simp add: not-less*)

thus $t < y$ **using** tny **by** (*simp add: less-le*)

qed

lemma *lin-dense-le*: $t \in U \implies \forall x\ l\ u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge x \leq t \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow y \leq t)$

proof(*clarsimp*)

fix $x\ l\ u\ y$

assume $tU: t \in U$ **and** $noU: \forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U$ **and** $lx: l < x$ **and**

$xu: x < u$

and $px: x \leq t$ **and** $ly: l < y$ **and** $yu: y < u$

from $tU\ noU\ ly\ yu$ **have** $tny: t \neq y$ **by** *auto*

{**assume** $H: t < y$

from *less-le-trans*[*OF* $lx\ px$] *less-trans*[*OF* $H\ yu$]

have $l < t \wedge t < u$ **by** *simp*

with $tU\ noU$ **have** *False* **by** *auto*}

hence $\neg t < y$ **by** *auto* **thus** $y \leq t$ **by** (*simp add: not-less*)

qed

lemma *lin-dense-ge*: $t \in U \implies \forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge t \leq x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow t \leq y)$

proof(*clarsimp*)

fix $x \, l \, u \, y$
 assume tU : $t \in U$ and noU : $\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U$ and lx : $l < x$ and xu : $x < u$
 and px : $t \leq x$ and ly : $l < y$ and yu : $y < u$
 from tU noU ly yu have tny : $t \neq y$ by *auto*
 {assume H : $y < t$
 from *less-trans*[*OF ly H*] *le-less-trans*[*OF px xu*]
 have $l < t \wedge t < u$ by *simp*
 with tU noU have *False* by *auto*}
 hence $\neg y < t$ by *auto* thus $t \leq y$ by (*simp add: not-less*)
qed

lemma *lin-dense-eq*: $t \in U \implies \forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge x = t \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow y = t)$ by *auto*

lemma *lin-dense-neg*: $t \in U \implies \forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge x \neq t \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow y \neq t)$ by *auto*

lemma *lin-dense-P*: $\forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge P \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow P)$ by *auto*

lemma *lin-dense-conj*:

$\llbracket \forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge P1 \, x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow P1 \, y) ;$
 $\forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge P2 \, x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow P2 \, y) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge (P1 \, x \wedge P2 \, x) \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow (P1 \, y \wedge P2 \, y))$
 by *blast*

lemma *lin-dense-disj*:

$\llbracket \forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge P1 \, x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow P1 \, y) ;$
 $\forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge P2 \, x \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow P2 \, y) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \, l \, u. (\forall t. l < t \wedge t < u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l < x \wedge x < u \wedge (P1 \, x \vee P2 \, x) \longrightarrow (\forall y. l < y \wedge y < u \longrightarrow (P1 \, y \vee P2 \, y))$
 by *blast*

lemma *npmibnd*: $\llbracket \forall x. \neg MP \wedge P \, x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \leq x); \forall x. \neg PP \wedge P \, x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \leq u) \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall x. \neg MP \wedge \neg PP \wedge P \, x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. \exists u' \in U. u \leq x \wedge x \leq u')$

by *auto*

lemma *finite-set-intervals*:

assumes px : $P \, x$ and lx : $l \leq x$ and xu : $x \leq u$ and $linS$: $l \in S$
 and $uinS$: $u \in S$ and fS : *finite* S and lS : $\forall x \in S. l \leq x$ and Su : $\forall x \in S. x \leq u$
 shows $\exists a \in S. \exists b \in S. (\forall y. a < y \wedge y < b \longrightarrow y \notin S) \wedge a \leq x \wedge x \leq b \wedge P \, x$

proof–

let $?Mx = \{y. y \in S \wedge y \leq x\}$
let $?xM = \{y. y \in S \wedge x \leq y\}$
let $?a = \text{Max } ?Mx$
let $?b = \text{Min } ?xM$
have $MxS: ?Mx \subseteq S$ **by** *blast*
hence $fMx: \text{finite } ?Mx$ **using** fS *finite-subset* **by** *auto*
from $lx \text{ lin}S$ **have** $\text{lin}Mx: l \in ?Mx$ **by** *blast*
hence $Mxne: ?Mx \neq \{\}$ **by** *blast*
have $xMS: ?xM \subseteq S$ **by** *blast*
hence $fxM: \text{finite } ?xM$ **using** fS *finite-subset* **by** *auto*
from $xu \text{ uin}S$ **have** $\text{lin}xM: u \in ?xM$ **by** *blast*
hence $xMne: ?xM \neq \{\}$ **by** *blast*
have $ax: ?a \leq x$ **using** $Mxne$ fMx **by** *auto*
have $xb: x \leq ?b$ **using** $xMne$ fxM **by** *auto*
have $?a \in ?Mx$ **using** $\text{Max-in}[OF fMx Mxne]$ **by** *simp* **hence** $\text{ain}S: ?a \in S$
using MxS **by** *blast*
have $?b \in ?xM$ **using** $\text{Min-in}[OF fxM xMne]$ **by** *simp* **hence** $\text{bin}S: ?b \in S$
using xMS **by** *blast*
have $\text{noy}: \forall y. ?a < y \wedge y < ?b \longrightarrow y \notin S$
proof(*clarsimp*)
fix y **assume** $ay: ?a < y$ **and** $yb: y < ?b$ **and** $yS: y \in S$
from yS **have** $y \in ?Mx \vee y \in ?xM$ **by** (*auto simp add: linear*)
moreover $\{\text{assume } y \in ?Mx \text{ hence } y \leq ?a \text{ using } Mxne fMx \text{ by } \text{auto with } ay \text{ have } \text{False} \text{ by } (\text{simp add: not-le[symmetric]})\}$
moreover $\{\text{assume } y \in ?xM \text{ hence } ?b \leq y \text{ using } xMne fxM \text{ by } \text{auto with } yb \text{ have } \text{False} \text{ by } (\text{simp add: not-le[symmetric]})\}$
ultimately show *False* **by** *blast*
qed
from $\text{ain}S$ $\text{bin}S$ noy ax xb px **show** $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *finite-set-intervals2*:

assumes $px: P x$ **and** $lx: l \leq x$ **and** $xu: x \leq u$ **and** $\text{lin}S: l \in S$
and $\text{uin}S: u \in S$ **and** $fS: \text{finite } S$ **and** $lS: \forall x \in S. l \leq x$ **and** $Su: \forall x \in S. x \leq u$
shows $(\exists s \in S. P s) \vee (\exists a \in S. \exists b \in S. (\forall y. a < y \wedge y < b \longrightarrow y \notin S) \wedge a < x \wedge x < b \wedge P x)$

proof–

from *finite-set-intervals* **where** $P=P, OF px lx xu \text{ lin}S \text{ uin}S fS lS Su$
obtain a **and** b **where**
as: $a \in S$ **and** $bs: b \in S$ **and** $\text{no}S: \forall y. a < y \wedge y < b \longrightarrow y \notin S$
and $axb: a \leq x \wedge x \leq b \wedge P x$ **by** *auto*
from axb **have** $x = a \vee x = b \vee (a < x \wedge x < b)$ **by** (*auto simp add: le-less*)
thus $?thesis$ **using** px as bs $\text{no}S$ **by** *blast*
qed

end

22 The classical QE after Langford for dense linear orders

context *dense-linear-order*
begin

lemma *dlo-qe-bnds*:

assumes *ne*: $L \neq \{\}$ **and** *neU*: $U \neq \{\}$ **and** *fL*: *finite L* **and** *fU*: *finite U*
shows $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y)) \equiv (\forall l \in L. \forall u \in U. l < u)$
proof (*simp only: atomize-eq, rule iffI*)
assume *H*: $\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y)$
then obtain *x* **where** *xL*: $\forall y \in L. y < x$ **and** *xU*: $\forall y \in U. x < y$ **by** *blast*
{fix *l u* **assume** *l*: $l \in L$ **and** *u*: $u \in U$
have $l < x$ **using** *xL l* **by** *blast*
also have $x < u$ **using** *xU u* **by** *blast*
finally (*less-trans*) **have** $l < u$ **by** *blast*
thus $\forall l \in L. \forall u \in U. l < u$ **by** *blast*
next
assume *H*: $\forall l \in L. \forall u \in U. l < u$
let *?ML* = *Max L*
let *?MU* = *Min U*
from *fL ne* **have** *th1*: $?ML \in L$ **and** *th1'*: $\forall l \in L. l \leq ?ML$ **by** *auto*
from *fU neU* **have** *th2*: $?MU \in U$ **and** *th2'*: $\forall u \in U. ?MU \leq u$ **by** *auto*
from *th1 th2 H* **have** $?ML < ?MU$ **by** *auto*
with *dense* **obtain** *w* **where** *th3*: $?ML < w$ **and** *th4*: $w < ?MU$ **by** *blast*
from *th3 th1'* **have** $\forall l \in L. l < w$ **by** *auto*
moreover from *th4 th2'* **have** $\forall u \in U. w < u$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dlo-qe-noub*:

assumes *ne*: $L \neq \{\}$ **and** *fL*: *finite L*
shows $(\exists x. (\forall y \in L. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in \{\}. x < y)) \equiv \text{True}$
proof (*simp add: atomize-eq*)
from *gt-ex[of Max L]* **obtain** *M* **where** *M*: $\text{Max } L < M$ **by** *blast*
from *ne fL* **have** $\forall x \in L. x \leq \text{Max } L$ **by** *simp*
with *M* **have** $\forall x \in L. x < M$ **by** (*auto intro: le-less-trans*)
thus $\exists x. \forall y \in L. y < x$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *dlo-qe-nolb*:

assumes *ne*: $U \neq \{\}$ **and** *fU*: *finite U*
shows $(\exists x. (\forall y \in \{\}. y < x) \wedge (\forall y \in U. x < y)) \equiv \text{True}$
proof (*simp add: atomize-eq*)
from *lt-ex[of Min U]* **obtain** *M* **where** *M*: $M < \text{Min } U$ **by** *blast*
from *ne fU* **have** $\forall x \in U. \text{Min } U \leq x$ **by** *simp*
with *M* **have** $\forall x \in U. M < x$ **by** (*auto intro: less-le-trans*)
thus $\exists x. \forall y \in U. x < y$ **by** *blast*
qed

```

lemma exists-neq:  $\exists (x::'a). x \neq t \implies \exists (x::'a). t \neq x$ 
  using gt-ex[of t] by auto

lemmas dlo-simps = order-refl less-irrefl not-less not-le exists-neq
  le-less neq-iff linear less-not-permute

lemma axiom: dense-linear-order (op  $\leq$ ) (op  $<$ ) by (rule dense-linear-order-axioms)
lemma atoms:
  includes meta-term-syntax
  shows TERM (less ::  $'a \Rightarrow -$ )
    and TERM (less-eq ::  $'a \Rightarrow -$ )
    and TERM (op = ::  $'a \Rightarrow -$ ) .

declare axiom[langford qe: dlo-qe-bnds dlo-qe-nolb dlo-qe-noub gather: gather-start
gather-simps atoms: atoms]
declare dlo-simps[langfordsimp]

end

lemma dnf:
   $(P \ \& \ (Q \mid R)) = ((P \ \& \ Q) \mid (P \ \& \ R))$ 
   $((Q \mid R) \ \& \ P) = ((Q \ \& \ P) \mid (R \ \& \ P))$ 
  by blast+

lemmas weak-dnf-simps = simp-thms dnf

lemma nnf-simps:
   $(\neg(P \ \wedge \ Q)) = (\neg P \ \vee \ \neg Q) \ (\neg(P \ \vee \ Q)) = (\neg P \ \wedge \ \neg Q) \ (P \longrightarrow Q) = (\neg P \ \vee \ Q)$ 
   $(P = Q) = ((P \ \wedge \ Q) \ \vee \ (\neg P \ \wedge \ \neg Q)) \ (\neg \neg(P)) = P$ 
  by blast+

lemma ex-distrib:  $(\exists x. P \ x \ \vee \ Q \ x) \longleftrightarrow ((\exists x. P \ x) \ \vee \ (\exists x. Q \ x))$  by blast

lemmas dnf-simps = weak-dnf-simps nnf-simps ex-distrib

use  $\sim\sim$ /src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/langford.ML
method-setup dlo =  $\langle\langle$ 
  Method.ctxt-args (Method.SIMPLE-METHOD' o LangfordQE.dlo-tac)
 $\rangle\rangle$  Langford's algorithm for quantifier elimination in dense linear orders

```

23 Constructive dense linear orders yield QE for linear arithmetic over ordered Fields – see *Arith-Tools.thy*

Linear order without upper bounds

```

locale linorder-stupid-syntax = linorder
begin

```

notation

less-eq (*op* \sqsubseteq) **and**
less-eq (($- / \sqsubseteq -$) [51, 51] 50) **and**
less (*op* \sqsubset) **and**
less (($- / \sqsubset -$) [51, 51] 50)

end

locale *linorder-no-ub* = *linorder-stupid-syntax* +

assumes *gt-ex*: $\exists y. \text{less } x y$

begin

lemma *ge-ex*: $\exists y. x \sqsubseteq y$ **using** *gt-ex* **by** *auto*

Theorems for $\exists z. \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P x \longleftrightarrow P_{+\infty})$

lemma *pinf-conj*:

assumes *ex1*: $\exists z1. \forall x. z1 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P1 x \longleftrightarrow P1')$

and *ex2*: $\exists z2. \forall x. z2 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P2 x \longleftrightarrow P2')$

shows $\exists z. \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow ((P1 x \wedge P2 x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \wedge P2'))$

proof–

from *ex1 ex2* **obtain** *z1* **and** *z2* **where** *z1*: $\forall x. z1 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P1 x \longleftrightarrow P1')$

and *z2*: $\forall x. z2 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P2 x \longleftrightarrow P2')$ **by** *blast*

from *gt-ex* **obtain** *z* **where** *z*:*ord.max less-eq* *z1 z2* $\sqsubset z$ **by** *blast*

from *z* **have** *zz1*: *z1* $\sqsubset z$ **and** *zz2*: *z2* $\sqsubset z$ **by** *simp-all*

{fix *x* **assume** *H*: *z* $\sqsubset x$

from *less-trans*[*OF zz1 H*] *less-trans*[*OF zz2 H*]

have $(P1 x \wedge P2 x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \wedge P2')$ **using** *z1 zz1 z2 zz2* **by** *auto*

}

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *pinf-disj*:

assumes *ex1*: $\exists z1. \forall x. z1 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P1 x \longleftrightarrow P1')$

and *ex2*: $\exists z2. \forall x. z2 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P2 x \longleftrightarrow P2')$

shows $\exists z. \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow ((P1 x \vee P2 x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \vee P2'))$

proof–

from *ex1 ex2* **obtain** *z1* **and** *z2* **where** *z1*: $\forall x. z1 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P1 x \longleftrightarrow P1')$

and *z2*: $\forall x. z2 \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P2 x \longleftrightarrow P2')$ **by** *blast*

from *gt-ex* **obtain** *z* **where** *z*:*ord.max less-eq* *z1 z2* $\sqsubset z$ **by** *blast*

from *z* **have** *zz1*: *z1* $\sqsubset z$ **and** *zz2*: *z2* $\sqsubset z$ **by** *simp-all*

{fix *x* **assume** *H*: *z* $\sqsubset x$

from *less-trans*[*OF zz1 H*] *less-trans*[*OF zz2 H*]

have $(P1 x \vee P2 x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \vee P2')$ **using** *z1 zz1 z2 zz2* **by** *auto*

}

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *pinf-ex*: **assumes** *ex*: $\exists z. \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P x \longleftrightarrow P1)$ **and** *p1*: *P1* **shows**

$\exists x. P x$

proof–

from *ex* obtain *z* where $z: \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P\ x \longleftrightarrow P1)$ by *blast*
 from *gt-ex* obtain *x* where $x: z \sqsubset x$ by *blast*
 from $z\ x\ p1$ show *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed
 end

Linear order without upper bounds

locale *linorder-no-lb* = *linorder-stupid-syntax* +
 assumes *lt-ex*: $\exists y. \text{less } y\ x$
 begin
 lemma *le-ex*: $\exists y. y \sqsubseteq x$ using *lt-ex* by *auto*

Theorems for $\exists z. \forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow (P\ x \longleftrightarrow P_{-\infty})$

lemma *minf-conj*:
 assumes *ex1*: $\exists z1. \forall x. x \sqsubset z1 \longrightarrow (P1\ x \longleftrightarrow P1')$
 and *ex2*: $\exists z2. \forall x. x \sqsubset z2 \longrightarrow (P2\ x \longleftrightarrow P2')$
 shows $\exists z. \forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow ((P1\ x \wedge P2\ x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \wedge P2'))$
 proof –
 from *ex1 ex2* obtain *z1* and *z2* where $z1: \forall x. x \sqsubset z1 \longrightarrow (P1\ x \longleftrightarrow P1')$ and
 $z2: \forall x. x \sqsubset z2 \longrightarrow (P2\ x \longleftrightarrow P2')$ by *blast*
 from *lt-ex* obtain *z* where $z: z \sqsubset \text{ord.min less-eq } z1\ z2$ by *blast*
 from *z* have *zz1*: $z \sqsubset z1$ and *zz2*: $z \sqsubset z2$ by *simp-all*
 {fix *x* assume *H*: $x \sqsubset z$
 from *less-trans*[*OF H zz1*] *less-trans*[*OF H zz2*]
 have $(P1\ x \wedge P2\ x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \wedge P2')$ using *z1 zz1 z2 zz2* by *auto*
 }
 thus *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *minf-disj*:
 assumes *ex1*: $\exists z1. \forall x. x \sqsubset z1 \longrightarrow (P1\ x \longleftrightarrow P1')$
 and *ex2*: $\exists z2. \forall x. x \sqsubset z2 \longrightarrow (P2\ x \longleftrightarrow P2')$
 shows $\exists z. \forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow ((P1\ x \vee P2\ x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \vee P2'))$
 proof –
 from *ex1 ex2* obtain *z1* and *z2* where $z1: \forall x. x \sqsubset z1 \longrightarrow (P1\ x \longleftrightarrow P1')$ and
 $z2: \forall x. x \sqsubset z2 \longrightarrow (P2\ x \longleftrightarrow P2')$ by *blast*
 from *lt-ex* obtain *z* where $z: z \sqsubset \text{ord.min less-eq } z1\ z2$ by *blast*
 from *z* have *zz1*: $z \sqsubset z1$ and *zz2*: $z \sqsubset z2$ by *simp-all*
 {fix *x* assume *H*: $x \sqsubset z$
 from *less-trans*[*OF H zz1*] *less-trans*[*OF H zz2*]
 have $(P1\ x \vee P2\ x) \longleftrightarrow (P1' \vee P2')$ using *z1 zz1 z2 zz2* by *auto*
 }
 thus *?thesis* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *minf-ex*: assumes *ex*: $\exists z. \forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow (P\ x \longleftrightarrow P1)$ and *p1*: *P1*
 shows $\exists x. P\ x$
 proof –

```

from ex obtain z where z:  $\forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow (P\ x \longleftrightarrow P1)$  by blast
from lt-ex obtain x where x:  $x \sqsubset z$  by blast
from z x p1 show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

```

locale constr-dense-linear-order = linorder-no-lb + linorder-no-ub +
  fixes between
  assumes between-less:  $\text{less } x\ y \implies \text{less } x\ (\text{between } x\ y) \wedge \text{less } (\text{between } x\ y)\ y$ 
  and between-same:  $\text{between } x\ x = x$ 

```

```

interpretation constr-dense-linear-order < dense-linear-order
apply unfold-locales
using gt-ex lt-ex between-less
by (auto, rule-tac x=between x y in exI, simp)

```

```

context constr-dense-linear-order
begin

```

lemma *rinf-U*:

```

  assumes fU: finite U
  and lin-dense:  $\forall x\ l\ u. (\forall\ t. l \sqsubset t \wedge t \sqsubset u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l \sqsubset x \wedge x \sqsubset u \wedge P\ x$ 
     $\longrightarrow (\forall\ y. l \sqsubset y \wedge y \sqsubset u \longrightarrow P\ y)$ 
  and nmpiU:  $\forall x. \neg MP \wedge \neg PP \wedge P\ x \longrightarrow (\exists\ u \in U. \exists\ u' \in U. u \sqsubseteq x \wedge x \sqsubseteq u')$ 
  and nmi:  $\neg MP$  and npi:  $\neg PP$  and ex:  $\exists x. P\ x$ 
  shows  $\exists\ u \in U. \exists\ u' \in U. P\ (\text{between } u\ u')$ 

```

proof–

```

  from ex obtain x where px:  $P\ x$  by blast
  from px nmi npi nmpiU have  $\exists\ u \in U. \exists\ u' \in U. u \sqsubseteq x \wedge x \sqsubseteq u'$  by auto
  then obtain u and u' where uU:  $u \in U$  and uU':  $u' \in U$  and ux:  $u \sqsubseteq x$  and
xu':  $x \sqsubseteq u'$  by auto
  from uU have Une:  $U \neq \{\}$  by auto
  term linorder.Min less-eq U
  let ?l = linorder.Min less-eq U
  let ?u = linorder.Max less-eq U
  have linM:  $?l \in U$  using fU Une by simp
  have uinM:  $?u \in U$  using fU Une by simp
  have lM:  $\forall\ t \in U. ?l \sqsubseteq t$  using Une fU by auto
  have Mu:  $\forall\ t \in U. t \sqsubseteq ?u$  using Une fU by auto
  have th:  $?l \sqsubseteq u$  using uU Une lM by auto
  from order-trans[OF th ux] have lx:  $?l \sqsubseteq x$  .
  have th:  $u' \sqsubseteq ?u$  using uU' Une Mu by simp
  from order-trans[OF xu' th] have xu:  $x \sqsubseteq ?u$  .
  from finite-set-intervals2[where P=P,OF px lx xu linM uinM fU lM Mu]
  have  $(\exists\ s \in U. P\ s) \vee$ 
     $(\exists\ t1 \in U. \exists\ t2 \in U. (\forall\ y. t1 \sqsubset y \wedge y \sqsubset t2 \longrightarrow y \notin U) \wedge t1 \sqsubset x \wedge x \sqsubset$ 

```

$t2 \wedge P x)$.
moreover { **fix** u **assume** $um: u \in U$ **and** $pu: P u$
have $between\ u\ u = u$ **by** (*simp add: between-same*)
with $um\ pu$ **have** $P (between\ u\ u)$ **by** *simp*
with um **have** $?thesis$ **by** *blast* }
moreover{
assume $\exists t1 \in U. \exists t2 \in U. (\forall y. t1 \sqsubset y \wedge y \sqsubset t2 \longrightarrow y \notin U) \wedge t1 \sqsubset x \wedge$
 $x \sqsubset t2 \wedge P x$
then obtain $t1$ **and** $t2$ **where** $t1M: t1 \in U$ **and** $t2M: t2 \in U$
and $noM: \forall y. t1 \sqsubset y \wedge y \sqsubset t2 \longrightarrow y \notin U$ **and** $t1x: t1 \sqsubset x$ **and** $xt2: x$
 $\sqsubset t2$ **and** $px: P x$
by *blast*
from *less-trans*[*OF* $t1x\ xt2$] **have** $t1t2: t1 \sqsubset t2$.
let $?u = between\ t1\ t2$
from *between-less* $t1t2$ **have** $t1lu: t1 \sqsubset ?u$ **and** $ut2: ?u \sqsubset t2$ **by** *auto*
from *lin-dense* $noM\ t1x\ xt2\ px\ t1lu\ ut2$ **have** $P\ ?u$ **by** *blast*
with $t1M\ t2M$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** *blast* }
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

theorem *fr-eq*:

assumes $fU: finite\ U$
and *lin-dense*: $\forall x\ l\ u. (\forall t. l \sqsubset t \wedge t \sqsubset u \longrightarrow t \notin U) \wedge l \sqsubset x \wedge x \sqsubset u \wedge P x$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall y. l \sqsubset y \wedge y \sqsubset u \longrightarrow P y)$
and *nmibnd*: $\forall x. \neg MP \wedge P x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. u \sqsubseteq x)$
and *npibnd*: $\forall x. \neg PP \wedge P x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. x \sqsubseteq u)$
and $mi: \exists z. \forall x. x \sqsubset z \longrightarrow (P x = MP)$ **and** $pi: \exists z. \forall x. z \sqsubset x \longrightarrow (P x =$
 $PP)$
shows $(\exists x. P x) \equiv (MP \vee PP \vee (\exists u \in U. \exists u' \in U. P (between\ u\ u')))$
(is $- \equiv (- \vee - \vee ?F)$ **is** $?E \equiv ?D)$

proof–

{
assume $px: \exists x. P x$
have $MP \vee PP \vee (\neg MP \wedge \neg PP)$ **by** *blast*
moreover {**assume** $MP \vee PP$ **hence** $?D$ **by** *blast* }
moreover {**assume** $nmi: \neg MP$ **and** $npi: \neg PP$
from *npmibnd*[*OF* *nmibnd* *npibnd*]
have $nmpiU: \forall x. \neg MP \wedge \neg PP \wedge P x \longrightarrow (\exists u \in U. \exists u' \in U. u \sqsubseteq x \wedge x$
 $\sqsubseteq u')$.
from *rinf-U*[*OF* $fU\ lin-dense\ nmpiU\ nmi\ npi\ px$] **have** $?D$ **by** *blast* }
ultimately have $?D$ **by** *blast* }
moreover
{ **assume** $?D$
moreover {**assume** $m: MP$ **from** *minf-ex*[*OF* $mi\ m$] **have** $?E$. }
moreover {**assume** $p: PP$ **from** *pinf-ex*[*OF* $pi\ p$] **have** $?E$. }
moreover {**assume** $f: ?F$ **hence** $?E$ **by** *blast* }
ultimately have $?E$ **by** *blast* }
ultimately have $?E = ?D$ **by** *blast* **thus** $?E \equiv ?D$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemmas *minf-thms* = *minf-conj minf-disj minf-eq minf-neq minf-lt minf-le minf-gt minf-ge minf-P*

lemmas *pinf-thms* = *pinf-conj pinf-disj pinf-eq pinf-neq pinf-lt pinf-le pinf-gt pinf-ge pinf-P*

lemmas *nmi-thms* = *nmi-conj nmi-disj nmi-eq nmi-neq nmi-lt nmi-le nmi-gt nmi-ge nmi-P*

lemmas *npi-thms* = *npi-conj npi-disj npi-eq npi-neq npi-lt npi-le npi-gt npi-ge npi-P*

lemmas *lin-dense-thms* = *lin-dense-conj lin-dense-disj lin-dense-eq lin-dense-neq lin-dense-lt lin-dense-le lin-dense-gt lin-dense-ge lin-dense-P*

lemma *ferrack-axiom*: *constr-dense-linear-order less-eq less between*
by (*rule constr-dense-linear-order-axioms*)

lemma *atoms*:

includes *meta-term-syntax*

shows *TERM* (*less* :: '*a* ⇒ -)

and *TERM* (*less-eq* :: '*a* ⇒ -)

and *TERM* (*op* = :: '*a* ⇒ -) .

declare *ferrack-axiom* [*ferrack minf*: *minf-thms pinf*: *pinf-thms*
nmi: *nmi-thms npi*: *npi-thms lindense*:
lin-dense-thms qe: *fr-eq atoms*: *atoms*]

declaration ⟨⟨

let

fun *simps phi* = *map* (*Morphism.thm phi*) [*@{thm not-less}*, *@{thm not-le}*]

fun *generic-what is phi* =

let

val [*lt*, *le*] = *map* (*Morphism.term phi*) [*@{term op ⊆}*, *@{term op ⊆}*]

fun *h x t* =

case term-of t of

Const(*op* =, -)\$*y*\$*z* => *if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Eq*
else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox

| *@{term Not}*\$(*Const*(*op* =, -)\$*y*\$*z*) => *if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.NEq*
else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox

| *b*\$*y*\$*z* => *if Term.could-unify* (*b*, *lt*) *then*

if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Lt

else if term-of x aconv z then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Gt

else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox

else if Term.could-unify (*b*, *le*) *then*

if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Le

else if term-of x aconv z then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Ge

else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox

else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox

| - => *Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nox*

in h end

fun *ss phi* = *HOL-ss addsimps* (*simps phi*)


```

in
  Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.funs @{thm ferrack-axiom}
  {isolate-conv = K (K (K Thm.reflexive)), whatis = generic-whatiss, simpset =
  ss}
end
>>

end

use ~~/src/HOL/Tools/Qelim/ferrante-rackoff.ML

method-setup ferrack = <<
  Method.ctxt-args (Method.SIMPLE-METHOD' o FerranteRackoff.dlo-tac)
>> Ferrante and Rackoff's algorithm for quantifier elimination in dense linear orders

```

23.1 Ferrante and Rackoff algorithm over ordered fields

```

lemma neg-prod-lt:(c::'a::ordered-field) < 0 ==> ((c*x < 0) == (x > 0))
proof-
  assume H: c < 0
  have c*x < 0 = (0/c < x) by (simp only: neg-divide-less-eq[OF H] ring-simps)
  also have ... = (0 < x) by simp
  finally show (c*x < 0) == (x > 0) by simp
qed

lemma pos-prod-lt:(c::'a::ordered-field) > 0 ==> ((c*x < 0) == (x < 0))
proof-
  assume H: c > 0
  hence c*x < 0 = (0/c > x) by (simp only: pos-less-divide-eq[OF H] ring-simps)
  also have ... = (0 > x) by simp
  finally show (c*x < 0) == (x < 0) by simp
qed

lemma neg-prod-sum-lt:(c::'a::ordered-field) < 0 ==> ((c*x + t < 0) == (x > (-
1/c)*t))
proof-
  assume H: c < 0
  have c*x + t < 0 = (c*x < -t) by (subst less-iff-diff-less-0 [of c*x -t], simp)
  also have ... = (-t/c < x) by (simp only: neg-divide-less-eq[OF H] ring-simps)
  also have ... = ((- 1/c)*t < x) by simp
  finally show (c*x + t < 0) == (x > (- 1/c)*t) by simp
qed

lemma pos-prod-sum-lt:(c::'a::ordered-field) > 0 ==> ((c*x + t < 0) == (x < (-
1/c)*t))
proof-
  assume H: c > 0
  have c*x + t < 0 = (c*x < -t) by (subst less-iff-diff-less-0 [of c*x -t], simp)
  also have ... = (-t/c > x) by (simp only: pos-less-divide-eq[OF H] ring-simps)

```

also have $\dots = ((- 1/c)*t > x)$ **by** *simp*
 finally show $(c*x + t < 0) == (x < (- 1/c)*t)$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sum-lt*: $((x::'a::pordered-ab-group-add) + t < 0) == (x < - t)$
 using *less-diff-eq*[**where** $a = x$ **and** $b=t$ **and** $c=0$] **by** *simp*

lemma *neg-prod-le*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) < 0 \implies ((c*x \leq 0) == (x \geq 0))$
proof–

assume $H: c < 0$
 have $c*x \leq 0 = (0/c \leq x)$ **by** (*simp only: neg-divide-le-eq*[*OF* H] *ring-simps*)
 also have $\dots = (0 \leq x)$ **by** *simp*
 finally show $(c*x \leq 0) == (x \geq 0)$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *pos-prod-le*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) > 0 \implies ((c*x \leq 0) == (x \leq 0))$
proof–

assume $H: c > 0$
 hence $c*x \leq 0 = (0/c \geq x)$ **by** (*simp only: pos-le-divide-eq*[*OF* H] *ring-simps*)
 also have $\dots = (0 \geq x)$ **by** *simp*
 finally show $(c*x \leq 0) == (x \leq 0)$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *neg-prod-sum-le*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) < 0 \implies ((c*x + t \leq 0) == (x \geq (- 1/c)*t))$
proof–

assume $H: c < 0$
 have $c*x + t \leq 0 = (c*x \leq -t)$ **by** (*subst le-iff-diff-le-0* [*of* $c*x - t$], *simp*)
 also have $\dots = (-t/c \leq x)$ **by** (*simp only: neg-divide-le-eq*[*OF* H] *ring-simps*)
 also have $\dots = ((- 1/c)*t \leq x)$ **by** *simp*
 finally show $(c*x + t \leq 0) == (x \geq (- 1/c)*t)$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *pos-prod-sum-le*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) > 0 \implies ((c*x + t \leq 0) == (x \leq (- 1/c)*t))$
proof–

assume $H: c > 0$
 have $c*x + t \leq 0 = (c*x \leq -t)$ **by** (*subst le-iff-diff-le-0* [*of* $c*x - t$], *simp*)
 also have $\dots = (-t/c \geq x)$ **by** (*simp only: pos-le-divide-eq*[*OF* H] *ring-simps*)
 also have $\dots = ((- 1/c)*t \geq x)$ **by** *simp*
 finally show $(c*x + t \leq 0) == (x \leq (- 1/c)*t)$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sum-le*: $((x::'a::pordered-ab-group-add) + t \leq 0) == (x \leq - t)$
 using *le-diff-eq*[**where** $a = x$ **and** $b=t$ **and** $c=0$] **by** *simp*

lemma *nz-prod-eq*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) \neq 0 \implies ((c*x = 0) == (x = 0))$ **by** *simp*
lemma *nz-prod-sum-eq*: $(c::'a::ordered-field) \neq 0 \implies ((c*x + t = 0) == (x = (- 1/c)*t))$

proof –

```

  assume  $H: c \neq 0$ 
  have  $c*x + t = 0 = (c*x = -t)$  by (subst eq-iff-diff-eq-0 [of c*x -t], simp)
  also have  $\dots = (x = -t/c)$  by (simp only: nonzero-eq-divide-eq[OF H] ring-simps)
  finally show  $(c*x + t = 0) == (x = (-1/c)*t)$  by simp
qed
lemma sum-eq:  $((x::'a::\text{pordered-ab-group-add}) + t = 0) == (x = -t)$ 
  using eq-diff-eq [where  $a = x$  and  $b = t$  and  $c = 0$ ] by simp

```

interpretation *class-ordered-field-dense-linear-order*: *constr-dense-linear-order*

```

  [op <= op <
     $\lambda x y. 1/2 * ((x::'a::\{\text{ordered-field, recpower, number-ring}\}) + y)$ ]
proof (unfold-locales, dlo, dlo, auto)

```

```

  fix  $x y::'a$  assume  $lt: x < y$ 
  from less-half-sum[OF lt] show  $x < (x + y) / 2$  by simp
next
  fix  $x y::'a$  assume  $lt: x < y$ 
  from gt-half-sum[OF lt] show  $(x + y) / 2 < y$  by simp
qed

```

declaration⟨⟨

```

  let
  fun earlier []  $x y = \text{false}$ 
    | earlier ( $h::t$ )  $x y =$ 
      if  $h \text{ aconv } y$  then false else if  $h \text{ aconv } x$  then true else earlier  $t x y$ ;

```

```

fun dest-frac  $ct = \text{case term-of } ct \text{ of}$ 
  Const (@{const-name HOL.divide}, -)  $\$ a \$ b \Rightarrow$ 
    Rat.rat-of-quotient (snd (HOLogic.dest-number  $a$ ), snd (HOLogic.dest-number
   $b$ ))
  |  $t \Rightarrow \text{Rat.rat-of-int}$  (snd (HOLogic.dest-number  $t$ ))

```

```

fun mk-frac  $\phi cT x =$ 
  let  $val (a, b) = \text{Rat.quotient-of-rat } x$ 
  in if  $b = 1$  then Numeral.mk-cnumber  $cT a$ 
    else Thm.capply
      (Thm.capply (Drule.cterm-rule (instantiate' [SOME cT] []) @{cpat op /})
        (Numeral.mk-cnumber  $cT a$ ))
      (Numeral.mk-cnumber  $cT b$ )
  end

```

```

fun whatis  $x ct = \text{case term-of } ct \text{ of}$ 
  Const(@{const-name HOL.plus}, -)  $\$( \text{Const}(@\{\text{const-name } \textit{HOL.times}\}, -)\$y)\$- \Rightarrow$ 
    if  $y \text{ aconv term-of } x$  then  $(c*x+t, [(\text{funpow } 2 \text{ Thm.dest-arg1}) ct, \text{Thm.dest-arg}$ 
   $ct])$ 
    else (Nox, [])
  | Const(@{const-name HOL.plus}, -)  $\$y\$- \Rightarrow$ 

```

```

    if y aconv term-of x then (x+t,[Thm.dest-arg ct])
    else (Nox,[])
| Const(@{const-name HOL.times}, -)$-y =>
    if y aconv term-of x then (c*x,[Thm.dest-arg1 ct])
    else (Nox,[])
| t => if t aconv term-of x then (x,[]) else (Nox,[]);

fun xnormalize-conv ctxt [] ct = reflexive ct
| xnormalize-conv ctxt (vs as (x::-)) ct =
  case term-of ct of
    Const(@{const-name HOL.less}, -)$-Const(@{const-name HOL.zero}, -) =>
      (case whatis x (Thm.dest-arg1 ct) of
        (c*x+t,[c,t]) =>
          let
            val cr = dest-frac c
            val clt = Thm.dest-fun2 ct
            val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
            val neg = cr </ Rat.zero
            val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
              (Thm.capply @{cterm Trueprop}
                (if neg then Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt c) cz
                  else Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt cz) c))
            val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
            val th = implies-elim (instantiate' [SOME (ctyp-of-term x)] (map SOME
              [c,x,t])
              (if neg then @{thm neg-prod-sum-lt} else @{thm pos-prod-sum-lt})) cth
            val rth = Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.binop-conv
              (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv ctxt (earlier vs)))) th
          in rth end
        | (x+t,[t]) =>
          let
            val T = ctyp-of-term x
            val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME x, SOME t] @{thm sum-lt}
            val rth = Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.binop-conv
              (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv ctxt (earlier vs)))) th
          in rth end
        | (c*x,[c]) =>
          let
            val cr = dest-frac c
            val clt = Thm.dest-fun2 ct
            val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
            val neg = cr </ Rat.zero
            val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
              (Thm.capply @{cterm Trueprop}
                (if neg then Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt c) cz
                  else Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt cz) c))
            val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
            val th = implies-elim (instantiate' [SOME (ctyp-of-term x)] (map SOME
              [c,x]))

```

```

      (if neg then @{thm neg-prod-lt} else @{thm pos-prod-lt})) cth
    val rth = th
  in rth end
| - => reflexive ct)

| Const(@{const-name HOL.less-eq},-)$-Const(@{const-name HOL.zero},-) =>
  (case whatis x (Thm.dest-arg1 ct) of
    (c*x+t,[c,t]) =>
      let
        val T = ctyp-of-term x
        val cr = dest-frac c
        val clt = Drule.ctrm-rule (instantiate' [SOME T] []) @{cpat op <}
        val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
        val neg = cr </ Rat.zero
        val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
          (Thm.capply @{ctrm Trueprop}
            (if neg then Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt c) cz
              else Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt cz) c))
        val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
        val th = implies-elim (instantiate' [SOME T] (map SOME [c,x,t])
          (if neg then @{thm neg-prod-sum-le} else @{thm pos-prod-sum-le})) cth
        val rth = Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.binop-conv
          (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv ctxt (earlier vs)))) th
      in rth end
    | (x+t,[t]) =>
      let
        val T = ctyp-of-term x
        val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME x, SOME t] @{thm sum-le}
        val rth = Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.binop-conv
          (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv ctxt (earlier vs)))) th
      in rth end
    | (c*x,[c]) =>
      let
        val T = ctyp-of-term x
        val cr = dest-frac c
        val clt = Drule.ctrm-rule (instantiate' [SOME T] []) @{cpat op <}
        val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
        val neg = cr </ Rat.zero
        val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
          (Thm.capply @{ctrm Trueprop}
            (if neg then Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt c) cz
              else Thm.capply (Thm.capply clt cz) c))
        val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
        val th = implies-elim (instantiate' [SOME (ctyp-of-term x)] (map SOME
          [c,x])
          (if neg then @{thm neg-prod-le} else @{thm pos-prod-le})) cth
        val rth = th
      in rth end

```

```

| - => reflexive ct)

| Const(op =,-)$-Const(@{const-name HOL.zero},-) =>
  (case whatis x (Thm.dest-arg1 ct) of
    (c*x+t,[c,t]) =>
      let
        val T = ctyp-of-term x
        val cr = dest-frac c
        val ceq = Thm.dest-fun2 ct
        val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
        val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
          (Thm.capply @{cterm Trueprop}
            (Thm.capply @{cterm Not} (Thm.capply (Thm.capply ceq c) cz)))
        val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
        val th = implies-elim
          (instantiate' [SOME T] (map SOME [c,x,t]) @{thm nz-prod-sum-eq})
      cth
    in rth end
  (x+t,[t]) =>
    let
      val T = ctyp-of-term x
      val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME x, SOME t] @{thm sum-eq}
      val rth = Conv.fconv-rule (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.binop-conv
        (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv ctxt (earlier vs)))) th
    in rth end
  (c*x,[c]) =>
    let
      val T = ctyp-of-term x
      val cr = dest-frac c
      val ceq = Thm.dest-fun2 ct
      val cz = Thm.dest-arg ct
      val cthp = Simplifier.rewrite (local-simpset-of ctxt)
        (Thm.capply @{cterm Trueprop}
          (Thm.capply @{cterm Not} (Thm.capply (Thm.capply ceq c) cz)))
      val cth = equal-elim (symmetric cthp) TrueI
      val rth = implies-elim
        (instantiate' [SOME T] (map SOME [c,x]) @{thm nz-prod-eq}) cth
    in rth end
  - => reflexive ct);

local
  val less-iff-diff-less-0 = mk-meta-eq @{thm less-iff-diff-less-0}
  val le-iff-diff-le-0 = mk-meta-eq @{thm le-iff-diff-le-0}
  val eq-iff-diff-eq-0 = mk-meta-eq @{thm eq-iff-diff-eq-0}
in
  fun field-isolate-conv phi ctxt vs ct = case term-of ct of
    Const(@{const-name HOL.less},-)$a$b =>

```

```

let val (ca,cb) = Thm.dest-binop ct
    val T = ctyp-of-term ca
    val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME ca, SOME cb] less-iff-diff-less-0
    val nth = Conv.fconv-rule
        (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.arg1-conv
            (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv @ {context} (earlier vs)))) th
    val rth = transitive nth (xnormalize-conv ctxt vs (Thm.rhs-of nth))
in rth end

| Const(@ {const-name HOL.less-eq},-) $a$b =>
    let val (ca,cb) = Thm.dest-binop ct
        val T = ctyp-of-term ca
        val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME ca, SOME cb] le-iff-diff-le-0
        val nth = Conv.fconv-rule
            (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.arg1-conv
                (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv @ {context} (earlier vs)))) th
        val rth = transitive nth (xnormalize-conv ctxt vs (Thm.rhs-of nth))
in rth end

| Const(op =, -) $a$b =>
    let val (ca,cb) = Thm.dest-binop ct
        val T = ctyp-of-term ca
        val th = instantiate' [SOME T] [SOME ca, SOME cb] eq-iff-diff-eq-0
        val nth = Conv.fconv-rule
            (Conv.arg-conv (Conv.arg1-conv
                (Normalizer.semiring-normalize-ord-conv @ {context} (earlier vs)))) th
        val rth = transitive nth (xnormalize-conv ctxt vs (Thm.rhs-of nth))
in rth end

| @ {term Not} $(Const(op =, -) $a$b) => Conv.arg-conv (field-isolate-conv phi ctxt
vs) ct
| - => reflexive ct
end;

fun classfield-what is phi =
let
fun h x t =
case term-of t of
    Const(op =, -) $y$z => if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Eq
        else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nor
| @ {term Not} $(Const(op =, -) $y$z) => if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.NEq
        else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nor
| Const(@ {const-name HOL.less},-) $y$z =>
    if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Lt
    else if term-of x aconv z then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Gt
    else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nor
| Const (@ {const-name HOL.less-eq},-) $y$z =>
    if term-of x aconv y then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Le
    else if term-of x aconv z then Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Ge
    else Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nor
| - => Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.Nor
end

```

```

in h end;
fun class-field-ss phi =
  HOL-basic-ss addsimps ([@{thm linorder-not-less}, @{thm linorder-not-le}])
  addsplits [@{thm abs-split}, @{thm split-max}, @{thm split-min}]

in
  Ferrante-Rackoff-Data.funs @{thm class-ordered-field-dense-linear-order.ferrack-axiom}
    {isolate-conv = field-isolate-conv, whatis = classfield-whatiss, simpset = class-field-ss}
end
>>

end

```

24 Efficient-Nat: Implementation of natural numbers by target-language integers

```

theory Efficient-Nat
imports Code-Integer Code-Index
begin

```

When generating code for functions on natural numbers, the canonical representation using 0 and Suc is unsuitable for computations involving large numbers. The efficiency of the generated code can be improved drastically by implementing natural numbers by target-language integers. To do this, just include this theory.

24.1 Basic arithmetic

Most standard arithmetic functions on natural numbers are implemented using their counterparts on the integers:

```
code-datatype number-nat-inst.number-of-nat
```

```
lemma zero-nat-code [code, code unfold]:
```

```
  0 = (Numeral0 :: nat)
```

```
  by simp
```

```
lemmas [code post] = zero-nat-code [symmetric]
```

```
lemma one-nat-code [code, code unfold]:
```

```
  1 = (Numeral1 :: nat)
```

```
  by simp
```

```
lemmas [code post] = one-nat-code [symmetric]
```

```
lemma Suc-code [code]:
```

```
  Suc n = n + 1
```

```
  by simp
```


lemma *plus-nat-code* [code]:
 $n + m = \text{nat } (\text{of-nat } n + \text{of-nat } m)$
by *simp*

lemma *minus-nat-code* [code]:
 $n - m = \text{nat } (\text{of-nat } n - \text{of-nat } m)$
by *simp*

lemma *times-nat-code* [code]:
 $n * m = \text{nat } (\text{of-nat } n * \text{of-nat } m)$
unfolding *of-nat-mult* [symmetric] **by** *simp*

Specialized *op div* and *op mod* operations.

definition
 $\text{divmod-aux} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat}$
where
[*code func del*]: $\text{divmod-aux} = \text{divmod}$

lemma [*code func*]:
 $\text{divmod } n \ m = (\text{if } m = 0 \text{ then } (0, n) \text{ else } \text{divmod-aux } n \ m)$
unfolding *divmod-aux-def* *divmod-div-mod* **by** *simp*

lemma *divmod-aux-code* [code]:
 $\text{divmod-aux } n \ m = (\text{nat } (\text{of-nat } n \ \text{div} \ \text{of-nat } m), \text{nat } (\text{of-nat } n \ \text{mod} \ \text{of-nat } m))$
unfolding *divmod-aux-def* *divmod-div-mod* *zdiv-int* [symmetric] *zmod-int* [symmetric]
by *simp*

lemma *eq-nat-code* [code]:
 $n = m \iff (\text{of-nat } n :: \text{int}) = \text{of-nat } m$
by *simp*

lemma *less-eq-nat-code* [code]:
 $n \leq m \iff (\text{of-nat } n :: \text{int}) \leq \text{of-nat } m$
by *simp*

lemma *less-nat-code* [code]:
 $n < m \iff (\text{of-nat } n :: \text{int}) < \text{of-nat } m$
by *simp*

24.2 Case analysis

Case analysis on natural numbers is rephrased using a conditional expression:

lemma [*code func, code unfold*]:
 $\text{nat-case} = (\lambda f \ g \ n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } f \text{ else } g \ (n - 1))$
by (*auto simp add: expand-fun-eq dest!: gr0-implies-Suc*)

24.3 Preprocessors

In contrast to $Suc\ n$, the term $n + 1$ is no longer a constructor term. Therefore, all occurrences of this term in a position where a pattern is expected (i.e. on the left-hand side of a recursion equation or in the arguments of an inductive relation in an introduction rule) must be eliminated. This can be accomplished by applying the following transformation rules:

lemma *Suc-if-eq*: $(\bigwedge n. f\ (Suc\ n) = h\ n) \implies f\ 0 = g \implies$
 $f\ n = (if\ n = 0\ then\ g\ else\ h\ (n - 1))$
by $(case-tac\ n)\ simp-all$

lemma *Suc-clause*: $(\bigwedge n. P\ n\ (Suc\ n)) \implies n \neq 0 \implies P\ (n - 1)\ n$
by $(case-tac\ n)\ simp-all$

The rules above are built into a preprocessor that is plugged into the code generator. Since the preprocessor for introduction rules does not know anything about modes, some of the modes that worked for the canonical representation of natural numbers may no longer work.

24.4 Target language setup

For ML, we map *nat* to target language integers, where we assert that values are always non-negative.

code-type *nat*
 $(SML\ int)$
 $(OCaml\ Big'-int.big'-int)$

types-code
 $nat\ (int)$
attach $(term-of)\ \ll$
 $val\ term-of-nat = HOLogic.mk-number\ HOLogic.natT;$
 \gg
attach $(test)\ \ll$
 $fun\ gen-nat\ i =$
 $let\ val\ n = random-range\ 0\ i$
 $in\ (n, fn\ () => term-of-nat\ n)\ end;$
 \gg

For Haskell we define our own *nat* type. The reason is that we have to distinguish type class instances for *nat* and *int*.

code-include *Haskell Nat* \ll
 $newtype\ Nat = Nat\ Integer\ deriving\ (Show,\ Eq);$

instance Num Nat where {
 $fromInteger\ k = Nat\ (if\ k >= 0\ then\ k\ else\ 0);$
 $Nat\ n + Nat\ m = Nat\ (n + m);$
 $Nat\ n - Nat\ m = fromInteger\ (n - m);$
 $Nat\ n * Nat\ m = Nat\ (n * m);$

```

    abs n = n;
    signum - = 1;
    negate n = error negate Nat;
};

instance Ord Nat where {
  Nat n <= Nat m = n <= m;
  Nat n < Nat m = n < m;
};

instance Real Nat where {
  toRational (Nat n) = toRational n;
};

instance Enum Nat where {
  toEnum k = fromInteger (toEnum k);
  fromEnum (Nat n) = fromEnum n;
};

instance Integral Nat where {
  toInteger (Nat n) = n;
  divMod n m = quotRem n m;
  quotRem (Nat n) (Nat m) = (Nat k, Nat l) where (k, l) = quotRem n m;
};

```

code-reserved *Haskell Nat*

code-type *nat*
(Haskell Nat)

code-instance *nat :: eq*
(Haskell −)

Natural numerals.

lemma [*code inline, symmetric, code post*]:
nat (number-of i) = number-nat-inst.number-of-nat i
 — this interacts as desired with *number-of ?v = nat (number-of ?v)*
by (*simp add: number-nat-inst.number-of-nat*)

setup \ll
fold (Numeral.add-code @{const-name number-nat-inst.number-of-nat}
true false) [SML, OCaml, Haskell]
 \gg

Since natural numbers are implemented using integers in ML, the coercion function *of-nat* of type *nat* \Rightarrow *int* is simply implemented by the identity function. For the *nat* function for converting an integer to a natural number, we give a specific implementation using an ML function that returns

its input value, provided that it is non-negative, and otherwise returns 0.

definition

int :: *nat* \Rightarrow *int*

where

[code func del]: *int* = *of-nat*

lemma *int-code'* [code func]:

int (*number-of* *l*) = (if *neg* (*number-of* *l* :: *int*) then 0 else *number-of* *l*)

unfolding *int-nat-number-of* [folded *int-def*] ..

lemma *nat-code'* [code func]:

nat (*number-of* *l*) = (if *neg* (*number-of* *l* :: *int*) then 0 else *number-of* *l*)

by *auto*

lemma *of-nat-int* [code unfold]:

of-nat = *int* **by** (*simp add: int-def*)

declare *of-nat-int* [*symmetric*, *code post*]

code-const *int*

(*SML* -)

(*OCaml* -)

consts-code

int ((-))

nat (<module>*nat*)

attach <<

fun *nat* *i* = if *i* < 0 then 0 else *i*;

>>

code-const *nat*

(*SML* *IntInf*.*max* / (/0,/ -))

(*OCaml* *Big'-int*.*max'-big'-int* / *Big'-int*.*zero'-big'-int*)

For Haskell, things are slightly different again.

code-const *int* **and** *nat*

(*Haskell* *toInteger* **and** *fromInteger*)

Conversion from and to indices.

code-const *index-of-nat*

(*SML* *IntInf*.*toInt*)

(*OCaml* *Big'-int*.*int'-of'-big'-int*)

(*Haskell* *toEnum*)

code-const *nat-of-index*

(*SML* *IntInf*.*fromInt*)

(*OCaml* *Big'-int*.*big'-int'-of'-int*)

(*Haskell* *fromEnum*)

Using target language arithmetic operations whenever appropriate

code-const $op + :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$
(SML IntInf.+ ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.add'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 6 +)

code-const $op * :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$
(SML IntInf. ((-), (-)))*
(OCaml Big'-int.mult'-big'-int)
*(Haskell infixl 7 *)*

code-const *divmod-aux*
(SML IntInf.divMod/ ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.quomod'-big'-int)
(Haskell divMod)

code-const $op = :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
(SML !((- : IntInf.int) = -))
(OCaml Big'-int.eq'-big'-int)
(Haskell infixl 4 ==)

code-const $op \leq :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
(SML IntInf.<= ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.le'-big'-int)
(Haskell infix 4 <=)

code-const $op < :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
(SML IntInf.< ((-), (-)))
(OCaml Big'-int.lt'-big'-int)
(Haskell infix 4 <)

consts-code
 0 (0)
Suc $((- +/ 1))$
 $op + :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ $((- +/ -))$
 $op * :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ $((- */ -))$
 $op \leq :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ $((- <= / -))$
 $op < :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ $((- < / -))$

Module names

code-modulename *SML*

Nat Integer
Divides Integer
Efficient-Nat Integer

code-modulename *OCaml*

Nat Integer
Divides Integer
Efficient-Nat Integer

```

code-modulename Haskell
  Nat Integer
  Divides Integer
  Efficient-Nat Integer

hide const int

end

```

25 Enum: Finite types as explicit enumerations

```

theory Enum
imports Main
begin

```

25.1 Class *enum*

```

class enum = itself +
  fixes enum :: 'a list
  assumes UNIV-enum [code func]: UNIV = set enum
  and enum-distinct: distinct enum
begin

lemma finite-enum: finite (UNIV :: 'a set)
  unfolding UNIV-enum ..

lemma enum-all: set enum = UNIV unfolding UNIV-enum ..

lemma in-enum [intro]: x ∈ set enum
  unfolding enum-all by auto

lemma enum-eq-I:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs$ 
  shows set enum = set xs
proof –
  from assms UNIV-eq-I have UNIV = set xs by auto
  with enum-all show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

```

25.2 Equality and order on functions

```

instantiation fun :: (enum, eq) eq
begin

definition
  eq-class.eq f g  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{set } enum. f\ x = g\ x)$ 

```

```

instance by default
  (simp-all add: eq-fun-def enum-all expand-fun-eq)

end

lemma order-fun [code func]:
  fixes  $f\ g :: 'a::enum \Rightarrow 'b::order$ 
  shows  $f \leq g \iff \text{list-all } (\lambda x. f\ x \leq g\ x)\ enum$ 
  and  $f < g \iff f \leq g \wedge \neg \text{list-all } (\lambda x. f\ x = g\ x)\ enum$ 
  by (simp-all add: list-all-iff enum-all expand-fun-eq le-fun-def less-fun-def order-less-le)

```

25.3 Quantifiers

```

lemma all-code [code func]:  $(\forall x. P\ x) \iff \text{list-all } P\ enum$ 
  by (simp add: list-all-iff enum-all)

lemma exists-code [code func]:  $(\exists x. P\ x) \iff \neg \text{list-all } (\text{Not } o\ P)\ enum$ 
  by (simp add: list-all-iff enum-all)

```

25.4 Default instances

```

primrec n-lists :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list where
  n-lists 0 xs = [[]]
  | n-lists (Suc n) xs = concat (map ( $\lambda ys. \text{map } (\lambda y. y \# ys) xs$ ) (n-lists n xs))

lemma n-lists-Nil [simp]:  $n\text{-lists } n\ [] = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } [[]] \text{ else } [])$ 
  by (induct n) simp-all

lemma length-n-lists:  $\text{length } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) = \text{length } xs \wedge n$ 
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: length-concat map-compose [symmetric] o-def listsum-triv)

lemma length-n-lists-elem:  $ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) \implies \text{length } ys = n$ 
  by (induct n arbitrary: ys) auto

lemma set-n-lists:  $\text{set } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) = \{ys. \text{length } ys = n \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs\}$ 
proof (rule set-ext)
  fix  $ys :: 'a\ \text{list}$ 
  show  $ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) \iff ys \in \{ys. \text{length } ys = n \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) \implies \text{length } ys = n$ 
      by (induct n arbitrary: ys) auto
    moreover have  $\bigwedge x. ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n\ xs) \implies x \in \text{set } ys \implies x \in \text{set } xs$ 
      by (induct n arbitrary: ys) auto
    moreover have  $\text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \implies ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } (\text{length } ys)\ xs)$ 
      by (induct ys) auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma distinct-n-lists:
  assumes distinct xs
  shows distinct (n-lists n xs)
proof (rule card-distinct)
  from assms have card-length:  $\text{card } (\text{set } xs) = \text{length } xs$  by (rule distinct-card)
  have  $\text{card } (\text{set } (n\text{-lists } n \ xs)) = \text{card } (\text{set } xs) ^ n$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case 0 then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    moreover have  $\text{card } (\bigcup_{ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n \ xs)}. (\lambda y. y \# ys) \text{ ` set } xs)$ 
       $= (\sum_{ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-lists } n \ xs)}. \text{card } ((\lambda y. y \# ys) \text{ ` set } xs))$ 
    by (rule card-UN-disjoint) auto
    moreover have  $\bigwedge ys. \text{card } ((\lambda y. y \# ys) \text{ ` set } xs) = \text{card } (\text{set } xs)$ 
    by (rule card-image) (simp add: inj-on-def)
    ultimately show ?case by auto
  qed
  also have  $\dots = \text{length } xs ^ n$  by (simp add: card-length)
  finally show  $\text{card } (\text{set } (n\text{-lists } n \ xs)) = \text{length } (n\text{-lists } n \ xs)$ 
    by (simp add: length-n-lists)
qed

lemma map-of-zip-map:
  fixes f :: 'a::enum  $\Rightarrow$  'b::enum
  shows  $\text{map-of } (\text{zip } xs \ (\text{map } f \ xs)) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } \text{Some } (f \ x) \text{ else } \text{None})$ 
  by (induct xs) (simp-all add: expand-fun-eq)

lemma map-of-zip-enum-is-Some:
  assumes  $\text{length } ys = \text{length } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list})$ 
  shows  $\exists y. \text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list}) \ ys) \ x = \text{Some } y$ 
proof –
  from assms have  $x \in \text{set } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists y. \text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list}) \ ys) \ x = \text{Some } y)$ 
  by (auto intro!: map-of-zip-is-Some)
  then show ?thesis using enum-all by auto
qed

lemma map-of-zip-enum-inject:
  fixes xs ys :: 'b::enum list
  assumes length:  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list})$ 
     $\text{length } ys = \text{length } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list})$ 
  and map-of:  $\text{the } \circ \text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list}) \ xs) = \text{the } \circ \text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a::enum \text{ list}) \ ys)$ 
  shows  $xs = ys$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a \text{ list}) \ xs) = \text{map-of } (\text{zip } (\text{enum} :: 'a \text{ list}) \ ys)$ 
  proof

```



```

fix x :: 'a
from length map-of-zip-enum-is-Some obtain y1 y2
  where map-of (zip (enum :: 'a list) xs) x = Some y1
    and map-of (zip (enum :: 'a list) ys) x = Some y2 by blast
  moreover from map-of have the (map-of (zip (enum :: 'a::enum list) xs) x)
= the (map-of (zip (enum :: 'a::enum list) ys) x)
  by (auto dest: fun-cong)
  ultimately show map-of (zip (enum :: 'a::enum list) xs) x = map-of (zip
(enum :: 'a::enum list) ys) x
  by simp
qed
with length enum-distinct show xs = ys by (rule map-of-zip-inject)
qed

```

```

instantiation fun :: (enum, enum) enum
begin

```

definition

```

[code func del]: enum = map (λys. the o map-of (zip (enum::'a list) ys)) (n-lists
(length (enum::'a::enum list)) enum)

```

instance proof

```

show UNIV = set (enum :: ('a ⇒ 'b) list)
proof (rule UNIV-eq-I)
  fix f :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  have f = the o map-of (zip (enum :: 'a::enum list) (map f enum))
  by (auto simp add: map-of-zip-map expand-fun-eq)
  then show f ∈ set enum
  by (auto simp add: enum-fun-def set-n-lists)
qed
next
  from map-of-zip-enum-inject
  show distinct (enum :: ('a ⇒ 'b) list)
  by (auto intro!: inj-onI simp add: enum-fun-def
distinct-map distinct-n-lists enum-distinct set-n-lists enum-all)
qed

```

end

lemma [code func]:

```

enum = map (λys. the o map-of (zip (enum::('a::{enum, eq}) list) ys)) (n-lists
(length (enum::'a::{enum, eq} list)) enum)
unfolding enum-fun-def ..

```

```

instantiation unit :: enum
begin

```

definition

```

enum = [()]

```

```

instance by default
  (simp-all add: enum-unit-def UNIV-unit)

end

instantiation bool :: enum
begin

definition
  enum = [False, True]

instance by default
  (simp-all add: enum-bool-def UNIV-bool)

end

primrec product :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) list where
  product [] - = []
  | product (x#xs) ys = map (Pair x) ys @ product xs ys

lemma product-list-set:
  set (product xs ys) = set xs  $\times$  set ys
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma distinct-product:
  assumes distinct xs and distinct ys
  shows distinct (product xs ys)
  using assms by (induct xs)
  (auto intro: inj-onI simp add: product-list-set distinct-map)

instantiation * :: (enum, enum) enum
begin

definition
  enum = product enum enum

instance by default
  (simp-all add: enum-prod-def product-list-set distinct-product enum-all enum-distinct)

end

instantiation + :: (enum, enum) enum
begin

definition
  enum = map Inl enum @ map Inr enum

instance by default

```

(*auto simp add: enum-all enum-sum-def, case-tac x, auto intro: inj-onI simp add: distinct-map enum-distinct*)

end

primrec *sublists* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list list **where**

sublists [] = [[]]

| *sublists* (x#xs) = (let xss = *sublists* xs in map (Cons x) xss @ xss)

lemma *length-sublists*:

length (*sublists* xs) = Suc (Suc (0::nat)) ^ *length* xs

by (induct xs) (*simp-all add: Let-def*)

lemma *sublists-powset*:

set ' set (*sublists* xs) = Pow (set xs)

proof –

have aux: $\bigwedge x A.$ set ' Cons x ' A = insert x ' set ' A

by (*auto simp add: image-def*)

have set (map set (*sublists* xs)) = Pow (set xs)

by (induct xs)

(*simp-all add: aux Let-def Pow-insert Un-commute*)

then show ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *distinct-set-sublists*:

assumes *distinct* xs

shows *distinct* (map set (*sublists* xs))

proof (rule *card-distinct*)

have *finite* (set xs) **by** rule

then have *card* (Pow (set xs)) = Suc (Suc 0) ^ *card* (set xs) **by** (rule *card-Pow*)

with *assms distinct-card* [of xs]

have *card* (Pow (set xs)) = Suc (Suc 0) ^ *length* xs **by** *simp*

then show *card* (set (map set (*sublists* xs))) = *length* (map set (*sublists* xs))

by (*simp add: sublists-powset length-sublists*)

qed

instantiation *nibble* :: enum

begin

definition

enum = [Nibble0, Nibble1, Nibble2, Nibble3, Nibble4, Nibble5, Nibble6, Nibble7,
Nibble8, Nibble9, NibbleA, NibbleB, NibbleC, NibbleD, NibbleE, NibbleF]

instance **by** *default*

(*simp-all add: enum-nibble-def UNIV-nibble*)

end

instantiation *char* :: enum

begin

definition

[code func del]: $enum = \text{map } (\text{split Char}) (\text{product enum enum})$

lemma *enum-char* [code func]:

$enum = [\text{Char Nibble0 Nibble0}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble1}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble2},$
 $\text{Char Nibble0 Nibble3}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble4}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble5},$
 $\text{Char Nibble0 Nibble6}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble7}, \text{Char Nibble0 Nibble8},$
 $\text{Char Nibble0 Nibble9}, \text{Char Nibble0 NibbleA}, \text{Char Nibble0 NibbleB},$
 $\text{Char Nibble0 NibbleC}, \text{Char Nibble0 NibbleD}, \text{Char Nibble0 NibbleE},$
 $\text{Char Nibble0 NibbleF}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble0}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble1},$
 $\text{Char Nibble1 Nibble2}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble3}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble4},$
 $\text{Char Nibble1 Nibble5}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble6}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble7},$
 $\text{Char Nibble1 Nibble8}, \text{Char Nibble1 Nibble9}, \text{Char Nibble1 NibbleA},$
 $\text{Char Nibble1 NibbleB}, \text{Char Nibble1 NibbleC}, \text{Char Nibble1 NibbleD},$
 $\text{Char Nibble1 NibbleE}, \text{Char Nibble1 NibbleF}, \text{CHR " "}, \text{CHR "\^"},$
 $\text{Char Nibble2 Nibble2}, \text{CHR "\#"}, \text{CHR "\$"}, \text{CHR "\%"}, \text{CHR "\&"},$
 $\text{Char Nibble2 Nibble7}, \text{CHR "("}, \text{CHR ")"}, \text{CHR "*"}, \text{CHR "+"}, \text{CHR ","},$
 $\text{CHR "-"}, \text{CHR "."}, \text{CHR "/"}, \text{CHR "0"}, \text{CHR "1"}, \text{CHR "2"}, \text{CHR "3"},$
 $\text{CHR "4"}, \text{CHR "5"}, \text{CHR "6"}, \text{CHR "7"}, \text{CHR "8"}, \text{CHR "9"}, \text{CHR ":"},$
 $\text{CHR ";"}, \text{CHR "<"}, \text{CHR "="}, \text{CHR ">"}, \text{CHR "?"}, \text{CHR "@"}, \text{CHR "A"},$
 $\text{CHR "B"}, \text{CHR "C"}, \text{CHR "D"}, \text{CHR "E"}, \text{CHR "F"}, \text{CHR "G"}, \text{CHR "H"},$
 $\text{CHR "I"}, \text{CHR "J"}, \text{CHR "K"}, \text{CHR "L"}, \text{CHR "M"}, \text{CHR "N"}, \text{CHR "O"},$
 $\text{CHR "P"}, \text{CHR "Q"}, \text{CHR "R"}, \text{CHR "S"}, \text{CHR "T"}, \text{CHR "U"}, \text{CHR "V"},$
 $\text{CHR "W"}, \text{CHR "X"}, \text{CHR "Y"}, \text{CHR "Z"}, \text{CHR "["}, \text{Char Nibble5 NibbleC},$
 $\text{CHR "]"}, \text{CHR "\^"}, \text{CHR "-"}, \text{Char Nibble6 Nibble0}, \text{CHR "a"}, \text{CHR "b"},$
 $\text{CHR "c"}, \text{CHR "d"}, \text{CHR "e"}, \text{CHR "f"}, \text{CHR "g"}, \text{CHR "h"}, \text{CHR "i"},$
 $\text{CHR "j"}, \text{CHR "k"}, \text{CHR "l"}, \text{CHR "m"}, \text{CHR "n"}, \text{CHR "o"}, \text{CHR "p"},$
 $\text{CHR "q"}, \text{CHR "r"}, \text{CHR "s"}, \text{CHR "t"}, \text{CHR "u"}, \text{CHR "v"}, \text{CHR "w"},$
 $\text{CHR "x"}, \text{CHR "y"}, \text{CHR "z"}, \text{CHR "{"}, \text{CHR "|"}, \text{CHR "}"}, \text{CHR "~"},$
 $\text{Char Nibble7 NibbleF}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble0}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble1},$
 $\text{Char Nibble8 Nibble2}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble3}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble4},$
 $\text{Char Nibble8 Nibble5}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble6}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble7},$
 $\text{Char Nibble8 Nibble8}, \text{Char Nibble8 Nibble9}, \text{Char Nibble8 NibbleA},$
 $\text{Char Nibble8 NibbleB}, \text{Char Nibble8 NibbleC}, \text{Char Nibble8 NibbleD},$
 $\text{Char Nibble8 NibbleE}, \text{Char Nibble8 NibbleF}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble0},$
 $\text{Char Nibble9 Nibble1}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble2}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble3},$
 $\text{Char Nibble9 Nibble4}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble5}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble6},$
 $\text{Char Nibble9 Nibble7}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble8}, \text{Char Nibble9 Nibble9},$
 $\text{Char Nibble9 NibbleA}, \text{Char Nibble9 NibbleB}, \text{Char Nibble9 NibbleC},$
 $\text{Char Nibble9 NibbleD}, \text{Char Nibble9 NibbleE}, \text{Char Nibble9 NibbleF},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA Nibble0}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble1}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble2},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA Nibble3}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble4}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble5},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA Nibble6}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble7}, \text{Char NibbleA Nibble8},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA Nibble9}, \text{Char NibbleA NibbleA}, \text{Char NibbleA NibbleB},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA NibbleC}, \text{Char NibbleA NibbleD}, \text{Char NibbleA NibbleE},$
 $\text{Char NibbleA NibbleF}, \text{Char NibbleB Nibble0}, \text{Char NibbleB Nibble1},$
 $\text{Char NibbleB Nibble2}, \text{Char NibbleB Nibble3}, \text{Char NibbleB Nibble4},$

```

Char NibbleB Nibble5, Char NibbleB Nibble6, Char NibbleB Nibble7,
Char NibbleB Nibble8, Char NibbleB Nibble9, Char NibbleB NibbleA,
Char NibbleB NibbleB, Char NibbleB NibbleC, Char NibbleB NibbleD,
Char NibbleB NibbleE, Char NibbleB NibbleF, Char NibbleC Nibble0,
Char NibbleC Nibble1, Char NibbleC Nibble2, Char NibbleC Nibble3,
Char NibbleC Nibble4, Char NibbleC Nibble5, Char NibbleC Nibble6,
Char NibbleC Nibble7, Char NibbleC Nibble8, Char NibbleC Nibble9,
Char NibbleC NibbleA, Char NibbleC NibbleB, Char NibbleC NibbleC,
Char NibbleC NibbleD, Char NibbleC NibbleE, Char NibbleC NibbleF,
Char NibbleD Nibble0, Char NibbleD Nibble1, Char NibbleD Nibble2,
Char NibbleD Nibble3, Char NibbleD Nibble4, Char NibbleD Nibble5,
Char NibbleD Nibble6, Char NibbleD Nibble7, Char NibbleD Nibble8,
Char NibbleD Nibble9, Char NibbleD NibbleA, Char NibbleD NibbleB,
Char NibbleD NibbleC, Char NibbleD NibbleD, Char NibbleD NibbleE,
Char NibbleD NibbleF, Char NibbleE Nibble0, Char NibbleE Nibble1,
Char NibbleE Nibble2, Char NibbleE Nibble3, Char NibbleE Nibble4,
Char NibbleE Nibble5, Char NibbleE Nibble6, Char NibbleE Nibble7,
Char NibbleE Nibble8, Char NibbleE Nibble9, Char NibbleE NibbleA,
Char NibbleE NibbleB, Char NibbleE NibbleC, Char NibbleE NibbleD,
Char NibbleE NibbleE, Char NibbleE NibbleF, Char NibbleF Nibble0,
Char NibbleF Nibble1, Char NibbleF Nibble2, Char NibbleF Nibble3,
Char NibbleF Nibble4, Char NibbleF Nibble5, Char NibbleF Nibble6,
Char NibbleF Nibble7, Char NibbleF Nibble8, Char NibbleF Nibble9,
Char NibbleF NibbleA, Char NibbleF NibbleB, Char NibbleF NibbleC,
Char NibbleF NibbleD, Char NibbleF NibbleE, Char NibbleF NibbleF]
unfolding enum-char-def enum-nibble-def by simp

instance by default
  (auto intro: char.exhaust injI simp add: enum-char-def product-list-set enum-all
  full-SetCompr-eq [symmetric]
  distinct-map distinct-product enum-distinct)

end

end

## 26 RType: Reflecting Pure types into HOL

theory RType
imports Main Code-Message Code-Index
begin

datatype rtype = RType message-string rtype list

class rtype =
  fixes rtype :: 'a::{} itself  $\Rightarrow$  rtype
begin

definition

```

```

  rtype-of :: 'a ⇒ rtype
where
  [simp]: rtype-of x = rtype TYPE('a)

end

setup ⟨⟨
  let
    fun rtype-tr (*-RTYPE*) [ty] =
      Lexicon.const @{const-syntax rtype} $ (Lexicon.const -constrain $ Lexi-
con.const TYPE $
      (Lexicon.const itself $ ty))
    | rtype-tr (*-RTYPE*) ts = raise TERM (rtype-tr, ts);
    fun rtype-tr' show-sorts (*rtype*)
      (Type (fun, [Type (itself, [T]), -])) (Const (@{const-syntax TYPE}, -) ::
ts) =
      Term.list-comb (Lexicon.const -RTYPE $ Syntax.term-of-typ show-sorts T,
ts)
    | rtype-tr' - T ts = raise Match;
  in
    Sign.add-syntax-i
      [(-RTYPE, SimpleSyntax.read-typ type => logic, Delimfix (1RTYPE/(1'(-'))))]
    #> Sign.add-trfuns ([], [(-RTYPE, rtype-tr)], [], [])
    #> Sign.add-trfunsT [(@{const-syntax rtype}, rtype-tr')]
  end
  ⟩⟩

