

# ZF

Lawrence C Paulson and others

June 8, 2008

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory</b>	<b>11</b>
1.1	Substitution . . . . .	17
1.2	Bounded universal quantifier . . . . .	17
1.3	Bounded existential quantifier . . . . .	18
1.4	Rules for subsets . . . . .	18
1.5	Rules for equality . . . . .	19
1.6	Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement . . . . .	19
1.7	Rules for RepFun . . . . .	20
1.8	Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation . . . . .	20
1.9	Rules for Unions . . . . .	21
1.10	Rules for Unions of families . . . . .	21
1.11	Rules for the empty set . . . . .	21
1.12	Rules for Inter . . . . .	22
1.13	Rules for Intersections of families . . . . .	22
1.14	Rules for Powersets . . . . .	23
1.15	Cantor’s Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset. . . . .	23
<b>2</b>	<b>Unordered Pairs</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1	Unordered Pairs: constant <i>Upair</i> . . . . .	23
2.2	Rules for Binary Union, Defined via <i>Upair</i> . . . . .	24
2.3	Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via <i>Upair</i> . . . . .	24
2.4	Rules for Set Difference, Defined via <i>Upair</i> . . . . .	24
2.5	Rules for <i>cons</i> . . . . .	25
2.6	Singletons . . . . .	25
2.7	Descriptions . . . . .	26
2.8	Conditional Terms: <i>if-then-else</i> . . . . .	26
2.9	Consequences of Foundation . . . . .	27
2.10	Rules for Successor . . . . .	28
2.11	Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier . . . . .	29
2.12	Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier . . . . .	29

2.13	Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator . . . . .	30
2.14	Miniscoping of Unions . . . . .	31
2.15	Miniscoping of Intersections . . . . .	31
2.16	Other simprules . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Ordered Pairs</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets . . . . .	33
3.2	Projections <i>fst</i> and <i>snd</i> . . . . .	34
3.3	The Eliminator, <i>split</i> . . . . .	34
3.4	A version of <i>split</i> for Formulae: Result Type <i>o</i> . . . . .	35
<b>4</b>	<b>Basic Equalities and Inclusions</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1	Bounded Quantifiers . . . . .	35
4.2	Converse of a Relation . . . . .	36
4.3	Finite Set Constructions Using <i>cons</i> . . . . .	36
4.4	Binary Intersection . . . . .	38
4.5	Binary Union . . . . .	39
4.6	Set Difference . . . . .	40
4.7	Big Union and Intersection . . . . .	42
4.8	Unions and Intersections of Families . . . . .	43
4.9	Image of a Set under a Function or Relation . . . . .	50
4.10	Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation . . . . .	51
4.11	Powerset Operator . . . . .	52
4.12	RepFun . . . . .	53
4.13	Collect . . . . .	53
<b>5</b>	<b>Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem</b>	<b>54</b>
5.1	Monotone Operators . . . . .	55
5.2	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>lfp</i> . . . . .	55
5.3	General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints . . . . .	56
5.4	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>gfp</i> . . . . .	57
5.5	Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points . . . . .	58
<b>6</b>	<b>Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory</b>	<b>59</b>
6.1	Laws About 'not' . . . . .	61
6.2	Laws About 'and' . . . . .	61
6.3	Laws About 'or' . . . . .	61
<b>7</b>	<b>Disjoint Sums</b>	<b>62</b>
7.1	Rules for the <i>Part</i> Primitive . . . . .	63
7.2	Rules for Disjoint Sums . . . . .	63
7.3	The Eliminator: <i>case</i> . . . . .	64
7.4	More Rules for <i>Part</i> ( <i>A</i> , <i>h</i> ) . . . . .	65

<b>8</b>	<b>Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction</b>	<b>66</b>
8.1	The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space . . . . .	66
8.2	Function Application . . . . .	67
8.3	Lambda Abstraction . . . . .	68
8.4	Extensionality . . . . .	69
8.5	Images of Functions . . . . .	70
8.6	Properties of $\text{restrict}(f, A)$ . . . . .	71
8.7	Unions of Functions . . . . .	72
8.8	Domain and Range of a Function or Relation . . . . .	72
8.9	Extensions of Functions . . . . .	73
8.10	Function Updates . . . . .	73
8.11	Monotonicity Theorems . . . . .	74
8.11.1	Replacement in its Various Forms . . . . .	74
8.11.2	Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces . . . . .	75
8.11.3	Converse, Domain, Range, Field . . . . .	75
8.11.4	Images . . . . .	75
<b>9</b>	<b>Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums</b>	<b>76</b>
9.1	Quine ordered pairing . . . . .	77
9.1.1	QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product . . . . .	77
9.1.2	Projections: $\text{qfst}$ , $\text{qsnd}$ . . . . .	78
9.1.3	Eliminator: $\text{qsplit}$ . . . . .	78
9.1.4	$\text{qsplit}$ for predicates: result type $\text{o}$ . . . . .	79
9.1.5	$\text{qconverse}$ . . . . .	79
9.2	The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum . . . . .	80
9.2.1	Eliminator – $\text{qcase}$ . . . . .	81
9.2.2	Monotonicity . . . . .	82
<b>10</b>	<b>Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition</b>	<b>82</b>
10.1	Surjections . . . . .	83
10.2	Injections . . . . .	83
10.3	Bijections . . . . .	84
10.4	Identity Function . . . . .	84
10.5	Converse of a Function . . . . .	85
10.6	Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections . . . . .	86
10.7	Composition of Two Relations . . . . .	86
10.8	Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1 . . . . .	86
10.9	Other Results . . . . .	87
10.10	Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections . . . . .	87
10.11	Dual Properties of $\text{inj}$ and $\text{surj}$ . . . . .	88
10.11.1	Inverses of Composition . . . . .	88
10.11.2	Proving that a Function is a Bijection . . . . .	88
10.11.3	Unions of Functions . . . . .	89

10.11.4	Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections . . . . .	89
10.11.5	Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem . . . . .	89
<b>11</b>	<b>Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure</b>	<b>90</b>
11.1	General properties of relations . . . . .	91
11.1.1	irreflexivity . . . . .	91
11.1.2	symmetry . . . . .	91
11.1.3	antisymmetry . . . . .	91
11.1.4	transitivity . . . . .	91
11.2	Transitive closure of a relation . . . . .	92
<b>12</b>	<b>Well-Founded Recursion</b>	<b>95</b>
12.1	Well-Founded Relations . . . . .	96
12.1.1	Equivalences between <i>wf</i> and <i>wf-on</i> . . . . .	96
12.1.2	Introduction Rules for <i>wf-on</i> . . . . .	97
12.1.3	Well-founded Induction . . . . .	97
12.2	Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations . . . . .	98
12.3	The Predicate <i>is-recfun</i> . . . . .	99
12.4	Recursion: Main Existence Lemma . . . . .	99
12.5	Unfolding <i>wftrec</i> ( <i>r</i> , <i>a</i> , <i>H</i> ) . . . . .	99
12.5.1	Removal of the Premise <i>trans</i> ( <i>r</i> ) . . . . .	100
<b>13</b>	<b>Transitive Sets and Ordinals</b>	<b>100</b>
13.1	Rules for Transset . . . . .	101
13.1.1	Three Neat Characterisations of Transset . . . . .	101
13.1.2	Consequences of Downwards Closure . . . . .	101
13.1.3	Closure Properties . . . . .	102
13.2	Lemmas for Ordinals . . . . .	102
13.3	The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union . . . . .	103
13.4	$\prec$ is 'less Than' for Ordinals . . . . .	104
13.5	Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel . . . . .	105
13.6	Transfinite Induction . . . . .	106
13.6.1	Proving That $\prec$ is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals . . . . .	106
13.6.2	Some Rewrite Rules for $\prec$ , $\leq$ . . . . .	107
13.7	Results about Less-Than or Equals . . . . .	107
13.7.1	Transitivity Laws . . . . .	108
13.7.2	Union and Intersection . . . . .	109
13.8	Results about Limits . . . . .	110
13.9	Limit Ordinals – General Properties . . . . .	111
13.9.1	Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals . . . . .	111

<b>14 Special quantifiers</b>	<b>112</b>
14.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals . . . . .	112
14.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers . . . . .	113
14.1.2 Union over ordinals . . . . .	113
14.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals . . . . .	114
14.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals . . . . .	115
14.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions . . . . .	115
14.2 Quantification over a class . . . . .	116
14.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier . . . . .	116
14.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier . . . . .	117
14.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers . . . . .	118
14.2.4 Sets as Classes . . . . .	119
<b>15 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point</b>	<b>119</b>
15.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction . . . . .	121
15.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction . . . . .	122
15.3 <i>quasinat</i> : to allow a case-split rule for <i>nat-case</i> . . . . .	123
15.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers . . . . .	123
<b>16 Inductive and Coinductive Definitions</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion</b>	<b>125</b>
17.1 Basic Closure Properties . . . . .	125
17.2 Leastness of <i>eclose</i> . . . . .	126
17.3 Epsilon Recursion . . . . .	126
17.4 Rank . . . . .	128
17.5 Corollaries of Leastness . . . . .	129
<b>18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties</b>	<b>130</b>
18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions . . . . .	131
18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain . . . . .	132
18.3 Empty and Unit Domains . . . . .	133
18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set . . . . .	133
18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set . . . . .	133
18.4 Order-Isomorphisms . . . . .	134
18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6 . . . . .	135
18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation . . . . .	137
18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski . . . . .	138
<b>19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic</b>	<b>139</b>
19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum . . . . .	139
19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules	139

19.1.2	Elimination Rule . . . . .	140
19.1.3	Type checking . . . . .	140
19.1.4	Linearity . . . . .	140
19.1.5	Well-foundedness . . . . .	140
19.1.6	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law . . . . .	140
19.1.7	Associativity . . . . .	141
19.2	Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product . . . . .	141
19.2.1	Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules . . . . .	141
19.2.2	Type checking . . . . .	141
19.2.3	Linearity . . . . .	142
19.2.4	Well-foundedness . . . . .	142
19.2.5	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law . . . . .	142
19.2.6	Distributive law . . . . .	143
19.2.7	Associativity . . . . .	143
19.3	Inverse Image of a Relation . . . . .	143
19.3.1	Rewrite rule . . . . .	143
19.3.2	Type checking . . . . .	143
19.3.3	Partial Ordering Properties . . . . .	143
19.3.4	Linearity . . . . .	144
19.3.5	Well-foundedness . . . . .	144
19.4	Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal . . . . .	144
19.5	Other Results . . . . .	145
19.5.1	The Empty Relation . . . . .	145
19.5.2	The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec . . . . .	146
19.5.3	Well-foundedness of Unions . . . . .	146
19.5.4	Bijections involving Powersets . . . . .	146
<b>20</b>	<b>Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic</b>	<b>147</b>
20.1	Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy . . . . .	148
20.2	Ordermap and ordertype . . . . .	148
20.2.1	Unfolding of ordermap . . . . .	149
20.2.2	Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals . . . . .	149
20.2.3	ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions . . . . .	149
20.2.4	Isomorphisms involving ordertype . . . . .	149
20.2.5	Basic equalities for ordertype . . . . .	150
20.2.6	A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype. . . . .	150
20.3	Alternative definition of ordinal . . . . .	151
20.4	Ordinal Addition . . . . .	151
20.4.1	Order Type calculations for radd . . . . .	151
20.4.2	ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal . . . . .	152
20.4.3	Basic laws for ordinal addition . . . . .	152
20.4.4	Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity! . . . . .	154
20.5	Ordinal Subtraction . . . . .	155

20.6	Ordinal Multiplication . . . . .	155
20.6.1	A useful unfolding law . . . . .	156
20.6.2	Basic laws for ordinal multiplication . . . . .	156
20.6.3	Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication . . . . .	157
20.7	The Relation $Lt$ . . . . .	158
<b>21</b>	<b>Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space</b>	<b>158</b>
21.1	Finite Powerset Operator . . . . .	159
21.2	Finite Function Space . . . . .	160
21.3	The Contents of a Singleton Set . . . . .	161
<b>22</b>	<b>Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice</b>	<b>161</b>
22.1	The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem . . . . .	162
22.2	lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski . . . . .	164
22.3	The finite cardinals . . . . .	167
22.4	The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat . . . . .	169
22.5	Towards Cardinal Arithmetic . . . . .	169
22.6	Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski . . . . .	170
22.7	Finite and infinite sets . . . . .	170
<b>23</b>	<b>The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types</b>	<b>174</b>
23.1	Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$ . . . . .	174
23.1.1	Monotonicity . . . . .	174
23.1.2	A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals! . . . . .	174
23.2	Basic Closure Properties . . . . .	175
23.2.1	Finite sets and ordered pairs . . . . .	175
23.3	0, Successor and Limit Equations for $Vfrom$ . . . . .	175
23.4	$Vfrom$ applied to Limit Ordinals . . . . .	176
23.4.1	Closure under Disjoint Union . . . . .	176
23.5	Properties assuming $Transset(A)$ . . . . .	177
23.5.1	Products . . . . .	178
23.5.2	Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs . . . . .	178
23.5.3	Function Space! . . . . .	178
23.6	The Set $Vset(i)$ . . . . .	178
23.6.1	Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$ . . . . .	179
23.6.2	Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks	179
23.6.3	Set Up an Environment for Simplification . . . . .	179
23.6.4	Recursion over $Vset$ Levels! . . . . .	180
23.7	The Datatype Universe: $univ(A)$ . . . . .	180
23.7.1	The Set $univ(A)$ as a Limit . . . . .	180
23.8	Closure Properties for $univ(A)$ . . . . .	181

23.8.1	Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs . . . . .	181
23.8.2	The Natural Numbers . . . . .	181
23.8.3	Instances for 1 and 2 . . . . .	181
23.8.4	Closure under Disjoint Union . . . . .	182
23.9	Finite Branching Closure Properties . . . . .	182
23.9.1	Closure under Finite Powerset . . . . .	182
23.9.2	Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natu- ral Number . . . . .	182
23.9.3	Closure under Finite Function Space . . . . .	183
23.10*	For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take- lemma" * . . . . .	183
<b>24</b>	<b>A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types</b>	<b>184</b>
24.1	Properties involving Transset and Sum . . . . .	184
24.2	Introduction and Elimination Rules . . . . .	184
24.3	Closure Properties . . . . .	185
24.4	Quine Disjoint Sum . . . . .	185
24.5	Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums . . . . .	186
24.6	Quine Disjoint Sum . . . . .	186
24.7	The Natural Numbers . . . . .	186
24.8	"Take-Lemma" Rules . . . . .	187
<b>25</b>	<b>Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>26</b>	<b>Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions</b>	<b>187</b>
26.1	<i>natify</i> , the Coercion to <i>nat</i> . . . . .	189
26.2	Typing rules . . . . .	190
26.3	Addition . . . . .	191
26.4	Monotonicity of Addition . . . . .	192
26.5	Multiplication . . . . .	195
<b>27</b>	<b>Arithmetic with simplification</b>	<b>196</b>
27.1	Difference . . . . .	196
27.2	Remainder . . . . .	197
27.3	Division . . . . .	198
27.4	Further Facts about Remainder . . . . .	198
27.5	Additional theorems about $\leq$ . . . . .	199
27.6	Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons . . . . .	200
27.7	More Lemmas about Remainder . . . . .	201
27.7.1	More Lemmas About Difference . . . . .	202
<b>28</b>	<b>Lists in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory</b>	<b>203</b>
28.1	The function zip . . . . .	216



<b>29</b>	<b>Equivalence Relations</b>	<b>222</b>
29.1	Suppes, Theorem 70: $r$ is an equiv relation iff $\text{converse}(r) \circ r = r$ . . . . .	222
29.2	Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes . . . . .	224
29.3	Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes . . . . .	224
<b>30</b>	<b>The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers</b>	<b>225</b>
30.1	Proving that <i>intrel</i> is an equivalence relation . . . . .	227
30.2	Collapsing rules: to remove <i>intify</i> from arithmetic expressions . . . . .	228
30.3	<i>zminus</i> : unary negation on <i>int</i> . . . . .	229
30.4	<i>znegative</i> : the test for negative integers . . . . .	230
30.5	<i>nat-of</i> : Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number . . . . .	230
30.6	<i>zmagnitude</i> : magnitude of an integer, as a natural number . . . . .	231
30.7	<i>op \$+</i> : addition on <i>int</i> . . . . .	232
30.8	<i>op \$×</i> : Integer Multiplication . . . . .	234
30.9	The "Less Than" Relation . . . . .	236
30.10	Less Than or Equals . . . . .	237
30.11	More subtraction laws (for <i>zcompare-rls</i> ) . . . . .	238
30.12	Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs . . . . .	239
30.13	Comparison laws . . . . .	240
30.13.1	More inequality lemmas . . . . .	240
30.13.2	The next several equations are permutative: watch out! . . . . .	240
<b>31</b>	<b>Arithmetic on Binary Integers</b>	<b>241</b>
31.0.3	The Carry and Borrow Functions, <i>bin-succ</i> and <i>bin-pred</i> . . . . .	243
31.0.4	<i>bin-minus</i> : Unary Negation of Binary Integers . . . . .	244
31.0.5	<i>bin-add</i> : Binary Addition . . . . .	244
31.0.6	<i>bin-mult</i> : Binary Multiplication . . . . .	244
31.1	Computations . . . . .	245
31.2	Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers . . . . .	246
<b>32</b>	<b>The Division Operators Div and Mod</b>	<b>251</b>
32.1	Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders . . . . .	255
32.2	Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$ . . . . .	255
32.3	Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs . . . . .	256
32.4	Correctness of negDivAlg, the division algorithm for $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ . . . . .	257
32.5	Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct . . . . .	258
32.6	division of a number by itself . . . . .	262
32.7	Computation of division and remainder . . . . .	263
32.8	Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor) . . . . .	265

32.9	Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)	265
32.10	More algebraic laws for $\text{zdiv}$ and $\text{zmod}$	266
32.11	proving $a \text{ zdiv } (b * c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$	268
32.12	Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"	268
32.13	Distribution of factors over "zmod"	269
<b>33</b>	<b>Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice</b>	<b>270</b>
33.1	Cardinal addition	271
33.1.1	Cardinal addition is commutative	271
33.1.2	Cardinal addition is associative	272
33.1.3	0 is the identity for addition	272
33.1.4	Addition by another cardinal	272
33.1.5	Monotonicity of addition	272
33.1.6	Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition	272
33.2	Cardinal multiplication	273
33.2.1	Cardinal multiplication is commutative	273
33.2.2	Cardinal multiplication is associative	273
33.2.3	Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition	273
33.2.4	Multiplication by 0 yields 0	273
33.2.5	1 is the identity for multiplication	273
33.3	Some inequalities for multiplication	274
33.3.1	Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal	274
33.3.2	Monotonicity of multiplication	274
33.4	Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication	274
33.5	Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals	275
33.5.1	Establishing the well-ordering	275
33.5.2	Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering	275
33.5.3	The cardinality of initial segments	276
33.5.4	Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)	277
33.6	For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One	277
33.7	Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals	277
33.7.1	Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality	278
33.7.2	Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp	279
<b>34</b>	<b>Theory Main: Everything Except AC</b>	<b>279</b>
34.1	Iteration of the function $F$	279
34.2	Transfinite Recursion	280
<b>35</b>	<b>The Axiom of Choice</b>	<b>281</b>

<b>36 Zorn's Lemma</b>	<b>282</b>
36.1 Mathematical Preamble . . . . .	283
36.2 The Transfinite Construction . . . . .	283
36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction . . . . .	283
36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain .	284
36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element . . . . .	285
36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered . . . . .	285
<b>37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC</b>	<b>286</b>
37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals . . .	287
37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence . . . . .	287
37.3 Other Applications of AC . . . . .	288
<b>38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions</b>	<b>289</b>

## 1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

**theory** *ZF* **imports** *FOL* **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

**global**

**typedecl** *i*

**arities** *i* :: *term*

**consts**

<i>0</i>	:: <i>i</i>	( <i>0</i> )	— the empty set
<i>Pow</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>		— power sets
<i>Inf</i>	:: <i>i</i>		— infinite set

Bounded Quantifiers

**consts**

<i>Ball</i>	:: [ <i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i> ] => <i>o</i>
<i>Bex</i>	:: [ <i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i> ] => <i>o</i>

General Union and Intersection

**consts**

<i>Union</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>
<i>Inter</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>

Variations on Replacement

**consts**

<i>PrimReplace</i>	:: [ <i>i</i> , [ <i>i</i> , <i>i</i> ] => <i>o</i> ] => <i>i</i>
<i>Replace</i>	:: [ <i>i</i> , [ <i>i</i> , <i>i</i> ] => <i>o</i> ] => <i>i</i>

*RepFun*     ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$   
*Collect*    ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i$

Definite descriptions – via Replace over the set "1"

**consts**

*The*         ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i$      (**binder** *THE* 10)  
*If*          ::  $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$      ((*if* (-)/ *then* (-)/ *else* (-)) [10] 10)

**abbreviation** (*input*)

*old-if*      ::  $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$     (*if* '(-,-') **where**  
*if*(*P*,*a*,*b*) == *If*(*P*,*a*,*b*)

Finite Sets

**consts**

*Upair* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$   
*cons* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$   
*succ* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$

Ordered Pairing

**consts**

*Pair* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$   
*fst* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*snd* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*split* ::  $[[i, i] \Rightarrow 'a, i] \Rightarrow 'a::\{\}$  — for pattern-matching

Sigma and Pi Operators

**consts**

*Sigma* ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$   
*Pi*    ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

Relations and Functions

**consts**

*domain*    ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*range*     ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*field*      ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*converse*   ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*relation*   ::  $i \Rightarrow o$         — recognizes sets of pairs  
*function*   ::  $i \Rightarrow o$         — recognizes functions; can have non-pairs  
*Lambda*     ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$   
*restrict*    ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

Infixes in order of decreasing precedence

**consts**

*Image*      ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$     (**infixl** “ 90) — image  
*vimage*     ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$     (**infixl** – “ 90) — inverse image  
*apply*      ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$     (**infixl** ‘ 90) — function application  
*Int*        ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$     (**infixl** *Int* 70) — binary intersection

$Un \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ Un \ 65) \text{ --- binary union}$   
 $Diff \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ - \ 65) \text{ --- set difference}$   
 $Subset \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ <= \ 50) \text{ --- subset relation}$   
 $mem \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ : \ 50) \text{ --- membership relation}$

#### abbreviation

$not\text{-}mem \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ \sim \ 50) \text{ --- negated membership relation}$   
 $\mathbf{where} \ x \sim y == \sim (x : y)$

#### abbreviation

$cart\text{-}prod \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \ * \ 80) \text{ --- Cartesian product}$   
 $\mathbf{where} \ A * B == Sigma(A, \%-. B)$

#### abbreviation

$function\text{-}space \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \ -> \ 60) \text{ --- function space}$   
 $\mathbf{where} \ A -> B == Pi(A, \%-. B)$

#### nonterminals *is patterns*

#### syntax

$\quad :: i => is \quad (-)$   
 $@Enum \quad :: [i, is] => is \quad (-, / -)$   
  
 $@Finset \quad :: is => i \quad (\{(-)\})$   
 $@Tuple \quad :: [i, is] => i \quad (<(-, / -)>)$   
 $@Collect \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / -\}))$   
 $@Replace \quad :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / - \cdot -, -\}))$   
 $@RepFun \quad :: [i, pttrn, i] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / - \cdot -\}) [51, 0, 51])$   
 $@INTER \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3INT \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@UNION \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3UN \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@PROD \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3PROD \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@SUM \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3SUM \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@lam \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3lam \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@Ball \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3ALL \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$   
 $@Bex \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3EX \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$

$@pattern \quad :: patterns => pttrn \quad (<->)$   
 $\quad :: pttrn => patterns \quad (-)$   
 $@patterns \quad :: [pttrn, patterns] => patterns \quad (-, / -)$

#### translations

$\{x, xs\} \quad == \text{cons}(x, \{xs\})$   
 $\{x\} \quad == \text{cons}(x, 0)$   
 $\{x:A. P\} \quad == \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P)$   
 $\{y. x:A. Q\} \quad == \text{Replace}(A, \%x y. Q)$   
 $\{b. x:A\} \quad == \text{RepFun}(A, \%x. b)$

$INT\ x:A. B == Inter(\{B. x:A\})$   
 $UN\ x:A. B == Union(\{B. x:A\})$   
 $PROD\ x:A. B == Pi(A, \%x. B)$   
 $SUM\ x:A. B == Sigma(A, \%x. B)$   
 $lam\ x:A. f == Lambda(A, \%x. f)$   
 $ALL\ x:A. P == Ball(A, \%x. P)$   
 $EX\ x:A. P == Bex(A, \%x. P)$

$\langle x, y, z \rangle == \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$   
 $\langle x, y \rangle == Pair(x, y)$   
 $\% \langle x, y, zs \rangle. b == split(\%x \langle y, zs \rangle. b)$   
 $\% \langle x, y \rangle. b == split(\%x y. b)$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

$cart\text{-}prod$  (**infixr**  $\times$  80) and  
 $Int$  (**infixl**  $\cap$  70) and  
 $Un$  (**infixl**  $\cup$  65) and  
 $function\text{-}space$  (**infixr**  $\rightarrow$  60) and  
 $Subset$  (**infixl**  $\subseteq$  50) and  
 $mem$  (**infixl**  $\in$  50) and  
 $not\text{-}mem$  (**infixl**  $\notin$  50) and  
 $Union$  ( $\bigcup$  - [90] 90) and  
 $Inter$  ( $\bigcap$  - [90] 90)

**syntax** (*xsymbols*)

$@Collect :: [pttrn, i, o] => i$  ( $((1\{- \in - ./ -\}))$ )  
 $@Replace :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i$  ( $((1\{- \in - ./ - \in -, -\}))$ )  
 $@RepFun :: [i, pttrn, i] => i$  ( $((1\{- \in - ./ - \in -\}) [51,0,51])$ )  
 $@UNION :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$  ( $((3\bigcup - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@INTER :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$  ( $((3\bigcap - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@PROD :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$  ( $((3\Pi - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@SUM :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$  ( $((3\Sigma - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@lam :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$  ( $((3\lambda - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@Ball :: [pttrn, i, o] => o$  ( $((3\forall - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@Bex :: [pttrn, i, o] => o$  ( $((3\exists - \in - ./ -) 10)$ )  
 $@Tuple :: [i, is] => i$  ( $(((-, / -))$ )  
 $@pattern :: patterns => pttrn$  ( $((\cdot))$ )

**notation** (*HTML output*)

$cart\text{-}prod$  (**infixr**  $\times$  80) and  
 $Int$  (**infixl**  $\cap$  70) and  
 $Un$  (**infixl**  $\cup$  65) and  
 $Subset$  (**infixl**  $\subseteq$  50) and  
 $mem$  (**infixl**  $\in$  50) and  
 $not\text{-}mem$  (**infixl**  $\notin$  50) and  
 $Union$  ( $\bigcup$  - [90] 90) and  
 $Inter$  ( $\bigcap$  - [90] 90)

**syntax (HTML output)**

$@Collect :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- \in - ./ -\}))$   
 $@Replace :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -, -\}))$   
 $@RepFun :: [i, pttrn, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -\}) [51,0,51])$   
 $@UNION :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\bigcup - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@INTER :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\bigcap - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@PROD :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\Pi - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@SUM :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\Sigma - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@lam :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\lambda - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@Ball :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((3\forall - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@Bex :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((3\exists - \in - ./ -) 10)$   
 $@Tuple :: [i, is] \Rightarrow i \quad ((-, ./ -))$   
 $@pattern :: patterns \Rightarrow pttrn \quad ((-))$

**finalconsts**

$0 \text{ Pow Inf Union PrimReplace mem}$

**defs**

$Ball\text{-def}: \quad Ball(A, P) == \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P(x)$   
 $Bex\text{-def}: \quad Bex(A, P) == \exists x. x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$   
  
 $subset\text{-def}: \quad A \leq B == \forall x \in A. x \in B$

**local****axioms**

$extension: \quad A = B \longleftrightarrow A \leq B \ \& \ B \leq A$   
 $Union\text{-iff}: \quad A \in Union(C) \longleftrightarrow (\exists B \in C. A \in B)$   
 $Pow\text{-iff}: \quad A \in Pow(B) \longleftrightarrow A \leq B$

$infinity: \quad 0 \in Inf \ \& \ (\forall y \in Inf. succ(y) \in Inf)$

$foundation: \quad A = 0 \mid (\exists x \in A. \forall y \in x. y \sim : A)$

$replacement: \quad (\forall x \in A. \forall y z. P(x, y) \ \& \ P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z) \implies$   
 $b \in PrimReplace(A, P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x, b))$

**defs**

*Replace-def:*  $\text{Replace}(A,P) == \text{PrimReplace}(A, \%x y. (EX!z. P(x,z)) \& P(x,y))$

*RepFun-def:*  $\text{RepFun}(A,f) == \{y . x \in A, y=f(x)\}$

*Collect-def:*  $\text{Collect}(A,P) == \{y . x \in A, x=y \& P(x)\}$

*Upair-def:*  $\text{Upair}(a,b) == \{y. x \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(\emptyset)), (x=\emptyset \& y=a) \mid (x=\text{Pow}(\emptyset) \& y=b)\}$

*cons-def:*  $\text{cons}(a,A) == \text{Upair}(a,a) \text{ Un } A$

*succ-def:*  $\text{succ}(i) == \text{cons}(i, i)$

*Diff-def:*  $A - B == \{ x \in A . \sim(x \in B) \}$

*Inter-def:*  $\text{Inter}(A) == \{ x \in \text{Union}(A) . \forall y \in A. x \in y \}$

*Un-def:*  $A \text{ Un } B == \text{Union}(\text{Upair}(A,B))$

*Int-def:*  $A \text{ Int } B == \text{Inter}(\text{Upair}(A,B))$

*the-def:*  $\text{The}(P) == \text{Union}(\{y . x \in \{\emptyset\}, P(y)\})$

*if-def:*  $\text{if}(P,a,b) == \text{THE } z. P \& z=a \mid \sim P \& z=b$

*Pair-def:*  $\langle a,b \rangle == \{\{a,a\}, \{a,b\}\}$

*fst-def:*  $\text{fst}(p) == \text{THE } a. \exists b. p=\langle a,b \rangle$

*snd-def:*  $\text{snd}(p) == \text{THE } b. \exists a. p=\langle a,b \rangle$

*split-def:*  $\text{split}(c) == \%p. c(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$

*Sigma-def:*  $\text{Sigma}(A,B) == \bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B(x). \{\langle x,y \rangle\}$

*converse-def:*  $\text{converse}(r) == \{z. w \in r, \exists x y. w=\langle x,y \rangle \& z=\langle y,x \rangle\}$

*domain-def:*  $\text{domain}(r) == \{x. w \in r, \exists y. w=\langle x,y \rangle\}$

*range-def:*  $\text{range}(r) == \text{domain}(\text{converse}(r))$

*field-def:*  $\text{field}(r) == \text{domain}(r) \text{ Un } \text{range}(r)$

*relation-def:*  $\text{relation}(r) == \forall z \in r. \exists x y. z = \langle x,y \rangle$

*function-def:*  $\text{function}(r) ==$



$\forall x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle : r \dashrightarrow y = y')$   
*image-def:*  $r \text{ `` } A == \{y : \text{range}(r) . \exists x \in A. \langle x, y \rangle : r\}$   
*vimage-def:*  $r \text{ - `` } A == \text{converse}(r) \text{ `` } A$

*lam-def:*  $\text{Lambda}(A, b) == \{\langle x, b(x) \rangle . x \in A\}$   
*apply-def:*  $f'a == \text{Union}(f'\{a\})$   
*Pi-def:*  $\text{Pi}(A, B) == \{f \in \text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A, B)). A \leq \text{domain}(f) \ \& \ \text{function}(f)\}$

*restrict-def:*  $\text{restrict}(r, A) == \{z : r. \exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x, y \rangle\}$

## 1.1 Substitution

**lemma** *subst-elem*:  $[\![ \ b \in A; \ a = b \ ]\!] ==> a \in A$   
*<proof>*

## 1.2 Bounded universal quantifier

**lemma** *ballI* [*intro!*]:  $[\![ \ !x. x \in A ==> P(x) \ ]\!] ==> \forall x \in A. P(x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *strip = impI allI ballI*

**lemma** *bspec* [*dest?*]:  $[\![ \ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x : A \ ]\!] ==> P(x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-ballE* [*elim*]:  
 $[\![ \ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x \sim : A ==> Q; \ P(x) ==> Q \ ]\!] ==> Q$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ballE*:  $[\![ \ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ P(x) ==> Q; \ x \sim : A ==> Q \ ]\!] ==> Q$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-bspec*:  $[\![ \ x : A; \ \forall x \in A. P(x) \ ]\!] ==> P(x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ball-triv* [*simp*]:  $(\forall x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) \dashrightarrow P)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ball-cong* [*cong*]:  
 $[\![ \ A = A'; \ !x. x \in A' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x) \ ]\!] ==> (\forall x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A'. P'(x))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *atomize-ball*:

$(!!x. x \in A ==> P(x)) == \text{Trueprop } (\forall x \in A. P(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** [*symmetric, rulify*] = *atomize-ball*

**and** [*symmetric, defn*] = *atomize-ball*

### 1.3 Bounded existential quantifier

**lemma** *bexI* [*intro*]:  $[\![ P(x); x: A ]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-bexI*:  $[\![ x \in A; P(x) ]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bexCI*:  $[\![ \forall x \in A. \sim P(x) ==> P(a); a: A ]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bexE* [*elim!*]:  $[\![ \exists x \in A. P(x); !!x. [\![ x \in A; P(x) ]\!] ==> Q ]\!] ==> Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-triv* [*simp*]:  $(\exists x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) \& P)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-cong* [*cong*]:

$[\![ A=A'; !!x. x \in A' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x) ]\!]$

$==> (\exists x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A'. P'(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.4 Rules for subsets

**lemma** *subsetI* [*intro!*]:

$(!!x. x \in A ==> x \in B) ==> A <= B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subsetD* [*elim*]:  $[\![ A <= B; c \in A ]\!] ==> c \in B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subsetCE* [*elim*]:

$[\![ A <= B; c \sim A ==> P; c \in B ==> P ]\!] ==> P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-subsetD*:  $[\![ c \in A; A <= B ]\!] ==> c \in B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *contra-subsetD*:  $[| A \leq B; c \sim B |] \implies c \sim A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-contra-subsetD*:  $[| c \sim B; A \leq B |] \implies c \sim A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-refl* [*simp*]:  $A \leq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-trans*:  $[| A \leq B; B \leq C |] \implies A \leq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-iff*:  
 $A \leq B \iff (\forall x. x \in A \implies x \in B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.5 Rules for equality

**lemma** *equalityI* [*intro*]:  $[| A \leq B; B \leq A |] \implies A = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equality-iffI*:  $(\forall x. x \in A \iff x \in B) \implies A = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *equalityD1* = *extension* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]  
**lemmas** *equalityD2* = *extension* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

**lemma** *equalityE*:  $[| A = B; [| A \leq B; B \leq A |] \implies P |] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equalityCE*:  
 $[| A = B; [| c \in A; c \in B |] \implies P; [| c \sim A; c \sim B |] \implies P |] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.6 Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement

**lemma** *Replace-iff*:  
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x, y)\} \iff (\exists x \in A. P(x, b) \ \& \ (\forall y. P(x, y) \implies y = b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ReplaceI* [*intro*]:  
 $[| P(x, b); x : A; \forall y. P(x, y) \implies y = b |] \implies$   
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x, y)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ReplaceE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x : A; P(x,b); \forall y. P(x,y) \multimap y=b \rrbracket \implies R \\ & \rrbracket \implies R \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ReplaceE2* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x : A; P(x,b) \rrbracket \implies R \\ & \rrbracket \implies R \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Replace-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=B; !!x y. x \in B \implies P(x,y) \multimap Q(x,y) \rrbracket \implies \\ & \quad Replace(A,P) = Replace(B,Q) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.7 Rules for RepFun

**lemma** *RepFunI*:  $a \in A \implies f(a) : \{f(x). x \in A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-eqI* [*intro*]:  $\llbracket b=f(a); a \in A \rrbracket \implies b : \{f(x). x \in A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFunE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{f(x). x \in A\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x \in A; b=f(x) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies \\ & \quad P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\llbracket A=B; !!x. x \in B \implies f(x)=g(x) \rrbracket \implies RepFun(A,f) = RepFun(B,g)$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-iff* [*simp*]:  $b : \{f(x). x \in A\} \multimap (\exists x \in A. b=f(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *triv-RepFun* [*simp*]:  $\{x. x \in A\} = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.8 Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation

**lemma** *separation* [*simp*]:  $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \multimap a \in A \ \& \ P(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *CollectI* [*intro!*]:  $\llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket \implies a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *CollectE* [*elim!*]:  $\llbracket a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}; \llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *CollectD1*:  $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \implies a \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *CollectD2*:  $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \implies P(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-cong* [*cong*]:  
 $\llbracket A=B; \llbracket \forall x. x \in B \implies P(x) \iff Q(x) \rrbracket \implies Collect(A, \%x. P(x)) = Collect(B, \%x. Q(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.9 Rules for Unions

**declare** *Union-iff* [*simp*]

**lemma** *UnionI* [*intro*]:  $\llbracket B: C; A: B \rrbracket \implies A: Union(C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UnionE* [*elim!*]:  $\llbracket A \in Union(C); \llbracket \forall B. \llbracket A: B; B: C \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.10 Rules for Unions of families

**lemma** *UN-iff* [*simp*]:  $b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\exists x \in A. b \in B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-I*:  $\llbracket a: A; b: B(a) \rrbracket \implies b: (\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-E* [*elim!*]:  
 $\llbracket b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)); \llbracket \forall x. \llbracket x: A; b: B(x) \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-cong*:  
 $\llbracket A=B; \llbracket \forall x. x \in B \implies C(x)=D(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcup x \in B. D(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.11 Rules for the empty set

**lemma** *not-mem-empty* [*simp*]:  $a \sim: 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *emptyE* [*elim!*] = *not-mem-empty* [*THEN notE, standard*]

**lemma** *empty-subsetI* [*simp*]:  $0 \leq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equals0I*:  $[\![ \! !y. y \in A \implies \text{False} ]\!] \implies A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equals0D* [*dest*]:  $A = 0 \implies a \sim : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *sym* [*THEN equals0D, dest*]

**lemma** *not-emptyI*:  $a \in A \implies A \sim = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-emptyE*:  $[\![ A \sim = 0; \! !x. x \in A \implies R ]\!] \implies R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.12 Rules for Inter

**lemma** *Inter-iff*:  $A \in \text{Inter}(C) \iff (\forall x \in C. A : x) \ \& \ C \neq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InterI* [*intro!*]:  
 $[\![ \! !x. x : C \implies A : x; C \neq 0 ]\!] \implies A \in \text{Inter}(C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InterD* [*elim*]:  $[\![ A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \in C ]\!] \implies A \in B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InterE* [*elim*]:  
 $[\![ A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \sim : C \implies R; A \in B \implies R ]\!] \implies R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.13 Rules for Intersections of families

**lemma** *INT-iff*:  $b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\forall x \in A. b \in B(x)) \ \& \ A \neq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-I*:  $[\![ \! !x. x : A \implies b : B(x); A \neq 0 ]\!] \implies b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-E*:  $[\![ b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)); a : A ]\!] \implies b \in B(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-cong*:

$[| A=B; !!x. x \in B ==> C(x)=D(x) |] ==> (\bigcap x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcap x \in B. D(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.14 Rules for Powersets

**lemma** *PowI*:  $A \leq B ==> A \in Pow(B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PowD*:  $A \in Pow(B) ==> A \leq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *Pow-iff* [*iff*]

**lemmas** *Pow-bottom* = *empty-subsetI* [*THEN PowI*]

**lemmas** *Pow-top* = *subset-refl* [*THEN PowI*]

## 1.15 Cantor's Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset.

**lemma** *cantor*:  $\exists S \in Pow(A). \forall x \in A. b(x) \sim S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 2 Unordered Pairs

**theory** *upair* **imports** *ZF*

**uses** *Tools/typechk.ML* **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

**lemma** *atomize-ball* [*symmetric, rulify*]:

$(!!x. x:A ==> P(x)) == Trueprop (ALL x:A. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 2.1 Unordered Pairs: constant *Upair*

**lemma** *Upair-iff* [*simp*]:  $c : Upair(a,b) <-> (c=a \mid c=b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UpairI1*:  $a : Upair(a,b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UpairI2*:  $b : \text{Upair}(a,b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UpairE*:  $[[ a : \text{Upair}(b,c); a=b \implies P; a=c \implies P ]] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.2 Rules for Binary Union, Defined via *Upair*

**lemma** *Un-iff* [*simp*]:  $c : A \text{ Un } B \iff (c:A \mid c:B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnI1*:  $c : A \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnI2*:  $c : B \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *UnI1* [*elim?*] *UnI2* [*elim?*]

**lemma** *UnE* [*elim!*]:  $[[ c : A \text{ Un } B; c:A \implies P; c:B \implies P ]] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnE'*:  $[[ c : A \text{ Un } B; c:A \implies P; [[ c:B; c\sim:A ]] \implies P ]] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UnCI* [*intro!*]:  $(c \sim: B \implies c : A) \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.3 Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via *Upair*

**lemma** *Int-iff* [*simp*]:  $c : A \text{ Int } B \iff (c:A \ \& \ c:B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *IntI* [*intro!*]:  $[[ c : A; c : B ]] \implies c : A \text{ Int } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *IntD1*:  $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *IntD2*:  $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *IntE* [*elim!*]:  $[[ c : A \text{ Int } B; [[ c:A; c:B ]] \implies P ]] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.4 Rules for Set Difference, Defined via *Upair*

**lemma** *Diff-iff* [*simp*]:  $c : A - B \iff (c:A \ \& \ c\sim:B)$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DiffI* [*intro!*]:  $[\mid c : A; \ c \sim : B \mid] \implies c : A - B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DiffD1*:  $c : A - B \implies c : A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DiffD2*:  $c : A - B \implies c \sim : B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DiffE* [*elim!*]:  $[\mid c : A - B; \ [\mid c:A; \ c\sim:B \mid] \implies P \mid] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.5 Rules for *cons*

**lemma** *cons-iff* [*simp*]:  $a : \text{cons}(b,A) <-> (a=b \mid a:A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *consI1* [*simp*, *TC*]:  $a : \text{cons}(a,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *consI2*:  $a : B \implies a : \text{cons}(b,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *consE* [*elim!*]:  $[\mid a : \text{cons}(b,A); \ a=b \implies P; \ a:A \implies P \mid] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *consE'*:  
 $[\mid a : \text{cons}(b,A); \ a=b \implies P; \ [\mid a:A; \ a\sim=b \mid] \implies P \mid] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *consCI* [*intro!*]:  $(a\sim:B \implies a=b) \implies a : \text{cons}(b,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-not-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{cons}(a,B) \sim = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *cons-neq-0* = *cons-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard*]

**declare** *cons-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]

## 2.6 Singletons

**lemma** *singleton-iff*:  $a : \{b\} <-> a=b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *singletonI* [intro!]:  $a : \{a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *singletonE = singleton-iff* [THEN iffD1, elim-format, standard, elim!]