ML ⟨⟨
  structure RType =
  struct

    fun mk f (Type (tyco, tys)) =
      @{term RType} $ Message-String.mk tyco
      $ HOLogic.mk-list @{typ rtype} (map (mk f) tys)
    | mk f (TFree v) =
      f v;

    fun rtype ty =
      Const (@{const-name rtype}, Term.itselfT ty --> @{typ rtype})
      $ Logic.mk-type ty;

    fun add-def tyco thy =
      let
        val sorts = replicate (Sign.arity-number thy tyco) @{sort rtype};
        val vs = Name.names Name.context 'a sorts;
        val ty = Type (tyco, map TFree vs);
        val lhs = Const (@{const-name rtype}, Term.itselfT ty --> @{typ rtype})
          $ Free (T, Term.itselfT ty);
        val rhs = mk (rtype o TFree) ty;
      end
  end
  ⟩⟩

```

```

    val eq = HOLogic.mk-Trueprop (HOLogic.mk-eq (lhs, rhs));
  in
    thy
    |> TheoryTarget.instantiation ([tyco], vs, @{sort rtype})
    |> ‘(fn lthy => Syntax.check-term lthy eq)
    |-> (fn eq => Specification.definition (NONE, ((, []), eq)))
    |> snd
    |> Class.prove-instantiation-instance (K (Class.intro-classes-tac []))
    |> LocalTheory.exit
    |> ProofContext.theory-of
  end;

fun perhaps-add-def tyco thy =
  let
    val inst = can (Sorts.mg-domain (Sign.classes-of thy) tyco) @{sort rtype}
  in if inst then thy else add-def tyco thy end;

end;
>>

setup <<
  RType.add-def @{type-name prop}
  #> RType.add-def @{type-name fun}
  #> RType.add-def @{type-name itself}
  #> RType.add-def @{type-name bool}
  #> TypedefPackage.interpretation RType.perhaps-add-def
>>

lemma [code func]:
  RType tyco1 tys1 = RType tyco2 tys2  $\longleftrightarrow$  tyco1 = tyco2
   $\wedge$  list-all2 (op =) tys1 tys2
  by (auto simp add: list-all2-eq [symmetric])

code-type rtype
  (SML Term.typ)

code-const RType
  (SML Term.Type/ (-, -))

code-reserved SML Term

hide (open) const rtype RType

end

```

27 Eval: A simple term evaluation mechanism

theory Eval

```

imports
  RType
  Code-Index
begin

```

27.1 Term representation

27.1.1 Terms and class *term-of*

```

datatype term = dummy-term

```

definition

```

  Const :: message-string  $\Rightarrow$  rtype  $\Rightarrow$  term

```

where

```

  Const - - = dummy-term

```

definition

```

  App :: term  $\Rightarrow$  term  $\Rightarrow$  term

```

where

```

  App - - = dummy-term

```

```

code-datatype Const App

```

```

class term-of = rtype +

```

```

  fixes term-of :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  term

```

```

lemma term-of-anything: term-of x  $\equiv$  t

```

```

  by (rule eq-reflection) (cases term-of x, cases t, simp)

```

```

ML <<

```

```

  structure Eval =

```

```

  struct

```

```

    fun mk-term f g (Const (c, ty)) =

```

```

      @{term Const} $ Message-String.mk c $ g ty

```

```

    | mk-term f g (t1 $ t2) =

```

```

      @{term App} $ mk-term f g t1 $ mk-term f g t2

```

```

    | mk-term f g (Free v) = f v

```

```

    | mk-term f g (Bound i) = Bound i

```

```

    | mk-term f g (Abs (v, -, t)) = Abs (v, @{typ term}, mk-term f g t);

```

```

    fun mk-term-of ty t = Const (@{const-name term-of}, ty --> @{typ term}) $ t;

```

```

  end;

```

```

  >>

```

27.1.2 *term-of* instances

```

setup <<

```

```

  let

```



```

fun add-term-of-def ty vs tyco thy =
  let
    val lhs = Const (@{const-name term-of}, ty --> @{typ term})
    $ Free (x, ty);
    val rhs = @{term undefined :: term};
    val eq = HOLogic.mk-Trueprop (HOLogic.mk-eq (lhs, rhs));
  in
    thy
    |> TheoryTarget.instantiation ([tyco], vs, @{sort term-of})
    |> ‘(fn lthy => Syntax.check-term lthy eq)
    |> (fn eq => Specification.definition (NONE, ((, []), eq)))
    |> snd
    |> Class.prove-instantiation-instance (K (Class.intro-classes-tac []))
    |> LocalTheory.exit
    |> ProofContext.theory-of
  end;
fun interpretator (tyco, (raw-vs, -)) thy =
  let
    val has-inst = can (Sorts.mg-domain (Sign.classes-of thy) tyco) @{sort
term-of};
    val constrain-sort =
      curry (Sorts.inter-sort (Sign.classes-of thy)) @{sort term-of};
    val vs = (map o apsnd) constrain-sort raw-vs;
    val ty = Type (tyco, map TFree vs);
  in
    thy
    |> RType.perhaps-add-def tyco
    |> not has-inst ? add-term-of-def ty vs tyco
  end;
in
  Code.type-interpretation interpretator
end
>>

setup <<
let
  fun mk-term-of-eq ty vs tyco (c, tys) =
    let
      val t = list-comb (Const (c, tys ----> ty),
        map Free (Name.names Name.context a tys));
    in (map-aterms (fn Free (v, ty) => Var ((v, 0), ty) | t => t) t, Eval.mk-term
      (fn (v, ty) => Eval.mk-term-of ty (Var ((v, 0), ty)))
      (RType.mk (fn (v, sort) => RType.rtype (TFree (v, sort)))) t)
    end;
  fun prove-term-of-eq ty eq thy =
    let
      val cty = Thm.ctyp-of thy ty;
      val (arg, rhs) = pairself (Thm.cterm-of thy) eq;
      val thm = @{thm term-of-anything}

```

```

    |> Drule.instantiate' [SOME cty] [SOME arg, SOME rhs]
    |> Thm.varifyT;
  in
    thy
    |> Code.add-func thm
  end;
fun interpretator (tyco, (raw-vs, raw-cs)) thy =
  let
    val constrain-sort =
      curry (Sorts.inter-sort (Sign.classes-of thy)) @ {sort term-of};
    val vs = (map o apsnd) constrain-sort raw-vs;
    val cs = (map o apsnd o map o map-atyps)
      (fn TFree (v, sort) => TFree (v, constrain-sort sort)) raw-cs;
    val ty = Type (tyco, map TFree vs);
    val eqs = map (mk-term-of-eq ty vs tyco) cs;
    val const = AxClass.param-of-inst thy (@ {const-name term-of}, tyco);
  in
    thy
    |> Code.del-funcs const
    |> fold (prove-term-of-eq ty) eqs
  end;
in
  Code.type-interpretation interpretator
end
>>

```

27.1.3 Code generator setup

lemmas [code func del] = term.recs term.cases term.size

lemma [code func, code func del]: (t1::term) = t2 \longleftrightarrow t1 = t2 ..

lemma [code func, code func del]: (term-of :: rtype \Rightarrow term) = term-of ..

lemma [code func, code func del]: (term-of :: term \Rightarrow term) = term-of ..

lemma [code func, code func del]: (term-of :: index \Rightarrow term) = term-of ..

lemma [code func, code func del]: (term-of :: message-string \Rightarrow term) = term-of ..

code-type term
(SML Term.term)

code-const Const and App
(SML Term.Const/ (-, -) and Term.\$/ (-, -))

code-const term-of :: index \Rightarrow term
(SML HOLogic.mk'-number/ HOLogic.indexT)

code-const term-of :: message-string \Rightarrow term
(SML Message'-String.mk)

27.1.4 Syntax**print-translation** \ll *let**val term = Const (<TERM>, dummyT);**fun tr1' [-, -] = term;**fun tr2' [] = term;**in**[(@{const-syntax Const}, tr1'),**(@{const-syntax App}, tr1'),**(@{const-syntax dummy-term}, tr2')]**end* \gg **setup** \ll *Sign.declare-const [] (rterm-of, @{typ 'a \Rightarrow 'b}, NoSyn)**#> snd* \gg **notation (output)***rterm-of* ($\ll\!-\!\gg$)**locale (open)** *rterm-syntax =***fixes** *rterm-of-syntax* :: *'a \Rightarrow 'b* ($\ll\!-\!\gg$)**parse-translation** \ll *let**fun rterm-of-tr [t] = Lexicon.const @{const-name rterm-of} \$ t**| rterm-of-tr ts = raise TERM (rterm-of-tr, ts);**in**[(Syntax.fixedN ^ rterm-of-syntax, rterm-of-tr)]**end* \gg **setup** \ll *let**val subst-rterm-of = Eval.mk-term**(fn (v, -) => error (illegal free variable in term quotation: ^ quote v))**(RType.mk (fn (v, sort) => RType.rtype (TFree (v, sort))));**fun subst-rterm-of' (Const (@{const-name rterm-of}, -), [t]) = subst-rterm-of t**| subst-rterm-of' (Const (@{const-name rterm-of}, -), -) =**error (illegal number of arguments for ^ quote @{const-name rterm-of})**| subst-rterm-of' (t, ts) = list-comb (t, map (subst-rterm-of' o strip-comb) ts);**fun subst-rterm-of'' t =**let**val t' = subst-rterm-of' (strip-comb t);**in if t aconv t'**then NONE**else SOME t'**end;**fun check-rterm-of ts ctxt =*

```

    let
      val ts' = map subst-rterm-of'' ts;
    in if exists is-some ts'
      then SOME (map2 the-default ts ts', ctxt)
      else NONE
    end;
  in
    Context.theory-map (Syntax.add-term-check 0 rterm-of check-rterm-of)
  end;
>>

```

```

hide const dummy-term
hide (open) const Const App
hide (open) const term-of

```

27.2 Evaluation setup

```

ML <<
signature EVAL =
sig
  val mk-term: ((string * typ) -> term) -> (typ -> term) -> term -> term
  val eval-ref: (unit -> term) option ref
  val eval-term: theory -> term -> term
  val evaluate: Proof.context -> term -> unit
  val evaluate': string -> Proof.context -> term -> unit
  val evaluate-cmd: string option -> string -> Toplevel.state -> unit
end;

structure Eval : EVAL =
struct

  open Eval;

  val eval-ref = ref (NONE : (unit -> term) option);

  fun eval-term thy t =
    t
    |> Eval.mk-term-of (fastype-of t)
    |> (fn t => CodePackage.eval-term (Eval.eval-ref, eval-ref) thy t [])
    |> Code.postprocess-term thy;

  val evaluators = [
    (code, eval-term),
    (SML, Codegen.eval-term),
    (normal-form, Nbe.norm-term)
  ];

  fun gen-evaluate evaluators ctxt t =
    let

```

```

    val thy = ProofContext.theory-of ctxt;
    val (evls, evl) = split-last evaluators;
    val t' = case get-first (fn f => try (f thy) t) evls
      of SOME t' => t'
       | NONE => evl thy t;
    val ty' = Term.type-of t';
    val p = Pretty.block [Pretty.quote (Syntax.pretty-term ctxt t'),
      Pretty.fbrk, Pretty.str ::, Pretty.brk 1,
      Pretty.quote (Syntax.pretty-typ ctxt ty')];
    in Pretty.writeln p end;

val evaluate = gen-evaluate (map snd evaluators);

fun evaluate' name = gen-evaluate
  [(the o AList.lookup (op =) evaluators) name];

fun evaluate-cmd some-name raw-t state =
  let
    val ctxt = Toplevel.context-of state;
    val t = Syntax.read-term ctxt raw-t;
  in case some-name
    of NONE => evaluate ctxt t
     | SOME name => evaluate' name ctxt t
  end;

end;
>>

ML <<
  OuterSyntax.improper-command value read, evaluate and print term OuterKey-
word.diag
  (Scan.option (OuterParse.$$$ ( |-- OuterParse.name --| OuterParse.$$$ ))
   -- OuterParse.term
   >> (fn (some-name, t) => Toplevel.no-timing o Toplevel.keep
      (Eval.evaluate-cmd some-name t)));
>>

end

```

28 Eval-Witness: Evaluation Oracle with ML witnesses

```

theory Eval-Witness
imports List
begin

```

We provide an oracle method similar to “eval”, but with the possibility to provide ML values as witnesses for existential statements.

Our oracle can prove statements of the form $\exists x. P\ x$ where P is an executable predicate that can be compiled to ML. The oracle generates code for P and applies it to a user-specified ML value. If the evaluation returns true, this is effectively a proof of $\exists x. P\ x$.

However, this is only sound if for every ML value of the given type there exists a corresponding HOL value, which could be used in an explicit proof. Unfortunately this is not true for function types, since ML functions are not equivalent to the pure HOL functions. Thus, the oracle can only be used on first-order types.

We define a type class to mark types that can be safely used with the oracle.

```
class ml-equiv = type
```

Instances of *ml-equiv* should only be declared for those types, where the universe of ML values coincides with the HOL values.

Since this is essentially a statement about ML, there is no logical characterization.

```
instance nat :: ml-equiv ..
instance bool :: ml-equiv ..
instance list :: (ml-equiv) ml-equiv ..
```

```
oracle eval-witness-oracle (term * string list) = << fn thy => fn (goal, ws) =>
  let
    fun check-type T =
      if Sorts.of-sort (Sign.classes-of thy) (T, [Eval-Witness.ml-equiv])
      then T
      else error (Type ^ quote (Syntax.string-of-typ-global thy T) ^ not allowed for
        ML witnesses)

    fun dest-exs 0 t = t
      | dest-exs n (Const (Ex, -) $ Abs (v,T,b)) =
        Abs (v, check-type T, dest-exs (n - 1) b)
      | dest-exs - = sys-error dest-exs;
    val t = dest-exs (length ws) (HOLogic.dest-Trueprop goal);
  in
    if CodePackage.satisfies thy t ws
    then goal
    else HOLogic.Trueprop $ HOLogic.true-const (*dummy*)
  end
  >>
```

```
method-setup eval-witness = <<
  let
```

```
    fun eval-tac ws thy =
      SUBGOAL (fn (t, i) => rtac (eval-witness-oracle thy (t, ws)) i)
```

```

in
  Method.simple-args (Scan.repeat Args.name) (fn ws => fn ctxt =>
    Method.SIMPLE-METHOD' (eval-tac ws (ProofContext.theory-of ctxt)))
end
>> Evaluation with ML witnesses

```

28.1 Toy Examples

Note that we must use the generated data structure for the naturals, since ML integers are different.

Since polymorphism is not allowed, we must specify the type explicitly:

```

lemma  $\exists l. \text{length } (l::\text{bool list}) = 3$ 
apply (eval-witness [true,true,true])
done

```

Multiple witnesses

```

lemma  $\exists k l. \text{length } (k::\text{bool list}) = \text{length } (l::\text{bool list})$ 
apply (eval-witness [] [])
done

```

28.2 Discussion

28.2.1 Conflicts

This theory conflicts with EfficientNat, since the *ml-equiv* instance for natural numbers is not valid when they are mapped to ML integers. With that theory loaded, we could use our oracle to prove $\exists n. n < (0::'a)$ by providing ~ 1 as a witness.

This shows that *ml-equiv* declarations have to be used with care, taking the configuration of the code generator into account.

28.2.2 Haskell

If we were able to run generated Haskell code, the situation would be much nicer, since Haskell functions are pure and could be used as witnesses for HOL functions: Although Haskell functions are partial, we know that if the evaluation terminates, they are “sufficiently defined” and could be completed arbitrarily to a total (HOL) function.

This would allow us to provide access to very efficient data structures via lookup functions coded in Haskell and provided to HOL as witnesses.

end

29 Executable-Set: Implementation of finite sets by lists

```
theory Executable-Set
imports List
begin
```

29.1 Definitional rewrites

```
lemma [code target: Set]:
   $A = B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq B \wedge B \subseteq A$ 
  by blast
```

```
declare subset-eq [code]
```

```
lemma [code]:
   $a \in A \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. x = a)$ 
  unfolding bex-triv-one-point1 ..
```

```
definition
  filter-set :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  filter-set P xs = {x  $\in$  xs. P x}
```

29.2 Operations on lists

29.2.1 Basic definitions

```
definition
  flip :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c where
  flip f a b = f b a
```

```
definition
  member :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  member xs x  $\longleftrightarrow x \in$  set xs
```

```
definition
  insertl :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  insertl x xs = (if member xs x then xs else x # xs)
```

```
lemma [code target: List]: member [] y  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
and [code target: List]: member (x # xs) y  $\longleftrightarrow y = x \vee$  member xs y
unfolding member-def by (induct xs) simp-all
```

```
fun
  drop-first :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  drop-first f [] = []
| drop-first f (x # xs) = (if f x then xs else x # drop-first f xs)
declare drop-first.simps [code del]
declare drop-first.simps [code target: List]
```



```

declare remove1.simps [code del]
lemma [code target: List]:
  remove1 x xs = (if member xs x then drop-first ( $\lambda y. y = x$ ) xs else xs)
proof (cases member xs x)
  case False thus ?thesis unfolding member-def by (induct xs) auto
next
  case True
  have remove1 x xs = drop-first ( $\lambda y. y = x$ ) xs by (induct xs) simp-all
  with True show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma member-nil [simp]:
  member [] = ( $\lambda x. False$ )
proof (rule ext)
  fix x
  show member [] x = False unfolding member-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma member-insertl [simp]:
  x ∈ set (insertl x xs)
  unfolding insertl-def member-def mem-iff by simp

```

```

lemma insertl-member [simp]:
  fixes xs x
  assumes member: member xs x
  shows insertl x xs = xs
  using member unfolding insertl-def by simp

```

```

lemma insertl-not-member [simp]:
  fixes xs x
  assumes member:  $\neg$  (member xs x)
  shows insertl x xs = x # xs
  using member unfolding insertl-def by simp

```

```

lemma foldr-remove1-empty [simp]:
  foldr remove1 xs [] = []
  by (induct xs) simp-all

```

29.2.2 Derived definitions

```

function unionl :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  unionl [] ys = ys
  | unionl xs ys = foldr insertl xs ys
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemmas unionl-eq = unionl.simps(2)

```

```

function intersect :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  intersect [] ys = []
| intersect xs [] = []
| intersect xs ys = filter (member xs) ys
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemmas intersect-eq = intersect.simps(3)

```

```

function subtract :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  subtract [] ys = ys
| subtract xs [] = []
| subtract xs ys = foldr remove1 xs ys
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemmas subtract-eq = subtract.simps(3)

```

```

function map-distinct :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list
where
  map-distinct f [] = []
| map-distinct f xs = foldr (insertl o f) xs []
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemmas map-distinct-eq = map-distinct.simps(2)

```

```

function unions :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  unions [] = []
| unions xs = foldr unionl xs []
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order

```

```

lemmas unions-eq = unions.simps(2)

```

```

consts intersects :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
primrec
  intersects (x#xs) = foldr intersect xs x

```

```

definition
  map-union :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  'b list where
  map-union xs f = unions (map f xs)

```

```

definition
  map-inter :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  'b list where
  map-inter xs f = intersects (map f xs)

```

29.3 Isomorphism proofs

lemma *iso-member*:

member xs x \longleftrightarrow x \in set xs
unfolding *member-def mem-iff* ..

lemma *iso-insert*:

set (insertl x xs) = insert x (set xs)
unfolding *insertl-def iso-member* **by** (*simp add: Set.insert-absorb*)

lemma *iso-remove1*:

assumes *distinct: distinct xs*
shows *set (remove1 x xs) = set xs - {x}*
using *distinct set-remove1-eq* **by** *auto*

lemma *iso-union*:

set (unionl xs ys) = set xs \cup set ys
unfolding *unionl-eq*
by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*) (*simp-all add: iso-insert*)

lemma *iso-intersect*:

set (intersect xs ys) = set xs \cap set ys
unfolding *intersect-eq Int-def* **by** (*simp add: Int-def iso-member*) *auto*

definition

subtract' :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
subtract' = flip subtract

lemma *iso-subtract*:

fixes *ys*
assumes *distinct: distinct ys*
shows *set (subtract' ys xs) = set ys - set xs*
and *distinct (subtract' ys xs)*
unfolding *subtract'-def flip-def subtract-eq*
using *distinct* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*) *auto*

lemma *iso-map-distinct*:

set (map-distinct f xs) = image f (set xs)
unfolding *map-distinct-eq* **by** (*induct xs*) (*simp-all add: iso-insert*)

lemma *iso-unions*:

set (unions xss) = \bigcup set (map set xss)
unfolding *unions-eq*
proof (*induct xss*)
case *Nil* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons xs xss*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*induct xs*) (*simp-all add: iso-insert*)
qed

lemma *iso-intersects*:

$set (intersects (xs \# xss)) = \bigcap set (map set (xs \# xss))$
by (induct xss) (simp-all add: Int-def iso-member, auto)

lemma iso-UNION:

$set (map-union xs f) = UNION (set xs) (set o f)$
unfolding map-union-def iso-unions **by** simp

lemma iso-INTER:

$set (map-inter (x \# xs) f) = INTER (set (x \# xs)) (set o f)$
unfolding map-inter-def iso-intersects **by** (induct xs) (simp-all add: iso-member, auto)

definition

$Blall :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $Blall = flip list-all$

definition

$Blex :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $Blex = flip list-ex$

lemma iso-Ball:

$Blall xs f = Ball (set xs) f$
unfolding Blall-def flip-def **by** (induct xs) simp-all

lemma iso-Bex:

$Blex xs f = Bex (set xs) f$
unfolding Blex-def flip-def **by** (induct xs) simp-all

lemma iso-filter:

$set (filter P xs) = filter-set P (set xs)$
unfolding filter-set-def **by** (induct xs) auto

29.4 code generator setup

ML $\langle\langle$

nonfix *inter*;
nonfix *union*;
nonfix *subset*;
 $\rangle\rangle$

29.4.1 const serializations

consts-code

$\{\} (\{*\} \square \{*\})$
 $insert (\{*insertl*\})$
 $op \cup (\{*unionl*\})$
 $op \cap (\{*intersect*\})$
 $op - :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (\{* flip subtract *\})$
 $image (\{*map-distinct*\})$
 $Union (\{*unions*\})$
 $Inter (\{*intersects*\})$

```

    UNION ({*map-union*})
    INTER ({*map-inter*})
    Ball ({*Blall*})
    Bex ({*Blex*})
    filter-set ({*filter*})

end

```

30 FuncSet: Pi and Function Sets

```

theory FuncSet
imports Main
begin

```

definition

```

Pi :: ['a set, 'a => 'b set] => ('a => 'b) set where
Pi A B = {f. ∀ x. x ∈ A --> f x ∈ B x}

```

definition

```

extensional :: 'a set => ('a => 'b) set where
extensional A = {f. ∀ x. x~:A --> f x = arbitrary}

```

definition

```

restrict :: ['a => 'b, 'a set] => ('a => 'b) where
restrict f A = (%x. if x ∈ A then f x else arbitrary)

```

abbreviation

```

funcset :: ['a set, 'b set] => ('a => 'b) set
(infixr -> 60) where
A -> B == Pi A (%-. B)

```

notation (*xsymbols*)

```

funcset (infixr → 60)

```

syntax

```

-Pi :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'b set] => ('a => 'b) set ((3PI -:/ -) 10)
-lam :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'a => 'b] => ('a=>'b) ((3%-:/ -) [0,0,3] 3)

```

syntax (*xsymbols*)

```

-Pi :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'b set] => ('a => 'b) set ((3Π -€/ -) 10)
-lam :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'a => 'b] => ('a=>'b) ((3λ-€/ -) [0,0,3] 3)

```

syntax (*HTML output*)

```

-Pi :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'b set] => ('a => 'b) set ((3Π -€/ -) 10)
-lam :: [pttrn, 'a set, 'a => 'b] => ('a=>'b) ((3λ-€/ -) [0,0,3] 3)

```

translations

```

PI x:A. B == CONST Pi A (%x. B)

```

$\%x:A. f == \text{CONST restrict } (\%x. f) A$

definition

$\text{compose} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'b ==> 'c, 'a ==> 'b] ==> ('a ==> 'c) \text{ where}$
 $\text{compose } A \ g \ f = (\lambda x \in A. \ g \ (f \ x))$

30.1 Basic Properties of Pi

lemma $Pi\text{-}I$: $(!!x. x \in A ==> f \ x \in B \ x) ==> f \in Pi \ A \ B$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $\text{funcset}\text{-}I$: $(!!x. x \in A ==> f \ x \in B) ==> f \in A \rightarrow B$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $Pi\text{-}mem$: $[|f: Pi \ A \ B; x \in A|] ==> f \ x \in B \ x$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $\text{funcset}\text{-}mem$: $[|f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A|] ==> f \ x \in B$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $\text{funcset}\text{-}image$: $f \in A \rightarrow B ==> f \ ' A \subseteq B$
by ($\text{auto simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $Pi\text{-}eq\text{-}empty$: $((PI \ x: A. B \ x) = \{\}) = (\exists x \in A. B(x) = \{\})$
apply ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def, \text{ auto}$)

Converse direction requires Axiom of Choice to exhibit a function picking an element from each non-empty $B \ x$

apply ($\text{drule}\text{-}tac \ x = \%u. \text{SOME } y. y \in B \ u \text{ in } spec, \text{ auto}$)
apply ($\text{cut}\text{-}tac \ P = \%y. y \in B \ x \text{ in } some\text{-}eq\text{-}ex, \text{ auto}$)
done

lemma $Pi\text{-}empty$ [simp]: $Pi \ \{\} \ B = UNIV$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

lemma $Pi\text{-}UNIV$ [simp]: $A \rightarrow UNIV = UNIV$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def$)

Covariance of Pi -sets in their second argument

lemma $Pi\text{-}mono$: $(!!x. x \in A ==> B \ x \leq C \ x) ==> Pi \ A \ B \leq Pi \ A \ C$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def, \text{ blast}$)

Contravariance of Pi -sets in their first argument

lemma $Pi\text{-}anti\text{-}mono$: $A' \leq A ==> Pi \ A \ B \leq Pi \ A' \ B$
by ($\text{simp add: } Pi\text{-}def, \text{ blast}$)

30.2 Composition With a Restricted Domain: compose

lemma $\text{funcset}\text{-}compose$:

$[| f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C |] ==> \text{compose } A \ g \ f \in A \rightarrow C$

by (*simp add: Pi-def compose-def restrict-def*)

lemma *compose-assoc*:

$[[f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D]]$
 $\implies \text{compose } A \ h \ (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) = \text{compose } A \ (\text{compose } B \ h \ g) \ f$
by (*simp add: expand-fun-eq Pi-def compose-def restrict-def*)

lemma *compose-eq*: $x \in A \implies \text{compose } A \ g \ f \ x = g(f(x))$

by (*simp add: compose-def restrict-def*)

lemma *surj-compose*: $[[f \text{ ‘ } A = B; g \text{ ‘ } B = C]] \implies \text{compose } A \ g \ f \text{ ‘ } A = C$

by (*auto simp add: image-def compose-eq*)

30.3 Bounded Abstraction: *restrict*

lemma *restrict-in-funcset*: $(!!x. x \in A \implies f \ x \in B) \implies (\lambda x \in A. f \ x) \in A \rightarrow B$

by (*simp add: Pi-def restrict-def*)

lemma *restrictI*: $(!!x. x \in A \implies f \ x \in B \ x) \implies (\lambda x \in A. f \ x) \in \text{Pi } A \ B$

by (*simp add: Pi-def restrict-def*)

lemma *restrict-apply* [*simp*]:

$(\lambda y \in A. f \ y) \ x = (\text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f \ x \text{ else arbitrary})$

by (*simp add: restrict-def*)

lemma *restrict-ext*:

$(!!x. x \in A \implies f \ x = g \ x) \implies (\lambda x \in A. f \ x) = (\lambda x \in A. g \ x)$

by (*simp add: expand-fun-eq Pi-def Pi-def restrict-def*)

lemma *inj-on-restrict-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f \ A) \ A = \text{inj-on } f \ A$

by (*simp add: inj-on-def restrict-def*)

lemma *Id-compose*:

$[[f \in A \rightarrow B; f \in \text{extensional } A]] \implies \text{compose } A \ (\lambda y \in B. y) \ f = f$

by (*auto simp add: expand-fun-eq compose-def extensional-def Pi-def*)

lemma *compose-Id*:

$[[g \in A \rightarrow B; g \in \text{extensional } A]] \implies \text{compose } A \ g \ (\lambda x \in A. x) = g$

by (*auto simp add: expand-fun-eq compose-def extensional-def Pi-def*)

lemma *image-restrict-eq* [*simp*]: $(\text{restrict } f \ A) \text{ ‘ } A = f \text{ ‘ } A$

by (*auto simp add: restrict-def*)

30.4 Bijections Between Sets

The definition of *bij-betw* is in *Fun.thy*, but most of the theorems belong here, or need at least *Hilbert-Choice*.

lemma *bij-betw-imp-funcset*: $\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B \implies f \in A \rightarrow B$

by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-def inj-on-Inv Pi-def*)

lemma *inj-on-compose*:

$[[\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B; \text{inj-on } g \ B \]] \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A$
by (*auto simp add: bij-betw-def inj-on-def compose-eq*)

lemma *bij-betw-compose*:

$[[\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B; \text{bij-betw } g \ B \ C \]] \implies \text{bij-betw } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A \ C$
apply (*simp add: bij-betw-def compose-eq inj-on-compose*)
apply (*auto simp add: compose-def image-def*)
done

lemma *bij-betw-restrict-eq* [*simp*]:

$\text{bij-betw } (\text{restrict } f \ A) \ A \ B = \text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B$
by (*simp add: bij-betw-def*)

30.5 Extensionality

lemma *extensional-arb*: $[[f \in \text{extensional } A; x \notin A]] \implies f \ x = \text{arbitrary}$
by (*simp add: extensional-def*)

lemma *restrict-extensional* [*simp*]: $\text{restrict } f \ A \in \text{extensional } A$
by (*simp add: restrict-def extensional-def*)

lemma *compose-extensional* [*simp*]: $\text{compose } A \ f \ g \in \text{extensional } A$
by (*simp add: compose-def*)

lemma *extensionalityI*:

$[[f \in \text{extensional } A; g \in \text{extensional } A; \\ !!x. x \in A \implies f \ x = g \ x \]] \implies f = g$
by (*force simp add: expand-fun-eq extensional-def*)

lemma *Inv-funcset*: $f \text{ ‘ } A = B \implies (\lambda x \in B. \text{Inv } A \ f \ x) : B \multimap A$
by (*unfold Inv-def*) (*fast intro: restrict-in-funcset someI2*)

lemma *compose-Inv-id*:

$\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B \implies \text{compose } A \ (\lambda y \in B. \text{Inv } A \ f \ y) \ f = (\lambda x \in A. x)$
apply (*simp add: bij-betw-def compose-def*)
apply (*rule restrict-ext, auto*)
apply (*erule subst*)
apply (*simp add: Inv-f-f*)
done

lemma *compose-id-Inv*:

$f \text{ ‘ } A = B \implies \text{compose } B \ f \ (\lambda y \in B. \text{Inv } A \ f \ y) = (\lambda x \in B. x)$
apply (*simp add: compose-def*)
apply (*rule restrict-ext*)
apply (*simp add: f-Inv-f*)
done

30.6 Cardinality

```

lemma card-inj: [|  $f \in A \rightarrow B$ ; inj-on  $f$   $A$ ; finite  $B$  |] ==>  $\text{card}(A) \leq \text{card}(B)$ 
  apply (rule card-inj-on-le)
    apply (auto simp add: Pi-def)
  done

```

```

lemma card-bij:
  [|  $f \in A \rightarrow B$ ; inj-on  $f$   $A$ ;
     $g \in B \rightarrow A$ ; inj-on  $g$   $B$ ; finite  $A$ ; finite  $B$  |] ==>  $\text{card}(A) = \text{card}(B)$ 
  by (blast intro: card-inj order-antisym)

```

```

declare FuncSet.Pi-I [skolem]
declare FuncSet.Pi-mono [skolem]
declare FuncSet.extensionalityI [skolem]
declare FuncSet.funcsetI [skolem]
declare FuncSet.restrictI [skolem]
declare FuncSet.restrict-in-funcset [skolem]

end

```

31 Heap: A polymorphic heap based on cantor encodings

```

theory Heap
imports Main Countable RType
begin

```

31.1 Representable types

The type class of representable types

```

class heap = rtype + countable

```

Instances for common HOL types

```

instance nat :: heap ..

```

```

instance * :: (heap, heap) heap ..

```

```

instance + :: (heap, heap) heap ..

```

```

instance list :: (heap) heap ..

```

```

instance option :: (heap) heap ..

```

instance *int* :: *heap* ..

instance *message-string* :: *countable*
by (*rule countable-classI* [of *message-string-case to-nat*])
 (*auto split*: *message-string.splits*)

instance *message-string* :: *heap* ..

Reflected types themselves are heap-representable

instantiation *rtype* :: *countable*
begin

lemma *list-size-size-append*:
list-size size (xs @ ys) = list-size size xs + list-size size ys
by (*induct xs, auto*)

lemma *rtype-size*: $t = \text{RType.RType } c \text{ } ts \implies t' \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{size } t' < \text{size } t$
by (*frule split-list*) (*auto simp add: list-size-size-append*)

function *to-nat-rtype* :: *rtype* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
to-nat-rtype (*RType.RType* *c ts*) = *to-nat* (*to-nat c, to-nat* (*map to-nat-rtype ts*))
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination by (*relation measure* ($\lambda x. \text{size } x$))
 (*simp, simp only: in-measure rtype-size*)

instance

proof (*rule countable-classI*)
fix *t t' :: rtype and ts*
have ($\forall t'. \text{to-nat-rtype } t = \text{to-nat-rtype } t' \longrightarrow t = t'$)
 $\wedge (\forall ts'. \text{map to-nat-rtype } ts = \text{map to-nat-rtype } ts' \longrightarrow ts = ts')$
proof (*induct rule: rtype.induct*)
case (*RType c ts*) **show** ?*case*
proof (*rule allI, rule impI*)
fix *t'*
assume *hyp*: *to-nat-rtype* (*rtype.RType c ts*) = *to-nat-rtype t'*
then obtain *c' ts'* **where** *t'*: $t' = (\text{rtype.RType } c' \text{ } ts')$
by (*cases t'*) *auto*
with *RType hyp* **have** $c = c'$ **and** $ts = ts'$ **by** *simp-all*
with *t'* **show** *rtype.RType c ts = t'* **by** *simp*
qed
next
case *Nil-rtype* **then show** ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons-rtype t ts*) **then show** ?*case* **by** *auto*
qed
then have *to-nat-rtype t = to-nat-rtype t' $\implies t = t'$* **by** *auto*
moreover assume *to-nat-rtype t = to-nat-rtype t'*
ultimately show $t = t'$ **by** *simp*

qed

end

instance rtype :: heap ..

31.2 A polymorphic heap with dynamic arrays and references

types addr = nat — untyped heap references

datatype 'a array = Array addr

datatype 'a ref = Ref addr — note the phantom type 'a

primrec addr-of-array :: 'a array \Rightarrow addr where
 addr-of-array (Array x) = x

primrec addr-of-ref :: 'a ref \Rightarrow addr where
 addr-of-ref (Ref x) = x

lemma addr-of-array-inj [simp]:
 addr-of-array a = addr-of-array a' \longleftrightarrow a = a'
 by (cases a, cases a') simp-all

lemma addr-of-ref-inj [simp]:
 addr-of-ref r = addr-of-ref r' \longleftrightarrow r = r'
 by (cases r, cases r') simp-all

instance array :: (type) countable
 by (rule countable-classI [of addr-of-array]) simp

instance ref :: (type) countable
 by (rule countable-classI [of addr-of-ref]) simp

setup <<
 Sign.add-const-constraint (@{const-name Array}, SOME @{typ nat \Rightarrow 'a::heap
 array})
 #> Sign.add-const-constraint (@{const-name Ref}, SOME @{typ nat \Rightarrow 'a::heap
 ref})
 #> Sign.add-const-constraint (@{const-name addr-of-array}, SOME @{typ 'a::heap
 array \Rightarrow nat})
 #> Sign.add-const-constraint (@{const-name addr-of-ref}, SOME @{typ 'a::heap
 ref \Rightarrow nat})
 >>

types heap-rep = nat — representable values

record heap =
 arrays :: rtype \Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow heap-rep list

$refs :: rtype \Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow heap\text{-}rep$
 $lim :: addr$

definition $empty :: heap$ **where**

$empty = \langle arrays = (\lambda\cdot. arbitrary), refs = (\lambda\cdot. arbitrary), lim = 0 \rangle$ — why arbitrary?

31.3 Imperative references and arrays

References and arrays are developed in parallel, but keeping them separate makes some later proofs simpler.

31.3.1 Primitive operations

definition

$new\text{-}ref :: heap \Rightarrow ('a::heap) ref \times heap$ **where**
 $new\text{-}ref\ h = (let\ l = lim\ h\ in\ (Ref\ l,\ h(lim := l + 1)))$

definition

$new\text{-}array :: heap \Rightarrow ('a::heap) array \times heap$ **where**
 $new\text{-}array\ h = (let\ l = lim\ h\ in\ (Array\ l,\ h(lim := l + 1)))$

definition

$ref\text{-}present :: 'a::heap\ ref \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $ref\text{-}present\ r\ h \longleftrightarrow addr\text{-}of\text{-}ref\ r < lim\ h$

definition

$array\text{-}present :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $array\text{-}present\ a\ h \longleftrightarrow addr\text{-}of\text{-}array\ a < lim\ h$

definition

$get\text{-}ref :: 'a::heap\ ref \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $get\text{-}ref\ r\ h = from\text{-}nat\ (refs\ h\ (RTYPE('a))\ (addr\text{-}of\text{-}ref\ r))$

definition

$get\text{-}array :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
 $get\text{-}array\ a\ h = map\ from\text{-}nat\ (arrays\ h\ (RTYPE('a))\ (addr\text{-}of\text{-}array\ a))$

definition

$set\text{-}ref :: 'a::heap\ ref \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heap$ **where**
 $set\text{-}ref\ r\ x =$
 $refs\text{-}update\ (\lambda h. h\ (RTYPE('a) := ((h\ (RTYPE('a)))\ (addr\text{-}of\text{-}ref\ r := to\text{-}nat\ x))))$

definition

$set\text{-}array :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heap$ **where**
 $set\text{-}array\ a\ x =$
 $arrays\text{-}update\ (\lambda h. h\ (RTYPE('a) := ((h\ (RTYPE('a)))\ (addr\text{-}of\text{-}array\ a := map\ to\text{-}nat\ x))))$

31.3.2 Interface operations

definition

$ref :: 'a \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a::heap\ ref \times heap$ **where**
 $ref\ x\ h = (let\ (r, h') = new-ref\ h;$
 $\quad\quad\quad h'' = set-ref\ r\ x\ h'$
 $\quad in\ (r, h''))$

definition

$array :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a::heap\ array \times heap$ **where**
 $array\ n\ x\ h = (let\ (r, h') = new-array\ h;$
 $\quad\quad\quad h'' = set-array\ r\ (replicate\ n\ x)\ h'$
 $\quad in\ (r, h''))$

definition

$array-of-list :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a::heap\ array \times heap$ **where**
 $array-of-list\ xs\ h = (let\ (r, h') = new-array\ h;$
 $\quad\quad\quad h'' = set-array\ r\ xs\ h'$
 $\quad in\ (r, h''))$

definition

$upd :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heap$ **where**
 $upd\ a\ i\ x\ h = set-array\ a\ ((get-array\ a\ h)[i:=x])\ h$

definition

$length :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $length\ a\ h = size\ (get-array\ a\ h)$

definition

$array-ran :: ('a::heap)\ option\ array \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $array-ran\ a\ h = \{e. Some\ e \in set\ (get-array\ a\ h)\}$
 — FIXME

31.3.3 Reference equality

The following relations are useful for comparing arrays and references.

definition

$noteq-refs :: ('a::heap)\ ref \Rightarrow ('b::heap)\ ref \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $=!=$ 70)
where
 $r =!= s \longleftrightarrow RTYPE('a) \neq RTYPE('b) \vee addr-of-ref\ r \neq addr-of-ref\ s$

definition

$noteq-arrs :: ('a::heap)\ array \Rightarrow ('b::heap)\ array \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $=!!=$ 70)
where
 $r =!!= s \longleftrightarrow RTYPE('a) \neq RTYPE('b) \vee addr-of-array\ r \neq addr-of-array\ s$

lemma $noteq-refs-sym: r =!= s \implies s =!= r$

and $noteq-arrs-sym: a =!!= b \implies b =!!= a$

and $unequal-refs\ [simp]: r \neq r' \longleftrightarrow r =!= r' \text{ — same types!}$

and *unequal-arrs* [simp]: $a \neq a' \longleftrightarrow a \neq\!\!= a'$
unfolding *noteq-refs-def noteq-arrs-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *present-new-ref*: $\text{ref-present } r \ h \implies r \neq\!\!= \text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ h)$
by (*simp add: ref-present-def new-ref-def ref-def Let-def noteq-refs-def*)

lemma *present-new-arr*: $\text{array-present } a \ h \implies a \neq\!\!= \text{fst } (\text{array } v \ x \ h)$
by (*simp add: array-present-def noteq-arrs-def new-array-def array-def Let-def*)

31.3.4 Properties of heap containers

Properties of imperative arrays

FIXME: Does there exist a ”canonical” array axiomatisation in the literature?

lemma *array-get-set-eq* [simp]: $\text{get-array } r \ (\text{set-array } r \ x \ h) = x$
by (*simp add: get-array-def set-array-def*)

lemma *array-get-set-neq* [simp]: $r \neq\!\!= s \implies \text{get-array } r \ (\text{set-array } s \ x \ h) = \text{get-array } r \ h$
by (*simp add: noteq-arrs-def get-array-def set-array-def*)

lemma *set-array-same* [simp]:
 $\text{set-array } r \ x \ (\text{set-array } r \ y \ h) = \text{set-array } r \ x \ h$
by (*simp add: set-array-def*)

lemma *array-set-set-swap*:
 $r \neq\!\!= r' \implies \text{set-array } r \ x \ (\text{set-array } r' \ x' \ h) = \text{set-array } r' \ x' \ (\text{set-array } r \ x \ h)$
by (*simp add: Let-def expand-fun-eq noteq-arrs-def set-array-def*)

lemma *array-ref-set-set-swap*:
 $\text{set-array } r \ x \ (\text{set-ref } r' \ x' \ h) = \text{set-ref } r' \ x' \ (\text{set-array } r \ x \ h)$
by (*simp add: Let-def expand-fun-eq set-array-def set-ref-def*)

lemma *get-array-upd-eq* [simp]:
 $\text{get-array } a \ (\text{upd } a \ i \ v \ h) = (\text{get-array } a \ h) [i := v]$
by (*simp add: upd-def*)

lemma *nth-upd-array-neq-array* [simp]:
 $a \neq\!\!= b \implies \text{get-array } a \ (\text{upd } b \ j \ v \ h) ! i = \text{get-array } a \ h ! i$
by (*simp add: upd-def noteq-arrs-def*)

lemma *get-arry-array-upd-elem-neqIndex* [simp]:
 $i \neq j \implies \text{get-array } a \ (\text{upd } a \ j \ v \ h) ! i = \text{get-array } a \ h ! i$
by *simp*

lemma *length-upd-eq* [simp]:
 $\text{length } a \ (\text{upd } a \ i \ v \ h) = \text{length } a \ h$
by (*simp add: length-def upd-def*)

lemma *length-upd-neq* [simp]:
 $\text{length } a \text{ (upd } b \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ } h) = \text{length } a \text{ } h$
by (simp add: upd-def length-def set-array-def get-array-def)

lemma *upd-swap-neqArray*:
 $a =!!= a' \implies$
 $\text{upd } a \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ (upd } a' \text{ } i' \text{ } v' \text{ } h)$
 $= \text{upd } a' \text{ } i' \text{ } v' \text{ (upd } a \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ } h)$
apply (unfold upd-def)
apply simp
apply (subst array-set-set-swap, assumption)
apply (subst array-get-set-neq)
apply (erule noteq-arrs-sym)
apply (simp)
done

lemma *upd-swap-neqIndex*:
 $\llbracket i \neq i' \rrbracket \implies \text{upd } a \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ (upd } a \text{ } i' \text{ } v' \text{ } h) = \text{upd } a \text{ } i' \text{ } v' \text{ (upd } a \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ } h)$
by (auto simp add: upd-def array-set-set-swap list-update-swap)

lemma *get-array-init-array-list*:
 $\text{get-array (fst (array-of-list } ls \text{ } h)) (snd (array-of-list } ls' \text{ } h)) = ls'$
by (simp add: Let-def split-def array-of-list-def)

lemma *set-array*:
 $\text{set-array (fst (array-of-list } ls \text{ } h))$
 $\text{new-}ls \text{ (snd (array-of-list } ls \text{ } h))$
 $= \text{snd (array-of-list new-}ls \text{ } h)$
by (simp add: Let-def split-def array-of-list-def)

lemma *array-present-upd* [simp]:
 $\text{array-present } a \text{ (upd } b \text{ } i \text{ } v \text{ } h) = \text{array-present } a \text{ } h$
by (simp add: upd-def array-present-def set-array-def get-array-def)

lemma *array-of-list-replicate*:
 $\text{array-of-list (replicate } n \text{ } x) = \text{array } n \text{ } x$
by (simp add: expand-fun-eq array-of-list-def array-def)

Properties of imperative references

lemma *next-ref-fresh* [simp]:
assumes $(r, h') = \text{new-ref } h$
shows $\neg \text{ref-present } r \text{ } h$
using *assms* **by** (cases *h*) (auto simp add: new-ref-def ref-present-def Let-def)

lemma *next-ref-present* [simp]:
assumes $(r, h') = \text{new-ref } h$
shows $\text{ref-present } r \text{ } h'$
using *assms* **by** (cases *h*) (auto simp add: new-ref-def ref-present-def Let-def)

lemma *ref-get-set-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{get-ref } r \ (\text{set-ref } r \ x \ h) = x$
by (*simp add: get-ref-def set-ref-def*)

lemma *ref-get-set-neq* [*simp*]: $r \neq s \implies \text{get-ref } r \ (\text{set-ref } s \ x \ h) = \text{get-ref } r \ h$
by (*simp add: noteq-refs-def get-ref-def set-ref-def*)

lemma *ref-set-get*: $\text{set-ref } r \ (\text{get-ref } r \ h) \ h = h$
apply (*simp add: set-ref-def get-ref-def*)
oops

lemma *set-ref-same* [*simp*]:
 $\text{set-ref } r \ x \ (\text{set-ref } r \ y \ h) = \text{set-ref } r \ x \ h$
by (*simp add: set-ref-def*)

lemma *ref-set-set-swap*:
 $r \neq r' \implies \text{set-ref } r \ x \ (\text{set-ref } r' \ x' \ h) = \text{set-ref } r' \ x' \ (\text{set-ref } r \ x \ h)$
by (*simp add: Let-def expand-fun-eq noteq-refs-def set-ref-def*)

lemma *ref-new-set*: $\text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ (\text{set-ref } r \ v' \ h)) = \text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ h)$
by (*simp add: ref-def new-ref-def set-ref-def Let-def*)

lemma *ref-get-new* [*simp*]:
 $\text{get-ref } (\text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ h)) \ (\text{snd } (\text{ref } v' \ h)) = v'$
by (*simp add: ref-def Let-def split-def*)

lemma *ref-set-new* [*simp*]:
 $\text{set-ref } (\text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ h)) \ \text{new-}v \ (\text{snd } (\text{ref } v \ h)) = \text{snd } (\text{ref } \text{new-}v \ h)$
by (*simp add: ref-def Let-def split-def*)

lemma *ref-get-new-neq*: $r \neq (\text{fst } (\text{ref } v \ h)) \implies$
 $\text{get-ref } r \ (\text{snd } (\text{ref } v \ h)) = \text{get-ref } r \ h$
by (*simp add: get-ref-def set-ref-def ref-def Let-def new-ref-def noteq-refs-def*)

lemma *lim-set-ref* [*simp*]:
 $\lim (\text{set-ref } r \ v \ h) = \lim h$
by (*simp add: set-ref-def*)

lemma *ref-present-new-ref* [*simp*]:
 $\text{ref-present } r \ h \implies \text{ref-present } r \ (\text{snd } (\text{ref } v \ h))$
by (*simp add: new-ref-def ref-present-def ref-def Let-def*)

lemma *ref-present-set-ref* [*simp*]:
 $\text{ref-present } r \ (\text{set-ref } r' \ v \ h) = \text{ref-present } r \ h$
by (*simp add: set-ref-def ref-present-def*)

lemma *array-ranI*: $\llbracket \text{Some } b = \text{get-array } a \ h \ ! \ i; i < \text{Heap.length } a \ h \rrbracket \implies b \in$


```

array-ran a h
unfolding array-ran-def Heap.length-def by simp

lemma array-ran-upd-array-Some:
  assumes cl ∈ array-ran a (Heap.upd a i (Some b) h)
  shows cl ∈ array-ran a h ∨ cl = b
proof -
  have set (get-array a h[i := Some b]) ⊆ insert (Some b) (set (get-array a h))
  by (rule set-update-subset-insert)
  with assms show ?thesis
  unfolding array-ran-def Heap.upd-def by fastsimp
qed

lemma array-ran-upd-array-None:
  assumes cl ∈ array-ran a (Heap.upd a i None h)
  shows cl ∈ array-ran a h
proof -
  have set (get-array a h[i := None]) ⊆ insert None (set (get-array a h)) by (rule
set-update-subset-insert)
  with assms show ?thesis
  unfolding array-ran-def Heap.upd-def by auto
qed

Non-interaction between imperative array and imperative references

lemma get-array-set-ref [simp]: get-array a (set-ref r v h) = get-array a h
  by (simp add: get-array-def set-ref-def)

lemma nth-set-ref [simp]: get-array a (set-ref r v h) ! i = get-array a h ! i
  by simp

lemma get-ref-upd [simp]: get-ref r (upd a i v h) = get-ref r h
  by (simp add: get-ref-def set-array-def upd-def)

lemma new-ref-upd: fst (ref v (upd a i v' h)) = fst (ref v h)
  by (simp add: set-array-def get-array-def Let-def ref-new-set upd-def ref-def new-ref-def)

not actually true ???

lemma upd-set-ref-swap: upd a i v (set-ref r v' h) = set-ref r v' (upd a i v h)
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply (simp add: Let-def upd-def)
  apply auto
oops

lemma length-new-ref [simp]:
  length a (snd (ref v h)) = length a h
  by (simp add: get-array-def set-ref-def length-def new-ref-def ref-def Let-def)

lemma get-array-new-ref [simp]:
  get-array a (snd (ref v h)) = get-array a h

```

by (*simp add: new-ref-def ref-def set-ref-def get-array-def Let-def*)

lemma *ref-present-upd* [*simp*]:

ref-present r (upd a i v h) = ref-present r h

by (*simp add: upd-def ref-present-def set-array-def get-array-def*)

lemma *array-present-set-ref* [*simp*]:

array-present a (set-ref r v h) = array-present a h

by (*simp add: array-present-def set-ref-def*)

lemma *array-present-new-ref* [*simp*]:

array-present a h \implies array-present a (snd (ref v h))

by (*simp add: array-present-def new-ref-def ref-def Let-def*)

hide (**open**) *const empty array array-of-list upd length ref*

end

32 Heap-Monad: A monad with a polymorphic heap

theory *Heap-Monad*

imports *Heap*

begin

32.1 The monad

32.1.1 Monad combinators

datatype *exception* = *Exn*

Monadic heap actions either produce values and transform the heap, or fail

datatype *'a Heap* = *Heap heap \Rightarrow ('a + exception) \times heap*

primrec

execute :: *'a Heap \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow ('a + exception) \times heap* **where**

execute (Heap f) = f

lemmas [*code del*] = *execute.simps*

lemma *Heap-execute* [*simp*]:

Heap (execute f) = f **by** (*cases f*) *simp-all*

lemma *Heap-eqI*:

($\bigwedge h. \text{execute } f \ h = \text{execute } g \ h$) $\implies f = g$

by (*cases f, cases g*) (*auto simp: expand-fun-eq*)

lemma *Heap-eqI'*:

$(\bigwedge h. (\lambda x. \text{execute } (f x) h) = (\lambda y. \text{execute } (g y) h)) \implies f = g$
by (*auto simp: expand-fun-eq intro: Heap-eqI*)

lemma *Heap-strip*: $(\bigwedge f. \text{PROP } P f) \equiv (\bigwedge g. \text{PROP } P (\text{Heap } g))$

proof

fix $g :: \text{heap} \Rightarrow ('a + \text{exception}) \times \text{heap}$

assume $\bigwedge f. \text{PROP } P f$

then show $\text{PROP } P (\text{Heap } g)$.

next

fix $f :: 'a \text{ Heap}$

assume *assm*: $\bigwedge g. \text{PROP } P (\text{Heap } g)$

then have $\text{PROP } P (\text{Heap } (\text{execute } f))$.

then show $\text{PROP } P f$ **by** *simp*

qed

definition

$\text{heap} :: (\text{heap} \Rightarrow 'a \times \text{heap}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}$ **where**

[*code del*]: $\text{heap } f = \text{Heap } (\lambda h. \text{apfst } \text{Inl } (f h))$

lemma *execute-heap* [*simp*]:

$\text{execute } (\text{heap } f) h = \text{apfst } \text{Inl } (f h)$

by (*simp add: heap-def*)

definition

$\text{run} :: 'a \text{ Heap} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}$ **where**

run-drop [*code del*]: $\text{run } f = f$

definition

$\text{bindM} :: 'a \text{ Heap} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}$ (**infixl** $>>=$ 54) **where**

[*code del*]: $f >>= g = \text{Heap } (\lambda h. \text{case } \text{execute } f h \text{ of}$

$(\text{Inl } x, h') \Rightarrow \text{execute } (g x) h'$

$| r \Rightarrow r)$

notation

bindM (**infixl** $\gg=$ 54)

abbreviation

$\text{chainM} :: 'a \text{ Heap} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}$ (**infixl** $>>$ 54) **where**

$f >> g \equiv f >>= (\lambda \cdot. g)$

notation

chainM (**infixl** \gg 54)

definition

$\text{return} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}$ **where**

[*code del*]: $\text{return } x = \text{heap } (\text{Pair } x)$

lemma *execute-return* [*simp*]:

$\text{execute } (\text{return } x) h = \text{apfst } \text{Inl } (x, h)$

by (*simp add: return-def*)

definition

raise :: *string* \Rightarrow '*a Heap* **where** — the string is just decoration
 $[code\ del]: raise\ s = Heap\ (Pair\ (Inr\ Exn))$

notation (*latex output*)

raise (*raise*)

lemma *execute-raise* [*simp*]:

execute (*raise s*) *h* = (*Inr Exn*, *h*)
by (*simp add: raise-def*)

32.1.2 do-syntax

We provide a convenient do-notation for monadic expressions well-known from Haskell. *Let* is printed specially in do-expressions.

nonterminals *do-expr*

syntax

-do :: *do-expr* \Rightarrow '*a*
 $((do\ (-))\ [12]\ 100)$
-bindM :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(- <- \cdot; / -\ [1000, 13, 12]\ 12)$
-chainM :: '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(\cdot; / -\ [13, 12]\ 12)$
-let :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(let\ - = \cdot; / -\ [1000, 13, 12]\ 12)$
-nil :: '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(-\ [12]\ 12)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

-bindM :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(- \leftarrow \cdot; / -\ [1000, 13, 12]\ 12)$

syntax (*latex output*)

-do :: *do-expr* \Rightarrow '*a*
 $((do\ (-))\ [12]\ 100)$
-let :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 $(let\ - = \cdot; / -\ [1000, 13, 12]\ 12)$

notation (*latex output*)

return (*return*)

translations

-do f \Rightarrow *CONST run f*
-bindM x f g \Rightarrow *f* \gg $(\lambda x. g)$
-chainM f g \Rightarrow *f* $\gg g$
-let x t f \Rightarrow *CONST Let t* $(\lambda x. f)$
-nil f \Rightarrow *f*

```

print-translation ⟨⟨
  let
    fun dest-abs-eta (Abs (abs as (-, ty, -))) =
      let
        val (v, t) = Syntax.variant-abs abs;
        in ((v, ty), t) end
      | dest-abs-eta t =
        let
          val (v, t) = Syntax.variant-abs (, dummyT, t $ Bound 0);
          in ((v, dummyT), t) end
    fun unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax bindM}, -) $ f $ g) =
      let
        val ((v, ty), g') = dest-abs-eta g;
        val v-used = fold-aterms
          (fn Free (w, -) => (fn s => s orelse v = w) | - => I) g' false;
        in if v-used then
          Const (-bindM, dummyT) $ Free (v, ty) $ f $ unfold-monad g'
        else
          Const (-chainM, dummyT) $ f $ unfold-monad g'
        end
      | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax chainM}, -) $ f $ g) =
        Const (-chainM, dummyT) $ f $ unfold-monad g
      | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax Let}, -) $ f $ g) =
        let
          val ((v, ty), g') = dest-abs-eta g;
          in Const (-let, dummyT) $ Free (v, ty) $ f $ unfold-monad g' end
      | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax Pair}, -) $ f) =
        Const (return, dummyT) $ f
      | unfold-monad f = f;
    fun tr' (f::ts) =
      list-comb (Const (-do, dummyT) $ unfold-monad f, ts)
  in [(@{const-syntax run}, tr')] end;
⟩⟩

```

32.1.3 Plain evaluation

definition

$evaluate :: 'a \text{ Heap} \Rightarrow 'a$

where

[code del]: $evaluate\ f = (case\ execute\ f\ Heap.empty$
 $of\ (Inl\ x, -) \Rightarrow x)$

32.2 Monad properties

32.2.1 Superfluous runs

run is just a doodle

lemma $run-simp$ [simp]:

$\bigwedge f. run\ (run\ f) = run\ f$

$\bigwedge f\ g. \text{run } f \gg= g = f \gg= g$
 $\bigwedge f\ g. \text{run } f \gg g = f \gg g$
 $\bigwedge f\ g. f \gg= (\lambda x. \text{run } g) = f \gg= (\lambda x. g)$
 $\bigwedge f\ g. f \gg \text{run } g = f \gg g$
 $\bigwedge f. f = \text{run } g \iff f = g$
 $\bigwedge f. \text{run } f = g \iff f = g$
unfolding *run-drop* **by** *rule+*

32.2.2 Monad laws

lemma *return-bind*: $\text{return } x \gg= f = f\ x$
by (*simp add: bindM-def return-def*)

lemma *bind-return*: $f \gg= \text{return} = f$

proof (*rule Heap-eqI*)

fix *h*

show *execute* ($f \gg= \text{return}$) *h* = *execute* *f h*

by (*auto simp add: bindM-def return-def split: sum.splits prod.splits*)

qed

lemma *bind-bind*: $(f \gg= g) \gg= h = f \gg= (\lambda x. g\ x \gg= h)$

by (*rule Heap-eqI*) (*auto simp add: bindM-def split: split: sum.splits prod.splits*)

lemma *bind-bind'*: $f \gg= (\lambda x. g\ x \gg= h\ x) = f \gg= (\lambda x. g\ x \gg= (\lambda y. \text{return } (x, y))) \gg= (\lambda (x, y). h\ x\ y)$

by (*rule Heap-eqI*) (*auto simp add: bindM-def split: split: sum.splits prod.splits*)

lemma *raise-bind*: $\text{raise } e \gg= f = \text{raise } e$

by (*simp add: raise-def bindM-def*)

lemmas *monad-simp* = *return-bind bind-return bind-bind raise-bind*

32.3 Generic combinators

definition

liftM :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b\ \text{Heap}$

where

liftM *f* = *return* *o f*

definition

compM :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b\ \text{Heap}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c\ \text{Heap}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c\ \text{Heap}$ (**infixl** $\gg==$ 54)

where

$(f \gg== g) = (\lambda x. f\ x \gg= g)$

notation

compM (**infixl** $\gg==$ 54)

lemma *liftM-collapse*: $\text{liftM } f\ x = \text{return } (f\ x)$

by (*simp add: liftM-def*)

lemma *liftM-compM*: $\text{liftM } f \gg== g = g \circ f$
by (*auto intro: Heap-eqI' simp add: expand-fun-eq liftM-def compM-def bindM-def*)

lemma *compM-return*: $f \gg== \text{return} = f$
by (*simp add: compM-def monad-simp*)

lemma *compM-compM*: $(f \gg== g) \gg== h = f \gg== (g \gg== h)$
by (*simp add: compM-def monad-simp*)

lemma *liftM-bind*:
 $(\lambda x. \text{liftM } f x \gg \text{liftM } g) = \text{liftM } (\lambda x. g (f x))$
by (*rule Heap-eqI' (simp add: monad-simp liftM-def bindM-def)*)

lemma *liftM-comp*:
 $\text{liftM } f \circ g = \text{liftM } (f \circ g)$
by (*rule Heap-eqI' (simp add: liftM-def)*)

lemmas *monad-simp'* = *monad-simp liftM-compM compM-return compM-compM liftM-bind liftM-comp*

primrec
 $\text{mapM} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list Heap}$
where
 $\text{mapM } f [] = \text{return } []$
 $| \text{mapM } f (x \# xs) = \text{do } y \leftarrow f x;$
 $\quad \quad \quad ys \leftarrow \text{mapM } f xs;$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{return } (y \# ys)$
 done

primrec
 $\text{foldM} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \text{ Heap}$
where
 $\text{foldM } f [] s = \text{return } s$
 $| \text{foldM } f (x \# xs) s = f x s \gg== \text{foldM } f xs$

hide (**open**) *const heap execute*

32.4 Code generator setup

32.4.1 Logical intermediate layer

definition
 $\text{Fail} :: \text{message-string} \Rightarrow \text{exception}$
where
 $[\text{code func del}]: \text{Fail } s = \text{Exn}$

definition
 $\text{raise-exc} :: \text{exception} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap}$
where

```
[code func del]: raise-exc e = raise []
```

```
lemma raise-raise-exc [code func, code inline]:
  raise s = raise-exc (Fail (STR s))
unfolding Fail-def raise-exc-def raise-def ..
```

```
hide (open) const Fail raise-exc
```

32.4.2 SML

```
code-type Heap (SML unit/ ->/ -)
code-const Heap (SML raise/ (Fail/ bare Heap))
code-monad run op >>= return () SML
code-const run (SML -)
code-const return (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ -))
code-const Heap-Monad.Fail (SML Fail)
code-const Heap-Monad.raise-exc (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ raise/ -))
```

32.4.3 OCaml

```
code-type Heap (OCaml -)
code-const Heap (OCaml failwith/ bare Heap)
code-monad run op >>= return () OCaml
code-const run (OCaml -)
code-const return (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ -))
code-const Heap-Monad.Fail (OCaml Failure)
code-const Heap-Monad.raise-exc (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ raise/ -))
```

```
code-reserved OCaml Failure raise
```

32.4.4 Haskell

Adaption layer

```
code-include Haskell STMonad
<<import qualified Control.Monad;
import qualified Control.Monad.ST;
import qualified Data.STRef;
import qualified Data.Array.ST;

type ST s a = Control.Monad.ST.ST s a;
type STRef s a = Data.STRef.STRef s a;
type STArray s a = Data.Array.ST.STArray s Integer a;

runST :: (forall s. ST s a) -> a;
runST s = Control.Monad.ST.runST s;

newSTRef = Data.STRef.newSTRef;
readSTRef = Data.STRef.readSTRef;
writeSTRef = Data.STRef.writeSTRef;
```



```

newArray :: (Integer, Integer) -> a -> ST s (STArray s a);
newArray = Data.Array.ST.newArray;

newListArray :: (Integer, Integer) -> [a] -> ST s (STArray s a);
newListArray = Data.Array.ST.newListArray;

length :: STArray s a -> ST s Integer;
length a = Control.Monad.liftM snd (Data.Array.ST.getBounds a);

readArray :: STArray s a -> Integer -> ST s a;
readArray = Data.Array.ST.readArray;

writeArray :: STArray s a -> Integer -> a -> ST s ();
writeArray = Data.Array.ST.writeArray;

code-reserved Haskell ST STRef Array
  runST
  newSTRef reasSTRef writeSTRef
  newArray newListArray bounds readArray writeArray

  Monad

code-type Heap (Haskell ST '-s -)
code-const Heap (Haskell error bare Heap))
code-const evaluate (Haskell runST)
code-monad run op >>= Haskell
code-const return (Haskell return)
code-const Heap-Monad.Fail (Haskell -)
code-const Heap-Monad.raise-exc (Haskell error)

end

```

33 Array: Monadic arrays

```

theory Array
imports Heap-Monad Code-Index
begin

```

33.1 Primitives

definition

```

new :: nat => 'a::heap => 'a array Heap where
[code del]: new n x = Heap-Monad.heap (Heap.array n x)

```

definition

```

of-list :: 'a::heap list => 'a array Heap where
[code del]: of-list xs = Heap-Monad.heap (Heap.array-of-list xs)

```

definition

$length :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow nat\ Heap$ **where**
 $[code\ del]:\ length\ arr = Heap-Monad.heap\ (\lambda h. (Heap.length\ arr\ h,\ h))$

definition

$nth :: 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap$
where
 $[code\ del]:\ nth\ a\ i = (do\ len \leftarrow length\ a;$
 $(if\ i < len$
 $then\ Heap-Monad.heap\ (\lambda h. (get-array\ a\ h\ !\ i,\ h))$
 $else\ raise\ ("array\ lookup:\ index\ out\ of\ range"))$
 $done)$

— FIXME adjution for List theory

no-syntax

$nth :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ (infixl\ !\ 100)$

abbreviation

$nth-list :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ (infixl\ !\ 100)$

where

$nth-list \equiv List.nth$

definition

$upd :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a::heap\ array \Rightarrow 'a::heap\ array\ Heap$
where
 $[code\ del]:\ upd\ i\ x\ a = (do\ len \leftarrow length\ a;$
 $(if\ i < len$
 $then\ Heap-Monad.heap\ (\lambda h. (a,\ Heap.upd\ a\ i\ x\ h))$
 $else\ raise\ ("array\ update:\ index\ out\ of\ range"))$
 $done)$

lemma upd-return:

$upd\ i\ x\ a \gg return\ a = upd\ i\ x\ a$

proof (rule Heap-eqI)

fix h

obtain $len\ h'$ **where** $Heap-Monad.execute\ (Array.length\ a)\ h = (len,\ h')$

by (cases $Heap-Monad.execute\ (Array.length\ a)\ h$)

then show $Heap-Monad.execute\ (upd\ i\ x\ a \gg return\ a)\ h = Heap-Monad.execute\ (upd\ i\ x\ a)\ h$

by (auto simp add: upd-def bindM-def run-drop split: sum.split)

qed

33.2 Derivates

definition

$map-entry :: nat \Rightarrow ('a::heap \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a\ array \Rightarrow 'a\ array\ Heap$

where

$map-entry\ i\ f\ a = (do$
 $x \leftarrow nth\ a\ i;$

```

    upd i (f x) a
  done)

```

definition

```

  swap :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a::heap array ⇒ 'a Heap

```

where

```

  swap i x a = (do
    y ← nth a i;
    upd i x a;
    return x
  done)

```

definition

```

  make :: nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a::heap) ⇒ 'a array Heap

```

where

```

  make n f = of-list (map f [0..<n])

```

definition

```

  freeze :: 'a::heap array ⇒ 'a list Heap

```

where

```

  freeze a = (do
    n ← length a;
    mapM (nth a) [0..<n]
  done)

```

definition

```

  map :: ('a::heap ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a array ⇒ 'a array Heap

```

where

```

  map f a = (do
    n ← length a;
    foldM (λn. map-entry n f) [0..<n] a
  done)

```

hide (open) *const new map* — avoid clashed with some popular names

33.3 Properties

lemma *array-make* [code func]:

```

  Array.new n x = make n (λ-. x)

```

by (induct n) (simp-all add: make-def new-def Heap-Monad.heap-def
 monad-simp array-of-list-replicate [symmetric]
 map-replicate-trivial replicate-append-same
 of-list-def)

lemma *array-of-list-make* [code func]:

```

  of-list xs = make (List.length xs) (λn. xs ! n)

```

unfolding make-def map-nth ..

33.4 Code generator setup

33.4.1 Logical intermediate layer

definition *new'* **where**

[code del]: *new'* = *Array.new* o *nat-of-index*

hide (**open**) *const new'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.new = *Array.new'* o *index-of-nat*

by (*simp add: new'-def o-def*)

definition *of-list'* **where**

[code del]: *of-list' i xs* = *Array.of-list* (*take* (*nat-of-index i*) *xs*)

hide (**open**) *const of-list'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.of-list xs = *Array.of-list'* (*index-of-nat* (*List.length xs*)) *xs*

by (*simp add: of-list'-def*)

definition *make'* **where**

[code del]: *make' i f* = *Array.make* (*nat-of-index i*) (*f* o *index-of-nat*)

hide (**open**) *const make'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.make n f = *Array.make'* (*index-of-nat n*) (*f* o *nat-of-index*)

by (*simp add: make'-def o-def*)

definition *length'* **where**

[code del]: *length'* = *Array.length* $\gg==$ *liftM index-of-nat*

hide (**open**) *const length'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.length = *Array.length'* $\gg==$ *liftM nat-of-index*

by (*simp add: length'-def monad-simp'*,
simp add: liftM-def comp-def monad-simp,
simp add: monad-simp')

definition *nth'* **where**

[code del]: *nth' a* = *Array.nth* *a* o *nat-of-index*

hide (**open**) *const nth'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.nth a n = *Array.nth'* *a* (*index-of-nat n*)

by (*simp add: nth'-def*)

definition *upd'* **where**

[code del]: *upd' a i x* = *Array.upd* (*nat-of-index i*) *x a* \gg *return* ()

hide (**open**) *const upd'*

lemma [code func]:

Array.upd i x a = *Array.upd'* *a* (*index-of-nat i*) *x* \gg *return a*

by (*simp add: upd'-def monad-simp upd-return*)

33.4.2 SML

```

code-type array (SML -/ array)
code-const Array (SML raise/ (Fail/ bare Array))
code-const Array.new' (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.array/ ((-),/ (-))))
code-const Array.of-list (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.fromList/ -))
code-const Array.make' (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.tabulate/ ((-),/ (-))))
code-const Array.length' (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.length/ -))
code-const Array.nth' (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.sub/ ((-),/ (-))))
code-const Array.upd' (SML (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.update/ ((-),/ (-),/ (-))))

```

code-reserved *SML* Array

33.4.3 OCaml

```

code-type array (OCaml -/ array)
code-const Array (OCaml failwith/ bare Array)
code-const Array.new' (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.make/ -/ -))
code-const Array.of-list (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.of'-list/ -))
code-const Array.make' (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.init/ -/ -))
code-const Array.length' (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.length/ -))
code-const Array.nth' (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.get/ -/ -))
code-const Array.upd' (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ Array.set/ -/ -/ -))

```

code-reserved *OCaml* Array

33.4.4 Haskell

```

code-type array (Haskell STArray '-s -)
code-const Array (Haskell error/ bare Array)
code-const Array.new' (Haskell newArray/ (0,/ -))
code-const Array.of-list' (Haskell newListArray/ (0,/ -))
code-const Array.length' (Haskell length)
code-const Array.nth' (Haskell readArray)
code-const Array.upd' (Haskell writeArray)

```

end

34 Ref: Monadic references

```

theory Ref
imports Heap-Monad
begin

```

Imperative reference operations; modeled after their ML counterparts.

See <http://caml.inria.fr/pub/docs/manual-caml-light/node14.15.html> and <http://www.smlnj.org/doc/level-comparison.html>

34.1 Primitives

definition

$new :: 'a::heap \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ref } Heap$ **where**
 $[code\ del]: new\ v = Heap\ Monad.heap\ (Heap.ref\ v)$

definition

$lookup :: 'a::heap \text{ ref } \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap\ (!- 61)$ **where**
 $[code\ del]: lookup\ r = Heap\ Monad.heap\ (\lambda h. (get\ ref\ r\ h, h))$

definition

$update :: 'a \text{ ref } \Rightarrow ('a::heap) \Rightarrow unit\ Heap\ (- := - 62)$ **where**
 $[code\ del]: update\ r\ e = Heap\ Monad.heap\ (\lambda h. ((), set\ ref\ r\ e\ h))$

34.2 Derivates

definition

$change :: ('a::heap \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ref } \Rightarrow 'a\ Heap$
where
 $change\ f\ r = (do\ x \leftarrow !\ r;$
 $\quad\quad\quad let\ y = f\ x;$
 $\quad\quad\quad r := y;$
 $\quad\quad\quad return\ y$
 $\quad\quad done)$

hide (open) $const\ new\ lookup\ update\ change$

34.3 Properties

lemma *lookup-chain*:

$(!r \gg f) = f$
by $(cases\ f)$
 $(auto\ simp\ add: Let\ def\ bindM\ def\ lookup\ def\ expand\ fun\ eq)$

lemma *update-change* $[code\ func]$:

$r := e = Ref.change\ (\lambda -. e)\ r \gg return\ ()$
by $(auto\ simp\ add: monad\ simp\ change\ def\ lookup\ chain)$

34.4 Code generator setup

34.4.1 SML

code-type $ref\ (SML\ -/\ ref)$
code-const $Ref\ (SML\ raise/\ (Fail/\ bare\ Ref))$
code-const $Ref.new\ (SML\ (fn/\ ()/\ ==>/ ref/\ -))$
code-const $Ref.lookup\ (SML\ (fn/\ ()/\ ==>/ !/\ -))$
code-const $Ref.update\ (SML\ (fn/\ ()/\ ==>/ -/\ :=/\ -))$

code-reserved $SML\ ref$

34.4.2 OCaml

```

code-type ref (OCaml -/ ref)
code-const Ref (OCaml failwith/ bare Ref)
code-const Ref.new (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ ref/ -))
code-const Ref.lookup (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ !/ -))
code-const Ref.update (OCaml (fn/ ()/ =>/ -/ :=/ -))

code-reserved OCaml ref

```

34.4.3 Haskell

```

code-type ref (Haskell STRef '-s -)
code-const Ref (Haskell error/ bare Ref)
code-const Ref.new (Haskell newSTRef)
code-const Ref.lookup (Haskell readSTRef)
code-const Ref.update (Haskell writeSTRef)

end

```

35 Imperative-HOL: Entry point into monadic imperative HOL

```

theory Imperative-HOL
imports Array Ref
begin

end

```

36 Infinite-Set: Infinite Sets and Related Concepts

```

theory Infinite-Set
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

36.1 Infinite Sets

Some elementary facts about infinite sets, mostly by Stefan Merz. Beware! Because “infinite” merely abbreviates a negation, these lemmas may not work well with *blast*.

```

abbreviation
  infinite :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    infinite S ==  $\neg$  finite S

```

Infinite sets are non-empty, and if we remove some elements from an infinite set, the result is still infinite.

```
lemma infinite-imp-nonempty: infinite S ==> S ≠ {}
  by auto
```

```
lemma infinite-remove:
  infinite S ==> infinite (S - {a})
  by simp
```

```
lemma Diff-infinite-finite:
  assumes T: finite T and S: infinite S
  shows infinite (S - T)
  using T
proof induct
  from S
  show infinite (S - {}) by auto
next
  fix T x
  assume ih: infinite (S - T)
  have S - (insert x T) = (S - T) - {x}
    by (rule Diff-insert)
  with ih
  show infinite (S - (insert x T))
    by (simp add: infinite-remove)
qed
```

```
lemma Un-infinite: infinite S ==> infinite (S ∪ T)
  by simp
```

```
lemma infinite-super:
  assumes T: S ⊆ T and S: infinite S
  shows infinite T
proof
  assume finite T
  with T have finite S by (simp add: finite-subset)
  with S show False by simp
qed
```

As a concrete example, we prove that the set of natural numbers is infinite.

```
lemma finite-nat-bounded:
  assumes S: finite (S::nat set)
  shows ∃ k. S ⊆ {..