## 2.7 Descriptions

**lemma** *the-equality* [intro]:

$\llbracket P(a); \text{!!}x. P(x) \implies x=a \rrbracket \implies (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-equality2*:  $\llbracket EX! x. P(x); P(a) \rrbracket \implies (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *theI*:  $EX! x. P(x) \implies P(THE\ x. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-0*:  $\sim (EX! x. P(x)) \implies (THE\ x. P(x))=0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *theI2*:

**assumes**  $p1: \sim Q(0) \implies EX! x. P(x)$   
**and**  $p2: \text{!!}x. P(x) \implies Q(x)$   
**shows**  $Q(THE\ x. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-eq-trivial* [simp]:  $(THE\ x. x = a) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-eq-trivial2* [simp]:  $(THE\ x. a = x) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.8 Conditional Terms: *if-then-else*

**lemma** *if-true* [simp]:  $(if\ True\ then\ a\ else\ b) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *if-false* [simp]:  $(if\ False\ then\ a\ else\ b) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *if-cong*:

$\llbracket P \leftrightarrow Q; Q \implies a=c; \sim Q \implies b=d \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = (if\ Q\ then\ c\ else\ d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *if-weak-cong*:  $P <-> Q ==> (\text{if } P \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) = (\text{if } Q \text{ then } x \text{ else } y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *if-P*:  $P ==> (\text{if } P \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *if-not-P*:  $\sim P ==> (\text{if } P \text{ then } a \text{ else } b) = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *split-if* [*split*]:  
 $P(\text{if } Q \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) <-> ((Q \dashrightarrow P(x)) \ \& \ (\sim Q \dashrightarrow P(y)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *split-if-eq1* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *x* = *b*, *standard*]  
**lemmas** *split-if-eq2* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *a* = *x*, *standard*]

**lemmas** *split-if-mem1* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *x* : *b*, *standard*]  
**lemmas** *split-if-mem2* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *a* : *x*, *standard*]

**lemmas** *split-ifs* = *split-if-eq1* *split-if-eq2* *split-if-mem1* *split-if-mem2*

**lemma** *if-iff*:  $a: (\text{if } P \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) <-> P \ \& \ a:x \mid \sim P \ \& \ a:y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *if-type* [*TC*]:  
 $[ [ P ==> a: A; \ \sim P ==> b: A ] ] ==> (\text{if } P \text{ then } a \text{ else } b): A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *split-if-asm*:  $P(\text{if } Q \text{ then } x \text{ else } y) <-> (\sim((Q \ \& \ \sim P(x)) \mid (\sim Q \ \& \ \sim P(y))))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *if-splits* = *split-if* *split-if-asm*

## 2.9 Consequences of Foundation

**lemma** *mem-asym*:  $[ [ a:b; \ \sim P ==> b:a ] ] ==> P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-irrefl*:  $a:a \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-not-refl*:  $a \sim a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-imp-not-eq*:  $a:A \implies a \sim A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-imp-not-mem*:  $a=A \implies a \sim A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.10 Rules for Successor

**lemma** *succ-iff*:  $i : \text{succ}(j) \iff i=j \mid i:j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succI1* [*simp*]:  $i : \text{succ}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succI2*:  $i : j \implies i : \text{succ}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succE* [*elim!*]:  
 $\llbracket i : \text{succ}(j); i=j \implies P; i:j \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succCI* [*intro!*]:  $(i \sim j \implies i=j) \implies i : \text{succ}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-not-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{succ}(n) \sim 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *succ-neq-0* = *succ-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard, elim!*]

**declare** *succ-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]  
**declare** *sym* [*THEN succ-neq-0, elim!*]

**lemmas** *succ-subsetD* = *succI1* [*THEN* [2] *subsetD*]

**lemmas** *succ-neq-self* = *succI1* [*THEN mem-imp-not-eq, THEN not-sym, standard*]

**lemma** *succ-inject-iff* [*simp*]:  $\text{succ}(m) = \text{succ}(n) \leftrightarrow m=n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *succ-inject* = *succ-inject-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard, dest!*]

## 2.11 Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier

**lemma** *ball-simps1*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ (A=0 \mid Q)$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \mid Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \mid Q)$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow Q)$   
 $(\sim(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x))) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } x:A. \sim P(x))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:0. P(x)) \leftrightarrow \text{True}$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{succ}(i). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(i) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:i. P(x))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{cons}(a,B). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(a) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:B. P(x))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{RepFun}(A,f). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } y:A. P(f(y)))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{Union}(A). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } y:A. \text{ALL } x:y. P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ball-simps2*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P \ \& \ Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (A=0 \mid P) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P \mid Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (P \mid (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$   
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (P \dashrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ball-simps3*:

$(\text{ALL } x:\text{Collect}(A,Q). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x) \dashrightarrow P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ball-simps* [*simp*] = *ball-simps1 ball-simps2 ball-simps3*

**lemma** *ball-conj-distrib*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.12 Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier

**lemma** *bex-simps1*:

$(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ Q)$   
 $(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \mid Q) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \mid (A \neq 0 \ \& \ Q)$   
 $(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow (A \neq 0 \ \& \ Q))$   
 $(\text{EX } x:0. P(x)) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$   
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{succ}(i). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(i) \mid (\text{EX } x:i. P(x))$   
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{cons}(a,B). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(a) \mid (\text{EX } x:B. P(x))$   
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{RepFun}(A,f). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } y:A. P(f(y)))$   
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{Union}(A). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } y:A. \text{EX } x:y. P(x))$   
 $(\sim(\text{EX } x:A. P(x))) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. \sim P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-simps2*:

$(EX\ x:A. P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> (P \ \&\ (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$   
 $(EX\ x:A. P \mid Q(x)) <-> (A \approx 0 \ \&\ P) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x))$   
 $(EX\ x:A. P \dashv\dashv Q(x)) <-> ((A=0 \mid P) \dashv\dashv (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-simps3*:

$(EX\ x:Collect(A,Q).P(x)) <-> (EX\ x:A. Q(x) \ \&\ P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *bex-simps* [simp] = *bex-simps1* *bex-simps2* *bex-simps3*

**lemma** *bex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX\ x:A. P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x:A. P(x)) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-triv-one-point1* [simp]:  $(EX\ x:A. x=a) <-> (a:A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-triv-one-point2* [simp]:  $(EX\ x:A. a=x) <-> (a:A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-one-point1* [simp]:  $(EX\ x:A. x=a \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bex-one-point2* [simp]:  $(EX\ x:A. a=x \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ball-one-point1* [simp]:  $(ALL\ x:A. x=a \dashv\dashv P(x)) <-> (a:A \dashv\dashv P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ball-one-point2* [simp]:  $(ALL\ x:A. a=x \dashv\dashv P(x)) <-> (a:A \dashv\dashv P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.13 Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator

These cover both *Replace* and *Collect*

**lemma** *Rep-simps* [simp]:

$\{x. y:0, R(x,y)\} = 0$   
 $\{x:0. P(x)\} = 0$   
 $\{x:A. Q\} = (if\ Q\ then\ A\ else\ 0)$   
 $RepFun(0,f) = 0$   
 $RepFun(succ(i),f) = cons(f(i), RepFun(i,f))$   
 $RepFun(cons(a,B),f) = cons(f(a), RepFun(B,f))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.14 Miniscoping of Unions

**lemma** *UN-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. \text{cons}(a, B(x))) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{cons}(a, UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Un } B') &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' \text{ Un } B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } A' \text{ Un } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' \text{ Int } B(x)) &= (A' \text{ Int } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) - B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' - B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } A' - (INT\ x:C. B(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x: \text{Union}(A). B(x)) &= (UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) \\
(UN\ z: (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z)) &= (UN\ x:A. UN\ z: B(x). C(z)) \\
(UN\ x: \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(x)) &= (UN\ a:A. B(f(a)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *UN-simps* [simp] = *UN-simps1 UN-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

**lemma** *UN-extend-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } B \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x) - B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-extend-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cons}(a, UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } \{a\} \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. \text{cons}(a, B(x)))) \\
A \text{ Un } (UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A \text{ Un } B(x))) \\
(A \text{ Int } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) &= (UN\ x:C. A \text{ Int } B(x)) \\
A - (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A - B(x))) \\
(UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) &= (UN\ x: \text{Union}(A). B(x)) \\
(UN\ a:A. B(f(a))) &= (UN\ x: \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(x))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-UN-extend*:

$$(UN\ x:A. UN\ z: B(x). C(z)) = (UN\ z: (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *UN-extend-simps* = *UN-extend-simps1 UN-extend-simps2 UN-UN-extend*

## 2.15 Miniscoping of Intersections

**lemma** *INT-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(INT\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x) - B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)) - B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Un } B) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (INT\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned} (INT\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) &= A\ Int\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) \\ (INT\ x:C. A - B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A - (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\ (INT\ x:C. cons(a, B(x))) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ cons(a, INT\ x:C. B(x))) \\ (INT\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A\ Un\ (INT\ x:C. B(x))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *INT-simps* [simp] = *INT-simps1* *INT-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

**lemma** *INT-extend-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned} (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Int\ B &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Int\ B) \\ (INT\ x:C. A(x)) - B &= (INT\ x:C. A(x) - B) \\ (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Un\ B &= (if\ C=0\ then\ B\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Un\ B)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-extend-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned} A\ Int\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (INT\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) \\ A - (UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A - B(x))) \\ cons(a, INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ \{a\}\ else\ (INT\ x:C. cons(a, B(x)))) \\ A\ Un\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *INT-extend-simps* = *INT-extend-simps1* *INT-extend-simps2*

## 2.16 Other simprules

**lemma** *misc-simps* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} 0\ Un\ A &= A \\ A\ Un\ 0 &= A \\ 0\ Int\ A &= 0 \\ A\ Int\ 0 &= 0 \\ 0 - A &= 0 \\ A - 0 &= A \\ Union(0) &= 0 \\ Union(cons(b,A)) &= b\ Un\ Union(A) \\ Inter(\{b\}) &= b \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 3 Ordered Pairs

**theory** *pair* **imports** *upair*  
**uses** *simpdata.ML* **begin**



**lemma** *singleton-eq-iff* [*iff*]:  $\{a\} = \{b\} \leftrightarrow a=b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *doubleton-eq-iff*:  $\{a,b\} = \{c,d\} \leftrightarrow (a=c \ \& \ b=d) \mid (a=d \ \& \ b=c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-iff* [*simp*]:  $\langle a,b \rangle = \langle c,d \rangle \leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Pair-inject* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjE, standard, elim!*]

**lemmas** *Pair-inject1* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]

**lemmas** *Pair-inject2* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

**lemma** *Pair-not-0*:  $\langle a,b \rangle \sim = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Pair-neq-0* = *Pair-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard, elim!*]

**declare** *sym* [*THEN Pair-neq-0, elim!*]

**lemma** *Pair-neq-fst*:  $\langle a,b \rangle = a \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-neq-snd*:  $\langle a,b \rangle = b \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.1 Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets

Generalizes Cartesian product

**lemma** *Sigma-iff* [*simp*]:  $\langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B) \leftrightarrow a:A \ \& \ b:B(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SigmaI* [*TC,intro!*]:  $\llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket \implies \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *SigmaD1* = *Sigma-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]

**lemmas** *SigmaD2* = *Sigma-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

**lemma** *SigmaE* [*elim!*]:  
 $\llbracket c : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$   
 $\quad !!x \ y. \llbracket x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=\langle x,y \rangle \rrbracket \implies P$   
 $\rrbracket \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SigmaE2* [*elim!*]:  
 $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$   
 $\quad \llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket \implies P$

$\llbracket \rrbracket ==> P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-cong*:  
 $\llbracket A=A'; \ !x. x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket ==>$   
 $Sigma(A,B) = Sigma(A',B')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-empty1* [simp]:  $Sigma(0,B) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-empty2* [simp]:  $A*0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-empty-iff*:  $A*B=0 <-> A=0 \mid B=0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.2 Projections *fst* and *snd*

**lemma** *fst-conv* [simp]:  $fst(<a,b>) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *snd-conv* [simp]:  $snd(<a,b>) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fst-type* [TC]:  $p:Sigma(A,B) ==> fst(p) : A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *snd-type* [TC]:  $p:Sigma(A,B) ==> snd(p) : B(fst(p))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-fst-snd-eq*:  $a: Sigma(A,B) ==> <fst(a),snd(a)> = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.3 The Eliminator, *split*

**lemma** *split* [simp]:  $split(\%x y. c(x,y), <a,b>) == c(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *split-type* [TC]:  
 $\llbracket p:Sigma(A,B);$   
 $\ !x y. \llbracket x:A; y:B(x) \rrbracket ==> c(x,y):C(<x,y>)$   
 $\rrbracket ==> split(\%x y. c(x,y), p) : C(p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expand-split*:  
 $u: A*B ==>$   
 $R(split(c,u)) <-> (ALL x:A. ALL y:B. u = <x,y> --> R(c(x,y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.4 A version of *split* for Formulae: Result Type *o*

**lemma** *splitI*:  $R(a,b) \implies split(R, \langle a,b \rangle)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *splitE*:  

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ split(R,z); \quad z:Sigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \quad [[ z = \langle x,y \rangle; \quad R(x,y) \quad ]] \implies P \\ & \quad ]] \implies P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *splitD*:  $split(R, \langle a,b \rangle) \implies R(a,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Complex rules for Sigma.

**lemma** *split-paired-Bex-Sigma* [*simp*]:  
 $(\exists z \in Sigma(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\exists x \in A. \exists y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *split-paired-Ball-Sigma* [*simp*]:  
 $(\forall z \in Sigma(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 4 Basic Equalities and Inclusions

**theory** *equalities* **imports** *pair* **begin**

These cover union, intersection, converse, domain, range, etc. Philippe de Groote proved many of the inclusions.

**lemma** *in-mono*:  $A \subseteq B \implies x \in A \implies x \in B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-eq-0* [*simp*]:  $(THE\ x.\ False) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 4.1 Bounded Quantifiers

The following are not added to the default simpset because (a) they duplicate the body and (b) there are no similar rules for *Int*.

**lemma** *ball-Un*:  $(\forall x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. P(x)) \ \& \ (\forall x \in B. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *beX-Un*:  $(\exists x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x)) \mid (\exists x \in B. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ball-UN*:  $(\forall z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall z \in B(x). P(z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *beX-UN*:  $(\exists z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \exists z \in B(x). P(z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.2 Converse of a Relation

**lemma** *converse-iff* [*simp*]:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in converse(r) \leftrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \in r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converseI* [*intro!*]:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in converse(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converseD*:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in converse(r) \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converseE* [*elim!*]:  

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket yx \in converse(r); \\ & \quad !!x \ y. \llbracket yx = \langle y, x \rangle; \langle x, y \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \\ & \implies P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-converse*:  $r \subseteq Sigma(A, B) \implies converse(converse(r)) = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-type*:  $r \subseteq A * B \implies converse(r) \subseteq B * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-prod* [*simp*]:  $converse(A * B) = B * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-empty* [*simp*]:  $converse(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-subset-iff*:  
 $A \subseteq Sigma(X, Y) \implies converse(A) \subseteq converse(B) \leftrightarrow A \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.3 Finite Set Constructions Using *cons*

**lemma** *cons-subsetI*:  $\llbracket a \in C; B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies cons(a, B) \subseteq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-consI*:  $B \subseteq \text{cons}(a, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-subset-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{cons}(a, B) \subseteq C \iff a \in C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *cons-subsetE* = *cons-subset-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjE, standard*]

**lemma** *subset-empty-iff*:  $A \subseteq 0 \iff A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-cons-iff*:  $C \subseteq \text{cons}(a, B) \iff C \subseteq B \mid (a \in C \ \& \ C - \{a\} \subseteq B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-eq*:  $\{a\} \cup B = \text{cons}(a, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-commute*:  $\text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(b, C)) = \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(a, C))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-absorb*:  $a \in B \implies \text{cons}(a, B) = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-Diff*:  $a \in B \implies \text{cons}(a, B - \{a\}) = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-cons-eq*:  $\text{cons}(a, B) - C = (\text{if } a \in C \text{ then } B - C \text{ else } \text{cons}(a, B - C))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equal-singleton* [*rule-format*]:  $[\mid a \in C; \ \forall y \in C. y = b \mid] \implies C = \{b\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $\text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(a, B)) = \text{cons}(a, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-subsetI*:  $a \in C \implies \{a\} \subseteq C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-subsetD*:  $\{a\} \subseteq C \implies a \in C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-succI*:  $i \subseteq \text{succ}(i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-subsetI*:  $[i \in j; i \subseteq j] \implies succ(i) \subseteq j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-subsetE*:  
 $[succ(i) \subseteq j; [i \in j; i \subseteq j] \implies P] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-subset-iff*:  $succ(a) \subseteq B \iff (a \subseteq B \ \& \ a \in B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.4 Binary Intersection

**lemma** *Int-subset-iff*:  $C \subseteq A \text{ Int } B \iff C \subseteq A \ \& \ C \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-lower1*:  $A \text{ Int } B \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-lower2*:  $A \text{ Int } B \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-greatest*:  $[C \subseteq A; C \subseteq B] \implies C \subseteq A \text{ Int } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-cons*:  $cons(a, B) \text{ Int } C \subseteq cons(a, B \text{ Int } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-absorb [simp]*:  $A \text{ Int } A = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-left-absorb*:  $A \text{ Int } (A \text{ Int } B) = A \text{ Int } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-commute*:  $A \text{ Int } B = B \text{ Int } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-left-commute*:  $A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Int } C) = B \text{ Int } (A \text{ Int } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-assoc*:  $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Int } C = A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Int } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Int-ac= Int-assoc Int-left-absorb Int-commute Int-left-commute*

**lemma** *Int-absorb1*:  $B \subseteq A \implies A \cap B = B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-absorb2*:  $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap B = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Un-distrib*:  $A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) = (A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (A \text{ Int } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Un-distrib2*:  $(B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } A = (B \text{ Int } A) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Int-iff*:  $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Int } B = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Int-iff2*:  $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Int } A = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Diff-eq*:  $C \subseteq A \implies (A - B) \text{ Int } C = C - B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-cons-left*:  
 $\text{cons}(a, A) \text{ Int } B = (\text{if } a \in B \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, A \text{ Int } B) \text{ else } A \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-cons-right*:  
 $A \text{ Int } \text{cons}(a, B) = (\text{if } a \in A \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, A \text{ Int } B) \text{ else } A \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-Int-distrib*:  $\text{cons}(x, A \cap B) = \text{cons}(x, A) \cap \text{cons}(x, B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.5 Binary Union

**lemma** *Un-subset-iff*:  $A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C \iff A \subseteq C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-upper1*:  $A \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-upper2*:  $B \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-least*:  $[A \subseteq C; B \subseteq C] \implies A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-cons*:  $\text{cons}(a, B) \text{ Un } C = \text{cons}(a, B \text{ Un } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-absorb [simp]*:  $A \text{ Un } A = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-left-absorb*:  $A \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } B) = A \text{ Un } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-commute*:  $A \text{ Un } B = B \text{ Un } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-left-commute*:  $A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C) = B \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-assoc*:  $(A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Un-ac* = *Un-assoc Un-left-absorb Un-commute Un-left-commute*

**lemma** *Un-absorb1*:  $A \subseteq B \implies A \cup B = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-absorb2*:  $B \subseteq A \implies A \cup B = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Int-distrib*:  $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = (A \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Un-iff*:  $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Un } B = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Un-iff2*:  $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Un } A = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-empty [iff]*:  $(A \text{ Un } B = 0) \iff (A = 0 \ \& \ B = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-eq-Union*:  $A \text{ Un } B = \text{Union}(\{A, B\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.6 Set Difference

**lemma** *Diff-subset*:  $A - B \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-contains*:  $[| C \subseteq A; C \text{ Int } B = 0 |] \implies C \subseteq A - B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Diff-cons-iff*:  $B \subseteq A - \text{cons}(c, C) \iff B \subseteq A - C \ \& \ c \sim: B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *Diff-cancel*:  $A - A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-triv*:  $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies A - B = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-Diff* [simp]:  $0 - A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-0* [simp]:  $A - 0 = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-eq-0-iff*:  $A - B = 0 \iff A \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-cons*:  $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - B - \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-cons2*:  $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - \{a\} - B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-disjoint*:  $A \text{ Int } (B - A) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-partition*:  $A \subseteq B \implies A \text{ Un } (B - A) = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Un-Diff*:  $A \subseteq B \text{ Un } (A - B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *double-complement*:  $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq C] \implies B - (C - A) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *double-complement-Un*:  $(A \text{ Un } B) - (B - A) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Int-crazy*:  
 $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (B \text{ Int } C) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A) = (A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (C \text{ Un } A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-Un*:  $A - (B \text{ Un } C) = (A - B) \text{ Int } (A - C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-Int*:  $A - (B \text{ Int } C) = (A - B) \text{ Un } (A - C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Diff*:  $(A \text{ Un } B) - C = (A - C) \text{ Un } (B - C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Diff*:  $(A \text{ Int } B) - C = A \text{ Int } (B - C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-Int-distrib*:  $C \text{ Int } (A - B) = (C \text{ Int } A) - (C \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-Int-distrib2*:  $(A - B) \text{ Int } C = (A \text{ Int } C) - (B \text{ Int } C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Int-assoc-iff*:  $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \iff C \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.7 Big Union and Intersection

**lemma** *Union-subset-iff*:  $\text{Union}(A) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. x \subseteq C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-upper*:  $B \in A \implies B \subseteq \text{Union}(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-least*:  $[\![ \forall x. x \in A \implies x \subseteq C ]\!] \implies \text{Union}(A) \subseteq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-cons [simp]*:  $\text{Union}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = a \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-Un-distrib*:  $\text{Union}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Union}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-Int-subset*:  $\text{Union}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{Union}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Union}(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-disjoint*:  $\text{Union}(C) \text{ Int } A = 0 \iff (\forall B \in C. B \text{ Int } A = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-empty-iff*:  $\text{Union}(A) = 0 \iff (\forall B \in A. B = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Union2*:  $\text{Union}(B) \text{ Int } A = (\bigcup C \in B. C \text{ Int } A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-subset-iff*:  $A \neq 0 \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A) \iff (\forall x \in A. C \subseteq x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-lower*:  $B \in A \implies \text{Inter}(A) \subseteq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-greatest*:  $[A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq x] \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-lower*:  $x \in A \implies (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq B(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-greatest*:  $[A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq B(x)] \implies C \subseteq (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-0* [simp]:  $\text{Inter}(0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-Un-subset*:  
 $[z \in A; z \in B] \implies \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Inter}(B) \subseteq \text{Inter}(A \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-Un-distrib*:  
 $[A \neq 0; B \neq 0] \implies \text{Inter}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-singleton*:  $\text{Union}(\{b\}) = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-singleton*:  $\text{Inter}(\{b\}) = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-cons* [simp]:  
 $\text{Inter}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = (\text{if } B = 0 \text{ then } a \text{ else } a \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.8 Unions and Intersections of Families

**lemma** *subset-UN-iff-eq*:  $A \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} B(i)) \iff A = (\bigcup_{i \in I} A \text{ Int } B(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-subset-iff*:  $(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. B(x) \subseteq C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-upper*:  $x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-least*:  $[\forall x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq C] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-eq-UN*:  $Union(A) = (\bigcup x \in A. x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-eq-INT*:  $Inter(A) = (\bigcap x \in A. x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-0 [simp]*:  $(\bigcup i \in 0. A(i)) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-singleton*:  $(\bigcup x \in A. \{x\}) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-Un*:  $(\bigcup i \in A. Un B. C(i)) = (\bigcup i \in A. C(i)) Un (\bigcup i \in B. C(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-Un*:  $(\bigcap i \in I. Un J. A(i)) =$   
     *(if I=0 then  $\bigcap j \in J. A(j)$*   
     *else if J=0 then  $\bigcap i \in I. A(i)$*   
     *else  $((\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) Int (\bigcap j \in J. A(j)))$ )*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-UN-flatten*:  $(\bigcup x \in (\bigcup y \in A. B(y)). C(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \bigcup x \in B(y). C(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-UN-distrib*:  $B Int (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B Int A(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-INT-distrib*:  $I \neq 0 ==> B Un (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B Un A(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-UN-distrib2*:  
 $(\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) Int (\bigcup j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. A(i) Int B(j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-INT-distrib2*:  $[I \neq 0; J \neq 0] ==>$   
 $(\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) Un (\bigcap j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcap i \in I. \bigcap j \in J. A(i) Un B(j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-constant [simp]*:  $(\bigcup y \in A. c) = (if A=0 then 0 else c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-constant [simp]*:  $(\bigcap y \in A. c) = (if A=0 then 0 else c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-RepFun [simp]*:  $(\bigcup y \in RepFun(A, f). B(y)) = (\bigcup x \in A. B(f(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-RepFun [simp]*:  $(\bigcap x \in RepFun(A, f). B(x)) = (\bigcap a \in A. B(f(a)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-Union-eq*:

$0 \sim: A ==> (\bigcap x \in Union(A). B(x)) = (\bigcap y \in A. \bigcap x \in y. B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-UN-eq*:

$(\forall x \in A. B(x) \sim= 0)$   
 $==> (\bigcap z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \bigcap z \in B(x). C(z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-Un-distrib*:

$(\bigcup i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\bigcup i \in I. B(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *INT-Int-distrib*:

$I \neq 0 ==> (\bigcap i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\bigcap i \in I. B(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-Int-subset*:

$(\bigcup z \in I \text{ Int } J. A(z)) \subseteq (\bigcup z \in I. A(z)) \text{ Int } (\bigcup z \in J. A(z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-UN*:  $I \neq 0 ==> B - (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B - A(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-INT*:  $I \neq 0 ==> B - (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B - A(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-cons1*:  $Sigma(cons(a, B), C) = (\{a\} * C(a)) \text{ Un } Sigma(B, C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-cons2*:  $A * cons(b, B) = A * \{b\} \text{ Un } A * B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-succ1*:  $Sigma(succ(A), B) = (\{A\} * B(A)) \text{ Un } Sigma(A, B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Sigma-succ2*:  $A * succ(B) = A*\{B\} \text{ Un } A*B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-UN-distrib1*:  
 $(\sum x \in (\bigcup y \in A. C(y)). B(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \sum x \in C(y). B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-UN-distrib2*:  
 $(\sum i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. C(i,j)) = (\bigcup j \in J. \sum i \in I. C(i,j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-Un-distrib1*:  
 $(\sum i \in I \text{ Un } J. C(i)) = (\sum i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Un } (\sum j \in J. C(j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-Un-distrib2*:  
 $(\sum i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\sum i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\sum i \in I. B(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-Un-distrib2*:  $I * (A \text{ Un } B) = I*A \text{ Un } I*B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-Int-distrib1*:  
 $(\sum i \in I \text{ Int } J. C(i)) = (\sum i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Int } (\sum j \in J. C(j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-Int-distrib2*:  
 $(\sum i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\sum i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\sum i \in I. B(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-Int-distrib2*:  $I * (A \text{ Int } B) = I*A \text{ Int } I*B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *SUM-eq-UN*:  $(\sum i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \{i\} * A(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *times-subset-iff*:  
 $(A'*B' \subseteq A*B) \iff (A' = 0 \mid B' = 0 \mid (A' \subseteq A) \ \& \ (B' \subseteq B))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Sigma-eq*:  
 $(\sum x \in A'. B'(x)) \text{ Int } (\sum x \in A. B(x)) = (\sum x \in A' \text{ Int } A. B'(x)) \text{ Int } B(x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-iff*:  $a: \text{domain}(r) \leftrightarrow (EX\ y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domainI* [*intro*]:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies a: \text{domain}(r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domainE* [*elim!*]:  
 $[\mid a \in \text{domain}(r); \ !y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-subset*:  $\text{domain}(\text{Sigma}(A, B)) \subseteq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-of-prod*:  $b \in B \implies \text{domain}(A * B) = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{domain}(0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-cons* [*simp*]:  $\text{domain}(\text{cons}(\langle a, b \rangle, r)) = \text{cons}(a, \text{domain}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-Un-eq* [*simp*]:  $\text{domain}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{domain}(A) \text{ Un } \text{domain}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-Int-subset*:  $\text{domain}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A) \text{ Int } \text{domain}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-Diff-subset*:  $\text{domain}(A) - \text{domain}(B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A - B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-UN*:  $\text{domain}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(B(x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-Union*:  $\text{domain}(\text{Union}(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rangeI* [*intro*]:  $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies b \in \text{range}(r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rangeE* [*elim!*]:  $[\mid b \in \text{range}(r); \ !x. \langle x, b \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-subset*:  $\text{range}(A * B) \subseteq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-of-prod*:  $a \in A \implies range(A * B) = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-0* [simp]:  $range(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-cons* [simp]:  $range(cons(<a,b>, r)) = cons(b, range(r))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-Un-eq* [simp]:  $range(A \cup B) = range(A) \cup range(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-Int-subset*:  $range(A \cap B) \subseteq range(A) \cap range(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-Diff-subset*:  $range(A) - range(B) \subseteq range(A - B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-converse* [simp]:  $domain(converse(r)) = range(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-converse* [simp]:  $range(converse(r)) = domain(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fieldI1*:  $<a,b> \in r \implies a \in field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fieldI2*:  $<a,b> \in r \implies b \in field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fieldCI* [intro]:  
 $(\sim <c,a> \in r \implies <a,b> \in r) \implies a \in field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fieldE* [elim!]:  
     $[| a \in field(r);$   
         $!!x. <a,x> \in r \implies P;$   
         $!!x. <x,a> \in r \implies P \quad |] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-subset*:  $field(A * B) \subseteq A \cup B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-subset-field*:  $domain(r) \subseteq field(r)$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-subset-field*:  $range(r) \subseteq field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-times-range*:  $r \subseteq Sigma(A,B) ==> r \subseteq domain(r)*range(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-times-field*:  $r \subseteq Sigma(A,B) ==> r \subseteq field(r)*field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-field-times-field*:  $relation(r) ==> r \subseteq field(r)*field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-of-prod*:  $field(A*A) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-0* [simp]:  $field(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-cons* [simp]:  $field(cons(<a,b>,r)) = cons(a, cons(b, field(r)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-Un-eq* [simp]:  $field(A \ Un \ B) = field(A) \ Un \ field(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-Int-subset*:  $field(A \ Int \ B) \subseteq field(A) \ Int \ field(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-Diff-subset*:  $field(A) - field(B) \subseteq field(A - B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-converse* [simp]:  $field(converse(r)) = field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-Union*:  $(\forall x \in S. EX \ A \ B. x \subseteq A*B) ==>$   
 $Union(S) \subseteq domain(Union(S)) * range(Union(S))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rel-Un*:  $[| \ r \subseteq A*B; \ s \subseteq C*D \ |] ==> (r \ Un \ s) \subseteq (A \ Un \ C) * (B \ Un \ D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-Diff-eq*:  $[| \ <a,c> \in r; \ c \sim b \ |] ==> domain(r - \{<a,b>\}) = domain(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-Diff-eq*:  $[| \ <c,b> \in r; \ c \sim a \ |] ==> range(r - \{<a,b>\}) = range(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.9 Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

**lemma** *image-iff*:  $b \in r''A \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \langle x, b \rangle \in r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-singleton-iff*:  $b \in r''\{a\} \leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imageI* [intro]:  $[\langle a, b \rangle \in r; a \in A] \implies b \in r''A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imageE* [elim!]:  
 $[\langle b: r''A; !!x. [\langle x, b \rangle \in r; x \in A] \implies P \rangle] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-subset*:  $r \subseteq A * B \implies r''C \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-0* [simp]:  $r''0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Un* [simp]:  $r''(A \cup B) = (r''A) \cup (r''B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-UN*:  $r''(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. r''B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-image-eq*:  
 $\{z \in \text{Sigma}(A, B). P(z)\}''C = (\bigcup x \in A. \{y \in B(x). x \in C \ \& \ P(\langle x, y \rangle)\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Int-subset*:  $r''(A \cap B) \subseteq (r''A) \cap (r''B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Int-square-subset*:  $(r \cap A * A)''B \subseteq (r''B) \cap A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Int-square*:  $B \subseteq A \implies (r \cap A * A)''B = (r''B) \cap A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-0-left* [simp]:  $0''A = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Un-left*:  $(r \cup s)''A = (r''A) \cup (s''A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-Int-subset-left*:  $(r \text{ Int } s) \text{ `` } A \subseteq (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (s \text{ `` } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.10 Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

**lemma** *vimage-iff*:  
 $a \in r \text{ `` } B \iff (\exists y \in B. \langle a, y \rangle \in r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-singleton-iff*:  $a \in r \text{ `` } \{b\} \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimageI* [intro]:  $[\langle a, b \rangle \in r; b \in B] \implies a \in r \text{ `` } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimageE* [elim!]:  
 $[\langle a, x \rangle \in r; x \in B] \implies P \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-subset*:  $r \subseteq A * B \implies r \text{ `` } C \subseteq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-0* [simp]:  $r \text{ `` } 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Un* [simp]:  $r \text{ `` } (A \text{ Un } B) = (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Un } (r \text{ `` } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Int-subset*:  $r \text{ `` } (A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (r \text{ `` } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-eq-UN*:  $f \text{ `` } B = (\bigcup y \in B. f \text{ `` } \{y\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *function-vimage-Int*:  
 $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (A \text{ Int } B) = (f \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (f \text{ `` } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *function-vimage-Diff*:  $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (A - B) = (f \text{ `` } A) - (f \text{ `` } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *function-image-vimage*:  $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (f \text{ `` } A) \subseteq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Int-square-subset*:  $(r \text{ Int } A * A) \text{ `` } B \subseteq (r \text{ `` } B) \text{ Int } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Int-square*:  $B \subseteq A \implies (r \text{ Int } A * A) - ``B = (r - ``B) \text{ Int } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-0-left* [simp]:  $0 - ``A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Un-left*:  $(r \text{ Un } s) - ``A = (r - ``A) \text{ Un } (s - ``A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-Int-subset-left*:  $(r \text{ Int } s) - ``A \subseteq (r - ``A) \text{ Int } (s - ``A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-Un* [simp]:  $\text{converse}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Un } \text{converse}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-Int* [simp]:  $\text{converse}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Int } \text{converse}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-Diff* [simp]:  $\text{converse}(A - B) = \text{converse}(A) - \text{converse}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-UN* [simp]:  $\text{converse}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-INT* [simp]:  
 $\text{converse}(\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.11 Powerset Operator

**lemma** *Pow-0* [simp]:  $\text{Pow}(0) = \{0\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-insert*:  $\text{Pow}(\text{cons}(a, A)) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \{\text{cons}(a, X) \mid X: \text{Pow}(A)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-Pow-subset*:  $\text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Pow}(B) \subseteq \text{Pow}(A \text{ Un } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-Pow-subset*:  $(\bigcup x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x))) \subseteq \text{Pow}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-Pow-Union*:  $A \subseteq \text{Pow}(\text{Union}(A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-Pow-eq* [simp]:  $\text{Union}(\text{Pow}(A)) = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-Pow-iff*:  $\text{Union}(A) \in \text{Pow}(B) \iff A \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-Int-eq* [simp]:  $\text{Pow}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Pow}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-INT-eq*:  $A \neq 0 \implies \text{Pow}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) = (\bigcap_{x \in A} \text{Pow}(B(x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.12 RepFun

**lemma** *RepFun-subset*:  $[\![ \forall x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in B ]\!] \implies \{f(x). x \in A\} \subseteq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-eq-0-iff* [simp]:  $\{f(x). x \in A\} = 0 \iff A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-constant* [simp]:  $\{c. x \in A\} = (\text{if } A = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \{c\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.13 Collect

**lemma** *Collect-subset*:  $\text{Collect}(A, P) \subseteq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Un*:  $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Un } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Un } \text{Collect}(B, P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Int*:  $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Int } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(B, P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Diff*:  $\text{Collect}(A - B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) - \text{Collect}(B, P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-cons*:  $\{x \in \text{cons}(a, B). P(x)\} =$   
 $(\text{if } P(a) \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, \{x \in B. P(x)\}) \text{ else } \{x \in B. P(x)\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Collect-self-eq*:  $A \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(A, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Collect-eq* [simp]:  
 $\text{Collect}(\text{Collect}(A, P), Q) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Int-Collect-eq*:

$Collect(A, P) \text{ Int } Collect(A, Q) = Collect(A, \%x. P(x) \& Q(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Union-eq [simp]*:

$Collect(\bigcup x \in A. B(x), P) = (\bigcup x \in A. Collect(B(x), P))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Int-left*:  $\{x \in A. P(x)\} \text{ Int } B = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-Int-right*:  $A \text{ Int } \{x \in B. P(x)\} = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-disj-eq*:  $\{x \in A. P(x) \mid Q(x)\} = Collect(A, P) \text{ Un } Collect(A, Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-conj-eq*:  $\{x \in A. P(x) \& Q(x)\} = Collect(A, P) \text{ Int } Collect(A, Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *subset-SIs = subset-refl cons-subsetI subset-consI*  
*Union-least UN-least Un-least*  
*Inter-greatest Int-greatest RepFun-subset*  
*Un-upper1 Un-upper2 Int-lower1 Int-lower2*

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 5 Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem

**theory** *Fixedpt* **imports** *equalities* **begin**

**definition**

$bnd\text{-}mono :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) == h(D) \leq D \& (ALL W X. W \leq X \longrightarrow X \leq D \longrightarrow h(W) \leq h(X))$

**definition**

$lfp :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $lfp(D, h) == Inter(\{X: Pow(D). h(X) \leq X\})$

**definition**

$gfp \quad :: [i, i => i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $gfp(D, h) == Union(\{X: Pow(D). X \leq h(X)\})$

The theorem is proved in the lattice of subsets of  $D$ , namely  $Pow(D)$ , with  $Inter$  as the greatest lower bound.

## 5.1 Monotone Operators

**lemma** *bnd-monoI*:

$[[ h(D) \leq D;$   
 $!! W X. [[ W \leq D; X \leq D; W \leq X ]] ==> h(W) \leq h(X)$   
 $]] ==> bnd-mono(D, h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-monoD1*:  $bnd-mono(D, h) ==> h(D) \leq D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-monoD2*:  $[[ bnd-mono(D, h); W \leq X; X \leq D ]] ==> h(W) \leq h(X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-mono-subset*:

$[[ bnd-mono(D, h); X \leq D ]] ==> h(X) \leq D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-mono-Un*:

$[[ bnd-mono(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D ]] ==> h(A) \cup h(B) \leq h(A \cup B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-mono-UN*:

$[[ bnd-mono(D, h); \forall i \in I. A(i) \leq D ]]$   
 $==> (\bigcup i \in I. h(A(i))) \leq h((\bigcup i \in I. A(i)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bnd-mono-Int*:

$[[ bnd-mono(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D ]] ==> h(A \cap B) \leq h(A) \cap h(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.2 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *lfp*

**lemma** *lfp-lowerbound*:

$[[ h(A) \leq A; A \leq D ]] ==> lfp(D, h) \leq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-subset*:  $lfp(D, h) \leq D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-lfp-subset*:  $A == \text{lfp}(D, h) ==> A \leq D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-greatest*:  
 $[[ h(D) \leq D; !!X. [[ h(X) \leq X; X \leq D ] ] ==> A \leq X ] ] ==> A \leq \text{lfp}(D, h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-lemma1*:  
 $[[ \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); h(A) \leq A; A \leq D ] ] ==> h(\text{lfp}(D, h)) \leq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-lemma2*:  $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> h(\text{lfp}(D, h)) \leq \text{lfp}(D, h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-lemma3*:  
 $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq h(\text{lfp}(D, h))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-unfold*:  $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> \text{lfp}(D, h) = h(\text{lfp}(D, h))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-lfp-unfold*:  
 $[[ A == \text{lfp}(D, h); \text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ] ] ==> A = h(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 5.3 General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints

**lemma** *Collect-is-pre-fixedpt*:  
 $[[ \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); !!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) ==> P(x) ] ]$   
 $==> h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) \leq \text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *induct*:  
 $[[ \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); a : \text{lfp}(D, h);$   
 $!!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) ==> P(x)$   
 $]] ==> P(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-induct*:  
 $[[ A == \text{lfp}(D, h); \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); a:A;$   
 $!!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(A, P)) ==> P(x)$   
 $]] ==> P(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *lfp-Int-lowerbound*:  

$$[\mid h(D \text{ Int } A) \leq A; \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq A$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-mono*:  
**assumes** *hmono*:  $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h)$   
**and** *imon*:  $\text{bnd-mono}(E, i)$   
**and** *subhi*:  $\forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X)$   
**shows**  $\text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(E, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-mono2*:  

$$[\mid i(D) \leq D; \forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(D, i)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lfp-cong*:  

$$[\mid D = D'; \forall X. X \leq D' \implies h(X) = h'(X) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) = \text{lfp}(D', h')$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 5.4 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *gfp*

**lemma** *gfp-upperbound*:  $[\mid A \leq h(A); A \leq D \mid] \implies A \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-subset*:  $\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-gfp-subset*:  $A = \text{gfp}(D, h) \implies A \leq D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-least*:  

$$[\mid \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); \forall X. [\mid X \leq h(X); X \leq D \mid] \implies X \leq A \mid] \implies$$

$$\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq A$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-lemma1*:  

$$[\mid \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); A \leq h(A); A \leq D \mid] \implies A \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-lemma2*:  $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies \text{gfp}(D, h) \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-lemma3*:  

$$\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies h(\text{gfp}(D, h)) \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-unfold*:  $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \implies gfp(D, h) = h(gfp(D, h))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-gfp-unfold*:  
[[  $A == gfp(D, h); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \quad ]]$   $\implies A = h(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.5 Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points

**lemma** *weak-coinduct*: [[  $a : X; \quad X \leq h(X); \quad X \leq D \quad ]]$   $\implies a : gfp(D, h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *coinduct-lemma*:  
[[  $X \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h)); \quad X \leq D; \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \quad ]]$   $\implies$   
 $X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h) \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *coinduct*:  
[[  $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad a : X; \quad X \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h)); \quad X \leq D \quad ]]$   
 $\implies a : gfp(D, h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-coinduct*:  
[[  $A == gfp(D, h); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad a : X; \quad X \leq h(X \text{ Un } A); \quad X \leq D \quad ]]$   
 $\implies$   
 $a : A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-Collect-coinduct*:  
[[  $A == gfp(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w))); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w)));$   
 $a : X; \quad X \leq D; \quad !!z. z : X \implies P(X \text{ Un } A, z) \quad ]]$   $\implies$   
 $a : A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gfp-mono*:  
[[  $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad D \leq E;$   
 $!!X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X) \quad ]]$   $\implies gfp(D, h) \leq gfp(E, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 6 Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

**theory** *Bool* **imports** *pair* **begin**

**abbreviation**

*one* (*1*) **where**  
 $1 == succ(0)$

**abbreviation**

*two* (*2*) **where**  
 $2 == succ(1)$

2 is equal to bool, but is used as a number rather than a type.