```



```

show  $\exists k. ?bounded (insert\ x\ S)\ k$ 
proof (cases  $x < k$ )
  case True
    with  $k$  show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    with  $k$  have ?bounded  $S\ (Suc\ x)$  by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma finite-nat-iff-bounded:
  finite ( $S::nat\ set$ ) =  $(\exists k. S \subseteq \{.. $k\})$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  then show ?rhs by (rule finite-nat-bounded)
next
  assume ?rhs
  then obtain  $k$  where  $S \subseteq \{.. $k\}$  ..
  then show finite  $S$ 
    by (rule finite-subset) simp
qed$$ 
```

```

lemma finite-nat-iff-bounded-le:
  finite ( $S::nat\ set$ ) =  $(\exists k. S \subseteq \{.. $k\})$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  then obtain  $k$  where  $S \subseteq \{.. $k\}$ 
    by (blast dest: finite-nat-bounded)
  then have  $S \subseteq \{.. $k\}$  by auto
  then show ?rhs ..
next
  assume ?rhs
  then obtain  $k$  where  $S \subseteq \{.. $k\}$  ..
  then show finite  $S$ 
    by (rule finite-subset) simp
qed$$$$ 
```

```

lemma infinite-nat-iff-unbounded:
  infinite ( $S::nat\ set$ ) =  $(\forall m. \exists n. m < n \wedge n \in S)$ 
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  show ?rhs
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg ?rhs$ 
    then obtain  $m$  where  $m: \forall n. m < n \longrightarrow n \notin S$  by blast
    then have  $S \subseteq \{.. $m\}$ 
      by (auto simp add: sym [OF linorder-not-less])$ 
```

```

    with ⟨?lhs⟩ show False
      by (simp add: finite-nat-iff-bounded-le)
  qed
next
  assume ?rhs
  show ?lhs
  proof
    assume finite S
    then obtain m where  $S \subseteq \{..m\}$ 
      by (auto simp add: finite-nat-iff-bounded-le)
    then have  $\forall n. m < n \longrightarrow n \notin S$  by auto
    with ⟨?rhs⟩ show False by blast
  qed
qed

lemma infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le:
  infinite (S::nat set) = ( $\forall m. \exists n. m \leq n \wedge n \in S$ )
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  show ?rhs
  proof
    fix m
    from ⟨?lhs⟩ obtain n where  $m < n \wedge n \in S$ 
      by (auto simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded)
    then have  $m \leq n \wedge n \in S$  by simp
    then show  $\exists n. m \leq n \wedge n \in S$  ..
  qed
next
  assume ?rhs
  show ?lhs
  proof (auto simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded)
    fix m
    from ⟨?rhs⟩ obtain n where  $\text{Suc } m \leq n \wedge n \in S$ 
      by blast
    then have  $m < n \wedge n \in S$  by simp
    then show  $\exists n. m < n \wedge n \in S$  ..
  qed
qed

```

For a set of natural numbers to be infinite, it is enough to know that for any number larger than some k , there is some larger number that is an element of the set.

```

lemma unbounded-k-infinite:
  assumes k:  $\forall m. k < m \longrightarrow (\exists n. m < n \wedge n \in S)$ 
  shows infinite (S::nat set)
proof -
  {
    fix m have  $\exists n. m < n \wedge n \in S$ 

```

```

proof (cases  $k < m$ )
  case True
    with  $k$  show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    from  $k$  obtain  $n$  where  $\text{Suc } k < n \wedge n \in S$  by auto
    with False have  $m < n \wedge n \in S$  by auto
    then show ?thesis ..
  qed
}
then show ?thesis
by (auto simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded)
qed

```

```

lemma nat-infinite [simp]: infinite (UNIV :: nat set)
by (auto simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded)

```

```

lemma nat-not-finite [elim]: finite (UNIV :: nat set)  $\implies R$ 
by simp

```

Every infinite set contains a countable subset. More precisely we show that a set S is infinite if and only if there exists an injective function from the naturals into S .

```

lemma range-inj-infinite:
  inj ( $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ )  $\implies$  infinite (range  $f$ )
proof
  assume inj  $f$ 
  and finite (range  $f$ )
  then have finite (UNIV :: nat set)
    by (auto intro: finite-imageD simp del: nat-infinite)
  then show False by simp
qed

```

```

lemma int-infinite [simp]:
  shows infinite (UNIV :: int set)
proof –
  from inj-int have infinite (range int) by (rule range-inj-infinite)
  moreover
  have range int  $\subseteq$  (UNIV :: int set) by simp
  ultimately show infinite (UNIV :: int set) by (simp add: infinite-super)
qed

```

The “only if” direction is harder because it requires the construction of a sequence of pairwise different elements of an infinite set S . The idea is to construct a sequence of non-empty and infinite subsets of S obtained by successively removing elements of S .

```

lemma linorder-injI:
  assumes hyp:  $\forall x y. x < (y :: 'a :: \text{linorder}) \implies f x \neq f y$ 
  shows inj  $f$ 

```

```

proof (rule inj-onI)
  fix  $x\ y$ 
  assume  $f\text{-eq}: f\ x = f\ y$ 
  show  $x = y$ 
  proof (rule linorder-cases)
    assume  $x < y$ 
    with  $hyp$  have  $f\ x \neq f\ y$  by  $blast$ 
    with  $f\text{-eq}$  show  $?thesis$  by  $simp$ 
  next
    assume  $x = y$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  .
  next
    assume  $y < x$ 
    with  $hyp$  have  $f\ y \neq f\ x$  by  $blast$ 
    with  $f\text{-eq}$  show  $?thesis$  by  $simp$ 
  qed
qed

lemma infinite-countable-subset:
  assumes  $inf: infinite\ (S::'a\ set)$ 
  shows  $\exists f. inj\ (f::nat \Rightarrow 'a) \wedge range\ f \subseteq S$ 
proof –
  def  $Sseq \equiv nat\text{-}rec\ S\ (\lambda n\ T. T - \{SOME\ e. e \in T\})$ 
  def  $pick \equiv \lambda n. (SOME\ e. e \in Sseq\ n)$ 
  have  $Sseq\text{-}inf: \bigwedge n. infinite\ (Sseq\ n)$ 
  proof –
    fix  $n$ 
    show  $infinite\ (Sseq\ n)$ 
    proof (induct  $n$ )
      from  $inf$  show  $infinite\ (Sseq\ 0)$ 
      by (simp add:  $Sseq\text{-}def$ )
    next
      fix  $n$ 
      assume  $infinite\ (Sseq\ n)$  then show  $infinite\ (Sseq\ (Suc\ n))$ 
      by (simp add:  $Sseq\text{-}def\ infinite\text{-}remove$ )
    qed
  qed
  have  $Sseq\text{-}S: \bigwedge n. Sseq\ n \subseteq S$ 
  proof –
    fix  $n$ 
    show  $Sseq\ n \subseteq S$ 
    by (induct  $n$ ) (auto simp add:  $Sseq\text{-}def$ )
  qed
  have  $Sseq\text{-}pick: \bigwedge n. pick\ n \in Sseq\ n$ 
  proof –
    fix  $n$ 
    show  $pick\ n \in Sseq\ n$ 
    proof (unfold  $pick\text{-}def$ , rule  $someI\text{-}ex$ )
      from  $Sseq\text{-}inf$  have  $infinite\ (Sseq\ n)$  .

```

```

    then have  $Sseq\ n \neq \{\}$  by auto
    then show  $\exists x. x \in Sseq\ n$  by auto
  qed
qed
with  $Sseq\ S$  have  $rng: range\ pick \subseteq S$ 
  by auto
have  $pick\text{-}Sseq\text{-}gt: \bigwedge n\ m. pick\ n \notin Sseq\ (n + Suc\ m)$ 
proof -
  fix  $n\ m$ 
  show  $pick\ n \notin Sseq\ (n + Suc\ m)$ 
    by (induct  $m$ ) (auto simp add:  $Sseq\text{-}def\ pick\text{-}def$ )
  qed
have  $pick\text{-}pick: \bigwedge n\ m. pick\ n \neq pick\ (n + Suc\ m)$ 
proof -
  fix  $n\ m$ 
  from  $Sseq\text{-}pick$  have  $pick\ (n + Suc\ m) \in Sseq\ (n + Suc\ m)$  .
  moreover from  $pick\text{-}Sseq\text{-}gt$ 
  have  $pick\ n \notin Sseq\ (n + Suc\ m)$  .
  ultimately show  $pick\ n \neq pick\ (n + Suc\ m)$ 
    by auto
  qed
qed
have  $inj: inj\ pick$ 
proof (rule  $linorder\text{-}injI$ )
  fix  $i\ j :: nat$ 
  assume  $i < j$ 
  show  $pick\ i \neq pick\ j$ 
  proof
    assume  $eq: pick\ i = pick\ j$ 
    from  $\langle i < j \rangle$  obtain  $k$  where  $j = i + Suc\ k$ 
      by (auto simp add:  $less\text{-}iff\text{-}Suc\text{-}add$ )
    with  $pick\text{-}pick$  have  $pick\ i \neq pick\ j$  by simp
    with  $eq$  show  $False$  by simp
  qed
qed
qed
from  $rng\ inj$  show  $?thesis$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *infinite-iff-countable-subset*:

infinite $S = (\exists f. inj\ (f::nat \Rightarrow 'a) \wedge range\ f \subseteq S)$

by (auto simp add: *infinite-countable-subset range-inj-infinite infinite-super*)

For any function with infinite domain and finite range there is some element that is the image of infinitely many domain elements. In particular, any infinite sequence of elements from a finite set contains some element that occurs infinitely often.

lemma *inf-img-fin-dom*:

assumes *img: finite* ($f'A$) and *dom: infinite* A

shows $\exists y \in f'A. infinite\ (f - \{y\})$

proof (rule *ccontr*)

```

assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
with img have finite  $(UN\ y:f'A. f - ' \{y\})$  by (blast intro: finite-UN-I)
moreover have  $A \subseteq (UN\ y:f'A. f - ' \{y\})$  by auto
moreover note dom
ultimately show False by (simp add: infinite-super)
qed

```

```

lemma inf-img-fin-domE:
  assumes finite  $(f'A)$  and infinite  $A$ 
  obtains  $y$  where  $y \in f'A$  and infinite  $(f - ' \{y\})$ 
  using assms by (blast dest: inf-img-fin-dom)

```

36.2 Infinitely Many and Almost All

We often need to reason about the existence of infinitely many (resp., all but finitely many) objects satisfying some predicate, so we introduce corresponding binders and their proof rules.

definition

Inf-many $:: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ (**binder** *INFM* 10) **where**
Inf-many $P = infinite\ \{x. P\ x\}$

definition

Alm-all $:: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ (**binder** *MOST* 10) **where**
Alm-all $P = (\neg (INFM\ x. \neg P\ x))$

notation (*xsymbols*)

Inf-many (**binder** \exists_∞ 10) **and**
Alm-all (**binder** \forall_∞ 10)

notation (*HTML output*)

Inf-many (**binder** \exists_∞ 10) **and**
Alm-all (**binder** \forall_∞ 10)

lemma *INF-EX*:

$(\exists_\infty x. P\ x) \Longrightarrow (\exists x. P\ x)$

unfolding *Inf-many-def*

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume *inf*: *infinite* $\{x. P\ x\}$

assume $\neg ?thesis$ **then have** $\{x. P\ x\} = \{\}$ **by** *simp*

then have *finite* $\{x. P\ x\}$ **by** *simp*

with *inf* **show** *False* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *MOST-iff-finiteNeg*: $(\forall_\infty x. P\ x) = finite\ \{x. \neg P\ x\}$
by (*simp add: Alm-all-def Inf-many-def*)

lemma *ALL-MOST*: $\forall x. P\ x \Longrightarrow \forall_\infty x. P\ x$
by (*simp add: MOST-iff-finiteNeg*)

lemma *INF-mono*:

assumes $\text{inf}: \exists_{\infty} x. P\ x$ and $q: \bigwedge x. P\ x \implies Q\ x$

shows $\exists_{\infty} x. Q\ x$

proof –

from *inf* have *infinite* $\{x. P\ x\}$ **unfolding** *Inf-many-def* .

moreover from *q* have $\{x. P\ x\} \subseteq \{x. Q\ x\}$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: Inf-many-def infinite-super*)

qed

lemma *MOST-mono*: $\forall_{\infty} x. P\ x \implies (\bigwedge x. P\ x \implies Q\ x) \implies \forall_{\infty} x. Q\ x$

unfolding *Alm-all-def* **by** (*blast intro: INF-mono*)

lemma *INF-nat*: $(\exists_{\infty} n. P\ (n::\text{nat})) = (\forall m. \exists n. m < n \wedge P\ n)$

by (*simp add: Inf-many-def infinite-nat-iff-unbounded*)

lemma *INF-nat-le*: $(\exists_{\infty} n. P\ (n::\text{nat})) = (\forall m. \exists n. m \leq n \wedge P\ n)$

by (*simp add: Inf-many-def infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le*)

lemma *MOST-nat*: $(\forall_{\infty} n. P\ (n::\text{nat})) = (\exists m. \forall n. m < n \longrightarrow P\ n)$

by (*simp add: Alm-all-def INF-nat*)

lemma *MOST-nat-le*: $(\forall_{\infty} n. P\ (n::\text{nat})) = (\exists m. \forall n. m \leq n \longrightarrow P\ n)$

by (*simp add: Alm-all-def INF-nat-le*)

36.3 Enumeration of an Infinite Set

The set’s element type must be wellordered (e.g. the natural numbers).

consts

enumerate :: ‘a::wellorder set => (nat => ‘a::wellorder)

primrec

enumerate-0: *enumerate* *S* 0 = (*LEAST* *n*. *n* ∈ *S*)

enumerate-Suc: *enumerate* *S* (*Suc* *n*) = *enumerate* (*S* – {*LEAST* *n*. *n* ∈ *S*}) *n*

lemma *enumerate-Suc'*:

enumerate *S* (*Suc* *n*) = *enumerate* (*S* – {*enumerate* *S* 0}) *n*

by *simp*

lemma *enumerate-in-set*: *infinite* *S* \implies *enumerate* *S* *n* : *S*

apply (*induct n arbitrary: S*)

apply (*fastsimp intro: LeastI dest!: infinite-imp-nonempty*)

apply (*fastsimp iff: finite-Diff-singleton*)

done

declare *enumerate-0* [*simp del*] *enumerate-Suc* [*simp del*]

lemma *enumerate-step*: *infinite* *S* \implies *enumerate* *S* *n* < *enumerate* *S* (*Suc* *n*)

apply (*induct n arbitrary: S*)

apply (*rule order-le-neq-trans*)

```

apply (simp add: enumerate-0 Least-le enumerate-in-set)
apply (simp only: enumerate-Suc')
apply (subgoal-tac enumerate (S - {enumerate S 0}) 0 : S - {enumerate S
0})
apply (blast intro: sym)
apply (simp add: enumerate-in-set del: Diff-iff)
apply (simp add: enumerate-Suc')
done

```

```

lemma enumerate-mono:  $m < n \implies \text{infinite } S \implies \text{enumerate } S \ m < \text{enumerate } S \ n$ 
apply (erule less-Suc-induct)
apply (auto intro: enumerate-step)
done

```

36.4 Miscellaneous

A few trivial lemmas about sets that contain at most one element. These simplify the reasoning about deterministic automata.

definition

```

atmost-one :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
atmost-one S = ( $\forall x\ y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \longrightarrow x=y$ )

```

```

lemma atmost-one-empty:  $S = \{\} \implies \text{atmost-one } S$ 
by (simp add: atmost-one-def)

```

```

lemma atmost-one-singleton:  $S = \{x\} \implies \text{atmost-one } S$ 
by (simp add: atmost-one-def)

```

```

lemma atmost-one-unique [elim]:  $\text{atmost-one } S \implies x \in S \implies y \in S \implies y = x$ 
by (simp add: atmost-one-def)

```

end

37 ListVector: Lists as vectors

```

theory ListVector
imports Main
begin

```

A vector-space like structure of lists and arithmetic operations on them. Is only a vector space if restricted to lists of the same length.

Multiplication with a scalar:

```

abbreviation scale :: ('a::times)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list (infix *s 70)
where  $x *_{\text{s}} xs \equiv \text{map } (op * x) \ xs$ 

```


lemma *scale1[simp]*: $(1::'a::\text{monoid-mult}) *_s xs = xs$
by (*induct xs*) *simp-all*

37.1 + and −

fun *zipwith0* :: $('a::\text{zero} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{zero} \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list}$
where
zipwith0 *f* [] [] = [] |
zipwith0 *f* (*x*#*xs*) (*y*#*ys*) = *f* *x* *y* # *zipwith0* *f* *xs* *ys* |
zipwith0 *f* (*x*#*xs*) [] = *f* *x* 0 # *zipwith0* *f* *xs* [] |
zipwith0 *f* [] (*y*#*ys*) = *f* 0 *y* # *zipwith0* *f* [] *ys*

instance *list* :: $(\{\text{zero}, \text{plus}\})\text{plus}$
list-add-def : *op* + \equiv *zipwith0* (*op* +) ..

instance *list* :: $(\{\text{zero}, \text{uminus}\})\text{uminus}$
list-uminus-def: *uminus* \equiv *map* *uminus* ..

instance *list* :: $(\{\text{zero}, \text{minus}\})\text{minus}$
list-diff-def: *op* − \equiv *zipwith0* (*op* −) ..

lemma *zipwith0-Nil[simp]*: *zipwith0* *f* [] *ys* = *map* (*f* 0) *ys*
by(*induct ys*) *simp-all*

lemma *list-add-Nil[simp]*: [] + *xs* = (*xs*::'a::monoid-add list)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-add-def*)

lemma *list-add-Nil2[simp]*: *xs* + [] = (*xs*::'a::monoid-add list)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-add-def*)

lemma *list-add-Cons[simp]*: (*x*#*xs*) + (*y*#*ys*) = (*x*+*y*)#(*xs*+*ys*)
by(*auto simp:list-add-def*)

lemma *list-diff-Nil[simp]*: [] − *xs* = −(*xs*::'a::group-add list)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-diff-def list-uminus-def*)

lemma *list-diff-Nil2[simp]*: *xs* − [] = (*xs*::'a::group-add list)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-diff-def*)

lemma *list-diff-Cons-Cons[simp]*: (*x*#*xs*) − (*y*#*ys*) = (*x*−*y*)#(*xs*−*ys*)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-diff-def*)

lemma *list-uminus-Cons[simp]*: −(*x*#*xs*) = (−*x*)#(−*xs*)
by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp:list-uminus-def*)

lemma *self-list-diff*:
xs − *xs* = *replicate* (*length*(*xs*::'a::group-add list)) 0
by(*induct xs*) *simp-all*

```

lemma list-add-assoc: fixes xs :: 'a::monoid-add list
shows  $(xs+ys)+zs = xs+(ys+zs)$ 
apply(induct xs arbitrary: ys zs)
  apply simp
apply(case-tac ys)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac zs)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp add:add-assoc)
done

```

37.2 Inner product

definition *iprod* :: 'a::ring list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a ($\langle -, - \rangle$) **where**
 $\langle xs, ys \rangle = (\sum (x, y) \leftarrow \text{zip } xs \text{ } ys. x * y)$

```

lemma iprod-Nil[simp]:  $\langle [], ys \rangle = 0$ 
by(simp add:iprod-def)

```

```

lemma iprod-Nil2[simp]:  $\langle xs, [] \rangle = 0$ 
by(simp add:iprod-def)

```

```

lemma iprod-Cons[simp]:  $\langle x \# xs, y \# ys \rangle = x * y + \langle xs, ys \rangle$ 
by(simp add:iprod-def)

```

```

lemma iprod0-if-coeffs0:  $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. c = 0 \implies \langle cs, xs \rangle = 0$ 
apply(induct cs arbitrary:xs)
  apply simp
apply(case-tac xs) apply simp
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma iprod-uminus[simp]:  $\langle -xs, ys \rangle = -\langle xs, ys \rangle$ 
by(simp add: iprod-def uminus-listsum-map o-def split-def map-zip-map list-uminus-def)

```

```

lemma iprod-left-add-distrib:  $\langle xs + ys, zs \rangle = \langle xs, zs \rangle + \langle ys, zs \rangle$ 
apply(induct xs arbitrary: ys zs)
apply (simp add: o-def split-def)
apply(case-tac ys)
apply simp
apply(case-tac zs)
apply (simp)
apply(simp add:left-distrib)
done

```

```

lemma iprod-left-diff-distrib:  $\langle xs - ys, zs \rangle = \langle xs, zs \rangle - \langle ys, zs \rangle$ 
apply(induct xs arbitrary: ys zs)

```

```

apply (simp add: o-def split-def)
apply(case-tac ys)
apply simp
apply(case-tac zs)
apply (simp)
apply(simp add:left-diff-distrib)
done

lemma iprod-assoc:  $\langle x *_s xs, ys \rangle = x * \langle xs, ys \rangle$ 
apply(induct xs arbitrary: ys)
apply simp
apply(case-tac ys)
apply (simp)
apply (simp add:right-distrib mult-assoc)
done

end

```

38 Multiset: Multisets

```

theory Multiset
imports List
begin

```

38.1 The type of multisets

```

typedef 'a multiset = {f::'a => nat. finite {x . f x > 0}}
proof
  show ( $\lambda x. 0::nat$ )  $\in$  ?multiset by simp
qed

```

```

lemmas multiset-typedef [simp] =
  Abs-multiset-inverse Rep-multiset-inverse Rep-multiset
  and [simp] = Rep-multiset-inject [symmetric]

```

```

definition
  Mempty :: 'a multiset ({#}) where
    {#} = Abs-multiset ( $\lambda a. 0$ )

```

```

definition
  single :: 'a => 'a multiset where
    single a = Abs-multiset ( $\lambda b. \text{if } b = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ )

```

```

declare
  Mempty-def[code func del] single-def[code func del]

```

```

definition
  count :: 'a multiset => 'a => nat where
    count = Rep-multiset

```

definition

$MCollect :: 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset$ **where**
 $MCollect\ M\ P = Abs-multiset\ (\lambda x. \text{if } P\ x \text{ then } Rep-multiset\ M\ x \text{ else } 0)$

abbreviation

$Melem :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow bool\ ((-/ : \# -) [50, 51]\ 50)$ **where**
 $a : \# M == 0 < count\ M\ a$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$Melem$ (**infix** $\in \#$ 50)

syntax

$-MCollect :: ptnrn \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset\ ((1\ \{\# - : \# - / - \# \}))$

translations

$\{\#x : \# M. P\# \} == CONST\ MCollect\ M\ (\lambda x. P)$

definition

$set-of :: 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $set-of\ M = \{x. x : \# M\}$

instantiation $multiset :: (type)\ \{plus, minus, zero, size\}$

begin

definition

$union-def[code\ func\ del]:$
 $M + N = Abs-multiset\ (\lambda a. Rep-multiset\ M\ a + Rep-multiset\ N\ a)$

definition

$diff-def: M - N = Abs-multiset\ (\lambda a. Rep-multiset\ M\ a - Rep-multiset\ N\ a)$

definition

$Zero-multiset-def\ [simp]: 0 = \{\#\}$

definition

$size-def[code\ func\ del]: size\ M = setsum\ (count\ M)\ (set-of\ M)$

instance ..

end

definition

$multiset-inter :: 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset$ (**infixl** $\# \cap$ 70) **where**
 $multiset-inter\ A\ B = A - (A - B)$

Multiset Enumeration

syntax

$-multiset :: args \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset\ (\{\#(-)\#\})$

translations

$$\{\#x, xs\# \} == \{\#x\# \} + \{\#xs\# \}$$

$$\{\#x\# \} == \text{CONST single } x$$

Preservation of the representing set *multiset*.

lemma *const0-in-multiset*: $(\lambda a. 0) \in \text{multiset}$
by (*simp add: multiset-def*)

lemma *only1-in-multiset*: $(\lambda b. \text{if } b = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \in \text{multiset}$
by (*simp add: multiset-def*)

lemma *union-preserves-multiset*:
 $M \in \text{multiset} ==> N \in \text{multiset} ==> (\lambda a. M a + N a) \in \text{multiset}$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)
apply (*drule (1) finite-UnI*)
apply (*simp del: finite-Un add: Un-def*)
done

lemma *diff-preserves-multiset*:
 $M \in \text{multiset} ==> (\lambda a. M a - N a) \in \text{multiset}$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)
apply (*rule finite-subset*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma *MCollect-preserves-multiset*:
 $M \in \text{multiset} ==> (\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } M x \text{ else } 0) \in \text{multiset}$
apply (*simp add: multiset-def*)
apply (*rule finite-subset, auto*)
done

lemmas *in-multiset = const0-in-multiset only1-in-multiset*
union-preserves-multiset diff-preserves-multiset MCollect-preserves-multiset

38.2 Algebraic properties

38.2.1 Union

lemma *union-empty* [*simp*]: $M + \{\#\} = M \wedge \{\#\} + M = M$
by (*simp add: union-def Mempty-def in-multiset*)

lemma *union-commute*: $M + N = N + (M::'a \text{ multiset})$
by (*simp add: union-def add-ac in-multiset*)

lemma *union-assoc*: $(M + N) + K = M + (N + (K::'a \text{ multiset}))$
by (*simp add: union-def add-ac in-multiset*)

lemma *union-lcomm*: $M + (N + K) = N + (M + (K::'a \text{ multiset}))$
proof –
have $M + (N + K) = (N + K) + M$ **by** (*rule union-commute*)

also have $\dots = N + (K + M)$ by (rule union-*assoc*)
 also have $K + M = M + K$ by (rule union-*commute*)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemmas union-*ac* = union-*assoc* union-*commute* union-*lcomm*

instance multiset :: (type) comm-monoid-add

proof

fix a b c :: 'a multiset

show $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$ by (rule union-*assoc*)

show $a + b = b + a$ by (rule union-*commute*)

show $0 + a = a$ by simp

qed

38.2.2 Difference

lemma diff-empty [simp]: $M - \{\#\} = M \wedge \{\#\} - M = \{\#\}$
 by (simp add: Mempty-def diff-def in-multiset)

lemma diff-union-inverse2 [simp]: $M + \{\#a\# \} - \{\#a\# \} = M$
 by (simp add: union-def diff-def in-multiset)

lemma diff-cancel: $A - A = \{\#\}$
 by (simp add: diff-def Mempty-def)

38.2.3 Count of elements

lemma count-empty [simp]: $\text{count } \{\#\} a = 0$
 by (simp add: count-def Mempty-def in-multiset)

lemma count-single [simp]: $\text{count } \{\#b\# \} a = (\text{if } b = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 by (simp add: count-def single-def in-multiset)

lemma count-union [simp]: $\text{count } (M + N) a = \text{count } M a + \text{count } N a$
 by (simp add: count-def union-def in-multiset)

lemma count-diff [simp]: $\text{count } (M - N) a = \text{count } M a - \text{count } N a$
 by (simp add: count-def diff-def in-multiset)

lemma count-MCollect [simp]:
 $\text{count } \{\# x:\#M. P x \# \} a = (\text{if } P a \text{ then } \text{count } M a \text{ else } 0)$
 by (simp add: count-def MCollect-def in-multiset)

38.2.4 Set of elements

lemma set-of-empty [simp]: $\text{set-of } \{\#\} = \{\}$
 by (simp add: set-of-def)

lemma set-of-single [simp]: $\text{set-of } \{\#b\# \} = \{b\}$

by (*simp add: set-of-def*)

lemma *set-of-union* [*simp*]: $\text{set-of } (M + N) = \text{set-of } M \cup \text{set-of } N$
by (*auto simp add: set-of-def*)

lemma *set-of-eq-empty-iff* [*simp*]: $(\text{set-of } M = \{\}) = (M = \{\#\})$
by (*auto simp: set-of-def Mempty-def in-multiset count-def expand-fun-eq [where f=Rep-multiset M]*)

lemma *mem-set-of-iff* [*simp*]: $(x \in \text{set-of } M) = (x :\# M)$
by (*auto simp add: set-of-def*)

lemma *set-of-MCollect* [*simp*]: $\text{set-of } \{\# x:\# M. P x \#\} = \text{set-of } M \cap \{x. P x\}$
by (*auto simp add: set-of-def*)

38.2.5 Size

lemma *size-empty* [*simp,code func*]: $\text{size } \{\#\} = 0$
by (*simp add: size-def*)

lemma *size-single* [*simp,code func*]: $\text{size } \{\#b\#\} = 1$
by (*simp add: size-def*)

lemma *finite-set-of* [*iff*]: *finite* (*set-of* *M*)
using *Rep-multiset [of M]* **by** (*simp add: multiset-def set-of-def count-def*)

lemma *setsum-count-Int*:
finite A ==> setsum (count N) (A \cap set-of N) = setsum (count N) A
apply (*induct rule: finite-induct*)
apply *simp*
apply (*simp add: Int-insert-left set-of-def*)
done

lemma *size-union*[*simp,code func*]: $\text{size } (M + N::'a \text{ multiset}) = \text{size } M + \text{size } N$
apply (*unfold size-def*)
apply (*subgoal-tac count (M + N) = ($\lambda a. \text{count } M a + \text{count } N a$)*)
prefer 2
apply (*rule ext, simp*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: setsum-Un-nat setsum-addf setsum-count-Int*)
apply (*subst Int-commute*)
apply (*simp (no-asm-simp) add: setsum-count-Int*)
done

lemma *size-eq-0-iff-empty* [*iff*]: $(\text{size } M = 0) = (M = \{\#\})$
apply (*unfold size-def Mempty-def count-def, auto simp: in-multiset*)
apply (*simp add: set-of-def count-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq*)
done

lemma *nonempty-has-size*: $(S \neq \{\#\}) = (0 < \text{size } S)$

by (metis gr0I gr-implies-not0 size-empty size-eq-0-iff-empty)

lemma size-eq-Suc-imp-elem: $\text{size } M = \text{Suc } n \implies \exists a. a : \# M$
 apply (unfold size-def)
 apply (drule setsum-SucD)
 apply auto
 done

38.2.6 Equality of multisets

lemma multiset-eq-conv-count-eq: $(M = N) = (\forall a. \text{count } M \ a = \text{count } N \ a)$
 by (simp add: count-def expand-fun-eq)

lemma single-not-empty [simp]: $\{\#a\# \neq \{\#\} \wedge \{\#\} \neq \{\#a\#\}$
 by (simp add: single-def Mempty-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma single-eq-single [simp]: $(\{\#a\# = \{\#b\#\}) = (a = b)$
 by (auto simp add: single-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma union-eq-empty [iff]: $(M + N = \{\#\}) = (M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#\})$
 by (auto simp add: union-def Mempty-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma empty-eq-union [iff]: $(\{\#\} = M + N) = (M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#\})$
 by (auto simp add: union-def Mempty-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma union-right-cancel [simp]: $(M + K = N + K) = (M = (N :: 'a \text{ multiset}))$
 by (simp add: union-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma union-left-cancel [simp]: $(K + M = K + N) = (M = (N :: 'a \text{ multiset}))$
 by (simp add: union-def in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

lemma union-is-single:
 $(M + N = \{\#a\#) = (M = \{\#a\# \wedge N = \{\#\} \vee M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#a\#)$
 apply (simp add: Mempty-def single-def union-def in-multiset add-is-1 expand-fun-eq)
 apply blast
 done

lemma single-is-union:
 $(\{\#a\# = M + N) \longleftrightarrow (\{\#a\# = M \wedge N = \{\#\} \vee M = \{\#\} \wedge \{\#a\# = N)$
 apply (unfold Mempty-def single-def union-def)
 apply (simp add: add-is-1 one-is-add in-multiset expand-fun-eq)
 apply (blast dest: sym)
 done

lemma add-eq-conv-diff:
 $(M + \{\#a\# = N + \{\#b\#) =$
 $(M = N \wedge a = b \vee M = N - \{\#a\# + \{\#b\# \wedge N = M - \{\#b\# + \{\#a\#)$


```

using [[simproc del: neq]]
apply (unfold single-def union-def diff-def)
apply (simp (no-asm) add: in-multiset expand-fun-eq)
apply (rule conjI, force, safe, simp-all)
apply (simp add: eq-sym-conv)
done

declare Rep-multiset-inject [symmetric, simp del]

instance multiset :: (type) cancel-ab-semigroup-add
proof
  fix a b c :: 'a multiset
  show  $a + b = a + c \implies b = c$  by simp
qed

lemma insert-DiffM:
   $x \in\# M \implies \{\#x\# \} + (M - \{\#x\# \}) = M$ 
by (clarsimp simp: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq)

lemma insert-DiffM2[simp]:
   $x \in\# M \implies M - \{\#x\# \} + \{\#x\# \} = M$ 
by (clarsimp simp: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq)

lemma multi-union-self-other-eq:
   $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) + X = A + Y \implies X = Y$ 
by (induct A arbitrary: X Y) auto

lemma multi-self-add-other-not-self[simp]:  $(A = A + \{\#x\# \}) = \text{False}$ 
by (metis single-not-empty union-empty union-left-cancel)

lemma insert-noteq-member:
  assumes BC:  $B + \{\#b\# \} = C + \{\#c\# \}$ 
  and bnotc:  $b \neq c$ 
  shows  $c \in\# B$ 
proof –
  have  $c \in\# C + \{\#c\# \}$  by simp
  have nc:  $\neg c \in\# \{\#b\# \}$  using bnotc by simp
  then have  $c \in\# B + \{\#b\# \}$  using BC by simp
  then show  $c \in\# B$  using nc by simp
qed

lemma add-eq-conv-ex:
   $(M + \{\#a\# \} = N + \{\#b\# \}) =$ 
   $(M = N \wedge a = b \vee (\exists K. M = K + \{\#b\# \} \wedge N = K + \{\#a\# \}))$ 
by (auto simp add: add-eq-conv-diff)

lemma empty-multiset-count:

```

$(\forall x. \text{count } A \ x = 0) = (A = \{\#\})$
by (*metis count-empty multiset-eq-conv-count-eq*)

38.2.7 Intersection

lemma *multiset-inter-count*:
 $\text{count } (A \ \#\cap B) \ x = \min (\text{count } A \ x) (\text{count } B \ x)$
by (*simp add: multiset-inter-def min-def*)

lemma *multiset-inter-commute*: $A \ \#\cap B = B \ \#\cap A$
by (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq multiset-inter-count min-max.inf-commute*)

lemma *multiset-inter-assoc*: $A \ \#\cap (B \ \#\cap C) = A \ \#\cap B \ \#\cap C$
by (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq multiset-inter-count min-max.inf-assoc*)

lemma *multiset-inter-left-commute*: $A \ \#\cap (B \ \#\cap C) = B \ \#\cap (A \ \#\cap C)$
by (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq multiset-inter-count min-def*)

lemmas *multiset-inter-ac* =
multiset-inter-commute
multiset-inter-assoc
multiset-inter-left-commute

lemma *multiset-inter-single*: $a \neq b \implies \{\#a\# \} \ \#\cap \ \{\#b\# \} = \{\#\}$
by (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq multiset-inter-count*)

lemma *multiset-union-diff-commute*: $B \ \#\cap C = \{\#\} \implies A + B - C = A - C + B$
apply (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq multiset-inter-count min-def split-if-asm*)
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*erule-tac x = a in allE*)
apply *auto*
done

38.2.8 Comprehension (filter)

lemma *MCollect-empty*[*simp, code func*]: $MCollect \ \{\#\} \ P = \{\#\}$
by (*simp add: MCollect-def Mempty-def Abs-multiset-inject in-multiset expand-fun-eq*)

lemma *MCollect-single*[*simp, code func*]:
 $MCollect \ \{\#x\# \} \ P = (\text{if } P \ x \text{ then } \{\#x\# \} \text{ else } \{\#\})$
by (*simp add: MCollect-def Mempty-def single-def Abs-multiset-inject in-multiset expand-fun-eq*)

lemma *MCollect-union*[*simp, code func*]:
 $MCollect \ (M+N) \ f = MCollect \ M \ f + MCollect \ N \ f$

by (simp add: MCollect-def union-def Abs-multiset-inject
in-multiset expand-fun-eq)

38.3 Induction and case splits

lemma *setsum-decr*:

```

  finite F ==> (0::nat) < f a ==>
    setsum (f (a := f a - 1)) F = (if a∈F then setsum f F - 1 else setsum f F)
apply (induct rule: finite-induct)
apply auto
apply (drule-tac a = a in mk-disjoint-insert, auto)
done

```

lemma *rep-multiset-induct-aux*:

```

assumes 1: P (λa. (0::nat))
  and 2: !!f b. f ∈ multiset ==> P f ==> P (f (b := f b + 1))
shows ∀f. f ∈ multiset --> setsum f {x. f x ≠ 0} = n --> P f
apply (unfold multiset-def)
apply (induct-tac n, simp, clarify)
apply (subgoal-tac f = (λa. 0))
apply simp
apply (rule 1)
apply (rule ext, force, clarify)
apply (frule setsum-SucD, clarify)
apply (rename-tac a)
apply (subgoal-tac finite {x. (f (a := f a - 1)) x > 0})
prefer 2
apply (rule finite-subset)
prefer 2
apply assumption
apply simp
apply blast
apply (subgoal-tac f = (f (a := f a - 1))(a := (f (a := f a - 1)) a + 1))
prefer 2
apply (rule ext)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (erule ssubst, rule 2 [unfolded multiset-def], blast)
apply (erule allE, erule impE, erule-tac [2] mp, blast)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: setsum-decr del: fun-upd-apply One-nat-def)
apply (subgoal-tac {x. x ≠ a --> f x ≠ 0} = {x. f x ≠ 0})
prefer 2
apply blast
apply (subgoal-tac {x. x ≠ a ∧ f x ≠ 0} = {x. f x ≠ 0} - {a})
prefer 2
apply blast
apply (simp add: le-imp-diff-is-add setsum-diff1-nat cong: conj-cong)
done

```

theorem *rep-multiset-induct*:

```

  f ∈ multiset ==> P (λa. 0) ==>
    (!!f b. f ∈ multiset ==> P f ==> P (f (b := f b + 1))) ==> P f
using rep-multiset-induct-aux by blast

theorem multiset-induct [case-names empty add, induct type: multiset]:
  assumes empty: P {#}
    and add: !!M x. P M ==> P (M + {#x#})
  shows P M
proof -
  note defns = union-def single-def Mempty-def
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule Rep-multiset-inverse [THEN subst])
    apply (rule Rep-multiset [THEN rep-multiset-induct])
    apply (rule empty [unfolded defns])
    apply (subgoal-tac f(b := f b + 1) = (λa. f a + (if a=b then 1 else 0)))
    prefer 2
    apply (simp add: expand-fun-eq)
    apply (erule ssubst)
    apply (erule Abs-multiset-inverse [THEN subst])
    apply (drule add [unfolded defns, simplified])
    apply (simp add: in-multiset)
  done
qed

lemma multi-nonempty-split: M ≠ {#} ==> ∃ A a. M = A + {#a#}
by (induct M) auto

lemma multiset-cases [cases type, case-names empty add]:
  assumes em: M = {#} ==> P
  assumes add: ∧N x. M = N + {#x#} ==> P
  shows P
proof (cases M = {#})
  assume M = {#} then show ?thesis using em by simp
next
  assume M ≠ {#}
  then obtain M' m where M = M' + {#m#}
    by (blast dest: multi-nonempty-split)
  then show ?thesis using add by simp
qed

lemma multi-member-split: x ∈# M ==> ∃ A. M = A + {#x#}
apply (cases M)
  apply simp
  apply (rule-tac x=M - {#x#} in exI, simp)
done

lemma multiset-partition: M = {# x:#M. P x #} + {# x:#M. ¬ P x #}
apply (subst multiset-eq-conv-count-eq)
apply auto

```

done

declare *multiset-typedef* [*simp del*]

lemma *multi-drop-mem-not-eq*: $c \in \# B \implies B - \{\#c\} \neq B$
 by (cases $B = \{\#\}$) (auto dest: *multi-member-split*)

38.4 Orderings

38.4.1 Well-foundedness

definition

$mult1 :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \implies ('a \text{ multiset} \times 'a \text{ multiset}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $mult1 \ r =$
 $\{(N, M). \exists a \ M0 \ K. M = M0 + \{\#a\} \wedge N = M0 + K \wedge$
 $(\forall b. b : \# K \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r)\}$

definition

$mult :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \implies ('a \text{ multiset} \times 'a \text{ multiset}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $mult \ r = (mult1 \ r)^+$

lemma *not-less-empty* [*iff*]: $(M, \{\#\}) \notin mult1 \ r$
 by (*simp add: mult1-def*)

lemma *less-add*: $(N, M0 + \{\#a\}) \in mult1 \ r \implies$
 $(\exists M. (M, M0) \in mult1 \ r \wedge N = M + \{\#a\}) \vee$
 $(\exists K. (\forall b. b : \# K \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r) \wedge N = M0 + K)$
 (is \implies ?*case1* ($mult1 \ r$) \vee ?*case2*)

proof (*unfold mult1-def*)

let $?r = \lambda K \ a. \forall b. b : \# K \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r$
 let $?R = \lambda N \ M. \exists a \ M0 \ K. M = M0 + \{\#a\} \wedge N = M0 + K \wedge ?r \ K \ a$
 let $?case1 = ?case1 \ \{(N, M). ?R \ N \ M\}$

assume $(N, M0 + \{\#a\}) \in \{(N, M). ?R \ N \ M\}$

then have $\exists a' \ M0' \ K.$

$M0 + \{\#a\} = M0' + \{\#a'\} \wedge N = M0' + K \wedge ?r \ K \ a'$ **by** *simp*

then show $?case1 \vee ?case2$

proof (*elim exE conjE*)

fix $a' \ M0' \ K$

assume $N: N = M0' + K$ **and** $r: ?r \ K \ a'$

assume $M0 + \{\#a\} = M0' + \{\#a'\}$

then have $M0 = M0' \wedge a = a' \vee$

$(\exists K'. M0 = K' + \{\#a'\} \wedge M0' = K' + \{\#a\})$

by (*simp only: add-eq-conv-ex*)

then show *?thesis*

proof (*elim disjE conjE exE*)

assume $M0 = M0' \wedge a = a'$

with $N \ r$ **have** $?r \ K \ a \wedge N = M0 + K$ **by** *simp*

then have $?case2 \ ..$ **then show** *?thesis* **..**

next

```

fix  $K'$ 
assume  $M0' = K' + \{\#a\#\}$ 
with  $N$  have  $n: N = K' + K + \{\#a\#\}$  by (simp add: union-ac)

assume  $M0 = K' + \{\#a'\#\}$ 
with  $r$  have  $?R (K' + K) M0$  by blast
with  $n$  have  $?case1$  by simp then show  $?thesis$  ..
qed
qed
qed

lemma all-accessible:  $wf\ r ==> \forall M. M \in acc\ (mult1\ r)$ 
proof
  let  $?R = mult1\ r$ 
  let  $?W = acc\ ?R$ 
  {
    fix  $M\ M0\ a$ 
    assume  $M0: M0 \in ?W$ 
    and wf-hyp:  $!!b. (b, a) \in r ==> (\forall M \in ?W. M + \{\#b\#\} \in ?W)$ 
    and acc-hyp:  $\forall M. (M, M0) \in ?R --> M + \{\#a\#\} \in ?W$ 
    have  $M0 + \{\#a\#\} \in ?W$ 
    proof (rule accI [of M0 + {\#a\#}])
      fix  $N$ 
      assume  $(N, M0 + \{\#a\#\}) \in ?R$ 
      then have  $(\exists M. (M, M0) \in ?R \wedge N = M + \{\#a\#\}) \vee$ 
         $(\exists K. (\forall b. b : \# K --> (b, a) \in r) \wedge N = M0 + K)$ 
      by (rule less-add)
      then show  $N \in ?W$ 
    proof (elim exE disjE conjE)
      fix  $M$  assume  $(M, M0) \in ?R$  and  $N: N = M + \{\#a\#\}$ 
      from acc-hyp have  $(M, M0) \in ?R --> M + \{\#a\#\} \in ?W$  ..
      from this and  $\langle (M, M0) \in ?R \rangle$  have  $M + \{\#a\#\} \in ?W$  ..
      then show  $N \in ?W$  by (simp only: N)
    next
    fix  $K$ 
    assume  $N: N = M0 + K$ 
    assume  $\forall b. b : \# K --> (b, a) \in r$ 
    then have  $M0 + K \in ?W$ 
    proof (induct K)
      case empty
      from  $M0$  show  $M0 + \{\#\} \in ?W$  by simp
    next
    case (add K x)
    from add.prems have  $(x, a) \in r$  by simp
    with wf-hyp have  $\forall M \in ?W. M + \{\#x\#\} \in ?W$  by blast
    moreover from add have  $M0 + K \in ?W$  by simp
    ultimately have  $(M0 + K) + \{\#x\#\} \in ?W$  ..
    then show  $M0 + (K + \{\#x\#\}) \in ?W$  by (simp only: union-assoc)
  }
qed

```

```

    then show  $N \in ?W$  by (simp only:  $N$ )
  qed
qed
} note tedious-reasoning = this

assume wf: wf r
fix M
show  $M \in ?W$ 
proof (induct M)
  show  $\{\#\} \in ?W$ 
  proof (rule accI)
    fix b assume  $(b, \{\#\}) \in ?R$ 
    with not-less-empty show  $b \in ?W$  by contradiction
  qed
qed

fix M a assume  $M \in ?W$ 
from wf have  $\forall M \in ?W. M + \{\#a\# \} \in ?W$ 
proof induct
  fix a
  assume r:  $\forall b. (b, a) \in r \implies (\forall M \in ?W. M + \{\#b\# \} \in ?W)$ 
  show  $\forall M \in ?W. M + \{\#a\# \} \in ?W$ 
  proof
    fix M assume  $M \in ?W$ 
    then show  $M + \{\#a\# \} \in ?W$ 
    by (rule acc-induct) (rule tedious-reasoning [OF - r])
  qed
qed
qed
from this and  $\langle M \in ?W \rangle$  show  $M + \{\#a\# \} \in ?W$  ..
qed
qed

theorem wf-mult1: wf r  $\implies$  wf (mult1 r)
by (rule acc-wfI) (rule all-accessible)

theorem wf-mult: wf r  $\implies$  wf (mult r)
unfolding mult-def by (rule wf-trancl) (rule wf-mult1)

```

38.4.2 Closure-free presentation

lemma diff-union-single-conv: $a : \# J \implies I + J - \{\#a\# \} = I + (J - \{\#a\# \})$
 by (simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq)

One direction.

lemma mult-implies-one-step:

```

trans r  $\implies (M, N) \in mult\ r \implies$ 
   $\exists I\ J\ K. N = I + J \wedge M = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall k \in set-of\ K. \exists j \in set-of\ J. (k, j) \in r)$ 
apply (unfold mult-def mult1-def set-of-def)
apply (erule converse-trancl-induct, clarify)
apply (rule tac x = M0 in exI, simp, clarify)

```

```

apply (case-tac  $a : \# K$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = I$  in  $exI$ )
apply (simp (no-asm))
apply (rule-tac  $x = (K - \{\#a\# \}) + Ka$  in  $exI$ )
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: union-assoc [symmetric])
apply (drule-tac  $f = \lambda M. M - \{\#a\# \}$  in arg-cong)
apply (simp add: diff-union-single-conv)
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: trans-def)
apply blast
apply (subgoal-tac  $a : \# I$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = I - \{\#a\# \}$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = J + \{\#a\# \}$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = K + Ka$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq split: nat-diff-split)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (drule-tac  $f = \lambda M. M - \{\#a\# \}$  in arg-cong, simp)
apply (simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq split: nat-diff-split)
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: trans-def)
apply blast
apply (subgoal-tac  $a : \# (M0 + \{\#a\# \})$ )
apply simp
apply (simp (no-asm))
done

```

lemma *elem-imp-eq-diff-union*: $a : \# M \implies M = M - \{\#a\# \} + \{\#a\# \}$
by (*simp* *add*: *multiset-eq-conv-count-eq*)

lemma *size-eq-Suc-imp-eq-union*: $\text{size } M = \text{Suc } n \implies \exists a N. M = N + \{\#a\# \}$
apply (*erule* *size-eq-Suc-imp-elem* [*THEN* exE])
apply (*drule* *elem-imp-eq-diff-union*, *auto*)
done

lemma *one-step-implies-mult-aux*:

```

trans  $r \implies$ 
 $\forall I J K. (\text{size } J = n \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in \text{set-of } K. \exists j \in \text{set-of } J. (k, j) \in r))$ 
 $\longrightarrow (I + K, I + J) \in \text{mult } r$ 
apply (induct-tac  $n$ , auto)
apply (frule size-eq-Suc-imp-eq-union, clarify)
apply (rename-tac  $J'$ , simp)
apply (erule notE, auto)
apply (case-tac  $J' = \{\#\}$ )
apply (simp add: mult-def)
apply (rule r-into-trancl)
apply (simp add: mult1-def set-of-def, blast)

```

Now we know $J' \neq \{\#\}$.

```

apply (cut-tac  $M = K$  and  $P = \lambda x. (x, a) \in r$  in multiset-partition)
apply (erule-tac  $P = \forall k \in \text{set-of } K. ?P k$  in rev-mp)
apply (erule ssubst)

```



```

apply (simp add: Ball-def, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac
  (( $I + \{\# x : \# K. (x, a) \in r \#\} + \{\# x : \# K. (x, a) \notin r \#\},$ 
    ( $I + \{\# x : \# K. (x, a) \in r \#\} + J'$ )  $\in$  mult  $r$ )
  prefer 2
  apply force
apply (simp (no-asm-use) add: union-assoc [symmetric] mult-def)
apply (erule trancl-trans)
apply (rule r-into-trancl)
apply (simp add: mult1-def set-of-def)
apply (rule-tac  $x = a$  in  $exI$ )
apply (rule-tac  $x = I + J'$  in  $exI$ )
apply (simp add: union-ac)
done

lemma one-step-implies-mult:
   $trans\ r ==> J \neq \{\#\} ==> \forall k \in set-of\ K. \exists j \in set-of\ J. (k, j) \in r$ 
   $==> (I + K, I + J) \in mult\ r$ 
using one-step-implies-mult-aux by blast

```

38.4.3 Partial-order properties

```

instantiation multiset :: (order) order
begin

```

definition

less-multiset-def: $M' < M \longleftrightarrow (M', M) \in mult\ \{(x', x). x' < x\}$

definition

le-multiset-def: $M' \leq M \longleftrightarrow M' = M \vee M' < (M::'a\ multiset)$

lemma *trans-base-order*: $trans\ \{(x', x). x' < (x::'a::order)\}$

unfolding *trans-def* **by** (blast intro: order-less-trans)

Irreflexivity.

lemma *mult-irrefl-aux*:

$finite\ A ==> (\forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. x < (y::'a::order)) \implies A = \{\}$

by (induct rule: finite-induct) (auto intro: order-less-trans)

lemma *mult-less-not-refl*: $\neg M < (M::'a::order\ multiset)$

apply (unfold less-multiset-def, auto)

apply (drule trans-base-order [THEN mult-implies-one-step], auto)

apply (drule finite-set-of [THEN mult-irrefl-aux [rule-format (no-asm)]]])

apply (simp add: set-of-eq-empty-iff)

done

lemma *mult-less-irrefl* [elim!]: $M < (M::'a::order\ multiset) ==> R$

using insert mult-less-not-refl **by** fast

Transitivity.

theorem *mult-less-trans*: $K < M \implies M < N \implies K < (N::'a::\text{order multiset})$
unfolding *less-multiset-def mult-def* **by** (*blast intro: trancl-trans*)

Asymmetry.

theorem *mult-less-not-sym*: $M < N \implies \neg N < (M::'a::\text{order multiset})$
apply *auto*
apply (*rule mult-less-not-refl [THEN notE]*)
apply (*erule mult-less-trans, assumption*)
done

theorem *mult-less-asm*:
 $M < N \implies (\neg P \implies N < (M::'a::\text{order multiset})) \implies P$
using *mult-less-not-sym* **by** *blast*

theorem *mult-le-refl [iff]*: $M \leq (M::'a::\text{order multiset})$
unfolding *le-multiset-def* **by** *auto*

Anti-symmetry.

theorem *mult-le-antisym*:
 $M \leq N \implies N \leq M \implies M = (N::'a::\text{order multiset})$
unfolding *le-multiset-def* **by** (*blast dest: mult-less-not-sym*)

Transitivity.

theorem *mult-le-trans*:
 $K \leq M \implies M \leq N \implies K \leq (N::'a::\text{order multiset})$
unfolding *le-multiset-def* **by** (*blast intro: mult-less-trans*)

theorem *mult-less-le*: $(M < N) = (M \leq N \wedge M \neq (N::'a::\text{order multiset}))$
unfolding *le-multiset-def* **by** *auto*

instance
apply *intro-classes*
apply (*rule mult-less-le*)
apply (*rule mult-le-refl*)
apply (*erule mult-le-trans, assumption*)
apply (*erule mult-le-antisym, assumption*)
done

end

38.4.4 Monotonicity of multiset union

lemma *mult1-union*:
 $(B, D) \in \text{mult1 } r \implies \text{trans } r \implies (C + B, C + D) \in \text{mult1 } r$
apply (*unfold mult1-def*)
apply *auto*
apply (*rule-tac x = a in exI*)
apply (*rule-tac x = C + M0 in exI*)
apply (*simp add: union-assoc*)

done

```
lemma union-less-mono2:  $B < D \implies C + B < C + (D::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
apply (unfold less-multiset-def mult-def)
apply (erule trancl-induct)
  apply (blast intro: mult1-union transI order-less-trans r-into-trancl)
apply (blast intro: mult1-union transI order-less-trans r-into-trancl trancl-trans)
done
```

```
lemma union-less-mono1:  $B < D \implies B + C < D + (C::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
apply (subst union-commute [of B C])
apply (subst union-commute [of D C])
apply (erule union-less-mono2)
done
```

```
lemma union-less-mono:
 $A < C \implies B < D \implies A + B < C + (D::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
by (blast intro!: union-less-mono1 union-less-mono2 mult-less-trans)
```

```
lemma union-le-mono:
 $A \leq C \implies B \leq D \implies A + B \leq C + (D::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
unfolding le-multiset-def
by (blast intro: union-less-mono union-less-mono1 union-less-mono2)
```

```
lemma empty-leI [iff]:  $\{\#\} \leq (M::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
apply (unfold le-multiset-def less-multiset-def)
apply (case-tac  $M = \{\#\}$ )
  prefer 2
  apply (subgoal-tac ( $\{\#\} + \{\#\}, \{\#\} + M \in \text{mult } (\text{Collect } (\text{split op } <)))$ )
  prefer 2
  apply (rule one-step-implies-mult)
    apply (simp only: trans-def)
    apply auto
done
```

```
lemma union-upper1:  $A \leq A + (B::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
proof -
  have  $A + \{\#\} \leq A + B$  by (blast intro: union-le-mono)
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
lemma union-upper2:  $B \leq A + (B::'a::\text{order multiset})$ 
by (subst union-commute) (rule union-upper1)
```

```
instance multiset :: (order) pordered-ab-semigroup-add
apply intro-classes
apply (erule union-le-mono[OF mult-le-refl])
done
```

38.5 Link with lists

primrec *multiset-of* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a multiset **where**

multiset-of [] = {#} |
multiset-of (a # x) = *multiset-of* x + {# a #}

lemma *multiset-of-zero-iff*[simp]: (*multiset-of* x = {#}) = (x = [])
by (induct x) auto

lemma *multiset-of-zero-iff-right*[simp]: ({#} = *multiset-of* x) = (x = [])
by (induct x) auto

lemma *set-of-multiset-of*[simp]: *set-of*(*multiset-of* x) = *set* x
by (induct x) auto

lemma *mem-set-multiset-eq*: $x \in \text{set } xs = (x : \# \text{ multiset-of } xs)$
by (induct xs) auto

lemma *multiset-of-append* [simp]:
multiset-of (xs @ ys) = *multiset-of* xs + *multiset-of* ys
by (induct xs arbitrary: ys) (auto simp: union-ac)

lemma *surj-multiset-of*: *surj multiset-of*
apply (unfold *surj-def*)
apply (rule *allI*)
apply (rule-tac $M = y$ **in** *multiset-induct*)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac $x = x \# xa$ **in** *exI*)
apply auto
done

lemma *set-count-greater-0*: $\text{set } x = \{a. \text{count } (\text{multiset-of } x) \ a > 0\}$
by (induct x) auto

lemma *distinct-count-atmost-1*:
 $\text{distinct } x = (! a. \text{count } (\text{multiset-of } x) \ a = (\text{if } a \in \text{set } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$
apply (induct x, simp, rule *iffI*, simp-all)
apply (rule *conjI*)
apply (simp-all add: *set-of-multiset-of* [THEN *sym*] del: *set-of-multiset-of*)
apply (erule-tac $x = a$ **in** *allE*, simp, clarify)
apply (erule-tac $x = aa$ **in** *allE*, simp)
done

lemma *multiset-of-eq-setD*:
 $\text{multiset-of } xs = \text{multiset-of } ys \Longrightarrow \text{set } xs = \text{set } ys$
by (rule) (auto simp add: *multiset-eq-conv-count-eq set-count-greater-0*)

lemma *set-eq-iff-multiset-of-eq-distinct*:
 $\text{distinct } x \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } y \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{set } x = \text{set } y) = (\text{multiset-of } x = \text{multiset-of } y)$

by (*auto simp: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq distinct-count-atmost-1*)

lemma *set-eq-iff-multiset-of-remdups-eq*:

$(\text{set } x = \text{set } y) = (\text{multiset-of } (\text{remdups } x) = \text{multiset-of } (\text{remdups } y))$

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*simp add: set-eq-iff-multiset-of-eq-distinct [THEN iffD1]*)

apply (*drule distinct-remdups [THEN distinct-remdups
[THEN set-eq-iff-multiset-of-eq-distinct [THEN iffD2]]]*)

apply *simp*

done

lemma *multiset-of-compl-union [simp]*:

$\text{multiset-of } [x \leftarrow xs. P \ x] + \text{multiset-of } [x \leftarrow xs. \neg P \ x] = \text{multiset-of } xs$

by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp: union-ac*)

lemma *count-filter*:

$\text{count } (\text{multiset-of } xs) \ x = \text{length } [y \leftarrow xs. y = x]$

by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *nth-mem-multiset-of*: $i < \text{length } ls \implies (ls ! i) : \# \text{ multiset-of } ls$

apply (*induct ls arbitrary: i*)

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac i*)

apply *auto*

done

lemma *multiset-of-remove1*: $\text{multiset-of } (\text{remove1 } a \ xs) = \text{multiset-of } xs - \{\# a \#\}$

by (*induct xs*) (*auto simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq*)

lemma *multiset-of-eq-length*:

assumes $\text{multiset-of } xs = \text{multiset-of } ys$

shows $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

using *assms*

proof (*induct arbitrary: ys rule: length-induct*)

case (*1 xs ys*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases xs*)

case *Nil* **with** *1.prem*s **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x xs'*)

note $xCons = Cons$

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases ys*)

case *Nil*

with *1.prem*s *Cons* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons y ys'*)

have *x-in-ys*: $x = y \vee x \in \text{set } ys'$

proof (*cases x = y*)

```

      case True then show ?thesis ..
    next
      case False
      from 1.prem [symmetric] xCons Cons have x :# multiset-of ys' + {#y#}
    by simp
      with False show ?thesis by (simp add: mem-set-multiset-eq)
    qed
    from 1.hyps have IH: length xs' < length xs →
      (∀ x. multiset-of xs' = multiset-of x → length xs' = length x) by blast
    from 1.prem x-in-ys Cons xCons have multiset-of xs' = multiset-of (remove1
x (y#ys'))
      apply -
      apply (simp add: multiset-of-remove1, simp only: add-eq-conv-diff)
      apply fastsimp
      done
    with IH xCons have IH': length xs' = length (remove1 x (y#ys')) by fastsimp
    from x-in-ys have x ≠ y ⇒ length ys' > 0 by auto
    with Cons xCons x-in-ys IH' show ?thesis by (auto simp add: length-remove1)
  qed
qed
qed

```

This lemma shows which properties suffice to show that a function f with $f\ xs = ys$ behaves like sort.

lemma *properties-for-sort*:

$multiset-of\ ys = multiset-of\ xs \implies sorted\ ys \implies sort\ xs = ys$

proof (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*)

case Nil then show ?case by simp

next

case (Cons x xs)

then have $x \in set\ ys$

by (auto simp add: mem-set-multiset-eq intro!: ccontr)

with Cons.prem Cons.hyps [of remove1 x ys] show ?case

by (simp add: sorted-remove1 multiset-of-remove1 insort-remove1)

qed

38.6 Pointwise ordering induced by count

definition

$mset-le :: 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\leq\#$ 50) **where**
 $(A \leq\# B) = (\forall a. count\ A\ a \leq count\ B\ a)$

definition

$mset-less :: 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $<\#$ 50) **where**
 $(A <\# B) = (A \leq\# B \wedge A \neq B)$

notation $mset-le$ (**infix** $\subseteq\#$ 50)

notation $mset-less$ (**infix** $\subset\#$ 50)

lemma *mset-le-refl*[simp]: $A \leq\# A$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-le-trans*: $A \leq\# B \implies B \leq\# C \implies A \leq\# C$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** (*fast intro: order-trans*)

lemma *mset-le-antisym*: $A \leq\# B \implies B \leq\# A \implies A = B$
apply (*unfold mset-le-def*)
apply (*rule multiset-eq-conv-count-eq [THEN iffD2]*)
apply (*blast intro: order-antisym*)
done

lemma *mset-le-exists-conv*: $(A \leq\# B) = (\exists C. B = A + C)$
apply (*unfold mset-le-def, rule iffI, rule-tac x = B - A in exI*)
apply (*auto intro: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq [THEN iffD2]*)
done

lemma *mset-le-mono-add-right-cancel*[simp]: $(A + C \leq\# B + C) = (A \leq\# B)$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-le-mono-add-left-cancel*[simp]: $(C + A \leq\# C + B) = (A \leq\# B)$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-le-mono-add*: $\llbracket A \leq\# B; C \leq\# D \rrbracket \implies A + C \leq\# B + D$
apply (*unfold mset-le-def*)
apply *auto*
apply (*erule-tac x = a in allE*)+
apply *auto*
done

lemma *mset-le-add-left*[simp]: $A \leq\# A + B$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-le-add-right*[simp]: $B \leq\# A + B$
unfolding *mset-le-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mset-le-single*: $a :\# B \implies \{\#a\# \} \leq\# B$
by (*simp add: mset-le-def*)

lemma *multiset-diff-union-assoc*: $C \leq\# B \implies A + B - C = A + (B - C)$
by (*simp add: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq mset-le-def*)

lemma *mset-le-multiset-union-diff-commute*:
assumes $B \leq\# A$
shows $A - B + C = A + C - B$
proof –
from *mset-le-exists-conv [of B A] assms* **have** $\exists D. A = B + D$..
from this **obtain** D **where** $A = B + D$..
then **show** *?thesis*

```

    apply simp
    apply (subst union-commute)
    apply (subst multiset-diff-union-assoc)
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: diff-cancel)
    apply (subst union-assoc)
    apply (subst union-commute[of B -])
    apply (subst multiset-diff-union-assoc)
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: diff-cancel)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma multiset-of-remdups-le: multiset-of (remdups xs) ≤# multiset-of xs
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
  apply (rule mset-le-trans)
  apply auto
  done

```

```

lemma multiset-of-update:
   $i < \text{length } ls \implies \text{multiset-of } (ls[i := v]) = \text{multiset-of } ls - \{\#ls ! i\# \} + \{\#v\# \}$ 
proof (induct ls arbitrary: i)
  case Nil then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases i)
    case 0 then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc i')
    with Cons show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (subst union-assoc)
    apply (subst union-commute [where M = {\#v\#} and N = {\#x\#}])
    apply (subst union-assoc [symmetric])
    apply simp
    apply (rule mset-le-multiset-union-diff-commute)
    apply (simp add: mset-le-single nth-mem-multiset-of)
  done
qed
qed

```

```

lemma multiset-of-swap:
   $i < \text{length } ls \implies j < \text{length } ls \implies$ 
   $\text{multiset-of } (ls[j := ls ! i, i := ls ! j]) = \text{multiset-of } ls$ 
  apply (case-tac i = j)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: multiset-of-update)

```



```

apply (subst elem-imp-eq-diff-union[symmetric])
apply (simp add: nth-mem-multiset-of)
apply simp
done

```

```

interpretation mset-order: order [op ≤# op <#]
by (auto intro: order.intro mset-le-refl mset-le-antisym
      mset-le-trans simp: mset-less-def)

```

```

interpretation mset-order-cancel-semigroup:
  pordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add [op + op ≤# op <#]
by unfold-locales (erule mset-le-mono-add [OF mset-le-refl])

```

```

interpretation mset-order-semigroup-cancel:
  pordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le [op + op ≤# op <#]
by (unfold-locales) simp

```

```

lemma mset-lessD:  $A \subset\# B \implies x \in\# A \implies x \in\# B$ 
apply (clarsimp simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def)
apply (erule-tac x=x in allE)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma mset-leD:  $A \subseteq\# B \implies x \in\# A \implies x \in\# B$ 
apply (clarsimp simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def)
apply (erule-tac x = x in allE)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma mset-less-insertD:  $(A + \{\#x\# \} \subset\# B) \implies (x \in\# B \wedge A \subset\# B)$ 
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: mset-lessD)
apply (clarsimp simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def)
apply safe
apply (erule-tac x = a in allE)
apply (auto split: split-if-asm)
done

```

```

lemma mset-le-insertD:  $(A + \{\#x\# \} \subseteq\# B) \implies (x \in\# B \wedge A \subseteq\# B)$ 
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add: mset-leD)
apply (force simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def split: split-if-asm)
done

```

```

lemma mset-less-of-empty[simp]:  $A \subset\# \{\#\} = \text{False}$ 
by (induct A) (auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def)

```

```

lemma multi-psub-of-add-self[simp]:  $A \subset\# A + \{\#x\# \}$ 

```

by (*auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def*)

lemma *multi-psub-self*[*simp*]: $A \subset\# A = \text{False}$

by (*auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def*)

lemma *mset-less-add-bothsides*:

$T + \{\#x\} \subset\# S + \{\#x\} \implies T \subset\# S$

by (*auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def*)

lemma *mset-less-empty-nonempty*: $(\{\#\} \subset\# S) = (S \neq \{\#\})$

by (*auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def*)

lemma *mset-less-size*: $A \subset\# B \implies \text{size } A < \text{size } B$

proof (*induct A arbitrary: B*)

case (*empty M*)

then have $M \neq \{\#\}$ **by** (*simp add: mset-less-empty-nonempty*)

then obtain $M' x$ **where** $M = M' + \{\#x\}$

by (*blast dest: multi-nonempty-split*)

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*add S x T*)

have $IH: \bigwedge B. S \subset\# B \implies \text{size } S < \text{size } B$ **by** *fact*

have $SxsubT: S + \{\#x\} \subset\# T$ **by** *fact*

then have $x \in\# T$ **and** $S \subset\# T$ **by** (*auto dest: mset-less-insertD*)

then obtain T' **where** $T = T' + \{\#x\}$

by (*blast dest: multi-member-split*)

then have $S \subset\# T'$ **using** $SxsubT$

by (*blast intro: mset-less-add-bothsides*)

then have $\text{size } S < \text{size } T'$ **using** IH **by** *simp*

then show *?case* **using** T **by** *simp*

qed

lemmas *mset-less-trans* = *mset-order.less-eq-less.less-trans*

lemma *mset-less-diff-self*: $c \in\# B \implies B - \{\#c\} \subset\# B$

by (*auto simp: mset-le-def mset-less-def multi-drop-mem-not-eq*)

38.7 Strong induction and subset induction for multisets

Well-foundedness of proper subset operator:

proper multiset subset

definition

mset-less-rel :: $('a \text{ multiset} * 'a \text{ multiset}) \text{ set}$ **where**

mset-less-rel = $\{(A, B). A \subset\# B\}$

lemma *multiset-add-sub-el-shuffle*:

assumes $c \in\# B$ **and** $b \neq c$

shows $B - \{\#c\} + \{\#b\} = B + \{\#b\} - \{\#c\}$

proof –
from $\langle c \in\# B \rangle$ **obtain** A **where** $B: B = A + \{\#c\# \}$
by (*blast dest: multi-member-split*)
have $A + \{\#b\# \} = A + \{\#b\# \} + \{\#c\# \} - \{\#c\# \}$ **by** *simp*
then have $A + \{\#b\# \} = A + \{\#c\# \} + \{\#b\# \} - \{\#c\# \}$
by (*simp add: union-ac*)
then show *?thesis* **using** B **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *wf-mset-less-rel: wf mset-less-rel*
apply (*unfold mset-less-rel-def*)
apply (*rule wf-measure [THEN wf-subset, where f1=size]*)
apply (*clarsimp simp: measure-def inv-image-def mset-less-size*)
done

The induction rules:

lemma *full-multiset-induct [case-names less]:*
assumes *ih*: $\bigwedge B. \forall A. A \subseteq\# B \longrightarrow P A \Longrightarrow P B$
shows $P B$
apply (*rule wf-mset-less-rel [THEN wf-induct]*)
apply (*rule ih, auto simp: mset-less-rel-def*)
done

lemma *multi-subset-induct [consumes 2, case-names empty add]:*
assumes $F \subseteq\# A$
and *empty*: $P \{\#\}$
and *insert*: $\bigwedge a F. a \in\# A \Longrightarrow P F \Longrightarrow P (F + \{\#a\# \})$
shows $P F$
proof –
from $\langle F \subseteq\# A \rangle$
show *?thesis*
proof (*induct F*)
show $P \{\#\}$ **by** *fact*
next
fix $x F$
assume $P: F \subseteq\# A \Longrightarrow P F$ **and** $i: F + \{\#x\# \} \subseteq\# A$
show $P (F + \{\#x\# \})$
proof (*rule insert*)
from i **show** $x \in\# A$ **by** (*auto dest: mset-le-insertD*)
from i **have** $F \subseteq\# A$ **by** (*auto dest: mset-le-insertD*)
with P **show** $P F$.
qed
qed
qed

A consequence: Extensionality.

lemma *multi-count-eq: $(\forall x. \text{count } A x = \text{count } B x) = (A = B)$*
apply (*rule iffI*)
prefer 2

```

apply clarsimp
apply (induct A arbitrary: B rule: full-multiset-induct)
apply (rename-tac C)
apply (case-tac B rule: multiset-cases)
  apply (simp add: empty-multiset-count)
apply simp
apply (case-tac  $x \in \#$  C)
  apply (force dest: multi-member-split)
apply (erule-tac  $x = x$  in allE)
apply simp
done

```

lemmas *multi-count-ext* = *multi-count-eq* [*THEN* *iffD1*, *rule-format*]

38.8 The fold combinator

The intended behaviour is $\text{fold-mset } f \ z \ \{\#x_1, \dots, x_n\} = f \ x_1 \ (\dots (f \ x_n \ z) \dots)$ if f is associative-commutative.

The graph of fold-mset , z : the start element, f : folding function, A : the multiset, y : the result.

inductive

```

fold-msetG :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and z :: 'b

```

where

```

  emptyI [intro]: fold-msetG f z {#} z
| insertI [intro]: fold-msetG f z A y  $\Longrightarrow$  fold-msetG f z (A + {#x#}) (f x y)

```

inductive-cases *empty-fold-msetGE* [*elim!*]: *fold-msetG* *f* *z* {#} *x*

inductive-cases *insert-fold-msetGE*: *fold-msetG* *f* *z* (*A* + {#}) *y*

definition

```

fold-mset :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'b where
  fold-mset f z A = (THE x. fold-msetG f z A x)

```

lemma *Diff1-fold-msetG*:

```

  fold-msetG f z (A - {#x#}) y  $\Longrightarrow$   $x \in \#$  A  $\Longrightarrow$  fold-msetG f z A (f x y)
apply (frule-tac  $x = x$  in fold-msetG.insertI)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *fold-msetG-nonempty*: $\exists x$. *fold-msetG* *f* *z* *A* *x*

```

apply (induct A)
  apply blast
apply clarsimp
apply (drule-tac  $x = x$  in fold-msetG.insertI)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma fold-mset-empty[simp]: fold-mset f z  $\{\#\}$  = z
unfolding fold-mset-def by blast

locale left-commutative =
fixes f :: 'a => 'b => 'b
assumes left-commute: f x (f y z) = f y (f x z)
begin

lemma fold-msetG-determ:
  fold-msetG f z A x  $\implies$  fold-msetG f z A y  $\implies$  y = x
proof (induct arbitrary: x y z rule: full-multiset-induct)
  case (less M x1 x2 Z)
  have IH:  $\forall A. A \subset\# M \longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall x\ x'\ x''. \text{fold-msetG } f\ x''\ A\ x \longrightarrow \text{fold-msetG } f\ x''\ A\ x'$ 
       $\longrightarrow x' = x$ ) by fact
  have Mfoldx1: fold-msetG f Z M x1 and Mfoldx2: fold-msetG f Z M x2 by fact+
  show ?case
proof (rule fold-msetG.cases [OF Mfoldx1])
  assume M =  $\{\#\}$  and x1 = Z
  then show ?case using Mfoldx2 by auto
next
  fix B b u
  assume M = B +  $\{\#b\#\}$  and x1 = f b u and Bu: fold-msetG f Z B u
  then have MBb: M = B +  $\{\#b\#\}$  and x1: x1 = f b u by auto
  show ?case
proof (rule fold-msetG.cases [OF Mfoldx2])
  assume M =  $\{\#\}$  x2 = Z
  then show ?case using Mfoldx1 by auto
next
  fix C c v
  assume M = C +  $\{\#c\#\}$  and x2 = f c v and Cv: fold-msetG f Z C v
  then have MCc: M = C +  $\{\#c\#\}$  and x2: x2 = f c v by auto
  then have CsubM: C  $\subset\#$  M by simp
  from MBb have BsubM: B  $\subset\#$  M by simp
  show ?case
proof cases
  assume b=c
  then moreover have B = C using MBb MCc by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Bu Cv x1 x2 CsubM IH by auto
next
  assume diff: b  $\neq$  c
  let ?D = B -  $\{\#c\#\}$ 
  have cinB: c  $\in\#$  B and binC: b  $\in\#$  C using MBb MCc diff
  by (auto intro: insert-noteq-member dest: sym)
  have B -  $\{\#c\#\}$   $\subset\#$  B using cinB by (rule mset-less-diff-self)
  then have DsubM: ?D  $\subset\#$  M using BsubM by (blast intro: mset-less-trans)
  from MBb MCc have B +  $\{\#b\#\}$  = C +  $\{\#c\#\}$  by blast
  then have [simp]: B +  $\{\#b\#\}$  -  $\{\#c\#\}$  = C

```

```

    using MBb MCc binC cinB by auto
  have B:  $B = ?D + \{\#c\# \}$  and C:  $C = ?D + \{\#b\# \}$ 
    using MBb MCc diff binC cinB
    by (auto simp: multiset-add-sub-el-shuffle)
  then obtain d where Dfoldd: fold-msetG f Z ?D d
    using fold-msetG-nonempty by iprover
  then have fold-msetG f Z B (f c d) using cinB
    by (rule Diff1-fold-msetG)
  then have f c d = u using IH BsubM Bu by blast
  moreover
  have fold-msetG f Z C (f b d) using binC cinB diff Dfoldd
    by (auto simp: multiset-add-sub-el-shuffle
      dest: fold-msetG.insertI [where x=b])
  then have f b d = v using IH CsubM Cv by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using x1 x2
    by (auto simp: left-commute)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma fold-mset-insert-aux:
  (fold-msetG f z (A +  $\{\#x\# \}$ ) v) =
  ( $\exists y. \text{fold-msetG f z A y} \wedge v = f x y$ )
apply (rule iffI)
prefer 2
apply blast
apply (rule-tac A=A and f=f in fold-msetG-nonempty [THEN exE, standard])
apply (blast intro: fold-msetG-determ)
done

lemma fold-mset-equality: fold-msetG f z A y  $\implies$  fold-mset f z A = y
unfolding fold-mset-def by (blast intro: fold-msetG-determ)

lemma fold-mset-insert:
  fold-mset f z (A +  $\{\#x\# \}$ ) = f x (fold-mset f z A)
apply (simp add: fold-mset-def fold-mset-insert-aux union-commute)
apply (rule the-equality)
apply (auto cong add: conj-cong
  simp add: fold-mset-def [symmetric] fold-mset-equality fold-msetG-nonempty)
done

lemma fold-mset-insert-idem:
  fold-mset f z (A +  $\{\#a\# \}$ ) = f a (fold-mset f z A)
apply (simp add: fold-mset-def fold-mset-insert-aux)
apply (rule the-equality)
apply (auto cong add: conj-cong
  simp add: fold-mset-def [symmetric] fold-mset-equality fold-msetG-nonempty)
done

```

lemma *fold-mset-commute*: $f\ x\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ A) = fold-mset\ f\ (f\ x\ z)\ A$
by (*induct A*) (*auto simp: fold-mset-insert left-commute [of x]*)

lemma *fold-mset-single* [*simp*]: $fold-mset\ f\ z\ \{\#x\#\} = f\ x\ z$
using *fold-mset-insert [of z {#}]* **by** *simp*

lemma *fold-mset-union* [*simp*]:
 $fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A+B) = fold-mset\ f\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ A)\ B$
proof (*induct A*)
 case empty then show ?case by simp
next
 case (add A x)
 have $A + \{\#x\#\} + B = (A+B) + \{\#x\#\}$ **by** (*simp add: union-ac*)
 then have $fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#x\#\} + B) = f\ x\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A + B))$
 by (*simp add: fold-mset-insert*)
 also have $\dots = fold-mset\ f\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#x\#\}))\ B$
 by (*simp add: fold-mset-commute[of x, symmetric] add fold-mset-insert*)
 finally show *?case* .
qed

lemma *fold-mset-fusion*:
 includes *left-commutative g*
 shows $(\bigwedge x\ y. h\ (g\ x\ y) = f\ x\ (h\ y)) \implies h\ (fold-mset\ g\ w\ A) = fold-mset\ f\ (h\ w)\ A$
by (*induct A*) *auto*

lemma *fold-mset-rec*:
 assumes $a \in \# A$
 shows $fold-mset\ f\ z\ A = f\ a\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A - \{\#a\#\}))$
proof –
 from *assms* **obtain** A' **where** $A = A' + \{\#a\#\}$
 by (*blast dest: multi-member-split*)
 then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

end

A note on code generation: When defining some function containing a subterm *fold-mset F*, code generation is not automatic. When interpreting locale *left-commutative* with *F*, the would be code thms for *fold-mset* become thms like $fold-mset\ F\ z\ \{\#\} = z$ where *F* is not a pattern but contains defined symbols, i.e. is not a code thm. Hence a separate constant with its own code thms needs to be introduced for *F*. See the image operator below.

38.9 Image

definition [*code func del*]: $image-mset\ f == fold-mset\ (op + o\ single\ o\ f)\ \{\#\}$

interpretation *image-left-comm*: *left-commutative* [*op* + *o* *single* *o* *f*]
by (*unfold-locales*) (*simp* *add:union-ac*)

lemma *image-mset-empty* [*simp*, *code func*]: *image-mset* *f* {#} = {#}
by (*simp* *add: image-mset-def*)

lemma *image-mset-single* [*simp*, *code func*]: *image-mset* *f* {#*x*#} = {#*f x*#}
by (*simp* *add: image-mset-def*)

lemma *image-mset-insert*:
image-mset *f* (*M* + {#*a*#}) = *image-mset* *f* *M* + {#*f a*#}
by (*simp* *add: image-mset-def* *add-ac*)

lemma *image-mset-union*[*simp*, *code func*]:
image-mset *f* (*M*+*N*) = *image-mset* *f* *M* + *image-mset* *f* *N*
apply (*induct* *N*)
apply *simp*
apply (*simp* *add: union-assoc* [*symmetric*] *image-mset-insert*)
done

lemma *size-image-mset* [*simp*]: *size* (*image-mset* *f* *M*) = *size* *M*
by (*induct* *M*) *simp-all*

lemma *image-mset-is-empty-iff* [*simp*]: *image-mset* *f* *M* = {#} \longleftrightarrow *M* = {#}
by (*cases* *M*) *auto*

syntax
comprehension1-mset :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow '*b* *multiset* \Rightarrow '*a* *multiset*
 (({#-/. - :# -#}))

translations
 {#*e*. *x*:#*M*#} == *CONST* *image-mset* (%*x*. *e*) *M*

syntax
comprehension2-mset :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow '*b* *multiset* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow '*a* *multiset*
 (({#-/. | - :# -./ -#}))

translations
 {#*e* | *x*:#*M*. *P*#} => {#*e*. *x* :# {# *x*:#*M*. *P*#}#}

This allows to write not just filters like {# *x* :# *M*. *x* < *c*#} but also images like {#*x* + *x*. *x* :# *M*#} and {#*x*+*x*|*x*:#*M*. *x*<*c*#}, where the latter is currently displayed as {#*x* + *x*. *x* :# {# *x* :# *M*. *x* < *c*#}#}.

end

39 NatPair: Pairs of Natural Numbers

theory *NatPair*
imports *ATP-Linkup*

begin

An injective function from \mathbb{N}^2 to \mathbb{N} . Definition and proofs are from [4, page 85].

definition

nat2-to-nat:: $(nat * nat) \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
nat2-to-nat pair = $(let (n,m) = pair \text{ in } (n+m) * Suc (n+m) \text{ div } 2 + n)$

lemma *dvd2-a-x-suc-a*: $2 \text{ dvd } a * (Suc a)$

proof (*cases 2 dvd a*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* **by** (*rule dvd-mult2*)

next

case *False*

then have $Suc (a \text{ mod } 2) = 2$ **by** (*simp add: dvd-eq-mod-eq-0*)

then have $Suc a \text{ mod } 2 = 0$ **by** (*simp add: mod-Suc*)

then have $2 \text{ dvd } Suc a$ **by** (*simp only: dvd-eq-mod-eq-0*)

then show *?thesis* **by** (*rule dvd-mult*)

qed

lemma

assumes *eq*: $nat2\text{-to-nat } (u,v) = nat2\text{-to-nat } (x,y)$

shows *nat2-to-nat-help*: $u+v \leq x+y$

proof (*rule classical*)

assume $\neg ?thesis$

then have *contrapos*: $x+y < u+v$

by *simp*

have $nat2\text{-to-nat } (x,y) < (x+y) * Suc (x+y) \text{ div } 2 + Suc (x+y)$

by (*unfold nat2-to-nat-def*) (*simp add: Let-def*)

also have $\dots = (x+y)*Suc(x+y) \text{ div } 2 + 2 * Suc(x+y) \text{ div } 2$

by (*simp only: div-mult-self1-is-m*)

also have $\dots = (x+y)*Suc(x+y) \text{ div } 2 + 2 * Suc(x+y) \text{ div } 2$
 $+ ((x+y)*Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2 + 2 * Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2) \text{ div } 2$

proof –

have $2 \text{ dvd } (x+y)*Suc(x+y)$

by (*rule dvd2-a-x-suc-a*)

then have $(x+y)*Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2 = 0$

by (*simp only: dvd-eq-mod-eq-0*)

also

have $2 * Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2 = 0$

by (*rule mod-mult-self1-is-0*)

ultimately have

$((x+y)*Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2 + 2 * Suc(x+y) \text{ mod } 2) \text{ div } 2 = 0$

by *simp*

then show *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

also have $\dots = ((x+y)*Suc(x+y) + 2*Suc(x+y)) \text{ div } 2$

by (*rule div-add1-eq [symmetric]*)

```

also have ... = ((x+y+2)*Suc(x+y)) div 2
  by (simp only: add-mult-distrib [symmetric])
also from contrapos have ... ≤ ((Suc(u+v))*(u+v)) div 2
  by (simp only: mult-le-mono div-le-mono)
also have ... ≤ nat2-to-nat (u,v)
  by (unfold nat2-to-nat-def) (simp add: Let-def)
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp only: eq)
qed

theorem nat2-to-nat-inj: inj nat2-to-nat
proof –
{
  fix u v x y
  assume eq1: nat2-to-nat (u,v) = nat2-to-nat (x,y)
  then have u+v ≤ x+y by (rule nat2-to-nat-help)
  also from eq1 [symmetric] have x+y ≤ u+v
    by (rule nat2-to-nat-help)
  finally have eq2: u+v = x+y .
  with eq1 have ux: u=x
    by (simp add: nat2-to-nat-def Let-def)
  with eq2 have vy: v=y by simp
  with ux have (u,v) = (x,y) by simp
}
then have  $\bigwedge x y. \text{nat2-to-nat } x = \text{nat2-to-nat } y \implies x=y$  by fast
then show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by simp
qed

end

```

40 Nat-Infinity: Natural numbers with infinity

```

theory Nat-Infinity
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

40.1 Definitions

We extend the standard natural numbers by a special value indicating infinity. This includes extending the ordering relations $op <$ and $op \leq$.

```

datatype inat = Fin nat | Infty

```

```

notation (xsymbols)
  Infty ( $\infty$ )

```

```

notation (HTML output)
  Infty ( $\infty$ )

```

definition

$iSuc :: inat \Rightarrow inat$ **where**
 $iSuc\ i = (case\ i\ of\ Fin\ n \Rightarrow Fin\ (Suc\ n) \mid \infty \Rightarrow \infty)$

instantiation $inat :: \{ord, zero\}$
begin

definition

$Zero-inat-def: 0 == Fin\ 0$

definition

$iless-def: m < n ==$
 $case\ m\ of\ Fin\ m1 \Rightarrow (case\ n\ of\ Fin\ n1 \Rightarrow m1 < n1 \mid \infty \Rightarrow True)$
 $\mid \infty \Rightarrow False$

definition

$ile-def: m \leq n ==$
 $case\ n\ of\ Fin\ n1 \Rightarrow (case\ m\ of\ Fin\ m1 \Rightarrow m1 \leq n1 \mid \infty \Rightarrow False)$
 $\mid \infty \Rightarrow True$

instance ..

end

lemmas $inat-defs = Zero-inat-def\ iSuc-def\ illess-def\ ile-def$

lemmas $inat-splits = inat.split\ inat.split-asm$

Below is a not quite complete set of theorems. Use the method (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits, arith?*) to prove new theorems or solve arithmetic subgoals involving *inat* on the fly.

40.2 Constructors

lemma $Fin-0: Fin\ 0 = 0$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma $Infty-ne-i0$ [*simp*]: $\infty \neq 0$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma $i0-ne-Infty$ [*simp*]: $0 \neq \infty$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma $iSuc-Fin$ [*simp*]: $iSuc\ (Fin\ n) = Fin\ (Suc\ n)$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma $iSuc-Infty$ [*simp*]: $iSuc\ \infty = \infty$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *iSuc-ne-0* [simp]: $iSuc\ n \neq 0$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *iSuc-inject* [simp]: $(iSuc\ x = iSuc\ y) = (x = y)$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

40.3 Ordering relations

instance *inat* :: *linorder*

proof

fix $x :: inat$

show $x \leq x$

by (simp add: inat-defs split: inat-splits)

next

fix $x\ y :: inat$

assume $x \leq y$ **and** $y \leq x$ **thus** $x = y$

by (simp add: inat-defs split: inat-splits)

next

fix $x\ y\ z :: inat$

assume $x \leq y$ **and** $y \leq z$ **thus** $x \leq z$

by (simp add: inat-defs split: inat-splits)

next

fix $x\ y :: inat$

show $(x < y) = (x \leq y \wedge x \neq y)$

by (simp add: inat-defs order-less-le split: inat-splits)

next

fix $x\ y :: inat$

show $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$

by (simp add: inat-defs linorder-linear split: inat-splits)

qed

lemma *Infty-ilessE* [elim!]: $\infty < Fin\ m \implies R$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *iless-linear*: $m < n \vee m = n \vee n < (m::inat)$
by (rule linorder-less-linear)

lemma *iless-not-refl*: $\neg n < (n::inat)$
by (rule order-less-irrefl)

lemma *iless-trans*: $i < j \implies j < k \implies i < (k::inat)$
by (rule order-less-trans)

lemma *iless-not-sym*: $n < m \implies \neg m < (n::inat)$
by (rule order-less-not-sym)

lemma *Fin-iless-mono* [simp]: $(Fin\ n < Fin\ m) = (n < m)$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *Fin-iless-Infty* [simp]: $\text{Fin } n < \infty$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *Infty-eq* [simp]: $(n < \infty) = (n \neq \infty)$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *i0-eq* [simp]: $((0::\text{inat}) < n) = (n \neq 0)$
by (fastsimp simp: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *i0-iless-iSuc* [simp]: $0 < \text{iSuc } n$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *not-ilessi0* [simp]: $\neg n < (0::\text{inat})$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *Fin-iless*: $n < \text{Fin } m \implies \exists k. n = \text{Fin } k$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *iSuc-mono* [simp]: $(\text{iSuc } n < \text{iSuc } m) = (n < m)$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *ile-def2*: $(m \leq n) = (m < n \vee m = (n::\text{inat}))$
by (rule order-le-less)

lemma *ile-refl* [simp]: $n \leq (n::\text{inat})$
by (rule order-refl)

lemma *ile-trans*: $i \leq j \implies j \leq k \implies i \leq (k::\text{inat})$
by (rule order-trans)

lemma *ile-iless-trans*: $i \leq j \implies j < k \implies i < (k::\text{inat})$
by (rule order-le-less-trans)

lemma *iless-ile-trans*: $i < j \implies j \leq k \implies i < (k::\text{inat})$
by (rule order-less-le-trans)

lemma *Infty-ub* [simp]: $n \leq \infty$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *i0-lb* [simp]: $(0::\text{inat}) \leq n$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *Infty-ileE* [elim!]: $\infty \leq \text{Fin } m \implies R$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *Fin-ile-mono* [simp]: $(\text{Fin } n \leq \text{Fin } m) = (n \leq m)$
by (simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits)

lemma *ilessI1*: $n \leq m \implies n \neq m \implies n < (m::inat)$
by (*rule order-le-neq-trans*)

lemma *ileI1*: $m < n \implies iSuc\ m \leq n$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *Suc-ile-eq*: $(Fin\ (Suc\ m) \leq n) = (Fin\ m < n)$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits, arith*)

lemma *iSuc-ile-mono* [*simp*]: $(iSuc\ n \leq iSuc\ m) = (n \leq m)$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *iless-Suc-eq* [*simp*]: $(Fin\ m < iSuc\ n) = (Fin\ m \leq n)$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits, arith*)

lemma *not-iSuc-ilei0* [*simp*]: $\neg iSuc\ n \leq 0$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *ile-iSuc* [*simp*]: $n \leq iSuc\ n$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *Fin-ile*: $n \leq Fin\ m \implies \exists k. n = Fin\ k$
by (*simp add: inat-defs split:inat-splits*)

lemma *chain-incr*: $\forall i. \exists j. Y\ i < Y\ j \implies \exists j. Fin\ k < Y\ j$
apply (*induct-tac k*)
apply (*simp (no-asm) only: Fin-0*)
apply (*fast intro: ile-iless-trans [OF i0-lb]*)
apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*drule spec*)
apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*drule ileI1*)
apply (*rule iSuc-Fin [THEN subst]*)
apply (*rule exI*)
apply (*erule (1) ile-iless-trans*)
done

40.4 Well-ordering

lemma *less-FinE*:
 $[[\ n < Fin\ m; !!k. n = Fin\ k \implies k < m \implies P\] \implies P$
by (*induct n*) *auto*

lemma *less-InftyE*:
 $[[\ n < Infty; !!k. n = Fin\ k \implies P\] \implies P$
by (*induct n*) *auto*

lemma *inat-less-induct*:

```

assumes prem: !!n.  $\forall m::inat. m < n \dashv\vdash P\ m \implies P\ n$  shows  $P\ n$ 
proof -
  have  $P\text{-}Fin$ : !!k.  $P\ (Fin\ k)$ 
    apply (rule nat-less-induct)
    apply (rule prem, clarify)
    apply (erule less-FinE, simp)
    done
  show ?thesis
  proof (induct n)
    fix nat
    show  $P\ (Fin\ nat)$  by (rule  $P\text{-}Fin$ )
  next
    show  $P\ Infty$ 
      apply (rule prem, clarify)
      apply (erule less-InftyE)
      apply (simp add:  $P\text{-}Fin$ )
      done
    qed
  qed

instance inat :: wellorder
proof
  show wf {(x::inat, y::inat).  $x < y$ }
  proof (rule wfUNIVI)
    fix P and x :: inat
    assume  $\forall x::inat. (\forall y. (y, x) \in \{(x, y). x < y\} \longrightarrow P\ y) \longrightarrow P\ x$ 
    hence 1: !!x::inat.  $ALL\ y. y < x \dashv\vdash P\ y \implies P\ x$  by fast
    thus  $P\ x$  by (rule inat-less-induct)
  qed
qed

end

```

41 Nested-Environment: Nested environments

```

theory Nested-Environment
imports List
begin

```

Consider a partial function $e :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b\ option$; this may be understood as an *environment* mapping indexes $'a$ to optional entry values $'b$ (cf. the basic theory *Map* of Isabelle/HOL). This basic idea is easily generalized to that of a *nested environment*, where entries may be either basic values or again proper environments. Then each entry is accessed by a *path*, i.e. a list of indexes leading to its position within the structure.

```

datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) env =
  Val 'a

```

| $\text{Env } 'b \ 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env option}$

In the type $('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env}$ the parameter $'a$ refers to basic values (occurring in terminal positions), type $'b$ to values associated with proper (inner) environments, and type $'c$ with the index type for branching. Note that there is no restriction on any of these types. In particular, arbitrary branching may yield rather large (transfinite) tree structures.

41.1 The lookup operation

Lookup in nested environments works by following a given path of index elements, leading to an optional result (a terminal value or nested environment). A *defined position* within a nested environment is one where *lookup* at its path does not yield *None*.

consts

$\text{lookup} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env option}$
 $\text{lookup-option} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env option} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ env option}$

primrec (*lookup*)

$\text{lookup } (\text{Val } a) \ xs = (\text{if } xs = [] \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{Val } a) \text{ else } \text{None})$
 $\text{lookup } (\text{Env } b \ es) \ xs =$
 $\quad (\text{case } xs \text{ of}$
 $\quad \quad [] \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{Env } b \ es)$
 $\quad \quad | y \ \# \ ys \Rightarrow \text{lookup-option } (es \ y) \ ys)$
 $\text{lookup-option } \text{None } xs = \text{None}$
 $\text{lookup-option } (\text{Some } e) \ xs = \text{lookup } e \ xs$

hide *const lookup-option*

The characteristic cases of *lookup* are expressed by the following equalities.

theorem *lookup-nil*: $\text{lookup } e \ [] = \text{Some } e$
by (*cases e simp-all*)

theorem *lookup-val-cons*: $\text{lookup } (\text{Val } a) \ (x \ \# \ xs) = \text{None}$
by *simp*

theorem *lookup-env-cons*:

$\text{lookup } (\text{Env } b \ es) \ (x \ \# \ xs) =$
 $\quad (\text{case } es \ x \text{ of}$
 $\quad \quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $\quad \quad | \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \text{lookup } e \ xs)$
by (*cases es x simp-all*)

lemmas *lookup.simps* [*simp del*]

and *lookup-simps* [*simp*] = *lookup-nil lookup-val-cons lookup-env-cons*

theorem *lookup-eq*:

```
lookup env xs =
  (case xs of
    [] => Some env
  | x # xs =>
    (case env of
      Val a => None
    | Env b es =>
      (case es x of
        None => None
      | Some e => lookup e xs)))
by (simp split: list.split env.split)
```

Displaced *lookup* operations, relative to a certain base path prefix, may be reduced as follows. There are two cases, depending whether the environment actually extends far enough to follow the base path.

theorem *lookup-append-none*:

```
assumes lookup env xs = None
shows lookup env (xs @ ys) = None
using assms
```

proof (induct xs arbitrary: env)

```
case Nil
then have False by simp
then show ?case ..
```

next

```
case (Cons x xs)
show ?case
proof (cases env)
  case Val
  then show ?thesis by simp
```

next

```
case (Env b es)
show ?thesis
proof (cases es x)
  case None
  with Env show ?thesis by simp
```

next

```
case (Some e)
note es = ⟨es x = Some e⟩
show ?thesis
proof (cases lookup e xs)
  case None
  then have lookup e (xs @ ys) = None by (rule Cons.hyps)
  with Env Some show ?thesis by simp
```

next

```
case Some
with Env es have False using Cons.prem by simp
then show ?thesis ..
```

qed

```

    qed
  qed
qed

theorem lookup-append-some:
  assumes lookup env xs = Some e
  shows lookup env (xs @ ys) = lookup e ys
  using assms
proof (induct xs arbitrary: env e)
  case Nil
  then have env = e by simp
  then show lookup env ([] @ ys) = lookup e ys by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  note asm = ⟨lookup env (x # xs) = Some e⟩
  show lookup env ((x # xs) @ ys) = lookup e ys
  proof (cases env)
    case (Val a)
    with asm have False by simp
    then show ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Env b es)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases es x)
      case None
      with asm Env have False by simp
      then show ?thesis ..
    next
      case (Some e')
      note es = ⟨es x = Some e'⟩
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases lookup e' xs)
        case None
        with asm Env es have False by simp
        then show ?thesis ..
      next
        case Some
        with asm Env es have lookup e' xs = Some e
          by simp
        then have lookup e' (xs @ ys) = lookup e ys by (rule Cons.hyps)
        with Env es show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

Successful *lookup* deeper down an environment structure means we are able to peek further up as well. Note that this is basically just the contrapositive statement of *lookup-append-none* above.

theorem *lookup-some-append*:
assumes *lookup env (xs @ ys) = Some e*
shows $\exists e. \text{lookup env } xs = \text{Some } e$
proof –
from *assms* **have** *lookup env (xs @ ys) \neq None* **by** *simp*
then have *lookup env xs \neq None*
by (*rule contrapos-nn*) (*simp only: lookup-append-none*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp*)
qed

The subsequent statement describes in more detail how a successful *lookup* with a non-empty path results in a certain situation at any upper position.

theorem *lookup-some-upper*:
assumes *lookup env (xs @ y # ys) = Some e*
shows $\exists b' \text{ es' env'}. \text{lookup env } xs = \text{Some } (\text{Env } b' \text{ es'}) \wedge$
 $\text{es' } y = \text{Some env'} \wedge$
 $\text{lookup env' } ys = \text{Some } e$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary: env e*)
case *Nil*
from *Nil.prem*s **have** *lookup env (y # ys) = Some e*
by *simp*
then obtain *b' es' env'* **where**
 $\text{env: env} = \text{Env } b' \text{ es'}$ **and**
 $\text{es': es' } y = \text{Some env'}$ **and**
 $\text{look': lookup env' } ys = \text{Some } e$
by (*auto simp add: lookup-eq split: option.splits env.splits*)
from *env* **have** *lookup env [] = Some (Env b' es')* **by** *simp*
with *es' look'* **show** *?case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*Cons x xs*)
from *Cons.prem*s
obtain *b' es' env'* **where**
 $\text{env: env} = \text{Env } b' \text{ es'}$ **and**
 $\text{es': es' } x = \text{Some env'}$ **and**
 $\text{look': lookup env' } (xs @ y \# ys) = \text{Some } e$
by (*auto simp add: lookup-eq split: option.splits env.splits*)
from *Cons.hyps* [*OF look'*] **obtain** *b'' es'' env''* **where**
 $\text{upper': lookup env' } xs = \text{Some } (\text{Env } b'' \text{ es''})$ **and**
 $\text{es'': es'' } y = \text{Some env''}$ **and**
 $\text{look'': lookup env'' } ys = \text{Some } e$
by *blast*
from *env es' upper'* **have** *lookup env (x # xs) = Some (Env b'' es'')*
by *simp*
with *es'' look''* **show** *?case* **by** *blast*
qed

41.2 The update operation

Update at a certain position in a nested environment may either delete an existing entry, or overwrite an existing one. Note that update at undefined positions is simple absorbed, i.e. the environment is left unchanged.

consts

```
update :: 'c list => ('a, 'b, 'c) env option
      => ('a, 'b, 'c) env => ('a, 'b, 'c) env
update-option :: 'c list => ('a, 'b, 'c) env option
      => ('a, 'b, 'c) env option => ('a, 'b, 'c) env option
```

primrec (*update*)

```
update xs opt (Val a) =
  (if xs = [] then (case opt of None => Val a | Some e => e)
   else Val a)
update xs opt (Env b es) =
  (case xs of
   [] => (case opt of None => Env b es | Some e => e)
  | y # ys => Env b (es (y := update-option ys opt (es y))))
update-option xs opt None =
  (if xs = [] then opt else None)
update-option xs opt (Some e) =
  (if xs = [] then opt else Some (update xs opt e))
```

hide *const update-option*

The characteristic cases of *update* are expressed by the following equalities.

theorem *update-nil-none*: $\text{update } [] \text{ None env} = \text{env}$
by (*cases env*) *simp-all*

theorem *update-nil-some*: $\text{update } [] \text{ (Some } e \text{) env} = e$
by (*cases env*) *simp-all*

theorem *update-cons-val*: $\text{update } (x \# xs) \text{ opt (Val } a \text{) = Val } a$
by *simp*

theorem *update-cons-nil-env*:

```
update [x] opt (Env b es) = Env b (es (x := opt))
by (cases es x) simp-all
```

theorem *update-cons-cons-env*:

```
update (x # y # ys) opt (Env b es) =
  Env b (es (x :=
    (case es x of
     None => None
   | Some e => Some (update (y # ys) opt e))))
by (cases es x) simp-all
```

```

lemmas update.simps [simp del]
  and update-simps [simp] = update-nil-none update-nil-some
    update-cons-val update-cons-nil-env update-cons-cons-env

```

```

lemma update-eq:
  update xs opt env =
    (case xs of
      [] =>
        (case opt of
          None => env
        | Some e => e)
    | x # xs =>
      (case env of
        Val a => Val a
      | Env b es =>
        (case xs of
          [] => Env b (es (x := opt))
        | y # ys =>
          Env b (es (x :=
            (case es x of
              None => None
            | Some e => Some (update (y # ys) opt e)))))))
  by (simp split: list.split env.split option.split)

```

The most basic correspondence of *lookup* and *update* states that after *update* at a defined position, subsequent *lookup* operations would yield the new value.

```

theorem lookup-update-some:
  assumes lookup env xs = Some e
  shows lookup (update xs (Some env') env) xs = Some env'
  using assms
proof (induct xs arbitrary: env e)
  case Nil
  then have env = e by simp
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  note hyp = Cons.hyps
  and asm = (lookup env (x # xs) = Some e)
  show ?case
  proof (cases env)
  case (Val a)
  with asm have False by simp
  then show ?thesis ..
next
  case (Env b es)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases es x)
  case None

```

```

    with asm Env have False by simp
    then show ?thesis ..
next
  case (Some e')
  note es =  $\langle es\ x = Some\ e' \rangle$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    with Env show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Cons x' xs')
    from asm Env es have lookup e' xs = Some e by simp
    then have lookup (update xs (Some env') e') xs = Some env' by (rule hyp)
    with Env es Cons show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

The properties of displaced *update* operations are analogous to those of *lookup* above. There are two cases: below an undefined position *update* is absorbed altogether, and below a defined positions *update* affects subsequent *lookup* operations in the obvious way.

```

theorem update-append-none:
  assumes lookup env xs = None
  shows update (xs @ y # ys) opt env = env
  using assms
proof (induct xs arbitrary: env)
  case Nil
  then have False by simp
  then show ?case ..
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  note hyp = Cons.hyps
  and asm =  $\langle lookup\ env\ (x\ \# xs) = None \rangle$ 
  show update ((x # xs) @ y # ys) opt env = env
  proof (cases env)
    case (Val a)
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Env b es)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases es x)
      case None
      note es =  $\langle es\ x = None \rangle$ 
      show ?thesis
        by (cases xs) (simp-all add: es Env fun-upd-idem-iff)
    next
      case (Some e)

```

```

note  $es = \langle es\ x = Some\ e \rangle$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
proof (cases  $xs$ )
  case  $Nil$ 
    with  $asm\ Env\ Some$  have  $False$  by  $simp$ 
    then show  $?thesis\ ..$ 
  next
    case  $(Cons\ x'\ xs')$ 
    from  $asm\ Env\ es$  have  $lookup\ e\ xs = None$  by  $simp$ 
    then have  $update\ (xs\ @\ y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ e = e$  by  $(rule\ hyp)$ 
    with  $Env\ es\ Cons$  show  $update\ ((x\ \# \ xs)\ @\ y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ env = env$ 
    by  $(simp\ add:\ fun-upd-idem-iff)$ 
  qed
qed
qed
qed

theorem  $update-append-some$ :
  assumes  $lookup\ env\ xs = Some\ e$ 
  shows  $lookup\ (update\ (xs\ @\ y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ env)\ xs = Some\ (update\ (y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ e)$ 
  using  $assms$ 
proof (induct  $xs$  arbitrary:  $env\ e$ )
  case  $Nil$ 
    then have  $env = e$  by  $simp$ 
    then show  $?case$  by  $simp$ 
  next
    case  $(Cons\ x\ xs)$ 
    note  $hyp = Cons.hyps$ 
    and  $asm = \langle lookup\ env\ (x\ \# \ xs) = Some\ e \rangle$ 
    show  $lookup\ (update\ ((x\ \# \ xs)\ @\ y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ env)\ (x\ \# \ xs) =$ 
       $Some\ (update\ (y\ \# \ ys)\ opt\ e)$ 
    proof (cases  $env$ )
      case  $(Val\ a)$ 
        with  $asm$  have  $False$  by  $simp$ 
        then show  $?thesis\ ..$ 
      next
        case  $(Env\ b\ es)$ 
        show  $?thesis$ 
        proof (cases  $es\ x$ )
          case  $None$ 
            with  $asm\ Env$  have  $False$  by  $simp$ 
            then show  $?thesis\ ..$ 
          next
            case  $(Some\ e')$ 
            note  $es = \langle es\ x = Some\ e' \rangle$ 
            show  $?thesis$ 
            proof (cases  $xs$ )
              case  $Nil$ 

```

```

    with asm Env es have  $e = e'$  by simp
    with Env es Nil show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Cons  $x' xs'$ )
    from asm Env es have  $\text{lookup } e' \text{ } xs = \text{Some } e$  by simp
    then have  $\text{lookup } (\text{update } (xs @ y \# ys) \text{ opt } e') \text{ } xs =$ 
       $\text{Some } (\text{update } (y \# ys) \text{ opt } e)$  by (rule hyp)
    with Env es Cons show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Apparently, *update* does not affect the result of subsequent *lookup* operations at independent positions, i.e. in case that the paths for *update* and *lookup* fork at a certain point.

```

theorem lookup-update-other:
  assumes  $\text{neq: } y \neq (z::'c)$ 
  shows  $\text{lookup } (\text{update } (xs @ z \# zs) \text{ opt } env) \text{ } (xs @ y \# ys) =$ 
     $\text{lookup } env \text{ } (xs @ y \# ys)$ 
proof (induct xs arbitrary: env)
  case Nil
  show ?case
  proof (cases env)
    case Val
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Env
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases zs)
      case Nil
      with neq Env show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case Cons
      with neq Env show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Cons  $x \text{ } xs$ )
  note  $\text{hyp} = \text{Cons.hyps}$ 
  show ?case
  proof (cases env)
    case Val
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Env  $y \text{ } es$ )
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases xs)
      case Nil

```



```

show ?thesis
proof (cases es x)
  case None
  with Env Nil show ?thesis by simp
next
  case Some
  with neq hyp and Env Nil show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
  case (Cons x' xs')
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases es x)
    case None
    with Env Cons show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case Some
    with neq hyp and Env Cons show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Equality of environments for code generation

```

lemma [code func, code func del]:
  fixes e1 e2 :: ('b::eq, 'a::eq, 'c::eq) env
  shows eq-class.eq e1 e2  $\longleftrightarrow$  eq-class.eq e1 e2 ..

lemma eq-env-code [code func]:
  fixes x y :: 'a::eq
  and f g :: 'c::{eq, finite}  $\Rightarrow$  ('b::eq, 'a, 'c) env option
  shows eq-class.eq (Env x f) (Env y g)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    eq-class.eq x y  $\wedge$  ( $\forall z \in UNIV$ . case f z
    of None  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
    of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
    | Some a  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
    of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  eq-class.eq a b)) (is ?env)
  and eq-class.eq (Val a) (Val b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  eq-class.eq a b
  and eq-class.eq (Val a) (Env y g)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  and eq-class.eq (Env x f) (Val b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
proof (unfold eq)
  have f = g  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall z$ . case f z
  of None  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
  of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
  | Some a  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
  of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  a = b)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  then show ?rhs by (auto split: option.splits)
next

```

```

    assume assm: ?rhs (is  $\forall z. ?prop\ z$ )
    show ?lhs
  proof
    fix z
    from assm have ?prop z ..
    then show f z = g z by (auto split: option.splits)
  qed
qed
then show Env x f = Env y g  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  x = y  $\wedge$  ( $\forall z \in UNIV. case\ f\ z$ 
    of None  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
      of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
    | Some a  $\Rightarrow$  (case g z
      of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  a = b)) by simp
qed simp-all

end

```

42 Numeral-Type: Numeral Syntax for Types

```

theory Numeral-Type
  imports ATP-Linkup
begin

```

42.1 Preliminary lemmas

```

lemma inj-Inl [simp]: inj-on Inl A
  by (rule inj-onI, simp)

```

```

lemma inj-Inr [simp]: inj-on Inr A
  by (rule inj-onI, simp)

```

```

lemma inj-Some [simp]: inj-on Some A
  by (rule inj-onI, simp)

```

```

lemma card-Plus:
  [| finite A; finite B |] ==> card (A <+> B) = card A + card B
  unfolding Plus-def
  apply (subgoal-tac Inl ‘A  $\cap$  Inr ‘B = {’})
  apply (simp add: card-Un-disjoint card-image)
  apply fast
done

```

```

lemma (in type-definition) univ:
  UNIV = Abs ‘A
proof
  show Abs ‘A  $\subseteq$  UNIV by (rule subset-UNIV)
  show UNIV  $\subseteq$  Abs ‘A

```

```

proof
  fix  $x :: 'b$ 
  have  $x = \text{Abs } (\text{Rep } x)$  by (rule Rep-inverse [symmetric])
  moreover have  $\text{Rep } x \in A$  by (rule Rep)
  ultimately show  $x \in \text{Abs } A$  by (rule image-eqI)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in type-definition) card:  $\text{card } (\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{ set}) = \text{card } A$ 
  by (simp add: univ card-image inj-on-def Abs-inject)

```

42.2 Cardinalities of types

```

syntax -type-card :: type => nat ((1CARD/(1'(-))))

```

```

translations  $\text{CARD}(t) \Rightarrow \text{CONST card } (\text{UNIV} :: t \text{ set})$ 

```

```

typed-print-translation <<
  let
    fun card-univ-tr' show-sorts - [Const (@{const-name UNIV}, Type(-,[T]))] =
      Syntax.const -type-card $ Syntax.term-of-typ show-sorts T;
  in [(card, card-univ-tr')]
  end
  >>

```

```

lemma card-unit:  $\text{CARD}(\text{unit}) = 1$ 
  unfolding UNIV-unit by simp

```

```

lemma card-bool:  $\text{CARD}(\text{bool}) = 2$ 
  unfolding UNIV-bool by simp

```

```

lemma card-prod:  $\text{CARD}('a::\text{finite} \times 'b::\text{finite}) = \text{CARD}('a) * \text{CARD}('b)$ 
  unfolding UNIV-Times-UNIV [symmetric] by (simp only: card-cartesian-product)

```

```

lemma card-sum:  $\text{CARD}('a::\text{finite} + 'b::\text{finite}) = \text{CARD}('a) + \text{CARD}('b)$ 
  unfolding UNIV-Plus-UNIV [symmetric] by (simp only: finite card-Plus)

```

```

lemma card-option:  $\text{CARD}('a::\text{finite option}) = \text{Suc } \text{CARD}('a)$ 
  unfolding insert-None-conv-UNIV [symmetric]
  apply (subgoal-tac (None::'a option)  $\notin \text{range } \text{Some}$ )
  apply (simp add: finite card-image)
  apply fast
  done

```

```

lemma card-set:  $\text{CARD}('a::\text{finite set}) = 2 ^ \text{CARD}('a)$ 
  unfolding Pow-UNIV [symmetric]
  by (simp only: card-Pow finite numeral-2-eq-2)

```

42.3 Numeral Types

```

typedef (open) num0 = UNIV :: nat set ..
typedef (open) num1 = UNIV :: unit set ..
typedef (open) 'a bit0 = UNIV :: (bool * 'a) set ..
typedef (open) 'a bit1 = UNIV :: (bool * 'a) option set ..

```

```

instance num1 :: finite
proof
  show finite (UNIV::num1 set)
    unfolding type-definition.univ [OF type-definition-num1]
    using finite by (rule finite-imageI)
qed

```

```

instance bit0 :: (finite) finite
proof
  show finite (UNIV::'a bit0 set)
    unfolding type-definition.univ [OF type-definition-bit0]
    using finite by (rule finite-imageI)
qed

```

```

instance bit1 :: (finite) finite
proof
  show finite (UNIV::'a bit1 set)
    unfolding type-definition.univ [OF type-definition-bit1]
    using finite by (rule finite-imageI)
qed

```

```

lemma card-num1: CARD(num1) = 1
  unfolding type-definition.card [OF type-definition-num1]
  by (simp only: card-unit)

```

```

lemma card-bit0: CARD('a::finite bit0) = 2 * CARD('a)
  unfolding type-definition.card [OF type-definition-bit0]
  by (simp only: card-prod card-bool)

```

```

lemma card-bit1: CARD('a::finite bit1) = Suc (2 * CARD('a))
  unfolding type-definition.card [OF type-definition-bit1]
  by (simp only: card-prod card-option card-bool)

```

```

lemma card-num0: CARD (num0) = 0
  by (simp add: infinite-UNIV-nat card-eq-0-iff type-definition.card [OF type-definition-num0])

```

```

lemmas card-univ-simps [simp] =
  card-unit
  card-bool
  card-prod
  card-sum
  card-option
  card-set

```

```

card-num1
card-bit0
card-bit1
card-num0

```

42.4 Syntax

syntax

```

-NumeralType :: num-const => type (-)
-NumeralType0 :: type (0)
-NumeralType1 :: type (1)

```

translations

```

-NumeralType1 == (type) num1
-NumeralType0 == (type) num0

```

parse-translation <<

```

let

```

```

val num1-const = Syntax.const Numeral-Type.num1;
val num0-const = Syntax.const Numeral-Type.num0;
val B0-const = Syntax.const Numeral-Type.bit0;
val B1-const = Syntax.const Numeral-Type.bit1;

```

```

fun mk-bintype n =

```

```

  let
    fun mk-bit n = if n = 0 then B0-const else B1-const;
    fun bin-of n =
      if n = 1 then num1-const
      else if n = 0 then num0-const
      else if n = ~1 then raise TERM (negative type numeral, [])
      else
        let val (q, r) = Integer.div-mod n 2;
        in mk-bit r $ bin-of q end;
    in bin-of n end;

```

```

fun numeral-tr (*-NumeralType*) [Const (str, -)] =
  mk-bintype (valOf (Int.fromString str))
| numeral-tr (*-NumeralType*) ts = raise TERM (numeral-tr, ts);

```

```

in [(-NumeralType, numeral-tr)] end;
>>

```

print-translation <<

```

let

```

```

fun int-of [] = 0
| int-of (b :: bs) = b + 2 * int-of bs;

```

```

fun bin-of (Const (num0, -)) = []

```

```

| bin-of (Const (num1, -)) = [1]
| bin-of (Const (bit0, -) $ bs) = 0 :: bin-of bs
| bin-of (Const (bit1, -) $ bs) = 1 :: bin-of bs
| bin-of t = raise TERM(bin-of, [t]);

fun bit-tr' b [t] =
  let
    val rev-digs = b :: bin-of t handle TERM - => raise Match
    val i = int-of rev-digs;
    val num = string-of-int (abs i);
  in
    Syntax.const -NumeralType $ Syntax.free num
  end
| bit-tr' b - = raise Match;

in [(bit0, bit-tr' 0), (bit1, bit-tr' 1)] end;
>>

```

42.5 Classes with at least 1 and 2

Class finite already captures ”at least 1”

```

lemma zero-less-card-finite [simp]:
  0 < CARD('a::finite)
proof (cases CARD('a::finite) = 0)
  case False thus ?thesis by (simp del: card-0-eq)
next
  case True
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: finite)
qed

```

```

lemma one-le-card-finite [simp]:
  Suc 0 <= CARD('a::finite)
by (simp add: less-Suc-eq-le [symmetric] zero-less-card-finite)

```

Class for cardinality ”at least 2”

```

class card2 = finite +
  assumes two-le-card: 2 <= CARD('a)

lemma one-less-card: Suc 0 < CARD('a::card2)
  using two-le-card [where 'a='a] by simp

instance bit0 :: (finite) card2
  by intro-classes (simp add: one-le-card-finite)

instance bit1 :: (finite) card2
  by intro-classes (simp add: one-le-card-finite)

```

42.6 Examples

```
lemma CARD(0) = 0 by simp
lemma CARD(17) = 17 by simp

end
```

43 Option-ord: Canonical order on option type

```
theory Option-ord
imports ATP-Linkup
begin

instantiation option :: (order) order
begin

definition less-eq-option where
  [code func del]:  $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow (\text{case } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow (\text{case } y \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow x \leq y))$ 

definition less-option where
  [code func del]:  $x < y \longleftrightarrow (\text{case } y \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow (\text{case } x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow x < y))$ 

lemma less-eq-option-None [simp]:  $\text{None} \leq x$ 
  by (simp add: less-eq-option-def)

lemma less-eq-option-None-code [code]:  $\text{None} \leq x \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
  by simp

lemma less-eq-option-None-is-None:  $x \leq \text{None} \implies x = \text{None}$ 
  by (cases x) (simp-all add: less-eq-option-def)

lemma less-eq-option-Some-None [simp, code]:  $\text{Some } x \leq \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  by (simp add: less-eq-option-def)

lemma less-eq-option-Some [simp, code]:  $\text{Some } x \leq \text{Some } y \longleftrightarrow x \leq y$ 
  by (simp add: less-eq-option-def)

lemma less-option-None [simp, code]:  $x < \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  by (simp add: less-option-def)

lemma less-option-None-is-Some:  $\text{None} < x \implies \exists z. x = \text{Some } z$ 
  by (cases x) (simp-all add: less-option-def)

lemma less-option-None-Some [simp]:  $\text{None} < \text{Some } x$ 
```

```

    by (simp add: less-option-def)

lemma less-option-None-Some-code [code]: None < Some x  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  by simp

lemma less-option-Some [simp, code]: Some x < Some y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x < y
  by (simp add: less-option-def)

instance by default
  (auto simp add: less-eq-option-def less-option-def split: option.splits)

end

instance option :: (linorder) linorder
  by default (auto simp add: less-eq-option-def less-option-def split: option.splits)

end

```

44 Order-Relation: Orders as Relations

```

theory Order-Relation
imports ATP-Linkup Hilbert-Choice
begin

```

This prelude could be moved to theory Relation:

```

definition irrefl r  $\equiv \forall x. (x,x) \notin r$ 

definition total-on A r  $\equiv \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x \neq y \longrightarrow (x,y) \in r \vee (y,x) \in r$ 

abbreviation total  $\equiv$  total-on UNIV

lemma total-on-empty[simp]: total-on {} r
  by (simp add: total-on-def)

lemma refl-on-converse[simp]: refl A (r-1) = refl A r
  by (auto simp add: refl-def)

lemma total-on-converse[simp]: total-on A (r-1) = total-on A r
  by (auto simp: total-on-def)

lemma irrefl-diff-Id[simp]: irrefl(r-Id)
  by (simp add: irrefl-def)

declare [[simp-depth-limit = 2]]
lemma trans-diff-Id: trans r  $\implies$  antisym r  $\implies$  trans (r-Id)
  by (simp add: antisym-def trans-def) blast
declare [[simp-depth-limit = 50]]

```


lemma *total-on-diff-Id*[simp]: $\text{total-on } A \ (r - \text{Id}) = \text{total-on } A \ r$
by(simp add: total-on-def)

44.1 Orders on a set

definition *preorder-on* $A \ r \equiv \text{refl } A \ r \wedge \text{trans } r$

definition *partial-order-on* $A \ r \equiv \text{preorder-on } A \ r \wedge \text{antisym } r$

definition *linear-order-on* $A \ r \equiv \text{partial-order-on } A \ r \wedge \text{total-on } A \ r$

definition *strict-linear-order-on* $A \ r \equiv \text{trans } r \wedge \text{irrefl } r \wedge \text{total-on } A \ r$

definition *well-order-on* $A \ r \equiv \text{linear-order-on } A \ r \wedge \text{wf}(r - \text{Id})$

lemmas *order-on-defs* =
preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
strict-linear-order-on-def well-order-on-def

lemma *preorder-on-empty*[simp]: $\text{preorder-on } \{\} \ \{\}$
by(simp add: preorder-on-def trans-def)

lemma *partial-order-on-empty*[simp]: $\text{partial-order-on } \{\} \ \{\}$
by(simp add: partial-order-on-def)

lemma *linear-order-on-empty*[simp]: $\text{linear-order-on } \{\} \ \{\}$
by(simp add: linear-order-on-def)

lemma *well-order-on-empty*[simp]: $\text{well-order-on } \{\} \ \{\}$
by(simp add: well-order-on-def)

lemma *preorder-on-converse*[simp]: $\text{preorder-on } A \ (r^{-1}) = \text{preorder-on } A \ r$
by (simp add: preorder-on-def)

lemma *partial-order-on-converse*[simp]:
 $\text{partial-order-on } A \ (r^{-1}) = \text{partial-order-on } A \ r$
by (simp add: partial-order-on-def)

lemma *linear-order-on-converse*[simp]:
 $\text{linear-order-on } A \ (r^{-1}) = \text{linear-order-on } A \ r$
by (simp add: linear-order-on-def)

lemma *strict-linear-order-on-diff-Id*:
 $\text{linear-order-on } A \ r \implies \text{strict-linear-order-on } A \ (r - \text{Id})$
by(simp add: order-on-defs trans-diff-Id)

44.2 Orders on the field

abbreviation $\text{Refl } r \equiv \text{refl } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

abbreviation $\text{Preorder } r \equiv \text{preorder-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

abbreviation $\text{Partial-order } r \equiv \text{partial-order-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

abbreviation $\text{Total } r \equiv \text{total-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

abbreviation $\text{Linear-order } r \equiv \text{linear-order-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

abbreviation $\text{Well-order } r \equiv \text{well-order-on } (\text{Field } r) \ r$

lemma *subset-Image-Image-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; A \subseteq \text{Field } r; B \subseteq \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \text{ “ } A \subseteq r \text{ “ } B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \exists b \in B. (b, a):r)$

apply(*auto simp add: subset-eq preorder-on-def refl-def Image-def*)

apply *metis*

by(*metis trans-def*)

lemma *subset-Image1-Image1-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Preorder } r; a : \text{Field } r; b : \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies r \text{ “ } \{a\} \subseteq r \text{ “ } \{b\} \longleftrightarrow (b, a):r$

by(*simp add: subset-Image-Image-iff*)

lemma *Refl-antisym-eq-Image1-Image1-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Refl } r; \text{antisym } r; a : \text{Field } r; b : \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies r \text{ “ } \{a\} = r \text{ “ } \{b\} \longleftrightarrow a=b$

by(*simp add: expand-set-eq antisym-def refl-def*) *metis*

lemma *Partial-order-eq-Image1-Image1-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Partial-order } r; a : \text{Field } r; b : \text{Field } r \rrbracket \implies r \text{ “ } \{a\} = r \text{ “ } \{b\} \longleftrightarrow a=b$

by(*auto simp: order-on-defs Refl-antisym-eq-Image1-Image1-iff*)

44.3 Orders on a type

abbreviation $\text{strict-linear-order} \equiv \text{strict-linear-order-on } \text{UNIV}$

abbreviation $\text{linear-order} \equiv \text{linear-order-on } \text{UNIV}$

abbreviation $\text{well-order } r \equiv \text{well-order-on } \text{UNIV}$

end

45 Permutation: Permutations

theory *Permutation*

imports *Multiset*

begin

inductive

perm :: 'a list => 'a list => bool (- <~~> - [50, 50] 50)

where

Nil [intro!]: [] <~~> []
 | *swap* [intro!]: y # x # l <~~> x # y # l
 | *Cons* [intro!]: xs <~~> ys ==> z # xs <~~> z # ys
 | *trans* [intro]: xs <~~> ys ==> ys <~~> zs ==> xs <~~> zs

lemma *perm-refl* [iff]: l <~~> l

by (induct l) auto

45.1 Some examples of rule induction on permutations

lemma *xperm-empty-imp*: [] <~~> ys ==> ys = []

by (induct xs == []::'a list ys pred: perm) simp-all

This more general theorem is easier to understand!

lemma *perm-length*: xs <~~> ys ==> length xs = length ys

by (induct pred: perm) simp-all

lemma *perm-empty-imp*: [] <~~> xs ==> xs = []

by (drule perm-length) auto

lemma *perm-sym*: xs <~~> ys ==> ys <~~> xs

by (induct pred: perm) auto

45.2 Ways of making new permutations

We can insert the head anywhere in the list.

lemma *perm-append-Cons*: a # xs @ ys <~~> xs @ a # ys

by (induct xs) auto

lemma *perm-append-swap*: xs @ ys <~~> ys @ xs

apply (induct xs)

apply simp-all

apply (blast intro: perm-append-Cons)

done

lemma *perm-append-single*: a # xs <~~> xs @ [a]

by (rule perm.trans [OF - perm-append-swap]) simp

lemma *perm-rev*: rev xs <~~> xs

apply (induct xs)

apply simp-all

apply (blast intro!: perm-append-single intro: perm-sym)

done

lemma *perm-append1*: $xs <\sim\sim> ys \implies l @ xs <\sim\sim> l @ ys$
by (*induct l*) *auto*

lemma *perm-append2*: $xs <\sim\sim> ys \implies xs @ l <\sim\sim> ys @ l$
by (*blast intro!*: *perm-append-swap perm-append1*)

45.3 Further results

lemma *perm-empty* [*iff*]: $([] <\sim\sim> xs) = (xs = [])$
by (*blast intro: perm-empty-imp*)

lemma *perm-empty2* [*iff*]: $(xs <\sim\sim> []) = (xs = [])$
apply *auto*
apply (*erule perm-sym [THEN perm-empty-imp]*)
done

lemma *perm-sing-imp*: $ys <\sim\sim> xs \implies xs = [y] \implies ys = [y]$
by (*induct pred: perm*) *auto*

lemma *perm-sing-eq* [*iff*]: $(ys <\sim\sim> [y]) = (ys = [y])$
by (*blast intro: perm-sing-imp*)

lemma *perm-sing-eq2* [*iff*]: $([y] <\sim\sim> ys) = (ys = [y])$
by (*blast dest: perm-sym*)

45.4 Removing elements

consts

remove :: 'a => 'a list => 'a list

primrec

remove $x [] = []$

remove $x (y \# ys) = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } ys \text{ else } y \# \text{remove } x \text{ } ys)$

lemma *perm-remove*: $x \in \text{set } ys \implies ys <\sim\sim> x \# \text{remove } x \text{ } ys$
by (*induct ys*) *auto*

lemma *remove-commute*: $\text{remove } x (\text{remove } y \text{ } l) = \text{remove } y (\text{remove } x \text{ } l)$
by (*induct l*) *auto*

lemma *multiset-of-remove* [*simp*]:

multiset-of (*remove* $a \text{ } x$) = *multiset-of* $x - \{\#a\# \}$

apply (*induct x*)

apply (*auto simp: multiset-eq-conv-count-eq*)

done

Congruence rule

lemma *perm-remove-perm*: $xs <\sim\sim> ys \implies \text{remove } z \text{ } xs <\sim\sim> \text{remove } z \text{ } ys$
by (*induct pred: perm*) *auto*

lemma *remove-hd [simp]*: $\text{remove } z \ (z \# \text{xs}) = \text{xs}$
by *auto*

lemma *cons-perm-imp-perm*: $z \# \text{xs} <\sim\sim> z \# \text{ys} \implies \text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys}$
by (*drule-tac* $z = z$ **in** *perm-remove-perm*) *auto*

lemma *cons-perm-eq [iff]*: $(z \# \text{xs} <\sim\sim> z \# \text{ys}) = (\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys})$
by (*blast intro: cons-perm-imp-perm*)

lemma *append-perm-imp-perm*: $\text{zs} @ \text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{zs} @ \text{ys} \implies \text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys}$
apply (*induct* *zs arbitrary: xs ys rule: rev-induct*)
apply (*simp-all (no-asm-use)*)
apply *blast*
done

lemma *perm-append1-eq [iff]*: $(\text{zs} @ \text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{zs} @ \text{ys}) = (\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys})$
by (*blast intro: append-perm-imp-perm perm-append1*)

lemma *perm-append2-eq [iff]*: $(\text{xs} @ \text{zs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys} @ \text{zs}) = (\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys})$
apply (*safe intro!: perm-append2*)
apply (*rule append-perm-imp-perm*)
apply (*rule perm-append-swap [THEN perm.trans]*)
 — the previous step helps this *blast* call succeed quickly
apply (*blast intro: perm-append-swap*)
done

lemma *multiset-of-eq-perm*: $(\text{multiset-of } \text{xs} = \text{multiset-of } \text{ys}) = (\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys})$
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*erule-tac [2] perm.induct, simp-all add: union-ac*)
apply (*erule rev-mp, rule-tac x=ys in spec*)
apply (*induct-tac xs, auto*)
apply (*erule-tac x = remove a x in allE, drule sym, simp*)
apply (*subgoal-tac a ∈ set x*)
apply (*drule-tac z=a in perm.Cons*)
apply (*erule perm.trans, rule perm-sym, erule perm-remove*)
apply (*drule-tac f=set-of in arg-cong, simp*)
done

lemma *multiset-of-le-perm-append*:
 $(\text{multiset-of } \text{xs} \leq \# \text{multiset-of } \text{ys}) = (\exists \text{zs. } \text{xs} @ \text{zs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys})$
apply (*auto simp: multiset-of-eq-perm [THEN sym] mset-le-exists-conv*)
apply (*insert surj-multiset-of, drule surjD*)
apply (*blast intro: sym*)
done

lemma *perm-set-eq*: $\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys} \implies \text{set } \text{xs} = \text{set } \text{ys}$
by (*metis multiset-of-eq-perm multiset-of-eq-setD*)

lemma *perm-distinct-iff*: $\text{xs} <\sim\sim> \text{ys} \implies \text{distinct } \text{xs} = \text{distinct } \text{ys}$

```

apply (induct pred: perm)
  apply simp-all
  apply fastsimp
apply (metis perm-set-eq)
done

lemma eq-set-perm-remdups: set xs = set ys ==> remdups xs <~~> remdups ys
apply (induct xs arbitrary: ys rule: length-induct)
apply (case-tac remdups xs, simp, simp)
apply (subgoal-tac a : set (remdups ys))
  prefer 2 apply (metis set.simps(2) insert-iff set-remdups)
apply (drule split-list) apply (elim exE conjE)
apply (drule-tac x=list in spec) apply (erule impE) prefer 2
apply (drule-tac x=ysa@zs in spec) apply (erule impE) prefer 2
apply simp
apply (subgoal-tac a#list <~~> a#ysa@zs)
apply (metis Cons-eq-appendI perm-append-Cons trans)
apply (metis Cons Cons-eq-appendI distinct.simps(2))
  distinct-remdups distinct-remdups-id perm-append-swap perm-distinct-iff)
apply (subgoal-tac set (a#list) = set (ysa@a#zs) & distinct (a#list) & distinct
  (ysa@a#zs))
  apply (fastsimp simp add: insert-ident)
  apply (metis distinct-remdups set-remdups)
apply (metis le-less-trans Suc-length-conv length-remdups-leq less-Suc-eq nat-less-le)
done

lemma perm-remdups-iff-eq-set: remdups x <~~> remdups y = (set x = set y)
  by (metis List.set-remdups perm-set-eq eq-set-perm-remdups)

end

```

46 Primes: Primality on nat

```

theory Primes
imports GCD Parity
begin

```

definition

```

coprime :: nat => nat => bool where
coprime m n <math>\longleftrightarrow (\gcd (m, n) = 1)</math>

```

definition

```

prime :: nat => bool where
prime p <math>\longleftrightarrow (1 < p \wedge (\forall m. m \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow m = 1 \vee m = p))</math>

```

```

lemma two-is-prime: prime 2
apply (auto simp add: prime-def)

```

```

apply (case-tac m)
apply (auto dest!: dvd-imp-le)
done

```

```

lemma prime-imp-relprime: prime p ==> ¬ p dvd n ==> gcd (p, n) = 1
apply (auto simp add: prime-def)
apply (metis One-nat-def gcd-dvd1 gcd-dvd2)
done

```

This theorem leads immediately to a proof of the uniqueness of factorization. If p divides a product of primes then it is one of those primes.

```

lemma prime-dvd-mult: prime p ==> p dvd m * n ==> p dvd m ∨ p dvd n
by (blast intro: relprime-dvd-mult prime-imp-relprime)

```

```

lemma prime-dvd-square: prime p ==> p dvd m ^ Suc (Suc 0) ==> p dvd m
by (auto dest: prime-dvd-mult)

```

```

lemma prime-dvd-power-two: prime p ==> p dvd m2 ==> p dvd m
by (rule prime-dvd-square) (simp-all add: power2-eq-square)

```

```

lemma exp-eq-1: (x::nat) ^ n = 1 ⟷ x = 1 ∨ n = 0 by (induct n, auto)
lemma exp-mono-lt: (x::nat) ^ (Suc n) < y ^ (Suc n) ⟷ x < y
using power-less-imp-less-base[of x Suc n y] power-strict-mono[of x y Suc n]
by auto
lemma exp-mono-le: (x::nat) ^ (Suc n) ≤ y ^ (Suc n) ⟷ x ≤ y
by (simp only: linorder-not-less[symmetric] exp-mono-lt)

```

```

lemma exp-mono-eq: (x::nat) ^ Suc n = y ^ Suc n ⟷ x = y
using power-inject-base[of x n y] by auto

```

```

lemma even-square: assumes e: even (n::nat) shows ∃ x. n ^ 2 = 4*x
proof–
  from e have 2 dvd n by presburger
  then obtain k where k: n = 2*k using dvd-def by auto
  hence n^2 = 4* (k^2) by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma odd-square: assumes e: odd (n::nat) shows ∃ x. n ^ 2 = 4*x + 1
proof–
  from e have np: n > 0 by presburger
  from e have 2 dvd (n - 1) by presburger
  then obtain k where n - 1 = 2*k using dvd-def by auto
  hence k: n = 2*k + 1 using e by presburger
  hence n^2 = 4* (k^2 + k) + 1 by algebra
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma diff-square:  $(x::nat)^2 - y^2 = (x+y)*(x - y)$ 
proof –
  have  $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$  by (rule nat-le-linear)
  moreover
    {assume  $le: x \leq y$ 
     hence  $x^2 \leq y^2$  by (simp only: numeral-2-eq-2 exp-mono-le Let-def)
     with  $le$  have ?thesis by simp }
  moreover
    {assume  $le: y \leq x$ 
     hence  $le2: y^2 \leq x^2$  by (simp only: numeral-2-eq-2 exp-mono-le Let-def)
     from  $le$  have  $\exists z. y + z = x$  by presburger
     then obtain  $z$  where  $z: x = y + z$  by blast
     from  $le2$  have  $\exists z. x^2 = y^2 + z$  by presburger
     then obtain  $z2$  where  $z2: x^2 = y^2 + z2$  by blast
     from  $z\ z2$  have ?thesis apply simp by algebra }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

Elementary theory of divisibility

```

lemma divides-ge:  $(a::nat) \text{ dvd } b \implies b = 0 \vee a \leq b$  unfolding dvd-def by auto
lemma divides-antisym:  $(x::nat) \text{ dvd } y \wedge y \text{ dvd } x \iff x = y$ 
  using dvd-anti-sym[of x y] by auto

```

```

lemma divides-add-revr: assumes  $da: (d::nat) \text{ dvd } a$  and  $dab: d \text{ dvd } (a + b)$ 
  shows  $d \text{ dvd } b$ 
proof –
  from  $da$  obtain  $k$  where  $k:a = d*k$  by (auto simp add: dvd-def)
  from  $dab$  obtain  $k'$  where  $k': a + b = d*k'$  by (auto simp add: dvd-def)
  from  $k\ k'$  have  $b = d*(k' - k)$  by (simp add : diff-mult-distrib2)
  thus ?thesis unfolding dvd-def by blast
qed

```

```

declare nat-mult-dvd-cancel-disj[presburger]
lemma nat-mult-dvd-cancel-disj'[presburger]:
   $(m::nat)*k \text{ dvd } n*k \iff k = 0 \vee m \text{ dvd } n$  unfolding mult-commute[of m k]
  mult-commute[of n k] by presburger

```

```

lemma divides-mul-l:  $(a::nat) \text{ dvd } b \implies (c * a) \text{ dvd } (c * b)$ 
  by presburger

```

```

lemma divides-mul-r:  $(a::nat) \text{ dvd } b \implies (a * c) \text{ dvd } (b * c)$  by presburger

```

```

lemma divides-cases:  $(n::nat) \text{ dvd } m \implies m = 0 \vee m = n \vee 2 * n \leq m$ 
  by (auto simp add: dvd-def)

```

```

lemma divides-le:  $m \text{ dvd } n \implies m \leq n \vee n = (0::nat)$  by (auto simp add: dvd-def)

```

```

lemma divides-div-not:  $(x::nat) = (q * n) + r \implies 0 < r \implies r < n \implies \sim(n \text{ dvd } x)$ 

```



```

proof(auto simp add: dvd-def)
  fix k assume H:  $0 < r \wedge r < n \wedge q * n + r = n * k$ 
  from H(3) have  $r = n * (k - q)$  by(simp add: diff-mult-distrib2 mult-commute)
  {assume  $k - q = 0$  with r H(1) have False by simp}
  moreover
  {assume  $k - q \neq 0$  with r have  $r \geq n$  by auto
    with H(2) have False by simp}
  ultimately show False by blast
qed
lemma divides-exp:  $(x::nat) \text{ dvd } y \implies x \wedge n \text{ dvd } y \wedge n$ 
  by (auto simp add: power-mult-distrib dvd-def)

lemma divides-exp2:  $n \neq 0 \implies (x::nat) \wedge n \text{ dvd } y \implies x \text{ dvd } y$ 
  by (induct n ,auto simp add: dvd-def)

fun fact :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  fact 0 = 1
| fact (Suc n) = Suc n * fact n

lemma fact-lt:  $0 < \text{fact } n$  by(induct n, simp-all)
lemma fact-le:  $\text{fact } n \geq 1$  using fact-lt[of n] by simp
lemma fact-mono: assumes le:  $m \leq n$  shows  $\text{fact } m \leq \text{fact } n$ 
proof–
  from le have  $\exists i. n = m + i$  by presburger
  then obtain i where  $i: n = m + i$  by blast
  have  $\text{fact } m \leq \text{fact } (m + i)$ 
  proof(induct m)
    case 0 thus ?case using fact-le[of i] by simp
  next
    case (Suc m)
    have  $\text{fact } (\text{Suc } m) = \text{Suc } m * \text{fact } m$  by simp
    have th1:  $\text{Suc } m \leq \text{Suc } (m + i)$  by simp
    from mult-le-mono[of Suc m Suc (m+i) fact m fact (m+i), OF th1 Suc.hyps]
    show ?case by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis using i by simp
qed

lemma divides-fact:  $1 \leq p \implies p \leq n \implies p \text{ dvd fact } n$ 
proof(induct n arbitrary: p)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n p)
  from Suc.prems have  $p = \text{Suc } n \vee p \leq n$  by presburger
  moreover
  {assume  $p = \text{Suc } n$  hence ?case by (simp only: fact.simps dvd-triv-left)}
  moreover
  {assume  $p \leq n$ 
    with Suc.prems(1) Suc.hyps have  $p \text{ dvd fact } n$  by simp
  }

```

```

    from dvd-mult[OF th] have ?case by (simp only: fact.simps) }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

```

declare dvd-triv-left[presburger]
declare dvd-triv-right[presburger]
lemma divides-rexp:

```

```

  x dvd y  $\implies$  (x::nat) dvd (y^(Suc n)) by (simp add: dvd-mult2[of x y])

```

The Bezout theorem is a bit ugly for N; it’d be easier for Z

```

lemma ind-euclid:

```

```

  assumes c:  $\forall a b. P (a::nat) b \longleftrightarrow P b a$  and z:  $\forall a. P a 0$ 
  and add:  $\forall a b. P a b \longrightarrow P a (a + b)$ 
  shows  $P a b$ 

```

```

proof(induct n $\equiv$ a+b arbitrary: a b rule: nat-less-induct)

```

```

  fix n a b

```

```

  assume H:  $\forall m < n. \forall a b. m = a + b \longrightarrow P a b$ 

```

```

  have a = b  $\vee$  a < b  $\vee$  b < a by arith

```

```

  moreover {assume eq: a = b

```

```

    from add[rule-format, OF z[rule-format, of a]] have P a b using eq by simp}

```

```

  moreover

```

```

  {assume lt: a < b

```

```

    hence a + b - a < n  $\vee$  a = 0 using H(2) by arith

```

```

    moreover

```

```

    {assume a = 0 with z c have P a b by blast }

```

```

    moreover

```

```

    {assume ab: a + b - a < n

```

```

      have th0: a + b - a = a + (b - a) using lt by arith

```

```

      from add[rule-format, OF H(1)[rule-format, OF ab th0]]

```

```

      have P a b by (simp add: th0[symmetric])}

```

```

    ultimately have P a b by blast}

```

```

  moreover

```

```

  {assume lt: a > b

```

```

    hence b + a - b < n  $\vee$  b = 0 using H(2) by arith

```

```

    moreover

```

```

    {assume b = 0 with z c have P a b by blast }

```

```

    moreover

```

```

    {assume ab: b + a - b < n

```

```

      have th0: b + a - b = b + (a - b) using lt by arith

```

```

      from add[rule-format, OF H(1)[rule-format, OF ab th0]]

```

```

      have P b a by (simp add: th0[symmetric])

```

```

      hence P a b using c by blast }

```

```

    ultimately have P a b by blast}

```

```

ultimately show P a b by blast

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma bezout-lemma:

```

```

  assumes ex:  $\exists (d::nat) x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge (a * x = b * y + d \vee b * x = a * y + d)$ 

```

```

  shows  $\exists d x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } a + b \wedge (a * x = (a + b) * y + d \vee (a + b) * x = a * y + d)$ 
  using ex
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac  $x=d$  in exI, simp add: dvd-add)
  apply (case-tac  $a * x = b * y + d$ , simp-all)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x + y$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=y$  in exI)
  apply algebra
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x + y$  in exI)
  apply algebra
  done

```

```

lemma bezout-add:  $\exists (d::nat) x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge (a * x = b * y + d \vee b * x = a * y + d)$ 
  apply (induct a b rule: ind-euclid)
  apply blast
  apply clarify
  apply (rule-tac  $x=a$  in exI, simp add: dvd-add)
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac  $x=d$  in exI)
  apply (case-tac  $a * x = b * y + d$ , simp-all add: dvd-add)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x+y$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=y$  in exI)
  apply algebra
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x+y$  in exI)
  apply algebra
  done

```

```

lemma bezout:  $\exists (d::nat) x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge (a * x - b * y = d \vee b * x - a * y = d)$ 
  using bezout-add[of a b]
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule-tac  $x=d$  in exI, simp)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=x$  in exI)
  apply (rule-tac  $x=y$  in exI)
  apply auto
  done

```

We can get a stronger version with a nonzeroness assumption.