**definition**  $bool == \{0, 1\}$

**definition**  $cond(b, c, d) == if(b=1, c, d)$

**definition**  $not(b) == cond(b, 0, 1)$

**definition**

*and*  $:: [i, i] ==> i$  (**infixl** *and* 70) **where**  
 $a \text{ and } b == cond(a, b, 0)$

**definition**

*or*  $:: [i, i] ==> i$  (**infixl** *or* 65) **where**  
 $a \text{ or } b == cond(a, 1, b)$

**definition**

*xor*  $:: [i, i] ==> i$  (**infixl** *xor* 65) **where**  
 $a \text{ xor } b == cond(a, not(b), b)$

**lemmas** *bool-defs* = *bool-def cond-def*

**lemma** *singleton-0*:  $\{0\} = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bool-1I* [*simp, TC*]:  $1 : bool$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bool-0I* [*simp, TC*]:  $0 : bool$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *one-not-0*:  $1 \sim 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *one-neq-0* = *one-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard*]

**lemma** *boolE*:

$\llbracket c : \text{bool}; \ c=1 \implies P; \ c=0 \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-1* [*simp*]:  $\text{cond}(1, c, d) = c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{cond}(0, c, d) = d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-type* [*TC*]:  $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A(1); \ d : A(0) \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A(b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-simple-type*:  $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A; \ d : A \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-cond-1*:  $\llbracket !!b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(1) = c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-cond-0*:  $\llbracket !!b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(0) = d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *not-1* = *not-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]  
**lemmas** *not-0* = *not-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

**lemmas** *and-1* = *and-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]  
**lemmas** *and-0* = *and-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

**lemmas** *or-1* = *or-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]  
**lemmas** *or-0* = *or-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

**lemmas** *xor-1* = *xor-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]  
**lemmas** *xor-0* = *xor-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

**lemma** *not-type* [*TC*]:  $a : \text{bool} \implies \text{not}(a) : \text{bool}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *and-type* [*TC*]:  $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ and } b : \text{bool}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *or-type* [*TC*]:  $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ or } b : \text{bool}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *xor-type* [TC]:  $[[ a:bool; b:bool ]] ==> a \text{ xor } b : bool$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *bool-typechecks* = *bool-1I bool-0I cond-type not-type and-type*  
*or-type xor-type*

## 6.1 Laws About 'not'

**lemma** *not-not* [simp]:  $a:bool ==> not(not(a)) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-and* [simp]:  $a:bool ==> not(a \text{ and } b) = not(a) \text{ or } not(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-or* [simp]:  $a:bool ==> not(a \text{ or } b) = not(a) \text{ and } not(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.2 Laws About 'and'

**lemma** *and-absorb* [simp]:  $a: bool ==> a \text{ and } a = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *and-commute*:  $[[ a: bool; b:bool ]] ==> a \text{ and } b = b \text{ and } a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *and-assoc*:  $a: bool ==> (a \text{ and } b) \text{ and } c = a \text{ and } (b \text{ and } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *and-or-distrib*:  $[[ a: bool; b:bool; c:bool ]] ==>$   
 $(a \text{ or } b) \text{ and } c = (a \text{ and } c) \text{ or } (b \text{ and } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.3 Laws About 'or'

**lemma** *or-absorb* [simp]:  $a: bool ==> a \text{ or } a = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *or-commute*:  $[[ a: bool; b:bool ]] ==> a \text{ or } b = b \text{ or } a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *or-assoc*:  $a: bool ==> (a \text{ or } b) \text{ or } c = a \text{ or } (b \text{ or } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *or-and-distrib*:  $[[ a: bool; b: bool; c: bool ]] ==>$   
 $(a \text{ and } b) \text{ or } c = (a \text{ or } c) \text{ and } (b \text{ or } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

```

    bool-of-o :: o=>i where
      bool-of-o(P) == (if P then 1 else 0)

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(True) = 1
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(False) = 0
  <proof>

lemma [simp,TC]: bool-of-o(P) ∈ bool
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 1) <-> P
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 0) <-> ~P
  <proof>

  <ML>

end

```

## 7 Disjoint Sums

**theory** *Sum* **imports** *Bool equalities* **begin**

And the "Part" primitive for simultaneous recursive type definitions

**global**

```

constdefs
  sum      :: [i,i]=>i                      (infixr + 65)
  A+B == {0}*A Un {1}*B

  Inl      :: i=>i
  Inl(a) == <0,a>

  Inr      :: i=>i
  Inr(b) == <1,b>

  case     :: [i=>i, i=>i, i]=>i
  case(c,d) == (%<y,z>. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))

  Part     :: [i,i=>i] => i
  Part(A,h) == {x: A. EX z. x = h(z)}

local

```

## 7.1 Rules for the *Part* Primitive

**lemma** *Part-iff*:

$a : \text{Part}(A, h) \leftrightarrow a : A \ \& \ (\text{EX } y. a = h(y))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-eqI* [*intro*]:

$[| a : A; a = h(b) |] \implies a : \text{Part}(A, h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *PartI* = *refl* [*THEN* [2] *Part-eqI*]

**lemma** *PartE* [*elim!*]:

$[| a : \text{Part}(A, h); !!z. [| a : A; a = h(z) |] \implies P |]$   
 $\implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-subset*:  $\text{Part}(A, h) \leq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 7.2 Rules for Disjoint Sums

**lemmas** *sum-defs* = *sum-def Inl-def Inr-def case-def*

**lemma** *Sigma-bool*:  $\text{Sigma}(\text{bool}, C) = C(0) + C(1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InlI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]:  $a : A \implies \text{Inl}(a) : A + B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InrI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]:  $b : B \implies \text{Inr}(b) : A + B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sumE* [*elim!*]:

$[| u : A + B;$   
 $!!x. [| x : A; u = \text{Inl}(x) |] \implies P;$   
 $!!y. [| y : B; u = \text{Inr}(y) |] \implies P$   
 $|] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inl-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{Inl}(a) = \text{Inl}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inr-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{Inr}(a) = \text{Inr}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inl-Inr-iff* [simp]:  $Inl(a) = Inr(b) \leftrightarrow False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inr-Inl-iff* [simp]:  $Inr(b) = Inl(a) \leftrightarrow False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-empty* [simp]:  $0 + 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Inl-inject* = *Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *standard*]  
**lemmas** *Inr-inject* = *Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *standard*]  
**lemmas** *Inl-neq-Inr* = *Inl-Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, *elim!*]  
**lemmas** *Inr-neq-Inl* = *Inr-Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, *elim!*]

**lemma** *InlD*:  $Inl(a): A + B \implies a: A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InrD*:  $Inr(b): A + B \implies b: B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-iff*:  $u: A + B \leftrightarrow (EX x. x:A \ \& \ u = Inl(x)) \mid (EX y. y:B \ \& \ u = Inr(y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inl-in-sum-iff* [simp]:  $(Inl(x) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (x \in A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inr-in-sum-iff* [simp]:  $(Inr(y) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (y \in B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-subset-iff*:  $A + B \leq C + D \leftrightarrow A \leq C \ \& \ B \leq D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-equal-iff*:  $A + B = C + D \leftrightarrow A = C \ \& \ B = D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-eq-2-times*:  $A + A = 2 * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 7.3 The Eliminator: *case*

**lemma** *case-Inl* [simp]:  $case(c, d, Inl(a)) = c(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *case-Inr* [simp]:  $case(c, d, Inr(b)) = d(b)$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *case-type* [TC]:

$\llbracket u: A+B;$   
 $\quad !!x. x: A ==> c(x): C(Inl(x));$   
 $\quad !!y. y: B ==> d(y): C(Inr(y))$   
 $\rrbracket ==> case(c,d,u) : C(u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expand-case*:  $u: A+B ==>$

$R(case(c,d,u)) <->$   
 $((ALL\ x:A. u = Inl(x) --> R(c(x))) \ \&$   
 $(ALL\ y:B. u = Inr(y) --> R(d(y))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *case-cong*:

$\llbracket z: A+B;$   
 $\quad !!x. x:A ==> c(x)=c'(x);$   
 $\quad !!y. y:B ==> d(y)=d'(y)$   
 $\rrbracket ==> case(c,d,z) = case(c',d',z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *case-case*:  $z: A+B ==>$

$case(c, d, case(\%x. Inl(c'(x)), \%y. Inr(d'(y)), z)) =$   
 $case(\%x. c(c'(x)), \%y. d(d'(y)), z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.4 More Rules for $Part(A, h)$

**lemma** *Part-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> Part(A,h) \leq Part(B,h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-Collect*:  $Part(Collect(A,P), h) = Collect(Part(A,h), P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Part-CollectE* =

*Part-Collect* [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD, THEN CollectE, standard]

**lemma** *Part-Inl*:  $Part(A+B, Inl) = \{Inl(x). x: A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-Inr*:  $Part(A+B, Inr) = \{Inr(y). y: B\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PartD1*:  $a : Part(A,h) ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-id*:  $Part(A, \%x. x) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-Inr2*:  $Part(A+B, \%x. Inr(h(x))) = \{Inr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Part-sum-equality*:  $C \leq A+B \implies Part(C,Inl) \cup Part(C,Inr) = C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 8 Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction

**theory** *func* **imports** *equalities Sum* **begin**

### 8.1 The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space

**lemma** *subset-Sigma-imp-relation*:  $r \leq Sigma(A,B) \implies relation(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-converse-converse* [simp]:  
 $relation(r) \implies converse(converse(r)) = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-restrict* [simp]:  $relation(restrict(r,A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-iff*:  
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff function(f) \ \& \ f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ A \leq domain(f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-iff-old*:  
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ (ALL \ x:A. EX! \ y. \langle x,y \rangle : f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-is-function*:  $f: Pi(A,B) \implies function(f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *function-imp-Pi*:  
 $[|function(f); relation(f)|] \implies f \in domain(f) \rightarrow range(f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *functionI*:  
 $[|!!x \ y \ y'. [| \langle x,y \rangle : r; \langle x,y' \rangle : r |] \implies y=y' |] \implies function(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-is-rel*:  $f: Pi(A,B) \implies f \leq Sigma(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-cong*:

$\llbracket A=A'; \quad !!x. x:A' \implies B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket \implies Pi(A,B) = Pi(A',B')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-weaken-type*:  $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \quad B \leq D \rrbracket \implies f: A \multimap D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.2 Function Application

**lemma** *apply-equality2*:  $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad \langle a,c \rangle: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B) \rrbracket \implies b=c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *function-apply-equality*:  $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad function(f) \rrbracket \implies f'a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-equality*:  $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B) \rrbracket \implies f'a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-0*:  $a \sim: domain(f) \implies f'a = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-memberD*:  $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad c: f \rrbracket \implies \exists x:A. \quad c = \langle x, f'x \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *function-apply-Pair*:  $\llbracket function(f); \quad a : domain(f) \rrbracket \implies \langle a, f'a \rangle: f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-Pair*:  $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, f'a \rangle: f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-type* [TC]:  $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies f'a : B(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-funtype*:  $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies f'a : B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-iff*:  $f: Pi(A,B) \implies \langle a,b \rangle: f \iff a:A \ \& \ f'a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-type*:  $\llbracket f: Pi(A,C); \quad !!x. x:A \implies f'x : B(x) \rrbracket \implies f : Pi(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-Collect-iff*:

$(f : Pi(A, \%x. \{y:B(x). P(x,y)\}))$   
 $\langle - \rangle f : Pi(A,B) \ \& \ (ALL \ x: A. P(x, f'x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-weaken-type*:

$[ [ f : Pi(A,B); \ !x. x:A ==> B(x) \leq C(x) ] ] ==> f : Pi(A,C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-type*:  $[ [ <a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B) ] ] ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-type*:  $[ [ <a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B) ] ] ==> b : B(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-mem-PiD*:  $[ [ <a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B) ] ] ==> a:A \ \& \ b:B(a) \ \& \ f'a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.3 Lambda Abstraction

**lemma** *lamI*:  $a:A ==> <a,b(a)> : (lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lamE*:

$[ [ p: (lam \ x:A. b(x)); \ !x.[ [ x:A; p=<x,b(x)> ] ] ==> P$   
 $] ] ==> P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lamD*:  $[ [ <a,c> : (lam \ x:A. b(x)) ] ] ==> c = b(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-type [TC]*:

$[ [ !x. x:A ==> b(x): B(x) ] ] ==> (lam \ x:A. b(x)) : Pi(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-funtype*:  $(lam \ x:A. b(x)) : A \rightarrow \{b(x). x:A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *function-lam*: *function*  $(lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-lam*: *relation*  $(lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *beta-if* [simp]:  $(\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) \text{ ` } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } b(a) \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *beta*:  $a : A \implies (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) \text{ ` } a = b(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-empty* [simp]:  $(\text{lam } x:0. b(x)) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-lam* [simp]:  $\text{domain}(\text{Lambda}(A,b)) = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-cong* [cong]:  
 $[\![ A=A'; \text{ !!}x. x:A' \implies b(x)=b'(x) ]\!] \implies \text{Lambda}(A,b) = \text{Lambda}(A',b')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-theI*:  
 $(\text{!!}x. x:A \implies EX! y. Q(x,y)) \implies EX f. ALL x:A. Q(x, f'x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-eqE*:  $[\![ (\text{lam } x:A. f(x)) = (\text{lam } x:A. g(x)); a:A ]\!] \implies f(a)=g(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-empty1* [simp]:  $\text{Pi}(0,A) = \{0\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-fun* [simp]:  $\{<a,b>\} : \{a\} \multimap \{b\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-empty2* [simp]:  $(A \multimap 0) = (\text{if } A=0 \text{ then } \{0\} \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-space-empty-iff* [iff]:  $(A \multimap X)=0 \iff X=0 \ \& \ (A \neq 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.4 Extensionality

**lemma** *fun-subset*:  
 $[\![ f : \text{Pi}(A,B); g : \text{Pi}(C,D); A \leq C; \text{ !!}x. x:A \implies f'x = g'x ]\!] \implies f \leq g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-extension*:  
 $[\![ f : \text{Pi}(A,B); g : \text{Pi}(A,D);$

$$\llbracket !x. x:A \implies f'x = g'x \quad \rrbracket \implies f=g$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eta [simp]*:  $f : Pi(A,B) \implies (lam\ x:A. f'x) = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-extension-iff*:  

$$\llbracket f:Pi(A,B); g:Pi(A,C) \rrbracket \implies (ALL\ a:A. f'a = g'a) \iff f=g$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-subset-eq*:  $\llbracket f:Pi(A,B); g:Pi(A,C) \rrbracket \implies f \leq g \iff (f = g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-lamE*:  
**assumes** *major*:  $f: Pi(A,B)$   
**and** *minor*:  $!!b. \llbracket ALL\ x:A. b(x):B(x); f = (lam\ x:A. b(x)) \rrbracket \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.5 Images of Functions

**lemma** *image-lam*:  $C \leq A \implies (lam\ x:A. b(x)) \text{ `` } C = \{b(x). x:C\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Repfun-function-if*:  

$$function(f) \implies \{f'x. x:C\} = (if\ C \leq domain(f)\ then\ f''C\ else\ cons(0,f''C))$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-function*:  

$$\llbracket function(f); C \leq domain(f) \rrbracket \implies f''C = \{f'x. x:C\}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-fun*:  $\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies f''C = \{f'x. x:C\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-eq-UN*:  
**assumes**  $f: f \in Pi(A,B)$   $C \subseteq A$  **shows**  $f''C = (\bigcup_{x \in C. \{f'x\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-image-cons*:  

$$\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); x: A \rrbracket \implies f \text{ `` } cons(x,y) = cons(f'x, f'y)$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.6 Properties of $\text{restrict}(f, A)$

**lemma** *restrict-subset*:  $\text{restrict}(f, A) \leq f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *function-restrictI*:  
 $\text{function}(f) \implies \text{function}(\text{restrict}(f, A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-type2*:  $[f: \text{Pi}(C, B); A \leq C] \implies \text{restrict}(f, A) : \text{Pi}(A, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict*:  $\text{restrict}(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } f'a \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-empty* [simp]:  $\text{restrict}(f, 0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-iff*:  $z \in \text{restrict}(r, A) \iff z \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x, y \rangle)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-restrict* [simp]:  
 $\text{restrict}(\text{restrict}(r, A), B) = \text{restrict}(r, A \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-restrict* [simp]:  $\text{domain}(\text{restrict}(f, C)) = \text{domain}(f) \text{ Int } C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-idem*:  $f \leq \text{Sigma}(A, B) \implies \text{restrict}(f, A) = f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-restrict-idem*:  
 $[ \text{domain}(r) \leq A; \text{relation}(r) ] \implies \text{restrict}(r, A) = r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-restrict-lam* [simp]:  $\text{domain}(\text{restrict}(\text{Lambda}(A, f), C)) = A \text{ Int } C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-if* [simp]:  $\text{restrict}(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } f'a \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-lam-eq*:  
 $A \leq C \implies \text{restrict}(\text{lam } x:C. b(x), A) = (\text{lam } x:A. b(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-cons-restrict-eq*:  
 $f : \text{cons}(a, b) \rightarrow B \implies f = \text{cons}(\langle a, f \text{ ' } a \rangle, \text{restrict}(f, b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.7 Unions of Functions

**lemma** *function-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ \text{ALL } x:S. \text{function}(x); \\ & \quad \text{ALL } x:S. \text{ALL } y:S. x \leq y \mid y \leq x \mid ] ] \\ & \implies \text{function}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *fun-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ \text{ALL } f:S. \text{EX } C \ D. f:C \multimap D; \\ & \quad \text{ALL } f:S. \text{ALL } y:S. f \leq y \mid y \leq f \mid ] ] \implies \\ & \quad \text{Union}(S) : \text{domain}(\text{Union}(S)) \multimap \text{range}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *gen-relation-Union* [*rule-format*]:

$$\forall f \in F. \text{relation}(f) \implies \text{relation}(\text{Union}(F))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *Un-rls = Un-subset-iff SUM-Un-distrib1 prod-Un-distrib2*  
 $\text{subset-trans } [OF - \text{Un-upper1}]$   
 $\text{subset-trans } [OF - \text{Un-upper2}]$

**lemma** *fun-disjoint-Un*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ f: A \multimap B; \ g: C \multimap D; \ A \text{ Int } C = 0 \mid ] ] \\ & \implies (f \text{ Un } g) : (A \text{ Un } C) \multimap (B \text{ Un } D) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-disjoint-apply1*:  $a \notin \text{domain}(g) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'a = f'a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-disjoint-apply2*:  $c \notin \text{domain}(f) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'c = g'c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.8 Domain and Range of a Function or Relation

**lemma** *domain-of-fun*:  $f : Pi(A,B) \implies \text{domain}(f) = A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-rangeI*:  $[[ f : Pi(A,B); \ a: A \mid ] ] \implies f'a : \text{range}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-of-fun*:  $f : Pi(A,B) \implies f : A \multimap \text{range}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



## 8.9 Extensions of Functions

**lemma** *fun-extend*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap \text{cons}(b, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-extend3*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A; \ b: B \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *extend-apply*:

$c \sim: \text{domain}(f) \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-extend-apply* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *singleton-apply* = *apply-equality* [*OF singletonI singleton-fun, simp*]

**lemma** *cons-fun-eq*:

$c \sim: A \implies \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in A \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-fun-eq*:  $\text{succ}(n) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in n \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle n, b \rangle, f)\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.10 Function Updates

**definition**

*update*  $:: [i, i, i] \implies i$  **where**  
*update*(*f*, *a*, *b*) == *lam* *x*: *cons*(*a*, *domain*(*f*)). *if*(*x*=*a*, *b*, *f*'*x*)

**nonterminals**

*updbinds updbind*

**syntax**

*-updbind*  $:: [i, i] \implies \text{updbind} \quad ((\text{?} \text{ := } / \text{ -}))$   
 $:: \text{updbind} \implies \text{updbinds} \quad (-)$   
*-updbinds*  $:: [\text{updbind}, \text{updbinds}] \implies \text{updbinds} \text{ (-, / -)}$   
*-Update*  $:: [i, \text{updbinds}] \implies i \quad (-/'((-)') [900, 0] 900)$

**translations**

*-Update* (*f*, *-updbinds*(*b*, *bs*)) == *-Update* (*-Update*(*f*, *b*), *bs*)  
*f*(*x*:=*y*) == *CONST* *update*(*f*, *x*, *y*)

**lemma** *update-apply* [*simp*]:  $f(x:=y) \text{ ‘ } z = (\text{if } z=x \text{ then } y \text{ else } f^{\epsilon}z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *update-idem*:  $[\mid f^{\epsilon}x = y; \ f: Pi(A,B); \ x: A \mid] ==> f(x:=y) = f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *refl* [*THEN update-idem, simp*]

**lemma** *domain-update* [*simp*]:  $\text{domain}(f(x:=y)) = \text{cons}(x, \text{domain}(f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *update-type*:  $[\mid f:Pi(A,B); \ x: A; \ y: B(x) \mid] ==> f(x:=y) : Pi(A, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 8.11 Monotonicity Theorems

### 8.11.1 Replacement in its Various Forms

**lemma** *Replace-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> \text{Replace}(A,P) \leq \text{Replace}(B,P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> \{f(x). x:A\} \leq \{f(x). x:B\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> \text{Pow}(A) \leq \text{Pow}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> \text{Union}(A) \leq \text{Union}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-mono*:  
 $[\mid A \leq C; \ \forall x. x:A ==> B(x) \leq D(x) \mid] ==> (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) \leq (\bigcup x \in C. D(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-anti-mono*:  $[\mid A \leq B; \ A \neq 0 \mid] ==> \text{Inter}(B) \leq \text{Inter}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-mono*:  $C \leq D ==> \text{cons}(a,C) \leq \text{cons}(a,D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-mono*:  $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] ==> A \text{ Un } B \leq C \text{ Un } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-mono*:  $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] ==> A \text{ Int } B \leq C \text{ Int } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-mono*:  $[| A \leq C; D \leq B |] \implies A - B \leq C - D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.11.2 Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces

**lemma** *Sigma-mono* [*rule-format*]:  
 $[| A \leq C; !!x. x:A \dashrightarrow B(x) \leq D(x) |] \implies Sigma(A,B) \leq Sigma(C,D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-mono*:  $[| A \leq C; B \leq D |] \implies A + B \leq C + D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pi-mono*:  $B \leq C \implies A \multimap B \leq A \multimap C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies Lambda(A,c) \leq Lambda(B,c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.11.3 Converse, Domain, Range, Field

**lemma** *converse-mono*:  $r \leq s \implies converse(r) \leq converse(s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-mono*:  $r \leq s \implies domain(r) \leq domain(s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *domain-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF domain-mono domain-subset*]

**lemma** *range-mono*:  $r \leq s \implies range(r) \leq range(s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *range-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF range-mono range-subset*]

**lemma** *field-mono*:  $r \leq s \implies field(r) \leq field(s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *field-rel-subset*:  $r \leq A * A \implies field(r) \leq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.11.4 Images

**lemma** *image-pair-mono*:  
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r `` A \leq s `` B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-pair-mono*:  
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r - `` A \leq s - `` B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-mono*:  $[| r \leq s; A \leq B |] \implies r''A \leq s''B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vimage-mono*:  $[| r \leq s; A \leq B |] \implies r-''A \leq s-''B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Collect-mono*:  
 $[| A \leq B; !!x. x:A \implies P(x) \dashrightarrow Q(x) |] \implies \text{Collect}(A,P) \leq \text{Collect}(B,Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *basic-monos* = *subset-refl imp-refl disj-mono conj-mono ex-mono*  
*Collect-mono Part-mono in-mono*

**end**

## 9 Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums

**theory** *QPair* **imports** *Sum func* **begin**

For non-well-founded data structures in ZF. Does not precisely follow Quine's construction. Thanks to Thomas Forster for suggesting this approach!

W. V. Quine, On Ordered Pairs and Relations, in Selected Logic Papers, 1966.

**definition**  
 $QPair \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\langle -; / - \rangle) \text{ where}$   
 $\langle a; b \rangle == a + b$

**definition**  
 $qfst \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $qfst(p) == \text{THE } a. \text{ EX } b. p = \langle a; b \rangle$

**definition**  
 $qsnd \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $qsnd(p) == \text{THE } b. \text{ EX } a. p = \langle a; b \rangle$

**definition**  
 $qsplit \quad :: [[i, i] \Rightarrow 'a, i] \Rightarrow 'a::\{\} \text{ where}$   
 $qsplit(c, p) == c(qfst(p), qsnd(p))$

**definition**  
 $qconverse \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $qconverse(r) == \{z. w:r, \text{ EX } x y. w = \langle x; y \rangle \ \& \ z = \langle y; x \rangle\}$

**definition**

$QSigma \quad :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $QSigma(A, B) \quad == \bigcup_{x \in A}. \bigcup_{y \in B(x)}. \{ \langle x; y \rangle \}$

**syntax**

$-QSUM \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists QSUM \text{ :-./ -}) 10)$

**translations**

$QSUM \ x:A. B \Rightarrow CONST \ QSigma(A, \%x. B)$

**abbreviation**

$qprod \text{ (infixr } \langle * \rangle 80) \text{ where}$   
 $A \langle * \rangle B == QSigma(A, \%-. B)$

**definition**

$qsum \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{infixr } \langle + \rangle 65) \text{ where}$   
 $A \langle + \rangle B \quad == (\{0\} \langle * \rangle A) \cup (\{1\} \langle * \rangle B)$

**definition**

$QInl \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $QInl(a) \quad == \langle 0; a \rangle$

**definition**

$QInr \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $QInr(b) \quad == \langle 1; b \rangle$

**definition**

$qcase \quad :: [i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$   
 $qcase(c, d) \quad == qsplit(\%y \ z. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))$

## 9.1 Quine ordered pairing

**lemma**  $QPair\text{-}empty \ [simp]: \langle 0; 0 \rangle = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $QPair\text{-}iff \ [simp]: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $QPair\text{-}inject = QPair\text{-}iff \ [THEN \ iffD1, THEN \ conjE, standard, elim!]$

**lemma**  $QPair\text{-}inject1: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Rightarrow a=c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $QPair\text{-}inject2: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Rightarrow b=d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.1.1 QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product

**lemma**  $QSigmaI \ [intro!]: [\ a:A; \ b:B(a) \ ] \Rightarrow \langle a; b \rangle : QSigma(A, B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigmaE* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket c : QSigma(A, B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \llbracket x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=<x;y> \rrbracket ==> P \\ & \rrbracket ==> P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigmaE2* [elim!]:

$$\llbracket <a;b> : QSigma(A, B); \llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket ==> P \rrbracket ==> P$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigmaD1*:  $<a;b> : QSigma(A, B) ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigmaD2*:  $<a;b> : QSigma(A, B) ==> b : B(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigma-cong*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=A'; \ !!x. \ x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad QSigma(A, B) = QSigma(A', B') \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigma-empty1* [simp]:  $QSigma(0, B) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigma-empty2* [simp]:  $A <*> 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.1.2 Projections: qfst, qsnd

**lemma** *qfst-conv* [simp]:  $qfst(<a;b>) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsnd-conv* [simp]:  $qsnd(<a;b>) = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qfst-type* [TC]:  $p:QSigma(A, B) ==> qfst(p) : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsnd-type* [TC]:  $p:QSigma(A, B) ==> qsnd(p) : B(qfst(p))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QPair-qfst-qsnd-eq*:  $a: QSigma(A, B) ==> <qfst(a); qsnd(a)> = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.1.3 Eliminator: qsplit

**lemma** *qsplit* [simp]:  $qsplit(\%x\ y. \ c(x, y), <a;b>) == c(a, b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsplit-type* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \mid p:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [ \mid x:A; y:B(x) \mid ] ==> c(x,y):C(<x;y>) \\ & \mid ] ==> qsplit(\%x\ y. c(x,y), p) : C(p) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *expand-qsplit*:

$$u: A<*>B ==> R(qsplit(c,u)) <-> (ALL\ x:A. ALL\ y:B. u = <x;y> --> R(c(x,y)))$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 9.1.4 qsplit for predicates: result type o

**lemma** *qsplitI*:  $R(a,b) ==> qsplit(R, <a;b>)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsplitE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \mid qsplit(R,z); \ z:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [ \mid z = <x;y>; \ R(x,y) \mid ] ==> P \\ & \mid ] ==> P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsplitD*:  $qsplit(R,<a;b>) ==> R(a,b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 9.1.5 qconverse

**lemma** *qconverseI* [intro!]:  $<a;b>:r ==> <b;a>:qconverse(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverseD* [elim!]:  $<a;b> : qconverse(r) ==> <b;a> : r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverseE* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \mid yx : qconverse(r); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [ \mid yx=<y;x>; \ <x;y>:r \mid ] ==> P \\ & \mid ] ==> P \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverse-qconverse*:  $r<=QSigma(A,B) ==> qconverse(qconverse(r)) = r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverse-type*:  $r <= A <*> B ==> qconverse(r) <= B <*> A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverse-prod*:  $qconverse(A <*> B) = B <*> A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qconverse-empty*:  $qconverse(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 9.2 The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum

**lemmas** *qsum-defs* = *qsum-def* *QInl-def* *QInr-def* *qcase-def*

**lemma** *QInlI* [*intro!*]:  $a : A \implies QInl(a) : A <+> B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInrI* [*intro!*]:  $b : B \implies QInr(b) : A <+> B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsumE* [*elim!*]:  

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \mid u : A <+> B; \\ & \quad !!x. [ \mid x:A; \quad u=QInl(x) ] \implies P; \\ & \quad !!y. [ \mid y:B; \quad u=QInr(y) ] \implies P \\ & ] \implies P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInl-iff* [*iff*]:  $QInl(a)=QInl(b) <-> a=b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInr-iff* [*iff*]:  $QInr(a)=QInr(b) <-> a=b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInl-QInr-iff* [*simp*]:  $QInl(a)=QInr(b) <-> False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInr-QInl-iff* [*simp*]:  $QInr(b)=QInl(a) <-> False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsum-empty* [*simp*]:  $0 <+> 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *QInl-inject* = *QInl-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard*]  
**lemmas** *QInr-inject* = *QInr-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard*]



**lemmas**  $QInl\text{-}neq\text{-}QInr = QInl\text{-}QInr\text{-}iff$  [THEN  $iffD1$ , THEN  $FalseE$ ,  $elim!$ ]  
**lemmas**  $QInr\text{-}neq\text{-}QInl = QInr\text{-}QInl\text{-}iff$  [THEN  $iffD1$ , THEN  $FalseE$ ,  $elim!$ ]

**lemma**  $QInlD$ :  $QInl(a): A <+> B ==> a: A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $QInrD$ :  $QInr(b): A <+> B ==> b: B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $qsum\text{-}iff$ :  
 $u: A <+> B <-> (EX\ x.\ x:A \ \&\ u=QInl(x)) \mid (EX\ y.\ y:B \ \&\ u=QInr(y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $qsum\text{-}subset\text{-}iff$ :  $A <+> B <= C <+> D <-> A <= C \ \&\ B <= D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $qsum\text{-}equal\text{-}iff$ :  $A <+> B = C <+> D <-> A=C \ \&\ B=D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.2.1 Eliminator – qcase

**lemma**  $qcase\text{-}QInl$  [simp]:  $qcase(c, d, QInl(a)) = c(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $qcase\text{-}QInr$  [simp]:  $qcase(c, d, QInr(b)) = d(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $qcase\text{-}type$ :  
 $\llbracket u: A <+> B;$   
 $\quad !!x.\ x: A ==> c(x): C(QInl(x));$   
 $\quad !!y.\ y: B ==> d(y): C(QInr(y))$   
 $\rrbracket ==> qcase(c,d,u) : C(u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Part\text{-}QInl$ :  $Part(A <+> B, QInl) = \{QInl(x). x: A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Part\text{-}QInr$ :  $Part(A <+> B, QInr) = \{QInr(y). y: B\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Part\text{-}QInr2$ :  $Part(A <+> B, \%x.\ QInr(h(x))) = \{QInr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Part\text{-}qsum\text{-}equality$ :  $C <= A <+> B ==> Part(C, QInl) \ Un\ Part(C, QInr)$

$= C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.2.2 Monotonicity

**lemma** *QPair-mono*:  $\llbracket a \leq c; b \leq d \rrbracket \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq \langle c; d \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigma-mono* [*rule-format*]:  
 $\llbracket A \leq C; \text{ALL } x:A. B(x) \leq D(x) \rrbracket \implies QSigma(A, B) \leq QSigma(C, D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInl-mono*:  $a \leq b \implies QInl(a) \leq QInl(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QInr-mono*:  $a \leq b \implies QInr(a) \leq QInr(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *qsum-mono*:  $\llbracket A \leq C; B \leq D \rrbracket \implies A \leq + B \leq C \leq + D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 10 Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition

**theory** *Perm* imports *func* begin

**definition**

*comp*  $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixr** *O 60*) **where**  
 $r \ O \ s == \{xz : \text{domain}(s) * \text{range}(r) \} .$   
 $EX \ x \ y \ z. xz = \langle x, z \rangle \ \& \ \langle x, y \rangle : s \ \& \ \langle y, z \rangle : r \}$

**definition**

*id*  $:: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $id(A) == (\text{lam } x:A. x)$

**definition**

*inj*  $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $inj(A, B) == \{ f: A \rightarrow B. \text{ALL } w:A. \text{ALL } x:A. f'w = f'x \longrightarrow w = x \}$

**definition**

*surj*  $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $surj(A, B) == \{ f: A \rightarrow B . \text{ALL } y:B. EX \ x:A. f'x = y \}$

**definition**

$bij :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $bij(A,B) == inj(A,B) \text{ Int } surj(A,B)$

## 10.1 Surjections

**lemma** *surj-is-fun*:  $f: surj(A,B) \Rightarrow f: A \multimap B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-is-surj*:  $f : Pi(A,B) \Rightarrow f: surj(A, range(f))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-range*:  $f: surj(A,B) \Rightarrow range(f)=B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *f-imp-surjective*:  
 $[| f: A \multimap B; !!y. y:B \Rightarrow d(y): A; !!y. y:B \Rightarrow f' d(y) = y |] \Rightarrow f: surj(A,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-surjective*:  
 $[| !!x. x:A \Rightarrow c(x): B;$   
 $!!y. y:B \Rightarrow d(y): A;$   
 $!!y. y:B \Rightarrow c(d(y)) = y$   
 $|] \Rightarrow (lam x:A. c(x)) : surj(A,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cantor-surj*:  $f \sim: surj(A, Pow(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.2 Injections

**lemma** *inj-is-fun*:  $f: inj(A,B) \Rightarrow f: A \multimap B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-equality*:  
 $[| <a,b>:f; <c,b>:f; f: inj(A,B) |] \Rightarrow a=c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-apply-equality*:  $[| f: inj(A,B); f'a=f'b; a:A; b:A |] \Rightarrow a=b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *f-imp-injective*:  $[| f: A \multimap B; \text{ ALL } x:A. d(f'x)=x |] \implies f: \text{inj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-injective*:  
 $[| \text{ !!}x. x:A \implies c(x): B;$   
 $\text{ !!}x. x:A \implies d(c(x)) = x |]$   
 $\implies (\text{lam } x:A. c(x)) : \text{inj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.3 Bijections

**lemma** *bij-is-inj*:  $f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies f: \text{inj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-is-surj*:  $f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies f: \text{surj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *bij-is-fun* = *bij-is-inj* [THEN *inj-is-fun*, *standard*]

**lemma** *lam-bijective*:  
 $[| \text{ !!}x. x:A \implies c(x): B;$   
 $\text{ !!}y. y:B \implies d(y): A;$   
 $\text{ !!}x. x:A \implies d(c(x)) = x;$   
 $\text{ !!}y. y:B \implies c(d(y)) = y$   
 $|] \implies (\text{lam } x:A. c(x)) : \text{bij}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *RepFun-bijective*:  $(\text{ ALL } y : x. \text{ EX! } y'. f(y') = f(y))$   
 $\implies (\text{ lam } z:\{f(y). y:x\}. \text{ THE } y. f(y) = z) : \text{bij}(\{f(y). y:x\}, x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.4 Identity Function

**lemma** *idI* [*intro!*]:  $a:A \implies \langle a,a \rangle : \text{id}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *idE* [*elim!*]:  $[| p: \text{id}(A); \text{ !!}x.[| x:A; p=\langle x,x \rangle |] \implies P |] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *id-type*:  $\text{id}(A) : A \multimap A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *id-conv* [*simp*]:  $x:A \implies \text{id}(A)'x = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *id-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{id}(A) \leq \text{id}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *id-subset-inj*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{id}(A): \text{inj}(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $id-inj = subset-refl$  [THEN  $id-subset-inj$ , standard]

**lemma**  $id-surj$ :  $id(A)$ :  $surj(A,A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $id-bij$ :  $id(A)$ :  $bij(A,A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $subset-iff-id$ :  $A \leq B \iff id(A) : A \rightarrow B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$id$  as the identity relation

**lemma**  $id-iff$  [simp]:  $\langle x,y \rangle \in id(A) \iff x=y \ \& \ y \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.5 Converse of a Function

**lemma**  $inj-converse-fun$ :  $f: inj(A,B) \implies converse(f) : range(f) \rightarrow A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premises are equivalent to saying that  $f$  is injective...

**lemma**  $left-inverse-lemma$ :  
[[  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ;  $converse(f): C \rightarrow A$ ;  $a: A$  ]]  $\implies converse(f) (f'a) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $left-inverse$  [simp]: [[  $f: inj(A,B)$ ;  $a: A$  ]]  $\implies converse(f) (f'a) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $left-inverse-eq$ :  
[[  $f \in inj(A,B)$ ;  $f'x = y$ ;  $x \in A$  ]]  $\implies converse(f) 'y = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $left-inverse-bij = bij-is-inj$  [THEN  $left-inverse$ , standard]

**lemma**  $right-inverse-lemma$ :  
[[  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ;  $converse(f): C \rightarrow A$ ;  $b: C$  ]]  $\implies f'(converse(f)'b) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $right-inverse$  [simp]:  
[[  $f: inj(A,B)$ ;  $b: range(f)$  ]]  $\implies f'(converse(f)'b) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $right-inverse-bij$ : [[  $f: bij(A,B)$ ;  $b: B$  ]]  $\implies f'(converse(f)'b) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.6 Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections

**lemma** *inj-converse-inj*:  $f: \text{inj}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{inj}(\text{range}(f), A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-converse-surj*:  $f: \text{inj}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{surj}(\text{range}(f), A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-converse-bij* [TC]:  $f: \text{bij}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{bij}(B, A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.7 Composition of Two Relations

**lemma** *compI* [intro]:  $[\langle a, b \rangle : s; \langle b, c \rangle : r] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r \circ s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *compE* [elim!]:  

$$[\langle xz \rangle : r \circ s;$$

$$!!x \ y \ z. [\langle xz \rangle : \langle x, z \rangle; \langle x, y \rangle : s; \langle y, z \rangle : r] \implies P]$$

$$\implies P$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *compEpair*:  

$$[\langle a, c \rangle : r \circ s;$$

$$!!y. [\langle a, y \rangle : s; \langle y, c \rangle : r] \implies P]$$

$$\implies P$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-comp*:  $\text{converse}(R \circ S) = \text{converse}(S) \circ \text{converse}(R)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.8 Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1

**lemma** *range-comp*:  $\text{range}(r \circ s) \leq \text{range}(r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-comp-eq*:  $\text{domain}(r) \leq \text{range}(s) \implies \text{range}(r \circ s) = \text{range}(r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-comp*:  $\text{domain}(r \circ s) \leq \text{domain}(s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-comp-eq*:  $\text{range}(s) \leq \text{domain}(r) \implies \text{domain}(r \circ s) = \text{domain}(s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-comp*:  $(r \circ s)^{\text{“}A} = r^{\text{“}}(s^{\text{“}}A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.9 Other Results

**lemma** *comp-mono*:  $[[\ r' \leq r; \ s' \leq s \ ]] \implies (r' \ O \ s') \leq (r \ O \ s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-rel*:  $[[\ s \leq A * B; \ r \leq B * C \ ]] \implies (r \ O \ s) \leq A * C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-assoc*:  $(r \ O \ s) \ O \ t = r \ O \ (s \ O \ t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *left-comp-id*:  $r \leq A * B \implies id(B) \ O \ r = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *right-comp-id*:  $r \leq A * B \implies r \ O \ id(A) = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.10 Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections

**lemma** *comp-function*:  $[[\ function(g); \ function(f) \ ]] \implies function(f \ O \ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-fun*:  $[[\ g: A \multimap B; \ f: B \multimap C \ ]] \implies (f \ O \ g) : A \multimap C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-fun-apply* [simp]:  
 $[[\ g: A \multimap B; \ a:A \ ]] \implies (f \ O \ g)'a = f'(g'a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-lam*:  
 $[[\ !!x. x:A \implies b(x): B \ ]]$   
 $\implies (lam \ y:B. \ c(y)) \ O \ (lam \ x:A. \ b(x)) = (lam \ x:A. \ c(b(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-inj*:  
 $[[\ g: inj(A,B); \ f: inj(B,C) \ ]] \implies (f \ O \ g) : inj(A,C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-surj*:  
 $[[\ g: surj(A,B); \ f: surj(B,C) \ ]] \implies (f \ O \ g) : surj(A,C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-bij*:

$[[\ g: \text{bij}(A,B); \ f: \text{bij}(B,C) \ ]] \implies (f \circ g) : \text{bij}(A,C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 10.11 Dual Properties of *inj* and *surj*

Useful for proofs from D Pastre. Automatic theorem proving in set theory. Artificial Intelligence, 10:1–27, 1978.

**lemma** *comp-mem-injD1*:

$[[\ (f \circ g): \text{inj}(A,C); \ g: A \rightarrow B; \ f: B \rightarrow C \ ]] \implies g: \text{inj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-mem-injD2*:

$[[\ (f \circ g): \text{inj}(A,C); \ g: \text{surj}(A,B); \ f: B \rightarrow C \ ]] \implies f: \text{inj}(B,C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-mem-surjD1*:

$[[\ (f \circ g): \text{surj}(A,C); \ g: A \rightarrow B; \ f: B \rightarrow C \ ]] \implies f: \text{surj}(B,C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-mem-surjD2*:

$[[\ (f \circ g): \text{surj}(A,C); \ g: A \rightarrow B; \ f: \text{inj}(B,C) \ ]] \implies g: \text{surj}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.11.1 Inverses of Composition

**lemma** *left-comp-inverse*:  $f: \text{inj}(A,B) \implies \text{converse}(f) \circ f = \text{id}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-comp-inverse*:

$f: \text{surj}(A,B) \implies f \circ \text{converse}(f) = \text{id}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.11.2 Proving that a Function is a Bijection

**lemma** *comp-eq-id-iff*:

$[[\ f: A \rightarrow B; \ g: B \rightarrow A \ ]] \implies f \circ g = \text{id}(B) \iff (\forall y: B. f(g(y)) = y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fg-imp-bijective*:

$[[\ f: A \rightarrow B; \ g: B \rightarrow A; \ f \circ g = \text{id}(B); \ g \circ f = \text{id}(A) \ ]] \implies f: \text{bij}(A,B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nilpotent-imp-bijective*:  $[[\ f: A \rightarrow A; \ f \circ f = \text{id}(A) \ ]] \implies f: \text{bij}(A,A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *invertible-imp-bijective*:



$\llbracket \text{converse}(f): B \multimap A; f: A \multimap B \rrbracket \implies f: \text{bij}(A, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.11.3 Unions of Functions

See similar theorems in `func.thy`

**lemma** *inj-disjoint-Un*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A, B); g: \text{inj}(C, D); B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{lam } a: A \text{ Un } C. \text{ if } a:A \text{ then } f'a \text{ else } g'a) : \text{inj}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-disjoint-Un*:

$\llbracket f: \text{surj}(A, B); g: \text{surj}(C, D); A \text{ Int } C = 0 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (f \text{ Un } g) : \text{surj}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-disjoint-Un*:

$\llbracket f: \text{bij}(A, B); g: \text{bij}(C, D); A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (f \text{ Un } g) : \text{bij}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.11.4 Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections

**lemma** *surj-image*:

$f: \text{Pi}(A, B) \implies f: \text{surj}(A, f''A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-image* [simp]:  $\text{restrict}(f, A) '' B = f '' (A \text{ Int } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-inj*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A, B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f, C): \text{inj}(C, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-surj*:  $\llbracket f: \text{Pi}(A, B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f, C): \text{surj}(C, f''C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-bij*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A, B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f, C): \text{bij}(C, f''C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.11.5 Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem

**lemma** *inj-weaken-type*:  $\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A, B); B \leq D \rrbracket \implies f: \text{inj}(A, D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-succ-restrict*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(\text{succ}(m), A) \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f, m) : \text{inj}(m, A - \{f'm\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-extend*:

$[ [ f : inj(A,B); \ a \sim A; \ b \sim B ] ]$   
 $==> \ cons(<a,b>,f) : inj(cons(a,A), cons(b,B))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 11 Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure

**theory** *Trancl* **imports** *Fixedpt Perm* **begin**

**definition**

*refl*  $:: [i,i] => o$  **where**  
 $refl(A,r) == (ALL\ x:\ A.\ <x,x> : r)$

**definition**

*irrefl*  $:: [i,i] => o$  **where**  
 $irrefl(A,r) == ALL\ x:\ A.\ <x,x> \sim : r$

**definition**

*sym*  $:: i => o$  **where**  
 $sym(r) == ALL\ x\ y.\ <x,y> : r \dashv\dashv <y,x> : r$

**definition**

*asym*  $:: i => o$  **where**  
 $asym(r) == ALL\ x\ y.\ <x,y> : r \dashv\dashv \sim <y,x> : r$

**definition**

*antisym*  $:: i => o$  **where**  
 $antisym(r) == ALL\ x\ y.\ <x,y> : r \dashv\dashv <y,x> : r \dashv\dashv x=y$

**definition**

*trans*  $:: i => o$  **where**  
 $trans(r) == ALL\ x\ y\ z.\ <x,y> : r \dashv\dashv <y,z> : r \dashv\dashv <x,z> : r$

**definition**

*trans-on*  $:: [i,i] => o$  (*trans*[-]'(-')) **where**  
 $trans[A](r) == ALL\ x:A.\ ALL\ y:A.\ ALL\ z:A.\$   
 $<x,y> : r \dashv\dashv <y,z> : r \dashv\dashv <x,z> : r$

**definition**

*rtrancl*  $:: i => i$  ((-^\*) [100] 100) **where**  
 $r^{\wedge *} == lfp(field(r)*field(r), \%s.\ id(field(r))\ Un\ (r\ O\ s))$

**definition**

$transl :: i \Rightarrow i \ ((-^+)) \ [100] \ 100) \quad \text{where}$   
 $r^+ == r \circ r^*$

**definition**

$equiv :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o \quad \text{where}$   
 $equiv(A,r) == r \leq A * A \ \& \ refl(A,r) \ \& \ sym(r) \ \& \ trans(r)$

**11.1 General properties of relations****11.1.1 irreflexivity****lemma** *irreflI*:

$[!x. x:A \Rightarrow \langle x,x \rangle \sim: r] \Rightarrow irrefl(A,r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *irreflE*:  $[irrefl(A,r); x:A] \Rightarrow \langle x,x \rangle \sim: r$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**11.1.2 symmetry****lemma** *symI*:

$[!x y. \langle x,y \rangle: r \Rightarrow \langle y,x \rangle: r] \Rightarrow sym(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *symE*:  $[sym(r); \langle x,y \rangle: r] \Rightarrow \langle y,x \rangle: r$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**11.1.3 antisymmetry****lemma** *antisymI*:

$[!x y. [\langle x,y \rangle: r; \langle y,x \rangle: r] \Rightarrow x=y] \Rightarrow antisym(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *antisymE*:  $[antisym(r); \langle x,y \rangle: r; \langle y,x \rangle: r] \Rightarrow x=y$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**11.1.4 transitivity****lemma** *transD*:  $[trans(r); \langle a,b \rangle: r; \langle b,c \rangle: r] \Rightarrow \langle a,c \rangle: r$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-onD*:

$[trans[A](r); \langle a,b \rangle: r; \langle b,c \rangle: r; a:A; b:A; c:A] \Rightarrow \langle a,c \rangle: r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-imp-trans-on*:  $trans(r) \Rightarrow trans[A](r)$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-on-imp-trans*:  $[|trans[A](r); r \leq A * A|] ==> trans(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 11.2 Transitive closure of a relation

**lemma** *rtrancl-bnd-mono*:  
 $bnd\text{-}mono(field(r) * field(r), \%s. id(field(r)) \cup (r \circ s))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-mono*:  $r \leq s ==> r^* \leq s^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *rtrancl-unfold* =  
 $rtrancl\text{-}bnd\text{-}mono \ [THEN \ rtrancl\text{-}def \ [THEN \ def\text{-}lfp\text{-}unfold], \ standard]$

**lemmas** *rtrancl-type* =  $rtrancl\text{-}def \ [THEN \ def\text{-}lfp\text{-}subset, \ standard]$

**lemma** *relation-rtrancl*:  $relation(r^*)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-refl*:  $[| a: field(r) |] ==> \langle a, a \rangle : r^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-into-rtrancl*:  $[| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \langle b, c \rangle : r |] ==> \langle a, c \rangle : r^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *r-into-rtrancl*:  $\langle a, b \rangle : r ==> \langle a, b \rangle : r^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *r-subset-rtrancl*:  $relation(r) ==> r \leq r^*$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-field*:  $field(r^*) = field(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-full-induct* [case-names initial step, consumes 1]:  
 $[| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \\ !!x. x: field(r) ==> P(\langle x, x \rangle);$

$$\begin{aligned} & !!x\ y\ z. [ P(<x,y>); <x,y>: r^*; <y,z>: r ] ==> P(<x,z>) ] \\ & ==> P(<a,b>) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *rtrancl-induct* [case-names initial step, induct set: rtrancl]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ <a,b> : r^*; \\ & \quad P(a); \\ & \quad !!y\ z. [ <a,y> : r^*; <y,z> : r; P(y) ] ==> P(z) \\ & ] ] ==> P(b) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-rtrancl*:  $trans(r^*)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *rtrancl-trans* = *trans-rtrancl* [THEN transD, standard]

**lemma** *rtranclE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ <a,b> : r^*; (a=b) ==> P; \\ & \quad !!y. [ <a,y> : r^*; <y,b> : r ] ==> P ] ] \\ & ==> P \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-trancl*:  $trans(r^+)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *trans-on-trancl* = *trans-trancl* [THEN trans-imp-trans-on]

**lemmas** *trancl-trans* = *trans-trancl* [THEN transD, standard]

**lemma** *trancl-into-rtrancl*:  $<a,b> : r^+ ==> <a,b> : r^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *r-into-trancl*:  $<a,b> : r ==> <a,b> : r^+$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *r-subset-trancl*:  $relation(r) ==> r \leq r^+$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-into-trancl1*:  $[[ \langle a, b \rangle : r^+; \langle b, c \rangle : r ]] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-into-trancl2*:  
 $[[ \langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r^+ ]] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, induct set: trancl*]:  
 $[[ \langle a, b \rangle : r^+;$   
 $!!y. [[ \langle a, y \rangle : r ]] \implies P(y);$   
 $!!y z. [[ \langle a, y \rangle : r^+; \langle y, z \rangle : r; P(y) ]] \implies P(z)$   
 $]] \implies P(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tranclE*:  
 $[[ \langle a, b \rangle : r^+;$   
 $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies P;$   
 $!!y. [[ \langle a, y \rangle : r^+; \langle y, b \rangle : r ]] \implies P$   
 $]] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-type*:  $r^+ \leq \text{field}(r) * \text{field}(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-trancl*:  $\text{relation}(r^+)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-subset-times*:  $r \subseteq A * A \implies r^+ \subseteq A * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-mono*:  $r \leq s \implies r^+ \leq s^+$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-eq-r*:  $[[ \text{relation}(r); \text{trans}(r) ]] \implies r^+ = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-idemp* [*simp*]:  $(r^+)^+ = r^+$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-subset*:  $[[ R \leq S; S \leq R^+ ]] \implies S^+ = R^+$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-Un-rtrancl*:

$$[[ \text{relation}(r); \text{relation}(s) ]] ==> (r^{\wedge*} \text{ Un } s^{\wedge*})^{\wedge*} = (r \text{ Un } s)^{\wedge*}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-converseD*:  $\langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r)^{\wedge*} ==> \langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r^{\wedge*})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-converseI*:  $\langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r^{\wedge*}) ==> \langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r)^{\wedge*}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rtrancl-converse*:  $\text{converse}(r)^{\wedge*} = \text{converse}(r^{\wedge*})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-converseD*:  $\langle a, b \rangle : \text{converse}(r)^{\wedge+} ==> \langle a, b \rangle : \text{converse}(r^{\wedge+})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-converseI*:  $\langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r^{\wedge+}) ==> \langle x, y \rangle : \text{converse}(r)^{\wedge+}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trancl-converse*:  $\text{converse}(r)^{\wedge+} = \text{converse}(r^{\wedge+})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-trancl-induct* [case-names initial step, consumes 1]:

$$[[ \langle a, b \rangle : r^{\wedge+}; !!y. \langle y, b \rangle : r ==> P(y);$$
$$!!y \ z. [[ \langle y, z \rangle : r; \langle z, b \rangle : r^{\wedge+}; P(z) ]] ==> P(y) ]]$$
$$==> P(a)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 12 Well-Founded Recursion

**theory** *WF* imports *Trancl* begin

**definition**

$wf \quad :: i ==> o \quad \text{where}$

$$wf(r) == \text{ALL } Z. Z=0 \mid (\text{EX } x:Z. \text{ALL } y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow \sim y:Z)$$

**definition**

$wf-on \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (wf[-]'(-')) \text{ where}$

$wf-on(A, r) == wf(r \text{ Int } A * A)$

**definition**

$is-recfun \quad :: [i, i, [i, i] => i, i] => o \text{ where}$   
 $is-recfun(r, a, H, f) == (f = (lam x: r - \{\{a\}. H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$

**definition**

$the-recfun \quad :: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $the-recfun(r, a, H) == (THE f. is-recfun(r, a, H, f))$

**definition**

$wftrec \quad :: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $wftrec(r, a, H) == H(a, the-recfun(r, a, H))$

**definition**

$wfrec \quad :: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $wfrec(r, a, H) == wftrec(r^+ +, a, \%x f. H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$

**definition**

$wfrec-on \quad :: [i, i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \quad (wfrec[-]'(-, -, -')) \text{ where}$   
 $wfrec[A](r, a, H) == wfrec(r \text{ Int } A * A, a, H)$

## 12.1 Well-Founded Relations

### 12.1.1 Equivalences between $wf$ and $wf-on$

**lemma**  $wf-imp-wf-on$ :  $wf(r) ==> wf[A](r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-on-imp-wf$ :  $[| wf[A](r); r <= A * A |] ==> wf(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-on-field-imp-wf$ :  $wf[field(r)](r) ==> wf(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-iff-wf-on-field$ :  $wf(r) <-> wf[field(r)](r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-on-subset-A$ :  $[| wf[A](r); B <= A |] ==> wf[B](r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-on-subset-r$ :  $[| wf[A](r); s <= r |] ==> wf[A](s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf-subset$ :  $[| wf(s); r <= s |] ==> wf(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



### 12.1.2 Introduction Rules for *wf-on*

If every non-empty subset of  $A$  has an  $r$ -minimal element then we have  $wf[A](r)$ .

**lemma** *wf-onI*:

**assumes** *prem*:  $!!Z\ u. [\mid Z \leq A; \ u:Z; \ \text{ALL } x:Z. \ \text{EX } y:Z. \ \langle y, x \rangle : r] \implies \text{False}$   
**shows**  $wf[A](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If  $r$  allows well-founded induction over  $A$  then we have  $wf[A](r)$ . Premise is equivalent to  $\bigwedge B. \forall x \in A. (\forall y. \langle y, x \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y \in B) \longrightarrow x \in B \implies A \subseteq B$

**lemma** *wf-onI2*:

**assumes** *prem*:  $!!y\ B. [\mid \text{ALL } x:A. (\text{ALL } y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow y:B) \longrightarrow x:B; \ y:A] \implies y:B$   
**shows**  $wf[A](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 12.1.3 Well-founded Induction

Consider the least  $z$  in  $\text{domain}(r)$  such that  $P(z)$  does not hold...

**lemma** *wf-induct* [*induct set*: *wf*]:

$[\mid wf(r); \quad !!x. [\mid \text{ALL } y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)] \implies P(x)] \implies P(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *wf-induct-rule* = *wf-induct* [*rule-format*, *induct set*: *wf*]

The form of this rule is designed to match *wfI*

**lemma** *wf-induct2*:

$[\mid wf(r); \ a:A; \ \text{field}(r) \leq A; \quad !!x. [\mid x:A; \ \text{ALL } y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)] \implies P(x)] \implies P(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *field-Int-square*:  $\text{field}(r \text{ Int } A * A) \leq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-on-induct* [*consumes* 2, *induct set*: *wf-on*]:

$[\mid wf[A](r); \ a:A; \quad !!x. [\mid x:A; \ \text{ALL } y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)] \implies P(x)] \implies P(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *wf-on-induct-rule* =

*wf-on-induct* [*rule-format*, *consumes* 2, *induct set*: *wf-on*]

If  $r$  allows well-founded induction then we have  $wf(r)$ .

**lemma**  $wfI$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \text{field}(r) \leq A; \\ & \quad !!y B. [ \text{ALL } x:A. (\text{ALL } y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow y:B) \dashrightarrow x:B; \quad y:A ] \\ & \quad \quad \quad \implies y:B ] \\ & \implies wf(r) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## 12.2 Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations

**lemma**  $wf\text{-not-refl}$ :  $wf(r) \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-not-sym}$  [rule-format]:  $wf(r) \implies \text{ALL } x. \langle a, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $wf\text{-asym} = wf\text{-not-sym}$  [THEN swap, standard]

**lemma**  $wf\text{-on-not-refl}$ :  $[ wf[A](r); a:A ] \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-on-not-sym}$  [rule-format]:

$$[ wf[A](r); a:A ] \implies \text{ALL } b:A. \langle a, b \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-on-asym}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & [ wf[A](r); \sim Z \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r; \\ & \quad \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r \implies Z; \sim Z \implies a : A; \sim Z \implies b : A ] \implies Z \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-on-chain3}$ :

$$[ wf[A](r); \langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r; \langle c, a \rangle : r; a:A; b:A; c:A ] \implies P$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

transitive closure of a WF relation is WF provided  $A$  is downward closed

**lemma**  $wf\text{-on-trancl}$ :

$$[ wf[A](r); r - "A \leq A ] \implies wf[A](r^+)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-trancl}$ :  $wf(r) \implies wf(r^+)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$r - " \{a\}$  is the set of everything under  $a$  in  $r$

**lemmas**  $underI = vimage\text{-singleton-iff}$  [THEN iffD2, standard]

**lemmas**  $underD = vimage\text{-singleton-iff}$  [THEN iffD1, standard]

### 12.3 The Predicate *is-recfun*

**lemma** *is-recfun-type*:  $is-recfun(r, a, H, f) ==> f: r - \{\{a\} \rightarrow range(f)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *is-recfun-imp-function* = *is-recfun-type* [THEN *fun-is-function*]

**lemma** *apply-recfun*:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); <x, a>:r |] ==> f'x = H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *is-recfun-equal* [rule-format]:

$[| wf(r); trans(r); is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, b, H, g) |]$   
 $==> <x, a>:r \dashrightarrow <x, b>:r \dashrightarrow f'x = g'x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *is-recfun-cut*:

$[| wf(r); trans(r);$   
 $is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, b, H, g); <b, a>:r |]$   
 $==> restrict(f, r - \{\{b\}\}) = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.4 Recursion: Main Existence Lemma

**lemma** *is-recfun-functional*:

$[| wf(r); trans(r); is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, a, H, g) |] ==> f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-recfun-eq*:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); wf(r); trans(r) |] ==> the-recfun(r, a, H) = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *is-the-recfun*:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); wf(r); trans(r) |]$   
 $==> is-recfun(r, a, H, the-recfun(r, a, H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unfold-the-recfun*:

$[| wf(r); trans(r) |] ==> is-recfun(r, a, H, the-recfun(r, a, H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.5 Unfolding *wftrec*(*r*, *a*, *H*)

**lemma** *the-recfun-cut*:

$[| wf(r); trans(r); <b, a>:r |]$   
 $==> restrict(the-recfun(r, a, H), r - \{\{b\}\}) = the-recfun(r, b, H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wftrec*:  

$$[ [ \text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r) ] ] ==>$$

$$\text{wftrec}(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}. \text{wftrec}(r, x, H))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

### 12.5.1 Removal of the Premise *trans*(*r*)

**lemma** *wfrec*:  

$$\text{wf}(r) ==> \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}. \text{wfrec}(r, x, H))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *def-wfrec*:  

$$[ [ !x. h(x) == \text{wfrec}(r, x, H); \text{wf}(r) ] ] ==>$$

$$h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}. h(x))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *wfrec-type*:  

$$[ [ \text{wf}(r); a:A; \text{field}(r) \leq A;$$

$$!x u. [ [ x: A; u: \text{Pi}(r - \{\{x\}, B) ] ] ==> H(x, u) : B(x)$$

$$] ] ==> \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) : B(a)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *wfrec-on*:  

$$[ [ \text{wf}[A](r); a: A ] ] ==>$$

$$\text{wfrec}[A](r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: (r - \{\{a\}) \text{Int } A. \text{wfrec}[A](r, x, H))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

Minimal-element characterization of well-foundedness

**lemma** *wf-eq-minimal*:  

$$\text{wf}(r) <-> (\text{ALL } Q x. x:Q \text{ --> } (\text{EX } z:Q. \text{ALL } y. <y, z>:r \text{ --> } y \sim :Q))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**end**

## 13 Transitive Sets and Ordinals

**theory** *Ordinal* **imports** *WF Bool equalities* **begin**

**definition**  

$$\text{Memrel} \quad :: i ==> i \text{ where}$$

$$\text{Memrel}(A) == \{z: A * A . \text{EX } x y. z = <x, y> \ \& \ x : y \}$$

**definition**  

$$\text{Transset} \quad :: i ==> o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{Transset}(i) == \text{ALL } x:i. x \leq i$$

**definition**

$Ord :: i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $Ord(i) == Transset(i) \ \& \ (ALL \ x:i. \ Transset(x))$

**definition**

$lt :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$  (**infixl**  $< 50$ ) **where**  
 $i < j == i:j \ \& \ Ord(j)$

**definition**

$Limit :: i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $Limit(i) == Ord(i) \ \& \ 0 < i \ \& \ (ALL \ y. \ y < i \ \longrightarrow \ succ(y) < i)$

**abbreviation**

$le$  (**infixl**  $le \ 50$ ) **where**  
 $x \ le \ y == x < succ(y)$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

$le$  (**infixl**  $\leq 50$ )

**notation** (*HTML output*)

$le$  (**infixl**  $\leq 50$ )

**13.1 Rules for Transset****13.1.1 Three Neat Characterisations of Transset**

**lemma** *Transset-iff-Pow*:  $Transset(A) <-> A \leq Pow(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-iff-Union-succ*:  $Transset(A) <-> Union(succ(A)) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-iff-Union-subset*:  $Transset(A) <-> Union(A) \leq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**13.1.2 Consequences of Downwards Closure**

**lemma** *Transset-doubleton-D*:  
 $[ [ Transset(C); \{a,b\}: C ] ] \Rightarrow a:C \ \& \ b: C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Pair-D*:  
 $[ [ Transset(C); <a,b>: C ] ] \Rightarrow a:C \ \& \ b: C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-includes-domain*:  
 $[ [ Transset(C); A*B \leq C; b: B ] ] \Rightarrow A \leq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-includes-range*:

$$[\mid \text{Transset}(C); A*B \leq C; a: A \mid] \implies B \leq C$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 13.1.3 Closure Properties

**lemma** *Transset-0*:  $\text{Transset}(0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Un*:  

$$[\mid \text{Transset}(i); \text{Transset}(j) \mid] \implies \text{Transset}(i \text{ Un } j)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Int*:  

$$[\mid \text{Transset}(i); \text{Transset}(j) \mid] \implies \text{Transset}(i \text{ Int } j)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-succ*:  $\text{Transset}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{succ}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Pow*:  $\text{Transset}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Pow}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Union*:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Union}(A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Union-family*:  

$$[\mid !!i. i:A \implies \text{Transset}(i) \mid] \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Union}(A))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Inter-family*:  

$$[\mid !!i. i:A \implies \text{Transset}(i) \mid] \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Inter}(A))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-UN*:  

$$(!!x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-INT*:  

$$(!!x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcap x \in A. B(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 13.2 Lemmas for Ordinals

**lemma** *OrdI*:  

$$[\mid \text{Transset}(i); !!x. x:i \implies \text{Transset}(x) \mid] \implies \text{Ord}(i)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-is-Transset*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-contains-Transset*:  

$$[\text{Ord}(i); j:i] \implies \text{Transset}(j)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-in-Ord*:  $[\text{Ord}(i); j:i] \implies \text{Ord}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-in-Ord'*:  $[j:i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies \text{Ord}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *Ord-succD* = *Ord-in-Ord* [*OF* - *succI1*]

**lemma** *Ord-subset-Ord*:  $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Transset}(j); j \leq i] \implies \text{Ord}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OrdmemD*:  $[j:i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies j \leq i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-trans*:  $[i:j; j:k; \text{Ord}(k)] \implies i:k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-succ-subsetI*:  $[i:j; \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \text{succ}(i) \leq j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 13.3 The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union

**lemma** *Ord-0* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $\text{Ord}(0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-succ* [*TC*]:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(\text{succ}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *Ord-1* = *Ord-0* [*THEN* *Ord-succ*]

**lemma** *Ord-succ-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{Ord}(\text{succ}(i)) \iff \text{Ord}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Un* [*intro*, *simp*, *TC*]:  $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \text{Ord}(i \cup j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Int* [*TC*]:  $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \text{Ord}(i \cap j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ON-class*:  $\sim (ALL i. i:X \iff \text{Ord}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 13.4 $\leq$ is 'less Than' for Ordinals

**lemma** *ltI*:  $[[ i:j; \text{Ord}(j) ]] \implies i < j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ltE*:  
 $[[ i < j; [[ i:j; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) ]] \implies P ]] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ltD*:  $i < j \implies i:j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-lt0* [*simp*]:  $\sim i < 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Ord*:  $j < i \implies \text{Ord}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Ord2*:  $j < i \implies \text{Ord}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *le-Ord2* = *lt-Ord2* [*THEN Ord-succD*]

**lemmas** *lt0E* = *not-lt0* [*THEN notE, elim!*]

**lemma** *lt-trans*:  $[[ i < j; j < k ]] \implies i < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-not-sym*:  $i < j \implies \sim (j < i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *lt-asym* = *lt-not-sym* [*THEN swap*]

**lemma** *lt-irrefl* [*elim!*]:  $i < i \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-not-refl*:  $\sim i < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-iff*:  $i \leq j \iff i < j \mid (i = j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *leI*:  $i < j \implies i \leq j$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-eqI*:  $[i=j; \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \text{ le } j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *le-refl* = *refl* [THEN *le-eqI*]

**lemma** *le-refl-iff* [*iff*]:  $i \text{ le } i \iff \text{Ord}(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *leCI*:  $(\sim (i=j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j))) \implies i < j \implies i \text{ le } j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *leE*:  
 $[i \text{ le } j; i < j \implies P; [i=j; \text{Ord}(j)] \implies P] \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-anti-sym*:  $[i \text{ le } j; j \text{ le } i] \implies i=j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le0-iff* [*simp*]:  $i \text{ le } 0 \iff i=0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *le0D* = *le0-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *dest!*]

### 13.5 Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel

**lemma** *Memrel-iff* [*simp*]:  $\langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A) \iff a:b \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b:A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *MemrelI* [*intro!*]:  $[a: b; a: A; b: A] \implies \langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *MemrelE* [*elim!*]:  
 $[ \langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A);$   
 $[a: A; b: A; a:b] \implies P ]$   
 $\implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Memrel-type*:  $\text{Memrel}(A) \leq A * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Memrel-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{Memrel}(A) \leq \text{Memrel}(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Memrel-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{Memrel}(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Memrel-1* [*simp*]:  $\text{Memrel}(1) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *relation-Memrel*:  $relation(Memrel(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-Memrel*:  $wf(Memrel(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premise  $Ord(i)$  does not suffice.

**lemma** *trans-Memrel*:  
 $Ord(i) ==> trans(Memrel(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

However, the following premise is strong enough.

**lemma** *Transset-trans-Memrel*:  
 $\forall j \in i. Transset(j) ==> trans(Memrel(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Memrel-iff*:  
 $Transset(A) ==> \langle a, b \rangle : Memrel(A) \leftrightarrow a:b \ \& \ b:A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 13.6 Transfinite Induction

**lemma** *Transset-induct*:  
 $\llbracket i: k; Transset(k);$   
 $\quad !!x. \llbracket x: k; ALL y: x. P(y) \rrbracket ==> P(x) \rrbracket$   
 $==> P(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Ord-induct* [consumes 2] = *Transset-induct* [OF - Ord-is-Transset]  
**lemmas** *Ord-induct-rule* = *Ord-induct* [rule-format, consumes 2]

**lemma** *trans-induct* [consumes 1]:  
 $\llbracket Ord(i);$   
 $\quad !!x. \llbracket Ord(x); ALL y: x. P(y) \rrbracket ==> P(x) \rrbracket$   
 $==> P(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *trans-induct-rule* = *trans-induct* [rule-format, consumes 1]

### 13.6.1 Proving That $\mathfrak{j}$ is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals

**lemma** *Ord-linear* [rule-format]:

$Ord(i) ==> (ALL\ j.\ Ord(j) \dashv\vdash i:j \mid i=j \mid j:i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-linear-lt*:

$[ [ Ord(i); Ord(j); i < j ==> P; i=j ==> P; j < i ==> P ] ] ==> P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-linear2*:

$[ [ Ord(i); Ord(j); i < j ==> P; j\ le\ i ==> P ] ] ==> P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-linear-le*:

$[ [ Ord(i); Ord(j); i\ le\ j ==> P; j\ le\ i ==> P ] ] ==> P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-imp-not-lt*:  $j\ le\ i ==> \sim i < j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-lt-imp-le*:  $[ [ \sim i < j; Ord(i); Ord(j) ] ] ==> j\ le\ i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 13.6.2 Some Rewrite Rules for $\mathfrak{j}$ , $\mathfrak{le}$

**lemma** *Ord-mem-iff-lt*:  $Ord(j) ==> i:j \dashv\vdash i < j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-lt-iff-le*:  $[ [ Ord(i); Ord(j) ] ] ==> \sim i < j \dashv\vdash j\ le\ i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-le-iff-lt*:  $[ [ Ord(i); Ord(j) ] ] ==> \sim i\ le\ j \dashv\vdash j < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-0-le*:  $Ord(i) ==> 0\ le\ i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-0-lt*:  $[ [ Ord(i); i \sim 0 ] ] ==> 0 < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-0-lt-iff*:  $Ord(i) ==> i \sim 0 \dashv\vdash 0 < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 13.7 Results about Less-Than or Equals

**lemma** *zero-le-succ-iff* [*iff*]:  $0\ le\ succ(x) \dashv\vdash Ord(x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-imp-le*:  $[ [ j \leq i; Ord(i); Ord(j) ] ] ==> j\ le\ i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-imp-subset*:  $i \text{ le } j \implies i \leq j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *le-subset-iff*:  $j \text{ le } i \iff j \leq i \ \& \ \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *le-succ-iff*:  $i \text{ le } \text{succ}(j) \iff i \text{ le } j \mid i = \text{succ}(j) \ \& \ \text{Ord}(i)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *all-lt-imp-le*:  $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \forall x. x < j \implies x < i] \implies j \text{ le } i$

*<proof>*

### 13.7.1 Transitivity Laws

**lemma** *lt-trans1*:  $[\mid i \text{ le } j; j < k] \implies i < k$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *lt-trans2*:  $[\mid i < j; j \text{ le } k] \implies i < k$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *le-trans*:  $[\mid i \text{ le } j; j \text{ le } k] \implies i \text{ le } k$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-leI*:  $i < j \implies \text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-leE*:  $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j \implies i < j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-le-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j \iff i < j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-le-imp-le*:  $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } \text{succ}(j) \implies i \text{ le } j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *lt-subset-trans*:  $[\mid i \leq j; j < k; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies i < k$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *lt-imp-0-lt*:  $j < i \implies 0 < i$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-lt-iff*:  $\text{succ}(i) < j \iff i < j \ \& \ \text{succ}(i) \neq j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Ord-succ-mem-iff*:  $\text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{succ}(i) \in \text{succ}(j) \iff i \in j$

*<proof>*

### 13.7.2 Union and Intersection

**lemma** *Un-upper1-le*:  $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies i \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-upper2-le*:  $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies j \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-least-lt*:  $[\mid i < k; j < k \mid] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-least-lt-iff*:  $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k \iff i < k \ \& \ j < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-least-mem-iff*:  
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) \mid] \implies i \text{ Un } j : k \iff i:k \ \& \ j:k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-greatest-lt*:  $[\mid i < k; j < k \mid] \implies i \text{ Int } j < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Un-if*:  
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies i \cup j = (\text{if } j < i \text{ then } i \text{ else } j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-Un-distrib*:  
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies \text{succ}(i \cup j) = \text{succ}(i) \cup \text{succ}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Un-iff*:  
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies k < i \cup j \iff k < i \mid k < j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-Un-iff*:  
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies k \leq i \cup j \iff k \leq i \mid k \leq j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-upper1-lt*:  $[\mid k < i; \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-upper2-lt*:  $[\mid k < j; \text{Ord}(i) \mid] \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Union-succ-eq*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \bigcup(\text{succ}(i)) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 13.8 Results about Limits

**lemma** *Ord-Union* [intro,simp,TC]:  $[\![ \! \! i. i:A ==> \text{Ord}(i) \! \! ]\!] ==> \text{Ord}(\text{Union}(A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-UN* [intro,simp,TC]:  
 $[\![ \! \! x. x:A ==> \text{Ord}(B(x)) \! \! ]\!] ==> \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Inter* [intro,simp,TC]:  
 $[\![ \! \! i. i:A ==> \text{Ord}(i) \! \! ]\!] ==> \text{Ord}(\text{Inter}(A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-INT* [intro,simp,TC]:  
 $[\![ \! \! x. x:A ==> \text{Ord}(B(x)) \! \! ]\!] ==> \text{Ord}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-least-le*:  
 $[\![ \text{Ord}(i); \! \! x. x:A ==> b(x) \text{ le } i \! \! ]\!] ==> (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \text{ le } i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-succ-least-lt*:  
 $[\![ j < i; \! \! x. x:A ==> b(x) < j \! \! ]\!] ==> (\bigcup_{x \in A} \text{succ}(b(x))) < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-upper-lt*:  
 $[\![ a \in A; i < b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \! \! ]\!] ==> i < (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-upper-le*:  
 $[\![ a: A; i \text{ le } b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \! \! ]\!] ==> i \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Union-iff*:  $\forall i \in A. \text{Ord}(i) ==> (j < \bigcup(A)) <-> (\exists i \in A. j < i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-upper-le*:  
 $[\![ j: J; i \leq j; \text{Ord}(\bigcup(J)) \! \! ]\!] ==> i \leq \bigcup J$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-implies-UN-le-UN*:  
 $[\![ \! \! x. x:A ==> c(x) \text{ le } d(x) \! \! ]\!] ==> (\bigcup_{x \in A} c(x)) \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} d(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-equality*:  $\text{Ord}(i) ==> (\bigcup_{y \in i} \text{succ}(y)) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Union-subset*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) \leq i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 13.9 Limit Ordinals – General Properties

**lemma** *Limit-Union-eq*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-is-Ord*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-has-0*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 0 < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-nonzero*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies i \sim 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-has-succ*:  $[\text{Limit}(i); j < i] \implies \text{succ}(j) < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-succ-lt-iff* [simp]:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{succ}(j) < i \iff (j < i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-not-Limit* [iff]:  $\sim \text{Limit}(0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-has-1*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 1 < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *increasing-LimitI*:  $[\text{Limit}(l); 0 < l; \forall x \in l. \exists y \in l. x < y] \implies \text{Limit}(l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *non-succ-LimitI*:  
 $[\text{Limit}(i); 0 < i; \forall y. \text{succ}(y) \sim i] \implies \text{Limit}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-LimitE* [elim!]:  $\text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i)) \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-succ-Limit* [simp]:  $\sim \text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-le-succD*:  $[\text{Limit}(i); i \leq \text{succ}(j)] \implies i \leq j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 13.9.1 Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals

**lemma** *Ord-cases-disj*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i = 0 \mid (\exists j. \text{Ord}(j) \ \& \ i = \text{succ}(j)) \mid \text{Limit}(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-cases*:

```

  [| Ord(i);
    i=0 ==> P;
    !!j. [| Ord(j); i=succ(j) |] ==> P;
    Limit(i) ==> P
  |] ==> P
<proof>

```

**lemma** *trans-induct3* [*case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1*]:

```

  [| Ord(i);
    P(0);
    !!x. [| Ord(x); P(x) |] ==> P(succ(x));
    !!x. [| Limit(x); ALL y:x. P(y) |] ==> P(x)
  |] ==> P(i)
<proof>

```

**lemmas** *trans-induct3-rule* = *trans-induct3* [*rule-format, case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1*]

A set of ordinals is either empty, contains its own union, or its union is a limit ordinal.

**lemma** *Ord-set-cases*:

```

  ∀ i ∈ I. Ord(i) ==> I=0 ∨ ⋃(I) ∈ I ∨ (⋃(I) ∉ I ∧ Limit(⋃(I)))
<proof>

```

If the union of a set of ordinals is a successor, then it is an element of that set.

**lemma** *Ord-Union-eq-succD*: [|∀ x ∈ X. Ord(x); ⋃ X = succ(j)|] ==> succ(j) ∈ X  
 <proof>

**lemma** *Limit-Union* [*rule-format*]: [| I ≠ 0; ∀ i ∈ I. Limit(i) |] ==> Limit(⋃ I)  
 <proof>

**end**

## 14 Special quantifiers

**theory** *OrdQuant* **imports** *Ordinal* **begin**

### 14.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals

**definition**

```

oall :: [i, i => o] => o where
  oall(A, P) == ALL x. x < A --> P(x)

```



**definition**

$oex :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $oex(A, P) == EX\ x. x < A \ \& \ P(x)$

**definition**

$OUnion :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $OUnion(i, B) == \{z: \bigcup_{x \in i}. B(x). Ord(i)\}$

**syntax**

$@oall \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists ALL \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@oex \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists EX \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@OUNION \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists UN \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$

**translations**

$ALL\ x < a. P == CONST\ oall(a, \%x. P)$   
 $EX\ x < a. P == CONST\ oex(a, \%x. P)$   
 $UN\ x < a. B == CONST\ OUnion(a, \%x. B)$

**syntax** (*xsymbols*)

$@oall \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@oex \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@OUNION \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists \bigcup \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$

**syntax** (*HTML output*)

$@oall \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@oex \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$   
 $@OUNION \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists \bigcup \ -<-. / \ -) \ 10)$

**14.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers**

**lemma**  $[simp]: (ALL\ x < 0. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $[simp]: \sim (EX\ x < 0. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $[simp]: (ALL\ x < succ(i). P(x)) \Leftrightarrow (Ord(i) \dashv\dashv P(i) \ \& \ (ALL\ x < i. P(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $[simp]: (EX\ x < succ(i). P(x)) \Leftrightarrow (Ord(i) \ \& \ (P(i) \mid (EX\ x < i. P(x))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**14.1.2 Union over ordinals**

**lemma**  $Ord-OUN\ [intro, simp]:$   
 $[ \mid !!x. x < A \Rightarrow Ord(B(x)) \mid ] \Rightarrow Ord(\bigcup_{x < A}. B(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $OUN-upper-lt:$

$$[\mid a < A; \ i < b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \mid] \implies i < (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-upper-le*:

$$[\mid a < A; \ i \leq b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \mid] \implies i \leq (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-OUN-eq*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies (\bigcup x < i. x) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-least*:

$$(\! \mid x. x < A \implies B(x) \subseteq C \implies (\bigcup x < A. B(x)) \subseteq C$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-least-le*:

$$[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \ !\mid x. x < A \implies b(x) \leq i \mid] \implies (\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \leq i$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-implies-OUN-le-OUN*:

$$[\mid !\mid x. x < A \implies c(x) \leq d(x) \mid] \implies (\bigcup x < A. c(x)) \leq (\bigcup x < A. d(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-UN-eq*:

$$(\! \mid x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(B(x)) \implies (\bigcup z < (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup z < B(x). C(z))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-Union-eq*:

$$(\! \mid x. x:X \implies \text{Ord}(x) \implies (\bigcup z < \text{Union}(X). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup z < x. C(z))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atomize-oall* [*symmetric, rulify*]:

$$(\! \mid x. x < A \implies P(x) \implies \text{Trueprop} (\text{ALL } x < A. P(x))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 14.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals

**lemma** *oallI* [*intro!*]:

$$[\mid !\mid x. x < A \implies P(x) \mid] \implies \text{ALL } x < A. P(x)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ospec*:  $[\mid \text{ALL } x < A. P(x); \ x < A \mid] \implies P(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oallE*:

$\llbracket \text{ALL } x < A. P(x); P(x) \implies Q; \sim x < A \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-oallE* [*elim*]:

$\llbracket \text{ALL } x < A. P(x); \sim x < A \implies Q; P(x) \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oall-simp* [*simp*]:  $(\text{ALL } x < a. \text{True}) <-> \text{True}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oall-cong* [*cong*]:

$\llbracket a = a'; \llbracket \forall x. x < a' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x) \rrbracket \implies \text{oall}(a, \%x. P(x)) <-> \text{oall}(a', \%x. P'(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 14.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals

**lemma** *oexI* [*intro*]:

$\llbracket P(x); x < A \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } x < A. P(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexCI*:

$\llbracket \text{ALL } x < A. \sim P(x) \implies P(a); a < A \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } x < A. P(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oexE* [*elim!*]:

$\llbracket \text{EX } x < A. P(x); \llbracket \forall x. \llbracket x < A; P(x) \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oex-cong* [*cong*]:

$\llbracket a = a'; \llbracket \forall x. x < a' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x) \rrbracket \implies \text{oex}(a, \%x. P(x)) <-> \text{oex}(a', \%x. P'(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 14.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions

**lemma** *OUN-I* [*intro*]:  $\llbracket a < i; b : B(a) \rrbracket \implies b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-E* [*elim!*]:

$\llbracket b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z)); \llbracket \forall a. \llbracket b : B(a); a < i \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-iff*:  $b : (\bigcup x < i. B(x)) <-> (\text{EX } x < i. b : B(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *OUN-cong* [*cong*]:

$\llbracket i=j; \text{!!}x. x<j \implies C(x)=D(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup x<i. C(x)) = (\bigcup x<j. D(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-induct*:

$\llbracket i<k; \text{!!}x. \llbracket x<k; \text{ALL } y<x. P(y) \rrbracket \implies P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 14.2 Quantification over a class

**definition**

*rall*  $:: [i=>o, i=>o] => o$  **where**  
*rall*(*M*, *P*) == *ALL* *x*. *M*(*x*)  $\longrightarrow$  *P*(*x*)

**definition**

*rex*  $:: [i=>o, i=>o] => o$  **where**  
*rex*(*M*, *P*) == *EX* *x*. *M*(*x*) & *P*(*x*)

**syntax**

@*rall*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \text{ALL } [-]. / -) 10)$   
 @*rex*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \text{EX } [-]. / -) 10)$

**syntax** (*xsymbols*)

@*rall*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \forall [-]. / -) 10)$   
 @*rex*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \exists [-]. / -) 10)$

**syntax** (*HTML output*)

@*rall*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \forall [-]. / -) 10)$   
 @*rex*  $:: [pttrn, i=>o, o] => o$   $((\exists \exists [-]. / -) 10)$

**translations**

*ALL* *x*[*M*]. *P* == *CONST* *rall*(*M*, %*x*. *P*)  
*EX* *x*[*M*]. *P* == *CONST* *rex*(*M*, %*x*. *P*)

### 14.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier

**lemma** *rallI* [*intro!*]:  $\llbracket \text{!!}x. M(x) \implies P(x) \rrbracket \implies \text{ALL } x[M]. P(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rspec*:  $\llbracket \text{ALL } x[M]. P(x); M(x) \rrbracket \implies P(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-rallE* [*elim*]:

$\llbracket \text{ALL } x[M]. P(x); \sim M(x) \implies Q; P(x) \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rallE*:  $\llbracket \text{ALL } x[M]. P(x); P(x) \implies Q; \sim M(x) \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-triv* [*simp*]:  $(ALL\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \dashv\vdash P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-cong* [*cong*]:  
 $(!!x.\ M(x) ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)) ==> (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) <-> (ALL\ x[M].\ P'(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 14.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier

**lemma** *rexI* [*intro*]:  $[| P(x); M(x) |] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-rexI*:  $[| M(x); P(x) |] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rexCI*:  $[| ALL\ x[M].\ \sim P(x) ==> P(a); M(a) |] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rexE* [*elim*!]:  $[| EX\ x[M].\ P(x); !!x.\ [| M(x); P(x) |] ==> Q |] ==> Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-triv* [*simp*]:  $(EX\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \& P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-cong* [*cong*]:  
 $(!!x.\ M(x) ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)) ==> (EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) <-> (EX\ x[M].\ P'(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-is-ball* [*simp*]:  $(\forall x[\%z.\ z \in A].\ P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A.\ P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-is-bex* [*simp*]:  $(\exists x[\%z.\ z \in A].\ P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A.\ P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *atomize-rall*:  $(!!x.\ M(x) ==> P(x)) == Trueprop\ (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *atomize-rall* [*symmetric, rulify*]

**lemma** *rall-simps1*:  
 $(ALL\ x[M].\ P(x) \& Q) <-> (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) \& ((ALL\ x[M].\ False) | Q)$   
 $(ALL\ x[M].\ P(x) | Q) <-> ((ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) | Q)$   
 $(ALL\ x[M].\ P(x) \dashv\vdash Q) <-> ((EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) \dashv\vdash Q)$

$(\sim (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x))) <-> (EX\ x[M].\ \sim P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-simps2*:

$(ALL\ x[M].\ P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL\ x[M].\ False) \mid P) \ \&\ (ALL\ x[M].\ Q(x))$   
 $(ALL\ x[M].\ P \mid Q(x)) <-> (P \mid (ALL\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $(ALL\ x[M].\ P \dashv\> Q(x)) <-> (P \dashv\> (ALL\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *rall-simps* [simp] = *rall-simps1* *rall-simps2*

**lemma** *rall-conj-distrib*:

$(ALL\ x[M].\ P(x) \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) \ \&\ (ALL\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-simps1*:

$(EX\ x[M].\ P(x) \ \&\ Q) <-> ((EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) \ \&\ Q)$   
 $(EX\ x[M].\ P(x) \mid Q) <-> (EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) \mid ((EX\ x[M].\ True) \ \&\ Q)$   
 $(EX\ x[M].\ P(x) \dashv\> Q) <-> ((ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) \dashv\> ((EX\ x[M].\ True) \ \&\ Q))$   
 $(\sim (EX\ x[M].\ P(x))) <-> (ALL\ x[M].\ \sim P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-simps2*:

$(EX\ x[M].\ P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> (P \ \&\ (EX\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $(EX\ x[M].\ P \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x[M].\ True) \ \&\ P) \mid (EX\ x[M].\ Q(x))$   
 $(EX\ x[M].\ P \dashv\> Q(x)) <-> (((ALL\ x[M].\ False) \mid P) \dashv\> (EX\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *rex-simps* [simp] = *rex-simps1* *rex-simps2*

**lemma** *rex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX\ x[M].\ P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) \mid (EX\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 14.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers

**lemma** *rex-triv-one-point1* [simp]:  $(EX\ x[M].\ x=a) <-> (M(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-triv-one-point2* [simp]:  $(EX\ x[M].\ a=x) <-> (M(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-one-point1* [simp]:  $(EX\ x[M].\ x=a \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \&\ P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-one-point2* [simp]:  $(EX\ x[M].\ a=x \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \&\ P(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-one-point1* [simp]:  $(\text{ALL } x[M]. x=a \dashrightarrow P(x)) \dashv\vdash (M(a) \dashrightarrow P(a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-one-point2* [simp]:  $(\text{ALL } x[M]. a=x \dashrightarrow P(x)) \dashv\vdash (M(a) \dashrightarrow P(a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 14.2.4 Sets as Classes

**definition**

*setclass* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$      $(\#\# \cdot [40] \ 40)$  **where**  
*setclass*(*A*) ==  $\%x. x : A$

**lemma** *setclass-iff* [simp]:  $\text{setclass}(A, x) \dashv\vdash x : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rall-setclass-is-ball* [simp]:  $(\forall x[\#\#A]. P(x)) \dashv\vdash (\forall x \in A. P(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rex-setclass-is-bex* [simp]:  $(\exists x[\#\#A]. P(x)) \dashv\vdash (\exists x \in A. P(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

Setting up the one-point-rule simproc

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 15 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point

**theory** *Nat-ZF* **imports** *OrdQuant Bool* **begin**

**definition**

*nat* :: *i* **where**  
*nat* ==  $\text{lfp}(\text{Inf}, \%X. \{0\} \cup \{ \text{succ}(i). i:X \})$

**definition**

*quasinat* :: *i*  $\Rightarrow o$  **where**  
*quasinat*(*n*) ==  $n=0 \mid (\exists m. n = \text{succ}(m))$

**definition**

*nat-case* ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
*nat-case*(*a, b, k*) ==  $\text{THE } y. k=0 \ \& \ y=a \mid (\text{EX } x. k=\text{succ}(x) \ \& \ y=b(x))$

**definition**

$nat-rec :: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i$  **where**  
 $nat-rec(k, a, b) ==$   
 $wfrec(Memrel(nat), k, \%n f. nat-case(a, \%m. b(m, f'm), n))$

**definition**

$Le :: i$  **where**  
 $Le == \{<x, y>: nat*nat. x \leq y\}$

**definition**

$Lt :: i$  **where**  
 $Lt == \{<x, y>: nat*nat. x < y\}$

**definition**

$Ge :: i$  **where**  
 $Ge == \{<x, y>: nat*nat. y \leq x\}$

**definition**

$Gt :: i$  **where**  
 $Gt == \{<x, y>: nat*nat. y < x\}$

**definition**

$greater-than :: i => i$  **where**  
 $greater-than(n) == \{i: nat. n < i\}$

No need for a less-than operator: a natural number is its list of predecessors!