```

lemma bezout-add-strong: assumes  $nz: a \neq (0::nat)$ 
  shows  $\exists d x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge a * x = b * y + d$ 
proof—
  from nz have ap:  $a > 0$  by simp
  from bezout-add[of a b]
  have  $(\exists d x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge a * x = b * y + d) \vee (\exists d x y. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge b * x = a * y + d)$  by blast

```

```

moreover
{fix  $d\ x\ y$  assume  $H: d\ dvd\ a\ d\ dvd\ b\ a * x = b * y + d$ 
  from  $H$  have  $?thesis$  by  $blast$  }
moreover
{fix  $d\ x\ y$  assume  $H: d\ dvd\ a\ d\ dvd\ b\ b * x = a * y + d$ 
  {assume  $b0: b = 0$  with  $H$  have  $?thesis$  by  $simp$ }
  moreover
  {assume  $b: b \neq 0$  hence  $bp: b > 0$  by  $simp$ 
    from  $divides-le[OF\ H(2)]\ b$  have  $d < b \vee d = b$  using  $le-less$  by  $blast$ 
    moreover
    {assume  $db: d=b$ 
      from  $prems$  have  $?thesis$  apply  $simp$ 
      apply  $(rule\ exI[where\ x = b],\ simp)$ 
      apply  $(rule\ exI[where\ x = b])$ 
      by  $(rule\ exI[where\ x = a - 1],\ simp\ add: diff-mult-distrib2)}$ 
    }
    moreover
    {assume  $db: d < b$ 
      {assume  $x=0$  hence  $?thesis$  using  $prems$  by  $simp$  }
      moreover
      {assume  $x0: x \neq 0$  hence  $xp: x > 0$  by  $simp$ 
        from  $db$  have  $d \leq b - 1$  by  $simp$ 
        hence  $d*b \leq b*(b - 1)$  by  $simp$ 
        with  $xp\ mult-mono[of\ 1\ x\ d*b\ b*(b - 1)]$ 
        have  $dble: d*b \leq x*b*(b - 1)$  using  $bp$  by  $simp$ 
        from  $H\ (3)$  have  $d + (b - 1) * (b*x) = d + (b - 1) * (a*y + d)$  by
 $simp$ 
        hence  $d + (b - 1) * a * y + (b - 1) * d = d + (b - 1) * b * x$ 
        by  $(simp\ only: mult-assoc\ right-distrib)$ 
        hence  $a * ((b - 1) * y) + d * (b - 1 + 1) = d + x*b*(b - 1)$  by
 $algebra$ 
        hence  $a * ((b - 1) * y) = d + x*b*(b - 1) - d*b$  using  $bp$  by  $simp$ 
        hence  $a * ((b - 1) * y) = d + (x*b*(b - 1) - d*b)$ 
        by  $(simp\ only: diff-add-assoc[OF\ dble,\ of\ d,\ symmetric])$ 
        hence  $a * ((b - 1) * y) = b*(x*(b - 1) - d) + d$ 
        by  $(simp\ only: diff-mult-distrib2\ add-commute\ mult-ac)$ 
        hence  $?thesis$  using  $H(1,2)$ 
        apply  $-$ 
        apply  $(rule\ exI[where\ x=d],\ simp)$ 
        apply  $(rule\ exI[where\ x=(b - 1) * y])$ 
        by  $(rule\ exI[where\ x=x*(b - 1) - d],\ simp)}$ 
        ultimately have  $?thesis$  by  $blast$  }
        ultimately have  $?thesis$  by  $blast$  }
        ultimately have  $?thesis$  by  $blast$  }
        ultimately show  $?thesis$  by  $blast$  }
      }
    }
  }
qed

```

Greatest common divisor.

lemma $gcd\text{-}unique: d\ dvd\ a \wedge d\ dvd\ b \wedge (\forall e. e\ dvd\ a \wedge e\ dvd\ b \longrightarrow e\ dvd\ d) \longleftrightarrow$

$d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
proof(*auto*)
 assume $H: d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \vee e. e \text{ dvd } a \wedge e \text{ dvd } b \longrightarrow e \text{ dvd } d$
 from $H(3)[\text{rule-format}] \text{ gcd-dvd1}[of\ a\ b] \text{ gcd-dvd2}[of\ a\ b]$
 have $th: \text{gcd}(a, b) \text{ dvd } d$ **by** *blast*
 from $\text{dvd-anti-sym}[OF\ th\ \text{gcd-greatest}[OF\ H(1, 2)]]$ **show** $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *gcd-eq*: **assumes** $H: \forall d. d \text{ dvd } x \wedge d \text{ dvd } y \longleftrightarrow d \text{ dvd } u \wedge d \text{ dvd } v$
shows $\text{gcd}(x, y) = \text{gcd}(u, v)$
proof–
 from H **have** $\forall d. d \text{ dvd } x \wedge d \text{ dvd } y \longleftrightarrow d \text{ dvd } \text{gcd}(u, v)$ **by** *simp*
 with $\text{gcd-unique}[of\ \text{gcd}(u, v)\ x\ y]$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *bezout-gcd*: $\exists x\ y. a * x - b * y = \text{gcd}(a, b) \vee b * x - a * y = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
proof–
 let $?g = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
 from $\text{bezout}[of\ a\ b]$ **obtain** $d\ x\ y$ **where** $d: d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge a * x - b * y = d$
 $\vee b * x - a * y = d$ **by** *blast*
 from $d(1, 2)$ **have** $d \text{ dvd } ?g$ **by** *simp*
 then **obtain** k **where** $k: ?g = d * k$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*
 from $d(3)$ **have** $(a * x - b * y) * k = d * k \vee (b * x - a * y) * k = d * k$ **by** *blast*
 hence $a * x * k - b * y * k = d * k \vee b * x * k - a * y * k = d * k$
by (*simp only: diff-mult-distrib*)
 hence $a * (x * k) - b * (y * k) = ?g \vee b * (x * k) - a * (y * k) = ?g$
by (*simp add: k mult-assoc*)
 thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *bezout-gcd-strong*: **assumes** $a: a \neq 0$
shows $\exists x\ y. a * x = b * y + \text{gcd}(a, b)$
proof–
 let $?g = \text{gcd}(a, b)$
 from $\text{bezout-add-strong}[OF\ a, of\ b]$
obtain $d\ x\ y$ **where** $d: d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge a * x = b * y + d$ **by** *blast*
 from $d(1, 2)$ **have** $d \text{ dvd } ?g$ **by** *simp*
 then **obtain** k **where** $k: ?g = d * k$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*
 from $d(3)$ **have** $a * x * k = (b * y + d) * k$ **by** *auto*
 hence $a * (x * k) = b * (y * k) + ?g$ **by** (*algebra add: k*)
 thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *gcd-mult-distrib*: $\text{gcd}(a * c, b * c) = c * \text{gcd}(a, b)$
by(*simp add: gcd-mult-distrib2 mult-commute*)

lemma *gcd-bezout*: $(\exists x\ y. a * x - b * y = d \vee b * x - a * y = d) \longleftrightarrow \text{gcd}(a, b) \text{ dvd } d$

```

(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof-
  let ?g = gcd (a,b)
  {assume H: ?rhs then obtain k where k: d = ?g*k unfolding dvd-def by
blast
  from bezout-gcd[of a b] obtain x y where xy: a * x - b * y = ?g  $\vee$  b * x -
a * y = ?g
  by blast
  hence (a * x - b * y)*k = ?g*k  $\vee$  (b * x - a * y)*k = ?g*k by auto
  hence a * x*k - b * y*k = ?g*k  $\vee$  b * x * k - a * y*k = ?g*k
  by (simp only: diff-mult-distrib)
  hence a * (x*k) - b * (y*k) = d  $\vee$  b * (x * k) - a * (y*k) = d
  by (simp add: k[symmetric] mult-assoc)
  hence ?lhs by blast}
moreover
  {fix x y assume H: a * x - b * y = d  $\vee$  b * x - a * y = d
  have dv: ?g dvd a*x ?g dvd b * y ?g dvd b*x ?g dvd a * y
  using dvd-mult2[OF gcd-dvd1[of a b]] dvd-mult2[OF gcd-dvd2[of a b]] by
simp-all
  from dvd-diff[OF dv(1,2)] dvd-diff[OF dv(3,4)] H
  have ?rhs by auto}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma gcd-bezout-sum: assumes H: a * x + b * y = d shows gcd(a,b) dvd d
proof-
  let ?g = gcd (a,b)
  have dv: ?g dvd a*x ?g dvd b * y
  using dvd-mult2[OF gcd-dvd1[of a b]] dvd-mult2[OF gcd-dvd2[of a b]] by
simp-all
  from dvd-add[OF dv] H
  show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma gcd-mult': gcd(b, a * b) = b
by (simp add: gcd-mult mult-commute[of a b])

```

```

lemma gcd-add: gcd(a + b, b) = gcd(a, b) gcd(b + a, b) = gcd(a, b) gcd(a, a + b)
= gcd(a, b) gcd(a, b + a) = gcd(a, b)
apply (simp-all add: gcd-add1)
by (simp add: gcd-commute gcd-add1)

```

```

lemma gcd-sub: b <= a ==> gcd(a - b, b) = gcd(a, b) a <= b ==> gcd(a, b -
a) = gcd(a, b)
proof-
  {fix a b assume H: b  $\leq$  (a::nat)
  hence th: a - b + b = a by arith
  from gcd-add(1)[of a - b b] th have gcd(a - b, b) = gcd(a, b) by simp}
note th = this

```

```

{
  assume  $ab: b \leq a$ 
  from  $th[OF\ ab]$  show  $\gcd(a - b, b) = \gcd(a, b)$  by blast
next
  assume  $ab: a \leq b$ 
  from  $th[OF\ ab]$  show  $\gcd(a, b - a) = \gcd(a, b)$ 
  by (simp add: gcd-commute)}
qed

```

Coprimality

```

lemma coprime:  $\text{coprime } a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\forall d. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \longleftrightarrow d = 1)$ 
using gcd-unique[of 1 a b, simplified] by (auto simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-commute:  $\text{coprime } a\ b \longleftrightarrow \text{coprime } b\ a$  by (simp add: coprime-def
gcd-commute)

```

```

lemma coprime-bezout:  $\text{coprime } a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ y. a * x - b * y = 1 \vee b * x - a * y = 1)$ 
using coprime-def gcd-bezout by auto

```

```

lemma coprime-divprod:  $d \text{ dvd } a * b \implies \text{coprime } d\ a \implies d \text{ dvd } b$ 
using relprime-dvd-mult-iff[of d a b] by (auto simp add: coprime-def mult-commute)

```

```

lemma coprime-1[simp]:  $\text{coprime } a\ 1$  by (simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-1'[simp]:  $\text{coprime } 1\ a$  by (simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-Suc0[simp]:  $\text{coprime } a\ (\text{Suc } 0)$  by (simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-Suc0'[simp]:  $\text{coprime } (\text{Suc } 0)\ a$  by (simp add: coprime-def)

```

```

lemma gcd-coprime:
  assumes  $z: \gcd(a, b) \neq 0$  and  $a: a = a' * \gcd(a, b)$  and  $b: b = b' * \gcd(a, b)$ 
  shows  $\text{coprime } a'\ b'$ 
proof-
  let  $?g = \gcd(a, b)$ 
  {assume  $bz: a = 0$  from b bz z a have ?thesis by (simp add: gcd-zero coprime-def)}
  moreover
  {assume  $az: a \neq 0$ 
   from z have  $z': ?g > 0$  by simp
   from bezout-gcd-strong[OF az, of b]
   obtain  $x\ y$  where  $xy: a * x = b * y + ?g$  by blast
   from  $xy\ a\ b$  have  $?g * a' * x = ?g * (b' * y + 1)$  by (simp add: ring-simps)
   hence  $?g * (a' * x) = ?g * (b' * y + 1)$  by (simp add: mult-assoc)
   hence  $a' * x = (b' * y + 1)$ 
   by (simp only: nat-mult-eq-cancel1[OF z'])
   hence  $a' * x - b' * y = 1$  by simp
   with coprime-bezout[of a' b] have ?thesis by auto}
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma coprime-0:  $\text{coprime } d\ 0 \longleftrightarrow d = 1$  by (simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-mul: assumes  $da: \text{coprime } d\ a$  and  $db: \text{coprime } d\ b$ 
  shows  $\text{coprime } d\ (a * b)$ 

```

proof–

from *da* **have** *th*: $\gcd(a, d) = 1$ **by** (*simp add: coprime-def gcd-commute*)
 from *gcd-mult-cancel*[*of a d b, OF th*] *db*[*unfolded coprime-def*] **have** $\gcd(d, a*b) = 1$
 by (*simp add: gcd-commute*)
 thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *coprime-def* .

qed

lemma *coprime-lmul2*: **assumes** *dab*: $\text{coprime } d \ (a * b)$ **shows** $\text{coprime } d \ b$

using *prems* **unfolding** *coprime-bezout*

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*case-tac d * x - a * b * y = Suc 0 , simp-all*)

apply (*rule-tac x=x in exI*)

apply (*rule-tac x=a*y in exI*)

apply (*simp add: mult-ac*)

apply (*rule-tac x=a*x in exI*)

apply (*rule-tac x=y in exI*)

apply (*simp add: mult-ac*)

done

lemma *coprime-rmul2*: $\text{coprime } d \ (a * b) \implies \text{coprime } d \ a$

unfolding *coprime-bezout*

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*case-tac d * x - a * b * y = Suc 0 , simp-all*)

apply (*rule-tac x=x in exI*)

apply (*rule-tac x=b*y in exI*)

apply (*simp add: mult-ac*)

apply (*rule-tac x=b*x in exI*)

apply (*rule-tac x=y in exI*)

apply (*simp add: mult-ac*)

done

lemma *coprime-mul-eq*: $\text{coprime } d \ (a * b) \longleftrightarrow \text{coprime } d \ a \wedge \text{coprime } d \ b$

using *coprime-rmul2*[*of d a b*] *coprime-lmul2*[*of d a b*] *coprime-mul*[*of d a b*]

by *blast*

lemma *gcd-coprime-exists*:

assumes *nz*: $\gcd(a, b) \neq 0$

shows $\exists a' b'. a = a' * \gcd(a, b) \wedge b = b' * \gcd(a, b) \wedge \text{coprime } a' b'$

proof–

let *?g* = $\gcd(a, b)$

from *gcd-dvd1*[*of a b*] *gcd-dvd2*[*of a b*]

obtain *a' b'* **where** $a = ?g * a' \ b = ?g * b'$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*

hence *ab'*: $a = a' * ?g \ b = b' * ?g$ **by** *algebra+*

from *ab' gcd-coprime*[*OF nz ab*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *coprime-exp*: $\text{coprime } d \ a \implies \text{coprime } d \ (a^n)$

by (*induct n, simp-all add: coprime-mul*)

lemma *coprime-exp-imp*: $\text{coprime } a \ b \implies \text{coprime } (a^n) \ (b^n)$


```

  by (induct n, simp-all add: coprime-mul-eq coprime-commute coprime-exp)
lemma coprime-refl[simp]: coprime n n  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 1 by (simp add: coprime-def)
lemma coprime-plus1[simp]: coprime (n + 1) n
  apply (simp add: coprime-bezout)
  apply (rule exI[where x=1])
  apply (rule exI[where x=1])
  apply simp
done
lemma coprime-minus1: n  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  coprime (n - 1) n
  using coprime-plus1[of n - 1] coprime-commute[of n - 1 n] by auto

lemma bezout-gcd-pow:  $\exists x y. a^{\wedge} n * x - b^{\wedge} n * y = \gcd(a, b)^{\wedge} n \vee b^{\wedge} n * x - a^{\wedge} n * y = \gcd(a, b)^{\wedge} n$ 
proof -
  let ?g = gcd (a, b)
  {assume z: ?g = 0 hence ?thesis
    apply (cases n, simp)
    apply arith
    apply (simp only: z power-0-Suc)
    apply (rule exI[where x=0])
    apply (rule exI[where x=0])
    by simp}
  moreover
  {assume z: ?g  $\neq$  0
    from gcd-dvd1[of a b] gcd-dvd2[of a b] obtain a' b' where
      ab': a = a'*?g b = b'*?g unfolding dvd-def by (auto simp add: mult-ac)
    hence ab'': ?g*a' = a ?g*b' = b by algebra+
    from coprime-exp-imp[OF gcd-coprime[OF z ab'], unfolded coprime-bezout, of
n]
    obtain x y where a'^{\wedge} n * x - b'^{\wedge} n * y = 1  $\vee$  b'^{\wedge} n * x - a'^{\wedge} n * y = 1 by
blast
    hence ?g^{\wedge} n * (a'^{\wedge} n * x - b'^{\wedge} n * y) = ?g^{\wedge} n  $\vee$  ?g^{\wedge} n * (b'^{\wedge} n * x - a'^{\wedge} n * y) =
?g^{\wedge} n
    using z by auto
    then have a^{\wedge} n * x - b^{\wedge} n * y = ?g^{\wedge} n  $\vee$  b^{\wedge} n * x - a^{\wedge} n * y = ?g^{\wedge} n
    using z ab'' by (simp only: power-mult-distrib[symmetric]
diff-mult-distrib2 mult-assoc[symmetric])
    hence ?thesis by blast }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma gcd-exp: gcd (a^{\wedge} n, b^{\wedge} n) = gcd(a, b)^{\wedge} n
proof -
  let ?g = gcd(a^{\wedge} n, b^{\wedge} n)
  let ?gn = gcd(a, b)^{\wedge} n
  {fix e assume H: e dvd a^{\wedge} n e dvd b^{\wedge} n
    from bezout-gcd-pow[of a n b] obtain x y
    where xy: a^{\wedge} n * x - b^{\wedge} n * y = ?gn  $\vee$  b^{\wedge} n * x - a^{\wedge} n * y = ?gn by
blast
    from dvd-diff [OF dvd-mult2[OF H(1), of x] dvd-mult2[OF H(2), of y]]

```

$dvd\text{-}diff\ [OF\ dvd\text{-}mult2[OF\ H(2),\ of\ x]\ dvd\text{-}mult2[OF\ H(1),\ of\ y]]\ xy$
have $e\ dvd\ ?gn$ **by** $(cases\ a\ \wedge\ n * x - b\ \wedge\ n * y = gcd\ (a, b)\ \wedge\ n,\ simp\text{-}all)$
hence $th: \forall e. e\ dvd\ a\ \wedge\ n \wedge e\ dvd\ b\ \wedge\ n \longrightarrow e\ dvd\ ?gn$ **by** $blast$
from $divides\text{-}exp[OF\ gcd\text{-}dvd1[of\ a\ b],\ of\ n]\ divides\text{-}exp[OF\ gcd\text{-}dvd2[of\ a\ b],\ of\ n]$ th
 $gcd\text{-}unique$ **have** $?gn = ?g$ **by** $blast$ **thus** $?thesis$ **by** $simp$
qed

lemma $coprime\text{-}exp2$: $coprime\ (a\ \wedge\ Suc\ n)\ (b\ \wedge\ Suc\ n) \longleftrightarrow coprime\ a\ b$
by $(simp\ only: coprime\text{-}def\ gcd\text{-}exp\ exp\text{-}eq\text{-}1)\ simp$

lemma $division\text{-}decomp$: **assumes** $dc: (a::nat)\ dvd\ b * c$

shows $\exists b'\ c'. a = b' * c' \wedge b'\ dvd\ b \wedge c'\ dvd\ c$

proof–

let $?g = gcd\ (a, b)$

{assume $?g = 0$ **with** dc **have** $?thesis$ **apply** $(simp\ add: gcd\text{-}zero)$

apply $(rule\ exI[where\ x=0])$

by $(rule\ exI[where\ x=c],\ simp)}$

moreover

{assume $z: ?g \neq 0$

from $gcd\text{-}coprime\text{-}exists[OF\ z]$

obtain $a'\ b'$ **where** $ab': a = a' * ?g\ b = b' * ?g$ $coprime\ a'\ b'$ **by** $blast$

from $gcd\text{-}dvd2[of\ a\ b]$ **have** $thb: ?g\ dvd\ b$.

from $ab'(1)$ **have** $a'\ dvd\ a$ **unfolding** $dvd\text{-}def$ **by** $blast$

with dc **have** $th0: a'\ dvd\ b * c$ **using** $dvd\text{-}trans[of\ a'\ a\ b * c]$ **by** $simp$

from $dc\ ab'(1,2)$ **have** $a' * ?g\ dvd\ (b' * ?g) * c$ **by** $auto$

hence $?g * a'\ dvd\ ?g * (b' * c)$ **by** $(simp\ add: mult\text{-}assoc)$

with z **have** $th\text{-}1: a'\ dvd\ b' * c$ **by** $simp$

from $coprime\text{-}divprod[OF\ th\text{-}1\ ab'(3)]$ **have** $thc: a'\ dvd\ c$.

from ab' **have** $a = ?g * a'$ **by** $algebra$

with $thb\ thc$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** $blast$ }

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $blast$

qed

lemma $nat\text{-}power\text{-}eq\text{-}0\text{-}iff$: $(m::nat)\ \wedge\ n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n \neq 0 \wedge m = 0$ **by** $(induct\ n,\ auto)$

lemma $divides\text{-}rev$: **assumes** $ab: (a::nat)\ \wedge\ n\ dvd\ b\ \wedge\ n$ **and** $n:n \neq 0$ **shows** $a\ dvd\ b$

proof–

let $?g = gcd\ (a, b)$

from n **obtain** m **where** $m: n = Suc\ m$ **by** $(cases\ n,\ simp\text{-}all)$

{assume $?g = 0$ **with** $ab\ n$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** $(simp\ add: gcd\text{-}zero)}$

moreover

{assume $z: ?g \neq 0$

hence $zn: ?g\ \wedge\ n \neq 0$ **using** n **by** $(simp\ add: neq0\text{-}conv)$

from $gcd\text{-}coprime\text{-}exists[OF\ z]$

obtain $a'\ b'$ **where** $ab': a = a' * ?g\ b = b' * ?g$ $coprime\ a'\ b'$ **by** $blast$

from ab **have** $(a' * ?g)\ \wedge\ n\ dvd\ (b' * ?g)\ \wedge\ n$ **by** $(simp\ add: ab'(1,2)[symmetric])$

hence $?g \wedge n * a' \wedge n \text{ dvd } ?g \wedge n * b' \wedge n$ **by** (*simp only: power-mult-distrib mult-commute*)
 with $zn \ z \ n$ **have** $th0: a' \wedge n \text{ dvd } b' \wedge n$ **by** (*auto simp add: nat-power-eq-0-iff*)
 have $a' \text{ dvd } a' \wedge n$ **by** (*simp add: m*)
 with $th0$ **have** $a' \text{ dvd } b' \wedge n$ **using** *dvd-trans[of a' a' \wedge n b' \wedge n]* **by** *simp*
 hence $th1: a' \text{ dvd } b' \wedge m * b'$ **by** (*simp add: m mult-commute*)
 from *coprime-divprod[OF th1 coprime-exp[OF ab'(3), of m]]*
 have $a' \text{ dvd } b'$.
 hence $a' * ?g \text{ dvd } b' * ?g$ **by** *simp*
 with $ab'(1,2)$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** *simp* }
 ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma divides-mul: **assumes** $mr: m \text{ dvd } r$ **and** $nr: n \text{ dvd } r$ **and** $mn: \text{coprime } m \ n$
shows $m * n \text{ dvd } r$
proof –
 from $mr \ nr$ **obtain** $m' \ n'$ **where** $m': r = m * m'$ **and** $n': r = n * n'$
 unfolding *dvd-def* **by** *blast*
 from $mr \ n'$ **have** $m \text{ dvd } n' * n$ **by** (*simp add: mult-commute*)
 hence $m \text{ dvd } n'$ **using** *relprime-dvd-mult-iff[OF mn[unfolded coprime-def]]* **by** *simp*
 then obtain k **where** $k: n' = m * k$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *blast*
 from $n' \ k$ **show** $?thesis$ **unfolding** *dvd-def* **by** *auto*
qed

A binary form of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

lemma chinese-remainder: **assumes** $ab: \text{coprime } a \ b$ **and** $a:a \neq 0$ **and** $b:b \neq 0$
shows $\exists x \ q1 \ q2. x = u + q1 * a \wedge x = v + q2 * b$
proof –
 from *bezout-add-strong[OF a, of b]* *bezout-add-strong[OF b, of a]*
 obtain $d1 \ x1 \ y1 \ d2 \ x2 \ y2$ **where** $dxy1: d1 \text{ dvd } a \ d1 \text{ dvd } b \ a * x1 = b * y1 + d1$
 and $dxy2: d2 \text{ dvd } b \ d2 \text{ dvd } a \ b * x2 = a * y2 + d2$ **by** *blast*
 from *gcd-unique[of 1 a b, simplified ab[unfolded coprime-def], simplified]*
 $dxy1(1,2) \ dxy2(1,2)$ **have** $d12: d1 = 1 \ d2 = 1$ **by** *auto*
 let $?x = v * a * x1 + u * b * x2$
 let $?q1 = v * x1 + u * y2$
 let $?q2 = v * y1 + u * x2$
 from $dxy2(3)[\text{simplified } d12] \ dxy1(3)[\text{simplified } d12]$
 have $?x = u + ?q1 * a \ ?x = v + ?q2 * b$ **by** *algebra+*
 thus $?thesis$ **by** *blast*
qed

Primality

A few useful theorems about primes

lemma prime-0[*simp*]: $\sim \text{prime } 0$ **by** (*simp add: prime-def*)
lemma prime-1[*simp*]: $\sim \text{prime } 1$ **by** (*simp add: prime-def*)
lemma prime-Suc0[*simp*]: $\sim \text{prime } (\text{Suc } 0)$ **by** (*simp add: prime-def*)
lemma prime-ge-2: $\text{prime } p \implies p \geq 2$ **by** (*simp add: prime-def*)

```

lemma prime-factor: assumes  $n: n \neq 1$  shows  $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$ 
using  $n$ 
proof(induct  $n$  rule: nat-less-induct)
  fix  $n$ 
  assume  $H: \forall m < n. m \neq 1 \longrightarrow (\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } m) \wedge m \neq 1$ 
  let  $?ths = \exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$ 
  {assume  $n=0$  hence  $?ths$  using two-is-prime by auto}
  moreover
  {assume  $n \neq 0$ 
    {assume prime  $n$  hence  $?ths$  by  $\neg$  (rule exI[where  $x=n$ ], simp)}
    moreover
    {assume  $n: \neg \text{prime } n$ 
      with  $nz\ H(2)$ 
      obtain  $k$  where  $k:k \text{ dvd } n \wedge k \neq 1 \wedge k \neq n$  by (auto simp add: prime-def)
      from dvd-imp-le[OF  $k(1)$ ]  $nz\ k(3)$  have  $kn: k < n$  by simp
      from  $H(1)$ [rule-format, OF  $kn\ k(2)$ ] obtain  $p$  where  $p: \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } k$  by
        blast
      from dvd-trans[OF  $p(2)\ k(1)$ ]  $p(1)$  have  $?ths$  by blast}
      ultimately have  $?ths$  by blast}
      ultimately show  $?ths$  by blast
    }
  }
qed

lemma prime-factor-lt: assumes  $p: \text{prime } p$  and  $n: n \neq 0$  and  $npm:n = p * m$ 
shows  $m < n$ 
proof–
  {assume  $m=0$  with  $n$  have  $?thesis$  by simp}
  moreover
  {assume  $m: m \neq 0$ 
    from  $npm$  have  $mn: m \text{ dvd } n$  unfolding dvd-def by auto
    from  $npm\ m$  have  $n \neq m$  using  $p$  by auto
    with dvd-imp-le[OF  $mn$ ]  $n$  have  $?thesis$  by simp}
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  by blast
  }
qed

lemma euclid-bound:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge n < p \wedge p \leq \text{Suc } (\text{fact } n)$ 
proof–
  have  $f1: \text{fact } n + 1 \neq 1$  using fact-le[of  $n$ ] by arith
  from prime-factor[OF  $f1$ ] obtain  $p$  where  $p: \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } \text{fact } n + 1$  by blast
  from dvd-imp-le[OF  $p(2)$ ] have  $pfn: p \leq \text{fact } n + 1$  by simp
  {assume  $np: p \leq n$ 
    from  $p(1)$  have  $p1: p \geq 1$  by (cases  $p$ , simp-all)
    from divides-fact[OF  $p1\ np$ ] have  $pfn': p \text{ dvd } \text{fact } n$  .
    from divides-add-revr[OF  $pfn'\ p(2)$ ]  $p(1)$  have False by simp}
  hence  $n < p$  by arith
  with  $p(1)\ pfn$  show  $?thesis$  by auto
qed

lemma euclid:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge p > n$  using euclid-bound by auto
lemma primes-infinite:  $\neg (\text{finite } \{p. \text{prime } p\})$ 

```

```

proof (auto simp add: finite-conv-nat-seg-image)
  fix n f
  assume H: Collect prime = f ‘ {i. i < (n::nat)}
  let ?P = Collect prime
  let ?m = Max ?P
  have P0: ?P ≠ {} using two-is-prime by auto
  from H have fP: finite ?P using finite-conv-nat-seg-image by blast
  from Max-in [OF fP P0] have ?m ∈ ?P .
  from Max-ge [OF fP] have contr: ∀ p. prime p ⟶ p ≤ ?m by blast
  from euclid [of ?m] obtain q where q: prime q q > ?m by blast
  with contr show False by auto
qed

lemma coprime-prime: assumes ab: coprime a b
  shows ~(prime p ∧ p dvd a ∧ p dvd b)
proof
  assume prime p ∧ p dvd a ∧ p dvd b
  thus False using ab gcd-greatest[of p a b] by (simp add: coprime-def)
qed
lemma coprime-prime-eq: coprime a b ⟷ (∀ p. ~(prime p ∧ p dvd a ∧ p dvd b))

  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof–
  {assume ?lhs with coprime-prime have ?rhs by blast}
  moreover
  {assume r: ?rhs and c: ¬ ?lhs
   then obtain g where g: g ≠ 1 g dvd a g dvd b unfolding coprime-def by blast
   from prime-factor[OF g(1)] obtain p where p: prime p p dvd g by blast
   from dvd-trans [OF p(2) g(2)] dvd-trans [OF p(2) g(3)]
   have p dvd a p dvd b . with p(1) r have False by blast}
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma prime-coprime: assumes p: prime p
  shows n = 1 ∨ p dvd n ∨ coprime p n
using p prime-imp-relprime[of p n] by (auto simp add: coprime-def)

lemma prime-coprime-strong: prime p ⟹ p dvd n ∨ coprime p n
  using prime-coprime[of p n] by auto

declare coprime-0[simp]

lemma coprime-0'[simp]: coprime 0 d ⟷ d = 1 by (simp add: coprime-commute[of 0 d])
lemma coprime-bezout-strong: assumes ab: coprime a b and b: b ≠ 1
  shows ∃ x y. a * x = b * y + 1
proof–
  from ab b have az: a ≠ 0 by – (rule ccontr, auto)
  from bezout-gcd-strong[OF az, of b] ab[unfolded coprime-def]

```

show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma bezout-prime: assumes p : prime p and pa : $\neg p \text{ dvd } a$
shows $\exists x y. a * x = p * y + 1$

proof –

from p have $p1$: $p \neq 1$ using prime-1 by blast
from prime-coprime[OF p , of a] $p1$ pa have ap : coprime a p
by (auto simp add: coprime-commute)
from coprime-bezout-strong[OF ap $p1$] show ?thesis .

qed

lemma prime-divprod: assumes p : prime p and pab : $p \text{ dvd } a * b$
shows $p \text{ dvd } a \vee p \text{ dvd } b$

proof –

{assume $a=1$ hence ?thesis using pab by simp }
moreover
{assume $p \text{ dvd } a$ hence ?thesis by blast}
moreover
{assume pa : coprime p a from coprime-divprod[OF pab pa] have ?thesis .. }
ultimately show ?thesis using prime-coprime[OF p , of a] by blast

qed

lemma prime-divprod-eq: assumes p : prime p
shows $p \text{ dvd } a * b \iff p \text{ dvd } a \vee p \text{ dvd } b$
using p prime-divprod dvd-mult dvd-mult2 by auto

lemma prime-divexp: assumes p : prime p and px : $p \text{ dvd } x^n$
shows $p \text{ dvd } x$

using px

proof(induct n)

case 0 thus ?case by simp

next

case (Suc n)
hence th : $p \text{ dvd } x * x^n$ by simp
{assume H : $p \text{ dvd } x^n$
from Suc.hyps[OF H] have ?case .}
with prime-divprod[OF p th] show ?case by blast

qed

lemma prime-divexp-n: prime $p \implies p \text{ dvd } x^n \implies p^n \text{ dvd } x^n$
using prime-divexp[of p x n] divides-exp[of p x n] by blast

lemma coprime-prime-dvd-ex: assumes xy : $\neg \text{coprime } x$ y
shows $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } x \wedge p \text{ dvd } y$

proof –

from xy [unfolded coprime-def] obtain g where g : $g \neq 1$ $g \text{ dvd } x$ $g \text{ dvd } y$
by blast

from prime-factor[OF $g(1)$] obtain p where p : prime p $p \text{ dvd } g$ by blast
from $g(2,3)$ dvd-trans[OF $p(2)$] $p(1)$ show ?thesis by auto

qed

lemma *coprime-sos*: **assumes** *xy*: *coprime x y*

shows *coprime (x * y) (x^2 + y^2)*

proof –

{**assume** *c*: \neg *coprime (x * y) (x^2 + y^2)*

from *coprime-prime-dvd-ex*[*OF c*] **obtain** *p*

where *p*: *prime p p dvd x*y p dvd x^2 + y^2* **by** *blast*

{**assume** *px*: *p dvd x*

from *dvd-mult*[*OF px, of x*] *p*(3) **have** *p dvd y^2*

unfolding *dvd-def*

apply (*auto simp add: power2-eq-square*)

apply (*rule-tac x= ka - k in exI*)

by (*simp add: diff-mult-distrib2*)

with *prime-divexp*[*OF p*(1), *of y* 2] **have** *py*: *p dvd y* .

from *p*(1) *px py xy*[*unfolded coprime, rule-format, of p*] *prime-1*

have *False* **by** *simp* }

moreover

{**assume** *py*: *p dvd y*

from *dvd-mult*[*OF py, of y*] *p*(3) **have** *p dvd x^2*

unfolding *dvd-def*

apply (*auto simp add: power2-eq-square*)

apply (*rule-tac x= ka - k in exI*)

by (*simp add: diff-mult-distrib2*)

with *prime-divexp*[*OF p*(1), *of x* 2] **have** *px*: *p dvd x* .

from *p*(1) *px py xy*[*unfolded coprime, rule-format, of p*] *prime-1*

have *False* **by** *simp* }

ultimately have *False* **using** *prime-divprod*[*OF p*(1,2)] **by** *blast*}

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *distinct-prime-coprime*: *prime p \implies prime q \implies p \neq q \implies coprime p q*

unfolding *prime-def coprime-prime-eq* **by** *blast*

lemma *prime-coprime-lt*: **assumes** *p*: *prime p* **and** *x*: *0 < x* **and** *xp*: *x < p*

shows *coprime x p*

proof –

{**assume** *c*: \neg *coprime x p*

then obtain *g* **where** *g*: *g \neq 1 g dvd x g dvd p* **unfolding** *coprime-def* **by**

blast

from *dvd-imp-le*[*OF g*(2)] *x xp* **have** *gp*: *g < p* **by** *arith*

from *g*(2) *x* **have** *g \neq 0* **by** – (*rule ccontr, simp*)

with *g gp p*[*unfolded prime-def*] **have** *False* **by** *blast*}

thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *even-dvd*[*simp*]: *even (n::nat) \longleftrightarrow 2 dvd n* **by** *presburger*

lemma *prime-odd*: *prime p \implies p = 2 \vee odd p* **unfolding** *prime-def* **by** *auto*

One property of coprimality is easier to prove via prime factors.

lemma *prime-divprod-pow*:

assumes *p*: prime *p* **and** *ab*: coprime *a* *b* **and** *pab*: $p^n \text{ dvd } a * b$

shows $p^n \text{ dvd } a \vee p^n \text{ dvd } b$

proof –

{**assume** $n = 0 \vee a = 1 \vee b = 1$ **with** *pab* **have** ?thesis

apply (cases $n=0$, simp-all)

apply (cases $a=1$, simp-all) **done**}

moreover

{**assume** *n*: $n \neq 0$ **and** *a*: $a \neq 1$ **and** *b*: $b \neq 1$

then obtain *m* **where** *m*: $n = \text{Suc } m$ **by** (cases *n*, auto)

from divides-exp2[OF *n pab*] **have** *pab'*: $p \text{ dvd } a * b$.

from prime-divprod[OF *p pab'*]

have $p \text{ dvd } a \vee p \text{ dvd } b$.

moreover

{**assume** *pa*: $p \text{ dvd } a$

have *pnba*: $p^n \text{ dvd } b * a$ **using** *pab* **by** (simp add: mult-commute)

from coprime-prime[OF *ab*, of *p*] *p pa* **have** $\neg p \text{ dvd } b$ **by** blast

with prime-coprime[OF *p*, of *b*] *b*

have *cpb*: coprime *b* *p* **using** coprime-commute **by** blast

from coprime-exp[OF *cpb*] **have** *pnb*: coprime (p^n) *b*

by (simp add: coprime-commute)

from coprime-divprod[OF *pnba pnb*] **have** ?thesis **by** blast }

moreover

{**assume** *pb*: $p \text{ dvd } b$

have *pnba*: $p^n \text{ dvd } b * a$ **using** *pab* **by** (simp add: mult-commute)

from coprime-prime[OF *ab*, of *p*] *p pb* **have** $\neg p \text{ dvd } a$ **by** blast

with prime-coprime[OF *p*, of *a*] *a*

have *cpb*: coprime *a* *p* **using** coprime-commute **by** blast

from coprime-exp[OF *cpb*] **have** *pnb*: coprime (p^n) *a*

by (simp add: coprime-commute)

from coprime-divprod[OF *pab pnb*] **have** ?thesis **by** blast }

ultimately have ?thesis **by** blast }

ultimately show ?thesis **by** blast

qed

lemma *nat-mult-eq-one*: $(n::\text{nat}) * m = 1 \longleftrightarrow n = 1 \wedge m = 1$ (**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)

proof

assume *H*: ?lhs

hence $n \text{ dvd } 1$ $m \text{ dvd } 1$ **unfolding** dvd-def **by** (auto simp add: mult-commute)

thus ?rhs **by** auto

next

assume ?rhs **then show** ?lhs **by** auto

qed

lemma *power-Suc0*[simp]: $\text{Suc } 0^n = \text{Suc } 0$

unfolding One-nat-def[symmetric] power-one ..

lemma *coprime-pow*: **assumes** *ab*: coprime *a* *b* **and** *abcn*: $a * b = c^n$

shows $\exists r s. a = r^n \wedge b = s^n$


```

using ab abcn
proof(induct c arbitrary: a b rule: nat-less-induct)
  fix c a b
  assume H:  $\forall m < c. \forall a b. \text{coprime } a b \longrightarrow a * b = m \wedge n \longrightarrow (\exists r s. a = r \wedge n$ 
 $\wedge b = s \wedge n) \text{coprime } a b a * b = c \wedge n$ 
  let ?ths =  $\exists r s. a = r \wedge n \wedge b = s \wedge n$ 
  {assume n: n = 0
    with H(3) power-one have a*b = 1 by simp
    hence a = 1  $\wedge$  b = 1 by simp
    hence ?ths
    apply –
    apply (rule exI[where x=1])
    apply (rule exI[where x=1])
    using power-one[of n]
    by simp}
  moreover
  {assume n: n  $\neq$  0 then obtain m where m: n = Suc m by (cases n, auto)
    {assume c: c = 0
      with H(3) m H(2) have ?ths apply simp
      apply (cases a=0, simp-all)
      apply (rule exI[where x=0], simp)
      apply (rule exI[where x=0], simp)
      done}
    moreover
    {assume c=1 with H(3) power-one have a*b = 1 by simp
      hence a = 1  $\wedge$  b = 1 by simp
      hence ?ths
      apply –
      apply (rule exI[where x=1])
      apply (rule exI[where x=1])
      using power-one[of n]
      by simp}
    moreover
    {assume c: c  $\neq$  1 c  $\neq$  0
      from prime-factor[OF c(1)] obtain p where p: prime p p dvd c by blast
      from prime-divprod-pow[OF p(1) H(2), unfolded H(3), OF divides-exp[OF
p(2), of n]]
      have pnab:  $p \wedge n \text{dvd } a \vee p \wedge n \text{dvd } b$  .
      from p(2) obtain l where l: c = p*l unfolding dvd-def by blast
      have pn0:  $p \wedge n \neq 0$  using n prime-ge-2 [OF p(1)] by (simp add: neq0-conv)
      {assume pa:  $p \wedge n \text{dvd } a$ 
        then obtain k where k: a =  $p \wedge n * k$  unfolding dvd-def by blast
        from l have l dvd c by auto
        with dvd-imp-le[of l c] c have l  $\leq$  c by auto
        moreover {assume l = c with l c have p = 1 by simp with p have False
by simp}
      ultimately have lc: l < c by arith
      from coprime-lmul2 [OF H(2)[unfolded k coprime-commute[of  $p \wedge n * k$  b]]]
      have kb: coprime k b by (simp add: coprime-commute)

```

```

from H(3) l k pn0 have kbln: k * b = l ^ n
  by (auto simp add: power-mult-distrib)
from H(1)[rule-format, OF lc kb kbln]
obtain r s where rs: k = r ^ n b = s ^ n by blast
from k rs(1) have a = (p*r) ^ n by (simp add: power-mult-distrib)
with rs(2) have ?ths by blast }
moreover
{assume pb: p ^ n dvd b
 then obtain k where k: b = p ^ n * k unfolding dvd-def by blast
 from l have l dvd c by auto
 with dvd-imp-le[of l c] c have l ≤ c by auto
 moreover {assume l = c with l c have p = 1 by simp with p have False
by simp}
 ultimately have lc: l < c by arith
 from coprime-lmul2 [OF H(2)[unfolded k coprime-commute[of p ^ n * k a]]]
 have kb: coprime k a by (simp add: coprime-commute)
 from H(3) l k pn0 n have kbln: k * a = l ^ n
   by (simp add: power-mult-distrib mult-commute)
 from H(1)[rule-format, OF lc kb kbln]
 obtain r s where rs: k = r ^ n a = s ^ n by blast
 from k rs(1) have b = (p*r) ^ n by (simp add: power-mult-distrib)
 with rs(2) have ?ths by blast }
 ultimately have ?ths using pnab by blast}
 ultimately have ?ths by blast}
ultimately show ?ths by blast
qed

```

More useful lemmas.

lemma prime-product:

$prime (p*q) \implies p = 1 \vee q = 1$ **unfolding prime-def by auto**

lemma prime-exp: $prime (p^n) \iff prime p \wedge n = 1$

proof(*induct n*)

case 0 thus ?case by simp

next

case (Suc n)

{assume p = 0 hence ?case by simp}

moreover

{assume p=1 hence ?case by simp}

moreover

{assume p: p ≠ 0 p≠1

{assume pp: prime (p ^ Suc n)

hence p = 1 ∨ p ^ n = 1 using prime-product[of p p ^ n] by simp

with p have n: n = 0

by (simp only: exp-eq-1) simp

with pp have prime p ∧ Suc n = 1 by simp}

moreover

{assume n: prime p ∧ Suc n = 1 hence prime (p ^ Suc n) by simp}

ultimately have ?case by blast}

ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

lemma prime-power-mult:

assumes p : prime p and xy : $x * y = p^k$

shows $\exists i j. x = p^i \wedge y = p^j$

using xy

proof(induct k arbitrary: $x y$)

case 0 thus ?case apply simp by (rule exI[where $x=0$], simp)

next

case (Suc $k x y$)

from Suc.premis have pxy : $p \text{ dvd } x*y$ by auto

from prime-divprod[OF $p \text{ pxy}$] have $pxyc$: $p \text{ dvd } x \vee p \text{ dvd } y$.

from p have $p0$: $p \neq 0$ by $-(rule ccontr, simp)$

{assume px : $p \text{ dvd } x$

then obtain d where $d: x = p*d$ unfolding dvd-def by blast

from Suc.premis d have $p*d*y = p^{Suc k}$ by simp

hence th : $d*y = p^k$ using $p0$ by simp

from Suc.hyps[OF th] obtain $i j$ where ij : $d = p^i y = p^j$ by blast

with d have $x = p^{Suc i}$ by simp

with $ij(2)$ have ?case by blast}

moreover

{assume py : $p \text{ dvd } y$

then obtain d where $d: y = p*d$ unfolding dvd-def by blast

from Suc.premis d have $p*d*x = p^{Suc k}$ by (simp add: mult-commute)

hence th : $d*x = p^k$ using $p0$ by simp

from Suc.hyps[OF th] obtain $i j$ where ij : $d = p^i x = p^j$ by blast

with d have $y = p^{Suc i}$ by simp

with $ij(2)$ have ?case by blast}

ultimately show ?case using $pxyc$ by blast

qed

lemma prime-power-exp: assumes p : prime p and n : $n \neq 0$

and xn : $x^n = p^k$ shows $\exists i. x = p^i$

using $n xn$

proof(induct n arbitrary: k)

case 0 thus ?case by simp

next

case (Suc $n k$) hence th : $x*x^n = p^k$ by simp

{assume $n = 0$ with premis have ?case apply simp

by (rule exI[where $x=k$], simp)}

moreover

{assume n : $n \neq 0$

from prime-power-mult[OF $p th$]

obtain $i j$ where ij : $x = p^i x^n = p^j$ by blast

from Suc.hyps[OF $n ij(2)$] have ?case .}

ultimately show ?case by blast

qed

```

lemma divides-primelow: assumes  $p$ : prime  $p$ 
  shows  $d \text{ dvd } p^k \iff (\exists i. i \leq k \wedge d = p^i)$ 
proof
  assume  $H$ :  $d \text{ dvd } p^k$  then obtain  $e$  where  $e: d * e = p^k$ 
    unfolding dvd-def apply (auto simp add: mult-commute) by blast
  from prime-power-mult[OF  $p$   $e$ ] obtain  $i j$  where  $ij: d = p^i e = p^j$  by blast
  from prime-ge-2[OF  $p$ ] have  $p1: p > 1$  by arith
  from  $e \text{ } ij$  have  $p^{i+j} = p^k$  by (simp add: power-add)
  hence  $i + j = k$  using power-inject-exp[of  $p \ i+j \ k$ , OF  $p1$ ] by simp
  hence  $i \leq k$  by arith
  with  $ij(1)$  show  $\exists i \leq k. d = p^i$  by blast
next
  {fix  $i$  assume  $H: i \leq k \wedge d = p^i$ 
    hence  $\exists j. k = i + j$  by arith
    then obtain  $j$  where  $j: k = i + j$  by blast
    hence  $p^k = p^{j+d}$  using  $H(2)$  by (simp add: power-add)
    hence  $d \text{ dvd } p^k$  unfolding dvd-def by auto}
  thus  $\exists i \leq k. d = p^i \implies d \text{ dvd } p^k$  by blast
qed

lemma coprime-divisors:  $d \text{ dvd } a \implies e \text{ dvd } b \implies \text{coprime } a \ b \implies \text{coprime } d \ e$ 
  by (auto simp add: dvd-def coprime)

declare power-Suc0[simp del]
declare even-dvd[simp del]

end

```

47 Quicksort: Quicksort

```

theory Quicksort
imports Multiset
begin

context linorder
begin

function quicksort :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  quicksort [] = [] |
  quicksort (x#xs) = quicksort([y $\leftarrow$ xs.  $\sim x \leq y$ ]) @ [x] @ quicksort([y $\leftarrow$ xs.  $x \leq y$ ])
by pat-completeness auto

termination
by (relation measure size)
  (auto simp: length-filter-le[THEN order-class.le-less-trans])

end
context linorder

```

begin

lemma *quicksort-permutes* [simp]:
 multiset-of (quicksort xs) = multiset-of xs
by (*induct xs rule: quicksort.induct*) (*auto simp: union-ac*)

lemma *set-quicksort* [simp]: *set (quicksort xs) = set xs*
by(*simp add: set-count-greater-0*)

lemma *sorted-quicksort*: *sorted(quicksort xs)*
apply (*induct xs rule: quicksort.induct*)
apply *simp*
apply (*simp add:sorted-Cons sorted-append not-le less-imp-le*)
apply (*metis leD le-cases le-less-trans*)
done

end

end

48 Quotient: Quotient types

theory *Quotient*
imports *ATP-Linkup Hilbert-Choice*
begin

We introduce the notion of quotient types over equivalence relations via type classes.

48.1 Equivalence relations and quotient types

Type class *equiv* models equivalence relations $\sim :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$.

class *eqv* = *type* +
 fixes *eqv* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \sim 50)

class *equiv* = *eqv* +
 assumes *equiv-refl* [*intro*]: $x \sim x$
 assumes *equiv-trans* [*trans*]: $x \sim y \Longrightarrow y \sim z \Longrightarrow x \sim z$
 assumes *equiv-sym* [*sym*]: $x \sim y \Longrightarrow y \sim x$

lemma *equiv-not-sym* [*sym*]: $\neg (x \sim y) \Longrightarrow \neg (y \sim (x::'a::equiv))$

proof –

assume $\neg (x \sim y)$ **then show** $\neg (y \sim x)$
 by (*rule contrapos-nn*) (*rule equiv-sym*)

qed

lemma *not-equiv-trans1* [*trans*]: $\neg (x \sim y) \Longrightarrow y \sim z \Longrightarrow \neg (x \sim (z::'a::equiv))$

```

proof –
  assume  $\neg (x \sim y)$  and  $y \sim z$ 
  show  $\neg (x \sim z)$ 
  proof
    assume  $x \sim z$ 
    also from  $\langle y \sim z \rangle$  have  $z \sim y$  ..
    finally have  $x \sim y$  .
    with  $\langle \neg (x \sim y) \rangle$  show False by contradiction
  qed
qed

lemma not-equiv-trans2 [trans]:  $x \sim y \implies \neg (y \sim z) \implies \neg (x \sim (z::'a::equiv))$ 
proof –
  assume  $\neg (y \sim z)$  then have  $\neg (z \sim y)$  ..
  also assume  $x \sim y$  then have  $y \sim x$  ..
  finally have  $\neg (z \sim x)$  . then show  $\neg (x \sim z)$  ..
qed

  The quotient type  $'a \text{ quot}$  consists of all equivalence classes over elements
  of the base type  $'a$ .

typedef  $'a \text{ quot} = \{\{x. a \sim x\} \mid a::'a::eqv. \text{True}\}$ 
by blast

lemma quotI [intro]:  $\{x. a \sim x\} \in \text{quot}$ 
unfolding quot-def by blast

lemma quotE [elim]:  $R \in \text{quot} \implies (!a. R = \{x. a \sim x\} \implies C) \implies C$ 
unfolding quot-def by blast

  Abstracted equivalence classes are the canonical representation of ele-
  ments of a quotient type.

definition
   $\text{class} :: 'a::equiv \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quot} \ (\lfloor \_ \rfloor)$  where
   $\lfloor a \rfloor = \text{Abs-quot } \{x. a \sim x\}$ 

theorem quot-exhaust:  $\exists a. A = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
proof (cases A)
  fix  $R$  assume  $R: A = \text{Abs-quot } R$ 
  assume  $R \in \text{quot}$  then have  $\exists a. R = \{x. a \sim x\}$  by blast
  with  $R$  have  $\exists a. A = \text{Abs-quot } \{x. a \sim x\}$  by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding class-def .
qed

lemma quot-cases [cases type: quot]:  $(!a. A = \lfloor a \rfloor \implies C) \implies C$ 
using quot-exhaust by blast

```

48.2 Equality on quotients

Equality of canonical quotient elements coincides with the original relation.

```

theorem quot-equality [iff?]: ( $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor b \rfloor$ ) = ( $a \sim b$ )
proof
  assume eq:  $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor b \rfloor$ 
  show  $a \sim b$ 
  proof –
    from eq have  $\{x. a \sim x\} = \{x. b \sim x\}$ 
    by (simp only: class-def Abs-quot-inject quotI)
    moreover have  $a \sim a$  ..
    ultimately have  $a \in \{x. b \sim x\}$  by blast
    then have  $b \sim a$  by blast
    then show ?thesis ..
  qed
next
  assume ab:  $a \sim b$ 
  show  $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor b \rfloor$ 
  proof –
    have  $\{x. a \sim x\} = \{x. b \sim x\}$ 
    proof (rule Collect-cong)
      fix x show ( $a \sim x$ ) = ( $b \sim x$ )
      proof
        from ab have  $b \sim a$  ..
        also assume  $a \sim x$ 
        finally show  $b \sim x$  .
      next
        note ab
        also assume  $b \sim x$ 
        finally show  $a \sim x$  .
      qed
    qed
  then show ?thesis by (simp only: class-def)
qed
qed

```

48.3 Picking representing elements

definition

```

pick :: 'a::equiv quot => 'a where
pick A = (SOME a. A =  $\lfloor a \rfloor$ )

```

theorem *pick-equiv* [*intro*]: *pick* $\lfloor a \rfloor \sim a$

proof (*unfold pick-def*)

show (*SOME* *x*. $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor$) $\sim a$

proof (*rule someI2*)

show $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor a \rfloor$..

fix *x* **assume** $\lfloor a \rfloor = \lfloor x \rfloor$

then **have** $a \sim x$.. **then** **show** $x \sim a$..

qed

qed

```

theorem pick-inverse [intro]:  $\lfloor \text{pick } A \rfloor = A$ 
proof (cases A)
  fix a assume a:  $A = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
  then have  $\text{pick } A \sim a$  by (simp only: pick-equiv)
  then have  $\lfloor \text{pick } A \rfloor = \lfloor a \rfloor$  ..
  with a show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

The following rules support canonical function definitions on quotient types (with up to two arguments). Note that the stripped-down version without additional conditions is sufficient most of the time.

```

theorem quot-cond-function:
  assumes eq:  $!!X\ Y. P\ X\ Y \implies f\ X\ Y == g\ (\text{pick } X)\ (\text{pick } Y)$ 
  and cong:  $!!x\ x'\ y\ y'. \lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor x' \rfloor \implies \lfloor y \rfloor = \lfloor y' \rfloor \implies P\ \lfloor x \rfloor\ \lfloor y \rfloor \implies P\ \lfloor x' \rfloor\ \lfloor y' \rfloor \implies g\ x\ y = g\ x'\ y'$ 
  and P:  $P\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor$ 
  shows  $f\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor = g\ a\ b$ 
proof –
  from eq and P have  $f\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor = g\ (\text{pick } \lfloor a \rfloor)\ (\text{pick } \lfloor b \rfloor)$  by (simp only:)
  also have  $\dots = g\ a\ b$ 
  proof (rule cong)
    show  $\lfloor \text{pick } \lfloor a \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor a \rfloor$  ..
    moreover
    show  $\lfloor \text{pick } \lfloor b \rfloor \rfloor = \lfloor b \rfloor$  ..
    moreover
    show  $P\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor$  by (rule P)
    ultimately show  $P\ \lfloor \text{pick } \lfloor a \rfloor \rfloor\ \lfloor \text{pick } \lfloor b \rfloor \rfloor$  by (simp only:)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

theorem quot-function:
  assumes  $!!X\ Y. f\ X\ Y == g\ (\text{pick } X)\ (\text{pick } Y)$ 
  and  $!!x\ x'\ y\ y'. \lfloor x \rfloor = \lfloor x' \rfloor \implies \lfloor y \rfloor = \lfloor y' \rfloor \implies g\ x\ y = g\ x'\ y'$ 
  shows  $f\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor = g\ a\ b$ 
  using assms and TrueI
  by (rule quot-cond-function)

```

```

theorem quot-function':
   $(!!X\ Y. f\ X\ Y == g\ (\text{pick } X)\ (\text{pick } Y)) \implies$ 
   $(!!x\ x'\ y\ y'. x \sim x' \implies y \sim y' \implies g\ x\ y = g\ x'\ y') \implies$ 
   $f\ \lfloor a \rfloor\ \lfloor b \rfloor = g\ a\ b$ 
  by (rule quot-function) (simp-all only: quot-equality)

```

end

49 Ramsey: Ramsey’s Theorem

```
theory Ramsey
imports ATP-Linkup Infinite-Set
begin
```

49.1 Preliminaries

49.1.1 “Axiom” of Dependent Choice

```
consts choice :: ('a => bool) => ('a * 'a) set => nat => 'a
  — An integer-indexed chain of choices
primrec
  choice-0: choice P r 0 = (SOME x. P x)

  choice-Suc: choice P r (Suc n) = (SOME y. P y & (choice P r n, y) ∈ r)
```

```
lemma choice-n:
  assumes P0: P x0
    and Pstep: !!x. P x ==> ∃ y. P y & (x,y) ∈ r
  shows P (choice P r n)
proof (induct n)
  case 0 show ?case by (force intro: someI P0)
next
  case Suc thus ?case by (auto intro: someI2-ex [OF Pstep])
qed
```

```
lemma dependent-choice:
  assumes trans: trans r
    and P0: P x0
    and Pstep: !!x. P x ==> ∃ y. P y & (x,y) ∈ r
  obtains f :: nat => 'a where
    !!n. P (f n) and !!n m. n < m ==> (f n, f m) ∈ r
proof
  fix n
  show P (choice P r n) by (blast intro: choice-n [OF P0 Pstep])
next
  have PSuc: ∀ n. (choice P r n, choice P r (Suc n)) ∈ r
    using Pstep [OF choice-n [OF P0 Pstep]]
    by (auto intro: someI2-ex)
  fix n m :: nat
  assume less: n < m
  show (choice P r n, choice P r m) ∈ r using PSuc
    by (auto intro: less-Suc-induct [OF less] transD [OF trans])
qed
```

49.1.2 Partitions of a Set

```
definition
```

$part :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow bool$
 — the function f partitions the r -subsets of the typically infinite set Y into s distinct categories.

where

$part\ r\ s\ Y\ f = (\forall X. X \subseteq Y \ \&\ finite\ X \ \&\ card\ X = r \longrightarrow f\ X < s)$

For induction, we decrease the value of r in partitions.

lemma *part-Suc-imp-part*:

$[[\ infinite\ Y; part\ (Suc\ r)\ s\ Y\ f; y \in Y]]$
 $\implies part\ r\ s\ (Y - \{y\})\ (\%u. f\ (insert\ y\ u))$

apply(*simp add: part-def, clarify*)

apply(*drule-tac x=insert y X in spec*)

apply(*force*)

done

lemma *part-subset*: $part\ r\ s\ YY\ f \implies Y \subseteq YY \implies part\ r\ s\ Y\ f$
unfolding *part-def* **by** *blast*

49.2 Ramsey’s Theorem: Infinitary Version

lemma *Ramsey-induction*:

fixes s **and** $r::nat$

shows

$!!(YY::'a\ set)\ (f::'a\ set \Rightarrow nat).$

$[[\ infinite\ YY; part\ r\ s\ YY\ f]]$

$\implies \exists Y'\ t'. Y' \subseteq YY \ \&\ infinite\ Y' \ \&\ t' < s \ \&$

$(\forall X. X \subseteq Y' \ \&\ finite\ X \ \&\ card\ X = r \longrightarrow f\ X = t')$

proof (*induct r*)

case 0

thus *?case* **by** (*auto simp add: part-def card-eq-0-iff cong: conj-cong*)

next

case ($Suc\ r$)

show *?case*

proof —

from *Suc.prem*s *infinite-imp-nonempty* **obtain** yy **where** $yy: yy \in YY$ **by** *blast*

let $?ramr = \{((y, Y, t), (y', Y', t')). y' \in Y \ \&\ Y' \subseteq Y\}$

let $?propr = \% (y, Y, t).$

$y \in YY \ \&\ y \notin Y \ \&\ Y \subseteq YY \ \&\ infinite\ Y \ \&\ t < s$

$\ \&\ (\forall X. X \subseteq Y \ \&\ finite\ X \ \&\ card\ X = r \longrightarrow (f \circ insert\ y)\ X = t)$

have $infYY'$: $infinite\ (YY - \{yy\})$ **using** *Suc.prem*s **by** *auto*

have $partf'$: $part\ r\ s\ (YY - \{yy\})\ (f \circ insert\ yy)$

by (*simp add: o-def part-Suc-imp-part yy Suc.prem*s)

have $transr$: $trans\ ?ramr$ **by** (*force simp add: trans-def*)

from *Suc.hyps* [*OF infYY' partf'*]

obtain $Y0$ **and** $t0$

where $Y0 \subseteq YY - \{yy\}$ $infinite\ Y0$ $t0 < s$

$\forall X. X \subseteq Y0 \wedge finite\ X \wedge card\ X = r \longrightarrow (f \circ insert\ yy)\ X = t0$

by *blast*

with yy **have** $propr0$: $?propr(yy, Y0, t0)$ **by** *blast*

```

have proprstep:  $\bigwedge x. ?propr\ x \implies \exists y. ?propr\ y \wedge (x, y) \in ?ramr$ 
proof -
  fix x
  assume px:  $?propr\ x$  thus  $?thesis\ x$ 
  proof (cases x)
    case (fields yx Yx tx)
    then obtain yx' where yx':  $yx' \in Yx$  using px
      by (blast dest: infinite-imp-nonempty)
    have infYx':  $infinite\ (Yx - \{yx'\})$  using fields px by auto
    with fields px yx' Suc.prem
    have partfx':  $part\ r\ s\ (Yx - \{yx'\})\ (f \circ insert\ yx')$ 
      by (simp add: o-def part-Suc-imp-part part-subset [where  $?YY=YY$ ])
    from Suc.hyps [OF infYx' partfx']
    obtain Y' and t'
    where Y':  $Y' \subseteq Yx - \{yx'\}$   $infinite\ Y'$   $t' < s$ 
       $\forall X. X \subseteq Y' \wedge finite\ X \wedge card\ X = r \implies (f \circ insert\ yx')\ X = t'$ 
      by blast
    show  $?thesis$ 
    proof
      show  $?propr\ (yx', Y', t') \ \&\ (x, (yx', Y', t')) \in ?ramr$ 
        using fields Y' yx' px by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
from dependent-choice [OF transr propr0 proprstep]
obtain g where pg:  $!!n::nat. ?propr\ (g\ n)$ 
  and rg:  $!!n\ m. n < m \implies (g\ n, g\ m) \in ?ramr$  by blast
let  $?gy = (\lambda n. let\ (y, Y, t) = g\ n\ in\ y)$ 
let  $?gt = (\lambda n. let\ (y, Y, t) = g\ n\ in\ t)$ 
have range:  $\exists k. range\ ?gt \subseteq \{..<k\}$ 
proof (intro exI subsetI)
  fix x
  assume x  $\in range\ ?gt$ 
  then obtain n where  $x = ?gt\ n$  ..
  with pg [of n] show  $x \in \{..<s\}$  by (cases g n) auto
qed
have finite (range ?gt)
  by (simp add: finite-nat-iff-bounded range)
then obtain s' and n'
  where s':  $s' = ?gt\ n'$ 
  and infes':  $infinite\ \{n. ?gt\ n = s'\}$ 
  by (rule inf-imp-fin-domE) (auto simp add: vimage-def intro: nat-infinite)
with pg [of n'] have less':  $s' < s$  by (cases g n') auto
have inj-gy:  $inj\ ?gy$ 
proof (rule linorder-injI)
  fix m m' :: nat assume less:  $m < m'$  show  $?gy\ m \neq ?gy\ m'$ 
    using rg [OF less] pg [of m] by (cases g m, cases g m') auto
qed
show  $?thesis$ 

```

```

proof (intro exI conjI)
  show ?gy ‘ {n. ?gt n = s'} ⊆ YY using pg
    by (auto simp add: Let-def split-beta)
  show infinite (?gy ‘ {n. ?gt n = s'}) using infeqs'
    by (blast intro: inj-gy [THEN subset-inj-on] dest: finite-imageD)
  show s' < s by (rule less')
  show ∀ X. X ⊆ ?gy ‘ {n. ?gt n = s'} & finite X & card X = Suc r
    --> f X = s'
proof –
  {fix X
   assume X ⊆ ?gy ‘ {n. ?gt n = s'}
   and cardX: finite X card X = Suc r
   then obtain AA where AA: AA ⊆ {n. ?gt n = s'} and Xeq: X = ?gy AA

    by (auto simp add: subset-image-iff)
   with cardX have AA≠{} by auto
   hence AAleast: (LEAST x. x ∈ AA) ∈ AA by (auto intro: LeastI-ex)
   have f X = s'
proof (cases g (LEAST x. x ∈ AA))
   case (fields ya Ya ta)
   with AAleast Xeq
   have ya: ya ∈ X by (force intro!: rev-image-eqI)
   hence f X = f (insert ya (X - {ya})) by (simp add: insert-absorb)
   also have ... = ta
proof –
   have X - {ya} ⊆ Ya
proof
   fix x assume x: x ∈ X - {ya}
   then obtain a' where xeq: x = ?gy a' and a': a' ∈ AA
   by (auto simp add: Xeq)
   hence a' ≠ (LEAST x. x ∈ AA) using x fields by auto
   hence lessa': (LEAST x. x ∈ AA) < a'
   using Least-le [of %x. x ∈ AA, OF a'] by arith
   show x ∈ Ya using xeq fields rg [OF lessa'] by auto
qed
   moreover
   have card (X - {ya}) = r
   by (simp add: cardX ya)
   ultimately show ?thesis
   using pg [of LEAST x. x ∈ AA] fields cardX
   by (clarsimp simp del:insert-Diff-single)
qed
   also have ... = s' using AA AAleast fields by auto
   finally show ?thesis .
qed}
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

qed

theorem *Ramsey*:

fixes $s\ r :: \text{nat}$ and $Z :: 'a \text{ set}$ and $f :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
 shows
 $[[\text{infinite } Z;$
 $\forall X. X \subseteq Z \ \& \ \text{finite } X \ \& \ \text{card } X = r \ \longrightarrow f\ X < s]]$
 $\implies \exists Y\ t. Y \subseteq Z \ \& \ \text{infinite } Y \ \& \ t < s$
 $\ \& \ (\forall X. X \subseteq Y \ \& \ \text{finite } X \ \& \ \text{card } X = r \ \longrightarrow f\ X = t)$
 by (blast intro: Ramsey-induction [unfolded part-def])

corollary *Ramsey2*:

fixes $s :: \text{nat}$ and $Z :: 'a \text{ set}$ and $f :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
 assumes $\text{infZ}: \text{infinite } Z$
 and part: $\forall x \in Z. \forall y \in Z. x \neq y \longrightarrow f\ \{x, y\} < s$
 shows
 $\exists Y\ t. Y \subseteq Z \ \& \ \text{infinite } Y \ \& \ t < s \ \& \ (\forall x \in Y. \forall y \in Y. x \neq y \longrightarrow f\ \{x, y\} = t)$
 proof –
 have part2: $\forall X. X \subseteq Z \ \& \ \text{finite } X \ \& \ \text{card } X = 2 \longrightarrow f\ X < s$
 using part by (fastsimp simp add: nat-number card-Suc-eq)
 obtain $Y\ t$
 where $Y \subseteq Z \ \& \ \text{infinite } Y \ \& \ t < s$
 $(\forall X. X \subseteq Y \ \& \ \text{finite } X \ \& \ \text{card } X = 2 \longrightarrow f\ X = t)$
 by (insert Ramsey [OF infZ part2]) auto
 moreover from this have $\forall x \in Y. \forall y \in Y. x \neq y \longrightarrow f\ \{x, y\} = t$ by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by iprover
 qed

49.3 Disjunctive Well-Foundedness

An application of Ramsey’s theorem to program termination. See [5].

definition

$\text{disj-wf} \quad :: ('a * 'a)\text{set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{disj-wf } r = (\exists T. \exists n :: \text{nat}. (\forall i < n. \text{wf}(T\ i)) \ \& \ r = (\bigcup i < n. T\ i))$

definition

$\text{transition-idx} :: [\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a * 'a)\text{set}, \text{nat set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where

$\text{transition-idx } s\ T\ A =$
 $(\text{LEAST } k. \exists i\ j. A = \{i, j\} \ \& \ i < j \ \& \ (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ k)$

lemma *transition-idx-less*:

$[[i < j; (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ k; k < n]] \implies \text{transition-idx } s\ T\ \{i, j\} < n$
 apply (subgoal-tac transition-idx s T {i, j} ≤ k, simp)
 apply (simp add: transition-idx-def, blast intro: Least-le)

done

lemma *transition-idx-in*:

$\llbracket i < j; (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ k \rrbracket \implies (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ (\text{transition-idx } s\ T\ \{i, j\})$
apply (*simp add: transition-idx-def doubleton-eq-iff conj-disj-distribR*
cong: conj-cong)
apply (*erule LeastI*)
done

To be equal to the union of some well-founded relations is equivalent to being the subset of such a union.

lemma *disj-wf*:

$\text{disj-wf}(r) = (\exists T. \exists n::\text{nat}. (\forall i < n. \text{wf}(T\ i)) \ \& \ r \subseteq (\bigcup i < n. T\ i))$
apply (*auto simp add: disj-wf-def*)
apply (*rule-tac x=%i. T\ i\ Int\ r in exI*)
apply (*rule-tac x=n in exI*)
apply (*force simp add: wf-Int1*)
done

theorem *trans-disj-wf-implies-wf*:

assumes *transr*: *trans r*
and *dwf*: *disj-wf(r)*
shows *wf r*
proof (*simp only: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, rule notI*)
assume $\exists s. \forall i. (s\ (\text{Suc } i), s\ i) \in r$
then obtain *s* **where** *sSuc*: $\forall i. (s\ (\text{Suc } i), s\ i) \in r$..
have *s*: $\forall i\ j. i < j \implies (s\ j, s\ i) \in r$
proof –
fix *i* **and** *j*:*nat*
assume *less*: *i* < *j*
thus $(s\ j, s\ i) \in r$
proof (*rule less-Suc-induct*)
show $\bigwedge i. (s\ (\text{Suc } i), s\ i) \in r$ **by** (*simp add: sSuc*)
show $\bigwedge i\ j\ k. \llbracket (s\ j, s\ i) \in r; (s\ k, s\ j) \in r \rrbracket \implies (s\ k, s\ i) \in r$
using *transr* **by** (*unfold trans-def, blast*)
qed
qed
from *dwf*
obtain *T* **and** *n*:*nat* **where** *wfT*: $\forall k < n. \text{wf}(T\ k)$ **and** *r*: $r = (\bigcup k < n. T\ k)$
by (*auto simp add: disj-wf-def*)
have *s-in-T*: $\bigwedge i\ j. i < j \implies \exists k. (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ k \ \& \ k < n$
proof –
fix *i* **and** *j*:*nat*
assume *less*: *i* < *j*
hence $(s\ j, s\ i) \in r$ **by** (*rule s [of i j]*)
thus $\exists k. (s\ j, s\ i) \in T\ k \ \& \ k < n$ **by** (*auto simp add: r*)
qed
have *trless*: $\forall i\ j. i \neq j \implies \text{transition-idx } s\ T\ \{i, j\} < n$
apply (*auto simp add: linorder-neq-iff*)

```

apply (blast dest: s-in-T transition-idx-less)
apply (subst insert-commute)
apply (blast dest: s-in-T transition-idx-less)
done
have
   $\exists K\ k. K \subseteq UNIV \ \& \ \text{infinite } K \ \& \ k < n \ \&$ 
   $(\forall i \in K. \forall j \in K. i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{transition-idx } s \ T \ \{i, j\} = k)$ 
  by (rule Ramsey2) (auto intro: trless nat-infinite)
then obtain  $K$  and  $k$ 
  where  $\text{inf}K$ :  $\text{infinite } K$  and  $\text{less}$ :  $k < n$  and
   $\text{all}k$ :  $\forall i \in K. \forall j \in K. i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{transition-idx } s \ T \ \{i, j\} = k$ 
  by auto
have  $\forall m. (s \ (\text{enumerate } K \ (\text{Suc } m)), s(\text{enumerate } K \ m)) \in T \ k$ 
proof
  fix  $m::\text{nat}$ 
  let  $?j = \text{enumerate } K \ (\text{Suc } m)$ 
  let  $?i = \text{enumerate } K \ m$ 
  have  $jK$ :  $?j \in K$  by (simp add: enumerate-in-set infK)
  have  $iK$ :  $?i \in K$  by (simp add: enumerate-in-set infK)
  have  $ij$ :  $?i < ?j$  by (simp add: enumerate-step infK)
  have  $ijk$ :  $\text{transition-idx } s \ T \ \{?i, ?j\} = k$  using  $iK \ jK \ ij$ 
  by (simp add: allk)
  obtain  $k'$  where  $(s \ ?j, s \ ?i) \in T \ k' \ k' < n$ 
  using  $s\text{-in-}T \ [OF \ ij]$  by blast
  thus  $(s \ ?j, s \ ?i) \in T \ k$ 
  by (simp add:  $ijk$  [symmetric] transition-idx-in ij)
qed
hence  $\sim \text{wf}(T \ k)$  by (force simp add: wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain)
thus  $\text{False}$  using  $\text{wf}T \ \text{less}$  by blast
qed
end

```

50 RBT: Red-Black Trees

This theory defines purely functional red-black trees which can be used as an efficient representation of finite maps.

50.1 Data type and invariant

The type $('k, 'v) \text{rbt}$ denotes red-black trees with keys of type $'k$ and values of type $'v$. To function properly, the key type must belong to the *linorder* class.

A value t of this type is a valid red-black tree if it satisfies the invariant $\text{isrbt } t$. This theory provides lemmas to prove that the invariant is satisfied throughout the computation.

The interpretation function $RBT.map\text{-}of$ returns the partial map represented by a red-black tree:

$$RBT.map\text{-}of::('a, 'b) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow 'a \multimap 'b$$

This function should be used for reasoning about the semantics of the RBT operations. Furthermore, it implements the lookup functionality for the data structure: It is executable and the lookup is performed in $O(\log n)$.

50.2 Operations

Currently, the following operations are supported:

$$Empty::('a, 'b) \text{ rbt}$$

Returns the empty tree. $O(1)$

$$insrt::'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt}$$

Updates the map at a given position. $O(\log n)$

$$RBT.delete::'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt}$$

Deletes a map entry at a given position. $O(\log n)$

$$union::('a, 'b) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ rbt}$$

Forms the union of two trees, preferring entries from the first one.

$$RBT.map::('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('c, 'a) \text{ rbt} \Rightarrow ('c, 'b) \text{ rbt}$$

Maps a function over the values of a map. $O(n)$

50.3 Invariant preservation

$isrbt \text{ Empty}$	(Empty-isrbt)
$isrbt \text{ ?}t \Longrightarrow isrbt (insrt \text{ ?}k \text{ ?}v \text{ ?}t)$	$(insrt\text{-}isrbt)$
$isrbt \text{ ?}t \Longrightarrow isrbt (RBT.delete \text{ ?}k \text{ ?}t)$	$(delete\text{-}isrbt)$
$isrbt \text{ ?}lt \Longrightarrow isrbt (union \text{ ?}lt \text{ ?}rt)$	$(union\text{-}isrbt)$
$isrbt (RBT.map \text{ ?}f \text{ ?}t) = isrbt \text{ ?}t$	$(map\text{-}isrbt)$

50.4 Map Semantics

map-of-Empty

$RBT.map\text{-}of\ Empty = empty$

map-of-insert

$isrbt\ ?t \implies RBT.map\text{-}of\ (insrt\ ?k\ ?v\ ?t) = RBT.map\text{-}of\ ?t(\ ?k \mapsto ?v)$

map-of-delete

$isrbt\ ?t \implies RBT.map\text{-}of\ (RBT.delete\ ?k\ ?t) = RBT.map\text{-}of\ ?t|_{(-\ \{?k\})}$

map-of-union

$\llbracket isrbt\ ?s; st\ ?t \rrbracket$
 $\implies RBT.map\text{-}of\ (union\ ?s\ ?t) = RBT.map\text{-}of\ ?s ++ RBT.map\text{-}of\ ?t$

map-of-map

$RBT.map\text{-}of\ (RBT.map\ ?f\ ?t) = option\text{-}map\ ?f \circ RBT.map\text{-}of\ ?t$

end

51 State-Monad: Combinators syntax for generic, open state monads (single threaded monads)

theory *State-Monad*

imports *List*

begin

51.1 Motivation

The logic HOL has no notion of constructor classes, so it is not possible to model monads the Haskell way in full genericity in Isabelle/HOL.

However, this theory provides substantial support for a very common class of monads: *state monads* (or *single-threaded monads*, since a state is transformed single-threaded).

To enter from the Haskell world, http://www.engr.mun.ca/~theo/Misc/haskell_and_monads.htm makes a good motivating start. Here we just sketch briefly how those monads enter the game of Isabelle/HOL.

51.2 State transformations and combinators

We classify functions operating on states into two categories:

transformations with type signature $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma'$, transforming a state.

“yielding” transformations with type signature $\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha \times \sigma'$, “yielding” a side result while transforming a state.

queries with type signature $\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha$, computing a result dependent on a state.

By convention we write σ for types representing states and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ for types representing side results. Type changes due to transformations are not excluded in our scenario.

We aim to assert that values of any state type σ are used in a single-threaded way: after application of a transformation on a value of type σ , the former value should not be used again. To achieve this, we use a set of monad combinators:

notation *fcomp* (**infixl** $>>$ 60)

notation (*xsymbols*) *fcomp* (**infixl** \gg 60)

notation *scomp* (**infixl** $>>=$ 60)

notation (*xsymbols*) *scomp* (**infixl** $\gg=$ 60)

abbreviation (*input*)

return \equiv *Pair*

definition

run $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**

run *f* = *f*

print-ast-translation \ll

$[(\text{@}\{\text{const-syntax run}\}, \text{fn } (f::ts) \Rightarrow \text{Syntax.mk-appl } f \text{ ts})]$
 \gg

Given two transformations *f* and *g*, they may be directly composed using the *op* \gg combinator, forming a forward composition: $(f \gg g) \ s = f \ (g \ s)$.

After any yielding transformation, we bind the side result immediately using a lambda abstraction. This is the purpose of the *op* $\gg=$ combinator: $(f \gg= (\lambda x. g)) \ s = (\text{let } (x, s') = f \ s \text{ in } g \ s')$.

For queries, the existing *Let* is appropriate.

Naturally, a computation may yield a side result by pairing it to the state from the left; we introduce the suggestive abbreviation *Pair* for this purpose.

The *run* is just a marker.

The most crucial distinction to Haskell is that we do not need to introduce distinguished type constructors for different kinds of state. This has two consequences:

- The monad model does not state anything about the kind of state; the model for the state is completely orthogonal and may be specified completely independently.
- There is no distinguished type constructor encapsulating away the state transformation, i.e. transformations may be applied directly without using any lifting or providing and dropping units (“open monad”).
- The type of states may change due to a transformation.

51.3 Obsolete runs

run is just a doodle and should not occur nested:

lemma *run-simp* [*simp*]:
 $\bigwedge f. \text{run } (\text{run } f) = \text{run } f$
 $\bigwedge f g. \text{run } f \gg= g = f \gg= g$
 $\bigwedge f g. \text{run } f \gg g = f \gg g$
 $\bigwedge f g. f \gg= (\lambda x. \text{run } g) = f \gg= (\lambda x. g)$
 $\bigwedge f g. f \gg \text{run } g = f \gg g$
 $\bigwedge f. f = \text{run } f \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\bigwedge f. \text{run } f = f \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
unfolding *run-def* **by** *rule+*

51.4 Monad laws

The common monadic laws hold and may also be used as normalization rules for monadic expressions:

lemma
return-scomp [*simp*]: $\text{return } x \gg= f = f x$
unfolding *scomp-def* **by** (*simp add: expand-fun-eq*)

lemma
scomp-return [*simp*]: $x \gg= \text{return} = x$
unfolding *scomp-def* **by** (*simp add: expand-fun-eq split-Pair*)

lemma
id-fcomp [*simp*]: $\text{id} \gg f = f$
unfolding *fcomp-def* **by** *simp*

lemma
fcomp-id [*simp*]: $f \gg \text{id} = f$
unfolding *fcomp-def* **by** *simp*

lemma
scomp-scomp [*simp*]: $(f \gg= g) \gg= h = f \gg= (\lambda x. g x \gg= h)$
unfolding *scomp-def* **by** (*simp add: split-def expand-fun-eq*)

lemma

scomp-fcomp [*simp*]: $(f \gg= g) \gg h = f \gg= (\lambda x. g\ x \gg h)$
unfolding *scomp-def fcomp-def* **by** (*simp add: split-def expand-fun-eq*)

lemma

fcomp-scomp [*simp*]: $(f \gg g) \gg= h = f \gg (g \gg= h)$
unfolding *scomp-def fcomp-def* **by** (*simp add: split-def expand-fun-eq*)

lemma

fcomp-fcomp [*simp*]: $(f \gg g) \gg h = f \gg (g \gg h)$
unfolding *fcomp-def o-assoc* ..

lemmas *monad-simp = run-simp return-scomp scomp-return id-fcomp fcomp-id*
scomp-scomp scomp-fcomp fcomp-scomp fcomp-fcomp

Evaluation of monadic expressions by force:

lemmas *monad-collapse = monad-simp o-apply o-assoc split-Pair split-comp*
scomp-def fcomp-def run-def

51.5 ML abstract operations

ML \ll

structure StateMonad =
struct

fun liftT T sT = sT --> HOLogic.mk-prodT (T, sT);
fun liftT' sT = sT --> sT;

fun return T sT x = Const (@{const-name return}, T --> liftT T sT) \$ x;

fun scomp T1 T2 sT f g = Const (@{const-name scomp},
liftT T1 sT --> (T1 --> liftT T2 sT) --> liftT T2 sT) \$ f \$ g;

fun run T sT f = Const (@{const-name run}, liftT' (liftT T sT)) \$ f;

end;

\gg

51.6 Syntax

We provide a convenient *do*-notation for monadic expressions well-known from Haskell. *Let* is printed specially in *do*-expressions.

nonterminals *do-expr*

syntax

-do :: *do-expr* \Rightarrow 'a
 (*do* - *done* [12] 12)
-scomp :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow *do-expr* \Rightarrow *do-expr*
 (- <- -; // - [1000, 13, 12] 12)

```

-fcomp :: 'a => do-expr => do-expr
  (-; // - [13, 12] 12)
-let :: pttrn => 'a => do-expr => do-expr
  (let - = -; // - [1000, 13, 12] 12)
-nil :: 'a => do-expr
  (- [12] 12)

```

syntax (*xsymbols*)

```

-scomp :: pttrn => 'a => do-expr => do-expr
  (- ← -; // - [1000, 13, 12] 12)

```

translations

```

-do f => CONST run f
-scomp x f g => f >>= (λx. g)
-fcomp f g => f >> g
-let x t f => CONST Let t (λx. f)
-nil f => f

```

print-translation \ll

```

let
  fun dest-abs-eta (Abs (abs as (-, ty, -))) =
    let
      val (v, t) = Syntax.variant-abs abs;
      in ((v, ty), t) end
    | dest-abs-eta t =
      let
        val (v, t) = Syntax.variant-abs (, dummyT, t $ Bound 0);
        in ((v, dummyT), t) end
  fun unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax scomp}, -) $ f $ g) =
    let
      val ((v, ty), g') = dest-abs-eta g;
      in Const (-scomp, dummyT) $ Free (v, ty) $ f $ unfold-monad g' end
    | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax fcomp}, -) $ f $ g) =
      Const (-fcomp, dummyT) $ f $ unfold-monad g
    | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax Let}, -) $ f $ g) =
      let
        val ((v, ty), g') = dest-abs-eta g;
        in Const (-let, dummyT) $ Free (v, ty) $ f $ unfold-monad g' end
    | unfold-monad (Const (@{const-syntax Pair}, -) $ f) =
      Const (return, dummyT) $ f
    | unfold-monad f = f;
  fun tr' (f::ts) =
    list-comb (Const (-do, dummyT) $ unfold-monad f, ts)
  in [(@{const-syntax run}, tr')] end;
>>

```

51.7 Combinators**definition**

lift :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'b \times 'c **where**
lift *f* *x* = *return* (*f* *x*)

primrec

list :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a *list* \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b **where**
list *f* [] = *id*
| *list* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = (*do* *f* *x*; *list* *f* *xs* *done*)

primrec

list-yield :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \times 'b) \Rightarrow 'a *list* \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c *list* \times 'b **where**
list-yield *f* [] = *return* []
| *list-yield* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = (*do* *y* \leftarrow *f* *x*; *ys* \leftarrow *list-yield* *f* *xs*; *return* (*y* # *ys*) *done*)

definition

collapse :: ('a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \times 'a) \times 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \times 'a **where**
collapse *f* = (*do* *g* \leftarrow *f*; *g* *done*)

combinator properties

lemma *list-append* [*simp*]:

list *f* (*xs* @ *ys*) = *list* *f* *xs* \gg *list* *f* *ys*
by (*induct* *xs*) (*simp-all* *del*: *id-apply*)

lemma *list-cong* [*fundef-cong*, *recdef-cong*]:

[$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow f\ x = g\ x; xs = ys$]
 $\Longrightarrow \text{list } f\ xs = \text{list } g\ ys$

proof (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *ys*)

case *Nil* **then show** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *x* *xs*)
from *Cons* **have** $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (x \# xs) \Longrightarrow f\ y = g\ y$ **by** *auto*
then have $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow f\ y = g\ y$ **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **have** *list* *f* *xs* = *list* *g* *xs* **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **have** *list* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = *list* *g* (*x* # *xs*) **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **show** *list* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = *list* *g* *ys* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *list-yield-cong* [*fundef-cong*, *recdef-cong*]:

[$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow f\ x = g\ x; xs = ys$]
 $\Longrightarrow \text{list-yield } f\ xs = \text{list-yield } g\ ys$

proof (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *ys*)

case *Nil* **then show** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *x* *xs*)
from *Cons* **have** $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (x \# xs) \Longrightarrow f\ y = g\ y$ **by** *auto*
then have $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow f\ y = g\ y$ **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **have** *list-yield* *f* *xs* = *list-yield* *g* *xs* **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **have** *list-yield* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = *list-yield* *g* (*x* # *xs*) **by** *auto*
with *Cons* **show** *list-yield* *f* (*x* # *xs*) = *list-yield* *g* *ys* **by** *auto*

qed

For an example, see HOL/ex/Random.thy.

end

52 Univ-Poly: Univariate Polynomials

```
theory Univ-Poly
imports Main
begin
```

Application of polynomial as a function.

```
primrec (in semiring-0) poly :: 'a list => 'a => 'a where
  poly-Nil: poly [] x = 0
| poly-Cons: poly (h#t) x = h + x * poly t x
```

52.1 Arithmetic Operations on Polynomials

addition

```
primrec (in semiring-0) padd :: 'a list => 'a list => 'a list (infixl +++ 65)
where
  padd-Nil: [] +++ l2 = l2
| padd-Cons: (h#t) +++ l2 = (if l2 = [] then h#t
                             else (h + hd l2)#(t +++ tl l2))
```

Multiplication by a constant

```
primrec (in semiring-0) cmult :: 'a => 'a list => 'a list (infixl %* 70) where
  cmult-Nil: c %* [] = []
| cmult-Cons: c %* (h#t) = (c * h)#(c %* t)
```

Multiplication by a polynomial

```
primrec (in semiring-0) pmult :: 'a list => 'a list => 'a list (infixl *** 70)
where
  pmult-Nil: [] *** l2 = []
| pmult-Cons: (h#t) *** l2 = (if t = [] then h %* l2
                              else (h %* l2) +++ ((0) # (t *** l2)))
```

Repeated multiplication by a polynomial

```
primrec (in semiring-0) mulexp :: nat => 'a list => 'a list => 'a list where
  mulexp-zero: mulexp 0 p q = q
| mulexp-Suc: mulexp (Suc n) p q = p *** mulexp n p q
```

Exponential

```
primrec (in semiring-1) pexp :: 'a list => nat => 'a list (infixl %^ 80) where
  pexp-0: p %^ 0 = [1]
| pexp-Suc: p %^ (Suc n) = p *** (p %^ n)
```

Quotient related value of dividing a polynomial by $x + a$

```
primrec (in field) pquot :: 'a list => 'a => 'a list where
```

pquot-Nil: $pquot \ [] = []$
| *pquot-Cons*: $pquot (h\#t) a = (if\ t = []\ then\ [h]$
 $\quad\quad\quad else\ (inverse(a) * (h - hd(\ pquot\ t\ a)))\#(pquot\ t\ a))$

normalization of polynomials (remove extra 0 coeff)

primrec (in *semiring-0*) *pnormalize* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**

pnormalize-Nil: $pnormalize \ [] = []$
| *pnormalize-Cons*: $pnormalize (h\#p) = (if\ (\ pnormalize\ p) = []$
 $\quad\quad\quad then\ (if\ (h = 0)\ then\ []\ else\ [h])$
 $\quad\quad\quad else\ (h\#(pnormalize\ p)))$

definition (in *semiring-0*) *pnormal* $p = ((pnormalize\ p = p) \wedge p \neq [])$

definition (in *semiring-0*) *nonconstant* $p = (pnormal\ p \wedge (\forall x. p \neq [x]))$

Other definitions

definition (in *ring-1*)

poly-minus :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list ($-- \ - [80] \ 80$) **where**
 $--\ p = (-\ 1) \%* p$

definition (in *semiring-0*)

divides :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** *divides* 70) **where**
 $p1\ divides\ p2 = (\exists q. poly\ p2 = poly(p1\ ***\ q))$

— order of a polynomial

definition (in *ring-1*) *order* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat **where**

$order\ a\ p = (SOME\ n. ([-a, 1] \%^ n)\ divides\ p \ \&$
 $\quad\quad\quad \sim ([-a, 1] \%^ (Suc\ n))\ divides\ p))$

— degree of a polynomial

definition (in *semiring-0*) *degree* :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat **where**

$degree\ p = length\ (pnormalize\ p) - 1$

— squarefree polynomials — NB with respect to real roots only.

definition (in *ring-1*)

rsquarefree :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $rsquarefree\ p = (poly\ p \neq poly\ [] \ \&$
 $\quad\quad\quad (\forall a. (order\ a\ p = 0) \mid (order\ a\ p = 1)))$

context *semiring-0*

begin

lemma *padd-Nil2[simp]*: $p\ +++\ [] = p$

by (*induct p*) *auto*

lemma *padd-Cons-Cons*: $(h1\ \#\ p1)\ +++\ (h2\ \#\ p2) = (h1\ +\ h2)\ \#\ (p1\ +++\ p2)$

by *auto*

lemma *pminus-Nil[simp]*: $--\ [] = []$

by (*simp add: poly-minus-def*)

lemma *pmult-singleton*: $[h1] *** p1 = h1 \%* p1$ **by** *simp*
end

lemma (**in** *semiring-1*) *poly-ident-mult*[*simp*]: $1 \%* t = t$ **by** (*induct t, auto*)

lemma (**in** *semiring-0*) *poly-simple-add-Cons*[*simp*]: $[a] +++ ((0)\#t) = (a\#t)$
by *simp*

Handy general properties

lemma (**in** *comm-semiring-0*) *padd-commut*: $b +++ a = a +++ b$

proof(*induct b arbitrary: a*)

case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons b bs a*) **thus** ?*case* **by** (*cases a, simp-all add: add-commute*)

qed

lemma (**in** *comm-semiring-0*) *padd-assoc*: $\forall b\ c. (a +++ b) +++ c = a +++ (b +++ c)$

apply (*induct a arbitrary: b c*)

apply (*simp, clarify*)

apply (*case-tac b, simp-all add: add-ac*)

done

lemma (**in** *semiring-0*) *poly-cmult-distr*: $a \%* (p +++ q) = (a \%* p +++ a \%* q)$

apply (*induct p arbitrary: q, simp*)

apply (*case-tac q, simp-all add: right-distrib*)

done

lemma (**in** *ring-1*) *pmult-by-x*[*simp*]: $[0, 1] *** t = ((0)\#t)$

apply (*induct t, simp*)

apply (*auto simp add: mult-zero-left poly-ident-mult padd-commut*)

apply (*case-tac t, auto*)

done

properties of evaluation of polynomials.

lemma (**in** *semiring-0*) *poly-add*: $\text{poly } (p1 +++ p2) x = \text{poly } p1\ x + \text{poly } p2\ x$

proof(*induct p1 arbitrary: p2*)

case *Nil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons a as p2*) **thus** ?*case*

by (*cases p2, simp-all add: add-ac right-distrib*)

qed

lemma (**in** *comm-semiring-0*) *poly-cmult*: $\text{poly } (c \%* p) x = c * \text{poly } p\ x$

apply (*induct p*)

apply (*case-tac [2] x=zero*)

```

apply (auto simp add: right-distrib mult-ac)
done

lemma (in comm-semiring-0) poly-cmult-map: poly (map (op * c) p) x = c*poly
p x
  by (induct p, auto simp add: right-distrib mult-ac)

lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-minus: poly (-- p) x = - (poly p x)
apply (simp add: poly-minus-def)
apply (auto simp add: poly-cmult minus-mult-left[symmetric])
done

lemma (in comm-semiring-0) poly-mult: poly (p1 *** p2) x = poly p1 x * poly
p2 x
proof(induct p1 arbitrary: p2)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a as p2)
  thus ?case by (cases as,
    simp-all add: poly-cmult poly-add left-distrib right-distrib mult-ac)
qed

class recpower-semiring = semiring + recpower
class recpower-semiring-1 = semiring-1 + recpower
class recpower-semiring-0 = semiring-0 + recpower
class recpower-ring = ring + recpower
class recpower-ring-1 = ring-1 + recpower
subclass (in recpower-ring-1) recpower-ring by unfold-locales
class recpower-comm-semiring-1 = recpower + comm-semiring-1
class recpower-comm-ring-1 = recpower + comm-ring-1
subclass (in recpower-comm-ring-1) recpower-comm-semiring-1 by unfold-locales
class recpower-idom = recpower + idom
subclass (in recpower-idom) recpower-comm-ring-1 by unfold-locales
class idom-char-0 = idom + ring-char-0
class recpower-idom-char-0 = recpower + idom-char-0
subclass (in recpower-idom-char-0) recpower-idom by unfold-locales

lemma (in recpower-comm-ring-1) poly-exp: poly (p % ^ n) x = (poly p x) ^ n
apply (induct n)
apply (auto simp add: poly-cmult poly-mult power-Suc)
done

```

More Polynomial Evaluation Lemmas

```

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-add-rzero[simp]: poly (a +++ []) x = poly a x
by simp

lemma (in comm-semiring-0) poly-mult-assoc: poly ((a *** b) *** c) x = poly (a
*** (b *** c)) x
  by (simp add: poly-mult mult-assoc)

```

lemma (in *semiring-0*) *poly-mult-Nil2[simp]*: *poly* (*p* *** []) *x* = 0
by (*induct p*, *auto*)

lemma (in *comm-semiring-1*) *poly-exp-add*: *poly* (*p* %[^] (*n* + *d*)) *x* = *poly* (*p* %[^] *n* *** *p* %[^] *d*) *x*
apply (*induct n*)
apply (*auto simp add: poly-mult mult-assoc*)
done

52.2 Key Property: if $f a = (0::'a)$ then $x - a$ divides $p x$

lemma (in *comm-ring-1*) *lemma-poly-linear-rem*: $\forall h. \exists q r. h \# t = [r] +++ [-a, 1] *** q$
proof (*induct t*)
case *Nil*
 {**fix** *h* **have** $[h] = [h] +++ [-a, 1] *** []$ **by** *simp*}
thus ?*case* **by** *blast*
next
case (*Cons x xs*)
 {**fix** *h*
from *Cons.hyps* [*rule-format*, *of x*]
obtain *q r* **where** $qr: x \# xs = [r] +++ [-a, 1] *** q$ **by** *blast*
have $h \# x \# xs = [a * r + h] +++ [-a, 1] *** (r \# q)$
using *qr* **by** (*cases q*, *simp-all add: ring-simps diff-def[symmetric]*
minus-mult-left[symmetric] right-minus)
hence $\exists q r. h \# x \# xs = [r] +++ [-a, 1] *** q$ **by** *blast*}
thus ?*case* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (in *comm-ring-1*) *poly-linear-rem*: $\exists q r. h \# t = [r] +++ [-a, 1] *** q$
by (*cut-tac t = t and a = a in lemma-poly-linear-rem, auto*)

lemma (in *comm-ring-1*) *poly-linear-divides*: $(\text{poly } p \ a = 0) = ((p = []) \mid (\exists q. p = [-a, 1] *** q))$

proof–
 {**assume** *p*: $p = []$ **hence** ?*thesis* **by** *simp*}
moreover
 {**fix** *x xs* **assume** *p*: $p = x \# xs$
 {**fix** *q* **assume** $p = [-a, 1] *** q$ **hence** $\text{poly } p \ a = 0$
by (*simp add: poly-add poly-cmult minus-mult-left[symmetric]*)}
moreover
 {**assume** *p0*: $\text{poly } p \ a = 0$
from *poly-linear-rem* [*of x xs a*] **obtain** *q r*
where $qr: x \# xs = [r] +++ [-a, 1] *** q$ **by** *blast*
have $r = 0$ **using** *p0* **by** (*simp only: p qr poly-mult poly-add*) *simp*
hence $\exists q. p = [-a, 1] *** q$ **using** *p qr* **apply** – **apply** (*rule exI* [**where**
 $x=q$]) **apply** *auto* **apply** (*cases q*) **apply** *auto* **done**}

ultimately have ?thesis using p by blast}
 ultimately show ?thesis by (cases p , auto)
 qed

lemma (in semiring-0) lemma-poly-length-mult[simp]: $\forall h\ k\ a. \text{length } (k \%* p \text{ +++ } (h \# (a \%* p))) = \text{Suc } (\text{length } p)$
 by (induct p , auto)

lemma (in semiring-0) lemma-poly-length-mult2[simp]: $\forall h\ k. \text{length } (k \%* p \text{ +++ } (h \# p)) = \text{Suc } (\text{length } p)$
 by (induct p , auto)

lemma (in ring-1) poly-length-mult[simp]: $\text{length}([-a, 1] *** q) = \text{Suc } (\text{length } q)$
 by auto

52.3 Polynomial length

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-cmult-length[simp]: $\text{length } (a \%* p) = \text{length } p$
 by (induct p , auto)

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-add-length: $\text{length } (p1 \text{ +++ } p2) = \max (\text{length } p1) (\text{length } p2)$
 apply (induct $p1$ arbitrary: $p2$, simp-all)
 apply arith
 done

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-root-mult-length[simp]: $\text{length}([a, b] *** p) = \text{Suc } (\text{length } p)$
 by (simp add: poly-add-length)

lemma (in idom) poly-mult-not-eq-poly-Nil[simp]:
 $\text{poly } (p *** q) \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x \longleftrightarrow \text{poly } p \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x \wedge \text{poly } q \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x$
 by (auto simp add: poly-mult)

lemma (in idom) poly-mult-eq-zero-disj: $\text{poly } (p *** q) \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \vee \text{poly } q \ x = 0$
 by (auto simp add: poly-mult)

Normalisation Properties

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-normalized-nil: $(\text{pnormalize } p = []) \longrightarrow (\text{poly } p \ x = 0)$
 by (induct p , auto)

A nontrivial polynomial of degree n has no more than n roots

lemma (in idom) poly-roots-index-lemma:
 assumes p : $\text{poly } p \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x$ and n : $\text{length } p = n$
 shows $\exists i. \forall x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists m \leq n. x = i \ m)$
 using $p \ n$
 proof (induct n arbitrary: $p \ x$)
 case 0 thus ?case by simp

```

next
case (Suc n p x)
{assume C:  $\bigwedge i. \exists x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \wedge (\forall m \leq \text{Suc } n. x \neq i \ m)$ 
  from Suc.premis have p0:  $\text{poly } p \ x \neq 0 \neq []$  by auto
  from p0(1)[unfolded poly-linear-divides[of p x]]
  have  $\forall q. p \neq [-x, 1] \text{ *** } q$  by blast
  from C obtain a where a:  $\text{poly } p \ a = 0$  by blast
  from a[unfolded poly-linear-divides[of p a]] p0(2)
  obtain q where q:  $p = [-a, 1] \text{ *** } q$  by blast
  have lg:  $\text{length } q = n$  using q Suc.premis(2) by simp
  from q p0 have qx:  $\text{poly } q \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x$ 
    by (auto simp add: poly-mult poly-add poly-cmult)
  from Suc.hyps[OF qx lg] obtain i where
    i:  $\forall x. \text{poly } q \ x = 0 \longrightarrow (\exists m \leq n. x = i \ m)$  by blast
  let ?i =  $\lambda m. \text{if } m = \text{Suc } n \text{ then } a \text{ else } i \ m$ 
  from C[of ?i] obtain y where y:  $\text{poly } p \ y = 0 \ \forall m \leq \text{Suc } n. y \neq ?i \ m$ 
    by blast
  from y have  $y = a \vee \text{poly } q \ y = 0$ 
    by (simp only: q poly-mult-eq-zero-disj poly-add) (simp add: ring-simps)
  with i[rule-format, of y] y(1) y(2) have False apply auto
    apply (erule-tac x=m in allE)
    apply auto
    done}
thus ?case by blast
qed

```

```

lemma (in idom) poly-roots-index-length:  $\text{poly } p \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x \implies$ 
   $\exists i. \forall x. (\text{poly } p \ x = 0) \longrightarrow (\exists n. n \leq \text{length } p \ \& \ x = i \ n)$ 
by (blast intro: poly-roots-index-lemma)

```

```

lemma (in idom) poly-roots-finite-lemma1:  $\text{poly } p \ x \neq \text{poly } [] \ x \implies$ 
   $\exists N \ i. \forall x. (\text{poly } p \ x = 0) \longrightarrow (\exists n. (n::\text{nat}) < N \ \& \ x = i \ n)$ 
apply (drule poly-roots-index-length, safe)
apply (rule-tac x = Suc (length p) in exI)
apply (rule-tac x = i in exI)
apply (simp add: less-Suc-eq-le)
done

```

```

lemma (in idom) idom-finite-lemma:
  assumes P:  $\forall x. P \ x \longrightarrow (\exists n. n < \text{length } j \ \& \ x = j!n)$ 
  shows finite {x. P x}
proof-
  let ?M = {x. P x}
  let ?N = set j
  have ?M  $\subseteq$  ?N using P by auto
  thus ?thesis using finite-subset by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in idom) poly-roots-finite-lemma2: poly p x ≠ poly [] x ==>
  ∃ i. ∀ x. (poly p x = 0) --> x ∈ set i
apply (drule poly-roots-index-length, safe)
apply (rule-tac x=map (λn. i n) [0 ..< Suc (length p)]) in exI)
apply (auto simp add: image-iff)
apply (erule-tac x=x in allE, clarsimp)
by (case-tac n=length p, auto simp add: order-le-less)

lemma UNIV-nat-infinite: ¬ finite (UNIV :: nat set)
  unfolding finite-conv-nat-seg-image
proof(auto simp add: expand-set-eq image-iff)
  fix n::nat and f:: nat ⇒ nat
  let ?N = {i. i < n}
  let ?fN = f ‘ ?N
  let ?y = Max ?fN + 1
  from nat-seg-image-imp-finite[of ?fN f n]
  have thfN: finite ?fN by simp
  {assume n = 0 hence ∃ x. ∀ xa < n. x ≠ f xa by auto}
  moreover
  {assume nz: n ≠ 0
    hence thne: ?fN ≠ {} by (auto simp add: neq0-conv)
    have ∀ x ∈ ?fN. Max ?fN ≥ x using nz Max-ge-iff[OF thfN thne] by auto
    hence ∀ x ∈ ?fN. ?y > x by auto
    hence ?y ∉ ?fN by auto
    hence ∃ x. ∀ xa < n. x ≠ f xa by auto }
  ultimately show ∃ x. ∀ xa < n. x ≠ f xa by blast
qed

lemma (in ring-char-0) UNIV-ring-char-0-infinte:
  ¬ (finite (UNIV :: 'a set))
proof
  assume F: finite (UNIV :: 'a set)
  have th0: of-nat ‘ UNIV ⊆ UNIV by simp
  from finite-subset[OF th0] have th: finite (of-nat ‘ UNIV :: 'a set) .
  have th': inj-on (of-nat::nat ⇒ 'a) (UNIV)
    unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  from finite-imageD[OF th th'] UNIV-nat-infinite
  show False by blast
qed

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-roots-finite: (poly p ≠ poly []) =
  finite {x. poly p x = 0}
proof
  assume H: poly p ≠ poly []
  show finite {x. poly p x = (0::'a)}
    using H
  apply –

```

```

    apply (erule contrapos-np, rule ext)
    apply (rule ccontr)
    apply (clarify dest!: poly-roots-finite-lemma2)
    using finite-subset
  proof-
    fix x i
    assume F:  $\neg$  finite  $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = (0::'a)\}$ 
      and P:  $\forall x. \text{poly } p \ x = (0::'a) \longrightarrow x \in \text{set } i$ 
    let ?M =  $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = (0::'a)\}$ 
    from P have ?M  $\subseteq$  set i by auto
    with finite-subset F show False by auto
  qed
next
  assume F: finite  $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = (0::'a)\}$ 
  show poly p  $\neq$  poly [] using F UNIV-ring-char-0-infinte by auto
qed

```

Entirety and Cancellation for polynomials

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-entire-lemma2:
  assumes p0: poly p  $\neq$  poly [] and q0: poly q  $\neq$  poly []
  shows poly (p *** q)  $\neq$  poly []
proof-
  let ?S =  $\lambda p. \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$ 
  have ?S (p *** q) = ?S p  $\cup$  ?S q by (auto simp add: poly-mult)
  with p0 q0 show ?thesis unfolding poly-roots-finite by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-entire:
  poly (p *** q) = poly []  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly p = poly []  $\vee$  poly q = poly []
using poly-entire-lemma2[of p q]
by auto (rule ext, simp add: poly-mult)+

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-entire-neg: (poly (p *** q)  $\neq$  poly []) = ((poly p  $\neq$ 
poly []) & (poly q  $\neq$  poly []))
by (simp add: poly-entire)

```

```

lemma fun-eq: (f = g) = ( $\forall x. f \ x = g \ x$ )
by (auto intro!: ext)

```

```

lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-add-minus-zero-iff: (poly (p +++ -- q) = poly
[]) = (poly p = poly q)
by (auto simp add: ring-simps poly-add poly-minus-def fun-eq poly-cmult minus-mult-left[symmetric])

```

```

lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-add-minus-mult-eq: poly (p *** q +++ -- (p ***
r)) = poly (p *** (q +++ -- r))
by (auto simp add: poly-add poly-minus-def fun-eq poly-mult poly-cmult right-distrib
minus-mult-left[symmetric] minus-mult-right[symmetric])

```

```

subclass (in idom-char-0) comm-ring-1 by unfold-locales

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-mult-left-cancel: (poly (p *** q) = poly (p *** r))
= (poly p = poly [] | poly q = poly r)
proof –
  have poly (p *** q) = poly (p *** r)  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly (p *** q +++ -- (p *** r)) =
poly [] by (simp only: poly-add-minus-zero-iff)
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  poly p = poly [] | poly q = poly r
  by (auto intro: ext simp add: poly-add-minus-mult-eq poly-entire poly-add-minus-zero-iff)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in recpower-idom) poly-exp-eq-zero[simp]:
  (poly (p % ^ n) = poly []) = (poly p = poly [] & n  $\neq$  0)
apply (simp only: fun-eq add: all-simps [symmetric])
apply (rule arg-cong [where f = All])
apply (rule ext)
apply (induct n)
apply (auto simp add: poly-exp poly-mult)
done

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) one-neq-zero[simp]: 1  $\neq$  0 using zero-neq-one by blast
lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-prime-eq-zero[simp]: poly [a,1]  $\neq$  poly []
apply (simp add: fun-eq)
apply (rule-tac x = minus one a in exI)
apply (unfold diff-minus)
apply (subst add-commute)
apply (subst add-assoc)
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma (in recpower-idom) poly-exp-prime-eq-zero: (poly ([a, 1] % ^ n)  $\neq$  poly [])
by auto

```

A more constructive notion of polynomials being trivial

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-zero-lemma': poly (h # t) = poly [] ==> h = 0 &
poly t = poly []
apply (simp add: fun-eq)
apply (case-tac h = zero)
apply (drule-tac [2] x = zero in spec, auto)
apply (cases poly t = poly [], simp)
proof –
  fix x
  assume H:  $\forall x. x = (0::'a) \vee \text{poly } t \ x = (0::'a)$  and pnz: poly t  $\neq$  poly []
  let ?S = {x. poly t x = 0}
  from H have  $\forall x. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{poly } t \ x = 0$  by blast
  hence th: ?S  $\supseteq$  UNIV – {0} by auto
  from poly-roots-finite pnz have th': finite ?S by blast
  from finite-subset[OF th th'] UNIV-ring-char-0-infinte
  show poly t x = (0::'a) by simp
qed

```



```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-zero: (poly p = poly []) = list-all (%c. c = 0) p
apply (induct p, simp)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (drule poly-zero-lemma', auto)
done

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-0: list-all ( $\lambda c. c = 0$ ) p  $\implies$  poly p x = 0
  unfolding poly-zero[symmetric] by simp

```

Basics of divisibility.

```

lemma (in idom) poly-primes: ([a, 1] divides (p *** q)) = ([a, 1] divides p | [a, 1] divides q)
apply (auto simp add: divides-def fun-eq poly-mult poly-add poly-cmult left-distrib [symmetric])
apply (drule-tac x = uminus a in spec)
apply (simp add: poly-linear-divides poly-add poly-cmult left-distrib [symmetric])
apply (cases p = [])
apply (rule exI[where x=[]])
apply simp
apply (cases q = [])
apply (erule allE[where x=[]], simp)

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply (cases  $\exists a \text{ list. } p = a \%* q +++ ((0::'a) \# q)$ )
apply (clarsimp simp add: poly-add poly-cmult)
apply (rule-tac x=qa in exI)
apply (simp add: left-distrib [symmetric])
apply clarsimp

```

```

apply (auto simp add: right-minus poly-linear-divides poly-add poly-cmult left-distrib [symmetric])
apply (rule-tac x = pmult qa q in exI)
apply (rule-tac [2] x = pmult p qa in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-add poly-mult poly-cmult mult-ac)
done

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) poly-divides-refl[simp]: p divides p
apply (simp add: divides-def)
apply (rule-tac x = [one] in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-mult fun-eq)
done

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) poly-divides-trans: [p divides q; q divides r]  $\implies$  p divides r
apply (simp add: divides-def, safe)
apply (rule-tac x = pmult qa qaa in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-mult fun-eq mult-assoc)
done

```

```

lemma (in recpower-comm-semiring-1) poly-divides-exp:  $m \leq n \implies (p \% ^ n)$ 
(p \% ^ n)
apply (auto simp add: le-iff-add)
apply (induct-tac k)
apply (rule-tac [2] poly-divides-trans)
apply (auto simp add: divides-def)
apply (rule-tac x = p in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-mult fun-eq mult-ac)
done

```

```

lemma (in recpower-comm-semiring-1) poly-exp-divides:  $[(p \% ^ n) \text{ divides } q;$ 
 $m \leq n] \implies (p \% ^ m) \text{ divides } q$ 
by (blast intro: poly-divides-exp poly-divides-trans)

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-0) poly-divides-add:
 $[(p \text{ divides } q; p \text{ divides } r)] \implies p \text{ divides } (q +++ r)$ 
apply (simp add: divides-def, auto)
apply (rule-tac x = padd qa qaa in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-add fun-eq poly-mult right-distrib)
done

```

```

lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-divides-diff:
 $[(p \text{ divides } q; p \text{ divides } (q +++ r))] \implies p \text{ divides } r$ 
apply (simp add: divides-def, auto)
apply (rule-tac x = padd qaa (poly-minus qa) in exI)
apply (auto simp add: poly-add fun-eq poly-mult poly-minus right-diff-distrib compare-rls
add-ac)
done

```

```

lemma (in comm-ring-1) poly-divides-diff2:  $[(p \text{ divides } r; p \text{ divides } (q +++ r))] \implies p \text{ divides } q$ 
apply (erule poly-divides-diff)
apply (auto simp add: poly-add fun-eq poly-mult divides-def add-ac)
done

```

```

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-divides-zero:  $\text{poly } p = \text{poly } [] \implies q \text{ divides } p$ 
apply (simp add: divides-def)
apply (rule exI[where x=[]])
apply (auto simp add: fun-eq poly-mult)
done

```

```

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-divides-zero2[simp]:  $q \text{ divides } []$ 
apply (simp add: divides-def)
apply (rule-tac x = [] in exI)
apply (auto simp add: fun-eq)
done

```

At last, we can consider the order of a root.

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-order-exists-lemma:
  assumes lp: length p = d and p: poly p ≠ poly []
  shows ∃ n q. p = mulexp n [-a, 1] q ∧ poly q a ≠ 0
using lp p
proof(induct d arbitrary: p)
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n p)
  {assume p0: poly p a = 0
   from Suc.premis have h: length p = Suc n poly p ≠ poly [] by blast
   hence pN: p ≠ [] by - (rule ccontr, simp)
   from p0[unfolded poly-linear-divides] pN obtain q where
     q: p = [-a, 1] *** q by blast
   from q h p0 have qh: length q = n poly q ≠ poly []
   apply -
   apply simp
   apply (simp only: fun-eq)
   apply (rule ccontr)
   apply (simp add: fun-eq poly-add poly-cmult minus-mult-left[symmetric])
   done
   from Suc.hyps[OF qh] obtain m r where
     mr: q = mulexp m [-a,1] r poly r a ≠ 0 by blast
   from mr q have p = mulexp (Suc m) [-a,1] r ∧ poly r a ≠ 0 by simp
   hence ?case by blast}
  moreover
  {assume p0: poly p a ≠ 0
   hence ?case using Suc.premis apply simp by (rule exI[where x=0::nat],
simp)}
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

```

lemma (in recpower-comm-semiring-1) poly-mulexp: poly (mulexp n p q) x = (poly
p x) ^ n * poly q x
by(induct n, auto simp add: poly-mult power-Suc mult-ac)

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) divides-left-mult:
  assumes d:(p***q) divides r shows p divides r ∧ q divides r
proof-
  from d obtain t where r:poly r = poly (p***q *** t)
  unfolding divides-def by blast
  hence poly r = poly (p *** (q *** t))
  poly r = poly (q *** (p***t)) by(auto simp add: fun-eq poly-mult mult-ac)
  thus ?thesis unfolding divides-def by blast
qed

```

```

lemma (in recpower-semiring-1)
  zero-power-iff:  $0 \wedge n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
  by (induct n, simp-all add: power-Suc)

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) poly-order-exists:
  assumes lp: length p = d and p0: poly p  $\neq$  poly []
  shows  $\exists n. ([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n) \text{ divides } p \ \& \ \sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } n)) \text{ divides } p)$ 
proof –
let ?poly = poly
let ?mulexp = mulexp
let ?pexp = pexp
from lp p0
show ?thesis
apply –
apply (drule poly-order-exists-lemma [where a=a], assumption, clarify)
apply (rule-tac x = n in exI, safe)
apply (unfold divides-def)
apply (rule-tac x = q in exI)
apply (induct-tac n, simp)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp) add: poly-add poly-cmult poly-mult right-distrib mult-ac)
apply safe
apply (subgoal-tac ?poly (?mulexp n [uminus a, one] q)  $\neq$  ?poly (pmult (?pexp
  [uminus a, one] (Suc n)) qa))
apply simp
apply (induct-tac n)
apply (simp del: pmult-Cons pexp-Suc)
apply (erule-tac Q = ?poly q a = zero in contrapos-np)
apply (simp add: poly-add poly-cmult minus-mult-left[symmetric])
apply (rule pexp-Suc [THEN ssubst])
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (simp add: poly-mult-left-cancel poly-mult-assoc del: pmult-Cons pexp-Suc)
done
qed

lemma (in semiring-1) poly-one-divides[simp]: [1] divides p
by (simp add: divides-def, auto)

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) poly-order: poly p  $\neq$  poly []
   $\implies \exists n. ([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n) \text{ divides } p \ \& \ \sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } n)) \text{ divides } p)$ 
apply (auto intro: poly-order-exists simp add: less-linear simp del: pmult-Cons
  pexp-Suc)
apply (cut-tac x = y and y = n in less-linear)
apply (drule-tac m = n in poly-exp-divides)
apply (auto dest: Suc-le-eq [THEN iffD2, THEN [2] poly-exp-divides]
  simp del: pmult-Cons pexp-Suc)
done

```

Order

lemma *some1-equalityD*: $[[n = (@n. P\ n); EX! n. P\ n]] ==> P\ n$
by (*blast intro: someI2*)

lemma (*in recpower-idom-char-0*) *order*:

$(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n) \text{ divides } p \ \& \sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (Suc\ n)) \text{ divides } p)) =$
 $((n = \text{order } a\ p) \ \& \sim(\text{poly } p = \text{poly } []))$

apply (*unfold order-def*)

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*blast dest: poly-divides-zero intro!: some1-equality [symmetric] poly-order*)

apply (*blast intro!: poly-order [THEN [2] some1-equalityD]*)

done

lemma (*in recpower-idom-char-0*) *order2*: $[[\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } []]]$

$==> ([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (\text{order } a\ p)) \text{ divides } p \ \& \sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (Suc(\text{order } a\ p))) \text{ divides } p)$

by (*simp add: order del: pexp-Suc*)

lemma (*in recpower-idom-char-0*) *order-unique*: $[[\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } []]; [-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n) \text{ divides } p;$

$\sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (Suc\ n)) \text{ divides } p)$

$]] ==> (n = \text{order } a\ p)$

by (*insert order [of a n p], auto*)

lemma (*in recpower-idom-char-0*) *order-unique-lemma*: $(\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } [] \ \& \ ([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n) \text{ divides } p \ \&$

$\sim(([-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (Suc\ n)) \text{ divides } p))$

$==> (n = \text{order } a\ p)$

by (*blast intro: order-unique*)

lemma (*in ring-1*) *order-poly*: $\text{poly } p = \text{poly } q ==> \text{order } a\ p = \text{order } a\ q$

by (*auto simp add: fun-eq divides-def poly-mult order-def*)

lemma (*in semiring-1*) *pexp-one[simp]*: $p \%^{\wedge} (Suc\ 0) = p$

apply (*induct p*)

apply (*auto simp add: numeral-1-eq-1*)

done

lemma (*in comm-ring-1*) *lemma-order-root*:

$0 < n \ \& \ [-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} n \text{ divides } p \ \& \sim [-a, 1] \%^{\wedge} (Suc\ n) \text{ divides } p$

$\implies \text{poly } p\ a = 0$

apply (*induct n arbitrary: a p, blast*)

apply (*auto simp add: divides-def poly-mult simp del: pmult-Cons*)

done

lemma (*in recpower-idom-char-0*) *order-root*: $(\text{poly } p\ a = 0) = ((\text{poly } p = \text{poly } []) \mid \text{order } a\ p \neq 0)$

proof–

```

let ?poly = poly
show ?thesis
apply (case-tac ?poly p = ?poly [], auto)
apply (simp add: poly-linear-divides del: pmult-Cons, safe)
apply (drule-tac [!] a = a in order2)
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (simp add: divides-def poly-mult fun-eq del: pmult-Cons, blast)
using neq0-conv
apply (blast intro: lemma-order-root)
done
qed

```

```

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) order-divides: (([-a, 1] % ^ n) divides p) =
((poly p = poly []) | n ≤ order a p)
proof -
  let ?poly = poly
  show ?thesis
  apply (case-tac ?poly p = ?poly [], auto)
  apply (simp add: divides-def fun-eq poly-mult)
  apply (rule-tac x = [] in exI)
  apply (auto dest!: order2 [where a=a]
    intro: poly-exp-divides simp del: pexp-Suc)
done
qed

```

```

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) order-decomp:
  poly p ≠ poly []
  ==> ∃ q. (poly p = poly ([-a, 1] % ^ (order a p)) *** q) &
    ~([-a, 1] divides q)
  apply (unfold divides-def)
  apply (drule order2 [where a = a])
  apply (simp add: divides-def del: pexp-Suc pmult-Cons, safe)
  apply (rule-tac x = q in exI, safe)
  apply (drule-tac x = qa in spec)
  apply (auto simp add: poly-mult fun-eq poly-exp mult-ac simp del: pmult-Cons)
done

```

Important composition properties of orders.

```

lemma order-mult: poly (p *** q) ≠ poly []
  ==> order a (p *** q) = order a p + order (a::'a::{recpower-idom-char-0})
  q
  apply (cut-tac a = a and p = p *** q and n = order a p + order a q in order)
  apply (auto simp add: poly-entire simp del: pmult-Cons)
  apply (drule-tac a = a in order2)+
  apply safe
  apply (simp add: divides-def fun-eq poly-exp-add poly-mult del: pmult-Cons, safe)
  apply (rule-tac x = qa *** qaa in exI)
  apply (simp add: poly-mult mult-ac del: pmult-Cons)
  apply (drule-tac a = a in order-decomp)+

```

```

apply safe
apply (subgoal-tac  $[-a, 1]$  divides ( $qa *** qaa$ ) )
apply (simp add: poly-primes del: pmult-Cons)
apply (auto simp add: divides-def simp del: pmult-Cons)
apply (rule-tac  $x = qb$  in exI)
apply (subgoal-tac poly ( $[-a, 1] \% ^{(order\ a\ p) *** (qa *** qaa)} = poly\ ([-a,$ 
 $1] \% ^{(order\ a\ p) *** ([-a, 1] *** qb))$ )
apply (drule poly-mult-left-cancel [THEN iffD1], force)
apply (subgoal-tac poly ( $[-a, 1] \% ^{(order\ a\ q) *** ([-a, 1] \% ^{(order\ a\ p) ***$ 
 $(qa *** qaa))) = poly\ ([-a, 1] \% ^{(order\ a\ q) *** ([-a, 1] \% ^{(order\ a\ p) ***$ 
 $([-a, 1] *** qb))$ ) )
apply (drule poly-mult-left-cancel [THEN iffD1], force)
apply (simp add: fun-eq poly-exp-add poly-mult mult-ac del: pmult-Cons)
done

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) order-mult:
  assumes  $pq0: poly\ (p *** q) \neq poly\ []$ 
  shows  $order\ a\ (p *** q) = order\ a\ p + order\ a\ q$ 
proof–
  let  $?order = order$ 
  let  $?divides = op\ divides$ 
  let  $?poly = poly$ 
from  $pq0$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
apply (cut-tac  $a = a$  and  $p = pmult\ p\ q$  and  $n = ?order\ a\ p + ?order\ a\ q$  in
order)
apply (auto simp add: poly-entire simp del: pmult-Cons)
apply (drule-tac  $a = a$  in order2)+
apply safe
apply (simp add: divides-def fun-eq poly-exp-add poly-mult del: pmult-Cons, safe)
apply (rule-tac  $x = pmult\ qa\ qaa$  in exI)
apply (simp add: poly-mult mult-ac del: pmult-Cons)
apply (drule-tac  $a = a$  in order-decomp)+
apply safe
apply (subgoal-tac  $?divides\ [uminus\ a, one]\ (pmult\ qa\ qaa)$  )
apply (simp add: poly-primes del: pmult-Cons)
apply (auto simp add: divides-def simp del: pmult-Cons)
apply (rule-tac  $x = qb$  in exI)
apply (subgoal-tac  $?poly\ (pmult\ (pexp\ [uminus\ a, one]\ (?order\ a\ p))\ (pmult\ qa$ 
 $qaa)) = ?poly\ (pmult\ (pexp\ [uminus\ a, one]\ (?order\ a\ p))\ (pmult\ [uminus\ a, one]$ 
 $qb))$ )
apply (drule poly-mult-left-cancel [THEN iffD1], force)
apply (subgoal-tac  $?poly\ (pmult\ (pexp\ [uminus\ a, one]\ (order\ a\ q))\ (pmult\ (pexp$ 
 $[uminus\ a, one]\ (order\ a\ p))\ (pmult\ qa\ qaa))) = ?poly\ (pmult\ (pexp\ [uminus\ a,$ 
 $one]\ (order\ a\ q))\ (pmult\ (pexp\ [uminus\ a, one]\ (order\ a\ p))\ (pmult\ [uminus\ a, one]$ 
 $qb))$ ) )
apply (drule poly-mult-left-cancel [THEN iffD1], force)
apply (simp add: fun-eq poly-exp-add poly-mult mult-ac del: pmult-Cons)
done

```

qed

lemma (in *recpower-idom-char-0*) *order-root2*: $\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } [] \implies (\text{poly } p \text{ a} = 0) = (\text{order } a \text{ p} \neq 0)$
by (rule *order-root* [THEN *ssubst*], *auto*)

lemma (in *semiring-1*) *pmult-one[simp]*: $[1] *** p = p$ **by** *auto*

lemma (in *semiring-0*) *poly-Nil-zero*: $\text{poly } [] = \text{poly } [0]$
by (*simp add: fun-eq*)

lemma (in *recpower-idom-char-0*) *rsquarefree-decomp*:
 $[\text{rsquarefree } p; \text{poly } p \text{ a} = 0] \implies \exists q. (\text{poly } p = \text{poly } ([-a, 1] *** q)) \ \& \ \text{poly } q \text{ a} \neq 0$
apply (*simp add: rsquarefree-def, safe*)
apply (*frule-tac a = a in order-decomp*)
apply (*drule-tac x = a in spec*)
apply (*drule-tac a = a in order-root2 [symmetric]*)
apply (*auto simp del: pmult-Cons*)
apply (*rule-tac x = q in exI, safe*)
apply (*simp add: poly-mult fun-eq*)
apply (*drule-tac p1 = q in poly-linear-divides [THEN iffD1]*)
apply (*simp add: divides-def del: pmult-Cons, safe*)
apply (*drule-tac x = [] in spec*)
apply (*auto simp add: fun-eq*)
done

Normalization of a polynomial.

lemma (in *semiring-0*) *poly-normalize[simp]*: $\text{poly } (\text{pnormalize } p) = \text{poly } p$
apply (*induct p*)
apply (*auto simp add: fun-eq*)
done

The degree of a polynomial.

lemma (in *semiring-0*) *lemma-degree-zero*:
 $\text{list-all } (\%c. c = 0) \text{ p} \longleftrightarrow \text{pnormalize } p = []$
by (*induct p, auto*)

lemma (in *idom-char-0*) *degree-zero*:
assumes *pN*: $\text{poly } p = \text{poly } []$ **shows** *degree* *p* = 0
proof–
let *?pn* = *pnormalize*
from *pN*
show *?thesis*
apply (*simp add: degree-def*)
apply (*case-tac ?pn p = []*)
apply (*auto simp add: poly-zero lemma-degree-zero*)
done
qed


```

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormalize-sing: (pnormalize [x] = [x])  $\longleftrightarrow$   $x \neq 0$  by
simp
lemma (in semiring-0) pnormalize-pair:  $y \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow$  (pnormalize [x, y] = [x, y])
by simp
lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-cons: pnormal p  $\implies$  pnormal (c#p)
  unfolding pnormal-def by simp
lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-tail:  $p \neq [] \implies$  pnormal (c#p)  $\implies$  pnormal p
  unfolding pnormal-def
  apply (cases pnormalize p = [], auto)
  by (cases c = 0, auto)

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-last-nonzero: pnormal p  $\implies$  last p  $\neq 0$ 
proof(induct p)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp add: pnormal-def)
next
  case (Cons a as) thus ?case
    apply (simp add: pnormal-def)
    apply (cases pnormalize as = [], simp-all)
    apply (cases as = [], simp-all)
    apply (cases a=0, simp-all)
    apply (cases a=0, simp-all)
    done
qed

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-length: pnormal p  $\implies$   $0 < \text{length } p$ 
  unfolding pnormal-def length-greater-0-conv by blast

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-last-length:  $[0 < \text{length } p ; \text{last } p \neq 0] \implies$  pnormal p
  apply (induct p, auto)
  apply (case-tac p = [], auto)
  apply (simp add: pnormal-def)
  by (rule pnormal-cons, auto)

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormal-id: pnormal p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $0 < \text{length } p \wedge \text{last } p \neq 0$ )
  using pnormal-last-length pnormal-length pnormal-last-nonzero by blast

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-Cons-eq: poly (c#cs) = poly (d#ds)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $c=d \wedge$ 
poly cs = poly ds (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume eq: ?lhs
  hence  $\bigwedge x. \text{poly } ((c\#cs) +++ -- (d\#ds)) x = 0$ 
    by (simp only: poly-minus poly-add ring-simps) simp
  hence poly ((c#cs) +++ -- (d#ds)) = poly [] by - (rule ext, simp)
  hence  $c = d \wedge \text{list-all } (\lambda x. x=0) ((cs +++ -- ds))$ 
    unfolding poly-zero by (simp add: poly-minus-def ring-simps minus-mult-left[symmetric])
  hence  $c = d \wedge (\forall x. \text{poly } (cs +++ -- ds) x = 0)$ 

```

```

    unfolding poly-zero[symmetric] by simp
    thus ?rhs apply (simp add: poly-minus poly-add ring-simps) apply (rule ext,
simp) done
next
    assume ?rhs then show ?lhs by - (rule ext,simp)
qed

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) pnormalize-unique: poly p = poly q  $\implies$  pnormalize p =
pnormalize q
proof(induct q arbitrary: p)
  case Nil thus ?case by (simp only: poly-zero lemma-degree-zero) simp
next
  case (Cons c cs p)
  thus ?case
  proof(induct p)
    case Nil
    hence poly [] = poly (c#cs) by blast
    then have poly (c#cs) = poly [] by simp
    thus ?case by (simp only: poly-zero lemma-degree-zero) simp
  next
    case (Cons d ds)
    hence eq: poly (d # ds) = poly (c # cs) by blast
    hence eq':  $\bigwedge x.$  poly (d # ds) x = poly (c # cs) x by simp
    hence poly (d # ds) 0 = poly (c # cs) 0 by blast
    hence dc: d = c by auto
    with eq have poly ds = poly cs
    unfolding poly-Cons-eq by simp
    with Cons.prem have pnormalize ds = pnormalize cs by blast
    with dc show ?case by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in idom-char-0) degree-unique: assumes pq: poly p = poly q
  shows degree p = degree q
using pnormalize-unique[OF pq] unfolding degree-def by simp

```

```

lemma (in semiring-0) pnormalize-length: length (pnormalize p)  $\leq$  length p by
(induct p, auto)

```

```

lemma (in semiring-0) last-linear-mul-lemma:
  last ((a %* p) +++ (x#(b %* p))) = (if p=[] then x else b*last p)

```

```

apply (induct p arbitrary: a x b, auto)
apply (subgoal-tac padd (cmult aa p) (times b a # cmult b p)  $\neq$  [], simp)
apply (induct-tac p, auto)
done

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) last-linear-mul: assumes p:p $\neq$ [] shows last ([a,1] *** p)
= last p

```

proof–

from p **obtain** $c\ cs$ **where** $cs: p = c\#cs$ **by** (*cases* p , *auto*)
 from cs **have** $eq:[a,1] *** p = (a \%* (c\#cs)) +++ (0\#(1 \%* (c\#cs)))$
 by (*simp add: poly-cmult-distr*)
 show ?thesis **using** cs
 unfolding eq *last-linear-mul-lemma* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma (*in semiring-0*) *pnormalize-eq: last* $p \neq 0 \implies pnormalize\ p = p$
 apply (*induct* p , *auto*)
 apply (*case-tac* p , *auto*)
 done

lemma (*in semiring-0*) *last-pnormalize: pnormalize* $p \neq [] \implies last\ (pnormalize\ p) \neq 0$
 by (*induct* p , *auto*)

lemma (*in semiring-0*) *pnormal-degree: last* $p \neq 0 \implies degree\ p = length\ p - 1$
 using *pnormalize-eq[of p]* **unfolding** *degree-def* **by** *simp*

lemma (*in semiring-0*) *poly-Nil-ext: poly* $[] = (\lambda x. 0)$ **by** (*rule ext*) *simp*

lemma (*in idom-char-0*) *linear-mul-degree: assumes* $p: poly\ p \neq poly\ []$
 shows $degree\ ([a,1] *** p) = degree\ p + 1$

proof–

from p **have** $pnz: pnormalize\ p \neq []$
 unfolding *poly-zero lemma-degree-zero* .

from *last-linear-mul[OF pnz, of a]* *last-pnormalize[OF pnz]*
 have $l0: last\ ([a, 1] *** pnormalize\ p) \neq 0$ **by** *simp*
 from *last-pnormalize[OF pnz]* *last-linear-mul[OF pnz, of a]*
 pnormal-degree $[OF\ l0]$ *pnormal-degree* $[OF\ last-pnormalize[OF\ pnz]]$ pnz

have $th: degree\ ([a,1] *** pnormalize\ p) = degree\ (pnormalize\ p) + 1$
 by (*auto simp add: poly-length-mult*)

have $eqs: poly\ ([a,1] *** pnormalize\ p) = poly\ ([a,1] *** p)$
 by (*rule ext*) (*simp add: poly-mult poly-add poly-cmult*)
 from *degree-unique[OF eqs]* th
 show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: degree-unique[OF poly-normalize]*)

qed

lemma (*in idom-char-0*) *linear-pow-mul-degree:*
 $degree([a,1] \% ^n *** p) = (if\ poly\ p = poly\ []\ then\ 0\ else\ degree\ p + n)$
proof(*induct* n *arbitrary: a p*)
 case ($0\ a\ p$)
 {**assume** $p: poly\ p = poly\ []$
 hence ?case **using** *degree-unique[OF p]* **by** (*simp add: degree-def*)}

```

moreover
{assume  $p$ :  $\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } []$  hence ?case by (auto simp add: poly-Nil-ext) }
ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (Suc  $n$   $a$   $p$ )
have eq:  $\text{poly } ([a,1] \% ^n (\text{Suc } n) *** p) = \text{poly } ([a,1] \% ^n *** ([a,1] *** p))$ 
  apply (rule ext, simp add: poly-mult poly-add poly-cmult)
  by (simp add: mult-ac add-ac right-distrib)
note deg = degree-unique[OF eq]
{assume  $p$ :  $\text{poly } p = \text{poly } []$ 
  with eq have eq':  $\text{poly } ([a,1] \% ^n (\text{Suc } n) *** p) = \text{poly } []$ 
  by - (rule ext, simp add: poly-mult poly-cmult poly-add)
  from degree-unique[OF eq']  $p$  have ?case by (simp add: degree-def)}
moreover
{assume  $p$ :  $\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } []$ 
  from  $p$  have ap:  $\text{poly } ([a,1] *** p) \neq \text{poly } []$ 
  using poly-mult-not-eq-poly-Nil unfolding poly-entire by auto
  have eq:  $\text{poly } ([a,1] \% ^n (\text{Suc } n) *** p) = \text{poly } ([a,1] \% ^n *** ([a,1] *** p))$ 
  by (rule ext, simp add: poly-mult poly-add poly-exp poly-cmult mult-ac add-ac
right-distrib)
  from ap have ap':  $(\text{poly } ([a,1] *** p) = \text{poly } []) = \text{False}$  by blast
  have th0:  $\text{degree } ([a,1] \% ^n *** ([a,1] *** p)) = \text{degree } ([a,1] *** p) + n$ 
  apply (simp only: Suc.hyps[of a pmult [a,one] p] ap')
  by simp

  from degree-unique[OF eq] ap  $p$  th0 linear-mul-degree[OF p, of a]
  have ?case by (auto simp del: poly.simps)}
ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

lemma (in recpower-idom-char-0) order-degree:

assumes $p0$: $\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } []$
shows $\text{order } a \leq \text{degree } p$

proof—

```

from order2[OF  $p0$ , unfolded divides-def]
obtain  $q$  where  $q$ :  $\text{poly } p = \text{poly } ([- a, 1] \% ^n (\text{order } a \ p) *** q)$  by blast
{assume  $\text{poly } q = \text{poly } []$ 
  with  $q \ p0$  have False by (simp add: poly-mult poly-entire)}
with degree-unique[OF  $q$ , unfolded linear-pow-mul-degree]
show ?thesis by auto

```

qed

Tidier versions of finiteness of roots.

lemma (in idom-char-0) poly-roots-finite-set: $\text{poly } p \neq \text{poly } [] \implies \text{finite } \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$

unfolding poly-roots-finite .

bound for polynomial.

lemma poly-mono: $\text{abs}(x) \leq k \implies \text{abs}(\text{poly } p \ (x::'a::\{\text{ordered-idom}\})) \leq \text{poly } (\text{map } \text{abs } p) \ k$

```

apply (induct p, auto)
apply (rule-tac y = abs a + abs (x * poly p x) in order-trans)
apply (rule abs-triangle-ineq)
apply (auto intro!: mult-mono simp add: abs-mult)
done

```

```

lemma (in semiring-0) poly-Sing: poly [c] x = c by simp

end

```

53 While-Combinator: A general “while” combinator

```

theory While-Combinator
imports Main
begin

```

We define the while combinator as the “mother of all tail recursive functions”.

```

function (tailrec) while :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  while-unfold[simp del]: while b c s = (if b s then while b c (c s) else s)
by auto

```

```

declare while-unfold[code]

```

```

lemma def-while-unfold:
  assumes fdef: f == while test do
  shows f x = (if test x then f(do x) else x)
proof –
  have f x = while test do x using fdef by simp
  also have  $\dots$  = (if test x then while test do (do x) else x)
    by(rule while-unfold)
  also have  $\dots$  = (if test x then f(do x) else x) by(simp add:fdef[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

The proof rule for *while*, where *P* is the invariant.