**lemma**  $nat-bnd-mono$ :  $bnd-mono(Inf, \%X. \{0\} \ Un \ \{succ(i). i:X\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $nat-unfold = nat-bnd-mono$   $[THEN \ nat-def \ [THEN \ def-lfp-unfold], standard]$

**lemma**  $nat-0I$   $[iff, TC]$ :  $0 : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nat-succI$   $[intro!, TC]$ :  $n : nat ==> succ(n) : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nat-1I$   $[iff, TC]$ :  $1 : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nat-2I$   $[iff, TC]$ :  $2 : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *bool-subset-nat*:  $\text{bool} \leq \text{nat}$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *bool-into-nat* = *bool-subset-nat* [THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

## 15.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction

**lemma** *nat-induct* [case-names *0 succ*, induct set: *nat*]:

$\llbracket n: \text{nat}; P(0); \forall x. \llbracket x: \text{nat}; P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \rrbracket \implies P(n)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natE*:

$\llbracket n: \text{nat}; n=0 \implies P; \forall x. \llbracket x: \text{nat}; n=\text{succ}(x) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *nat-into-Ord* [simp]:  $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{Ord}(n)$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *nat-0-le* = *nat-into-Ord* [THEN *Ord-0-le*, *standard*]

**lemmas** *nat-le-refl* = *nat-into-Ord* [THEN *le-refl*, *standard*]

**lemma** *Ord-nat* [iff]:  $\text{Ord}(\text{nat})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Limit-nat* [iff]:  $\text{Limit}(\text{nat})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *naturals-not-limit*:  $a \in \text{nat} \implies \sim \text{Limit}(a)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *succ-natD*:  $\text{succ}(i): \text{nat} \implies i: \text{nat}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nat-succ-iff* [iff]:  $\text{succ}(n): \text{nat} \iff n: \text{nat}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *nat-le-Limit*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{nat le } i$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *succ-in-naturalD* = *Ord-trans* [OF *succI1* - *nat-into-Ord*]

**lemma** *lt-nat-in-nat*:  $\llbracket m < n; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m: \text{nat}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *le-in-nat*:  $[[\ m \text{ le } n; \ n:\text{nat} \ ]] \implies m:\text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 15.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction

**lemmas** *complete-induct* = *Ord-induct* [*OF* - *Ord-nat*, *case-names less*, *consumes 1*]

**lemmas** *complete-induct-rule* =  
*complete-induct* [*rule-format*, *case-names less*, *consumes 1*]

**lemma** *nat-induct-from-lemma* [*rule-format*]:  
 $[[\ n:\text{nat}; \ m:\text{nat};$   
 $\quad !!x. \ [ \ x:\text{nat}; \ m \text{ le } x; \ P(x) \ ] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \ ]]$   
 $\implies m \text{ le } n \dashv\vdash P(m) \dashv\vdash P(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-induct-from*:  
 $[[\ m \text{ le } n; \ m:\text{nat}; \ n:\text{nat};$   
 $\quad P(m);$   
 $\quad !!x. \ [ \ x:\text{nat}; \ m \text{ le } x; \ P(x) \ ] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \ ]]$   
 $\implies P(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-induct* [*case-names 0 0-succ succ-succ*, *consumes 2*]:  
 $[[\ m:\text{nat}; \ n:\text{nat};$   
 $\quad !!x. \ x:\text{nat} \implies P(x,0);$   
 $\quad !!y. \ y:\text{nat} \implies P(0,\text{succ}(y));$   
 $\quad !!x \ y. \ [ \ x:\text{nat}; \ y:\text{nat}; \ P(x,y) \ ] \implies P(\text{succ}(x),\text{succ}(y)) \ ]]$   
 $\implies P(m,n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-lt-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:  
 $m:\text{nat} \implies P(m,\text{succ}(m)) \dashv\vdash (\text{ALL } x:\text{nat}. \ P(m,x) \dashv\vdash P(m,\text{succ}(x)))$   
 $\dashv\vdash$   
 $(\text{ALL } n:\text{nat}. \ m < n \dashv\vdash P(m,n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-lt-induct*:  
 $[[\ m < n; \ n:\text{nat};$   
 $\quad P(m,\text{succ}(m));$   
 $\quad !!x. \ [ \ x:\text{nat}; \ P(m,x) \ ] \implies P(m,\text{succ}(x)) \ ]]$   
 $\implies P(m,n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 15.3 quasinat: to allow a case-split rule for *nat-case*

True if the argument is zero or any successor

**lemma** [iff]:  $quasinat(0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** [iff]:  $quasinat(succ(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-imp-quasinat*:  $n \in nat ==> quasinat(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *non-nat-case*:  $\sim quasinat(x) ==> nat-case(a,b,x) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-cases-disj*:  $k=0 \mid (\exists y. k = succ(y)) \mid \sim quasinat(k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-cases*:  
 $[[k=0 ==> P; !!y. k = succ(y) ==> P; \sim quasinat(k) ==> P]] ==> P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-case-0* [simp]:  $nat-case(a,b,0) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-case-succ* [simp]:  $nat-case(a,b,succ(n)) = b(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-case-type* [TC]:  
 $[[n: nat; a: C(0); !!m. m: nat ==> b(m): C(succ(m))]]$   
 $==> nat-case(a,b,n) : C(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *split-nat-case*:  
 $P(nat-case(a,b,k)) <->$   
 $((k=0 --> P(a)) \ \& \ (\forall x. k=succ(x) --> P(b(x))) \ \& \ (\sim quasinat(k) \longrightarrow$   
 $P(0)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 15.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers

**lemma** *nat-rec-0*:  $nat-rec(0,a,b) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-rec-succ*:  $m: nat ==> nat-rec(succ(m),a,b) = b(m, nat-rec(m,a,b))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-nat-type* [TC]:  $[| i: nat; j: nat |] ==> i \text{ Un } j: nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-nat-type* [TC]:  $[| i: nat; j: nat |] ==> i \text{ Int } j: nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-nonempty* [simp]:  $nat \sim = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A natural number is the set of its predecessors

**lemma** *nat-eq-Collect-lt*:  $i \in nat ==> \{j \in nat. j < i\} = i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Le-iff* [iff]:  $\langle x, y \rangle : Le <-> x \text{ le } y \ \& \ x : nat \ \& \ y : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 16 Inductive and Coinductive Definitions

**theory** *Inductive-ZF*

**imports** *Fixedpt QPair Nat-ZF*

**uses**

(*ind-syntax.ML*)

(*Tools/cartprod.ML*)

(*Tools/ind-cases.ML*)

(*Tools/inductive-package.ML*)

(*Tools/induct-tacs.ML*)

(*Tools/primrec-package.ML*)

**begin**

**lemma** *def-swap-iff*:  $a == b ==> a = c <-> c = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-trans*:  $f == g ==> g(a) = b ==> f(a) = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *refl-thin*:  $!!P. a = a ==> P ==> P \ \langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion

**theory** *Epsilon* **imports** *Nat-ZF* **begin**

**definition**

$eclose :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $eclose(A) == \bigcup_{n \in nat.} nat-rec(n, A, \%m r. Union(r))$

**definition**

$transrec :: [i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $transrec(a, H) == wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{a\})), a, H)$

**definition**

$rank :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $rank(a) == transrec(a, \%x f. \bigcup_{y \in x. succ(f'y))$

**definition**

$transrec2 :: [i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $transrec2(k, a, b) ==$   
 $transrec(k,$   
 $\%i r. if(i=0, a,$   
 $if(EX j. i=succ(j),$   
 $b(THM j. i=succ(j), r'(THM j. i=succ(j))),$   
 $\bigcup_{j < i. r'j)))$

**definition**

$recursor :: [i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $recursor(a, b, k) == transrec(k, \%n f. nat-case(a, \%m. b(m, f'm), n))$

**definition**

$rec :: [i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $rec(k, a, b) == recursor(a, b, k)$

### 17.1 Basic Closure Properties

**lemma** *arg-subset-eclose*:  $A \leq eclose(A)$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *arg-into-eclose* = *arg-subset-eclose* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

**lemma** *Transset-eclose*:  $Transset(eclose(A))$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *eclose-subset* =

*Transset-eclose* [*unfolded Transset-def, THEN bspec, standard*]

**lemmas** *ecloseD* = *eclose-subset* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

**lemmas** *arg-in-eclose-sing* = *arg-subset-eclose* [*THEN singleton-subsetD*]  
**lemmas** *arg-into-eclose-sing* = *arg-in-eclose-sing* [*THEN ecloseD, standard*]

**lemmas** *eclose-induct* =  
*Transset-induct* [*OF - Transset-eclose, induct set: eclose*]

**lemma** *eps-induct*:  

$$[[ \text{!!}x. \text{ALL } y:x. P(y) ==> P(x) ]] ==> P(a)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 17.2 Leastness of *eclose*

**lemma** *eclose-least-lemma*:  

$$[[ \text{Transset}(X); A \leq X; n: \text{nat} ]] ==> \text{nat-rec}(n, A, \%m r. \text{Union}(r)) \leq X$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-least*:  

$$[[ \text{Transset}(X); A \leq X ]] ==> \text{eclose}(A) \leq X$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-induct-down* [*consumes 1*]:  

$$[[ a: \text{eclose}(b);$$

$$\text{!!}y. [[ y: b ]] ==> P(y);$$

$$\text{!!}y z. [[ y: \text{eclose}(b); P(y); z: y ]] ==> P(z)$$

$$]] ==> P(a)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-eclose-eq-arg*:  $\text{Transset}(X) ==> \text{eclose}(X) = X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A transitive set either is empty or contains the empty set.

**lemma** *Transset-0-lemma* [*rule-format*]:  $\text{Transset}(A) ==> x \in A \dashv\dashv 0 \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-0-disj*:  $\text{Transset}(A) ==> A = 0 \mid 0 \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 17.3 Epsilon Recursion

**lemma** *mem-eclose-trans*:  $[[ A: \text{eclose}(B); B: \text{eclose}(C) ]] ==> A: \text{eclose}(C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-eclose-sing-trans*:  

$$[[ A: \text{eclose}(\{B\}); B: \text{eclose}(\{C\}) ]] ==> A: \text{eclose}(\{C\})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *under-Memrel*:  $[| \text{Transset}(i); j:i |] ==> \text{Memrel}(i) - \{j\} = j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Memrel*:  $j < i ==> \text{Memrel}(i) - \{j\} = j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *under-Memrel-eclose* = *Transset-eclose* [THEN *under-Memrel*, *standard*]

**lemmas** *wfrec-ssubst* = *wf-Memrel* [THEN *wfrec*, THEN *ssubst*]

**lemma** *wfrec-eclose-eq*:  
 $[| k:\text{eclose}(\{j\}); j:\text{eclose}(\{i\}) |] ==>$   
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{j\})), k, H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wfrec-eclose-eq2*:  
 $k: i ==> \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{k\})), k, H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec*:  $\text{transrec}(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. \text{transrec}(x, H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *def-transrec*:  
 $[| !!x. f(x) == \text{transrec}(x, H) |] ==> f(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. f(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec-type*:  
 $[| !!x u. [| x:\text{eclose}(\{a\}); u: \text{Pi}(x, B) |] ==> H(x, u) : B(x) |]$   
 $==> \text{transrec}(a, H) : B(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-sing-Ord*:  $\text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{eclose}(\{i\}) \leq \text{succ}(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-subset-eclose-sing*:  $\text{succ}(i) \leq \text{eclose}(\{i\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-sing-Ord-eq*:  $\text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{eclose}(\{i\}) = \text{succ}(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-transrec-type*:  
assumes *jini*:  $j: i$   
and *ordi*:  $\text{Ord}(i)$   
and *minor*:  $!!x u. [| x: i; u: \text{Pi}(x, B) |] ==> H(x, u) : B(x)$   
shows  $\text{transrec}(j, H) : B(j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 17.4 Rank

**lemma** *rank*:  $rank(a) = (\bigcup y \in a. succ(rank(y)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-rank* [*simp*]:  $Ord(rank(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-of-Ord*:  $Ord(i) ==> rank(i) = i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-lt*:  $a < b ==> rank(a) < rank(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-rank-lt*:  $a: eclose(b) ==> rank(a) < rank(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-mono*:  $a \leq b ==> rank(a) \leq rank(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-Pow*:  $rank(Pow(a)) = succ(rank(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-0* [*simp*]:  $rank(0) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-succ* [*simp*]:  $rank(succ(x)) = succ(rank(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-Union*:  $rank(Union(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. rank(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-eclose*:  $rank(eclose(a)) = rank(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-pair1*:  $rank(a) < rank(<a,b>)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-pair2*:  $rank(b) < rank(<a,b>)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *the-equality-if*:  
 $P(a) ==> (THE x. P(x)) = (if (EX!x. P(x)) then a else 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *rank-apply*:  $[[i : \text{domain}(f); \text{function}(f)]] \implies \text{rank}(f^i) < \text{rank}(f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 17.5 Corollaries of Leastness

**lemma** *mem-eclose-subset*:  $A:B \implies \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eclose-idem*:  $\text{eclose}(\text{eclose}(A)) = \text{eclose}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec2-0* [simp]:  $\text{transrec2}(0, a, b) = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec2-succ* [simp]:  $\text{transrec2}(\text{succ}(i), a, b) = b(i, \text{transrec2}(i, a, b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec2-Limit*:  
 $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{transrec2}(i, a, b) = (\bigcup j < i. \text{transrec2}(j, a, b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *def-transrec2*:  
 $(!!x. f(x) == \text{transrec2}(x, a, b))$   
 $\implies f(0) = a \ \&$   
 $f(\text{succ}(i)) = b(i, f(i)) \ \&$   
 $(\text{Limit}(K) \dashrightarrow f(K) = (\bigcup j < K. f(j)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *recursor-lemma* = *recursor-def* [THEN *def-transrec*, THEN *trans*]

**lemma** *recursor-0*:  $\text{recursor}(a, b, 0) = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *recursor-succ*:  $\text{recursor}(a, b, \text{succ}(m)) = b(m, \text{recursor}(a, b, m))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma rec-0 [simp]:  $\text{rec}(0, a, b) = a$ 
<proof>

lemma rec-succ [simp]:  $\text{rec}(\text{succ}(m), a, b) = b(m, \text{rec}(m, a, b))$ 
<proof>

lemma rec-type:
  [|  $n: \text{nat};$ 
     $a: C(0);$ 
     $!!m\ z. [| m: \text{nat};\ z: C(m)] \implies b(m, z): C(\text{succ}(m))$  |]
   $\implies \text{rec}(n, a, b) : C(n)$ 
<proof>

<ML>

end

```

## 18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties

**theory** *Order* **imports** *WF Perm* **begin**

**definition**  
 $\text{part-ord} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $\text{part-ord}(A, r) == \text{irrefl}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{trans}[A](r)$

**definition**  
 $\text{linear} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $\text{linear}(A, r) == (\text{ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \mid x=y \mid \langle y, x \rangle : r)$

**definition**  
 $\text{tot-ord} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $\text{tot-ord}(A, r) == \text{part-ord}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{linear}(A, r)$

**definition**  
 $\text{well-ord} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
 $\text{well-ord}(A, r) == \text{tot-ord}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{wf}[A](r)$

**definition**  
 $\text{mono-map} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s) ==$   
 $\{f: A \rightarrow B. \text{ ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \longrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s\}$

**definition**  
 $\text{ord-iso} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) ==$

$$\{f: \text{bij}(A,B). \text{ ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x,y \rangle : r \leftrightarrow \langle f^e x, f^e y \rangle : s\}$$

**definition**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{pred} & :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i \quad \text{where} \\ \text{pred}(A,x,r) & == \{y:A. \langle y,x \rangle : r\} \end{array}$$

**definition**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{ord-iso-map} & :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i \quad \text{where} \\ \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) & == \\ & \bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B. \bigcup f \in \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,x,r), r, \text{pred}(B,y,s), s). \{\langle x,y \rangle\} \end{array}$$

**definition**

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{first} & :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \quad \text{where} \\ \text{first}(u, X, R) & == u:X \ \& \ (\text{ALL } v:X. v \sim u \rightarrow \langle u,v \rangle : R) \end{array}$$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

$$\text{ord-iso} \ ((\langle -, - \rangle \cong / \langle -, - \rangle) \ 51)$$

## 18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions

**lemma** *part-ord-Imp-asym*:

$$\text{part-ord}(A,r) \Rightarrow \text{asym}(r \text{ Int } A * A)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *linearE*:

$$\begin{array}{l} \ll \text{linear}(A,r); x:A; y:A; \\ \langle x,y \rangle : r \Rightarrow P; x=y \Rightarrow P; \langle y,x \rangle : r \Rightarrow P \ll \\ \Rightarrow P \end{array}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *well-ordI*:

$$\ll \text{wf}[A](r); \text{linear}(A,r) \ll \Rightarrow \text{well-ord}(A,r)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *well-ord-is-wf*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \Rightarrow \text{wf}[A](r)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *well-ord-is-trans-on*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \Rightarrow \text{trans}[A](r)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *well-ord-is-linear*:  $\text{well-ord}(A,r) \Rightarrow \text{linear}(A,r)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *pred-iff*:  $y : \text{pred}(A, x, r) \leftrightarrow \langle y, x \rangle : r \ \& \ y : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *predI* = *conjI* [ *THEN pred-iff* [ *THEN iffD2* ] ]

**lemma** *predE*:  $[ [ y : \text{pred}(A, x, r); [ [ y : A; \langle y, x \rangle : r ] ] \implies P ] ] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-subset-under*:  $\text{pred}(A, x, r) \leq r - \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-subset*:  $\text{pred}(A, x, r) \leq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-pred-eq*:  
 $\text{pred}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), y, r) = \text{pred}(A, x, r) \text{ Int } \text{pred}(A, y, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-pred-pred-eq*:  
 $[ [ \text{trans}[A](r); \langle y, x \rangle : r; x : A; y : A ] ]$   
 $\implies \text{pred}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), y, r) = \text{pred}(A, y, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain

**lemma** *part-ord-subset*:  
 $[ [ \text{part-ord}(A, r); B \leq A ] ] \implies \text{part-ord}(B, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-subset*:  
 $[ [ \text{linear}(A, r); B \leq A ] ] \implies \text{linear}(B, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-subset*:  
 $[ [ \text{tot-ord}(A, r); B \leq A ] ] \implies \text{tot-ord}(B, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-subset*:  
 $[ [ \text{well-ord}(A, r); B \leq A ] ] \implies \text{well-ord}(B, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *irrefl-Int-iff*:  $\text{irrefl}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{irrefl}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-on-Int-iff*:  $\text{trans}[A](r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{trans}[A](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-Int-iff*:  $\text{part-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{part-ord}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-Int-iff*:  $\text{linear}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{linear}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-Int-iff*:  $\text{tot-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{tot-ord}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-on-Int-iff*:  $\text{wf}[A](r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{wf}[A](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-Int-iff*:  $\text{well-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{well-ord}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 18.3 Empty and Unit Domains

**lemma** *wf-on-any-0*:  $\text{wf}[A](0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set

**lemma** *irrefl-0*:  $\text{irrefl}(0, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-on-0*:  $\text{trans}[0](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-0*:  $\text{part-ord}(0, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-0*:  $\text{linear}(0, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-0*:  $\text{tot-ord}(0, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-on-0*:  $\text{wf}[0](r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-0*:  $\text{well-ord}(0, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set

by Grabczewski

**lemma** *tot-ord-unit*:  $\text{tot-ord}(\{a\}, 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-unit*:  $\text{well-ord}(\{a\}, 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 18.4 Order-Isomorphisms

Suppes calls them "similarities"

**lemma** *mono-map-is-fun*:  $f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: A \multimap B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mono-map-is-inj*:  
 $[[ \text{linear}(A, r); \text{wf}[B](s); f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s) ]] \implies f: \text{inj}(A, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-isoI*:  
 $[[ f: \text{bij}(A, B);$   
 $!!x\ y. [[ x:A; y:A ]] \implies \langle x, y \rangle : r \iff \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s ]]$   
 $\implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-is-mono-map*:  
 $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-is-bij*:  
 $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: \text{bij}(A, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-apply*:  
 $[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle : r; x:A; y:A ]] \implies \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-converse*:  
 $[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle : s; x:B; y:B ]]$   
 $\implies \langle \text{converse}(f) 'x, \text{converse}(f) 'y \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-refl*:  $\text{id}(A): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-sym*:  $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{ord-iso}(B, s, A, r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mono-map-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ g: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{mono-map}(B, s, C, t) ] ] \\ & \implies (f \circ g): \text{mono-map}(A, r, C, t) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ g: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, C, t) ] ] \\ & \implies (f \circ g): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, C, t) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mono-ord-isoI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); g: \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r); \\ & f \circ g = \text{id}(B); g \circ f = \text{id}(A) ] ] \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-mono-ord-isoI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s); \\ & f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); \text{converse}(f): \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r) ] ] \\ & \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-ord-iso*:

$$[ [ \text{part-ord}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) ] ] \implies \text{part-ord}(A, r)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-ord-iso*:

$$[ [ \text{linear}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) ] ] \implies \text{linear}(A, r)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-on-ord-iso*:

$$[ [ \text{wf}[B](s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) ] ] \implies \text{wf}[A](r)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-ord-iso*:

$$[ [ \text{well-ord}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) ] ] \implies \text{well-ord}(A, r)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-subset-lemma*:

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, A',r); A' \leq A; y: A ]] \\ \implies \sim \langle f'y, y \rangle: r$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-predE*:  

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(A,x,r), r); x:A ]] \implies P$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-pred-eq*:  

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(A,c,r), r); \\ a:A; c:A ]] \implies a=c$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-image-pred*:  

$$[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); a:A ]] \implies f \text{ `` } \text{pred}(A,a,r) = \text{pred}(B, f'a, s)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-restrict-image*:  

$$[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); C \leq A ]] \\ \implies \text{restrict}(f,C): \text{ord-iso}(C, r, f''C, s)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-restrict-pred*:  

$$[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); a:A ]] \\ \implies \text{restrict}(f, \text{pred}(A,a,r)): \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(B, f'a, s), s)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-preserving*:  

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s); \langle a,c \rangle: r; \\ f: \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(B,b,s), s); \\ g: \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,c,r), r, \text{pred}(B,d,s), s); \\ a:A; c:A; b:B; d:B ]] \implies \langle b,d \rangle: s$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-unique-lemma*:  

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); \\ f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s); g: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s); y: A ]] \\ \implies \sim \langle g'y, f'y \rangle: s$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-iso-unique*:  $[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r);$



$f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \quad g: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \parallel \implies f = g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation

**lemma** *ord-iso-map-subset*:  $\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s) \leq A * B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-ord-iso-map*:  $\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-ord-iso-map*:  $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-ord-iso-map*:  
 $\text{converse}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) = \text{ord-iso-map}(B, s, A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *function-ord-iso-map*:  
 $\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{function}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-map-fun*:  $\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$   
 $\quad : \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \rightarrow \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-map-mono-map*:  
 $\parallel \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s) \parallel$   
 $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$   
 $\quad : \text{mono-map}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), r,$   
 $\quad \quad \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-map-ord-iso*:  
 $\parallel \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s) \parallel \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$   
 $\quad : \text{ord-iso}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), r,$   
 $\quad \quad \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-ord-iso-map-subset*:  
 $\parallel \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s);$   
 $\quad a: A; \quad a \sim: \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \parallel$   
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq \text{pred}(A, a, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *domain-ord-iso-map-cases*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) |]$   
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = A \mid$   
 $(\text{EX } x:A. \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(A,x,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-ord-iso-map-cases*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) |]$   
 $\implies \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = B \mid$   
 $(\text{EX } y:B. \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(B,y,s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Fundamental Theorem for Well-Ordered Sets

**theorem** *well-ord-trichotomy*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) |]$   
 $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \mid$   
 $(\text{EX } x:A. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,x,r), r, B, s)) \mid$   
 $(\text{EX } y:B. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(B,y,s), s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski

**lemma** *irrefl-converse*:  $\text{irrefl}(A,r) \implies \text{irrefl}(A,\text{converse}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-on-converse*:  $\text{trans}[A](r) \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{converse}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-converse*:  $\text{part-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{part-ord}(A,\text{converse}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-converse*:  $\text{linear}(A,r) \implies \text{linear}(A,\text{converse}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-converse*:  $\text{tot-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{tot-ord}(A,\text{converse}(r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *first-is-elem*:  $\text{first}(b,B,r) \implies b:B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-imp-ex1-first*:  
 $[| \text{well-ord}(A,r); B \leq A; B \sim 0 |] \implies (\text{EX! } b. \text{first}(b,B,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *the-first-in*:

$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A,r); B \leq A; B \sim 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{THE } b. \text{first}(b,B,r)) : B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

## 19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic

**theory** *OrderArith* **imports** *Order Sum Ordinal* **begin**

**definition**

$\text{radd} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{radd}(A,r,B,s) ==$   
 $\{z: (A+B) * (A+B).$   
 $(EX\ x\ y. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle) \mid$   
 $(EX\ x'\ x. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x'), \text{Inl}(x) \rangle \ \& \ \langle x', x \rangle : r) \mid$   
 $(EX\ y'\ y. z = \langle \text{Inr}(y'), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle \ \& \ \langle y', y \rangle : s)\}$

**definition**

$\text{rmult} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{rmult}(A,r,B,s) ==$   
 $\{z: (A*B) * (A*B).$   
 $EX\ x'\ y'\ x\ y. z = \langle \langle x', y' \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle \ \&$   
 $(\langle x', x \rangle : r \mid (x' = x \ \& \ \langle y', y \rangle : s))\}$

**definition**

$\text{rvimage} :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{rvimage}(A,f,r) == \{z: A*A. EX\ x\ y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : r\}$

**definition**

$\text{measure} :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{measure}(A,f) == \{\langle x, y \rangle : A*A. f(x) < f(y)\}$

### 19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum

#### 19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

**lemma** *radd-Inl-Inr-iff* [iff]:

$\langle \text{Inl}(a), \text{Inr}(b) \rangle : \text{radd}(A,r,B,s) \iff a:A \ \& \ b:B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *radd-Inl-iff* [iff]:

$\langle \text{Inl}(a'), \text{Inl}(a) \rangle : \text{radd}(A,r,B,s) \iff a':A \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ \langle a', a \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *radd-Inr-iff* [*iff*]:  
 $\langle \text{Inr}(b'), \text{Inr}(b) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leftrightarrow b':B \ \& \ b:B \ \& \ \langle b', b \rangle : s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [*simp*]:  
 $\langle \text{Inr}(b), \text{Inl}(a) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [*THEN iffD1, dest!*]

### 19.1.2 Elimination Rule

**lemma** *raddE*:  

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \langle p', p \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s); \\ & \quad !!x \ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x); x:A; p = \text{Inr}(y); y:B \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad !!x' \ x. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x'); p = \text{Inl}(x); \langle x', x \rangle : r; x':A; x:A \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad !!y' \ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inr}(y'); p = \text{Inr}(y); \langle y', y \rangle : s; y':B; y:B \rrbracket \implies Q \\ & \rrbracket \implies Q \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.1.3 Type checking

**lemma** *radd-type*:  $\text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leq (A+B) * (A+B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *field-radd* = *radd-type* [*THEN field-rel-subset*]

### 19.1.4 Linearity

**lemma** *linear-radd*:  
 $\llbracket \text{linear}(A, r); \text{linear}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.1.5 Well-foundedness

**lemma** *wf-on-radd*:  $\llbracket \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A+B](\text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-radd*:  $\llbracket \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}(\text{radd}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-radd*:  
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.1.6 An ord-iso congruence law

**lemma** *sum-bij*:  

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket f: \text{bij}(A, C); g: \text{bij}(B, D) \rrbracket \\ & \implies (\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z)) : \text{bij}(A+B, C+D) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-ord-iso-cong*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A', r'); \quad g: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, B', s') ] \implies \\ & \quad (\text{lam } z: A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z)) \\ & \quad : \text{ord-iso}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s), A'+B', \text{radd}(A', r', B', s')) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-disjoint-bij*:  $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies$

$$(\text{lam } z: A+B. \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(A+B, A \text{ Un } B)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.1.7 Associativity

**lemma** *sum-assoc-bij*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{lam } z: (A+B)+C. \text{case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z)) \\ & : \text{bij}((A+B)+C, A+(B+C)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-assoc-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{lam } z: (A+B)+C. \text{case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z)) \\ & : \text{ord-iso}((A+B)+C, \text{radd}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s), C, t), \\ & \quad A+(B+C), \text{radd}(A, r, B+C, \text{radd}(B, s, C, t))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19.2 Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product

### 19.2.1 Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

**lemma** *rmult-iff* [iff]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \langle a', b' \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle : \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s) \iff \\ & \quad (\langle a', a \rangle : r \ \& \ a': A \ \& \ a: A \ \& \ b': B \ \& \ b: B) \mid \\ & \quad (\langle b', b \rangle : s \ \& \ a'=a \ \& \ a: A \ \& \ b': B \ \& \ b: B) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rmultE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ \langle \langle a', b' \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle : \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s); \\ & \quad [ \langle a', a \rangle : r; \ a': A; \ a: A; \ b': B; \ b: B ] \implies Q; \\ & \quad [ \langle b', b \rangle : s; \ a: A; \ a'=a; \ b': B; \ b: B ] \implies Q ] \implies Q \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.2.2 Type checking

**lemma** *rmult-type*:  $\text{rmult}(A, r, B, s) \leq (A*B) * (A*B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *field-rmult* = *rmult-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

### 19.2.3 Linearity

**lemma** *linear-rmult*:

$[[ \text{linear}(A,r); \text{linear}(B,s) ]] \implies \text{linear}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.2.4 Well-foundedness

**lemma** *wf-on-rmult*:  $[[ \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) ]] \implies \text{wf}[A*B](\text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-rmult*:  $[[ \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) ]] \implies \text{wf}(\text{rmult}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-rmult*:

$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) ]] \implies \text{well-ord}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.2.5 An ord-iso congruence law

**lemma** *prod-bij*:

$[[ f: \text{bij}(A,C); g: \text{bij}(B,D) ]] \implies (\text{lam } \langle x,y \rangle : A*B. \langle f'x, g'y \rangle) : \text{bij}(A*B, C*D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-ord-iso-cong*:

$[[ f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,A',r'); g: \text{ord-iso}(B,s,B',s') ]] \implies (\text{lam } \langle x,y \rangle : A*B. \langle f'x, g'y \rangle) : \text{ord-iso}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), A'*B', \text{rmult}(A',r',B',s'))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-prod-bij*:  $(\text{lam } z:A. \langle x,z \rangle) : \text{bij}(A, \{x\}*A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-prod-ord-iso*:

$\text{well-ord}(\{x\}, xr) \implies (\text{lam } z:A. \langle x,z \rangle) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \{x\}*A, \text{rmult}(\{x\}, xr, A, r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-sum-singleton-bij*:

$a \sim : C \implies (\text{lam } x: C*B + D. \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. \langle a,y \rangle, x)) : \text{bij}(C*B + D, C*B \text{ Un } \{a\}*D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-sum-singleton-ord-iso*:

$[[ a:A; \text{well-ord}(A,r) ]] \implies$

$(\text{lam } x:\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s). \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y.<a,y>, x))$   
 $: \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s),$   
 $\quad \text{radd}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), B, s),$   
 $\quad \text{pred}(A,a,r)*B \text{ Un } \{a\}*\text{pred}(B,b,s), \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.2.6 Distributive law

**lemma** *sum-prod-distrib-bij*:

$(\text{lam } <x,z>:(A+B)*C. \text{case}(\%y. \text{Inl}(<y,z>), \%y. \text{Inr}(<y,z>), x))$   
 $: \text{bij}((A+B)*C, (A*C)+(B*C))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-prod-distrib-ord-iso*:

$(\text{lam } <x,z>:(A+B)*C. \text{case}(\%y. \text{Inl}(<y,z>), \%y. \text{Inr}(<y,z>), x))$   
 $: \text{ord-iso}((A+B)*C, \text{rmult}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s), C, t),$   
 $\quad (A*C)+(B*C), \text{radd}(A*C, \text{rmult}(A,r,C,t), B*C, \text{rmult}(B,s,C,t)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.2.7 Associativity

**lemma** *prod-assoc-bij*:

$(\text{lam } <<x,y>, z>:(A*B)*C. <x,<y,z>>) : \text{bij}((A*B)*C, A*(B*C))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-assoc-ord-iso*:

$(\text{lam } <<x,y>, z>:(A*B)*C. <x,<y,z>>)$   
 $: \text{ord-iso}((A*B)*C, \text{rmult}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), C, t),$   
 $\quad A*(B*C), \text{rmult}(A, r, B*C, \text{rmult}(B,s,C,t)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19.3 Inverse Image of a Relation

### 19.3.1 Rewrite rule

**lemma** *rvimage-iff*:  $<a,b> : \text{rvimage}(A,f,r) \iff <f'a,f'b>: r \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b:A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.3.2 Type checking

**lemma** *rvimage-type*:  $\text{rvimage}(A,f,r) \leq A*A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *field-rvimage* = *rvimage-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

**lemma** *rvimage-converse*:  $\text{rvimage}(A,f, \text{converse}(r)) = \text{converse}(\text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.3.3 Partial Ordering Properties

**lemma** *irrefl-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{irrefl}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{irrefl}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-on-rimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{trans}[B](r) \rrbracket \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-rimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{part-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{part-ord}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.3.4 Linearity

**lemma** *linear-rimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{linear}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-rimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{tot-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{tot-ord}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 19.3.5 Well-foundedness

**lemma** *wf-rimage* [intro!]:  $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}(\text{rimage}(A,f,r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

But note that the combination of *wf-imp-wf-on* and *wf-rimage* gives  $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}[C](\text{rimage}(A, f, r))$

**lemma** *wf-on-rimage*:  $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \text{wf}[B](r) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A](\text{rimage}(A,f,r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-rimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{well-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-rimage*:

$f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, \text{rimage}(A,f,s), B, s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iso-rimage-eq*:

$f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s) \implies \text{rimage}(A,f,s) = r \text{ Int } A * A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19.4 Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal

**lemma** *wf-rimage-Ord*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{wf}(\text{rimage}(A, f, \text{Memrel}(i)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**definition**

$wfrank :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $wfrank(r,a) == wfrec(r, a, \%x f. \bigcup y \in r - \{x\}. succ(f'y))$

**definition**

$wftype :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $wftype(r) == \bigcup y \in range(r). succ(wfrank(r,y))$

**lemma**  $wfrank$ :  $wf(r) \Rightarrow wfrank(r,a) = (\bigcup y \in r - \{a\}. succ(wfrank(r,y)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Ord$ - $wfrank$ :  $wf(r) \Rightarrow Ord(wfrank(r,a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wfrank$ - $lt$ :  $[|wf(r); <a,b> \in r|] \Rightarrow wfrank(r,a) < wfrank(r,b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Ord$ - $wftype$ :  $wf(r) \Rightarrow Ord(wftype(r))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wftypeI$ :  $[|wf(r); x \in field(r)|] \Rightarrow wfrank(r,x) \in wftype(r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf$ - $imp$ - $subset$ - $rvimage$ :

$[|wf(r); r \subseteq A*A|] \Rightarrow \exists i f. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $wf$ - $iff$ - $subset$ - $rvimage$ :

$relation(r) \Rightarrow wf(r) \Leftrightarrow (\exists i f A. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 19.5 Other Results

**lemma**  $wf$ - $times$ :  $A \ Int \ B = 0 \Rightarrow wf(A*B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Could also be used to prove  $wf$ - $radd$

**lemma**  $wf$ - $Un$ :

$[|range(r) \ Int \ domain(s) = 0; wf(r); wf(s)|] \Rightarrow wf(r \ Un \ s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 19.5.1 The Empty Relation

**lemma**  $wf0$ :  $wf(0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *linear0*: *linear*(0,0)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *well-ord0*: *well-ord*(0,0)  
 <proof>

### 19.5.2 The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec

**lemma** *measure-eq-rvimage-Memrel*:  
 $\text{measure}(A, f) = \text{rvimage}(A, \text{Lambda}(A, f), \text{Memrel}(\text{Collect}(\text{RepFun}(A, f), \text{Ord})))$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *wf-measure* [iff]: *wf*(*measure*(*A*, *f*))  
 <proof>

**lemma** *measure-iff* [iff]:  $\langle x, y \rangle : \text{measure}(A, f) \prec \rightarrow x:A \ \& \ y:A \ \& \ f(x) < f(y)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *linear-measure*:  
**assumes** *Ord**f*:  $\forall x. x \in A \implies \text{Ord}(f(x))$   
**and** *inj*:  $\forall x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] \implies x = y$   
**shows** *linear*(*A*, *measure*(*A*, *f*))  
 <proof>

**lemma** *wf-on-measure*: *wf*[*B*](*measure*(*A*, *f*))  
 <proof>

**lemma** *well-ord-measure*:  
**assumes** *Ord**f*:  $\forall x. x \in A \implies \text{Ord}(f(x))$   
**and** *inj*:  $\forall x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] \implies x = y$   
**shows** *well-ord*(*A*, *measure*(*A*, *f*))  
 <proof>

**lemma** *measure-type*: *measure*(*A*, *f*)  $\leq A * A$   
 <proof>

### 19.5.3 Well-foundedness of Unions

**lemma** *wf-on-Union*:  
**assumes** *wfA*: *wf*[*A*](*r*)  
**and** *wfB*:  $\forall a. a \in A \implies \text{wf}[B(a)](s)$   
**and** *ok*:  $\forall a \ u \ v. [ \langle u, v \rangle \in s; v \in B(a); a \in A ] \implies (\exists a' \in A. \langle a', a \rangle \in r \ \& \ u \in B(a')) \mid u \in B(a)$   
**shows** *wf*[ $\bigcup a \in A. B(a)$ ](*s*)  
 <proof>

### 19.5.4 Bijections involving Powersets

**lemma** *Pow-sum-bij*:  
 $(\lambda Z \in \text{Pow}(A+B). \langle \{x \in A. \text{Inl}(x) \in Z\}, \{y \in B. \text{Inr}(y) \in Z\} \rangle)$

$\in \text{bij}(\text{Pow}(A+B), \text{Pow}(A)*\text{Pow}(B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

As a special case, we have  $\text{bij}(\text{Pow}(A \times B), A \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B))$

**lemma** *Pow-Sigma-bij*:

$(\lambda r \in \text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)). \lambda x \in A. r \text{ “ } \{x\})$   
 $\in \text{bij}(\text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)), \Pi x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 20 Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic

**theory** *OrderType* **imports** *OrderArith OrdQuant Nat-ZF* **begin**

The order type of a well-ordering is the least ordinal isomorphic to it. Ordinal arithmetic is traditionally defined in terms of order types, as it is here. But a definition by transfinite recursion would be much simpler!

**definition**

*ordermap*  $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
*ordermap*( $A,r$ )  $== \text{lam } x:A. \text{wfrec}[A](r, x, \%x f. f \text{ “ } \text{pred}(A,x,r))$

**definition**

*ordertype*  $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
*ordertype*( $A,r$ )  $== \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ “ } A$

**definition**

*Ord-alt*  $:: i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
*Ord-alt*( $X$ )  $== \text{well-ord}(X, \text{Memrel}(X)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } u:X. u = \text{pred}(X, u, \text{Memrel}(X)))$

**definition**

*ordify*  $:: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
*ordify*( $x$ )  $== \text{if } \text{Ord}(x) \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0$

**definition**

*omult*  $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixl** \*\* 70) **where**  
 $i ** j == \text{ordertype}(j*i, \text{rmult}(j, \text{Memrel}(j), i, \text{Memrel}(i)))$

**definition**

*raw-oadd*  $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $\text{raw-oadd}(i,j) == \text{ordertype}(i+j, \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)))$

**definition**

*oadd* ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixl** ++ 65) **where**  
 $i ++ j == \text{raw-oadd}(\text{ordify}(i), \text{ordify}(j))$

**definition**

*odiff* ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixl** -- 65) **where**  
 $i -- j == \text{ordertype}(i-j, \text{Memrel}(i))$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

*omult* (**infixl**  $\times \times$  70)

**notation** (*HTML output*)

*omult* (**infixl**  $\times \times$  70)

## 20.1 Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy

**lemma** *le-well-ord-Memrel*:  $j \text{ le } i \Rightarrow \text{well-ord}(j, \text{Memrel}(i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *well-ord-Memrel* = *le-reft* [THEN *le-well-ord-Memrel*]

**lemma** *lt-pred-Memrel*:

$j < i \Rightarrow \text{pred}(i, j, \text{Memrel}(i)) = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-Memrel*:

$x:A \Rightarrow \text{pred}(A, x, \text{Memrel}(A)) = A \text{ Int } x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-iso-implies-eq-lemma*:

$[[j < i; f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j))]] \Rightarrow R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-iso-implies-eq*:

$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j))]] \Rightarrow i=j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 20.2 Ordermap and ordertype

**lemma** *ordermap-type*:

$\text{ordermap}(A, r) : A \rightarrow \text{ordertype}(A, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.2.1 Unfolding of ordermap

**lemma** *ordermap-eq-image*:

$$[[ \text{wf}[A](r); x:A ]] \implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x = \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' ' } \text{pred}(A,x,r)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordermap-pred-unfold*:

$$[[ \text{wf}[A](r); x:A ]] \implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x = \{ \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } y \mid y : \text{pred}(A,x,r) \}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ordermap-unfold* = *ordermap-pred-unfold* [*simplified pred-def*]

### 20.2.2 Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals

**lemma** *Ord-ordermap*:

$$[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); x:A ]] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-ordertype*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordertype}(A,r))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.2.3 ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions

**lemma** *ordermap-mono*:

$$[[ <w,x>: r; \text{wf}[A](r); w: A; x: A ]] \implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } w : \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *converse-ordermap-mono*:

$$[[ \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } w : \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x; \text{well-ord}(A,r); w: A; x: A ]] \implies <w,x>: r$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ordermap-surj* =

*ordermap-type* [*THEN surj-image, unfolded ordertype-def* [*symmetric*]]

**lemma** *ordermap-bij*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) : \text{bij}(A, \text{ordertype}(A,r))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.2.4 Isomorphisms involving ordertype

**lemma** *ordertype-ord-iso*:

$\text{well-ord}(A,r)$

$\Rightarrow \text{ordermap}(A,r) : \text{ord-iso}(A,r, \text{ordertype}(A,r), \text{Memrel}(\text{ordertype}(A,r)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-eq*:  
 $[[ f : \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); \text{well-ord}(B,s) ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{ordertype}(A,r) = \text{ordertype}(B,s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-eq-imp-ord-iso*:  
 $[[ \text{ordertype}(A,r) = \text{ordertype}(B,s); \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{EX } f. f : \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.2.5 Basic equalities for ordertype

**lemma** *le-ordertype-Memrel*:  $j \text{ le } i \Rightarrow \text{ordertype}(j, \text{Memrel}(i)) = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *ordertype-Memrel* = *le-refl* [THEN *le-ordertype-Memrel*]

**lemma** *ordertype-0* [simp]:  $\text{ordertype}(0,r) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *bij-ordertype-vimage* = *ord-iso-rvimage* [THEN *ordertype-eq*]

### 20.2.6 A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype.

**lemma** *ordermap-pred-eq-ordermap*:  
 $[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); y:A; z: \text{pred}(A,y,r) ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{ordermap}(\text{pred}(A,y,r), r) \text{ ` } z = \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ` } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-unfold*:  
 $\text{ordertype}(A,r) = \{ \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ` } y . y : A \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski; proofs simplified by lcp

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-subset*:  $[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); x:A ]]$   $\Rightarrow$   
 $\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A,x,r), r) \leq \text{ordertype}(A,r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-lt*:  
 $[[ \text{well-ord}(A,r); x:A ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A,x,r), r) < \text{ordertype}(A,r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-unfold*:

$well\_ord(A, r)$   
 $==> ordertype(A, r) = \{ordertype(pred(A, x, r), r). x:A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 20.3 Alternative definition of ordinal

**lemma** *Ord-is-Ord-alt*:  $Ord(i) ==> Ord\_alt(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-alt-is-Ord*:  
 $Ord\_alt(i) ==> Ord(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 20.4 Ordinal Addition

### 20.4.1 Order Type calculations for radd

Addition with 0

**lemma** *bij-sum-0*:  $(\text{lam } z:A+0. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(A+0, A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-sum-0-eq*:  
 $well\_ord(A, r) ==> ordertype(A+0, \text{radd}(A, r, 0, s)) = ordertype(A, r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-0-sum*:  $(\text{lam } z:0+A. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(0+A, A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-0-sum-eq*:  
 $well\_ord(A, r) ==> ordertype(0+A, \text{radd}(0, s, A, r)) = ordertype(A, r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Initial segments of radd. Statements by Grabczewski

**lemma** *pred-Inl-bij*:  
 $a:A ==> (\text{lam } x:\text{pred}(A, a, r). \text{Inl}(x))$   
 $: \text{bij}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-Inl-eq*:  
 $[[ a:A; well\_ord(A, r) ]]$   
 $==> ordertype(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)) =$   
 $ordertype(\text{pred}(A, a, r), r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-Inr-bij*:  
 $b:B ==>$   
 $\text{id}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s))$   
 $: \text{bij}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-Inr-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| b:B; \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) |] \\ & \implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A,r,B,s)), \text{radd}(A,r,B,s)) = \\ & \quad \text{ordertype}(A+\text{pred}(B,b,s), \text{radd}(A,r,\text{pred}(B,b,s),s)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 20.4.2 ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal

**lemma** *Ord-ordify* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $\text{Ord}(\text{ordify}(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordify-idem* [*simp*]:  $\text{ordify}(\text{ordify}(x)) = \text{ordify}(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 20.4.3 Basic laws for ordinal addition

**lemma** *Ord-raw-oadd*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{raw-oadd}(i,j))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-oadd* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $\text{Ord}(i++j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Ordinal addition with zero

**lemma** *raw-oadd-0*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{raw-oadd}(i,0) = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i++0 = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-oadd-0-left*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{raw-oadd}(0,i) = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-0-left* [*simp*]:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies 0++i = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-eq-if-raw-oadd*:

$$\begin{aligned} i++j &= (\text{if } \text{Ord}(i) \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{Ord}(j) \text{ then } \text{raw-oadd}(i,j) \text{ else } i) \\ & \quad \text{else } (\text{if } \text{Ord}(j) \text{ then } j \text{ else } 0)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-oadd-eq-oadd*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies \text{raw-oadd}(i,j) = i++j$

$\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *lt-oadd1*:  $k < i \implies k < i++j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-le-self*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \text{ le } i++j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Various other results

**lemma** *id-ord-iso-Memrel*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{id}(A) : \text{ord-iso}(A, \text{Memrel}(A), A, \text{Memrel}(B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-ord-iso-Memrel*:  
 $\llbracket f : \text{ord-iso}(A, \text{Memrel}(B), C, r); A \leq B \rrbracket \implies f : \text{ord-iso}(A, \text{Memrel}(A), C, r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-ord-iso*:  
 $\llbracket f \in \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), \text{Order.pred}(A, a, r), r); a \in A; j < i; \text{trans}[A](r) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{restrict}(f, j) \in \text{ord-iso}(j, \text{Memrel}(j), \text{Order.pred}(A, f'j, r), r)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-ord-iso2*:  
 $\llbracket f \in \text{ord-iso}(\text{Order.pred}(A, a, r), r, i, \text{Memrel}(i)); a \in A; j < i; \text{trans}[A](r) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{converse}(\text{restrict}(\text{converse}(f), j))$   
 $\in \text{ord-iso}(\text{Order.pred}(A, \text{converse}(f)'j, r), r, j, \text{Memrel}(j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-sum-Memrel*:  
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); k < j \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(A+k, \text{radd}(A, r, k, \text{Memrel}(j))) =$   
 $\text{ordertype}(A+k, \text{radd}(A, r, k, \text{Memrel}(k)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-lt-mono2*:  $k < j \implies i++k < i++j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-lt-cancel2*:  $\llbracket i++j < i++k; \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies j < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-lt-iff2*:  $\text{Ord}(j) \implies i++j < i++k \iff j < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-inject*:  $\llbracket i++j = i++k; \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) \rrbracket \implies j = k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-oadd-disj*:  $k < i++j \implies k < i \mid (\exists l. j. k = i++l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 20.4.4 Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity!

**lemma** *oadd-assoc*:  $(i++j)++k = i++(j++k)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-unfold*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies i++j = i \text{ Un } (\bigcup_{k \in j. \{i++k\}})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-1*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i++1 = \text{succ}(i)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-succ* [*simp*]:  $\text{Ord}(j) \implies i++\text{succ}(j) = \text{succ}(i++j)$

*<proof>*

Ordinal addition with limit ordinals

**lemma** *oadd-UN*:

$[| !!x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(j(x)); a:A |]$   
 $\implies i ++ (\bigcup_{x \in A. j(x)} = (\bigcup_{x \in A. i++j(x)})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-Limit*:  $\text{Limit}(j) \implies i++j = (\bigcup_{k \in j. i++k})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-eq-0-iff*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies (i ++ j) = 0 \iff i=0 \ \& \ j=0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-eq-lt-iff*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies 0 < (i ++ j) \iff 0 < i \mid 0 < j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-LimitI*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Limit}(j) |] \implies \text{Limit}(i ++ j)$

*<proof>*

Order/monotonicity properties of ordinal addition

**lemma** *oadd-le-self2*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \text{ le } j++i$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-le-mono1*:  $k \text{ le } j \implies k++i \text{ le } j++i$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-lt-mono*:  $[| i' \text{ le } i; j' < j |] \implies i'++j' < i++j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-le-mono*:  $[| i' \text{ le } i; j' \text{ le } j |] \implies i'++j' \text{ le } i++j$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-le-iff2*:  $[| \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) |] \implies i++j \text{ le } i++k \iff j \text{ le } k$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *oadd-lt-self*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); 0 < j |] \implies i < i++j$

*<proof>*

Every ordinal is exceeded by some limit ordinal.

**lemma** *Ord-imp-greater-Limit*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ \text{Limit}(k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord2-imp-greater-Limit*:  $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ j < k \ \& \ \text{Limit}(k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 20.5 Ordinal Subtraction

The difference is  $\text{ordertype}(j - i, \text{Memrel}(j))$ . It's probably simpler to define the difference recursively!

**lemma** *bij-sum-Diff*:  
 $A \leq B \implies (\text{lam } y:B. \text{ if } (y:A, \text{Inl}(y), \text{Inr}(y))) : \text{bij}(B, A + (B - A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-sum-Diff*:  
 $i \leq j \implies$   
 $\text{ordertype}(i + (j - i), \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(j), j - i, \text{Memrel}(j))) =$   
 $\text{ordertype}(j, \text{Memrel}(j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-odiff* [*simp*, *TC*]:  
 $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \text{Ord}(i - j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-oadd-ordertype-Diff*:  
 $i \leq j$   
 $\implies \text{raw-oadd}(i, j - i) = \text{ordertype}(i + (j - i), \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(j), j - i, \text{Memrel}(j)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *oadd-odiff-inverse*:  $i \leq j \implies i ++ (j - i) = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *odiff-oadd-inverse*:  $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies (i ++ j) - i = j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *odiff-lt-mono2*:  $[i < j; k \leq i] \implies i - k < j - k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 20.6 Ordinal Multiplication

**lemma** *Ord-omult* [*simp*, *TC*]:  
 $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies \text{Ord}(i * j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.6.1 A useful unfolding law

**lemma** *pred-Pair-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ a:A; \ b:B \ ]] ==> \text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) = \\ & \qquad \text{pred}(A,a,r)*B \text{ Un } (\{a\} * \text{pred}(B,b,s)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-Pair-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ a:A; \ b:B; \ \text{well-ord}(A,r); \ \text{well-ord}(B,s) \ ]] ==> \\ & \quad \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)), \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) = \\ & \quad \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s), \\ & \qquad \text{radd}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), B, s)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *ordertype-pred-Pair-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ i'<i; \ j'<j \ ]] \\ & ==> \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(i*j, <i',j'>, \text{rmult}(i,\text{Memrel}(i),j,\text{Memrel}(j))), \\ & \qquad \text{rmult}(i,\text{Memrel}(i),j,\text{Memrel}(j))) = \\ & \qquad \text{raw-oadd } (j**i', j') \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *lt-omult*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ \text{Ord}(i); \ \text{Ord}(j); \ k<j**i \ ]] \\ & ==> \text{EX } j' \ i'. \ k = j**i' ++ j' \ \& \ j'<j \ \& \ i'<i \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *omult-oadd-lt*:

$$[[ j'<j; \ i'<i \ ]] ==> j**i' ++ j' < j**i$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-unfold*:

$$[[ \text{Ord}(i); \ \text{Ord}(j) \ ]] ==> j**i = (\bigcup j' \in j. \bigcup i' \in i. \{j**i' ++ j'\})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.6.2 Basic laws for ordinal multiplication

Ordinal multiplication by zero

**lemma** *omult-0 [simp]*:  $i**0 = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-0-left [simp]*:  $0**i = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication by 1

**lemma** *omult-1 [simp]*:  $\text{Ord}(i) ==> i**1 = i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-1-left [simp]*:  $\text{Ord}(i) ==> 1**i = i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Distributive law for ordinal multiplication and addition

**lemma** *oadd-omult-distrib*:

$[[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) ]] \implies i**(j++k) = (i**j)++(i**k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-succ*:  $[[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) ]] \implies i**\text{succ}(j) = (i**j)++i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Associative law

**lemma** *omult-assoc*:

$[[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) ]] \implies (i**j)**k = i**(j**k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication with limit ordinals

**lemma** *omult-UN*:

$[[ \text{Ord}(i); !!x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(j(x)) ]]$   
 $\implies i**(\bigcup_{x \in A} j(x)) = (\bigcup_{x \in A} i**j(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-Limit*:  $[[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Limit}(j) ]] \implies i**j = (\bigcup_{k \in j} i**k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 20.6.3 Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication

**lemma** *lt-omult1*:  $[[ k < i; 0 < j ]] \implies k < i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-le-self*:  $[[ \text{Ord}(i); 0 < j ]] \implies i \text{ le } i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-le-mono1*:  $[[ k \text{ le } j; \text{Ord}(i) ]] \implies k**i \text{ le } j**i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-lt-mono2*:  $[[ k < j; 0 < i ]] \implies i**k < i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-le-mono2*:  $[[ k \text{ le } j; \text{Ord}(i) ]] \implies i**k \text{ le } i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-le-mono*:  $[[ i' \text{ le } i; j' \text{ le } j ]] \implies i'**j' \text{ le } i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-lt-mono*:  $[[ i' \text{ le } i; j' < j; 0 < i ]] \implies i'**j' < i**j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omult-le-self2*:  $[[ \text{Ord}(i); 0 < j ]] \implies i \text{ le } j**i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Further properties of ordinal multiplication

**lemma** *omult-inject*:  $[[\ i**j = i**k;\ 0 < i;\ \text{Ord}(j);\ \text{Ord}(k)\ ]] \implies j=k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 20.7 The Relation $Lt$

**lemma** *wf-Lt*:  $wf(Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *irrefl-Lt*:  $irrefl(A, Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *trans-Lt*:  $trans[A](Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *part-ord-Lt*:  $part\text{-}ord(A, Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-Lt*:  $linear(nat, Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tot-ord-Lt*:  $tot\text{-}ord(nat, Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-Lt*:  $well\text{-}ord(nat, Lt)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 21 Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space

**theory** *Finite* **imports** *Inductive-ZF Epsilon Nat-ZF* **begin**

**rep-datatype**  
**elimination**  $natE$   
**induction**  $nat\text{-}induct$   
**case-eqns**  $nat\text{-}case\text{-}0\ nat\text{-}case\text{-}succ$   
**recursor-eqns**  $recursor\text{-}0\ recursor\text{-}succ$

**consts**  
 $Fin \quad ::\ i => i$   
 $FiniteFun \quad ::\ [i, i] => i \quad ((- \ - ||> / -) [61, 60] 60)$

**inductive**