```

theorem while-rule-lemma:
  assumes invariant:  $!!s. P\ s \implies b\ s \implies P\ (c\ s)$ 
  and terminate:  $!!s. P\ s \implies \neg b\ s \implies Q\ s$ 
  and wf: wf  $\{(t, s). P\ s \wedge b\ s \wedge t = c\ s\}$ 
  shows  $P\ s \implies Q\ (while\ b\ c\ s)$ 
  using wf
  apply (induct s)
  apply simp
  apply (subst while-unfold)

```

apply (*simp add: invariant terminate*)
done

theorem *while-rule*:

$\llbracket P \ s; \quad$
 $\quad \text{!!}s. \llbracket P \ s; \ b \ s \ \rrbracket \implies P \ (c \ s);$
 $\quad \text{!!}s. \llbracket P \ s; \ \neg \ b \ s \ \rrbracket \implies Q \ s;$
 $\quad \text{wf } r;$
 $\quad \text{!!}s. \llbracket P \ s; \ b \ s \ \rrbracket \implies (c \ s, \ s) \in r \ \rrbracket \implies$
 $Q \ (\text{while } b \ c \ s)$
apply (*rule while-rule-lemma*)
prefer 4 **apply** *assumption*
apply *blast*
apply *blast*
apply (*erule wf-subset*)
apply *blast*
done

An application: computation of the *lfp* on finite sets via iteration.

theorem *lfp-conv-while*:

$\llbracket \text{mono } f; \text{ finite } U; f \ U = \ U \ \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lfp } f = \text{fst } (\text{while } (\lambda(A, fA). A \neq fA) (\lambda(A, fA). (fA, f \ fA)) (\{\}, f \ \{\}))$
apply (*rule-tac* $P = \lambda(A, B). (A \subseteq U \wedge B = f \ A \wedge A \subseteq B \wedge B \subseteq \text{lfp } f)$ **and**
 $r = ((\text{Pow } U \times \text{UNIV}) \times (\text{Pow } U \times \text{UNIV})) \cap$
 $\text{inv-image finite-psubset } (op - U \ o \ \text{fst})$ **in** *while-rule*)
apply (*subst lfp-unfold*)
apply *assumption*
apply (*simp add: monoD*)
apply (*subst lfp-unfold*)
apply *assumption*
apply *clarsimp*
apply (*blast dest: monoD*)
apply (*fastsimp intro!: lfp-lowerbound*)
apply (*blast intro: wf-finite-psubset Int-lower2 [THEN [2] wf-subset]*)
apply (*clarsimp simp add: finite-psubset-def order-less-le*)
apply (*blast intro!: finite-Diff dest: monoD*)
done

An example of using the *while* combinator.

Cannot use *set-eq-subset* because it leads to looping because the anti-symmetry *simproc* turns the subset relationship back into equality.

theorem $P \ (\text{lfp } (\lambda N::\text{int set}. \{0\} \cup \{(n + 2) \bmod 6 \mid n. n \in N\})) =$
 $P \ \{0, 4, 2\}$

proof –

have *seteq*: $\text{!!}A \ B. (A = B) = ((!a : A. a:B) \ \& \ (!b:B. b:A))$
by *blast*
have *aux*: $\text{!!}f \ A \ B. \{f \ n \mid n. A \ n \vee B \ n\} = \{f \ n \mid n. A \ n\} \cup \{f \ n \mid n. B \ n\}$
apply *blast*

```

done
show ?thesis
  apply (subst lfp-conv-while [where ?U = {0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5}])
    apply (rule monoI)
    apply blast
    apply simp
  apply (simp add: aux set-eq-subset)

  The fixpoint computation is performed purely by rewriting:

  apply (simp add: while-unfold aux seteq del: subset-empty)
done
qed
end

```

54 Word: Binary Words

```

theory Word
imports List
begin

```

54.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```

lemma max-le [intro!]: [| x ≤ z; y ≤ z |] ==> max x y ≤ z
  by (simp add: max-def)

```

```

lemma max-mono:
  fixes x :: 'a::linorder
  assumes mf: mono f
  shows      max (f x) (f y) ≤ f (max x y)
proof -
  from mf and le-maxI1 [of x y]
  have fx: f x ≤ f (max x y) by (rule monoD)
  from mf and le-maxI2 [of y x]
  have fy: f y ≤ f (max x y) by (rule monoD)
  from fx and fy
  show max (f x) (f y) ≤ f (max x y) by auto
qed

```

```

declare zero-le-power [intro]
and zero-less-power [intro]

```

```

lemma int-nat-two-exp: 2 ^ k = int (2 ^ k)
  by (simp add: zpower-int [symmetric])

```

54.2 Bits

```

datatype bit =

```

Zero (**0**)
 | One (**1**)

primrec

bitval :: *bit* => *nat*

where

bitval **0** = 0
 | *bitval* **1** = 1

consts

bitnot :: *bit* => *bit*
bitand :: *bit* => *bit* => *bit* (**infixr** *bitand* 35)
bitor :: *bit* => *bit* => *bit* (**infixr** *bitor* 30)
bitxor :: *bit* => *bit* => *bit* (**infixr** *bitxor* 30)

notation (*xsymbols*)

bitnot (\neg_b - [40] 40) **and**
bitand (**infixr** \wedge_b 35) **and**
bitor (**infixr** \vee_b 30) **and**
bitxor (**infixr** \oplus_b 30)

notation (*HTML output*)

bitnot (\neg_b - [40] 40) **and**
bitand (**infixr** \wedge_b 35) **and**
bitor (**infixr** \vee_b 30) **and**
bitxor (**infixr** \oplus_b 30)

primrec

bitnot-zero: (*bitnot* **0**) = **1**
bitnot-one : (*bitnot* **1**) = **0**

primrec

bitand-zero: (**0** *bitand* *y*) = **0**
bitand-one: (**1** *bitand* *y*) = *y*

primrec

bitor-zero: (**0** *bitor* *y*) = *y*
bitor-one: (**1** *bitor* *y*) = **1**

primrec

bitxor-zero: (**0** *bitxor* *y*) = *y*
bitxor-one: (**1** *bitxor* *y*) = (*bitnot* *y*)

lemma *bitnot-bitnot* [*simp*]: (*bitnot* (*bitnot* *b*)) = *b*
by (*cases b*) *simp-all*

lemma *bitand-cancel* [*simp*]: (*b bitand b*) = *b*
by (*cases b*) *simp-all*

lemma *bitor-cancel* [*simp*]: $(b \text{ bitor } b) = b$
by (*cases b*) *simp-all*

lemma *bitxor-cancel* [*simp*]: $(b \text{ bitxor } b) = 0$
by (*cases b*) *simp-all*

54.3 Bit Vectors

First, a couple of theorems expressing case analysis and induction principles for bit vectors.

lemma *bit-list-cases*:
assumes *empty*: $w = [] \implies P \ w$
and *zero*: $!!bs. w = 0 \ \# \ bs \implies P \ w$
and *one*: $!!bs. w = 1 \ \# \ bs \implies P \ w$
shows $P \ w$
proof (*cases w*)
assume $w = []$
thus ?thesis **by** (*rule empty*)
next
fix $b \ bs$
assume [*simp*]: $w = b \ \# \ bs$
show $P \ w$
proof (*cases b*)
assume $b = 0$
hence $w = 0 \ \# \ bs$ **by** *simp*
thus ?thesis **by** (*rule zero*)
next
assume $b = 1$
hence $w = 1 \ \# \ bs$ **by** *simp*
thus ?thesis **by** (*rule one*)
qed
qed

lemma *bit-list-induct*:
assumes *empty*: $P \ []$
and *zero*: $!!bs. P \ bs \implies P \ (0 \ \# \ bs)$
and *one*: $!!bs. P \ bs \implies P \ (1 \ \# \ bs)$
shows $P \ w$
proof (*induct w, simp-all add: empty*)
fix $b \ bs$
assume $P \ bs$
then show $P \ (b \ \# \ bs)$
by (*cases b*) (*auto intro!: zero one*)
qed

definition

bv-msb :: *bit list* \Rightarrow *bit* **where**
bv-msb $w = (\text{if } w = [] \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{hd } w)$

definition

$bv_extend :: [nat, bit, bit\ list] \Rightarrow bit\ list$ **where**
 $bv_extend\ i\ b\ w = (replicate\ (i - length\ w)\ b) @ w$

definition

$bv_not :: bit\ list \Rightarrow bit\ list$ **where**
 $bv_not\ w = map\ bitnot\ w$

lemma bv_length_extend $[simp]$: $length\ w \leq i \Rightarrow length\ (bv_extend\ i\ b\ w) = i$
by ($simp\ add:$ bv_extend_def)

lemma bv_not_Nil $[simp]$: $bv_not\ [] = []$
by ($simp\ add:$ bv_not_def)

lemma bv_not_Cons $[simp]$: $bv_not\ (b \# bs) = (bitnot\ b) \# bv_not\ bs$
by ($simp\ add:$ bv_not_def)

lemma $bv_not_bv_not$ $[simp]$: $bv_not\ (bv_not\ w) = w$
by ($rule\ bit_list_induct\ [of\ -\ w]\ simp_all$)

lemma bv_msb_Nil $[simp]$: $bv_msb\ [] = 0$
by ($simp\ add:$ bv_msb_def)

lemma bv_msb_Cons $[simp]$: $bv_msb\ (b \# bs) = b$
by ($simp\ add:$ bv_msb_def)

lemma $bv_msb_bv_not$ $[simp]$: $0 < length\ w \Rightarrow bv_msb\ (bv_not\ w) = (bitnot\ (bv_msb\ w))$
by ($cases\ w\ simp_all$)

lemma $bv_msb_one_length$ $[simp, intro]$: $bv_msb\ w = 1 \Rightarrow 0 < length\ w$
by ($cases\ w\ simp_all$)

lemma $length_bv_not$ $[simp]$: $length\ (bv_not\ w) = length\ w$
by ($induct\ w\ simp_all$)

definition

$bv_to_nat :: bit\ list \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $bv_to_nat = foldl\ (\%bn\ b.\ 2 * bn + bitval\ b)\ 0$

lemma $bv_to_nat_Nil$ $[simp]$: $bv_to_nat\ [] = 0$
by ($simp\ add:$ $bv_to_nat_def$)

lemma $bv_to_nat_helper$ $[simp]$: $bv_to_nat\ (b \# bs) = bitval\ b * 2 ^ length\ bs + bv_to_nat\ bs$

proof –

let $?bv_to_nat' = foldl\ (\lambda bn\ b.\ 2 * bn + bitval\ b)$
have $helper$: $\bigwedge base.\ ?bv_to_nat'\ base\ bs = base * 2 ^ length\ bs + ?bv_to_nat'\ 0\ bs$

```

proof (induct bs)
  case Nil
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs base)
  show ?case
    apply (simp only: foldl.simps)
    apply (subst Cons [of 2 * base + bitval x])
    apply simp
    apply (subst Cons [of bitval x])
    apply (simp add: add-mult-distrib)
    done
  qed
show ?thesis by (simp add: bv-to-nat-def) (rule helper)
qed

lemma bv-to-nat0 [simp]: bv-to-nat (0#bs) = bv-to-nat bs
by simp

lemma bv-to-nat1 [simp]: bv-to-nat (1#bs) = 2 ^ length bs + bv-to-nat bs
by simp

lemma bv-to-nat-upper-range: bv-to-nat w < 2 ^ length w
proof (induct w, simp-all)
  fix b bs
  assume bv-to-nat bs < 2 ^ length bs
  show bitval b * 2 ^ length bs + bv-to-nat bs < 2 * 2 ^ length bs
  proof (cases b, simp-all)
    have bv-to-nat bs < 2 ^ length bs by fact
    also have ... < 2 * 2 ^ length bs by auto
    finally show bv-to-nat bs < 2 * 2 ^ length bs by simp
  next
    have bv-to-nat bs < 2 ^ length bs by fact
    hence 2 ^ length bs + bv-to-nat bs < 2 ^ length bs + 2 ^ length bs by arith
    also have ... = 2 * (2 ^ length bs) by simp
    finally show bv-to-nat bs < 2 ^ length bs by simp
  qed
qed

lemma bv-extend-longer [simp]:
  assumes wn: n ≤ length w
  shows bv-extend n b w = w
  by (simp add: bv-extend-def wn)

lemma bv-extend-shorter [simp]:
  assumes wn: length w < n
  shows bv-extend n b w = bv-extend n b (b#w)
proof –
  from wn

```

```

have  $s: n - \text{Suc} (\text{length } w) + 1 = n - \text{length } w$ 
  by arith
have  $\text{bv-extend } n \ b \ w = \text{replicate } (n - \text{length } w) \ b \ @ \ w$ 
  by (simp add: bv-extend-def)
also have  $\dots = \text{replicate } (n - \text{Suc} (\text{length } w) + 1) \ b \ @ \ w$ 
  by (subst s) rule
also have  $\dots = (\text{replicate } (n - \text{Suc} (\text{length } w)) \ b \ @ \ \text{replicate } 1 \ b) \ @ \ w$ 
  by (subst replicate-add) rule
also have  $\dots = \text{replicate } (n - \text{Suc} (\text{length } w)) \ b \ @ \ b \ \# \ w$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots = \text{bv-extend } n \ b \ (b \# w)$ 
  by (simp add: bv-extend-def)
finally show  $\text{bv-extend } n \ b \ w = \text{bv-extend } n \ b \ (b \# w) .$ 
qed

```

consts

rem-initial :: *bit* => *bit list* => *bit list*

primrec

rem-initial *b* [] = []

rem-initial *b* (*x* # *xs*) = (if *b* = *x* then *rem-initial* *b* *xs* else *x* # *xs*)

lemma *rem-initial-length*: $\text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ w) \leq \text{length } w$
by (*rule bit-list-induct [of - w], simp-all (no-asm), safe, simp-all*)

lemma *rem-initial-equal*:

assumes *p*: $\text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ w) = \text{length } w$

shows $\text{rem-initial } b \ w = w$

proof –

have $\text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ w) = \text{length } w \ \longrightarrow \ \text{rem-initial } b \ w = w$

proof (*induct w, simp-all, clarify*)

fix *xs*

assume $\text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs) = \text{length } xs \ \longrightarrow \ \text{rem-initial } b \ xs = xs$

assume *f*: $\text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs) = \text{Suc } (\text{length } xs)$

with *rem-initial-length* [*of b xs*]

show $\text{rem-initial } b \ xs = b \# xs$

by *auto*

qed

from this and p show *?thesis* ..

qed

lemma *bv-extend-rem-initial*: $\text{bv-extend } (\text{length } w) \ b \ (\text{rem-initial } b \ w) = w$

proof (*induct w, simp-all, safe*)

fix *xs*

assume *ind*: $\text{bv-extend } (\text{length } xs) \ b \ (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs) = xs$

from *rem-initial-length* [*of b xs*]

have [*simp*]: $\text{Suc } (\text{length } xs) - \text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs) =$

$1 + (\text{length } xs - \text{length } (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs))$

by *arith*

have $\text{bv-extend } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } xs)) \ b \ (\text{rem-initial } b \ xs) =$

```

    replicate (Suc (length xs) - length (rem-initial b xs)) b @ (rem-initial b xs)
  by (simp add: bv-extend-def)
also have ... =
    replicate (1 + (length xs - length (rem-initial b xs))) b @ rem-initial b xs
  by simp
also have ... =
    (replicate 1 b @ replicate (length xs - length (rem-initial b xs)) b) @ rem-initial
b xs
  by (subst replicate-add) (rule refl)
also have ... = b # bv-extend (length xs) b (rem-initial b xs)
  by (auto simp add: bv-extend-def [symmetric])
also have ... = b # xs
  by (simp add: ind)
finally show bv-extend (Suc (length xs)) b (rem-initial b xs) = b # xs .
qed

```

```

lemma rem-initial-append1:
  assumes rem-initial b xs ~ = []
  shows rem-initial b (xs @ ys) = rem-initial b xs @ ys
  using assms by (induct xs) auto

```

```

lemma rem-initial-append2:
  assumes rem-initial b xs = []
  shows rem-initial b (xs @ ys) = rem-initial b ys
  using assms by (induct xs) auto

```

```

definition
  norm-unsigned :: bit list => bit list where
  norm-unsigned = rem-initial 0

```

```

lemma norm-unsigned-Nil [simp]: norm-unsigned [] = []
  by (simp add: norm-unsigned-def)

```

```

lemma norm-unsigned-Cons0 [simp]: norm-unsigned (0#bs) = norm-unsigned bs
  by (simp add: norm-unsigned-def)

```

```

lemma norm-unsigned-Cons1 [simp]: norm-unsigned (1#bs) = 1#bs
  by (simp add: norm-unsigned-def)

```

```

lemma norm-unsigned-idem [simp]: norm-unsigned (norm-unsigned w) = norm-unsigned
w
  by (rule bit-list-induct [of - w], simp-all)

```

```

consts

```

```

  nat-to-bv-helper :: nat => bit list => bit list
  recdef nat-to-bv-helper measure (λn. n)
    nat-to-bv-helper n = (%bs. (if n = 0 then bs
                                else nat-to-bv-helper (n div 2) ((if n mod 2 = 0 then 0
                                else 1)#bs)))

```

definition

nat-to-bv :: *nat* ==> *bit list* **where**
nat-to-bv *n* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *n* []

lemma *nat-to-bv0* [*simp*]: *nat-to-bv* 0 = []
by (*simp add: nat-to-bv-def*)

lemmas [*simp del*] = *nat-to-bv-helper.simps*

lemma *n-div-2-cases*:

assumes *zero*: (*n::nat*) = 0 ==> *R*
and *div* : [] *n div 2* < *n* ; 0 < *n* [] ==> *R*
shows *R*
proof (*cases n = 0*)
assume *n* = 0
thus *R* **by** (*rule zero*)
next
assume *n* ~ = 0
hence 0 < *n* **by** *simp*
hence *n div 2* < *n* **by** *arith*
from this and (0 < *n*) **show** *R* **by** (*rule div*)
qed

lemma *int-wf-ge-induct*:

assumes *ind* : !!*i::int*. (!!*j*. [] *k* ≤ *j* ; *j* < *i* [] ==> *P j*) ==> *P i*
shows *P i*
proof (*rule wf-induct-rule* [*OF wf-int-ge-less-than*])
fix *x*
assume *ih*: (∧*y::int*. (*y*, *x*) ∈ *int-ge-less-than* *k* ==> *P y*)
thus *P x*
by (*rule ind*) (*simp add: int-ge-less-than-def*)
qed

lemma *unfold-nat-to-bv-helper*:

nat-to-bv-helper *b l* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *b* [] @ *l*
proof –
have ∑*l*. *nat-to-bv-helper* *b l* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *b* [] @ *l*
proof (*induct b rule: less-induct*)
fix *n*
assume *ind*: !!*j*. *j* < *n* ==> ∑*l*. *nat-to-bv-helper* *j l* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *j* [] @ *l*
show ∑*l*. *nat-to-bv-helper* *n l* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *n* [] @ *l*
proof
fix *l*
show *nat-to-bv-helper* *n l* = *nat-to-bv-helper* *n* [] @ *l*
proof (*cases n < 0*)
assume *n* < 0
thus ?thesis
by (*simp add: nat-to-bv-helper.simps*)

```

next
  assume  $\sim n < 0$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule n-div-2-cases [of n])
    assume [simp]:  $n = 0$ 
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp only: nat-to-bv-helper.simps [of n])
      apply simp
      done
  next
    assume  $n2n: n \text{ div } 2 < n$ 
    assume [simp]:  $0 < n$ 
    hence  $n20: 0 \leq n \text{ div } 2$ 
      by arith
    from ind [of n div 2] and  $n2n \ n20$ 
    have ind':  $\forall l. \text{nat-to-bv-helper } (n \text{ div } 2) \ l = \text{nat-to-bv-helper } (n \text{ div } 2) \ []$ 
  @ l
    by blast
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp only: nat-to-bv-helper.simps [of n])
    apply (cases n=0)
    apply simp
    apply (simp only: if-False)
    apply simp
    apply (subst spec [OF ind', of 0#l])
    apply (subst spec [OF ind', of 1#l])
    apply (subst spec [OF ind', of [1]])
    apply (subst spec [OF ind', of [0]])
    apply simp
    done
  qed
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis ..
qed

lemma nat-to-bv-non0 [simp]:  $n \neq 0 \implies \text{nat-to-bv } n = \text{nat-to-bv } (n \text{ div } 2) @ [if$ 
 $n \text{ mod } 2 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1]$ 
proof -
  assume [simp]:  $n \neq 0$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst nat-to-bv-def [of n])
    apply (simp only: nat-to-bv-helper.simps [of n])
    apply (subst unfold-nat-to-bv-helper)
    using prems
    apply (simp)
    apply (subst nat-to-bv-def [of n div 2])
    apply auto

```

done
qed

lemma *bv-to-nat-dist-append*:

*bv-to-nat (l1 @ l2) = bv-to-nat l1 * 2 ^ length l2 + bv-to-nat l2*

proof –

have $\forall l2. \text{bv-to-nat } (l1 @ l2) = \text{bv-to-nat } l1 * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 + \text{bv-to-nat } l2$

proof (*induct l1, simp-all*)

fix *x xs*

assume *ind*: $\forall l2. \text{bv-to-nat } (xs @ l2) = \text{bv-to-nat } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 + \text{bv-to-nat } l2$

show $\forall l2. \text{bv-to-nat } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 + \text{bitval } x * 2 ^ (\text{length } xs + \text{length } l2) = (\text{bitval } x * 2 ^ \text{length } xs + \text{bv-to-nat } xs) * 2 ^ \text{length } l2$

proof

fix *l2*

show $\text{bv-to-nat } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 + \text{bitval } x * 2 ^ (\text{length } xs + \text{length } l2) = (\text{bitval } x * 2 ^ \text{length } xs + \text{bv-to-nat } xs) * 2 ^ \text{length } l2$

proof –

have $(2::\text{nat}) ^ (\text{length } xs + \text{length } l2) = 2 ^ \text{length } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2$

by (*induct length xs, simp-all*)

hence $\text{bitval } x * 2 ^ (\text{length } xs + \text{length } l2) + \text{bv-to-nat } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 = \text{bitval } x * 2 ^ \text{length } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2 + \text{bv-to-nat } xs * 2 ^ \text{length } l2$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\text{bitval } x * 2 ^ \text{length } xs + \text{bv-to-nat } xs) * 2 ^ \text{length } l2$

by (*simp add: ring-distrib*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

qed

thus *?thesis* ..

qed

lemma *bv-nat-bv [simp]*: *bv-to-nat (nat-to-bv n) = n*

proof (*induct n rule: less-induct*)

fix *n*

assume *ind*: $\forall j. j < n \implies \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{nat-to-bv } j) = j$

show *bv-to-nat (nat-to-bv n) = n*

proof (*rule n-div-2-cases [of n]*)

assume *n = 0* **then show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume *nn*: $n \text{ div } 2 < n$

assume *n0*: $0 < n$

from *ind* **and** *nn*

have *ind'*: *bv-to-nat (nat-to-bv (n div 2)) = n div 2* **by** *blast*

from *n0* **have** *n0'*: $n \neq 0$ **by** *simp*

show *?thesis*

apply (*subst nat-to-bv-def*)

apply (*simp only: nat-to-bv-helper.simps [of n]*)

apply (*simp only: n0' if-False*)


```

apply (subst unfold-nat-to-bv-helper)
apply (subst bv-to-nat-dist-append)
apply (fold nat-to-bv-def)
apply (simp add: ind' split del: split-if)
apply (cases n mod 2 = 0)
proof (simp-all)
  assume n mod 2 = 0
  with mod-div-equality [of n 2]
  show n div 2 * 2 = n by simp
next
  assume n mod 2 = Suc 0
  with mod-div-equality [of n 2]
  show Suc (n div 2 * 2) = n by arith
qed
qed
qed

lemma bv-to-nat-type [simp]: bv-to-nat (norm-unsigned w) = bv-to-nat w
by (rule bit-list-induct) simp-all

lemma length-norm-unsigned-le [simp]: length (norm-unsigned w) ≤ length w
by (rule bit-list-induct) simp-all

lemma bv-to-nat-rew-msb: bv-msb w = 1 ==> bv-to-nat w = 2 ^ (length w - 1)
+ bv-to-nat (tl w)
by (rule bit-list-cases [of w]) simp-all

lemma norm-unsigned-result: norm-unsigned xs = [] ∨ bv-msb (norm-unsigned xs)
= 1
proof (rule length-induct [of - xs])
  fix xs :: bit list
  assume ind: ∀ ys. length ys < length xs --> norm-unsigned ys = [] ∨ bv-msb
(norm-unsigned ys) = 1
  show norm-unsigned xs = [] ∨ bv-msb (norm-unsigned xs) = 1
  proof (rule bit-list-cases [of xs],simp-all)
    fix bs
    assume [simp]: xs = 0#bs
    from ind
    have length bs < length xs --> norm-unsigned bs = [] ∨ bv-msb (norm-unsigned
bs) = 1 ..
    thus norm-unsigned bs = [] ∨ bv-msb (norm-unsigned bs) = 1 by simp
  qed
qed

lemma norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero:
  assumes nw: norm-unsigned w = []
  shows bv-to-nat w = 0
proof -
  have bv-to-nat w = bv-to-nat (norm-unsigned w) by simp

```

also have ... = *bv-to-nat* [] **by** (*subst nw*) (*rule refl*)
 also have ... = 0 **by** *simp*
 finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *bv-to-nat-lower-limit*:
 assumes *w0*: $0 < \text{bv-to-nat } w$
 shows $2^{\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } w) - 1} \leq \text{bv-to-nat } w$
proof –
 from *w0* and *norm-unsigned-result* [of *w*]
 have *msbw*: $\text{bv-msb } (\text{norm-unsigned } w) = 1$
 by (*auto simp add: norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero*)
 have $2^{\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } w) - 1} \leq \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{norm-unsigned } w)$
 by (*subst bv-to-nat-rew-msb [OF msbw], simp*)
 thus ?thesis **by** *simp*
qed

lemmas [*simp del*] = *nat-to-bv-non0*

lemma *norm-unsigned-length* [*intro!*]: $\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } w) \leq \text{length } w$
by (*subst norm-unsigned-def, rule rem-initial-length*)

lemma *norm-unsigned-equal*:
 $\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } w) = \text{length } w \implies \text{norm-unsigned } w = w$
by (*simp add: norm-unsigned-def, rule rem-initial-equal*)

lemma *bv-extend-norm-unsigned*: $\text{bv-extend } (\text{length } w) \mathbf{0} (\text{norm-unsigned } w) = w$
by (*simp add: norm-unsigned-def, rule bv-extend-rem-initial*)

lemma *norm-unsigned-append1* [*simp*]:
 $\text{norm-unsigned } xs \neq [] \implies \text{norm-unsigned } (xs @ ys) = \text{norm-unsigned } xs @ ys$
by (*simp add: norm-unsigned-def, rule rem-initial-append1*)

lemma *norm-unsigned-append2* [*simp*]:
 $\text{norm-unsigned } xs = [] \implies \text{norm-unsigned } (xs @ ys) = \text{norm-unsigned } ys$
by (*simp add: norm-unsigned-def, rule rem-initial-append2*)

lemma *bv-to-nat-zero-imp-empty*:
 $\text{bv-to-nat } w = 0 \implies \text{norm-unsigned } w = []$
by (*atomize (full), induct w rule: bit-list-induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *bv-to-nat-nzero-imp-nempty*:
 $\text{bv-to-nat } w \neq 0 \implies \text{norm-unsigned } w \neq []$
by (*induct w rule: bit-list-induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *nat-helper1*:
 assumes *ass*: $\text{nat-to-bv } (\text{bv-to-nat } w) = \text{norm-unsigned } w$
 shows $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 * \text{bv-to-nat } w + \text{bitval } x) = \text{norm-unsigned } (w @ [x])$
proof (*cases x*)

```

assume [simp]: x = 1
show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: nat-to-bv-non0)
  apply safe
proof -
  fix q
  assume Suc (2 * bv-to-nat w) = 2 * q
  hence orig: (2 * bv-to-nat w + 1) mod 2 = 2 * q mod 2 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    by simp
  have ?lhs = (1 + 2 * bv-to-nat w) mod 2
    by (simp add: add-commute)
  also have ... = 1
    by (subst mod-add1-eq) simp
  finally have eq1: ?lhs = 1 .
  have ?rhs = 0 by simp
  with orig and eq1
  show nat-to-bv (Suc (2 * bv-to-nat w) div 2) @ [0] = norm-unsigned (w @ [1])
    by simp
next
have nat-to-bv (Suc (2 * bv-to-nat w) div 2) @ [1] =
  nat-to-bv ((1 + 2 * bv-to-nat w) div 2) @ [1]
  by (simp add: add-commute)
also have ... = nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat w) @ [1]
  by (subst div-add1-eq) simp
also have ... = norm-unsigned w @ [1]
  by (subst ass) (rule refl)
also have ... = norm-unsigned (w @ [1])
  by (cases norm-unsigned w) simp-all
finally show nat-to-bv (Suc (2 * bv-to-nat w) div 2) @ [1] = norm-unsigned
(w @ [1]) .
qed
next
assume [simp]: x = 0
show ?thesis
proof (cases bv-to-nat w = 0)
  assume bv-to-nat w = 0
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: bv-to-nat-zero-imp-empty)
next
  assume bv-to-nat w ≠ 0
  thus ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (subst nat-to-bv-non0)
    apply simp
    apply auto
    apply (subst ass)
    apply (cases norm-unsigned w)
    apply (simp-all add: norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero)
    done

```

qed
qed

```

lemma nat-helper2: nat-to-bv (2 ^ length xs + bv-to-nat xs) = 1 # xs
proof -
  have  $\forall xs. \text{nat-to-bv } (2 ^ \text{length } (\text{rev } xs) + \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } xs)) = 1 \# (\text{rev } xs)$ 
  (is  $\forall xs. ?P \ xs$ )
  proof
    fix xs
    show ?P xs
  proof (rule length-induct [of - xs])
    fix xs :: bit list
    assume ind:  $\forall ys. \text{length } ys < \text{length } xs \longrightarrow ?P \ ys$ 
    show ?P xs
  proof (cases xs)
    assume xs = []
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: nat-to-bv-non0)
  next
    fix y ys
    assume [simp]:  $xs = y \# ys$ 
    show ?thesis
      apply simp
      apply (subst bv-to-nat-dist-append)
      apply simp
  proof -
    have  $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 * 2 ^ \text{length } ys + (\text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } ys) * 2 + \text{bitval } y)) =$ 
       $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 * (2 ^ \text{length } ys + \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } ys)) + \text{bitval } y)$ 
      by (simp add: add-ac mult-ac)
    also have  $\dots = \text{nat-to-bv } (2 * (\text{bv-to-nat } (1 \# \text{rev } ys)) + \text{bitval } y)$ 
      by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{norm-unsigned } (1 \# \text{rev } ys) @ [y]$ 
  proof -
    from ind
    have  $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 ^ \text{length } (\text{rev } ys) + \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } ys)) = 1 \# \text{rev } ys$ 
      by auto
    hence [simp]:  $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 ^ \text{length } ys + \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } ys)) = 1 \# \text{rev } ys$ 
      by simp
    show ?thesis
      apply (subst nat-helper1)
      apply simp-all
      done
  qed
  also have  $\dots = (1 \# \text{rev } ys) @ [y]$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots = 1 \# \text{rev } ys @ [y]$ 
    by simp
  finally show  $\text{nat-to-bv } (2 * 2 ^ \text{length } ys + (\text{bv-to-nat } (\text{rev } ys) * 2 +$ 
 $\text{bitval } y)) =$ 
     $1 \# \text{rev } ys @ [y] .$ 

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  hence nat-to-bv (2 ^ length (rev (rev xs)) + bv-to-nat (rev (rev xs))) =
    1 # rev (rev xs) ..
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma nat-bv-nat [simp]: nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat w) = norm-unsigned w
proof (rule bit-list-induct [of - w], simp-all)
  fix xs
  assume nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat xs) = norm-unsigned xs
  have bv-to-nat xs = bv-to-nat (norm-unsigned xs) by simp
  have bv-to-nat xs < 2 ^ length xs
    by (rule bv-to-nat-upper-range)
  show nat-to-bv (2 ^ length xs + bv-to-nat xs) = 1 # xs
    by (rule nat-helper2)
qed

```

```

lemma bv-to-nat-qinj:
  assumes one: bv-to-nat xs = bv-to-nat ys
  and     len: length xs = length ys
  shows    xs = ys
proof -
  from one
  have nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat xs) = nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat ys)
    by simp
  hence xsys: norm-unsigned xs = norm-unsigned ys
    by simp
  have xs = bv-extend (length xs) 0 (norm-unsigned xs)
    by (simp add: bv-extend-norm-unsigned)
  also have ... = bv-extend (length ys) 0 (norm-unsigned ys)
    by (simp add: xsys len)
  also have ... = ys
    by (simp add: bv-extend-norm-unsigned)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma norm-unsigned-nat-to-bv [simp]:
  norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv n) = nat-to-bv n
proof -
  have norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv n) = nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat (norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv
n)))
    by (subst nat-bv-nat) simp
  also have ... = nat-to-bv n by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma length-nat-to-bv-upper-limit:
  assumes nk:  $n \leq 2^k - 1$ 
  shows  $\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) \leq k$ 
proof (cases  $n = 0$ )
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: nat-to-bv-def nat-to-bv-helper.simps)
next
  case False
  hence n0:  $0 < n$  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\sim \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) \leq k$ 
    hence  $k < \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$  by simp
    hence  $k \leq \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) - 1$  by arith
    hence  $(2::\text{nat})^k \leq 2^{(\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) - 1)}$  by simp
    also have  $\dots = 2^{(\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)) - 1)}$  by simp
    also have  $\dots \leq \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$ 
      by (rule bv-to-nat-lower-limit) (simp add: n0)
    also have  $\dots = n$  by simp
    finally have  $2^k \leq n$  .
    with n0 have  $2^k - 1 < n$  by arith
    with nk show False by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma length-nat-to-bv-lower-limit:
  assumes nk:  $2^k \leq n$ 
  shows  $k < \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\sim k < \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$ 
  hence lnk:  $\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) \leq k$  by simp
  have  $n = \text{bv-to-nat } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$  by simp
  also have  $\dots < 2^{\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)}$ 
    by (rule bv-to-nat-upper-range)
  also from lnk have  $\dots \leq 2^k$  by simp
  finally have  $n < 2^k$  .
  with nk show False by simp
qed

```

54.4 Unsigned Arithmetic Operations

definition

```

bv-add :: [bit list, bit list] => bit list where
bv-add w1 w2 = nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat w1 + bv-to-nat w2)

```

```

lemma bv-add-type1 [simp]: bv-add (norm-unsigned w1) w2 = bv-add w1 w2
  by (simp add: bv-add-def)

```

lemma *bv-add-type2* [*simp*]: *bv-add w1 (norm-unsigned w2) = bv-add w1 w2*
by (*simp add: bv-add-def*)

lemma *bv-add-returntype* [*simp*]: *norm-unsigned (bv-add w1 w2) = bv-add w1 w2*
by (*simp add: bv-add-def*)

lemma *bv-add-length*: *length (bv-add w1 w2) ≤ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2))*

proof (*unfold bv-add-def, rule length-nat-to-bv-upper-limit*)

from *bv-to-nat-upper-range [of w1]* **and** *bv-to-nat-upper-range [of w2]*

have *bv-to-nat w1 + bv-to-nat w2 ≤ (2 ^ length w1 - 1) + (2 ^ length w2 - 1)*
by *arith*

also have ... ≤

max (2 ^ length w1 - 1) (2 ^ length w2 - 1) + max (2 ^ length w1 - 1) (2 ^ length w2 - 1)

by (*rule add-mono, safe intro!: le-maxI1 le-maxI2*)

also have ... = *2 * max (2 ^ length w1 - 1) (2 ^ length w2 - 1)* **by** *simp*

also have ... ≤ *2 ^ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2)) - 2*

proof (*cases length w1 ≤ length w2*)

assume *w1w2: length w1 ≤ length w2*

hence *(2::nat) ^ length w1 ≤ 2 ^ length w2* **by** *simp*

hence *(2::nat) ^ length w1 - 1 ≤ 2 ^ length w2 - 1* **by** *arith*

with *w1w2* **show** *?thesis*

by (*simp add: diff-mult-distrib2 split: split-max*)

next

assume [*simp*]: *~ (length w1 ≤ length w2)*

have *~ ((2::nat) ^ length w1 - 1 ≤ 2 ^ length w2 - 1)*

proof

assume *(2::nat) ^ length w1 - 1 ≤ 2 ^ length w2 - 1*

hence *((2::nat) ^ length w1 - 1) + 1 ≤ (2 ^ length w2 - 1) + 1*

by (*rule add-right-mono*)

hence *(2::nat) ^ length w1 ≤ 2 ^ length w2* **by** *simp*

hence *length w1 ≤ length w2* **by** *simp*

thus *False* **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: diff-mult-distrib2 split: split-max*)

qed

finally show *bv-to-nat w1 + bv-to-nat w2 ≤ 2 ^ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2)) - 1*

by *arith*

qed

definition

bv-mult :: [bit list, bit list] => bit list **where**

*bv-mult w1 w2 = nat-to-bv (bv-to-nat w1 * bv-to-nat w2)*

lemma *bv-mult-type1* [*simp*]: *bv-mult (norm-unsigned w1) w2 = bv-mult w1 w2*

by (*simp add: bv-mult-def*)

lemma *bv-mult-type2* [simp]: $\text{bv-mult } w1 \ (\text{norm-unsigned } w2) = \text{bv-mult } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-mult-def)

lemma *bv-mult-returntype* [simp]: $\text{norm-unsigned } (\text{bv-mult } w1 \ w2) = \text{bv-mult } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-mult-def)

lemma *bv-mult-length*: $\text{length } (\text{bv-mult } w1 \ w2) \leq \text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2$
proof (unfold bv-mult-def, rule length-nat-to-bv-upper-limit)
from *bv-to-nat-upper-range* [of *w1*] **and** *bv-to-nat-upper-range* [of *w2*]
have *h*: $\text{bv-to-nat } w1 \leq 2^{\text{length } w1 - 1} \wedge \text{bv-to-nat } w2 \leq 2^{\text{length } w2 - 1}$
by arith
have $\text{bv-to-nat } w1 * \text{bv-to-nat } w2 \leq (2^{\text{length } w1 - 1}) * (2^{\text{length } w2 - 1})$
apply (cut-tac *h*)
apply (rule mult-mono)
apply auto
done
also have $\dots < 2^{\text{length } w1} * 2^{\text{length } w2}$
by (rule mult-strict-mono, auto)
also have $\dots = 2^{(\text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2)}$
by (simp add: power-add)
finally show $\text{bv-to-nat } w1 * \text{bv-to-nat } w2 \leq 2^{(\text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2) - 1}$
by arith
qed

54.5 Signed Vectors

consts
norm-signed :: bit list => bit list

primrec
norm-signed-Nil: $\text{norm-signed } [] = []$
norm-signed-Cons: $\text{norm-signed } (b \# bs) =$
 (case *b* of
 0 => if *norm-unsigned* *bs* = [] then [] else *b* # *norm-unsigned* *bs*
 | 1 => *b* # *rem-initial* *b* *bs*)

lemma *norm-signed0* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } [0] = []$
by simp

lemma *norm-signed1* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } [1] = [1]$
by simp

lemma *norm-signed01* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } (0 \# 1 \# xs) = 0 \# 1 \# xs$
by simp

lemma *norm-signed00* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } (0 \# 0 \# xs) = \text{norm-signed } (0 \# xs)$
by simp

lemma *norm-signed10* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } (1 \# 0 \# xs) = 1 \# 0 \# xs$

by *simp*

lemma *norm-signed11* [*simp*]: *norm-signed* (**1**#**1**#*xs*) = *norm-signed* (**1**#*xs*)
by *simp*

lemmas [*simp del*] = *norm-signed-Cons*

definition

int-to-bv :: *int* => *bit list* **where**
int-to-bv *n* = (if $0 \leq n$
then *norm-signed* (**0**#*nat-to-bv* (*nat* *n*))
else *norm-signed* (*bv-not* (**0**#*nat-to-bv* (*nat* ($-n - 1$))))))

lemma *int-to-bv-ge0* [*simp*]: $0 \leq n \implies \text{int-to-bv } n = \text{norm-signed } (\mathbf{0} \# \text{nat-to-bv } (\text{nat } n))$
by (*simp add: int-to-bv-def*)

lemma *int-to-bv-lt0* [*simp*]:
 $n < 0 \implies \text{int-to-bv } n = \text{norm-signed } (\text{bv-not } (\mathbf{0} \# \text{nat-to-bv } (\text{nat } (-n - 1))))$
by (*simp add: int-to-bv-def*)

lemma *norm-signed-idem* [*simp*]: *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* *w*) = *norm-signed* *w*
proof (rule *bit-list-induct* [*of* - *w*], *simp-all*)

fix *xs*

assume *eq*: *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* *xs*) = *norm-signed* *xs*

show *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* (**0**#*xs*)) = *norm-signed* (**0**#*xs*)

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [*of* *xs*], *simp-all*)

fix *ys*

assume *xs* = **0**#*ys*

from *this* [*symmetric*] and *eq*

show *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* (**0**#*ys*)) = *norm-signed* (**0**#*ys*)

by *simp*

qed

next

fix *xs*

assume *eq*: *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* *xs*) = *norm-signed* *xs*

show *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* (**1**#*xs*)) = *norm-signed* (**1**#*xs*)

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [*of* *xs*], *simp-all*)

fix *ys*

assume *xs* = **1**#*ys*

from *this* [*symmetric*] and *eq*

show *norm-signed* (*norm-signed* (**1**#*ys*)) = *norm-signed* (**1**#*ys*)

by *simp*

qed

qed

definition

bv-to-int :: *bit list* => *int* **where**
bv-to-int *w* =

(*case* *bv-msb* *w* of **0** => *int* (*bv-to-nat* *w*)
 | **1** => - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *w*) + 1))

lemma *bv-to-int-Nil* [*simp*]: *bv-to-int* [] = 0
by (*simp* *add*: *bv-to-int-def*)

lemma *bv-to-int-Cons0* [*simp*]: *bv-to-int* (**0**#*bs*) = *int* (*bv-to-nat* *bs*)
by (*simp* *add*: *bv-to-int-def*)

lemma *bv-to-int-Cons1* [*simp*]: *bv-to-int* (**1**#*bs*) = - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *bs*) + 1)
by (*simp* *add*: *bv-to-int-def*)

lemma *bv-to-int-type* [*simp*]: *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* *w*) = *bv-to-int* *w*
proof (*rule* *bit-list-induct* [*of* - *w*], *simp-all*)

fix *xs*
assume *ind*: *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* *xs*) = *bv-to-int* *xs*
show *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* (**0**#*xs*)) = *int* (*bv-to-nat* *xs*)
proof (*rule* *bit-list-cases* [*of* *xs*], *simp-all*)
fix *ys*
assume [*simp*]: *xs* = **0**#*ys*
from *ind*
show *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* (**0**#*ys*)) = *int* (*bv-to-nat* *ys*)
by *simp*

qed

next

fix *xs*
assume *ind*: *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* *xs*) = *bv-to-int* *xs*
show *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* (**1**#*xs*)) = -1 - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *xs*))
proof (*rule* *bit-list-cases* [*of* *xs*], *simp-all*)
fix *ys*
assume [*simp*]: *xs* = **1**#*ys*
from *ind*
show *bv-to-int* (*norm-signed* (**1**#*ys*)) = -1 - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *ys*))
by *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *bv-to-int-upper-range*: *bv-to-int* *w* < 2 ^ (*length* *w* - 1)

proof (*rule* *bit-list-cases* [*of* *w*], *simp-all*)

fix *bs*
from *bv-to-nat-upper-range*
show *int* (*bv-to-nat* *bs*) < 2 ^ *length* *bs*
by (*simp* *add*: *int-nat-two-exp*)

next

fix *bs*
have -1 - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *bs*)) ≤ 0 **by** *simp*
also have ... < 2 ^ *length* *bs* **by** (*induct* *bs*) *simp-all*
finally show -1 - *int* (*bv-to-nat* (*bv-not* *bs*)) < 2 ^ *length* *bs* .

qed

lemma *bv-to-int-lower-range*: $-(2 \wedge (\text{length } w - 1)) \leq \text{bv-to-int } w$

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [of *w*], *simp-all*)

fix *bs* :: bit list

have $-(2 \wedge \text{length } bs) \leq (0::\text{int})$ **by** (induct *bs*) *simp-all*

also have $\dots \leq \text{int } (\text{bv-to-nat } bs)$ **by** *simp*

finally show $-(2 \wedge \text{length } bs) \leq \text{int } (\text{bv-to-nat } bs)$.

next

fix *bs*

from *bv-to-nat-upper-range* [of *bv-not bs*]

show $-(2 \wedge \text{length } bs) \leq -1 - \text{int } (\text{bv-to-nat } (\text{bv-not } bs))$

by (*simp add: int-nat-two-exp*)

qed

lemma *int-bv-int* [*simp*]: $\text{int-to-bv } (\text{bv-to-int } w) = \text{norm-signed } w$

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [of *w*], *simp*)

fix *xs*

assume [*simp*]: $w = \mathbf{0} \# xs$

show ?thesis

apply *simp*

apply (subst *norm-signed-Cons* [of $\mathbf{0}$ *xs*])

apply *simp*

using *norm-unsigned-result* [of *xs*]

apply *safe*

apply (rule *bit-list-cases* [of *norm-unsigned xs*])

apply *simp-all*

done

next

fix *xs*

assume [*simp*]: $w = \mathbf{1} \# xs$

show ?thesis

apply (*simp del: int-to-bv-lt0*)

apply (rule *bit-list-induct* [of - *xs*])

apply *simp*

apply (subst *int-to-bv-lt0*)

apply (subgoal-tac $-\text{int } (\text{bv-to-nat } (\text{bv-not } (\mathbf{0} \# bs))) + -1 < 0 + 0$)

apply *simp*

apply (rule *add-le-less-mono*)

apply *simp*

apply *simp*

apply (*simp del: bv-to-nat1 bv-to-nat-helper*)

apply *simp*

done

qed

lemma *bv-int-bv* [*simp*]: $\text{bv-to-int } (\text{int-to-bv } i) = i$

by (cases $0 \leq i$) *simp-all*

lemma *bv-msb-norm* [simp]: $\text{bv-msb} (\text{norm-signed } w) = \text{bv-msb } w$
by (rule *bit-list-cases* [of w]) (simp-all add: *norm-signed-Cons*)

lemma *norm-signed-length*: $\text{length} (\text{norm-signed } w) \leq \text{length } w$
apply (cases w , simp-all)
apply (subst *norm-signed-Cons*)
apply (case-tac a , simp-all)
apply (rule *rem-initial-length*)
done

lemma *norm-signed-equal*: $\text{length} (\text{norm-signed } w) = \text{length } w \implies \text{norm-signed } w = w$

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [of w], simp-all)

fix xs
assume $\text{length} (\text{norm-signed } (0\#xs)) = \text{Suc} (\text{length } xs)$
thus $\text{norm-signed } (0\#xs) = 0\#xs$
apply (simp add: *norm-signed-Cons*)
apply safe
apply simp-all
apply (rule *norm-unsigned-equal*)
apply assumption
done

next

fix xs
assume $\text{length} (\text{norm-signed } (1\#xs)) = \text{Suc} (\text{length } xs)$
thus $\text{norm-signed } (1\#xs) = 1\#xs$
apply (simp add: *norm-signed-Cons*)
apply (rule *rem-initial-equal*)
apply assumption
done

qed

lemma *bv-extend-norm-signed*: $\text{bv-msb } w = b \implies \text{bv-extend} (\text{length } w) b (\text{norm-signed } w) = w$

proof (rule *bit-list-cases* [of w], simp-all)

fix xs
show $\text{bv-extend} (\text{Suc} (\text{length } xs)) 0 (\text{norm-signed } (0\#xs)) = 0\#xs$
proof (simp add: *norm-signed-list-def*, auto)
assume $\text{norm-unsigned } xs = []$
hence $xs: \text{rem-initial } 0 \ xs = []$
by (simp add: *norm-unsigned-def*)
have $\text{bv-extend} (\text{Suc} (\text{length } xs)) 0 (0\#\text{rem-initial } 0 \ xs) = 0\#xs$
apply (simp add: *bv-extend-def replicate-app-Cons-same*)
apply (fold *bv-extend-def*)
apply (rule *bv-extend-rem-initial*)
done

thus $\text{bv-extend} (\text{Suc} (\text{length } xs)) 0 [0] = 0\#xs$
by (simp add: xs)

next

```

  show bv-extend (Suc (length xs)) 0 (0#norm-unsigned xs) = 0#xs
    apply (simp add: norm-unsigned-def)
    apply (simp add: bv-extend-def replicate-app-Cons-same)
    apply (fold bv-extend-def)
    apply (rule bv-extend-rem-initial)
  done
qed
next
fix xs
show bv-extend (Suc (length xs)) 1 (norm-signed (1#xs)) = 1#xs
  apply (simp add: norm-signed-Cons)
  apply (simp add: bv-extend-def replicate-app-Cons-same)
  apply (fold bv-extend-def)
  apply (rule bv-extend-rem-initial)
done
qed

lemma bv-to-int-qinj:
  assumes one: bv-to-int xs = bv-to-int ys
  and      len: length xs = length ys
  shows    xs = ys
proof -
  from one
  have int-to-bv (bv-to-int xs) = int-to-bv (bv-to-int ys) by simp
  hence xsys: norm-signed xs = norm-signed ys by simp
  hence xsys': bv-msb xs = bv-msb ys
proof -
  have bv-msb xs = bv-msb (norm-signed xs) by simp
  also have ... = bv-msb (norm-signed ys) by (simp add: xsys)
  also have ... = bv-msb ys by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have xs = bv-extend (length xs) (bv-msb xs) (norm-signed xs)
  by (simp add: bv-extend-norm-signed)
also have ... = bv-extend (length ys) (bv-msb ys) (norm-signed ys)
  by (simp add: xsys xsys' len)
also have ... = ys
  by (simp add: bv-extend-norm-signed)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma int-to-bv-returntype [simp]: norm-signed (int-to-bv w) = int-to-bv w
  by (simp add: int-to-bv-def)

lemma bv-to-int-msb0: 0 ≤ bv-to-int w1 ==> bv-msb w1 = 0
  by (rule bit-list-cases,simp-all)

lemma bv-to-int-msb1: bv-to-int w1 < 0 ==> bv-msb w1 = 1
  by (rule bit-list-cases,simp-all)

```

```

lemma bv-to-int-lower-limit-gt0:
  assumes w0:  $0 < \text{bv-to-int } w$ 
  shows  $2^{\wedge} (\text{length } (\text{norm-signed } w) - 2) \leq \text{bv-to-int } w$ 
proof –
  from w0
  have  $0 \leq \text{bv-to-int } w$  by simp
  hence [simp]: bv-msb w = 0 by (rule bv-to-int-msb0)
  have  $2^{\wedge} (\text{length } (\text{norm-signed } w) - 2) \leq \text{bv-to-int } (\text{norm-signed } w)$ 
  proof (rule bit-list-cases [of w])
    assume w = []
    with w0 show ?thesis by simp
  next
  fix w'
  assume weq: w = 0 # w'
  thus ?thesis
  proof (simp add: norm-signed-Cons, safe)
    assume norm-unsigned w' = []
    with weq and w0 show False
    by (simp add: norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero)
  next
  assume w'0: norm-unsigned w' ≠ []
  have  $0 < \text{bv-to-nat } w'$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\sim (0 < \text{bv-to-nat } w')$ 
    hence bv-to-nat w' = 0
    by arith
    hence norm-unsigned w' = []
    by (simp add: bv-to-nat-zero-imp-empty)
    with w'0
    show False by simp
  qed
  with bv-to-nat-lower-limit [of w']
  show  $2^{\wedge} (\text{length } (\text{norm-unsigned } w') - \text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{int } (\text{bv-to-nat } w')$ 
  by (simp add: int-nat-two-exp)
  qed
next
  fix w'
  assume w = 1 # w'
  from w0 have bv-msb w = 0 by simp
  with prems show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = bv-to-int w by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma norm-signed-result: norm-signed w = []  $\vee$  norm-signed w = [1]  $\vee$  bv-msb
(norm-signed w) ≠ bv-msb (tl (norm-signed w))
apply (rule bit-list-cases [of w], simp-all)

```

```

apply (case-tac bs,simp-all)
apply (case-tac a,simp-all)
apply (simp add: norm-signed-Cons)
apply safe
apply simp
proof –
  fix l
  assume msb: 0 = bv-msb (norm-unsigned l)
  assume norm-unsigned l ≠ []
  with norm-unsigned-result [of l]
  have bv-msb (norm-unsigned l) = 1 by simp
  with msb show False by simp
next
  fix xs
  assume p: 1 = bv-msb (tl (norm-signed (1 # xs)))
  have 1 ≠ bv-msb (tl (norm-signed (1 # xs)))
    by (rule bit-list-induct [of - xs],simp-all)
  with p show False by simp
qed

lemma bv-to-int-upper-limit-lem1:
  assumes w0: bv-to-int w < -1
  shows      bv-to-int w < - (2 ^ (length (norm-signed w) - 2))
proof –
  from w0
  have bv-to-int w < 0 by simp
  hence msbw [simp]: bv-msb w = 1
    by (rule bv-to-int-msb1)
  have bv-to-int w = bv-to-int (norm-signed w) by simp
  also from norm-signed-result [of w]
  have ... < - (2 ^ (length (norm-signed w) - 2))
  proof safe
    assume norm-signed w = []
    hence bv-to-int (norm-signed w) = 0 by simp
    with w0 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume norm-signed w = [1]
    hence bv-to-int (norm-signed w) = -1 by simp
    with w0 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume bv-msb (norm-signed w) ≠ bv-msb (tl (norm-signed w))
    hence msb-tl: 1 ≠ bv-msb (tl (norm-signed w)) by simp
    show bv-to-int (norm-signed w) < - (2 ^ (length (norm-signed w) - 2))
    proof (rule bit-list-cases [of norm-signed w])
      assume norm-signed w = []
      hence bv-to-int (norm-signed w) = 0 by simp
      with w0 show ?thesis by simp
    next
      fix w'

```

```

    assume nw: norm-signed w = 0 # w'
    from msbw have bv-msb (norm-signed w) = 1 by simp
    with nw show ?thesis by simp
next
  fix w'
  assume weq: norm-signed w = 1 # w'
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule bit-list-cases [of w'])
    assume w'eq: w' = []
    from w0 have bv-to-int (norm-signed w) < -1 by simp
    with w'eq and weq show ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix w''
    assume w'eq: w' = 0 # w''
    show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: weq w'eq)
    apply (subgoal-tac - int (bv-to-nat (bv-not w'')) + -1 < 0 + 0)
    apply (simp add: int-nat-two-exp)
    apply (rule add-le-less-mono)
    apply simp-all
    done
  next
    fix w''
    assume w'eq: w' = 1 # w''
    with weq and msb-tl show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

lemma *length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0*:

```

  assumes w0: 0 < i
  and      wk: i ≤ 2 ^ (k - 1) - 1
  shows    length (int-to-bv i) ≤ k
proof (rule ccontr)
  from w0 wk
  have k1: 1 < k
    by (cases k - 1, simp-all)
  assume ~ length (int-to-bv i) ≤ k
  hence k < length (int-to-bv i) by simp
  hence k ≤ length (int-to-bv i) - 1 by arith
  hence a: k - 1 ≤ length (int-to-bv i) - 2 by arith
  hence (2::int) ^ (k - 1) ≤ 2 ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 2) by simp
  also have ... ≤ i
  proof -
    have 2 ^ (length (norm-signed (int-to-bv i)) - 2) ≤ bv-to-int (int-to-bv i)
    proof (rule bv-to-int-lower-limit-gt0)
      from w0 show 0 < bv-to-int (int-to-bv i) by simp
    qed
  qed

```



```

qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
finally have  $2^k \wedge (k - 1) \leq i$  .
with wk show False by simp
qed

```

```

lemma pos-length-pos:
  assumes i0:  $0 < \text{bv-to-int } w$ 
  shows       $0 < \text{length } w$ 
proof -
  from norm-signed-result [of w]
  have  $0 < \text{length } (\text{norm-signed } w)$ 
  proof (auto)
    assume ii:  $\text{norm-signed } w = []$ 
    have  $\text{bv-to-int } (\text{norm-signed } w) = 0$  by (subst ii) simp
    hence  $\text{bv-to-int } w = 0$  by simp
    with i0 show False by simp
  next
    assume ii:  $\text{norm-signed } w = []$ 
    assume jj:  $\text{bv-msb } w \neq 0$ 
    have  $0 = \text{bv-msb } (\text{norm-signed } w)$ 
      by (subst ii) simp
    also have  $\dots \neq 0$ 
      by (simp add: jj)
    finally show False by simp
  qed
  also have  $\dots \leq \text{length } w$ 
    by (rule norm-signed-length)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma neg-length-pos:
  assumes i0:  $\text{bv-to-int } w < -1$ 
  shows       $0 < \text{length } w$ 
proof -
  from norm-signed-result [of w]
  have  $0 < \text{length } (\text{norm-signed } w)$ 
  proof (auto)
    assume ii:  $\text{norm-signed } w = []$ 
    have  $\text{bv-to-int } (\text{norm-signed } w) = 0$ 
      by (subst ii) simp
    hence  $\text{bv-to-int } w = 0$  by simp
    with i0 show False by simp
  next
    assume ii:  $\text{norm-signed } w = []$ 
    assume jj:  $\text{bv-msb } w \neq 0$ 
    have  $0 = \text{bv-msb } (\text{norm-signed } w)$  by (subst ii) simp
    also have  $\dots \neq 0$  by (simp add: jj)
  qed

```

finally show *False* by *simp*
 qed
 also have $\dots \leq \text{length } w$
 by (rule *norm-signed-length*)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma *length-int-to-bv-lower-limit-gt0*:
 assumes $wk: 2^k \leq i$
 shows $k < \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i)$
proof (rule *ccontr*)
 have $0 < (2::\text{int})^k$
 by (rule *zero-less-power*) *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq i$ by (rule *wk*)
 finally have $i0: 0 < i$.
 have $l0: 0 < \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i)$
 apply (rule *pos-length-pos*)
 apply (*simp*, rule *i0*)
 done
 assume $\sim k < \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i)$
 hence $\text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) \leq k$ by *simp*
 with *l0*
 have $a: \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 1 \leq k - 1$
 by *arith*
 have $i < 2^{(\text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 1)}$
proof –
 have $i = \text{bv-to-int } (\text{int-to-bv } i)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots < 2^{(\text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 1)}$
 by (rule *bv-to-int-upper-range*)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 also have $(2::\text{int})^{(\text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 1)} \leq 2^{(k - 1)}$ using *a*
 by *simp*
 finally have $i < 2^{(k - 1)}$.
 with *wk* show *False* by *simp*
 qed

lemma *length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1*:
 assumes $w1: i < -1$
 and $wk: -(2^{(k - 1)}) \leq i$
 shows $\text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) \leq k$
proof (rule *ccontr*)
 from *w1 wk*
 have $k1: 1 < k$ by (cases $k - 1$) *simp-all*
 assume $\sim \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) \leq k$
 hence $k < \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i)$ by *simp*
 hence $k \leq \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 1$ by *arith*
 hence $a: k - 1 \leq \text{length } (\text{int-to-bv } i) - 2$ by *arith*

```

have i < - (2 ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 2))
proof -
  have i = bv-to-int (int-to-bv i)
  by simp
  also have ... < - (2 ^ (length (norm-signed (int-to-bv i)) - 2))
  by (rule bv-to-int-upper-limit-lem1,simp,rule w1)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... ≤ -(2 ^ (k - 1))
proof -
  have (2::int) ^ (k - 1) ≤ 2 ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 2) using a by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
finally have i < -(2 ^ (k - 1)) .
with wk show False by simp
qed

lemma length-int-to-bv-lower-limit-lem1:
  assumes wk: i < -(2 ^ (k - 1))
  shows      k < length (int-to-bv i)
proof (rule ccontr)
  from wk have i ≤ -(2 ^ (k - 1)) - 1 by simp
  also have ... < -1
  proof -
    have 0 < (2::int) ^ (k - 1)
    by (rule zero-less-power) simp
    hence -((2::int) ^ (k - 1)) < 0 by simp
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally have i1: i < -1 .
  have lli0: 0 < length (int-to-bv i)
  apply (rule neg-length-pos)
  apply (simp, rule i1)
  done
  assume ~ k < length (int-to-bv i)
  hence length (int-to-bv i) ≤ k
  by simp
  with lli0 have a: length (int-to-bv i) - 1 ≤ k - 1 by arith
  hence (2::int) ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 1) ≤ 2 ^ (k - 1) by simp
  hence -((2::int) ^ (k - 1)) ≤ -(2 ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 1)) by simp
  also have ... ≤ i
  proof -
    have -(2 ^ (length (int-to-bv i) - 1)) ≤ bv-to-int (int-to-bv i)
    by (rule bv-to-int-lower-range)
    also have ... = i
    by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally have -(2 ^ (k - 1)) ≤ i .

```

with *wk* show *False* by *simp*
qed

54.6 Signed Arithmetic Operations

54.6.1 Conversion from unsigned to signed

definition

utos :: *bit list* => *bit list* **where**
utos w = *norm-signed* (**0** # *w*)

lemma *utos-type* [*simp*]: *utos* (*norm-unsigned w*) = *utos w*
by (*simp add: utos-def norm-signed-Cons*)

lemma *utos-returntype* [*simp*]: *norm-signed* (*utos w*) = *utos w*
by (*simp add: utos-def*)

lemma *utos-length*: *length* (*utos w*) ≤ *Suc* (*length w*)
by (*simp add: utos-def norm-signed-Cons*)

lemma *bv-to-int-utos*: *bv-to-int* (*utos w*) = *int* (*bv-to-nat w*)

proof (*simp add: utos-def norm-signed-Cons, safe*)

assume *norm-unsigned w* = []

hence *bv-to-nat* (*norm-unsigned w*) = 0 by *simp*

thus *bv-to-nat w* = 0 by *simp*

qed

54.6.2 Unary minus

definition

bv-uminus :: *bit list* => *bit list* **where**
bv-uminus w = *int-to-bv* (− *bv-to-int w*)

lemma *bv-uminus-type* [*simp*]: *bv-uminus* (*norm-signed w*) = *bv-uminus w*
by (*simp add: bv-uminus-def*)

lemma *bv-uminus-returntype* [*simp*]: *norm-signed* (*bv-uminus w*) = *bv-uminus w*
by (*simp add: bv-uminus-def*)

lemma *bv-uminus-length*: *length* (*bv-uminus w*) ≤ *Suc* (*length w*)

proof −

have $1 < -bv\text{-to-int } w \vee -bv\text{-to-int } w = 1 \vee -bv\text{-to-int } w = 0 \vee -bv\text{-to-int } w = -1 \vee -bv\text{-to-int } w < -1$

by *arith*

thus ?thesis

proof *safe*

assume *p*: $1 < -bv\text{-to-int } w$

have *lw*: $0 < \text{length } w$

apply (*rule neg-length-pos*)

using *p*

```

    apply simp
  done
show ?thesis
proof (simp add: bv-uminus-def, rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0, simp-all)
  from prems show bv-to-int w < 0 by simp
next
  have  $-(2^{(\text{length } w - 1)}) \leq \text{bv-to-int } w$ 
    by (rule bv-to-int-lower-range)
  hence  $-\text{bv-to-int } w \leq 2^{(\text{length } w - 1)}$  by simp
  also from lw have  $\dots < 2^{\text{length } w}$  by simp
  finally show  $-\text{bv-to-int } w < 2^{\text{length } w}$  by simp
qed
next
  assume p:  $-\text{bv-to-int } w = 1$ 
  hence lw:  $0 < \text{length } w$  by (cases w) simp-all
  from p
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
    using lw
    apply (simp (no-asm) add: nat-to-bv-non0)
    done
next
  assume  $-\text{bv-to-int } w = 0$ 
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume p:  $-\text{bv-to-int } w = -1$ 
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume p:  $-\text{bv-to-int } w < -1$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1)
    apply (rule p)
    apply simp
  proof -
    have  $\text{bv-to-int } w < 2^{(\text{length } w - 1)}$ 
      by (rule bv-to-int-upper-range)
    also have  $\dots \leq 2^{\text{length } w}$  by simp
    finally show  $\text{bv-to-int } w \leq 2^{\text{length } w}$  by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma bv-uminus-length-utos:  $\text{length } (\text{bv-uminus } (\text{utos } w)) \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } w)$ 
proof -
  have  $-\text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w) = 0 \vee -\text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w) = -1 \vee -\text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w) < -1$ 
    by (simp add: bv-to-int-utos, arith)
  thus ?thesis

```

```

proof safe
  assume  $-bv\text{-}to\text{-}int\ (utos\ w) = 0$ 
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume  $-bv\text{-}to\text{-}int\ (utos\ w) = -1$ 
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume  $p: -bv\text{-}to\text{-}int\ (utos\ w) < -1$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-uminus-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1)
    apply (rule p)
    apply (simp add: bv-to-int-utos)
    using bv-to-nat-upper-range [of w]
    apply (simp add: int-nat-two-exp)
    done
qed
qed

definition
  bv-sadd :: [bit list, bit list] => bit list where
    bv-sadd w1 w2 = int-to-bv (bv-to-int w1 + bv-to-int w2)

lemma bv-sadd-type1 [simp]: bv-sadd (norm-signed w1) w2 = bv-sadd w1 w2
  by (simp add: bv-sadd-def)

lemma bv-sadd-type2 [simp]: bv-sadd w1 (norm-signed w2) = bv-sadd w1 w2
  by (simp add: bv-sadd-def)

lemma bv-sadd-returntype [simp]: norm-signed (bv-sadd w1 w2) = bv-sadd w1 w2
  by (simp add: bv-sadd-def)

lemma adder-helper:
  assumes lw:  $0 < \max\ (length\ w1)\ (length\ w2)$ 
  shows  $((2::int) ^ (length\ w1 - 1)) + (2 ^ (length\ w2 - 1)) \leq 2 ^ \max\ (length\ w1)\ (length\ w2)$ 
proof -
  have  $((2::int) ^ (length\ w1 - 1)) + (2 ^ (length\ w2 - 1)) \leq$ 
     $2 ^ (\max\ (length\ w1)\ (length\ w2) - 1) + 2 ^ (\max\ (length\ w1)\ (length\ w2)$ 
     $- 1)$ 
  apply (cases length w1 ≤ length w2)
  apply (auto simp add: max-def)
  done
also have  $\dots = 2 ^ \max\ (length\ w1)\ (length\ w2)$ 
proof -
  from lw
  show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (subst power-Suc [symmetric])

```

```

    apply (simp del: power-int.simps)
  done
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bv-sadd-length: length (bv-sadd w1 w2) ≤ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2))
proof -
  let ?Q = bv-to-int w1 + bv-to-int w2

  have helper: ?Q ≠ 0 ==> 0 < max (length w1) (length w2)
  proof -
    assume p: ?Q ≠ 0
    show 0 < max (length w1) (length w2)
    proof (simp add: less-max-iff-disj, rule)
      assume [simp]: w1 = []
      show w2 ≠ []
      proof (rule ccontr, simp)
        assume [simp]: w2 = []
        from p show False by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed

  have 0 < ?Q ∨ ?Q = 0 ∨ ?Q = -1 ∨ ?Q < -1 by arith
  thus ?thesis
  proof safe
    assume ?Q = 0
    thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: bv-sadd-def)
  next
    assume ?Q = -1
    thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: bv-sadd-def)
  next
    assume p: 0 < ?Q
    show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-sadd-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0)
    apply (rule p)
  proof simp
    from bv-to-int-upper-range [of w2]
    have bv-to-int w2 ≤ 2 ^ (length w2 - 1)
    by simp
    with bv-to-int-upper-range [of w1]
    have bv-to-int w1 + bv-to-int w2 < (2 ^ (length w1 - 1)) + (2 ^ (length w2
- 1))
    by (rule zadd-zless-mono)
  
```

```

    also have ...  $\leq 2^{\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2)}$ 
    apply (rule adder-helper)
    apply (rule helper)
    using p
    apply simp
    done
  finally show  $?Q < 2^{\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2)}$  .
qed
next
  assume p:  $?Q < -1$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-sadd-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1, simp-all)
    apply (rule p)
  proof -
    have  $(2^{(\text{length } w1 - 1)} + 2^{(\text{length } w2 - 1)}) \leq (2::\text{int})^{\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2)}$ 
    apply (rule adder-helper)
    apply (rule helper)
    using p
    apply simp
    done
    hence  $-(2^{\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2)}) \leq -(2^{(\text{length } w1 - 1)}) + -(2^{(\text{length } w2 - 1)})$ 
    by simp
    also have  $-(2^{(\text{length } w1 - 1)}) + -(2^{(\text{length } w2 - 1)}) \leq ?Q$ 
    apply (rule add-mono)
    apply (rule bv-to-int-lower-range [of w1])
    apply (rule bv-to-int-lower-range [of w2])
    done
    finally show  $-(2^{\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2)}) \leq ?Q$  .
  qed
qed
qed

```

definition

$\text{bv-sub} :: [\text{bit list}, \text{bit list}] \Rightarrow \text{bit list}$ **where**
 $\text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2 = \text{bv-sadd } w1 \ (\text{bv-uminus } w2)$

lemma *bv-sub-type1* [simp]: $\text{bv-sub } (\text{norm-signed } w1) \ w2 = \text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-sub-def)

lemma *bv-sub-type2* [simp]: $\text{bv-sub } w1 \ (\text{norm-signed } w2) = \text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-sub-def)

lemma *bv-sub-returntype* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } (\text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2) = \text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-sub-def)

lemma *bv-sub-length*: $\text{length } (\text{bv-sub } w1 \ w2) \leq \text{Suc } (\max(\text{length } w1) (\text{length } w2))$


```

proof (cases bv-to-int w2 = 0)
  assume p: bv-to-int w2 = 0
  show ?thesis
proof (simp add: bv-sub-def bv-sadd-def bv-uminus-def p)
  have length (norm-signed w1) ≤ length w1
    by (rule norm-signed-length)
  also have ... ≤ max (length w1) (length w2)
    by (rule le-maxI1)
  also have ... ≤ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2))
    by arith
  finally show length (norm-signed w1) ≤ Suc (max (length w1) (length w2)) .
qed
next
  assume bv-to-int w2 ≠ 0
  hence 0 < length w2 by (cases w2, simp-all)
  hence lmw: 0 < max (length w1) (length w2) by arith

  let ?Q = bv-to-int w1 - bv-to-int w2

  have 0 < ?Q ∨ ?Q = 0 ∨ ?Q = -1 ∨ ?Q < -1 by arith
  thus ?thesis
proof safe
  assume ?Q = 0
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: bv-sub-def bv-sadd-def bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume ?Q = -1
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: bv-sub-def bv-sadd-def bv-uminus-def)
next
  assume p: 0 < ?Q
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-sub-def bv-sadd-def bv-uminus-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0)
    apply (rule p)
proof simp
  from bv-to-int-lower-range [of w2]
  have v2: - bv-to-int w2 ≤ 2 ^ (length w2 - 1) by simp
  have bv-to-int w1 + - bv-to-int w2 < (2 ^ (length w1 - 1)) + (2 ^ (length
w2 - 1))
    apply (rule zadd-zless-mono)
    apply (rule bv-to-int-upper-range [of w1])
    apply (rule v2)
  done
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ max (length w1) (length w2)
    apply (rule adder-helper)
    apply (rule lmw)
  done
  finally show ?Q < 2 ^ max (length w1) (length w2) by simp

```

```

qed
next
  assume p: ?Q < -1
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-sub-def bv-sadd-def bv-uminus-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1)
    apply (rule p)
  proof simp
    have  $(2^{\text{length } w1 - 1}) + 2^{\text{length } w2 - 1} \leq (2::\text{int})^{\max(\text{length } w1, \text{length } w2)}$ 
    apply (rule adder-helper)
    apply (rule lmw)
    done
    hence  $-(2^{\max(\text{length } w1, \text{length } w2)}) \leq -(2^{\text{length } w1 - 1}) + -(2^{\text{length } w2 - 1})$ 
    by simp
    also have  $-(2^{\text{length } w1 - 1}) + -(2^{\text{length } w2 - 1}) \leq \text{bv-to-int } w1 + \text{bv-to-int } w2$ 
    apply (rule add-mono)
    apply (rule bv-to-int-lower-range [of w1])
    using bv-to-int-upper-range [of w2]
    apply simp
    done
    finally show  $-(2^{\max(\text{length } w1, \text{length } w2)}) \leq ?Q$  by simp
  qed
qed
qed

```

definition

$\text{bv-smult} :: [\text{bit list}, \text{bit list}] \Rightarrow \text{bit list}$ **where**
 $\text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2 = \text{int-to-bv } (\text{bv-to-int } w1 * \text{bv-to-int } w2)$

lemma *bv-smult-type1* [simp]: $\text{bv-smult } (\text{norm-signed } w1) \ w2 = \text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-smult-def)

lemma *bv-smult-type2* [simp]: $\text{bv-smult } w1 \ (\text{norm-signed } w2) = \text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-smult-def)

lemma *bv-smult-returntype* [simp]: $\text{norm-signed } (\text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2) = \text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2$
by (simp add: bv-smult-def)

lemma *bv-smult-length*: $\text{length } (\text{bv-smult } w1 \ w2) \leq \text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2$

proof –

let $?Q = \text{bv-to-int } w1 * \text{bv-to-int } w2$

have $\text{lmw}: ?Q \neq 0 \Rightarrow 0 < \text{length } w1 \wedge 0 < \text{length } w2$ **by** auto

have $0 < ?Q \vee ?Q = 0 \vee ?Q = -1 \vee ?Q < -1$ **by** arith

```

thus ?thesis
proof (safe dest!: iffD1 [OF mult-eq-0-iff])
  assume bv-to-int w1 = 0
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-smult-def)
next
  assume bv-to-int w2 = 0
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-smult-def)
next
  assume p: ?Q = -1
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-smult-def p)
    apply (cut-tac lmw)
    apply arith
    using p
    apply simp
    done
next
  assume p: 0 < ?Q
  thus ?thesis
  proof (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff, safe)
    assume bi1: 0 < bv-to-int w1
    assume bi2: 0 < bv-to-int w2
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: bv-smult-def)
      apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0)
      apply (rule p)
    proof simp
      have ?Q < 2 ^ (length w1 - 1) * 2 ^ (length w2 - 1)
        apply (rule mult-strict-mono)
        apply (rule bv-to-int-upper-range)
        apply (rule bv-to-int-upper-range)
        apply (rule zero-less-power)
        apply simp
        using bi2
        apply simp
        done
      also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0)
        apply simp
        apply (subst zpower-zadd-distrib [symmetric])
        apply simp
        done
      finally show ?Q < 2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0) .
    qed
  next
    assume bi1: bv-to-int w1 < 0
    assume bi2: bv-to-int w2 < 0
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: bv-smult-def)
      apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0)

```

```

    apply (rule p)
  proof simp
    have  $-bv\text{-to-int } w1 * -bv\text{-to-int } w2 \leq 2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 - 1) * 2^{\wedge} (length\ w2 - 1)$ 
      apply (rule mult-mono)
      using bv-to-int-lower-range [of w1]
      apply simp
      using bv-to-int-lower-range [of w2]
      apply simp
      apply (rule zero-le-power,simp)
      using bi2
      apply simp
    done
  hence  $?Q \leq 2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 - 1) * 2^{\wedge} (length\ w2 - 1)$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots < 2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 + length\ w2 - Suc\ 0)$ 
    apply simp
    apply (subst zpower-zadd-distrib [symmetric])
    apply simp
    apply (cut-tac lmw)
    apply arith
    apply (cut-tac p)
    apply arith
  done
  finally show  $?Q < 2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 + length\ w2 - Suc\ 0)$  .
qed
qed
next
  assume p:  $?Q < -1$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst bv-smult-def)
    apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1)
    apply (rule p)
  proof simp
    have  $(2::int)^{\wedge} (length\ w1 - 1) * 2^{\wedge} (length\ w2 - 1) \leq 2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 + length\ w2 - Suc\ 0)$ 
      apply simp
      apply (subst zpower-zadd-distrib [symmetric])
      apply simp
    done
  hence  $-(2::int)^{\wedge} (length\ w1 + length\ w2 - Suc\ 0) \leq -(2^{\wedge} (length\ w1 - 1) * 2^{\wedge} (length\ w2 - 1))$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots \leq ?Q$ 
  proof -
    from p
    have q:  $bv\text{-to-int } w1 * bv\text{-to-int } w2 < 0$ 
      by simp
    thus ?thesis

```

```

proof (simp add: mult-less-0-iff, safe)
  assume bi1: 0 < bv-to-int w1
  assume bi2: bv-to-int w2 < 0
  have -bv-to-int w2 * bv-to-int w1 ≤ ((2::int)^(length w2 - 1)) * (2 ^
(length w1 - 1))
    apply (rule mult-mono)
    using bv-to-int-lower-range [of w2]
    apply simp
    using bv-to-int-upper-range [of w1]
    apply simp
    apply (rule zero-le-power, simp)
    using bi1
    apply simp
  done
hence -?Q ≤ ((2::int)^(length w1 - 1)) * (2 ^ (length w2 - 1))
  by (simp add: zmult-ac)
thus -(((2::int)^(length w1 - Suc 0)) * (2 ^ (length w2 - Suc 0))) ≤
?Q
  by simp
next
assume bi1: bv-to-int w1 < 0
assume bi2: 0 < bv-to-int w2
have -bv-to-int w1 * bv-to-int w2 ≤ ((2::int)^(length w1 - 1)) * (2 ^
(length w2 - 1))
  apply (rule mult-mono)
  using bv-to-int-lower-range [of w1]
  apply simp
  using bv-to-int-upper-range [of w2]
  apply simp
  apply (rule zero-le-power, simp)
  using bi2
  apply simp
done
hence -?Q ≤ ((2::int)^(length w1 - 1)) * (2 ^ (length w2 - 1))
  by (simp add: zmult-ac)
thus -(((2::int)^(length w1 - Suc 0)) * (2 ^ (length w2 - Suc 0))) ≤
?Q
  by simp
qed
qed
finally show -(2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0)) ≤ ?Q .
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *bv-msb-one*: $\text{bv-msb } w = \mathbf{1} \implies \text{bv-to-nat } w \neq 0$
by (cases w) simp-all

lemma *bv-smult-length-utos*: $\text{length } (\text{bv-smult } (\text{utos } w1) w2) \leq \text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2$

```

w2
proof -
  let ?Q = bv-to-int (utos w1) * bv-to-int w2

  have lmw: ?Q ≠ 0 ==> 0 < length (utos w1) ∧ 0 < length w2 by auto

  have 0 < ?Q ∨ ?Q = 0 ∨ ?Q = -1 ∨ ?Q < -1 by arith
  thus ?thesis
proof (safe dest!: iffD1 [OF mult-eq-0-iff])
  assume bv-to-int (utos w1) = 0
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-smult-def)
next
  assume bv-to-int w2 = 0
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-smult-def)
next
  assume p: 0 < ?Q
  thus ?thesis
proof (simp add: zero-less-mult-iff,safe)
  assume biw2: 0 < bv-to-int w2
  show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: bv-smult-def)
  apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-gt0)
  apply (rule p)
proof simp
  have ?Q < 2 ^ length w1 * 2 ^ (length w2 - 1)
  apply (rule mult-strict-mono)
  apply (simp add: bv-to-int-utos int-nat-two-exp)
  apply (rule bv-to-nat-upper-range)
  apply (rule bv-to-int-upper-range)
  apply (rule zero-less-power,simp)
  using biw2
  apply simp
  done
  also have ... ≤ 2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0)
  apply simp
  apply (subst zpower-zadd-distrib [symmetric])
  apply simp
  apply (cut-tac lmw)
  apply arith
  using p
  apply auto
  done
  finally show ?Q < 2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0) .
qed
next
  assume bv-to-int (utos w1) < 0
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: bv-to-int-utos)
qed
next

```

```

    assume p: ?Q = -1
    thus ?thesis
      apply (simp add: bv-smult-def)
      apply (cut-tac lmw)
      apply arith
      apply simp
      done
  next
    assume p: ?Q < -1
    show ?thesis
      apply (subst bv-smult-def)
      apply (rule length-int-to-bv-upper-limit-lem1)
      apply (rule p)
    proof simp
      have  $(2::int) ^ \text{length } w1 * 2 ^ (\text{length } w2 - 1) \leq 2 ^ (\text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2 - \text{Suc } 0)$ 
      apply simp
      apply (subst zpower-zadd-distrib [symmetric])
      apply simp
      apply (cut-tac lmw)
      apply arith
      apply (cut-tac p)
      apply arith
      done
      hence  $-((2::int) ^ (\text{length } w1 + \text{length } w2 - \text{Suc } 0)) \leq -(2 ^ \text{length } w1 * 2 ^ (\text{length } w2 - 1))$ 
      by simp
      also have ... ≤ ?Q
    proof -
      from p
      have q:  $\text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w1) * \text{bv-to-int } w2 < 0$ 
      by simp
      thus ?thesis
      proof (simp add: mult-less-0-iff, safe)
        assume bi1:  $0 < \text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w1)$ 
        assume bi2:  $\text{bv-to-int } w2 < 0$ 
        have  $-\text{bv-to-int } w2 * \text{bv-to-int } (\text{utos } w1) \leq ((2::int) ^ (\text{length } w2 - 1)) * (2 ^ \text{length } w1)$ 
        apply (rule mult-mono)
        using bv-to-int-lower-range [of w2]
        apply simp
        apply (simp add: bv-to-int-utos)
        using bv-to-nat-upper-range [of w1]
        apply (simp add: int-nat-two-exp)
        apply (rule zero-le-power, simp)
        using bi1
        apply simp
        done
        hence  $-?Q \leq ((2::int) ^ \text{length } w1) * (2 ^ (\text{length } w2 - 1))$ 

```

```

      by (simp add: zmult-ac)
    thus -(((2::int) ^ length w1) * (2 ^ (length w2 - Suc 0))) ≤ ?Q
      by simp
  next
    assume bi1: bv-to-int (utos w1) < 0
    thus -(((2::int) ^ length w1) * (2 ^ (length w2 - Suc 0))) ≤ ?Q
      by (simp add: bv-to-int-utos)
  qed
qed
finally show -(2 ^ (length w1 + length w2 - Suc 0)) ≤ ?Q .
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *bv-smult-sym*: $bv-smult\ w1\ w2 = bv-smult\ w2\ w1$
 by (simp add: bv-smult-def zmult-ac)

54.7 Structural operations

definition

bv-select :: $[bit\ list, nat] \Rightarrow bit$ **where**
bv-select $w\ i = w\ !\ (length\ w - 1 - i)$

definition

bv-chop :: $[bit\ list, nat] \Rightarrow bit\ list * bit\ list$ **where**
bv-chop $w\ i = (let\ len = length\ w\ in\ (take\ (len - i)\ w, drop\ (len - i)\ w))$

definition

bv-slice :: $[bit\ list, nat * nat] \Rightarrow bit\ list$ **where**
bv-slice $w = (\lambda(b, e).\ fst\ (bv-chop\ (snd\ (bv-chop\ w\ (b+1)))\ e))$

lemma

bv-select-rev:

assumes *nonnull*: $n < length\ w$
shows $bv-select\ w\ n = rev\ w\ !\ n$

proof

 –

have $\forall n. n < length\ w \longrightarrow bv-select\ w\ n = rev\ w\ !\ n$

proof (rule *length-induct* [of - w], auto simp add: *bv-select-def*)

fix $xs :: bit\ list$

fix n

assume *ind*: $\forall ys :: bit\ list. length\ ys < length\ xs \longrightarrow (\forall n. n < length\ ys \longrightarrow ys\ !\ (length\ ys - Suc\ n) = rev\ ys\ !\ n)$

assume *notx*: $n < length\ xs$

show $xs\ !\ (length\ xs - Suc\ n) = rev\ xs\ !\ n$

proof (cases xs)

assume $xs = []$

with *notx* **show** ?thesis **by** simp

next

fix $y\ ys$

assume [*simp*]: $xs = y \# ys$


```

show ?thesis
proof (auto simp add: nth-append)
  assume noty:  $n < \text{length } ys$ 
  from spec [OF ind, of ys]
  have  $\forall n. n < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow ys ! (\text{length } ys - \text{Suc } n) = \text{rev } ys ! n$ 
    by simp
  hence  $n < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow ys ! (\text{length } ys - \text{Suc } n) = \text{rev } ys ! n ..$ 
  from this and noty
  have  $ys ! (\text{length } ys - \text{Suc } n) = \text{rev } ys ! n ..$ 
  thus  $(y \# ys) ! (\text{length } ys - n) = \text{rev } ys ! n$ 
    by (simp add: nth-Cons' noty linorder-not-less [symmetric])
next
  assume  $\sim n < \text{length } ys$ 
  hence  $x: \text{length } ys \leq n$  by simp
  from notx have  $n < \text{Suc } (\text{length } ys)$  by simp
  hence  $n \leq \text{length } ys$  by simp
  with x have  $\text{length } ys = n$  by simp
  thus  $y = [y] ! (n - \text{length } ys)$  by simp
qed
qed
qed
then have  $n < \text{length } w \longrightarrow \text{bv-select } w \ n = \text{rev } w ! n ..$ 
from this and notnull show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma bv-chop-append:  $\text{bv-chop } (w1 @ w2) (\text{length } w2) = (w1, w2)$ 
  by (simp add: bv-chop-def Let-def)

lemma append-bv-chop-id:  $\text{fst } (\text{bv-chop } w \ l) @ \text{snd } (\text{bv-chop } w \ l) = w$ 
  by (simp add: bv-chop-def Let-def)

lemma bv-chop-length-fst [simp]:  $\text{length } (\text{fst } (\text{bv-chop } w \ i)) = \text{length } w - i$ 
  by (simp add: bv-chop-def Let-def)

lemma bv-chop-length-snd [simp]:  $\text{length } (\text{snd } (\text{bv-chop } w \ i)) = \min i (\text{length } w)$ 
  by (simp add: bv-chop-def Let-def)

lemma bv-slice-length [simp]:  $[[j \leq i; i < \text{length } w]] \Longrightarrow \text{length } (\text{bv-slice } w \ (i, j)) = i - j + 1$ 
  by (auto simp add: bv-slice-def)

definition
  length-nat ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
    length-nat  $x = (\text{LEAST } n. x < 2 ^ n)$ 

lemma length-nat:  $\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) = \text{length-nat } n$ 
  apply (simp add: length-nat-def)
  apply (rule Least-equality [symmetric])
  prefer 2

```

```

apply (rule length-nat-to-bv-upper-limit)
apply arith
apply (rule ccontr)
proof –
  assume  $\sim n < 2 \wedge \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$ 
  hence  $2 \wedge \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) \leq n$  by simp
  hence  $\text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n) < \text{length } (\text{nat-to-bv } n)$ 
    by (rule length-nat-to-bv-lower-limit)
  thus False by simp
qed

```

```

lemma length-nat-0 [simp]: length-nat 0 = 0
  by (simp add: length-nat-def Least-equality)

```

```

lemma length-nat-non0:
  assumes n0:  $n \neq 0$ 
  shows length-nat  $n = \text{Suc } (\text{length-nat } (n \text{ div } 2))$ 
  apply (simp add: length-nat [symmetric])
  apply (subst nat-to-bv-non0 [of n])
  apply (simp-all add: n0)
  done

```

```

definition
  length-int :: int => nat where
    length-int x =
      (if 0 < x then Suc (length-nat (nat x))
       else if x = 0 then 0
       else Suc (length-nat (nat (-x - 1))))

```

```

lemma length-int: length (int-to-bv i) = length-int i
proof (cases 0 < i)
  assume i0: 0 < i
  hence length (int-to-bv i) =
    length (norm-signed (0 # norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv (nat i)))) by simp
  also from norm-unsigned-result [of nat-to-bv (nat i)]
  have ... = Suc (length-nat (nat i))
    apply safe
    apply (simp del: norm-unsigned-nat-to-bv)
    apply (drule norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero)
    using prems
    apply simp
    apply (cases norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv (nat i)))
    apply (drule norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero [of nat-to-bv (nat i)])
    using prems
    apply simp
    apply simp
    using prems
    apply (simp add: length-nat [symmetric])
  done

```

```

    finally show ?thesis
      using i0
      by (simp add: length-int-def)
next
  assume ~ 0 < i
  hence i0: i ≤ 0 by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases i = 0)
    assume i = 0
    thus ?thesis by (simp add: length-int-def)
  next
    assume i ≠ 0
    with i0 have i0: i < 0 by simp
    hence length (int-to-bv i) =
      length (norm-signed (1 # bv-not (norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv (nat (- i) -
1))))))
      by (simp add: int-to-bv-def nat-diff-distrib)
    also from norm-unsigned-result [of nat-to-bv (nat (- i) - 1)]
    have ... = Suc (length-nat (nat (- i) - 1))
      apply safe
      apply (simp del: norm-unsigned-nat-to-bv)
      apply (drule norm-empty-bv-to-nat-zero [of nat-to-bv (nat (- i) - Suc 0)])
      using prems
      apply simp
      apply (cases - i - 1 = 0)
      apply simp
      apply (simp add: length-nat [symmetric])
      apply (cases norm-unsigned (nat-to-bv (nat (- i) - 1)))
      apply simp
      apply simp
    done
  finally
  show ?thesis
    using i0 by (simp add: length-int-def nat-diff-distrib del: int-to-bv-lt0)
qed
qed

lemma length-int-0 [simp]: length-int 0 = 0
  by (simp add: length-int-def)

lemma length-int-gt0: 0 < i ==> length-int i = Suc (length-nat (nat i))
  by (simp add: length-int-def)

lemma length-int-lt0: i < 0 ==> length-int i = Suc (length-nat (nat (- i) - 1))
  by (simp add: length-int-def nat-diff-distrib)

lemma bv-chopI: [| w = w1 @ w2 ; i = length w2 |] ==> bv-chop w i = (w1, w2)
  by (simp add: bv-chop-def Let-def)

```

```

lemma bv-sliceI: [|  $j \leq i$  ;  $i < \text{length } w$  ;  $w = w1 \text{ @ } w2 \text{ @ } w3$  ;  $\text{Suc } i = \text{length } w2 + j$  ;  $j = \text{length } w3$  |] ==> bv-slice  $w \ (i,j) = w2$ 
  apply (simp add: bv-slice-def)
  apply (subst bv-chopI [of  $w1 \text{ @ } w2 \text{ @ } w3 \ w1 \ w2 \text{ @ } w3$ ])
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (subst bv-chopI [of  $w2 \text{ @ } w3 \ w2 \ w3$ ],simp-all)
  done

lemma bv-slice-bv-slice:
  assumes ki:  $k \leq i$ 
  and ij:  $i \leq j$ 
  and jl:  $j \leq l$ 
  and lw:  $l < \text{length } w$ 
  shows bv-slice  $w \ (j,i) = \text{bv-slice} \ (\text{bv-slice } w \ (l,k)) \ (j-k,i-k)$ 
proof -
  def w1 == fst (bv-chop  $w \ (\text{Suc } l)$ )
  and w2 == fst (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop  $w \ (\text{Suc } l)$ )) (Suc  $j$ ))
  and w3 == fst (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop  $w \ (\text{Suc } l)$ )) (Suc  $j$ )))  $i$ )
  and w4 == fst (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop  $w \ (\text{Suc } l)$ )) (Suc  $j$ )))  $i$ ))  $k$ )
  and w5 == snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop (snd (bv-chop  $w \ (\text{Suc } l)$ )) (Suc  $j$ )))  $i$ ))  $k$ )
  note w-defs = this

  have w-def:  $w = w1 \text{ @ } w2 \text{ @ } w3 \text{ @ } w4 \text{ @ } w5$ 
  by (simp add: w-defs append-bv-chop-id)

  from ki ij jl lw
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst bv-sliceI [where ?j =  $i$  and ?i =  $j$  and ?w =  $w$  and ?w1.0 =  $w1 \text{ @ } w2$  and ?w2.0 =  $w3$  and ?w3.0 =  $w4 \text{ @ } w5$ ])
    apply simp-all
    apply (rule w-def)
    apply (simp add: w-defs min-def)
    apply (simp add: w-defs min-def)
    apply (subst bv-sliceI [where ?j =  $k$  and ?i =  $l$  and ?w =  $w$  and ?w1.0 =  $w1$  and ?w2.0 =  $w2 \text{ @ } w3 \text{ @ } w4$  and ?w3.0 =  $w5$ ])
    apply simp-all
    apply (rule w-def)
    apply (simp add: w-defs min-def)
    apply (simp add: w-defs min-def)
    apply (subst bv-sliceI [where ?j =  $i-k$  and ?i =  $j-k$  and ?w =  $w2 \text{ @ } w3 \text{ @ } w4$  and ?w1.0 =  $w2$  and ?w2.0 =  $w3$  and ?w3.0 =  $w4$ ])
    apply simp-all
    apply (simp-all add: w-defs min-def)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma bv-to-nat-extend [simp]: bv-to-nat (bv-extend n 0 w) = bv-to-nat w
  apply (simp add: bv-extend-def)
  apply (subst bv-to-nat-dist-append)
  apply simp
  apply (induct n - length w)
  apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma bv-msb-extend-same [simp]: bv-msb w = b ==> bv-msb (bv-extend n b w)
= b
  apply (simp add: bv-extend-def)
  apply (induct n - length w)
  apply simp-all
done

```

```

lemma bv-to-int-extend [simp]:
  assumes a: bv-msb w = b
  shows bv-to-int (bv-extend n b w) = bv-to-int w
proof (cases bv-msb w)
  assume [simp]: bv-msb w = 0
  with a have [simp]: b = 0 by simp
  show ?thesis by (simp add: bv-to-int-def)
next
  assume [simp]: bv-msb w = 1
  with a have [simp]: b = 1 by simp
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: bv-to-int-def)
    apply (simp add: bv-extend-def)
    apply (induct n - length w, simp-all)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma length-nat-mono [simp]: x ≤ y ==> length-nat x ≤ length-nat y
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume xy: x ≤ y
  assume ~ length-nat x ≤ length-nat y
  hence lxly: length-nat y < length-nat x
    by simp
  hence length-nat y < (LEAST n. x < 2 ^ n)
    by (simp add: length-nat-def)
  hence ~ x < 2 ^ length-nat y
    by (rule not-less-Least)
  hence xx: 2 ^ length-nat y ≤ x
    by simp
  have yy: y < 2 ^ length-nat y
    apply (simp add: length-nat-def)
    apply (rule LeastI)
    apply (subgoal-tac y < 2 ^ y, assumption)

```

```

    apply (cases 0 ≤ y)
    apply (induct y, simp-all)
    done
  with xx have y < x by simp
  with xy show False by simp
qed

```

```

lemma length-nat-mono-int [simp]: x ≤ y ==> length-nat x ≤ length-nat y
  by (rule length-nat-mono) arith

```

```

lemma length-nat-pos [simp, intro!]: 0 < x ==> 0 < length-nat x
  by (simp add: length-nat-non0)

```

```

lemma length-int-mono-gt0: [| 0 ≤ x ; x ≤ y |] ==> length-int x ≤ length-int y
  by (cases x = 0) (simp-all add: length-int-gt0 nat-le-eq-zle)

```

```

lemma length-int-mono-lt0: [| x ≤ y ; y ≤ 0 |] ==> length-int y ≤ length-int x
  by (cases y = 0) (simp-all add: length-int-lt0)

```

```

lemmas [simp] = length-nat-non0

```

```

lemma nat-to-bv (number-of Int.Pls) = []
  by simp

```

consts

```

  fast-bv-to-nat-helper :: [bit list, int] => int

```

primrec

```

  fast-bv-to-nat-Nil: fast-bv-to-nat-helper [] k = k
  fast-bv-to-nat-Cons: fast-bv-to-nat-helper (b#bs) k =
    fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs ((bit-case Int.Bit0 Int.Bit1 b) k)

```

```

lemma fast-bv-to-nat-Cons0: fast-bv-to-nat-helper (0#bs) bin =
  fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs (Int.Bit0 bin)
  by simp

```

```

lemma fast-bv-to-nat-Cons1: fast-bv-to-nat-helper (1#bs) bin =
  fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs (Int.Bit1 bin)
  by simp

```

lemma fast-bv-to-nat-def:

```

  bv-to-nat bs == number-of (fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs Int.Pls)

```

proof (simp add: bv-to-nat-def)

```

  have ∀ bin. ¬ (neg (number-of bin :: int)) ⟶ (foldl (%bn b. 2 * bn + bitval b)
    (number-of bin) bs) = number-of (fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs bin)

```

```

    apply (induct bs, simp)
    apply (case-tac a, simp-all)
    done

```

```

  thus foldl (λbn b. 2 * bn + bitval b) 0 bs ≡ number-of (fast-bv-to-nat-helper bs
    Int.Pls)

```

by (simp del: nat-numeral-0-eq-0 add: nat-numeral-0-eq-0 [symmetric])
qed

declare fast-bv-to-nat-Cons [simp del]
declare fast-bv-to-nat-Cons0 [simp]
declare fast-bv-to-nat-Cons1 [simp]

setup <<

(*comments containing lcp are the removal of fast-bv-of-nat*)

let

```

fun is-const-bool (Const(True,-)) = true
  | is-const-bool (Const(False,-)) = true
  | is-const-bool - = false
fun is-const-bit (Const(Word.bit.Zero,-)) = true
  | is-const-bit (Const(Word.bit.One,-)) = true
  | is-const-bit - = false
fun num-is-usable (Const(@{const-name Int.Pl},-)) = true
  | num-is-usable (Const(@{const-name Int.Min},-)) = false
  | num-is-usable (Const(@{const-name Int.Bit0},-) $ w) =
    num-is-usable w
  | num-is-usable (Const(@{const-name Int.Bit1},-) $ w) =
    num-is-usable w
  | num-is-usable - = false
fun vec-is-usable (Const(List.list.Nil,-)) = true
  | vec-is-usable (Const(List.list.Cons,-) $ b $ bs) =
    vec-is-usable bs andalso is-const-bit b
  | vec-is-usable - = false
(*lcp** val fast1-th = PureThy.get-thm thy Word.fast-nat-to-bv-def*)
val fast2-th = @{thm Word.fast-bv-to-nat-def};
(*lcp** fun f sg thms (Const(Word.nat-to-bv,-) $ (Const(@{const-name Int.number-of},-)
$ t)) =
  if num-is-usable t
  then SOME (Drule.ctrm-instantiate [(ctrm-of sg (Var ((w, 0), @{typ int})),
ctrm-of sg t]) fast1-th)
  else NONE
  | f - - - = NONE *)
fun g sg thms (Const(Word.bv-to-nat,-) $ (t as (Const(List.list.Cons,-) $ - $ -)))
=
```

```

  if vec-is-usable t then
    SOME (Drule.ctrm-instantiate [(ctrm-of sg (Var((bs,0), Type(List.list,[Type(Word.bit,[])]))),ctrm-of
sg t]) fast2-th)
  else NONE
  | g - - - = NONE
(*lcp** val simproc1 = Simplifier.simproc thy nat-to-bv [Word.nat-to-bv (number-of
w)] f *)
val simproc2 = Simplifier.simproc @{theory} bv-to-nat [Word.bv-to-nat (x #
xs)] g
in
  Simplifier.map-simpset (fn ss => ss addsimprocs [(lcp*simproc1,*)simproc2])

```

end⟩⟩

declare *bv-to-nat1* [*simp del*]

declare *bv-to-nat-helper* [*simp del*]

definition

bv-mapzip :: [*bit* => *bit* => *bit*, *bit list*, *bit list*] => *bit list* **where**

bv-mapzip *f* *w1* *w2* =

(*let* *g* = *bv-extend* (*max* (*length* *w1*) (*length* *w2*)) 0

in map (*split* *f*) (*zip* (*g* *w1*) (*g* *w2*)))

lemma *bv-length-bv-mapzip* [*simp*]:

length (*bv-mapzip* *f* *w1* *w2*) = *max* (*length* *w1*) (*length* *w2*)

by (*simp add: bv-mapzip-def Let-def split: split-max*)

lemma *bv-mapzip-Nil* [*simp*]: *bv-mapzip* *f* [] [] = []

by (*simp add: bv-mapzip-def Let-def*)

lemma *bv-mapzip-Cons* [*simp*]: *length* *w1* = *length* *w2* ==>

bv-mapzip *f* (*x* # *w1*) (*y* # *w2*) = *f* *x* *y* # *bv-mapzip* *f* *w1* *w2*

by (*simp add: bv-mapzip-def Let-def*)

end

55 Zorn: Zorn’s Lemma

theory *Zorn*

imports *Order-Relation*

begin

definition *chain-subset* :: '*a* set set => bool (*chain*_⊆) **where**

*chain*_⊆ *C* ≡ ∀ *A* ∈ *C*. ∀ *B* ∈ *C*. *A* ⊆ *B* ∨ *B* ⊆ *A*

The lemma and section numbers refer to an unpublished article [1].

definition

chain :: '*a* set set => '*a* set set set **where**

chain *S* = {*F*. *F* ⊆ *S* & *chain*_⊆ *F*}

definition

super :: ['*a* set set, '*a* set set] => '*a* set set set **where**

super *S* *c* = {*d*. *d* ∈ *chain* *S* & *c* ⊂ *d*}

definition

maxchain :: '*a* set set => '*a* set set set **where**

maxchain *S* = {*c*. *c* ∈ *chain* *S* & *super* *S* *c* = {}}

definition


```

succ      :: ['a set set, 'a set set] => 'a set set where
succ S c =
  (if c ∉ chain S | c ∈ maxchain S
   then c else SOME c'. c' ∈ super S c)

```

inductive-set

```

TFin :: 'a set set => 'a set set set
for S :: 'a set set
where
  succI:      x ∈ TFin S ==> succ S x ∈ TFin S
| Pow-UnionI: Y ∈ Pow(TFin S) ==> Union(Y) ∈ TFin S

```

55.1 Mathematical Preamble**lemma** *Union-lemma0*:

```

(∀ x ∈ C. x ⊆ A | B ⊆ x) ==> Union(C) ⊆ A | B ⊆ Union(C)
by blast

```

This is theorem *increasingD2* of ZF/Zorn.thy

lemma *Abrial-axiom1*: $x \subseteq \text{succ } S \ x$

```

apply (auto simp add: succ-def super-def maxchain-def)
apply (rule contrapos-np, assumption)
apply (rule-tac Q=λS. xa ∈ S in someI2, blast+)
done

```

lemmas *TFin-UnionI* = *TFin.Pow-UnionI* [*OF PowI*]**lemma** *TFin-induct*:

```

assumes H: n ∈ TFin S
and I: !!x. x ∈ TFin S ==> P x ==> P (succ S x)
  !!Y. Y ⊆ TFin S ==> Ball Y P ==> P(Union Y)
shows P n using H
apply (induct rule: TFin.induct [where P=P])
apply (blast intro: I)+
done

```

lemma *succ-trans*: $x \subseteq y ==> x \subseteq \text{succ } S \ y$

```

apply (erule subset-trans)
apply (rule Abrial-axiom1)
done

```

Lemma 1 of section 3.1

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma1*:

```

[[ n ∈ TFin S; m ∈ TFin S;
  ∀ x ∈ TFin S. x ⊆ m --> x = m | succ S x ⊆ m
]] ==> n ⊆ m | succ S m ⊆ n
apply (erule TFin-induct)
apply (erule-tac [2] Union-lemma0)
apply (blast del: subsetI intro: succ-trans)
done

```

Lemma 2 of section 3.2

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma2*:

$m \in TFin\ S ==> \forall n \in TFin\ S. n \subseteq m \dashv> n=m \mid succ\ S\ n \subseteq m$

apply (*erule TFin-induct*)

apply (*rule impI [THEN ballI]*)

case split using *TFin-linear-lemma1*

apply (*rule-tac n1 = n and m1 = x in TFin-linear-lemma1 [THEN disjE],*
assumption+)

apply (*drule-tac x = n in bspec, assumption*)

apply (*blast del: subsetI intro: succ-trans, blast*)

second induction step

apply (*rule impI [THEN ballI]*)

apply (*rule Union-lemma0 [THEN disjE]*)

apply (*rule-tac [3] disjI2*)

prefer 2 apply blast

apply (*rule ballI*)

apply (*rule-tac n1 = n and m1 = x in TFin-linear-lemma1 [THEN disjE],*
assumption+, auto)

apply (*blast intro!: Abrial-axiom1 [THEN subsetD]*)

done

Re-ordering the premises of Lemma 2

lemma *TFin-subsetD*:

$[| n \subseteq m; m \in TFin\ S; n \in TFin\ S |] ==> n=m \mid succ\ S\ n \subseteq m$

by (*rule TFin-linear-lemma2 [rule-format]*)

Consequences from section 3.3 – Property 3.2, the ordering is total

lemma *TFin-subset-linear*: $[| m \in TFin\ S; n \in TFin\ S |] ==> n \subseteq m \mid m \subseteq n$

apply (*rule disjE*)

apply (*rule TFin-linear-lemma1 [OF - TFin-linear-lemma2]*)

apply (*assumption+, erule disjI2*)

apply (*blast del: subsetI*

intro: subsetI Abrial-axiom1 [THEN subset-trans])

done

Lemma 3 of section 3.3

lemma *eq-succ-upper*: $[| n \in TFin\ S; m \in TFin\ S; m = succ\ S\ m |] ==> n \subseteq m$

apply (*erule TFin-induct*)

apply (*drule TFin-subsetD*)

apply (*assumption+, force, blast*)

done

Property 3.3 of section 3.3

lemma *equal-succ-Union*: $m \in TFin\ S ==> (m = succ\ S\ m) = (m = Union(TFin\ S))$

apply (*rule iffI*)

```

apply (rule Union-upper [THEN equalityI])
apply assumption
apply (rule eq-succ-upper [THEN Union-least], assumption+)
apply (erule ssubst)
apply (rule Abrial-axiom1 [THEN equalityI])
apply (blast del: subsetI intro: subsetI TFin-UnionI TFin.succI)
done

```

55.2 Hausdorff’s Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain.

NB: We assume the partial ordering is \subseteq , the subset relation!

```

lemma empty-set-mem-chain: ( $\{\}$  :: 'a set set)  $\in$  chain S
by (unfold chain-def chain-subset-def) auto

```

```

lemma super-subset-chain: super S c  $\subseteq$  chain S
by (unfold super-def) blast

```

```

lemma maxchain-subset-chain: maxchain S  $\subseteq$  chain S
by (unfold maxchain-def) blast

```

```

lemma mem-super-Ex: c  $\in$  chain S  $\rightarrow$  maxchain S  $\implies$  EX d. d  $\in$  super S c
by (unfold super-def maxchain-def) auto

```

```

lemma select-super:
  c  $\in$  chain S  $\rightarrow$  maxchain S  $\implies$  ( $\epsilon$  c'. c': super S c): super S c
apply (erule mem-super-Ex [THEN exE])
apply (rule someI2 [where Q=%X. X : super S c], auto)
done

```

```

lemma select-not-equals:
  c  $\in$  chain S  $\rightarrow$  maxchain S  $\implies$  ( $\epsilon$  c'. c': super S c)  $\neq$  c
apply (rule notI)
apply (erule select-super)
apply (simp add: super-def less-le)
done

```

```

lemma succI3: c  $\in$  chain S  $\rightarrow$  maxchain S  $\implies$  succ S c = ( $\epsilon$  c'. c': super S c)
by (unfold succ-def) (blast intro!: if-not-P)

```

```

lemma succ-not-equals: c  $\in$  chain S  $\rightarrow$  maxchain S  $\implies$  succ S c  $\neq$  c
apply (erule succI3)
apply (simp (no-asm-simp))
apply (rule select-not-equals, assumption)
done

```

```

lemma TFin-chain-lemma4: c  $\in$  TFin S  $\implies$  (c :: 'a set set): chain S
apply (erule TFin-induct)
apply (simp add: succ-def select-super [THEN super-subset-chain] [THEN sub-

```

```

setD]])
  apply (unfold chain-def chain-subset-def)
  apply (rule CollectI, safe)
  apply (drule bspec, assumption)
  apply (rule-tac [2] m1 = Xa and n1 = X in TFin-subset-linear [THEN disjE],
    best+)
done

theorem Hausdorff:  $\exists c. (c :: 'a \text{ set set}): \text{maxchain } S$ 
  apply (rule-tac x = Union (TFin S) in exI)
  apply (rule classical)
  apply (subgoal-tac succ S (Union (TFin S)) = Union (TFin S) )
  prefer 2
  apply (blast intro!: TFin-UnionI equal-succ-Union [THEN iffD2, symmetric])
  apply (cut-tac subset-refl [THEN TFin-UnionI, THEN TFin-chain-lemma4])
  apply (drule DiffI [THEN succ-not-equals], blast+)
done

```

55.3 Zorn’s Lemma: If All Chains Have Upper Bounds Then There Is a Maximal Element

```

lemma chain-extend:
  [| c ∈ chain S; z ∈ S;  $\forall x \in c. x \subseteq (z :: 'a \text{ set})$  |] ==> {z} Un c ∈ chain S
by (unfold chain-def chain-subset-def) blast

```

```

lemma chain-Union-upper: [| c ∈ chain S; x ∈ c |] ==> x ⊆ Union(c)
by auto

```

```

lemma chain-ball-Union-upper: c ∈ chain S ==>  $\forall x \in c. x \subseteq \text{Union}(c)$ 
by auto

```

```

lemma maxchain-Zorn:
  [| c ∈ maxchain S; u ∈ S; Union(c) ⊆ u |] ==> Union(c) = u
  apply (rule ccontr)
  apply (simp add: maxchain-def)
  apply (erule conjE)
  apply (subgoal-tac ({u} Un c) ∈ super S c)
  apply simp
  apply (unfold super-def less-le)
  apply (blast intro: chain-extend dest: chain-Union-upper)
done

```

```

theorem Zorn-Lemma:
   $\forall c \in \text{chain } S. \text{Union}(c): S ==> \exists y \in S. \forall z \in S. y \subseteq z \longrightarrow y = z$ 
  apply (cut-tac Hausdorff maxchain-subset-chain)
  apply (erule exE)
  apply (drule subsetD, assumption)
  apply (drule bspec, assumption)
  apply (rule-tac x = Union(c) in bexI)

```

```

apply (rule ballI, rule impI)
apply (blast dest!: maxchain-Zorn, assumption)
done

```

55.4 Alternative version of Zorn’s Lemma

```

lemma Zorn-Lemma2:
   $\forall c \in \text{chain } S. \exists y \in S. \forall x \in c. x \subseteq y$ 
   $\implies \exists y \in S. \forall x \in S. (y \subseteq x \implies y = x)$ 
apply (cut-tac Hausdorff maxchain-subset-chain)
apply (erule exE)
apply (drule subsetD, assumption)
apply (drule bspec, assumption, erule bexE)
apply (rule-tac  $x = y$  in bexI)
  prefer 2 apply assumption
apply clarify
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (frule-tac  $z = x$  in chain-extend)
  apply (assumption, blast)
apply (unfold maxchain-def super-def less-le)
apply (blast elim!: equalityCE)
done

```

Various other lemmas

```

lemma chainD:  $[[c \in \text{chain } S; x \in c; y \in c]] \implies x \subseteq y \mid y \subseteq x$ 
by (unfold chain-def chain-subset-def) blast

```

```

lemma chainD2:  $!!(c :: 'a \text{ set set}). c \in \text{chain } S \implies c \subseteq S$ 
by (unfold chain-def) blast

```

definition Chain :: $('a * 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set}$ **where**
 Chain $r \equiv \{A. \forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. (a, b) : r \vee (b, a) \in r\}$

```

lemma mono-Chain:  $r \subseteq s \implies \text{Chain } r \subseteq \text{Chain } s$ 
unfolding Chain-def by blast

```

Zorn’s lemma for partial orders:

```

lemma Zorns-po-lemma:
assumes po: Partial-order  $r$  and u:  $\forall C \in \text{Chain } r. \exists u \in \text{Field } r. \forall a \in C. (a, u) : r$ 
shows  $\exists m \in \text{Field } r. \forall a \in \text{Field } r. (m, a) : r \longrightarrow a = m$ 
proof –
  have Preorder  $r$  using po by (simp add: partial-order-on-def)
  — Mirror  $r$  in the set of subsets below (wrt  $r$ ) elements of  $A$ 
  let  $?B = \%x. r^{-1} \{x\}$  let  $?S = ?B \cap \text{Field } r$ 
  have  $\forall C \in \text{chain } ?S. \exists U : ?S. \text{ALL } A : C. A \subseteq U$ 
  proof (auto simp: chain-def chain-subset-def)
    fix  $C$  assume 1:  $C \subseteq ?S$  and 2:  $\forall A \in C. \forall B \in C. A \subseteq B \mid B \subseteq A$ 
    let  $?A = \{x \in \text{Field } r. \exists M \in C. M = ?B \ x\}$ 

```

```

have C = ?B ‘ ?A using 1 by(auto simp: image-def)
have ?A∈Chain r
proof (simp add:Chain-def, intro allI impI, elim conjE)
  fix a b
  assume a ∈ Field r ?B a ∈ C b ∈ Field r ?B b ∈ C
  hence ?B a ⊆ ?B b ∨ ?B b ⊆ ?B a using 2 by auto
  thus (a, b) ∈ r ∨ (b, a) ∈ r using ⟨Preorder r⟩ ⟨a:Field r⟩ ⟨b:Field r⟩
    by(simp add:subset-Image1-Image1-iff)
qed
then obtain u where uA: u:Field r ∀ a∈?A. (a,u) : r using u by auto
have ∀ A∈C. A ⊆ r-1 “ {u} (is ?P u)
proof auto
  fix a B assume aB: B:C a:B
  with 1 obtain x where x:Field r B = r-1 “ {x} by auto
  thus (a,u) : r using uA aB ⟨Preorder r⟩
    by (auto simp add: preorder-on-def refl-def) (metis transD)
qed
thus EX u:Field r. ?P u using ⟨u:Field r⟩ by blast
qed
from Zorn-Lemma2[OF this]
obtain m B where m:Field r B = r-1 “ {m}
  ∀ x∈Field r. B ⊆ r-1 “ {x} ⟶ B = r-1 “ {x}
  by auto
hence ∀ a∈Field r. (m, a) ∈ r ⟶ a = m using po ⟨Preorder r⟩ ⟨m:Field r⟩
  by(auto simp:subset-Image1-Image1-iff Partial-order-eq-Image1-Image1-iff)
thus ?thesis using ⟨m:Field r⟩ by blast
qed

```

definition *init-seg-of* :: $((a * a) \text{set} * (a * a) \text{set}) \text{set}$ **where**
init-seg-of == $\{(r, s). r \subseteq s \wedge (\forall a \ b \ c. (a, b):s \wedge (b, c):r \longrightarrow (a, b):r)\}$

abbreviation *initialSegmentOf* :: $(a * a) \text{set} \Rightarrow (a * a) \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 (infix *initial'-segment'-of* 55) **where**
r initial-segment-of s == $(r, s): \text{init-seg-of}$

lemma *refl-init-seg-of*[simp]: *r initial-segment-of r*
by(simp add:init-seg-of-def)

lemma *trans-init-seg-of*:
r initial-segment-of s ⟹ s initial-segment-of t ⟹ r initial-segment-of t
by(simp (no-asm-use) add: init-seg-of-def)
 (metis Domain-iff UnCI Un-absorb2 subset-trans)

lemma *antisym-init-seg-of*:
r initial-segment-of s ⟹ s initial-segment-of r ⟹ r=s
by(auto simp:init-seg-of-def)

lemma *Chain-init-seg-of-Union*:

$R \in \text{Chain init-seg-of} \implies r \in R \implies r \text{ initial-segment-of } \bigcup R$
by(*auto simp add:init-seg-of-def Chain-def Ball-def*) *blast*

lemma *chain-subset-trans-Union*:

$\text{chain}_{\subseteq} R \implies \forall r \in R. \text{trans } r \implies \text{trans}(\bigcup R)$
apply(*auto simp add:chain-subset-def*)
apply(*simp (no-asm-use) add:trans-def*)
apply (*metis subsetD*)
done

lemma *chain-subset-antisym-Union*:

$\text{chain}_{\subseteq} R \implies \forall r \in R. \text{antisym } r \implies \text{antisym}(\bigcup R)$
apply(*auto simp add:chain-subset-def antisym-def*)
apply (*metis subsetD*)
done

lemma *chain-subset-Total-Union*:

assumes $\text{chain}_{\subseteq} R \ \forall r \in R. \text{Total } r$
shows $\text{Total}(\bigcup R)$
proof (*simp add: total-on-def Ball-def, auto del:disjCI*)
fix $r \ s \ a \ b$ **assume** $A: r:R \ s:R \ a:\text{Field } r \ b:\text{Field } s \ a \neq b$
from $\langle \text{chain}_{\subseteq} R \rangle \langle r:R \rangle \langle s:R \rangle$ **have** $r \subseteq s \vee s \subseteq r$
by(*simp add:chain-subset-def*)
thus $(\exists r \in R. (a,b) \in r) \vee (\exists r \in R. (b,a) \in r)$
proof
assume $r \subseteq s$ **hence** $(a,b):s \vee (b,a):s$ **using** *assms(2) A*
by(*simp add:total-on-def*)(*metis mono-Field subsetD*)
thus *?thesis* **using** $\langle s:R \rangle$ **by** *blast*
next
assume $s \subseteq r$ **hence** $(a,b):r \vee (b,a):r$ **using** *assms(2) A*
by(*simp add:total-on-def*)(*metis mono-Field subsetD*)
thus *?thesis* **using** $\langle r:R \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
qed

lemma *wf-Union-wf-init-segs*:

assumes $R \in \text{Chain init-seg-of}$ **and** $\forall r \in R. \text{wf } r$ **shows** $\text{wf}(\bigcup R)$
proof(*simp add:wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain, rule ccontr, auto*)
fix f **assume** $1: \forall i. \exists r \in R. (f(\text{Suc } i), f i) \in r$
then obtain r **where** $r:R$ **and** $(f(\text{Suc } 0), f 0) : r$ **by** *auto*
{ fix i **have** $(f(\text{Suc } i), f i) \in r$
proof(*induct i*)
case 0 **show** *?case* **by** *fact*
next
case $(\text{Suc } i)$
moreover obtain s **where** $s \in R$ **and** $(f(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } i)), f(\text{Suc } i)) \in s$
using 1 **by** *auto*
moreover hence $s \text{ initial-segment-of } r \vee r \text{ initial-segment-of } s$
using *assms(1) \langle r:R \rangle* **by**(*simp add: Chain-def*)

```

      ultimately show ?case by(simp add:init-seg-of-def) blast
    qed
  }
  thus False using assms(2) ⟨r:R⟩
    by(simp add:wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain) blast
  qed

```

lemma *Chain-inits-DiffI:*

```

  R ∈ Chain init-seg-of ⟹ {r - s | r. r ∈ R} ∈ Chain init-seg-of
  apply(auto simp:Chain-def init-seg-of-def)
  apply (metis subsetD)
  apply (metis subsetD)
  done

```

theorem *well-ordering:* $\exists r::('a*'a) \text{set. Well-order } r \wedge \text{Field } r = \text{UNIV}$

proof –

— The initial segment relation on well-orders:

```

  let ?WO = {r::('a*'a)set. Well-order r}
  def I ≡ init-seg-of ∩ ?WO × ?WO
  have I-init: I ⊆ init-seg-of by(auto simp:I-def)
  hence subch: !!R. R : Chain I ⟹ chain⊆ R
    by(auto simp:init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chain-def)
  have Chain-wo: !!R r. R ∈ Chain I ⟹ r ∈ R ⟹ Well-order r
    by(simp add:Chain-def I-def) blast
  have FI: Field I = ?WO by(auto simp add:I-def init-seg-of-def Field-def)
  hence 0: Partial-order I
    by(auto simp: partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def antisym-def antisym-init-seg-of
    refl-def trans-def I-def elim!: trans-init-seg-of)

```

— I-chains have upper bounds in ?WO wrt I: their Union

```

  { fix R assume R ∈ Chain I
    hence Ris: R ∈ Chain init-seg-of using mono-Chain[OF I-init] by blast
    have subch: chain⊆ R using ⟨R : Chain I⟩ I-init
      by(auto simp:init-seg-of-def chain-subset-def Chain-def)
    have ∀r∈R. Refl r ∀r∈R. trans r ∀r∈R. antisym r ∀r∈R. Total r
      ∀r∈R. wf(r-Id)
      using Chain-wo[OF ⟨R ∈ Chain I⟩] by(simp-all add:order-on-defs)
    have Refl (⋃ R) using ⟨∀r∈R. Refl r⟩ by(auto simp:refl-def)
    moreover have trans (⋃ R)
      by(rule chain-subset-trans-Union[OF subch ⟨∀r∈R. trans r⟩])
    moreover have antisym(⋃ R)
      by(rule chain-subset-antisym-Union[OF subch ⟨∀r∈R. antisym r⟩])
    moreover have Total (⋃ R)
      by(rule chain-subset-Total-Union[OF subch ⟨∀r∈R. Total r⟩])
    moreover have wf((⋃ R)-Id)
  }
  proof –
    have (⋃ R)-Id = ⋃ {r-Id | r. r ∈ R} by blast
    with ⟨∀r∈R. wf(r-Id)⟩ wf-Union-wf-init-segs[OF Chain-inits-DiffI[OF Ris]]
    show ?thesis by (simp (no-asm-simp)) blast
  qed

```


ultimately have *Well-order* $(\bigcup R)$ **by** (*simp add: order-on-defs*)
 moreover have $\forall r \in R. r \text{ initial-segment-of } \bigcup R$ **using** *Ris*
by (*simp add: Chain-init-seg-of-Union*)
 ultimately have $\bigcup R : ?WO \wedge (\forall r \in R. (r, \bigcup R) : I)$
using *mono-Chain[OF I-init]* $\langle R \in \text{Chain } I \rangle$
by (*simp (no-asm) add: I-def del: Field-Union*) (*metis Chain-wo subsetD*)
 }
 hence $1: \forall R \in \text{Chain } I. \exists u \in \text{Field } I. \forall r \in R. (r, u) : I$ **by** (*subst FI*) *blast*
 — Zorn’s Lemma yields a maximal well-order *m*:
 then obtain $m :: ('a * 'a) \text{set}$ **where** *Well-order m* **and**
 $\text{max}: \forall r. \text{Well-order } r \wedge (m, r) : I \longrightarrow r = m$
using *Zorns-po-lemma[OF 0 1]* **by** (*auto simp: FI*)
 — Now show by contradiction that *m* covers the whole type:
 { **fix** $x :: 'a$ **assume** $x \notin \text{Field } m$
 — We assume that *x* is not covered and extend *m* at the top with *x*
 have $m \neq \{\}$
proof
 assume $m = \{\}$
 moreover have *Well-order* $\{(x, x)\}$
by (*simp add: order-on-defs refl-def trans-def antisym-def total-on-def Field-def Domain-def Range-def*)
 ultimately show *False* **using** *max*
by (*auto simp: I-def init-seg-of-def simp del: Field-insert*)
 qed
 hence $\text{Field } m \neq \{\}$ **by** (*auto simp: Field-def*)
 moreover have $\text{wf}(m - \text{Id})$ **using** $\langle \text{Well-order } m \rangle$
by (*simp add: well-order-on-def*)
 — The extension of *m* by *x*:
 let $?s = \{(a, x) \mid a. a : \text{Field } m\}$ **let** $?m = \text{insert } (x, x) \text{ } m \text{ } Un \text{ } ?s$
 have $Fm: \text{Field } ?m = \text{insert } x (\text{Field } m)$
apply (*simp add: Field-insert Field-Un*)
unfolding *Field-def* **by** *auto*
 have $\text{Refl } m \text{ trans } m \text{ antisym } m \text{ Total } m \text{ wf}(m - \text{Id})$
using $\langle \text{Well-order } m \rangle$ **by** (*simp-all add: order-on-defs*)
 — We show that the extension is a well-order
 have $\text{Refl } ?m$ **using** $\langle \text{Refl } m \rangle Fm$ **by** (*auto simp: refl-def*)
 moreover have $\text{trans } ?m$ **using** $\langle \text{trans } m \rangle \langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$
unfolding *trans-def Field-def Domain-def Range-def* **by** *blast*
 moreover have $\text{antisym } ?m$ **using** $\langle \text{antisym } m \rangle \langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$
unfolding *antisym-def Field-def Domain-def Range-def* **by** *blast*
 moreover have $\text{Total } ?m$ **using** $\langle \text{Total } m \rangle Fm$ **by** (*auto simp: total-on-def*)
 moreover have $\text{wf}(?m - \text{Id})$
proof—
 have $\text{wf } ?s$ **using** $\langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$
by (*auto simp add: wf-eq-minimal Field-def Domain-def Range-def*) *metis*
 thus $?thesis$ **using** $\langle \text{wf}(m - \text{Id}) \rangle \langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$
wf-subset[OF (wf ?s) Diff-subset]
by (*fastsimp intro!: wf-Un simp add: Un-Diff Field-def*)
 qed

ultimately have *Well-order* ?*m* by(*simp add:order-on-defs*)

— We show that the extension is above *m*

moreover hence $(m, ?m) : I$ using $\langle \text{Well-order } m \rangle \langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$

by(*fastsimp simp:I-def init-seg-of-def Field-def Domain-def Range-def*)

ultimately

— This contradicts maximality of *m*:

have *False* using *max* $\langle x \notin \text{Field } m \rangle$ unfolding *Field-def* by *blast*

}

hence *Field m* = *UNIV* by *auto*

moreover with $\langle \text{Well-order } m \rangle$ have *Well-order m* by *simp*

ultimately show ?*thesis* by *blast*

qed

corollary *well-order-on*: $\exists r :: ('a * 'a) \text{set. well-order-on } A \ r$

proof –

obtain *r* :: $('a * 'a) \text{set}$ where *wo*: *Well-order r* and *univ*: *Field r* = *UNIV*

using *well-ordering*[where $'a = 'a$] by *blast*

let ?*r* = $\{(x, y). x:A \ \& \ y:A \ \& \ (x, y):r\}$

have 1: *Field* ?*r* = *A* using *wo univ*

by(*fastsimp simp: Field-def Domain-def Range-def order-on-defs refl-def*)

have *Refl r trans r antisym r Total r wf(r-Id)*

using $\langle \text{Well-order } r \rangle$ by(*simp-all add:order-on-defs*)

have *Refl ?r* using $\langle \text{Refl } r \rangle$ by(*auto simp:refl-def 1 univ*)

moreover have *trans ?r* using $\langle \text{trans } r \rangle$

unfolding *trans-def* by *blast*

moreover have *antisym ?r* using $\langle \text{antisym } r \rangle$

unfolding *antisym-def* by *blast*

moreover have *Total ?r* using $\langle \text{Total } r \rangle$ by(*simp add:total-on-def 1 univ*)

moreover have *wf(?r - Id)* by(*rule wf-subset[OF wf(r-Id)]*) *blast*

ultimately have *Well-order ?r* by(*simp add:order-on-defs*)

with 1 show ?*thesis* by *metis*

qed

end

56 List-Prefix: List prefixes and postfixes

theory *List-Prefix*

imports *List*

begin

56.1 Prefix order on lists

instantiation *list* :: $(\text{type}) \text{ order}$

begin

definition

prefix-def [*code func del*]: $xs \leq ys = (\exists zs. ys = xs @ zs)$

definition

strict-prefix-def [code func del]: $xs < ys = (xs \leq ys \wedge xs \neq (ys::'a \text{ list}))$

instance

by *intro-classes* (auto simp add: prefix-def strict-prefix-def)

end

lemma *prefixI* [intro?]: $ys = xs @ zs \implies xs \leq ys$
unfolding *prefix-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *prefixE* [elim?]:

assumes $xs \leq ys$

obtains zs **where** $ys = xs @ zs$

using *assms* **unfolding** *prefix-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *strict-prefixI'* [intro?]: $ys = xs @ z \# zs \implies xs < ys$
unfolding *strict-prefix-def* *prefix-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *strict-prefixE'* [elim?]:

assumes $xs < ys$

obtains $z \ zs$ **where** $ys = xs @ z \# zs$

proof –

from $\langle xs < ys \rangle$ **obtain** us **where** $ys = xs @ us$ **and** $xs \neq ys$

unfolding *strict-prefix-def* *prefix-def* **by** *blast*

with that show ?thesis **by** (auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)

qed

lemma *strict-prefixI* [intro?]: $xs \leq ys \implies xs \neq ys \implies xs < (ys::'a \text{ list})$
unfolding *strict-prefix-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *strict-prefixE* [elim?]:

fixes $xs \ ys :: 'a \text{ list}$

assumes $xs < ys$

obtains $xs \leq ys$ **and** $xs \neq ys$

using *assms* **unfolding** *strict-prefix-def* **by** *blast*

56.2 Basic properties of prefixes

theorem *Nil-prefix* [iff]: $[] \leq xs$
by (simp add: prefix-def)

theorem *prefix-Nil* [simp]: $(xs \leq []) = (xs = [])$
by (induct xs) (simp-all add: prefix-def)

lemma *prefix-snoc* [simp]: $(xs \leq ys @ [y]) = (xs = ys @ [y] \vee xs \leq ys)$

proof

assume $xs \leq ys @ [y]$

```

then obtain  $zs$  where  $zs @ [y] = xs @ zs ..$ 
show  $xs = ys @ [y] \vee xs \leq ys$ 
  by (metis append-Nil2 butlast-append butlast-snoc prefixI zs)
next
  assume  $xs = ys @ [y] \vee xs \leq ys$ 
  then show  $xs \leq ys @ [y]$ 
    by (metis order-eq-iff strict-prefixE strict-prefixI' xt1(7))
qed

```

```

lemma Cons-prefix-Cons [simp]:  $(x \# xs \leq y \# ys) = (x = y \wedge xs \leq ys)$ 
  by (auto simp add: prefix-def)

```

```

lemma same-prefix-prefix [simp]:  $(xs @ ys \leq xs @ zs) = (ys \leq zs)$ 
  by (induct xs) simp-all

```

```

lemma same-prefix-nil [iff]:  $(xs @ ys \leq xs) = (ys = [])$ 
  by (metis append-Nil2 append-self-conv order-eq-iff prefixI)

```

```

lemma prefix-prefix [simp]:  $xs \leq ys ==> xs \leq ys @ zs$ 
  by (metis order-le-less-trans prefixI strict-prefixE strict-prefixI)

```

```

lemma append-prefixD:  $xs @ ys \leq zs ==> xs \leq zs$ 
  by (auto simp add: prefix-def)

```

```

theorem prefix-Cons:  $(xs \leq y \# ys) = (xs = [] \vee (\exists zs. xs = y \# zs \wedge zs \leq ys))$ 
  by (cases xs) (auto simp add: prefix-def)

```

```

theorem prefix-append:
   $(xs \leq ys @ zs) = (xs \leq ys \vee (\exists us. xs = ys @ us \wedge us \leq zs))$ 
  apply (induct zs rule: rev-induct)
  apply force
  apply (simp del: append-assoc add: append-assoc [symmetric])
  apply (metis append-eq-appendI)
done

```

```

lemma append-one-prefix:
   $xs \leq ys ==> \text{length } xs < \text{length } ys ==> xs @ [ys ! \text{length } xs] \leq ys$ 
  unfolding prefix-def
  by (metis Cons-eq-appendI append-eq-appendI append-eq-conv-conj
    eq-Nil-appendI nth-drop')

```

```

theorem prefix-length-le:  $xs \leq ys ==> \text{length } xs \leq \text{length } ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: prefix-def)

```

```

lemma prefix-same-cases:
   $(xs_1::'a \text{ list}) \leq ys ==> xs_2 \leq ys ==> xs_1 \leq xs_2 \vee xs_2 \leq xs_1$ 
  unfolding prefix-def by (metis append-eq-append-conv2)

```

```

lemma set-mono-prefix:  $xs \leq ys ==> \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{set } ys$ 

```

```

by (auto simp add: prefix-def)

lemma take-is-prefix: take n xs ≤ xs
  unfolding prefix-def by (metis append-take-drop-id)

lemma map-prefixI: xs ≤ ys ⇒ map f xs ≤ map f ys
  by (auto simp: prefix-def)

lemma prefix-length-less: xs < ys ⇒ length xs < length ys
  by (auto simp: strict-prefix-def prefix-def)

lemma strict-prefix-simps [simp]:
  xs < [] = False
  [] < (x # xs) = True
  (x # xs) < (y # ys) = (x = y ∧ xs < ys)
  by (simp-all add: strict-prefix-def cong: conj-cong)

lemma take-strict-prefix: xs < ys ⇒ take n xs < ys
  apply (induct n arbitrary: xs ys)
  apply (case-tac ys, simp-all)[1]
  apply (metis order-less-trans strict-prefixI take-is-prefix)
  done

lemma not-prefix-cases:
  assumes pfx: ¬ ps ≤ ls
  obtains
    (c1) ps ≠ [] and ls = []
  | (c2) a as x xs where ps = a#as and ls = x#xs and x = a and ¬ as ≤ xs
  | (c3) a as x xs where ps = a#as and ls = x#xs and x ≠ a
proof (cases ps)
  case Nil then show ?thesis using pfx by simp
next
  case (Cons a as)
  note c = ⟨ps = a#as⟩
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ls)
    case Nil then show ?thesis by (metis append-Nil2 pfx c1 same-prefix-nil)
  next
    case (Cons x xs)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x = a)
      case True
      have ¬ as ≤ xs using pfx c Cons True by simp
      with c Cons True show ?thesis by (rule c2)
    next
      case False
      with c Cons show ?thesis by (rule c3)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

qed

lemma *not-prefix-induct* [consumes 1, case-names Nil Neq Eq]:

assumes *np*: $\neg ps \leq ls$
 and *base*: $\bigwedge x xs. P (x \# xs)$ \square
 and *r1*: $\bigwedge x xs y ys. x \neq y \implies P (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$
 and *r2*: $\bigwedge x xs y ys. \llbracket x = y; \neg xs \leq ys; P xs ys \rrbracket \implies P (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$
 shows $P ps ls$ **using** *np*
proof (*induct ls arbitrary: ps*)
 case *Nil* **then show** *?case*
 by (*auto simp: neq-Nil-conv elim!: not-prefix-cases intro!: base*)
next
 case (*Cons y ys*)
 then have *npfx*: $\neg ps \leq (y \# ys)$ **by** *simp*
 then obtain *x xs* **where** *pv*: $ps = x \# xs$
 by (*rule not-prefix-cases*) *auto*
 show *?case* **by** (*metis Cons.hyps Cons-prefix-Cons npfx pv r1 r2*)
qed

56.3 Parallel lists

definition

parallel :: 'a list => 'a list => bool (**infixl** \parallel 50) **where**
 $(xs \parallel ys) = (\neg xs \leq ys \wedge \neg ys \leq xs)$

lemma *parallelI* [*intro*]: $\neg xs \leq ys \implies \neg ys \leq xs \implies xs \parallel ys$
unfolding *parallel-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *parallelE* [*elim*]:

assumes $xs \parallel ys$
 obtains $\neg xs \leq ys \wedge \neg ys \leq xs$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *parallel-def* **by** *blast*

theorem *prefix-cases*:

obtains $xs \leq ys \mid ys < xs \mid xs \parallel ys$
 unfolding *parallel-def strict-prefix-def* **by** *blast*

theorem *parallel-decomp*:

$xs \parallel ys \implies \exists as b bs c cs. b \neq c \wedge xs = as @ b \# bs \wedge ys = as @ c \# cs$

proof (*induct xs rule: rev-induct*)

case *Nil*

then have *False* **by** *auto*

then show *?case* ..

next

case (*snoc x xs*)

show *?case*

proof (*rule prefix-cases*)

assume *le*: $xs \leq ys$

then obtain *ys'* **where** $ys = xs @ ys'$..

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases ys')
  assume ys' = []
  then show ?thesis by (metis append-Nil2 parallelE prefixI snoc.premys ys)
next
  fix c cs assume ys': ys' = c # cs
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis Cons-eq-appendI eq-Nil-appendI parallelE prefixI
      same-prefix-prefix snoc.premys ys)
  qed
next
  assume ys < xs then have ys ≤ xs @ [x] by (simp add: strict-prefix-def)
  with snoc have False by blast
  then show ?thesis ..
next
  assume xs || ys
  with snoc obtain as b bs c cs where neq: (b::'a) ≠ c
    and xs: xs = as @ b # bs and ys: ys = as @ c # cs
    by blast
  from xs have xs @ [x] = as @ b # (bs @ [x]) by simp
  with neq ys show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed

lemma parallel-append: a || b ⇒ a @ c || b @ d
  apply (rule parallelI)
  apply (erule parallelE, erule conjE,
    induct rule: not-prefix-induct, simp+)+
  done

lemma parallel-appendI: xs || ys ⇒ x = xs @ xs' ⇒ y = ys @ ys' ⇒ x || y
  by (simp add: parallel-append)

lemma parallel-commute: a || b ⇔ b || a
  unfolding parallel-def by auto

```

56.4 Postfix order on lists

definition

postfix :: 'a list => 'a list => bool ((-/ >>= -) [51, 50] 50) **where**
 (xs >>= ys) = (∃ zs. xs = zs @ ys)

lemma postfixI [intro?]: xs = zs @ ys ==> xs >>= ys
unfolding postfix-def **by** blast

lemma postfixE [elim?]:
assumes xs >>= ys
obtains zs **where** xs = zs @ ys
using assms **unfolding** postfix-def **by** blast

```

lemma postfix-refl [iff]:  $xs \gg= xs$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)
lemma postfix-trans:  $\llbracket xs \gg= ys; ys \gg= zs \rrbracket \implies xs \gg= zs$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)
lemma postfix-antisym:  $\llbracket xs \gg= ys; ys \gg= xs \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)

lemma Nil-postfix [iff]:  $xs \gg= []$ 
  by (simp add: postfix-def)
lemma postfix-Nil [simp]:  $([] \gg= xs) = (xs = [])$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)

lemma postfix-ConsI:  $xs \gg= ys \implies x\#xs \gg= ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)
lemma postfix-ConsD:  $xs \gg= y\#ys \implies xs \gg= ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)

lemma postfix-appendI:  $xs \gg= ys \implies zs @ xs \gg= ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)
lemma postfix-appendD:  $xs \gg= zs @ ys \implies xs \gg= ys$ 
  by (auto simp add: postfix-def)

lemma postfix-is-subset:  $xs \gg= ys \implies \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs$ 
proof –
  assume  $xs \gg= ys$ 
  then obtain  $zs$  where  $xs = zs @ ys$  ..
  then show ?thesis by (induct zs) auto
qed

lemma postfix-ConsD2:  $x\#xs \gg= y\#ys \implies xs \gg= ys$ 
proof –
  assume  $x\#xs \gg= y\#ys$ 
  then obtain  $zs$  where  $x\#xs = zs @ y\#ys$  ..
  then show ?thesis
    by (induct zs) (auto intro!: postfix-appendI postfix-ConsI)
qed

lemma postfix-to-prefix:  $xs \gg= ys \iff \text{rev } ys \leq \text{rev } xs$ 
proof
  assume  $xs \gg= ys$ 
  then obtain  $zs$  where  $xs = zs @ ys$  ..
  then have  $\text{rev } xs = \text{rev } ys @ \text{rev } zs$  by simp
  then show  $\text{rev } ys \leq \text{rev } xs$  ..
next
  assume  $\text{rev } ys \leq \text{rev } xs$ 
  then obtain  $zs$  where  $\text{rev } xs = \text{rev } ys @ zs$  ..
  then have  $\text{rev } (\text{rev } xs) = \text{rev } zs @ \text{rev } (\text{rev } ys)$  by simp
  then have  $xs = \text{rev } zs @ ys$  by simp

```


then show $xs \gg = ys$..
qed

lemma *distinct-postfix*: $distinct\ xs \implies xs \gg = ys \implies distinct\ ys$
by (*clarsimp elim!: postfixE*)

lemma *postfix-map*: $xs \gg = ys \implies map\ f\ xs \gg = map\ f\ ys$
by (*auto elim!: postfixE intro: postfixI*)

lemma *postfix-drop*: $as \gg = drop\ n\ as$
unfolding *postfix-def*
apply (*rule exI [where $x = take\ n\ as$]*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *postfix-take*: $xs \gg = ys \implies xs = take\ (length\ xs - length\ ys)\ xs\ @\ ys$
by (*clarsimp elim!: postfixE*)

lemma *parallelD1*: $x \parallel y \implies \neg x \leq y$
by *blast*

lemma *parallelD2*: $x \parallel y \implies \neg y \leq x$
by *blast*

lemma *parallel-Nil1* [*simp*]: $\neg x \parallel []$
unfolding *parallel-def* by *simp*

lemma *parallel-Nil2* [*simp*]: $\neg [] \parallel x$
unfolding *parallel-def* by *simp*

lemma *Cons-parallelI1*: $a \neq b \implies a \# as \parallel b \# bs$
by *auto*

lemma *Cons-parallelI2*: $\llbracket a = b; as \parallel bs \rrbracket \implies a \# as \parallel b \# bs$
by (*metis Cons-prefix-Cons parallelE parallelI*)

lemma *not-equal-is-parallel*:
assumes *neq*: $xs \neq ys$
and *len*: $length\ xs = length\ ys$
shows $xs \parallel ys$
using *len neq*
proof (*induct rule: list-induct2*)
case *Nil*
then show ?case by *simp*
next
case (*Cons a as b bs*)
have *ih*: $as \neq bs \implies as \parallel bs$ by *fact*
show ?case
proof (*cases a = b*)

```

    case True
    then have  $as \neq bs$  using Cons by simp
    then show ?thesis by (rule Cons-parallelI2 [OF True ih])
next
    case False
    then show ?thesis by (rule Cons-parallelI1)
qed
qed

```

56.5 Executable code

```

lemma less-eq-code [code func]:
  ( $[\ ] :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\} list$ )  $\leq xs \longleftrightarrow True$ 
  ( $x :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\}$ )  $\# xs \leq [\ ] \longleftrightarrow False$ 
  ( $x :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\}$ )  $\# xs \leq y \# ys \longleftrightarrow x = y \wedge xs \leq ys$ 
by simp-all

```

```

lemma less-code [code func]:
   $xs < ([\ ] :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\} list) \longleftrightarrow False$ 
   $[\ ] < (x :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\}) \# xs \longleftrightarrow True$ 
  ( $x :: 'a :: \{eq, ord\}$ )  $\# xs < y \# ys \longleftrightarrow x = y \wedge xs < ys$ 
unfolding strict-prefix-def by auto

```

```

lemmas [code func] = postfix-to-prefix

```

```

end

```

57 List-lexord: Lexicographic order on lists

```

theory List-lexord
imports List
begin

```

```

instantiation list :: (ord) ord
begin

```

definition

```

list-less-def [code func del]: ( $xs :: ('a :: ord) list$ )  $< ys \longleftrightarrow (xs, ys) \in lexord \{(u, v). u < v\}$ 

```

definition

```

list-le-def [code func del]: ( $xs :: ('a :: ord) list$ )  $\leq ys \longleftrightarrow (xs < ys \vee xs = ys)$ 

```

```

instance ..

```

```

end

```

```

instance list :: (order) order

```

```

apply (intro-classes, unfold list-less-def list-le-def)
apply safe
apply (rule-tac r1 = {(a::'a,b). a < b} in lexord-irreflexive [THEN notE])
apply simp
apply assumption
apply (blast intro: lexord-trans transI order-less-trans)
apply (rule-tac r1 = {(a::'a,b). a < b} in lexord-irreflexive [THEN notE])
apply simp
apply (blast intro: lexord-trans transI order-less-trans)
done

instance list :: (linorder) linorder
  apply (intro-classes, unfold list-le-def list-less-def, safe)
  apply (cut-tac x = x and y = y and r = {(a,b). a < b} in lexord-linear)
  apply force
  apply simp
  done

instantiation list :: (linorder) distrib-lattice
begin

definition
  [code func del]: (inf :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  -) = min

definition
  [code func del]: (sup :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  -) = max

instance
  by intro-classes
  (auto simp add: inf-list-def sup-list-def min-max.sup-inf-distrib1)

end

lemma not-less-Nil [simp]:  $\neg (x < [])$ 
  by (unfold list-less-def) simp

lemma Nil-less-Cons [simp]:  $[] < a \# x$ 
  by (unfold list-less-def) simp

lemma Cons-less-Cons [simp]:  $a \# x < b \# y \longleftrightarrow a < b \vee a = b \wedge x < y$ 
  by (unfold list-less-def) simp

lemma le-Nil [simp]:  $x \leq [] \longleftrightarrow x = []$ 
  by (unfold list-le-def, cases x) auto

lemma Nil-le-Cons [simp]:  $[] \leq x$ 
  by (unfold list-le-def, cases x) auto

lemma Cons-le-Cons [simp]:  $a \# x \leq b \# y \longleftrightarrow a < b \vee a = b \wedge x \leq y$ 

```

```

by (unfold list-le-def) auto

lemma less-code [code func]:
   $xs < ([::'a::\{eq, order\} list) \longleftrightarrow False$ 
   $[] < (x::'a::\{eq, order\}) \# xs \longleftrightarrow True$ 
   $(x::'a::\{eq, order\}) \# xs < y \# ys \longleftrightarrow x < y \vee x = y \wedge xs < ys$ 
by simp-all

lemma less-eq-code [code func]:
   $x \# xs \leq ([::'a::\{eq, order\} list) \longleftrightarrow False$ 
   $[] \leq (x::'a::\{eq, order\}) \# xs \longleftrightarrow True$ 
   $(x::'a::\{eq, order\}) \# xs \leq y \# ys \longleftrightarrow x < y \vee x = y \wedge xs \leq ys$ 
by simp-all

end

```

58 Sublist-Order: Sublist Ordering

```

theory Sublist-Order
imports Main
begin

```

This theory defines sublist ordering on lists. A list ys is a sublist of a list xs , iff one obtains ys by erasing some elements from xs .

58.1 Definitions and basic lemmas

```

instantiation list :: (type) order
begin

```

```

inductive less-eq-list where
  empty [simp, intro!]:  $[] \leq xs$ 
  | drop:  $ys \leq xs \implies ys \leq x \# xs$ 
  | take:  $ys \leq xs \implies x \# ys \leq x \# xs$ 

```

```

lemmas ileq-empty = empty
lemmas ileq-drop = drop
lemmas ileq-take = take

```

```

lemma ileq-cases [cases set, case-names empty drop take]:
  assumes  $xs \leq ys$ 
  and  $xs = [] \implies P$ 
  and  $\bigwedge z zs. ys = z \# zs \implies xs \leq zs \implies P$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x zs ws. xs = x \# zs \implies ys = x \# ws \implies zs \leq ws \implies P$ 
  shows  $P$ 
  using assms by (blast elim: less-eq-list.cases)

```

```

lemma ileq-induct [induct set, case-names empty drop take]:

```

```

assumes  $xs \leq ys$ 
and  $\bigwedge zs. P \ [] \ zs$ 
and  $\bigwedge z \ zs \ ws. ws \leq zs \implies P \ ws \ zs \implies P \ ws \ (z \ \# \ zs)$ 
and  $\bigwedge z \ zs \ ws. ws \leq zs \implies P \ ws \ zs \implies P \ (z \ \# \ ws) \ (z \ \# \ zs)$ 
shows  $P \ xs \ ys$ 
using assms by (induct rule: less-eq-list.induct) blast+
```

definition

```

[code func del]:  $(xs :: 'a \ list) < ys \longleftrightarrow xs \leq ys \wedge xs \neq ys$ 
```

```

lemma ileq-length:  $xs \leq ys \implies \text{length } xs \leq \text{length } ys$ 
```

```

by (induct rule: ileq-induct) auto
```

```

lemma ileq-below-empty [simp]:  $xs \leq [] \longleftrightarrow xs = []$ 
```

```

by (auto dest: ileq-length)
```

instance proof

```

fix  $xs \ ys :: 'a \ list$ 
```

```

show  $xs < ys \longleftrightarrow xs \leq ys \wedge xs \neq ys$  unfolding less-list-def ..
```

```

next
```

```

fix  $xs :: 'a \ list$ 
```

```

show  $xs \leq xs$  by (induct xs) (auto intro!: ileq-empty ileq-drop ileq-take)
```

```

next
```

```

fix  $xs \ ys :: 'a \ list$ 
```

```

{ fix  $n$ 
  have  $!!l \ l'. [l \leq l'; l' \leq l; n = \text{length } l + \text{length } l'] \implies l = l'$ 
  proof (induct n rule: nat-less-induct)
    case ( $1 \ n \ l \ l'$ ) from  $1.\text{prems}(1)$  show ?case proof (cases rule: ileq-cases)
      case empty with  $1.\text{prems}(2)$  show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case ( $\text{drop } a \ l2'$ ) with  $1.\text{prems}(2)$  have  $\text{length } l' \leq \text{length } l \ \text{length } l \leq \text{length } l2' + \text{length } l2' = \text{length } l'$  by (auto dest: ileq-length)
      hence False by simp thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case ( $\text{take } a \ l1' \ l2'$ ) hence  $LEN'$ :  $\text{length } l1' + \text{length } l2' < \text{length } l + \text{length } l'$  by simp
      from  $1.\text{prems}$  have  $LEN$ :  $\text{length } l' = \text{length } l$  by (auto dest!: ileq-length)
      from  $1.\text{prems}(2)$  show ?thesis proof (cases rule: ileq-cases [case-names empty' drop' take'])
        case empty' with  $\text{take } LEN$  show ?thesis by simp
      next
        case ( $\text{drop}' \ ah \ l2h$ ) with  $\text{take } LEN$  have  $\text{length } l1' \leq \text{length } l2h + \text{length } l2h = \text{length } l2' \ \text{length } l2' = \text{length } l1'$  by (auto dest: ileq-length)
        hence False by simp thus ?thesis ..
      next
        case ( $\text{take}' \ ah \ l1h \ l2h$ )
          with  $\text{take}$  have  $2$ :  $ah = a \ l1h = l2' \ l2h = l1' \ l1' \leq l2' \ l2' \leq l1'$  by auto
          with  $LEN' \ 1.\text{hyps} \ 1.\text{prems}(3)$  have  $l1' = l2'$  by blast
          with  $\text{take } 2$  show ?thesis by simp
```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
}
moreover assume  $xs \leq ys$   $ys \leq xs$ 
ultimately show  $xs = ys$  by blast
next
fix  $xs\ ys\ zs :: 'a\ list$ 
{
  fix  $n$ 
  have  $!!x\ y\ z. \llbracket x \leq y; y \leq z; n = \text{length } x + \text{length } y + \text{length } z \rrbracket \implies x \leq z$ 
  proof (induct rule: nat-less-induct[case-names I])
    case (I  $n\ x\ y\ z$ )
    from  $I.\text{prems}(2)$  show ?case proof (cases rule: ileq-cases)
      case empty with  $I.\text{prems}(1)$  show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case (drop  $a\ z'$ ) hence  $\text{length } x + \text{length } y + \text{length } z' < \text{length } x + \text{length } y + \text{length } z$  by simp
      with  $I.\text{hyps } I.\text{prems}(3,1)$  drop(2) have  $x \leq z'$  by blast
      with drop(1) show ?thesis by (auto intro: ileq-drop)
    next
      case (take  $a\ y'\ z'$ ) from  $I.\text{prems}(1)$  show ?thesis proof (cases rule:
ileq-cases[case-names empty' drop' take'])
        case empty' thus ?thesis by auto
      next
        case (drop'  $ah\ y'h$ ) with take have  $x \leq y'\ y' \leq z'$   $\text{length } x + \text{length } y' + \text{length } z' < \text{length } x + \text{length } y + \text{length } z$  by auto
        with  $I.\text{hyps } I.\text{prems}(3)$  have  $x \leq z'$  by (blast)
        with take(2) show ?thesis by (auto intro: ileq-drop)
      next
        case (take'  $ah\ x'\ y'h$ ) with take have 2:  $x = a \# x'\ x' \leq y'\ y' \leq z'$   $\text{length } x' + \text{length } y' + \text{length } z' < \text{length } x + \text{length } y + \text{length } z$  by auto
        with  $I.\text{hyps } I.\text{prems}(3)$  have  $x' \leq z'$  by blast
        with 2 take(2) show ?thesis by (auto intro: ileq-take)
      qed
    qed
  qed
}
moreover assume  $xs \leq ys$   $ys \leq zs$ 
ultimately show  $xs \leq zs$  by blast
qed
end

```

lemmas *ileq-intros* = *ileq-empty ileq-drop ileq-take*

lemma *ileq-drop-many*: $xs \leq ys \implies xs \leq zs @ ys$

by (induct zs) (auto intro: ileq-drop)

lemma *ileq-take-many*: $xs \leq ys \implies zs @ xs \leq zs @ ys$

```

by (induct zs) (auto intro: ileq-take)

lemma ileq-same-length:  $xs \leq ys \implies \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies xs = ys$ 
  by (induct rule: ileq-induct) (auto dest: ileq-length)
lemma ileq-same-append [simp]:  $x \# xs \leq xs \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  by (auto dest: ileq-length)

lemma ilt-length [intro]:
  assumes  $xs < ys$ 
  shows  $\text{length } xs < \text{length } ys$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $xs \leq ys$  and  $xs \neq ys$  by (simp-all add: less-list-def)
  moreover with ileq-length have  $\text{length } xs \leq \text{length } ys$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by (auto intro: ileq-same-length)
qed

lemma ilt-empty [simp]:  $[] < xs \longleftrightarrow xs \neq []$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def, auto)
lemma ilt-emptyI:  $xs \neq [] \implies [] < xs$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def, auto)
lemma ilt-emptyD:  $[] < xs \implies xs \neq []$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def, auto)
lemma ilt-below-empty [simp]:  $xs < [] \implies \text{False}$ 
  by (auto dest: ilt-length)
lemma ilt-drop:  $xs < ys \implies xs < x \# ys$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def) (auto intro: ileq-intros)
lemma ilt-take:  $xs < ys \implies x \# xs < x \# ys$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def) (auto intro: ileq-intros)
lemma ilt-drop-many:  $xs < ys \implies xs < zs @ ys$ 
  by (induct zs) (auto intro: ilt-drop)
lemma ilt-take-many:  $xs < ys \implies zs @ xs < zs @ ys$ 
  by (induct zs) (auto intro: ilt-take)

```

58.2 Appending elements

```

lemma ileq-rev-take:  $xs \leq ys \implies xs @ [x] \leq ys @ [x]$ 
  by (induct rule: ileq-induct) (auto intro: ileq-intros ileq-drop-many)
lemma ilt-rev-take:  $xs < ys \implies xs @ [x] < ys @ [x]$ 
  by (unfold less-list-def) (auto dest: ileq-rev-take)
lemma ileq-rev-drop:  $xs \leq ys \implies xs \leq ys @ [x]$ 
  by (induct rule: ileq-induct) (auto intro: ileq-intros)
lemma ileq-rev-drop-many:  $xs \leq ys \implies xs \leq ys @ zs$ 
  by (induct zs rule: rev-induct) (auto dest: ileq-rev-drop)

```

58.3 Relation to standard list operations

```

lemma ileq-map:  $xs \leq ys \implies \text{map } f \, xs \leq \text{map } f \, ys$ 
  by (induct rule: ileq-induct) (auto intro: ileq-intros)
lemma ileq-filter-left [simp]:  $\text{filter } f \, xs \leq xs$ 
  by (induct xs) (auto intro: ileq-intros)

```

lemma *ileq-filter*: $xs \leq ys \implies \text{filter } f \, xs \leq \text{filter } f \, ys$
 by (*induct rule*: *ileq-induct*) (*auto intro*: *ileq-intros*)

end

References

- [1] Abrial and Laffitte. Towards the mechanization of the proofs of some classical theorems of set theory. Unpublished.
- [2] J. Avigad and K. Donnelly. Formalizing O notation in Isabelle/HOL. In D. Basin and M. Rusiowitch, editors, *Automated Reasoning: second international conference, IJCAR 2004*, pages 357–371. Springer, 2004.
- [3] H. Davenport. *The Higher Arithmetic*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [4] A. Oberschelp. *Rekursionstheorie*. BI-Wissenschafts-Verlag, 1993.
- [5] A. Podelski and A. Rybalchenko. Transition invariants. In *19th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS'04)*, pages 32–41, 2004.