```

domains    $Fin(A) \leq Pow(A)$ 
intros
   $emptyI: 0 : Fin(A)$ 
   $consI: [| a: A; b: Fin(A) |] ==> cons(a,b) : Fin(A)$ 
type-intros  $empty-subsetI$   $cons-subsetI$   $PowI$ 
type-elims  $PowD$  [THEN revcut-rl]

inductive
domains    $FiniteFun(A,B) \leq Fin(A*B)$ 
intros
   $emptyI: 0 : A -||> B$ 
   $consI: [| a: A; b: B; h: A -||> B; a \sim: domain(h) |]$ 
     $==> cons(<a,b>,h) : A -||> B$ 
type-intros  $Fin.intros$ 

```

## 21.1 Finite Powerset Operator

**lemma** *Fin-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> Fin(A) \leq Fin(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $FinD = Fin.dom-subset$  [*THEN subsetD, THEN PowD, standard*]

**lemma** *Fin-induct* [*case-names 0 cons, induct set: Fin*]:  
 $[| b: Fin(A);$   
 $P(0);$   
 $!!x y. [| x: A; y: Fin(A); x \sim: y; P(y) |] ==> P(cons(x,y))$   
 $|] ==> P(b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare**  $Fin.intros$  [*simp*]

**lemma** *Fin-0*:  $Fin(0) = \{0\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-UnI* [*simp*]:  $[| b: Fin(A); c: Fin(A) |] ==> b \text{ Un } c : Fin(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-UnionI*:  $C : Fin(Fin(A)) ==> Union(C) : Fin(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-subset-lemma* [rule-format]:  $b: \text{Fin}(A) \implies \forall z. z \leq b \implies z: \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-subset*:  $[\mid c \leq b; \quad b: \text{Fin}(A) \mid] \implies c: \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-IntI1* [intro,simp]:  $b: \text{Fin}(A) \implies b \text{ Int } c : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-IntI2* [intro,simp]:  $c: \text{Fin}(A) \implies b \text{ Int } c : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-0-induct-lemma* [rule-format]:  
 $[\mid c: \text{Fin}(A); \quad b: \text{Fin}(A); \quad P(b);$   
 $\quad !!x \ y. [\mid x: A; \quad y: \text{Fin}(A); \quad x:y; \quad P(y) \mid] \implies P(y-\{x\})$   
 $\mid] \implies c \leq b \implies P(b-c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-0-induct*:  
 $[\mid b: \text{Fin}(A);$   
 $\quad P(b);$   
 $\quad !!x \ y. [\mid x: A; \quad y: \text{Fin}(A); \quad x:y; \quad P(y) \mid] \implies P(y-\{x\})$   
 $\mid] \implies P(0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-fun-subset-Fin*:  $n: \text{nat} \implies n \rightarrow A \leq \text{Fin}(\text{nat} * A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 21.2 Finite Function Space

**lemma** *FiniteFun-mono*:  
 $[\mid A \leq C; \quad B \leq D \mid] \implies A -||> B \leq C -||> D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-mono1*:  $A \leq B \implies A -||> A \leq B -||> B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-is-fun*:  $h: A -||> B \implies h: \text{domain}(h) \rightarrow B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-domain-Fin*:  $h: A -||> B \implies \text{domain}(h) : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *FiniteFun-apply-type* = *FiniteFun-is-fun* [THEN apply-type, standard]

**lemma** *FiniteFun-subset-lemma* [rule-format]:



$b: A -||> B ==> ALL\ z. z<=b \dashrightarrow z: A -||> B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-subset*:  $[[\ c<=b;\ b: A -||> B\ ]]$   $==>$   $c: A -||> B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-FiniteFunI* [*rule-format*]:  $A: Fin(X) ==> ALL\ f. f: A \rightarrow B \dashrightarrow f: A -||> B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lam-FiniteFun*:  $A: Fin(X) ==> (lam\ x:A. b(x)) : A -||> \{b(x). x:A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-Collect-iff*:  
 $f : FiniteFun(A, \{y:B. P(y)\})$   
 $\dashrightarrow f : FiniteFun(A,B) \ \& \ (ALL\ x:domain(f). P(f'x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 21.3 The Contents of a Singleton Set

**definition**  
 $contents :: i=>i$  **where**  
 $contents(X) == THE\ x. X = \{x\}$

**lemma** *contents-eq* [*simp*]:  $contents(\{x\}) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 22 Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice

**theory** *Cardinal* **imports** *OrderType Finite Nat-ZF Sum* **begin**

**definition**

$Least :: (i=>o) => i$  (**binder** *LEAST* 10) **where**  
 $Least(P) == THE\ i. Ord(i) \ \& \ P(i) \ \& \ (ALL\ j. j<i \dashrightarrow \sim P(j))$

**definition**

$eqpoll :: [i,i] => o$  (**infixl** *eqpoll* 50) **where**  
 $A\ eqpoll\ B == EX\ f. f: bij(A,B)$

**definition**

$lepoll :: [i,i] => o$  (**infixl** *lepoll* 50) **where**  
 $A\ lepoll\ B == EX\ f. f: inj(A,B)$

**definition**

*lesspoll* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$     (**infixl** *lesspoll* 50) **where**  
*A lesspoll B* == *A lepoll B* &  $\sim(A \text{ eqpoll } B)$

**definition**

*cardinal* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$     (**|**-) **where**  
 $|A|$  == *LEAST* *i. i eqpoll A*

**definition**

*Finite* ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
*Finite(A)* == *EX n:nat. A eqpoll n*

**definition**

*Card* ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
*Card(i)* == ( $i = |i|$ )

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

*eqpoll*    (**infixl**  $\approx$  50) **and**  
*lepoll*    (**infixl**  $\lesssim$  50) **and**  
*lesspoll* (**infixl**  $\prec$  50) **and**  
*Least*    (**binder**  $\mu$  10)

**notation** (*HTML output*)

*eqpoll*    (**infixl**  $\approx$  50) **and**  
*Least*    (**binder**  $\mu$  10)

## 22.1 The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem

See Davey and Priestly, page 106

**lemma** *decomp-bnd-mono*: *bnd-mono*( $X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W)$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Banach-last-equation*:

$g: Y \multimap X$   
 $\Rightarrow g^{''}(Y - f^{''} \text{lfp}(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))) =$   
 $X - \text{lfp}(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *decomposition*:

$[f: X \multimap Y; g: Y \multimap X] \Rightarrow$   
 $EX\ XA\ XB\ YA\ YB. (XA\ Int\ XB = 0) \ \& \ (XA\ Un\ XB = X) \ \&$   
 $(YA\ Int\ YB = 0) \ \& \ (YA\ Un\ YB = Y) \ \&$   
 $f^{''}XA = YA \ \& \ g^{''}YB = XB$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *schroeder-bernstein*:

$[f: inj(X, Y); g: inj(Y, X)] \Rightarrow EX\ h. h: bij(X, Y)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-imp-epoll*:  $f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies A \approx B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *epoll-refl* = *id-bij* [THEN *bij-imp-epoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

**lemma** *epoll-sym*:  $X \approx Y \implies Y \approx X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *epoll-trans*:  
 $[\![ X \approx Y; Y \approx Z ]\!] \implies X \approx Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-imp-lepoll*:  $X \leq Y \implies X \lesssim Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *lepoll-refl* = *subset-refl* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

**lemmas** *le-imp-lepoll* = *le-imp-subset* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*]

**lemma** *epoll-imp-lepoll*:  $X \approx Y \implies X \lesssim Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-trans*:  $[\![ X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim Z ]\!] \implies X \lesssim Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *epollI*:  $[\![ X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X ]\!] \implies X \approx Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *epollE*:  
 $[\![ X \approx Y; [\![ X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X ]\!] \implies P ]\!] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *epoll-iff*:  $X \approx Y \iff X \lesssim Y \ \& \ Y \lesssim X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-0-is-0*:  $A \lesssim 0 \implies A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *empty-lepollI* = *empty-subsetI* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*]

**lemma** *lepoll-0-iff*:  $A \lesssim 0 \iff A = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-lepoll-Un*:

$\llbracket A \lesssim B; C \lesssim D; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket \implies A \text{ Un } C \lesssim B \text{ Un } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *eqpoll-0-is-0 = eqpoll-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-0-is-0, standard*]

**lemma** *eqpoll-0-iff*:  $A \approx 0 \iff A=0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eqpoll-disjoint-Un*:

$\llbracket A \approx B; C \approx D; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies A \text{ Un } C \approx B \text{ Un } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 22.2 lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski

**lemma** *lesspoll-not-refl*:  $\sim (i \prec i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-irrefl* [*elim!*]:  $i \prec i \implies P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-imp-lepoll*:  $A \prec B \implies A \lesssim B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-well-ord*:  $\llbracket A \lesssim B; \text{well-ord}(B, r) \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } s. \text{well-ord}(A, s)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-iff-leqpoll*:  $A \lesssim B \iff A \prec B \mid A \approx B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-not-surj-succ*:

$\llbracket f : \text{inj}(A, \text{succ}(m)); f \sim : \text{surj}(A, \text{succ}(m)) \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } f. f : \text{inj}(A, m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-trans*:

$\llbracket X \prec Y; Y \prec Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-trans1*:

$\llbracket X \lesssim Y; Y \prec Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-trans2*:

$\llbracket X \prec Y; Y \lesssim Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Least-equality*:

$$[\![ P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \forall x. x < i \implies \sim P(x) ]\!] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = i$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *LeastI*:  $[\![ P(i); \text{Ord}(i) ]\!] \implies P(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Least-le*:  $[\![ P(i); \text{Ord}(i) ]\!] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) \text{ le } i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-LeastE*:  $[\![ P(i); i < (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) ]\!] \implies Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *LeastI2*:

$$[\![ P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \forall j. P(j) \implies Q(j) ]\!] \implies Q(\text{LEAST } j. P(j))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Least-0*:

$$[\![ \sim (\text{EX } i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ P(i)) ]\!] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = 0$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-Least* [intro,simp,TC]:  $\text{Ord}(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Least-cong*:

$$(\forall y. P(y) \iff Q(y)) \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = (\text{LEAST } x. Q(x))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-cong*:  $X \approx Y \implies |X| = |Y|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-cardinal-epoll*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies |A| \approx A$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Ord-cardinal-epoll* = *well-ord-Memrel* [*THEN well-ord-cardinal-epoll*]

**lemma** *well-ord-cardinal-eqE*:

$[[ \text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s); |X| = |Y| ]] \implies X \approx Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-cardinal-epoll-iff*:

$[[ \text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s) ]] \implies |X| = |Y| \iff X \approx Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-cardinal-le*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies |i| \text{ le } i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-cardinal-eq*:  $\text{Card}(K) \implies |K| = K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *CardI*:  $[[ \text{Ord}(i); \forall j. j < i \implies \sim(j \approx i) ]] \implies \text{Card}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-is-Ord*:  $\text{Card}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-cardinal-le*:  $\text{Card}(K) \implies K \text{ le } |K|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-cardinal* [*simp,intro!*]:  $\text{Ord}(|A|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-iff-initial*:  $\text{Card}(K) \iff \text{Ord}(K) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } j. j < K \iff \sim j \approx K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-Card-imp-lesspoll*:  $[[ \text{Card}(a); i < a ]] \implies i \prec a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-0*:  $\text{Card}(0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-Un*:  $[[ \text{Card}(K); \text{Card}(L) ]] \implies \text{Card}(K \text{ Un } L)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-cardinal*:  $\text{Card}(|A|)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-eq-lemma*:  $[|i| \leq j; j \leq i] \implies |j| = |i|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-mono*:  $i \leq j \implies |i| \leq |j|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-lt-imp-lt*:  $[|i| < |j|; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i < j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-lt-imp-lt*:  $[|i| < K; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K)] \implies i < K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-lt-iff*:  $[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K) ] \implies (|i| < K) \iff (i < K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-le-iff*:  $[ \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K) ] \implies (K \leq |i|) \iff (K \leq i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-lepoll-imp-Card-le*:  
 $[ \text{well-ord}(B, r); A \lesssim B ] \implies |A| \leq |B|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-cardinal-le*:  $[ A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i) ] \implies |A| \leq i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-Ord-imp-epoll*:  $[ A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i) ] \implies |A| \approx A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-imp-epoll*:  $[ A \prec i; \text{Ord}(i) ] \implies |A| \approx A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-subset-Ord*:  $[|A| \leq i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies |A| \leq i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 22.3 The finite cardinals

**lemma** *cons-lepoll-consD*:  
 $[ \text{cons}(u, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B ] \implies A \lesssim B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-epoll-consD*:  $[ \text{cons}(u, A) \approx \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B ] \implies A \approx B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-lepoll-succD*:  $\text{succ}(m) \lesssim \text{succ}(n) \implies m \lesssim n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-lepoll-imp-le* [rule-format]:  
 $m:\text{nat} \implies \text{ALL } n:\text{nat}. m \lesssim n \dashv\vdash m \text{ le } n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-epoll-iff*:  $[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] \implies m \approx n \dashv\vdash m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-into-Card*:  
 $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{Card}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *cardinal-0* = *nat-0I* [THEN *nat-into-Card*, THEN *Card-cardinal-eq*, iff]  
**lemmas** *cardinal-1* = *nat-1I* [THEN *nat-into-Card*, THEN *Card-cardinal-eq*, iff]

**lemma** *succ-lepoll-natE*:  $[| \text{succ}(n) \lesssim n; n:\text{nat} |] \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *n-lesspoll-nat*:  $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \prec \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-lepoll-imp-ex-epoll-n*:  
 $[| n \in \text{nat}; \text{nat} \lesssim X |] \implies \exists Y. Y \subseteq X \ \& \ n \approx Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-imp-lesspoll-succ*:  
 $[| A \lesssim m; m:\text{nat} |] \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-succ-imp-lepoll*:  
 $[| A \prec \text{succ}(m); m:\text{nat} |] \implies A \lesssim m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-succ-iff*:  $m:\text{nat} \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m) \dashv\vdash A \lesssim m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-succ-disj*:  $[| A \lesssim \text{succ}(m); m:\text{nat} |] \implies A \lesssim m \mid A \approx \text{succ}(m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *lesspoll-cardinal-lt*:  $[| A \prec i; \text{Ord}(i) |] ==> |A| < i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 22.4 The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat

**lemma** *lt-not-lepoll*:  $[| n < i; n : \text{nat} |] ==> \sim i \lesssim n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-nat-epoll-iff*:  $[| \text{Ord}(i); n : \text{nat} |] ==> i \approx n <-> i = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-nat*:  $\text{Card}(\text{nat})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-le-cardinal*:  $\text{nat le } i ==> \text{nat le } |i|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 22.5 Towards Cardinal Arithmetic

**lemma** *cons-lepoll-cong*:  
 $[| A \lesssim B; b \sim: B |] ==> \text{cons}(a, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(b, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-epoll-cong*:  
 $[| A \approx B; a \sim: A; b \sim: B |] ==> \text{cons}(a, A) \approx \text{cons}(b, B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-lepoll-cons-iff*:  
 $[| a \sim: A; b \sim: B |] ==> \text{cons}(a, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(b, B) <-> A \lesssim B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cons-epoll-cons-iff*:  
 $[| a \sim: A; b \sim: B |] ==> \text{cons}(a, A) \approx \text{cons}(b, B) <-> A \approx B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-epoll-1*:  $\{a\} \approx 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-singleton*:  $|\{a\}| = 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-0-is-lepoll-1*:  $A \sim 0 ==> 1 \lesssim A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-epoll-cong*:  $A \approx B ==> \text{succ}(A) \approx \text{succ}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-epoll-cong*:  $[| A \approx C; B \approx D |] ==> A+B \approx C+D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-epoll-cong*:  
 $[| A \approx C; B \approx D |] ==> A*B \approx C*D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-disjoint-epoll*:  
 $[| f: inj(A,B); A \text{ Int } B = 0 |] ==> A \text{ Un } (B - range(f)) \approx B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 22.6 Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski

**lemma** *Diff-sing-lepoll*:  
 $[| a:A; A \lesssim succ(n) |] ==> A - \{a\} \lesssim n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-Diff-sing*:  
 $[| succ(n) \lesssim A |] ==> n \lesssim A - \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-sing-epoll*:  $[| a:A; A \approx succ(n) |] ==> A - \{a\} \approx n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-1-is-sing*:  $[| A \lesssim 1; a:A |] ==> A = \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Un-lepoll-sum*:  $A \text{ Un } B \lesssim A+B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-Un*:  
 $[| well-ord(X,R); well-ord(Y,S) |] ==> \exists X.T. well-ord(X \text{ Un } Y, T)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *disj-Un-epoll-sum*:  $A \text{ Int } B = 0 ==> A \text{ Un } B \approx A + B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 22.7 Finite and infinite sets

**lemma** *Finite-0* [simp]:  $Finite(0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-nat-imp-Finite*:  $[| A \lesssim n; n:nat |] ==> Finite(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-nat-is-Finite*:  
 $A \prec nat ==> Finite(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-Finite*:

$[| Y \lesssim X; \text{Finite}(X) |] ==> \text{Finite}(Y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *subset-Finite = subset-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-Finite, standard*]

**lemma** *Finite-Int*:  $\text{Finite}(A) \mid \text{Finite}(B) ==> \text{Finite}(A \text{ Int } B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Finite-Diff = Diff-subset* [*THEN subset-Finite, standard*]

**lemma** *Finite-cons*:  $\text{Finite}(x) ==> \text{Finite}(\text{cons}(y, x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-succ*:  $\text{Finite}(x) ==> \text{Finite}(\text{succ}(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-cons-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{Finite}(\text{cons}(y, x)) <-> \text{Finite}(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-succ-iff* [*iff*]:  $\text{Finite}(\text{succ}(x)) <-> \text{Finite}(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-le-infinite-Ord*:

$[| \text{Ord}(i); \sim \text{Finite}(i) |] ==> \text{nat le } i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-imp-well-ord*:

$\text{Finite}(A) ==> \text{EX } r. \text{well-ord}(A, r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-lepoll-imp-not-empty*:  $\text{succ}(x) \lesssim y ==> y \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eqpoll-succ-imp-not-empty*:  $x \approx \text{succ}(n) ==> x \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Fin-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

$n \in \text{nat} ==> \forall A. (A \approx n \ \& \ A \subseteq X) \dashrightarrow A \in \text{Fin}(X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Fin*:  $[| \text{Finite}(A); A \subseteq X |] ==> A \in \text{Fin}(X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eqpoll-imp-Finite-iff*:  $A \approx B ==> \text{Finite}(A) <-> \text{Finite}(B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-lemma* [rule-format]:  $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{ALL } A. A \approx n \dashv\dashv A : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-into-Fin*:  $\text{Finite}(A) \implies A : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-into-Finite*:  $A : \text{Fin}(U) \implies \text{Finite}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Fin-iff*:  $\text{Finite}(A) <-> A : \text{Fin}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Un*:  $[\text{Finite}(A); \text{Finite}(B)] \implies \text{Finite}(A \text{ Un } B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Un-iff* [simp]:  $\text{Finite}(A \text{ Un } B) <-> (\text{Finite}(A) \ \& \ \text{Finite}(B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The converse must hold too.

**lemma** *Finite-Union*:  $[\text{ALL } y:X. \text{Finite}(y); \text{Finite}(X)] \implies \text{Finite}(\text{Union}(X))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-induct* [case-names 0 cons, induct set: Finite]:  
 $[\text{Finite}(A); P(0);$   
 $\quad !! x B. [\text{Finite}(B); x \sim: B; P(B)] \implies P(\text{cons}(x, B))]$   
 $\implies P(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-sing-Finite*:  $\text{Finite}(A - \{a\}) \implies \text{Finite}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Diff-Finite* [rule-format]:  $\text{Finite}(B) \implies \text{Finite}(A-B) \dashv\dashv \text{Finite}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-RepFun*:  $\text{Finite}(A) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{RepFun}(A, f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-RepFun-iff-lemma* [rule-format]:  
 $[\text{Finite}(x); !!x y. f(x)=f(y) \implies x=y]$   
 $\implies \forall A. x = \text{RepFun}(A, f) \dashv\dashv \text{Finite}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

I don't know why, but if the premise is expressed using meta-connectives then the simplifier cannot prove it automatically in conditional rewriting.

**lemma** *Finite-RepFun-iff*:  
 $(\forall x y. f(x)=f(y) \dashv\dashv x=y) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{RepFun}(A, f)) <-> \text{Finite}(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Pow*:  $Finite(A) ==> Finite(Pow(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Pow-imp-Finite*:  $Finite(Pow(A)) ==> Finite(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Pow-iff* [iff]:  $Finite(Pow(A)) <-> Finite(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-wf-on-converse-Memrel*:  $n:nat ==> wf[n](converse(Memrel(n)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-well-ord-converse-Memrel*:  $n:nat ==> well-ord(n, converse(Memrel(n)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-converse*:  
    [[  $well-ord(A, r)$ ;  
        $well-ord(ordertype(A, r), converse(Memrel(ordertype(A, r))))$  ]]  
     $==> well-ord(A, converse(r))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-eq-n*:  
    [[  $well-ord(A, r)$ ;  $A \approx n$ ;  $n:nat$  ]]  $==> ordertype(A, r)=n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-well-ord-converse*:  
    [[  $Finite(A)$ ;  $well-ord(A, r)$  ]]  $==> well-ord(A, converse(r))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-into-Finite*:  $n:nat ==> Finite(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-not-Finite*:  $\sim Finite(nat)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 23 The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types

**theory** *Univ* **imports** *Epsilon Cardinal* **begin**

**definition**

$Vfrom \quad :: [i, i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $Vfrom(A, i) == transrec(i, \%x f. A \ Un \ (\bigcup y \in x. Pow(f'y)))$

**abbreviation**

$Vset \quad :: i => i \text{ where}$   
 $Vset(x) == Vfrom(0, x)$

**definition**

$Vrec \quad :: [i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $Vrec(a, H) == transrec(rank(a), \%x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).$   
 $\quad H(z, lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w)) \text{ ' } a$

**definition**

$Vrecursor \quad :: [[i, i] => i, i] => i \text{ where}$   
 $Vrecursor(H, a) == transrec(rank(a), \%x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).$   
 $\quad H(lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w, z)) \text{ ' } a$

**definition**

$univ \quad :: i => i \text{ where}$   
 $univ(A) == Vfrom(A, nat)$

### 23.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING – RECURSIVE!

**lemma**  $Vfrom$ :  $Vfrom(A, i) = A \ Un \ (\bigcup j \in i. Pow(Vfrom(A, j)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 23.1.1 Monotonicity

**lemma**  $Vfrom$ -mono [rule-format]:

$A \leq B \implies \forall j. i \leq j \longrightarrow Vfrom(A, i) \leq Vfrom(B, j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $VfromI$ :  $[[ a \in Vfrom(A, j); j < i ] \implies a \in Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 23.1.2 A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals!

**lemma**  $Vfrom$ -rank-subset1:  $Vfrom(A, x) \leq Vfrom(A, rank(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vfrom-rank-subset2*:  $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) \leq Vfrom(A, x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vfrom-rank-eq*:  $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) = Vfrom(A, x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.2 Basic Closure Properties

**lemma** *zero-in-Vfrom*:  $y:x \implies 0 \in Vfrom(A, x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *i-subset-Vfrom*:  $i \leq Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *A-subset-Vfrom*:  $A \leq Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *A-into-Vfrom = A-subset-Vfrom* [THEN subsetD]

**lemma** *subset-mem-Vfrom*:  $a \leq Vfrom(A, i) \implies a \in Vfrom(A, succ(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.2.1 Finite sets and ordered pairs

**lemma** *singleton-in-Vfrom*:  $a \in Vfrom(A, i) \implies \{a\} \in Vfrom(A, succ(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *doubleton-in-Vfrom*:  
 $[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); b \in Vfrom(A, i) |] \implies \{a, b\} \in Vfrom(A, succ(i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-in-Vfrom*:  
 $[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); b \in Vfrom(A, i) |] \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(i)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *succ-in-Vfrom*:  $a \leq Vfrom(A, i) \implies succ(a) \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(i)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.3 0, Successor and Limit Equations for Vfrom

**lemma** *Vfrom-0*:  $Vfrom(A, 0) = A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vfrom-succ-lemma*:  $Ord(i) \implies Vfrom(A, succ(i)) = A \text{ Un } Pow(Vfrom(A, i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vfrom-succ*:  $Vfrom(A, succ(i)) = A \text{ Un } Pow(Vfrom(A, i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vfrom-Union*:  $y:X \implies Vfrom(A, Union(X)) = (\bigcup y \in X. Vfrom(A, y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.4 *Vfrom* applied to Limit Ordinals

**lemma** *Limit-Vfrom-eq*:

$Limit(i) \implies Vfrom(A, i) = (\bigcup y \in i. Vfrom(A, y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Limit-VfromE*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); \sim R \implies Limit(i);$   
 $!!x. [| x < i; a \in Vfrom(A, x) |] \implies R$   
 $|] \implies R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-in-VLimit*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); Limit(i) |] \implies \{a\} \in Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Vfrom-UnI1* =

$Un-upper1 [THEN subset-refl [THEN Vfrom-mono, THEN subsetD], standard]$

**lemmas** *Vfrom-UnI2* =

$Un-upper2 [THEN subset-refl [THEN Vfrom-mono, THEN subsetD], standard]$

Hard work is finding a single  $j:i$  such that  $a, b_i = Vfrom(A, j)$

**lemma** *doubleton-in-VLimit*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); b \in Vfrom(A, i); Limit(i) |] \implies \{a, b\} \in Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pair-in-VLimit*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); b \in Vfrom(A, i); Limit(i) |] \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in Vfrom(A, i) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *product-VLimit*:  $Limit(i) \implies Vfrom(A, i) * Vfrom(A, i) \leq Vfrom(A, i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Sigma-subset-VLimit* =

$subset-trans [OF Sigma-mono product-VLimit]$

**lemmas** *nat-subset-VLimit* =

$subset-trans [OF nat-le-Limit [THEN le-imp-subset] i-subset-Vfrom]$

**lemma** *nat-into-VLimit*:  $[| n: nat; Limit(i) |] \implies n \in Vfrom(A, i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.4.1 Closure under Disjoint Union

**lemmas** *zero-in-VLimit* = *Limit-has-0* [THEN *ltD*, THEN *zero-in-Vfrom*, standard]



**lemma** *one-in-VLimit*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 1 \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inl-in-VLimit*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i); \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Inl}(a) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inr-in-VLimit*:  
 $\llbracket b \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i); \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Inr}(b) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-VLimit*:  $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Vfrom}(C, i) + \text{Vfrom}(C, i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(C, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *sum-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *sum-mono sum-VLimit*]

## 23.5 Properties assuming *Transset*(A)

**lemma** *Transset-Vfrom*:  $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Vfrom}(A, i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Vfrom-succ*:  
 $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{succ}(i)) = \text{Pow}(\text{Vfrom}(A, i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Pair-subset*:  $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle \leq C; \text{Transset}(C) \rrbracket \implies a: C \ \& \ b: C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-Pair-subset-VLimit*:  
 $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, i); \text{Transset}(A); \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \langle a, b \rangle \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-in-Vfrom*:  
 $\llbracket X \in \text{Vfrom}(A, j); \text{Transset}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{Union}(X) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{succ}(j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-in-VLimit*:  
 $\llbracket X \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i); \text{Limit}(i); \text{Transset}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{Union}(X) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

General theorem for membership in  $\text{Vfrom}(A, i)$  when  $i$  is a limit ordinal

**lemma** *in-VLimit*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i); b \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i); \text{Limit}(i);$   
 $\quad \text{!!} x \ y \ j. \llbracket j < i; 1:j; x \in \text{Vfrom}(A, j); y \in \text{Vfrom}(A, j) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \exists x \ k. h(x, y) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, k) \ \& \ k < i \rrbracket$   
 $\implies h(a, b) \in \text{Vfrom}(A, i) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 23.5.1 Products

**lemma** *prod-in-Vfrom*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,j); \ b \in Vfrom(A,j); \ Transset(A) ] ] \\ & \implies a*b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(j)))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *prod-in-VLimit*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,i); \ b \in Vfrom(A,i); \ Limit(i); \ Transset(A) ] ] \\ & \implies a*b \in Vfrom(A,i) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

### 23.5.2 Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs

**lemma** *sum-in-Vfrom*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,j); \ b \in Vfrom(A,j); \ Transset(A); \ 1:j ] ] \\ & \implies a+b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(j)))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *sum-in-VLimit*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,i); \ b \in Vfrom(A,i); \ Limit(i); \ Transset(A) ] ] \\ & \implies a+b \in Vfrom(A,i) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

### 23.5.3 Function Space!

**lemma** *fun-in-Vfrom*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,j); \ b \in Vfrom(A,j); \ Transset(A) ] ] \implies \\ & \quad a \multimap b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(succ(j))))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *fun-in-VLimit*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [ [ a \in Vfrom(A,i); \ b \in Vfrom(A,i); \ Limit(i); \ Transset(A) ] ] \\ & \implies a \multimap b \in Vfrom(A,i) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *Pow-in-Vfrom*:

$$[ [ a \in Vfrom(A,j); \ Transset(A) ] ] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(j)))$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pow-in-VLimit*:

$$[ [ a \in Vfrom(A,i); \ Limit(i); \ Transset(A) ] ] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A,i)$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.6 The Set $Vset(i)$

**lemma** *Vset*:  $Vset(i) = (\bigcup_{j \in i} Pow(Vset(j)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Vset-succ = Transset-0* [THEN *Transset-Vfrom-succ*, standard]

**lemmas** *Transset-Vset = Transset-0 [THEN Transset-Vfrom, standard]*

### 23.6.1 Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$

**lemma** *VsetD [rule-format]:  $Ord(i) ==> \forall b. b \in Vset(i) --> rank(b) < i$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *VsetI-lemma [rule-format]:*  
 $Ord(i) ==> \forall b. rank(b) \in i --> b \in Vset(i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *VsetI:  $rank(x) < i ==> x \in Vset(i)$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Merely a lemma for the next result

**lemma** *Vset-Ord-rank-iff:  $Ord(i) ==> b \in Vset(i) <-> rank(b) < i$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Vset-rank-iff [simp]:  $b \in Vset(a) <-> rank(b) < rank(a)$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This is  $rank(rank(a)) = rank(a)$

**declare** *Ord-rank [THEN rank-of-Ord, simp]*

**lemma** *rank-Vset:  $Ord(i) ==> rank(Vset(i)) = i$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Vset:  $i \in nat ==> Finite(Vset(i))$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.6.2 Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks

**lemma** *arg-subset-Vset-rank:  $a \leq Vset(rank(a))$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Int-Vset-subset:*  
 $[ [ !!i. Ord(i) ==> a \in Vset(i) \leq b ] ] ==> a \leq b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.6.3 Set Up an Environment for Simplification

**lemma** *rank-Inl:  $rank(a) < rank(Inl(a))$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rank-Inr:  $rank(a) < rank(Inr(a))$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *rank-rls = rank-Inl rank-Inr rank-pair1 rank-pair2*

### 23.6.4 Recursion over Vset Levels!

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

**lemma** *Vrec*:  $Vrec(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). Vrec(x, H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

**lemma** *def-Vrec*:  
 $[ [ !!x. h(x) == Vrec(x, H) ] ] ==>$   
 $h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). h(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

**lemma** *Vrecursor*:  
 $Vrecursor(H, a) = H(\text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). Vrecursor(H, x), a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

**lemma** *def-Vrecursor*:  
 $h == Vrecursor(H) ==> h(a) = H(\text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). h(x), a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.7 The Datatype Universe: *univ*(A)

**lemma** *univ-mono*:  $A \leq B ==> univ(A) \leq univ(B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Transset-univ*:  $Transset(A) ==> Transset(univ(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.7.1 The Set *univ*(A) as a Limit

**lemma** *univ-eq-UN*:  $univ(A) = (\bigcup i \in nat. Vfrom(A, i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-univ-eq-Int*:  $c \leq univ(A) ==> c = (\bigcup i \in nat. c \text{ Int } Vfrom(A, i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *univ-Int-Vfrom-subset*:  
 $[ [ a \leq univ(X);$   
 $!!i. i: nat ==> a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i) \leq b ] ]$   
 $==> a \leq b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *univ-Int-Vfrom-eq*:  
 $[ [ a \leq univ(X); \quad b \leq univ(X);$   
 $!!i. i: nat ==> a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i) = b \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i)$   
 $] ] ==> a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.8 Closure Properties for $univ(A)$

**lemma** *zero-in-univ*:  $0 \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *zero-subset-univ*:  $\{0\} \leq univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *A-subset-univ*:  $A \leq univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *A-into-univ* = *A-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

### 23.8.1 Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs

**lemma** *singleton-in-univ*:  $a: univ(A) \implies \{a\} \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *doubleton-in-univ*:  
[ $a: univ(A); b: univ(A)$ ]  $\implies \{a,b\} \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Pair-in-univ*:  
[ $a: univ(A); b: univ(A)$ ]  $\implies \langle a,b \rangle \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Union-in-univ*:  
[ $X: univ(A); Transset(A)$ ]  $\implies Union(X) \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *product-univ*:  $univ(A) * univ(A) \leq univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

### 23.8.2 The Natural Numbers

**lemma** *nat-subset-univ*:  $nat \leq univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

$n:nat \implies n:univ(A)$

**lemmas** *nat-into-univ* = *nat-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

### 23.8.3 Instances for 1 and 2

**lemma** *one-in-univ*:  $1 \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

unused!

**lemma** *two-in-univ*:  $2 \in univ(A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *bool-subset-univ*:  $bool \leq univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *bool-into-univ* = *bool-subset-univ* [THEN subsetD, standard]

### 23.8.4 Closure under Disjoint Union

**lemma** *Inl-in-univ*:  $a: univ(A) \implies Inl(a) \in univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inr-in-univ*:  $b: univ(A) \implies Inr(b) \in univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-univ*:  $univ(C) + univ(C) \leq univ(C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *sum-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [OF sum-mono sum-univ]

**lemma** *Sigma-subset-univ*:  
 $[| A \subseteq univ(D); \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq univ(D) |] \implies Sigma(A, B) \subseteq univ(D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 23.9 Finite Branching Closure Properties

### 23.9.1 Closure under Finite Powerset

**lemma** *Fin-Vfrom-lemma*:  
 $[| b: Fin(Vfrom(A, i)); Limit(i) |] \implies \exists j. b \leq Vfrom(A, j) \ \& \ j < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Fin-VLimit*:  $Limit(i) \implies Fin(Vfrom(A, i)) \leq Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *Fin-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF Fin-mono Fin-VLimit]

**lemma** *Fin-univ*:  $Fin(univ(A)) \leq univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.9.2 Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natural Number

**lemma** *nat-fun-VLimit*:  
 $[| n: nat; Limit(i) |] \implies n \rightarrow Vfrom(A, i) \leq Vfrom(A, i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *nat-fun-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF Pi-mono nat-fun-VLimit]

**lemma** *nat-fun-univ*:  $n: nat \implies n \rightarrow univ(A) \leq univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 23.9.3 Closure under Finite Function Space

General but seldom-used version; normally the domain is fixed

**lemma** *FiniteFun-VLimit1*:

$Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(A,i) -||> Vfrom(A,i) <= Vfrom(A,i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-univ1*:  $univ(A) -||> univ(A) <= univ(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Version for a fixed domain

**lemma** *FiniteFun-VLimit*:

$[| W <= Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) |] ==> W -||> Vfrom(A,i) <= Vfrom(A,i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-univ*:

$W <= univ(A) ==> W -||> univ(A) <= univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FiniteFun-in-univ*:

$[| f: W -||> univ(A); W <= univ(A) |] ==> f \in univ(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Remove  $\vdash$  from the rule above

**lemmas** *FiniteFun-in-univ' = FiniteFun-in-univ* [*OF - subsetI*]

## 23.10 \* For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take-lemma" \*

Intersecting  $a*b$  with  $Vfrom...$

This version says  $a, b$  exist one level down, in the smaller set  $Vfrom(X,i)$

**lemma** *doubleton-in-Vfrom-D*:

$[| \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(X,succ(i)); Transset(X) |]$   
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X,i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X,i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This weaker version says  $a, b$  exist at the same level

**lemmas** *Vfrom-doubleton-D = Transset-Vfrom* [*THEN Transset-doubleton-D, standard*]

**lemma** *Pair-in-Vfrom-D*:

$[| \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(X,succ(i)); Transset(X) |]$   
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X,i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X,i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *product-Int-Vfrom-subset*:  

$$\text{Transset}(X) ==>$$

$$(a*b) \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, \text{succ}(i)) <= (a \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i)) * (b \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

## 24 A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types

**theory** *QUniv* **imports** *Univ QPair* **begin**

**rep-datatype**  
**elimination** *sumE*  
**induction** *TrueI*  
**case-eqns** *case-Inl case-Inr*

**rep-datatype**  
**elimination** *qsumE*  
**induction** *TrueI*  
**case-eqns** *qcase-QInl qcase-QInr*

**definition**  

$$\text{quniv} :: i ==> i \text{ where}$$

$$\text{quniv}(A) == \text{Pow}(\text{univ}(\text{eclose}(A)))$$

### 24.1 Properties involving Transset and Sum

**lemma** *Transset-includes-summands*:  

$$[\mid \text{Transset}(C); A+B <= C] ==> A <= C \ \& \ B <= C$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *Transset-sum-Int-subset*:  

$$\text{Transset}(C) ==> (A+B) \text{ Int } C <= (A \text{ Int } C) + (B \text{ Int } C)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

### 24.2 Introduction and Elimination Rules

**lemma** *qunivI*:  $X <= \text{univ}(\text{eclose}(A)) ==> X : \text{quniv}(A)$   

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *qunivD*:  $X : \text{quniv}(A) ==> X <= \text{univ}(\text{eclose}(A))$   

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$



**lemma** *quniv-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{quniv}(A) \leq \text{quniv}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 24.3 Closure Properties

**lemma** *univ-eclose-subset-quniv*:  $\text{univ}(\text{eclose}(A)) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *univ-subset-quniv*:  $\text{univ}(A) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *univ-into-quniv* = *univ-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD, standard]

**lemma** *Pow-univ-subset-quniv*:  $\text{Pow}(\text{univ}(A)) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *univ-subset-into-quniv* =  
*PowI* [THEN *Pow-univ-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD], standard]

**lemmas** *zero-in-quniv* = *zero-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

**lemmas** *one-in-quniv* = *one-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

**lemmas** *two-in-quniv* = *two-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

**lemmas** *A-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *A-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

**lemmas** *A-into-quniv* = *A-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD, standard]

**lemma** *QPair-subset-univ*:  
 $[[ a \leq \text{univ}(A); b \leq \text{univ}(A) ]] \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq \text{univ}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 24.4 Quine Disjoint Sum

**lemma** *QInl-subset-univ*:  $a \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{QInl}(a) \leq \text{univ}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *naturals-subset-nat* =  
*Ord-nat* [THEN *Ord-is-Transset*, unfolded *Transset-def*, THEN *bspec*, standard]

**lemmas** *naturals-subset-univ* =  
*subset-trans* [OF *naturals-subset-nat* *nat-subset-univ*]

**lemma** *QInr-subset-univ*:  $a \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{QInr}(a) \leq \text{univ}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 24.5 Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums

**lemma** *QPair-in-quniv*:

$\llbracket a : \text{quniv}(A); b : \text{quniv}(A) \rrbracket \implies \langle a; b \rangle : \text{quniv}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *QSigma-quniv*:  $\text{quniv}(A) <*> \text{quniv}(A) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *QSigma-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *QSigma-mono* *QSigma-quniv*]

**lemma** *quniv-QPair-D*:

$\langle a; b \rangle : \text{quniv}(A) \implies a : \text{quniv}(A) \ \& \ b : \text{quniv}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *quniv-QPair-E* = *quniv-QPair-D* [THEN *conjE*, *standard*]

**lemma** *quniv-QPair-iff*:  $\langle a; b \rangle : \text{quniv}(A) \iff a : \text{quniv}(A) \ \& \ b : \text{quniv}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 24.6 Quine Disjoint Sum

**lemma** *QInl-in-quniv*:  $a : \text{quniv}(A) \implies \text{QInl}(a) : \text{quniv}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *QInr-in-quniv*:  $b : \text{quniv}(A) \implies \text{QInr}(b) : \text{quniv}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *qsum-quniv*:  $\text{quniv}(C) <+> \text{quniv}(C) \leq \text{quniv}(C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *qsum-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *qsum-mono* *qsum-quniv*]

## 24.7 The Natural Numbers

**lemmas** *nat-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *nat-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

**lemmas** *nat-into-quniv* = *nat-subset-quniv* [THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

**lemmas** *bool-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *bool-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

**lemmas** *bool-into-quniv* = *bool-subset-quniv* [THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

**lemma** *QPair-Int-Vfrom-succ-subset*:

$\text{Transset}(X) \implies$

$\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, \text{succ}(i)) \leq \langle a \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i); b \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 24.8 "Take-Lemma" Rules

**lemma** *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*:

$\text{Transset}(X) \implies$   
 $\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \leq \langle a \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i); b \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-trans* =

*subset-trans* [OF *Transset-0* [THEN *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*] *QPair-mono*]

**lemma** *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-UN*:

$\text{Ord}(i) \implies \langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vset}(i) \leq (\bigcup_{j \in i}. \langle a \text{ Int Vset}(j); b \text{ Int Vset}(j) \rangle)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 25 Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions

**theory** *Datatype-ZF*

**imports** *Inductive-ZF Univ QUniv*

**uses** *Tools/datatype-package.ML*

**begin**

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

**end**

## 26 Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions

**theory** *Arith* **imports** *Univ* **begin**

Proofs about elementary arithmetic: addition, multiplication, etc.

**definition**

$\text{pred} :: i \Rightarrow i$       **where**  
 $\text{pred}(y) == \text{nat-case}(0, \%x. x, y)$

**definition**

$\text{nafify} :: i \Rightarrow i$       **where**  
 $\text{nafify} == \text{Vrecursor}(\%f a. \text{if } a = \text{succ}(\text{pred}(a)) \text{ then } \text{succ}(f \text{pred}(a))$   
 $\text{else } 0)$

**consts**

$raw-add :: [i,i] => i$   
 $raw-diff :: [i,i] => i$   
 $raw-mult :: [i,i] => i$

**primrec**

$raw-add \ 0, n = n$   
 $raw-add \ (succ(m), n) = succ(raw-add(m, n))$

**primrec**

$raw-diff-0: \quad raw-diff(m, 0) = m$   
 $raw-diff-succ: \quad raw-diff(m, succ(n)) =$   
 $\quad nat-case(0, \%x. x, raw-diff(m, n))$

**primrec**

$raw-mult(0, n) = 0$   
 $raw-mult(succ(m), n) = raw-add \ (n, raw-mult(m, n))$

**definition**

$add :: [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl} \ \# + \ 65) \quad \text{where}$   
 $m \ \# + \ n == raw-add \ (natify(m), natify(n))$

**definition**

$diff :: [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl} \ \# - \ 65) \quad \text{where}$   
 $m \ \# - \ n == raw-diff \ (natify(m), natify(n))$

**definition**

$mult :: [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl} \ \# * \ 70) \quad \text{where}$   
 $m \ \# * \ n == raw-mult \ (natify(m), natify(n))$

**definition**

$raw-div :: [i,i] => i \quad \text{where}$   
 $raw-div \ (m, n) ==$   
 $\quad transrec(m, \%j \ f. \text{if } j < n \mid n=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } succ(f'(j \# - n)))$

**definition**

$raw-mod :: [i,i] => i \quad \text{where}$   
 $raw-mod \ (m, n) ==$   
 $\quad transrec(m, \%j \ f. \text{if } j < n \mid n=0 \text{ then } j \text{ else } f'(j \# - n))$

**definition**

$div :: [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl} \ div \ 70) \quad \text{where}$   
 $m \ div \ n == raw-div \ (natify(m), natify(n))$

**definition**

$mod :: [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl} \ mod \ 70) \quad \text{where}$   
 $m \ mod \ n == raw-mod \ (natify(m), natify(n))$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

$mult \ (\text{infixr} \ \# \times \ 70)$

**notation** (*HTML output*)

*mult* (**infixr**  $\# \times 70$ )

**declare** *rec-type* [*simp*]

*nat-0-le* [*simp*]

**lemma** *zero-lt-lemma*:  $[| 0 < k; k \in \text{nat} |] \implies \exists j \in \text{nat}. k = \text{succ}(j)$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *zero-lt-natE* = *zero-lt-lemma* [*THEN* *beE*, *standard*]

## 26.1 *natify*, the Coercion to *nat*

**lemma** *pred-succ-eq* [*simp*]:  $\text{pred}(\text{succ}(y)) = y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-succ*:  $\text{natify}(\text{succ}(x)) = \text{succ}(\text{natify}(x))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{natify}(0) = 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-non-succ*:  $\forall z. x \sim = \text{succ}(z) \implies \text{natify}(x) = 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-in-nat* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $\text{natify}(x) \in \text{nat}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-ident* [*simp*]:  $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{natify}(n) = n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-eqE*:  $[| \text{natify}(x) = y; x \in \text{nat} |] \implies x = y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *natify-idem* [*simp*]:  $\text{natify}(\text{natify}(x)) = \text{natify}(x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *add-natify1* [*simp*]:  $\text{natify}(m) \# + n = m \# + n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *add-natify2* [*simp*]:  $m \# + \text{natify}(n) = m \# + n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-natify1* [*simp*]:  $natify(m) \#* n = m \#* n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-natify2* [*simp*]:  $m \#* natify(n) = m \#* n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-natify1* [*simp*]:  $natify(m) \#- n = m \#- n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-natify2* [*simp*]:  $m \#- natify(n) = m \#- n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-natify1* [*simp*]:  $natify(m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-natify2* [*simp*]:  $m \bmod natify(n) = m \bmod n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *div-natify1* [*simp*]:  $natify(m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *div-natify2* [*simp*]:  $m \bmod natify(n) = m \bmod n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 26.2 Typing rules

**lemma** *raw-add-type*:  $[| m \in nat; n \in nat |] ==> raw-add(m, n) \in nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-type* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $m \#+ n \in nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-mult-type*:  $[| m \in nat; n \in nat |] ==> raw-mult(m, n) \in nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-type* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $m \#* n \in nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-diff-type*:  $[m \in nat; n \in nat] ==> raw\_diff\ (m, n) \in nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-type* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $m \#- n \in nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-0-eq-0* [*simp*]:  $0 \#- n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-succ-succ* [*simp*]:  $succ(m) \#- succ(n) = m \#- n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *raw-diff-succ* [*simp del*]

**lemma** *diff-0* [*simp*]:  $m \#- 0 = natify(m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-le-self*:  $m \in nat ==> (m \#- n) \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 26.3 Addition

**lemma** *add-0-natify* [*simp*]:  $0 \#+ m = natify(m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-succ* [*simp*]:  $succ(m) \#+ n = succ(m \#+ n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-0*:  $m \in nat ==> 0 \#+ m = m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-assoc*:  $(m \#+ n) \#+ k = m \#+ (n \#+ k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-0-right-natify* [*simp*]:  $m \#+ 0 = natify(m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-succ-right* [*simp*]:  $m \#+ succ(n) = succ(m \#+ n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-0-right*:  $m \in \text{nat} \implies m \# + 0 = m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-commute*:  $m \# + n = n \# + m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-left-commute*:  $m \# + (n \# + k) = n \# + (m \# + k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *add-ac = add-assoc add-commute add-left-commute*

**lemma** *raw-add-left-cancel*:  
 $\llbracket i = j; i \# + m = j \# + n; m \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-left-cancel-natify*:  $k \# + m = k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) = \text{natify}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-left-cancel*:  
 $\llbracket i = j; i \# + m = j \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m = n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-elim1-natify*:  $k \# + m \text{ le } k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } \text{natify}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-elim1*:  $\llbracket k \# + m \text{ le } k \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m \text{ le } n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-lt-elim1-natify*:  $k \# + m < k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) < \text{natify}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-lt-elim1*:  $\llbracket k \# + m < k \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m < n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-less-add*:  $\llbracket n \in \text{nat}; m \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies 0 < m \# + n \iff (0 < m \mid 0 < n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 26.4 Monotonicity of Addition

**lemma** *add-lt-mono1*:  $\llbracket i < j; j \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies i \# + k < j \# + k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

strict, in second argument



**lemma** *add-lt-mono2*:  $[i < j; j \in \text{nat}] \implies k \# + i < k \# + j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A [clumsy] way of lifting  $\#$  monotonicity to  $\leq$  monotonicity

**lemma** *Ord-lt-mono-imp-le-mono*:  
**assumes** *lt-mono*:  $!!i\ j. [i < j; j : k] \implies f(i) < f(j)$   
**and** *ford*:  $!!i. i : k \implies \text{Ord}(f(i))$   
**and** *leij*:  $i \leq j$   
**and** *jink*:  $j : k$   
**shows**  $f(i) \leq f(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\leq$  monotonicity, 1st argument

**lemma** *add-le-mono1*:  $[i \leq j; j \in \text{nat}] \implies i \# + k \leq j \# + k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\leq$  monotonicity, both arguments

**lemma** *add-le-mono*:  $[i \leq j; k \leq l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}] \implies i \# + k \leq j \# + l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Combinations of less-than and less-than-or-equals

**lemma** *add-lt-le-mono*:  $[i < j; k \leq l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}] \implies i \# + k < j \# + l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-lt-mono*:  $[i \leq j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}] \implies i \# + k < j \# + l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Less-than: in other words, strict in both arguments

**lemma** *add-lt-mono*:  $[i < j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}] \implies i \# + k < j \# + l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-add-inverse*:  $(n \# + m) \# - n = \text{nativify}(m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-add-inverse2*:  $(m \# + n) \# - n = \text{nativify}(m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-cancel*:  $(k \# + m) \# - (k \# + n) = m \# - n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-cancel2*:  $(m \# + k) \# - (n \# + k) = m \# - n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-add-0*:  $n \# - (n \# + m) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{pred}(0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-succ-imp-eq-m1*:  $[[i = \text{succ}(j); i \in \text{nat}]] \implies j = i \#- 1 \ \& \ j \in \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-Un-distrib*:  
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{pred}(i \text{ Un } j) = \text{pred}(i) \text{ Un } \text{pred}(j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-type* [*TC, simp*]:  
 $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(i) \in \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-diff-pred*:  $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies i \#- \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \#- j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-succ-eq-pred*:  $i \#- \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \#- j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-diff-Un-distrib*:  
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \#- k = (i \#- k) \text{ Un } (j \#- k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-Un-distrib*:  
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \#- k = (i \#- k) \text{ Un } (j \#- k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We actually prove  $i \#- j \#- k = i \#- (j \#+ k)$

**lemma** *diff-diff-left* [*simplified*]:  
 $\text{nativify}(i) \#- \text{nativify}(j) \#- k = \text{nativify}(i) \#- (\text{nativify}(j) \#+ k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-add-iff*:  $(u \#+ m = u \#+ n) <-> (0 \#+ m = \text{nativify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *less-add-iff*:  $(u \#+ m < u \#+ n) <-> (0 \#+ m < \text{nativify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-add-eq*:  $((u \#+ m) \#- (u \#+ n)) = ((0 \#+ m) \#- n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-cong2*:  $u = u' \implies (t == u) == (t == u')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iff-cong2*:  $u <-> u' ==> (t==u) == (t==u')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 26.5 Multiplication

**lemma** *mult-0* [*simp*]:  $0 \#* m = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-succ* [*simp*]:  $succ(m) \#* n = n \#+ (m \#* n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-0-right* [*simp*]:  $m \#* 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-succ-right* [*simp*]:  $m \#* succ(n) = m \#+ (m \#* n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-1-natify* [*simp*]:  $1 \#* n = natify(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-1-right-natify* [*simp*]:  $n \#* 1 = natify(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-1*:  $n \in nat ==> 1 \#* n = n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-1-right*:  $n \in nat ==> n \#* 1 = n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-commute*:  $m \#* n = n \#* m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-mult-distrib*:  $(m \#+ n) \#* k = (m \#* k) \#+ (n \#* k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-mult-distrib-left*:  $k \#* (m \#+ n) = (k \#* m) \#+ (k \#* n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-assoc*:  $(m \#* n) \#* k = m \#* (n \#* k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-left-commute*:  $m \#* (n \#* k) = n \#* (m \#* k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *mult-ac = mult-assoc mult-commute mult-left-commute*

**lemma** *lt-succ-eq-0-disj*:

$\llbracket m \in nat; n \in nat \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (m < succ(n)) \leftrightarrow (m = 0 \mid (\exists j \in nat. m = succ(j) \ \& \ j < n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-diff-conv* [rule-format]:

$\llbracket j \in nat; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies \forall i \in nat. (i < j \ \#- \ k) \leftrightarrow (i \ \#+ \ k < j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *nat-typechecks = rec-type nat-0I nat-1I nat-succI Ord-nat*

**end**

## 27 Arithmetic with simplification

**theory** *ArithSimp*

**imports** *Arith*

**uses**  $\sim /src/Provers/Arith/cancel-numerals.ML$   
 $\sim /src/Provers/Arith/combine-numerals.ML$   
*arith-data.ML*

**begin**

### 27.1 Difference

**lemma** *diff-self-eq-0* [simp]:  $m \ \#- \ m = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-diff-inverse*:  $\llbracket n \leq m; \ m : nat \rrbracket \implies n \ \#+ \ (m \ \#- \ n) = m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-diff-inverse2*:  $\llbracket n \leq m; \ m : nat \rrbracket \implies (m \ \#- \ n) \ \#+ \ n = m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-succ*:  $\llbracket n \leq m; \ m : nat \rrbracket \implies succ(m) \ \#- \ n = succ(m \ \#- \ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-less-diff* [simp]:

$\llbracket m : nat; \ n : nat \rrbracket \implies 0 < (n \ \#- \ m) \leftrightarrow m < n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-mult-distrib*:  $(m \# - n) \# * k = (m \# * k) \# - (n \# * k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-mult-distrib2*:  $k \# * (m \# - n) = (k \# * m) \# - (k \# * n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 27.2 Remainder

**lemma** *div-termination*:  $[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> m \# - n < m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *div-rls* =  
*nat-typechecks* *Ord-transrec-type* *apply-funtype*  
*div-termination* [*THEN ltD*]  
*nat-into-Ord* *not-lt-iff-le* [*THEN iffD1*]

**lemma** *raw-mod-type*:  $[| m : nat; n : nat |] ==> \text{raw-mod } (m, n) : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-type* [*TC,iff*]:  $m \text{ mod } n : nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-DIV*:  $a \text{ div } 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-MOD*:  $a \text{ mod } 0 = \text{nativify}(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-mod-less*:  $m < n ==> \text{raw-mod } (m, n) = m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-less* [*simp*]:  $[| m < n; n : nat |] ==> m \text{ mod } n = m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-mod-geq*:  
 $[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> \text{raw-mod } (m, n) = \text{raw-mod } (m \# - n, n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-geq*:  $[| n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> m \text{ mod } n = (m \# - n) \text{ mod } n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 27.3 Division

**lemma** *raw-div-type*:  $[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-div } (m, n) : \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *div-type*  $[TC, \text{iff}]$ :  $m \text{ div } n : \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-div-less*:  $m < n \implies \text{raw-div } (m, n) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *div-less*  $[simp]$ :  $[| m < n; n : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-div-geq*:  $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-div}(m, n) = \text{succ}(\text{raw-div}(m \# -n, n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *div-geq*  $[simp]$ :  
 $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = \text{succ } ((m \# -n) \text{ div } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *div-less*  $[simp]$  *div-geq*  $[simp]$

**lemma** *mod-div-lemma*:  $[| m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-div-equality-natify*:  $(m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = \text{natify}(m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-div-equality*:  $m: \text{nat} \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 27.4 Further Facts about Remainder

(mainly for mutilated chess board)

**lemma** *mod-succ-lemma*:  
 $[| 0 < n; m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] \implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-succ*:  
 $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-less-divisor*:  $[| 0 < n; n:\text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ mod } n < n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-1-eq* [*simp*]:  $m \bmod 1 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod2-cases*:  $b < 2 \implies k \bmod 2 = b \mid k \bmod 2 = (\text{if } b=1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod2-succ-succ* [*simp*]:  $\text{succ}(\text{succ}(m)) \bmod 2 = m \bmod 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod2-add-more* [*simp*]:  $(m \# + m \# + n) \bmod 2 = n \bmod 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod2-add-self* [*simp*]:  $(m \# + m) \bmod 2 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 27.5 Additional theorems about $\leq$

**lemma** *add-le-self*:  $m:\text{nat} \implies m \leq (m \# + n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-self2*:  $m:\text{nat} \implies m \leq (n \# + m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-le-mono1*:  $[i \leq j; j:\text{nat}] \implies (i \# * k) \leq (j \# * k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-le-mono*:  $[i \leq j; k \leq l; j:\text{nat}; l:\text{nat}] \implies i \# * k \leq j \# * l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-lt-mono2*:  $[i < j; 0 < k; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies k \# * i < k \# * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-lt-mono1*:  $[i < j; 0 < k; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies i \# * k < j \# * k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-eq-0-iff* [*iff*]:  $m \# + n = 0 \iff \text{natify}(m)=0 \ \& \ \text{natify}(n)=0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-lt-mult-iff* [*iff*]:  $0 < m \# * n \iff 0 < \text{natify}(m) \ \& \ 0 < \text{natify}(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-eq-1-iff* [*iff*]:  $m \# * n = 1 \iff \text{natify}(m)=1 \ \& \ \text{natify}(n)=1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-is-zero*:  $[| m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* n = 0) \iff (m = 0 \mid n = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-is-zero-natify* [iff]:  
 $(m \#* n = 0) \iff (\text{natify}(m) = 0 \mid \text{natify}(n) = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 27.6 Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons

**lemma** *mult-less-cancel-lemma*:  
 $[| k: \text{nat}; m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* k < n \#* k) \iff (0 < k \ \& \ m < n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-cancel2* [simp]:  
 $(m \#* k < n \#* k) \iff (0 < \text{natify}(k) \ \& \ \text{natify}(m) < \text{natify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-cancel1* [simp]:  
 $(k \#* m < k \#* n) \iff (0 < \text{natify}(k) \ \& \ \text{natify}(m) < \text{natify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-le-cancel2* [simp]:  $(m \#* k \text{ le } n \#* k) \iff (0 < \text{natify}(k) \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } \text{natify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-le-cancel1* [simp]:  $(k \#* m \text{ le } k \#* n) \iff (0 < \text{natify}(k) \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } \text{natify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-le-cancel-le1*:  $k : \text{nat} \implies k \#* m \text{ le } k \iff (0 < k \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } 1)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-eq-iff-le*:  $[| \text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n) |] \implies m = n \iff (m \text{ le } n \ \& \ n \text{ le } m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-cancel2-lemma*:  
 $[| k: \text{nat}; m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* k = n \#* k) \iff (m = n \mid k = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-cancel2* [simp]:  
 $(m \#* k = n \#* k) \iff (\text{natify}(m) = \text{natify}(n) \mid \text{natify}(k) = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-cancel1* [simp]:  
 $(k \#* m = k \#* n) \iff (\text{natify}(m) = \text{natify}(n) \mid \text{natify}(k) = 0)$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *div-cancel-raw*:

$[| 0 < n; 0 < k; k:nat; m:nat; n:nat |] ==> (k\#*m) \text{ div } (k\#*n) = m \text{ div } n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *div-cancel*:

$[| 0 < \text{nativify}(n); 0 < \text{nativify}(k) |] ==> (k\#*m) \text{ div } (k\#*n) = m \text{ div } n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 27.7 More Lemmas about Remainder

**lemma** *mult-mod-distrib-raw*:

$[| k:nat; m:nat; n:nat |] ==> (k\#*m) \text{ mod } (k\#*n) = k \#* (m \text{ mod } n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-mult-distrib2*:  $k \#* (m \text{ mod } n) = (k\#*m) \text{ mod } (k\#*n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-mod-distrib*:  $(m \text{ mod } n) \#* k = (m\#*k) \text{ mod } (n\#*k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-add-self2-raw*:  $n \in nat ==> (m \#+ n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-add-self2* [simp]:  $(m \#+ n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-add-self1* [simp]:  $(n\#+m) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-mult-self1-raw*:  $k \in nat ==> (m \#+ k\#*n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-mult-self1* [simp]:  $(m \#+ k\#*n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mod-mult-self2* [simp]:  $(m \#+ n\#*k) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-eq-self-implies-10*:  $m = m\#*n ==> \text{nativify}(n)=1 \mid m=0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-imp-succ-add* [rule-format]:

$[| m < n; n: nat |] ==> \exists k: nat. n = \text{succ}(m\#+k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-iff-succ-add*:

$\llbracket m: nat; n: nat \rrbracket \implies (m < n) <-> (EX k: nat. n = succ(m \# + k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-lt-elim2*:

$\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a < b; b \in nat; c \in nat; d \in nat \rrbracket \implies c < d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-elim2*:

$\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a \leq b; b \in nat; c \in nat; d \in nat \rrbracket \implies c \leq d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 27.7.1 More Lemmas About Difference

**lemma** *diff-is-0-lemma*:

$\llbracket m: nat; n: nat \rrbracket \implies m \# - n = 0 <-> m \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-is-0-iff*:  $m \# - n = 0 <-> natify(m) \leq natify(n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-lt-imp-diff-eq-0*:

$\llbracket a: nat; b: nat; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \# - b = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-nat-diff-split*:

$\llbracket a: nat; b: nat \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(P(a \# - b)) <-> ((a < b \implies P(0)) \& (ALL d: nat. a = b \# + d \implies P(d)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-diff-split*:

$(P(a \# - b)) <->$   
 $(natify(a) < natify(b) \implies P(0)) \& (ALL d: nat. natify(a) = b \# + d \implies P(d))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Difference and less-than

**lemma** *diff-lt-imp-lt*:  $\llbracket (k \# - i) < (k \# - j); i \in nat; j \in nat; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies j < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-imp-diff-lt*:  $\llbracket j < i; i \leq k; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies (k \# - i) < (k \# - j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-lt-iff-lt*:  $\llbracket i \leq k; j \in nat; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies (k \# - i) < (k \# - j) <-> j < i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 28 Lists in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

**theory** *List-ZF* **imports** *Datatype-ZF ArithSimp* **begin**

**consts**

*list* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$

**datatype**

$list(A) = Nil \mid Cons(a:A, l: list(A))$

**syntax**

$[]$  ::  $i$   $([])$   
 $@List$  ::  $is \Rightarrow i$   $([(-)])$

**translations**

$[x, xs]$  ==  $Cons(x, [xs])$   
 $[x]$  ==  $Cons(x, [])$   
 $[]$  ==  $Nil$

**consts**

*length* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*hd* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*tl* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$

**primrec**

$length([]) = 0$   
 $length(Cons(a,l)) = succ(length(l))$

**primrec**

$hd([]) = 0$   
 $hd(Cons(a,l)) = a$

**primrec**

$tl([]) = []$   
 $tl(Cons(a,l)) = l$

**consts**

*map* ::  $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$   
*set-of-list* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$   
*app* ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  **(infixr @ 60)**

**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map}(f, []) &= [] \\ \text{map}(f, \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{Cons}(f(a), \text{map}(f, l)) \end{aligned}$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{set-of-list}([]) &= 0 \\ \text{set-of-list}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{cons}(a, \text{set-of-list}(l)) \end{aligned}$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{app-Nil}: [] @ ys &= ys \\ \text{app-Cons}: (\text{Cons}(a, l)) @ ys &= \text{Cons}(a, l @ ys) \end{aligned}$$
**consts**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rev} &:: i \Rightarrow i \\ \text{flat} &:: i \Rightarrow i \\ \text{list-add} &:: i \Rightarrow i \end{aligned}$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rev}([]) &= [] \\ \text{rev}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{rev}(l) @ [a] \end{aligned}$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{flat}([]) &= [] \\ \text{flat}(\text{Cons}(l, ls)) &= l @ \text{flat}(ls) \end{aligned}$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{list-add}([]) &= 0 \\ \text{list-add}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= a \# + \text{list-add}(l) \end{aligned}$$
**consts**

$$\text{drop} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$$
**primrec**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{drop-0}: \text{drop}(0, l) &= l \\ \text{drop-succ}: \text{drop}(\text{succ}(i), l) &= \text{tl}(\text{drop}(i, l)) \end{aligned}$$
**definition**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{take} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{take}(n, as) &== \text{list-rec}(\text{lam } n:\text{nat}. [], \\ &\quad \%a \text{ } l \text{ } r. \text{lam } n:\text{nat}. \text{nat-case}([], \%m. \text{Cons}(a, r\text{'m}), n), as) \text{'n} \end{aligned}$$
**definition**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nth} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ &\text{— returns the (n+1)th element of a list, or 0 if the list is too short.} \end{aligned}$$

$nth(n, as) == list-rec(lam n:nat. 0,$   
 $\%a\ l\ r. lam n:nat. nat-case(a, \%m. r\ 'm, n), as)\ 'n$

**definition**

$list-update :: [i, i, i] => i$  **where**  
 $list-update(xs, i, v) == list-rec(lam n:nat. Nil,$   
 $\%u\ us\ vs. lam n:nat. nat-case(Cons(v, us), \%m. Cons(u, vs\ 'm), n), xs)\ 'i$

**consts**

$filter :: [i=>o, i] => i$   
 $upt :: [i, i] => i$

**primrec**

$filter(P, Nil) = Nil$   
 $filter(P, Cons(x, xs)) =$   
 $(if\ P(x)\ then\ Cons(x, filter(P, xs))\ else\ filter(P, xs))$

**primrec**

$upt(i, 0) = Nil$   
 $upt(i, succ(j)) = (if\ i\ le\ j\ then\ upt(i, j)\ @\ [j]\ else\ Nil)$

**definition**

$min :: [i, i] => i$  **where**  
 $min(x, y) == (if\ x\ le\ y\ then\ x\ else\ y)$

**definition**

$max :: [i, i] => i$  **where**  
 $max(x, y) == (if\ x\ le\ y\ then\ y\ else\ x)$

**declare**  $list.intros$  [ $simp, TC$ ]

**inductive-cases**  $ConsE$ :  $Cons(a, l) : list(A)$

**lemma**  $Cons-type-iff$  [ $simp$ ]:  $Cons(a, l) \in list(A) <-> a \in A \ \&\ l \in list(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Cons-iff$ :  $Cons(a, l) = Cons(a', l') <-> a = a' \ \&\ l = l'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nil-Cons-iff$ :  $\sim Nil = Cons(a, l)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $list-unfold$ :  $list(A) = \{0\} + (A * list(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-mono*:  $A \leq B \implies \text{list}(A) \leq \text{list}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *list-univ*:  $\text{list}(\text{univ}(A)) \leq \text{univ}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *list-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [*OF list-mono list-univ*]

**lemma** *list-into-univ*:  $[\mid l: \text{list}(A); A \leq \text{univ}(B) \mid] \implies l: \text{univ}(B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *list-case-type*:  
 $[\mid l: \text{list}(A);$   
 $c: C(\text{Nil});$   
 $!!x\ y. [\mid x: A; y: \text{list}(A) \mid] \implies h(x,y): C(\text{Cons}(x,y))$   
 $\mid] \implies \text{list-case}(c,h,l) : C(l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *list-0-triv*:  $\text{list}(0) = \{\text{Nil}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tl-type*:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{tl}(l) : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-Nil* [*simp*]:  $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{drop}(i, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-succ-Cons* [*simp*]:  $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{drop}(\text{succ}(i), \text{Cons}(a,l)) = \text{drop}(i,l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-type* [*simp, TC*]:  $[\mid i:\text{nat}; l: \text{list}(A) \mid] \implies \text{drop}(i,l) : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *drop-succ* [*simp del*]

**lemma** *list-rec-type* [*TC*]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [l: \text{list}(A); \\
& \quad c: C(\text{Nil}); \\
& \quad !!x\ y\ r. [x:A; y: \text{list}(A); r: C(y)] \implies h(x,y,r): C(\text{Cons}(x,y)) \\
& ] \implies \text{list-rec}(c,h,l) : C(l) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *map-type* [TC]:  

$$[l: \text{list}(A); !!x. x: A \implies h(x): B] \implies \text{map}(h,l) : \text{list}(B)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-type2* [TC]:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h,l) : \text{list}(\{h(u). u:A\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-type* [TC]:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(l) : \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-length-in-nat*:  

$$[x < \text{length}(xs); xs \in \text{list}(A)] \implies x \in \text{nat}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *app-type* [TC]:  $[xs: \text{list}(A); ys: \text{list}(A)] \implies xs@ys : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-type* [TC]:  $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{rev}(xs) : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flat-type* [TC]:  $ls: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{flat}(ls) : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-list-type* [TC]:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(l) : \text{Pow}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-list-append*:  

$$xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(xs@ys) = \text{set-of-list}(xs) \cup \text{set-of-list}(ys)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *list-add-type* [TC]:  $xs: \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \text{list-add}(xs) : \text{nat}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-ident* [simp]:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(\%u. u, l) = l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-compose*:  $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{map}(j, l)) = \text{map}(\%u. h(j(u)), l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-app-distrib*:  $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, xs @ ys) = \text{map}(h, xs) @ \text{map}(h, ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-flat*:  $ls: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{flat}(ls)) = \text{flat}(\text{map}(\text{map}(h), ls))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *list-rec-map*:  
 $l: \text{list}(A) \implies$   
 $\text{list-rec}(c, d, \text{map}(h, l)) =$   
 $\text{list-rec}(c, \%x \text{ } xs \text{ } r. d(h(x), \text{map}(h, xs), r), l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *list-CollectD* = *Collect-subset* [THEN *list-mono*, THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

**lemma** *map-list-Collect*:  $l: \text{list}(\{x:A. h(x)=j(x)\}) \implies \text{map}(h, l) = \text{map}(j, l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-map* [simp]:  $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{map}(h, xs)) = \text{length}(xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-app* [simp]:  
 $[| \text{ } xs: \text{list}(A); \text{ } ys: \text{list}(A) \text{ } |]$   
 $\implies \text{length}(xs @ ys) = \text{length}(xs) \# + \text{length}(ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-rev* [simp]:  $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{rev}(xs)) = \text{length}(xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$



**lemma** *length-flat*:

$ls: list(list(A)) ==> length(flat(ls)) = list-add(map(length,ls))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-length-Cons* [rule-format]:

$xs: list(A) ==>$   
 $\forall x. EX z zs. drop(length(xs), Cons(x,xs)) = Cons(z,zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-length* [rule-format]:

$l: list(A) ==> \forall i \in length(l). (EX z zs. drop(i,l) = Cons(z,zs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *app-right-Nil* [simp]:  $xs: list(A) ==> xs@Nil=xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *app-assoc*:  $xs: list(A) ==> (xs@ys)@zs = xs@(ys@zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *flat-app-distrib*:  $ls: list(list(A)) ==> flat(ls@ms) = flat(ls)@flat(ms)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-map-distrib*:  $l: list(A) ==> rev(map(h,l)) = map(h,rev(l))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-app-distrib*:

$[| xs: list(A); ys: list(A) |] ==> rev(xs@ys) = rev(ys)@rev(xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-rev-ident* [simp]:  $l: list(A) ==> rev(rev(l))=l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-flat*:  $ls: list(list(A)) ==> rev(flat(ls)) = flat(map(rev,rev(ls)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-add-app*:

$$[ [ xs: list(nat); ys: list(nat) ] ]$$

$$==> list-add(xs@ys) = list-add(ys) \# + list-add(xs)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

**lemma** *list-add-rev*:  $l: list(nat) ==> list-add(rev(l)) = list-add(l)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-add-flat*:  
 $ls: list(list(nat)) ==> list-add(flat(ls)) = list-add(map(list-add, ls))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-append-induct* [*case-names Nil snoc, consumes 1*]:  

$$[ [ l: list(A);$$

$$P(Nil);$$

$$!!x\ y. [ [ x: A; y: list(A); P(y) ] ] ==> P(y @ [x])$$

$$[ ] ==> P(l)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

**lemma** *list-complete-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:  
**assumes** *ih*:  

$$\bigwedge l. [ [ l \in list(A);$$

$$\forall l' \in list(A). length(l') < length(l) \dashrightarrow P(l') ] ]$$

$$==> P(l)$$
**shows**  $n \in nat ==> \forall l \in list(A). length(l) < n \dashrightarrow P(l)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *list-complete-induct*:  

$$[ [ l \in list(A);$$

$$\bigwedge l. [ [ l \in list(A);$$

$$\forall l' \in list(A). length(l') < length(l) \dashrightarrow P(l') ] ]$$

$$==> P(l)$$

$$[ ] ==> P(l)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

**lemma** *min-sym*:  $[ [ i:nat; j:nat ] ] ==> min(i,j)=min(j,i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *min-type* [*simp, TC*]:  $[ [ i:nat; j:nat ] ] ==> min(i,j):nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *min-0* [*simp*]:  $i:nat ==> min(0,i) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *min-02* [*simp*]:  $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{min}(i, 0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-min-iff*:  $[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies i < \text{min}(j, k) \iff i < j \ \& \ i < k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *min-succ-succ* [*simp*]:  
 $[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}] \implies \text{min}(\text{succ}(i), \text{succ}(j)) = \text{succ}(\text{min}(i, j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-append* [*simp*]:  
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(P, xs @ ys) = \text{filter}(P, xs) @ \text{filter}(P, ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-type* [*simp*, *TC*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(P, xs):\text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-filter*:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{filter}(P, xs)) \leq \text{length}(xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-is-subset*:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{filter}(P, xs)) \leq \text{set-of-list}(xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-False* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(\%p. \text{False}, xs) = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-True* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(\%p. \text{True}, xs) = xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-is-0-iff* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(xs) = 0 \iff xs = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-is-0-iff2* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies 0 = \text{length}(xs) \iff xs = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-tl* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{tl}(xs)) = \text{length}(xs) \# - 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-greater-0-iff*:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies 0 < \text{length}(xs) \iff xs \sim = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-succ-iff*:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(xs) = \text{succ}(n) \iff (\exists y ys. xs = \text{Cons}(y,$

$ys) \ \& \ length(ys)=n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-is-Nil-iff* [simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = Nil) <-> (xs=Nil \ \& \ ys = Nil)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-is-Nil-iff2* [simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==> (Nil = xs@ys) <-> (xs=Nil \ \& \ ys = Nil)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-left-is-self-iff* [simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = xs) <-> (ys = Nil)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-left-is-self-iff2* [simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs = xs@ys) <-> (ys = Nil)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-left-is-Nil-iff* [rule-format]:  
 $[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ zs:list(A) \ |] ==>$   
 $length(ys)=length(zs) \ \dashv\!\!\dashv\!> \ (xs@ys=zs \ <-> \ (xs=Nil \ \& \ ys=zs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-left-is-Nil-iff2* [rule-format]:  
 $[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ zs:list(A) \ |] ==>$   
 $length(ys)=length(zs) \ \dashv\!\!\dashv\!> \ (zs=ys@xs \ <-> \ (xs=Nil \ \& \ ys=zs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-eq-append-iff* [rule-format,simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==> \forall \ ys \in list(A).$   
 $length(xs)=length(ys) \ \dashv\!\!\dashv\!> \ (xs@us = ys@vs) <-> (xs=ys \ \& \ us=vs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-eq-append* [rule-format]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==>$   
 $\forall \ ys \in list(A). \ \forall \ us \in list(A). \ \forall \ vs \in list(A).$   
 $length(us) = length(vs) \ \dashv\!\!\dashv\!> \ (xs@us = ys@vs) \ \dashv\!\!\dashv\!> \ (xs=ys \ \& \ us=vs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-eq-append-iff2* [simp]:  
 $[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ us:list(A); \ vs:list(A); \ length(us)=length(vs) \ |]$   
 $==> \ xs@us = ys@vs \ <-> \ (xs=ys \ \& \ us=vs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *append-self-iff* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A)] \implies \text{xs}@\text{ys}=\text{xs}@\text{zs} \iff \text{ys}=\text{zs}]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *append-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A)] \implies \text{ys}@\text{xs}=\text{zs}@\text{xs} \iff \text{ys}=\text{zs}]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *append1-eq-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \text{xs}@[\text{x}] = \text{ys}@[y] \iff (\text{xs} = \text{ys} \ \& \ \text{x}=\text{y})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *append-right-is-self-iff* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A)] \implies (\text{xs}@\text{ys} = \text{ys}) \iff (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *append-right-is-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A)] \implies (\text{ys} = \text{xs}@\text{ys}) \iff (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *hd-append* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{xs} \sim \text{Nil} \implies \text{hd}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}) = \text{hd}(\text{xs})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tl-append* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{xs} \sim \text{Nil} \implies \text{tl}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}) = \text{tl}(\text{xs})@\text{ys}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-is-Nil-iff* [simp]:  $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies (\text{rev}(\text{xs}) = \text{Nil} \iff \text{xs} = \text{Nil})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Nil-is-rev-iff* [simp]:  $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies (\text{Nil} = \text{rev}(\text{xs}) \iff \text{xs} = \text{Nil})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-is-rev-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \text{rev}(\text{xs})=\text{rev}(\text{ys}) \iff \text{xs}=\text{ys}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rev-list-elim* [rule-format]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies$   
 $(\text{xs}=\text{Nil} \implies P) \implies (\forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \forall y \in A. \text{xs}=\text{ys}@[y] \implies P) \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-drop* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(\text{drop}(n, xs)) = \text{length}(xs) \# - n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-all* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \longrightarrow \text{drop}(n, xs) = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-append* [*rule-format*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies$   
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{drop}(n, xs @ ys) = \text{drop}(n, xs) @ \text{drop}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *drop-drop*:

$m:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{drop}(n, \text{drop}(m, xs)) = \text{drop}(n \# + m, xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-0* [*simp*]:  $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{take}(0, xs) = \text{Nil}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-succ-Cons* [*simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \text{take}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, xs)) = \text{Cons}(a, \text{take}(n, xs))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-Nil* [*simp*]:  $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{take}(n, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-all* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \longrightarrow \text{take}(n, xs) = xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-type* [*rule-format,simp,TC*]:

$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs):\text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-append* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$   
 $\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs @ ys) =$   
 $\text{take}(n, xs) @ \text{take}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-take* [*rule-format*]:

$m : \text{nat} \implies$   
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, \text{take}(m, xs)) = \text{take}(\min(n, m), xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-Cons* [*simp*]:  $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) = \text{nth}(n, l)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-empty* [*simp*]:  $\text{nth}(n, \text{Nil}) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-type* [*rule-format, simp, TC*]:  
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n. n < \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(n, xs) : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-eq-0* [*rule-format*]:  
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(xs) \leq n \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(n, xs) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-append* [*rule-format*]:  
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$   
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{nth}(n, xs @ ys) = (\text{if } n < \text{length}(xs) \text{ then } \text{nth}(n, xs)$   
 $\text{else } \text{nth}(n - \text{length}(xs), ys))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-list-conv-nth*:  
 $xs:\text{list}(A)$   
 $\implies \text{set-of-list}(xs) = \{x:A. \exists i:\text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \ \& \ x = \text{nth}(i, xs)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-take-lemma* [*rule-format*]:  
 $k:\text{nat} \implies$   
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). (\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). k \leq \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash k \leq \text{length}(ys) \dashv\vdash$   
 $(\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < k \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(i, xs) = \text{nth}(i, ys)) \dashv\vdash \text{take}(k, xs) = \text{take}(k, ys))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-equalityI* [*rule-format*]:  
 $[\mid xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys);$   
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(i, xs) = \text{nth}(i, ys) \mid]$   
 $\implies xs = ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *take-equalityI* [*rule-format*]:  
 $[\mid xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); (\forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(i, xs) = \text{take}(i, ys)) \mid]$

$\Rightarrow xs = ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-drop* [rule-format]:  
 $n:nat \Rightarrow \forall i \in nat. \forall xs \in list(A). nth(i, drop(n, xs)) = nth(n \# + i, xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *take-succ* [rule-format]:  
 $xs \in list(A)$   
 $\Rightarrow \forall i. i < length(xs) \rightarrow take(succ(i), xs) = take(i, xs) @ [nth(i, xs)]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *take-add* [rule-format]:  
 $[xs \in list(A); j \in nat]$   
 $\Rightarrow \forall i \in nat. take(i \# + j, xs) = take(i, xs) @ take(j, drop(i, xs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *length-take*:  
 $l \in list(A) \Rightarrow \forall n \in nat. length(take(n, l)) = min(n, length(l))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 28.1 The function zip

Crafty definition to eliminate a type argument

**consts**  
 $zip-aux \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$

**primrec**  
 $zip-aux(B, []) =$   
 $(\lambda ys \in list(B). list-case([], \%y l. [], ys))$   
 $zip-aux(B, Cons(x, l)) =$   
 $(\lambda ys \in list(B).$   
 $list-case(Nil, \%y zs. Cons(<x, y>, zip-aux(B, l) 'zs), ys))$

**definition**  
 $zip :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $zip(xs, ys) == zip-aux(set-of-list(ys), xs) 'ys$

**lemma** *list-on-set-of-list*:  $xs \in list(A) \Rightarrow xs \in list(set-of-list(xs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-Nil* [simp]:  $ys: list(A) \Rightarrow zip(Nil, ys) = Nil$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-Nil2* [simp]:  $xs: list(A) \Rightarrow zip(xs, Nil) = Nil$



$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-aux-unique* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [|B \leq C; \quad xs \in \text{list}(A)|] \\ & \implies \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip-aux}(C, xs) \text{ ‘ } ys = \text{zip-aux}(B, xs) \text{ ‘ } ys \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-Cons-Cons* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \quad xs:\text{list}(A); \quad ys:\text{list}(B); \quad x:A; \quad y:B \quad |] \implies \\ & \quad \text{zip}(\text{Cons}(x, xs), \text{Cons}(y, ys)) = \text{Cons}(\langle x, y \rangle, \text{zip}(xs, ys)) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-type* [rule-format, simp, TC]:

$$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip}(xs, ys):\text{list}(A * B)$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-zip* [rule-format, simp]:

$$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{length}(\text{zip}(xs, ys)) = \min(\text{length}(xs), \text{length}(ys))$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-append1* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \quad ys:\text{list}(A); \quad zs:\text{list}(B) \quad |] \implies \\ & \quad \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{zip}(xs \text{ @ } ys, zs) = \\ & \quad \quad \text{zip}(xs, \text{take}(\text{length}(xs), zs)) \text{ @ } \text{zip}(ys, \text{drop}(\text{length}(xs), zs)) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-append2* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \quad xs:\text{list}(A); \quad zs:\text{list}(B) \quad |] \implies \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip}(xs, ys \text{ @ } zs) = \\ & \quad \text{zip}(\text{take}(\text{length}(ys), xs), ys) \text{ @ } \text{zip}(\text{drop}(\text{length}(ys), xs), zs) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-append* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \quad \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(us); \quad \text{length}(ys) = \text{length}(vs); \\ & \quad \quad xs:\text{list}(A); \quad us:\text{list}(B); \quad ys:\text{list}(A); \quad vs:\text{list}(B) \quad |] \\ & \implies \text{zip}(xs \text{ @ } ys, us \text{ @ } vs) = \text{zip}(xs, us) \text{ @ } \text{zip}(ys, vs) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zip-rev* [rule-format, simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} & ys:\text{list}(B) \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \\ & \quad \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys) \longrightarrow \text{zip}(\text{rev}(xs), \text{rev}(ys)) = \text{rev}(\text{zip}(xs, ys)) \end{aligned}$$
  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-zip* [rule-format, simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} & ys:\text{list}(B) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \\ & \quad i < \text{length}(xs) \longrightarrow i < \text{length}(ys) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad \text{nth}(i, \text{zip}(xs, ys)) = \langle \text{nth}(i, xs), \text{nth}(i, ys) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-list-zip* [rule-format]:  
     $[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(B); i:\text{nat}]]$   
     $\implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{ys})) =$   
     $\{ \langle x, y \rangle : A * B. \text{EX } i:\text{nat}. i < \min(\text{length}(\text{xs}), \text{length}(\text{ys}))$   
     $\& x = \text{nth}(i, \text{xs}) \& y = \text{nth}(i, \text{ys}) \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-Nil* [simp]:  $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{list-update}(\text{Nil}, i, v) = \text{Nil}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-Cons-0* [simp]:  $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, \text{xs}), 0, v) = \text{Cons}(v, \text{xs})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-Cons-succ* [simp]:  
     $n:\text{nat} \implies$   
     $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, \text{xs}), \text{succ}(n), v) = \text{Cons}(x, \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, n, v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-type* [rule-format, simp, TC]:  
     $[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); v:A]] \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, n, v) : \text{list}(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *length-list-update* [rule-format, simp]:  
     $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(\text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, v)) = \text{length}(\text{xs})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-list-update* [rule-format]:  
     $[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A)]] \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(\text{xs}) \implies$   
     $\text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, x)) = (\text{if } i=j \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{nth}(j, \text{xs}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-list-update-eq* [simp]:  
     $[[i < \text{length}(\text{xs}); \text{xs}:\text{list}(A)]] \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, x)) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-list-update-neq* [rule-format, simp]:  
     $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies$   
     $\forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i \sim j \implies \text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, x)) = \text{nth}(j, \text{xs})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-overwrite* [rule-format, simp]:  
     $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(\text{xs})$   
     $\implies \text{list-update}(\text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, x), i, y) = \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, i, y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-update-same-conv* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& xs: \text{list}(A) ==> \\
& \quad \forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \dashrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad (\text{list-update}(xs, i, x) = xs) <-> (\text{nth}(i, xs) = x)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *update-zip* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ys: \text{list}(B) ==> \\
& \quad \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall xy \in A * B. \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \\
& \quad \quad \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys) \dashrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \text{list-update}(\text{zip}(xs, ys), i, xy) = \text{zip}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, \text{fst}(xy)), \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{list-update}(ys, i, \text{snd}(xy)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-update-subset-cons* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned}
& xs: \text{list}(A) ==> \\
& \quad \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{set-of-list}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) \leq \text{cons}(x, \text{set-of-list}(xs))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-list-update-subsetI*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \quad [\text{set-of-list}(xs) \leq A; xs: \text{list}(A); x: A; i: \text{nat}] \\
& \quad ==> \text{set-of-list}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) \leq A
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-rec*:

$$j: \text{nat} ==> \text{upt}(i, j) = (\text{if } i < j \text{ then } \text{Cons}(i, \text{upt}(\text{succ}(i), j)) \text{ else } \text{Nil})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-conv-Nil* [simp]:  $[\text{ } j \text{ le } i; j: \text{nat} \text{ } ] ==> \text{upt}(i, j) = \text{Nil}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-succ-append*:

$$[\text{ } i \text{ le } j; j: \text{nat} \text{ } ] ==> \text{upt}(i, \text{succ}(j)) = \text{upt}(i, j) @ [j]$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-conv-Cons*:

$$[\text{ } i < j; j: \text{nat} \text{ } ] ==> \text{upt}(i, j) = \text{Cons}(i, \text{upt}(\text{succ}(i), j))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-type* [simp, TC]:  $j: \text{nat} ==> \text{upt}(i, j): \text{list}(\text{nat})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-add-eq-append*:

$$[\text{ } i \text{ le } j; j: \text{nat}; k: \text{nat} \text{ } ] ==> \text{upt}(i, j \# + k) = \text{upt}(i, j) @ \text{upt}(j, j \# + k)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *length-upt* [simp]:  $[| i:nat; j:nat |] ==> length(upt(i,j)) = j \# - i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-upt* [rule-format,simp]:  
 $[| i:nat; j:nat; k:nat |] ==> i \# + k < j --> nth(k, upt(i,j)) = i \# + k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *take-upt* [rule-format,simp]:  
 $[| m:nat; n:nat |] ==>$   
 $\forall i \in nat. i \# + m \leq n --> take(m, upt(i,n)) = upt(i, i \# + m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-succ-upt*:  
 $[| m:nat; n:nat |] ==> map(succ, upt(m,n)) = upt(succ(m), succ(n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-map* [rule-format,simp]:  
 $xs:list(A) ==>$   
 $\forall n \in nat. n < length(xs) --> nth(n, map(f, xs)) = f(nth(n, xs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nth-map-upt* [rule-format]:  
 $[| m:nat; n:nat |] ==>$   
 $\forall i \in nat. i < n \# - m --> nth(i, map(f, upt(m,n))) = f(m \# + i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

*sublist* ::  $[i, i] ==> i$  **where**  
*sublist*(*xs*, *A*) ==  
*map*(*fst*, (*filter*( $\%p. snd(p): A, zip(xs, upt(0, length(xs))))$ ))

**lemma** *sublist-0* [simp]:  $xs:list(A) ==> sublist(xs, 0) = Nil$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-Nil* [simp]:  $sublist(Nil, A) = Nil$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-shift-lemma*:  
 $[| xs:list(B); i:nat |] ==>$   
 $map(fst, filter(\%p. snd(p):A, zip(xs, upt(i, i \# + length(xs)))) =$   
 $map(fst, filter(\%p. snd(p):nat \& snd(p) \# + i:A, zip(xs, upt(0, length(xs))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-type* [simp,TC]:  
 $xs:list(B) ==> sublist(xs, A):list(B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *upt-add-eq-append2*:

$\llbracket i:nat; j:nat \rrbracket ==> upt(0, i \# + j) = upt(0, i) @ upt(i, i \# + j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-append*:

$\llbracket xs:list(B); ys:list(B) \rrbracket ==>$   
 $sublist(xs@ys, A) = sublist(xs, A) @ sublist(ys, \{j:nat. j \# + length(xs): A\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-Cons*:

$\llbracket xs:list(B); x:B \rrbracket ==>$   
 $sublist(Cons(x, xs), A) =$   
 $(if\ 0:A\ then\ [x]\ else\ []) @ sublist(xs, \{j:nat. succ(j) : A\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-singleton* [simp]:

$sublist([x], A) = (if\ 0 : A\ then\ [x]\ else\ [])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-upt-eq-take* [rule-format, simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> ALL\ n:nat. sublist(xs, n) = take(n, xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sublist-Int-eq*:

$xs : list(B) ==> sublist(xs, A \cap nat) = sublist(xs, A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Repetition of a List Element

**consts** *repeat* ::  $[i, i] ==> i$

**primrec**

$repeat(a, 0) = []$

$repeat(a, succ(n)) = Cons(a, repeat(a, n))$

**lemma** *length-repeat*:  $n \in nat ==> length(repeat(a, n)) = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *repeat-succ-app*:  $n \in nat ==> repeat(a, succ(n)) = repeat(a, n) @ [a]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *repeat-type* [TC]:  $\llbracket a \in A; n \in nat \rrbracket ==> repeat(a, n) \in list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 29 Equivalence Relations

**theory** *EquivClass* **imports** *Transl Perm* **begin**

**definition**

*quotient*  $:: [i, i] => i$  (**infixl**  $'/'$  90) **where**  
 $A // r == \{r''\{x\} . x:A\}$

**definition**

*congruent*  $:: [i, i => i] => o$  **where**  
 $congruent(r, b) == ALL\ y\ z. <y, z>:r \dashrightarrow b(y) = b(z)$

**definition**

*congruent2*  $:: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => o$  **where**  
 $congruent2(r1, r2, b) == ALL\ y1\ z1\ y2\ z2.$   
 $<y1, z1>:r1 \dashrightarrow <y2, z2>:r2 \dashrightarrow b(y1, y2) = b(z1, z2)$

**abbreviation**

*RESPECTS*  $:: [i => i, i] => o$  (**infixr** *respects* 80) **where**  
 $f\ respects\ r == congruent(r, f)$

**abbreviation**

*RESPECTS2*  $:: [i => i => i, i] => o$  (**infixr** *respects2* 80) **where**  
 $f\ respects2\ r == congruent2(r, r, f)$   
— Abbreviation for the common case where the relations are identical

### 29.1 Suppes, Theorem 70: $r$ is an equiv relation iff $converse(r) \circ r = r$

**lemma** *sym-trans-comp-subset*:

$[ [sym(r); trans(r)] ==> converse(r) \circ r \leq r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *refl-comp-subset*:

$[ [refl(A, r); r \leq A * A] ==> r \leq converse(r) \circ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-comp-eq*:

$equiv(A, r) ==> converse(r) \circ r = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-equivI*:

$[ [converse(r) \circ r = r; domain(r) = A] ==> equiv(A, r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-class-subset*:

$\llbracket \text{sym}(r); \text{trans}(r); \langle a, b \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies r''\{a\} \leq r''\{b\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-class-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \langle a, b \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-class-self*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); a : A \rrbracket \implies a : r''\{a\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-equiv-class*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); r''\{b\} \leq r''\{a\}; b : A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-equiv-class*:  $\llbracket r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}; \text{equiv}(A, r); b : A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-class-nondisjoint*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); x : (r''\{a\} \text{ Int } r''\{b\}) \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-type*:  $\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies r \leq A * A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-class-eq-iff*:

$\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : r \iff r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \ \& \ x : A \ \& \ y : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-equiv-class-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); x : A; y : A \rrbracket \implies r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \iff \langle x, y \rangle : r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *quotientI* [TC]:  $x : A \implies r''\{x\} : A // r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *quotientE*:

$\llbracket X : A // r; !!x. \llbracket X = r''\{x\}; x : A \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Union-quotient*:

$\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \text{Union}(A // r) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *quotient-disj*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r); X: A//r; Y: A//r \rrbracket ==> X=Y \mid (X \text{ Int } Y <= 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 29.2 Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

**lemma** *UN-equiv-class*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r); b \text{ respects } r; a: A \rrbracket ==> (UN\ x:r^{''}\{a\}. b(x)) = b(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-equiv-class-type*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r); b \text{ respects } r; X: A//r; !!x. x: A ==> b(x): B \rrbracket$   
 $==> (UN\ x:X. b(x)): B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-equiv-class-inject*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r); b \text{ respects } r;$   
 $(UN\ x:X. b(x))=(UN\ y:Y. b(y)); X: A//r; Y: A//r;$   
 $!!x\ y. \llbracket x:A; y:A; b(x)=b(y) \rrbracket ==> <x,y>:r \rrbracket$   
 $==> X=Y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 29.3 Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

**lemma** *congruent2-implies-congruent*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r1); congruent2(r1,r2,b); a: A \rrbracket ==> congruent(r2,b(a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *congruent2-implies-congruent-UN*:

$\llbracket equiv(A1,r1); equiv(A2,r2); congruent2(r1,r2,b); a: A2 \rrbracket ==>$   
 $congruent(r1, \%x1. \bigcup x2 \in r2^{''}\{a\}. b(x1,x2))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-equiv-class2*:

$\llbracket equiv(A1,r1); equiv(A2,r2); congruent2(r1,r2,b); a1: A1; a2: A2 \rrbracket$   
 $==> (\bigcup x1 \in r1^{''}\{a1\}. \bigcup x2 \in r2^{''}\{a2\}. b(x1,x2)) = b(a1,a2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *UN-equiv-class-type2*:

$\llbracket equiv(A,r); b \text{ respects2 } r;$   
 $X1: A//r; X2: A//r;$   
 $!!x1\ x2. \llbracket x1: A; x2: A \rrbracket ==> b(x1,x2): B$   
 $\rrbracket ==> (UN\ x1:X1. UN\ x2:X2. b(x1,x2)): B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *congruent2I*:

```

  [| equiv(A1,r1); equiv(A2,r2);
    !! y z w. [| w ∈ A2; <y,z> ∈ r1 |] ==> b(y,w) = b(z,w);
    !! y z w. [| w ∈ A1; <y,z> ∈ r2 |] ==> b(w,y) = b(w,z)
  |] ==> congruent2(r1,r2,b)
<proof>

```

**lemma** *congruent2-commuteI*:

```

assumes equivA: equiv(A,r)
and commute: !! y z. [| y: A; z: A |] ==> b(y,z) = b(z,y)
and cong: !! y z w. [| w: A; <y,z>: r |] ==> b(w,y) = b(w,z)
shows b respects2 r
<proof>

```

**lemma** *congruent-commuteI*:

```

  [| equiv(A,r); Z: A//r;
    !!w. [| w: A |] ==> congruent(r, %z. b(w,z));
    !!x y. [| x: A; y: A |] ==> b(y,x) = b(x,y)
  |] ==> congruent(r, %w. UN z: Z. b(w,z))
<proof>

```

**end**

## 30 The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers

**theory** *Int-ZF* **imports** *EquivClass ArithSimp* **begin**

**definition**

```

  intrel :: i where
    intrel == {p : (nat*nat)*(nat*nat).
      ∃ x1 y1 x2 y2. p=<<x1,y1>,<x2,y2>> & x1#+y2 = x2#+y1}

```

**definition**

```

  int :: i where
    int == (nat*nat)//intrel

```

**definition**

```

  int-of :: i=>i — coercion from nat to int    ($# - [80] 80) where
    $# m == intrel “ {<natify(m), 0>}

```

**definition**

```

  intify :: i=>i — coercion from ANYTHING to int where
    intify(m) == if m : int then m else $#0

```

**definition**

$raw-zminus :: i=>i$  **where**  
 $raw-zminus(z) == \bigcup \langle x,y \rangle \in z. \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle y,x \rangle \}$

**definition**

$zminus :: i=>i$  ( $\$- - [80] 80$ ) **where**  
 $\$- z == raw-zminus (\text{intify}(z))$

**definition**

$znegative :: i=>o$  **where**  
 $znegative(z) == \exists x y. x < y \ \& \ y \in nat \ \& \ \langle x,y \rangle \in z$

**definition**

$iszero :: i=>o$  **where**  
 $iszero(z) == z = \$\# 0$

**definition**

$raw-nat-of :: i=>i$  **where**  
 $raw-nat-of(z) == \text{nativify} (\bigcup \langle x,y \rangle \in z. x \# -y)$

**definition**

$nat-of :: i=>i$  **where**  
 $nat-of(z) == raw-nat-of (\text{intify}(z))$

**definition**

$zmagnitude :: i=>i$  **where**  
 — could be replaced by an absolute value function from int to int?  
 $zmagnitude(z) ==$   
 $THE m. m \in nat \ \& \ ((\sim \text{znegative}(z) \ \& \ z = \$\# m) \mid$   
 $(\text{znegative}(z) \ \& \ \$- z = \$\# m))$

**definition**

$raw-zmult :: [i,i] => i$  **where**  
 $raw-zmult(z1,z2) ==$   
 $\bigcup p1 \in z1. \bigcup p2 \in z2. \text{split}(\%x1 \ y1. \text{split}(\%x2 \ y2.$   
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# * x2 \ \#+ \ y1 \# * y2, x1 \# * y2 \ \#+ \ y1 \# * x2 \rangle \}, p2), p1)$

**definition**

$zmult :: [i,i] => i$  (**infixl**  $\$*$  70) **where**  
 $z1 \ \$* \ z2 == raw-zmult (\text{intify}(z1), \text{intify}(z2))$

**definition**

$raw-zadd :: [i,i] => i$  **where**  
 $raw-zadd (z1, z2) ==$   
 $\bigcup z1 \in z1. \bigcup z2 \in z2. \text{let } \langle x1,y1 \rangle = z1; \langle x2,y2 \rangle = z2$   
 $\text{in } \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$

**definition**

$zadd \quad :: \quad [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \$+ \ 65) \text{ where}$   
 $z1 \ \$+ \ z2 == \text{raw-zadd } (\text{intify}(z1), \text{intify}(z2))$

**definition**

$zdiff \quad :: \quad [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \$- \ 65) \text{ where}$   
 $z1 \ \$- \ z2 == z1 \ \$+ \ \text{zminus}(z2)$

**definition**

$zless \quad :: \quad [i,i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \$< \ 50) \text{ where}$   
 $z1 \ \$< \ z2 == \text{znegative}(z1 \ \$- \ z2)$

**definition**

$zle \quad :: \quad [i,i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50) \text{ where}$   
 $z1 \ \$\leq \ z2 == z1 \ \$< \ z2 \mid \text{intify}(z1) = \text{intify}(z2)$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

$zmult \ (\text{infixl } \$\times \ 70) \text{ and}$   
 $zle \ (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50) \text{ — less than or equals}$

**notation** (*HTML output*)

$zmult \ (\text{infixl } \$\times \ 70) \text{ and}$   
 $zle \ (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50)$

**declare** *quotientE* [*elim!*]

### 30.1 Proving that *intrel* is an equivalence relation

**lemma** *intrel-iff* [*simp*]:

$\langle\langle x1, y1 \rangle, \langle x2, y2 \rangle \rangle :: \text{intrel } \langle - \rangle$   
 $x1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intrelI* [*intro!*]:

$[ \mid x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1; \ x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid ]$   
 $==> \langle\langle x1, y1 \rangle, \langle x2, y2 \rangle \rangle :: \text{intrel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intrelE* [*elim!*]:

$[ \mid p: \text{intrel};$   
 $\quad !!x1 \ y1 \ x2 \ y2. [ \mid p = \langle\langle x1, y1 \rangle, \langle x2, y2 \rangle \rangle; \ x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1;$   
 $\quad \quad \quad x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid ] ==> \ Q \ ]$   
 $==> \ Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-trans-lemma*:

$[ \mid x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1; \ x2 \ \# + \ y3 = x3 \ \# + \ y2 \mid ] ==> \ x1 \ \# + \ y3 = x3$

#+ y1  
 <proof>

**lemma** *equiv-intrel*: *equiv*(*nat\*nat*, *intrel*)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *image-intrel-int*: [*m* ∈ *nat*; *n* ∈ *nat* ] ==> *intrel* “ {<*m*,*n*>} : *int*  
 <proof>

**declare** *equiv-intrel* [*THEN eq-equiv-class-iff*, *simp*]  
**declare** *conj-cong* [*cong*]

**lemmas** *eq-intrelD* = *eq-equiv-class* [*OF - equiv-intrel*]

**lemma** *int-of-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: \$# *m* : *int*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *int-of-eq* [*iff*]: (\$# *m* = \$# *n*) <-> *natify*(*m*) = *natify*(*n*)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *int-of-inject*: [*m* ∈ *nat*; *n* ∈ *nat* ] ==> *m* = *n*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *intify-in-int* [*iff*, *TC*]: *intify*(*x*) : *int*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *intify-ident* [*simp*]: *n* : *int* ==> *intify*(*n*) = *n*  
 <proof>

## 30.2 Collapsing rules: to remove *intify* from arithmetic expressions

**lemma** *intify-idem* [*simp*]: *intify*(*intify*(*x*)) = *intify*(*x*)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *int-of-natify* [*simp*]: \$# (*natify*(*m*)) = \$# *m*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *zminus-intify* [*simp*]: \$- (*intify*(*m*)) = \$- *m*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *zadd-intify1* [*simp*]: *intify*(*x*) \$+ *y* = *x* \$+ *y*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-intify2* [simp]:  $x \$+ intify(y) = x \$+ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-intify1* [simp]:  $intify(x) \$- y = x \$- y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-intify2* [simp]:  $x \$- intify(y) = x \$- y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-intify1* [simp]:  $intify(x) \$* y = x \$* y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-intify2* [simp]:  $x \$* intify(y) = x \$* y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-intify1* [simp]:  $intify(x) \$< y \longleftrightarrow x \$< y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-intify2* [simp]:  $x \$< intify(y) \longleftrightarrow x \$< y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-intify1* [simp]:  $intify(x) \$<= y \longleftrightarrow x \$<= y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-intify2* [simp]:  $x \$<= intify(y) \longleftrightarrow x \$<= y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 30.3 *zminus*: unary negation on *int*

**lemma** *zminus-congruent*:  $(\%<x,y>. intrel''\{<y,x>\})$  respects *intrel*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zminus-type*:  $z : int \implies raw-zminus(z) : int$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-type* [TC,iff]:  $\$-z : int$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zminus-inject*:  
[[  $raw-zminus(z) = raw-zminus(w)$ ;  $z : int$ ;  $w : int$  ]]  $\implies z = w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-inject-intify* [*dest!*]:  $\$-z = \$-w \implies \text{intify}(z) = \text{intify}(w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-inject*:  $[\$-z = \$-w; z : \text{int}; w : \text{int}] \implies z = w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zminus*:  
 $[\$x \in \text{nat}; \$y \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{raw-zminus}(\text{intrel} \{<x, y>\}) = \text{intrel} \{<y, x>\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus*:  
 $[\$x \in \text{nat}; \$y \in \text{nat}] \implies \$- (\text{intrel} \{<x, y>\}) = \text{intrel} \{<y, x>\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zminus-zminus*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zminus} (\text{raw-zminus}(z)) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zminus-intify* [*simp*]:  $\$- (\$- z) = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-int0* [*simp*]:  $\$- (\$ \# 0) = \$ \# 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zminus*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \$- (\$- z) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.4 *znegative*: the test for negative integers

**lemma** *znegative*:  $[\$x \in \text{nat}; \$y \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{znegative}(\text{intrel} \{<x, y>\}) <-> x < y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-znegative-int-of* [*iff*]:  $\sim \text{znegative}(\$ \# n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *znegative-zminus-int-of* [*simp*]:  $\text{znegative}(\$- \$ \# \text{succ}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-znegative-imp-zero*:  $\sim \text{znegative}(\$- \$ \# n) \implies \text{natify}(n) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.5 *nat-of*: Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number

**lemma** *nat-of-intify* [*simp*]:  $\text{nat-of}(\text{intify}(z)) = \text{nat-of}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-of-congruent*:  $(\lambda x. (\lambda \langle x, y \rangle. x \#- y)(x))$  respects *intrel*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-nat-of*:

$[| x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-nat-of}(\text{intrel}''\{\langle x, y \rangle\}) = x\# - y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-nat-of-int-of*:  $\text{raw-nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-of-int-of* [simp]:  $\text{nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-nat-of-type*:  $\text{raw-nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-of-type* [iff, TC]:  $\text{nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.6 zmagnitude: magnitide of an integer, as a natural number

**lemma** *zmagnitude-int-of* [simp]:  $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nativify-int-of-eq*:  $\text{nativify}(x) = n \implies \$ \# x = \$ \# n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmagnitude-zminus-int-of* [simp]:  $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ - \$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmagnitude-type* [iff, TC]:  $\text{zmagnitude}(z) \in \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zneg-int-of*:

$[| z : \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) |] \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zneg-mag* [simp]:

$[| z : \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) |] \implies \$ \# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zneg-int-of*:

$[| \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} |] \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ - (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zneg-mag* [simp]:

$[| \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} |] \implies \$ \# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = \$ - z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-cases*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n \mid z = \$ - (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zneg-raw-nat-of*:

$[[ \sim \text{znegative}(z); z: \text{int} ]] \implies \$\# (\text{raw-nat-of}(z)) = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zneg-nat-of-intify*:

$\sim \text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zneg-nat-of*:  $[[ \sim \text{znegative}(z); z: \text{int} ]] \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zneg-nat-of [simp]*:  $\text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 30.7 *op* \$+: addition on int

Congruence Property for Addition

**lemma** *zadd-congruent2*:

$(\%z1\ z2. \text{let } \langle x1, y1 \rangle = z1; \langle x2, y2 \rangle = z2$   
 $\quad \text{in } \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \})$   
 $\text{respects2 } \text{intrel}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd-type*:  $[[ z: \text{int}; w: \text{int} ]] \implies \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) : \text{int}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-type [iff, TC]*:  $z \$+ w : \text{int}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd*:

$[[ x1 \in \text{nat}; y1 \in \text{nat}; x2 \in \text{nat}; y2 \in \text{nat} ]]$   
 $\implies \text{raw-zadd} (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1, y1 \rangle \}, \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x2, y2 \rangle \}) =$   
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd*:

$[[ x1 \in \text{nat}; y1 \in \text{nat}; x2 \in \text{nat}; y2 \in \text{nat} ]]$   
 $\implies (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1, y1 \rangle \}) \$+ (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x2, y2 \rangle \}) =$   
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd-int0*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zadd} (\$ \# 0, z) = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-int0-intify [simp]*:  $\$ \# 0 \$+ z = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *zadd-int0*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \$\#0 \$+ z = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zminus-zadd-distrib*:  
 $[[ z : \text{int}; w : \text{int} ]] \implies \$- \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) = \text{raw-zadd}(\$- z, \$- w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zadd-distrib [simp]*:  $\$- (z \$+ w) = \$- z \$+ \$- w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd-commute*:  
 $[[ z : \text{int}; w : \text{int} ]] \implies \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) = \text{raw-zadd}(w, z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-commute*:  $z \$+ w = w \$+ z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd-assoc*:  
 $[[ z1 : \text{int}; z2 : \text{int}; z3 : \text{int} ]] \implies \text{raw-zadd} (\text{raw-zadd}(z1, z2), z3) = \text{raw-zadd}(z1, \text{raw-zadd}(z2, z3))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-assoc*:  $(z1 \$+ z2) \$+ z3 = z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-left-commute*:  $z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3) = z2 \$+ (z1 \$+ z3)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *zadd-ac* = *zadd-assoc zadd-commute zadd-left-commute*

**lemma** *int-of-add*:  $\$# (m \#+ n) = (\$#m) \$+ (\$#n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-succ-int-1*:  $\$# \text{succ}(m) = \$# 1 \$+ (\$# m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-of-diff*:  
 $[[ m \in \text{nat}; n \leq m ]] \implies \$# (m \#- n) = (\$#m) \$- (\$#n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zadd-zminus-inverse*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zadd} (z, \$- z) = \$\#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zminus-inverse [simp]*:  $z \$+ (\$- z) = \$\#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zminus-inverse2 [simp]*:  $(\$- z) \$+ z = \$\#0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-int0-right-intify* [simp]:  $z \ \$ + \ \$\#0 = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-int0-right*:  $z : \text{int} \implies z \ \$ + \ \$\#0 = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.8 *op* $\$ \times$ : Integer Multiplication

Congruence property for multiplication

**lemma** *zmult-congruent2*:  
( $\%p1 \ p2. \text{split}(\%x1 \ y1. \text{split}(\%x2 \ y2. \text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}, p2), p1)$ )  
*respects2 intrel*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-type*:  $[\mid z : \text{int}; \ w : \text{int} \mid] \implies \text{raw-zmult}(z, w) : \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-type* [iff, TC]:  $z \ \$ * \ w : \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult*:  
 $[\mid x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid]$   
 $\implies \text{raw-zmult}(\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}, \text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) =$   
 $\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult*:  
 $[\mid x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid]$   
 $\implies (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}) \ \$ * (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) =$   
 $\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-int0*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zmult}(\ \$\#0, z) = \ \$\#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-int0* [simp]:  $\ \$\#0 \ \$ * \ z = \ \$\#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-int1*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zmult}(\ \$\#1, z) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-int1-intify* [simp]:  $\ \$\#1 \ \$ * \ z = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-int1*:  $z : \text{int} \implies \ \$\#1 \ \$ * \ z = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-commute*:

$[| z: int; w: int |] ==> raw-zmult(z, w) = raw-zmult(w, z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-commute*:  $z \$* w = w \$* z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-zminus*:

$[| z: int; w: int |] ==> raw-zmult(\$- z, w) = \$- raw-zmult(z, w)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zminus [simp]*:  $(\$- z) \$* w = \$- (z \$* w)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zminus-right [simp]*:  $w \$* (\$- z) = \$- (w \$* z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmult-assoc*:

$[| z1: int; z2: int; z3: int |]$   
 $==> raw-zmult (raw-zmult(z1, z2), z3) = raw-zmult(z1, raw-zmult(z2, z3))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-assoc*:  $(z1 \$* z2) \$* z3 = z1 \$* (z2 \$* z3)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-left-commute*:  $z1 \$*(z2 \$* z3) = z2 \$*(z1 \$* z3)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *zmult-ac = zmult-assoc zmult-commute zmult-left-commute*

**lemma** *raw-zadd-zmult-distrib*:

$[| z1: int; z2: int; w: int |]$   
 $==> raw-zmult(raw-zadd(z1, z2), w) =$   
 $raw-zadd (raw-zmult(z1, w), raw-zmult(z2, w))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zmult-distrib*:  $(z1 \$+ z2) \$* w = (z1 \$* w) \$+ (z2 \$* w)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zmult-distrib2*:  $w \$* (z1 \$+ z2) = (w \$* z1) \$+ (w \$* z2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *int-typechecks =*

*int-of-type zminus-type zmagnitude-type zadd-type zmult-type*

**lemma** *zdiff-type* [*iff*, *TC*]:  $z \text{ \$- } w : \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zdiff-eq* [*simp*]:  $\text{\$- } (z \text{ \$- } y) = y \text{ \$- } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zmult-distrib*:  $(z1 \text{ \$- } z2) \text{ \$* } w = (z1 \text{ \$* } w) \text{ \$- } (z2 \text{ \$* } w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zmult-distrib2*:  $w \text{ \$* } (z1 \text{ \$- } z2) = (w \text{ \$* } z1) \text{ \$- } (w \text{ \$* } z2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zdiff-eq*:  $x \text{ \$+ } (y \text{ \$- } z) = (x \text{ \$+ } y) \text{ \$- } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zadd-eq*:  $(x \text{ \$- } y) \text{ \$+ } z = (x \text{ \$+ } z) \text{ \$- } y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.9 The "Less Than" Relation

**lemma** *zless-linear-lemma*:  
 $\llbracket z : \text{int}; w : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies z \text{ \$< } w \mid z = w \mid w \text{ \$< } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-linear*:  $z \text{ \$< } w \mid \text{intify}(z) = \text{intify}(w) \mid w \text{ \$< } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-not-refl* [*iff*]:  $\sim (z \text{ \$< } z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *neq-iff-zless*:  $\llbracket x : \text{int}; y : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies (x \sim y) \iff (x \text{ \$< } y \mid y \text{ \$< } x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-imp-intify-neq*:  $w \text{ \$< } z \implies \text{intify}(w) \sim \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-imp-succ-zadd-lemma*:  
 $\llbracket w \text{ \$< } z; w : \text{int}; z : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies (\exists n \in \text{nat}. z = w \text{ \$+ } \text{\$#}(succ(n)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-imp-succ-zadd*:  
 $w \text{ \$< } z \implies (\exists n \in \text{nat}. w \text{ \$+ } \text{\$#}(succ(n)) = \text{intify}(z))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-succ-zadd-lemma*:

$w : \text{int} \implies w \text{ \$< } w \text{ \$+ } \text{\$}\# \text{ succ}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-succ-zadd*:  $w \text{ \$< } w \text{ \$+ } \text{\$}\# \text{ succ}(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-iff-succ-zadd*:  
 $w \text{ \$< } z \iff (\exists n \in \text{nat}. w \text{ \$+ } \text{\$}\#(\text{succ}(n)) = \text{intify}(z))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-int-of [simp]*:  $[\mid m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies (\text{\$}\#m \text{ \$< } \text{\$}\#n) \iff (m < n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-trans-lemma*:  
 $[\mid x \text{ \$< } y; y \text{ \$< } z; x : \text{int}; y : \text{int}; z : \text{int} \mid] \implies x \text{ \$< } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-trans*:  $[\mid x \text{ \$< } y; y \text{ \$< } z \mid] \implies x \text{ \$< } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-not-sym*:  $z \text{ \$< } w \implies \sim (w \text{ \$< } z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *zless-asm* = *zless-not-sym* [*THEN swap, standard*]

**lemma** *zless-imp-zle*:  $z \text{ \$< } w \implies z \text{ \$<=} w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-linear*:  $z \text{ \$<=} w \mid w \text{ \$<=} z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.10 Less Than or Equals

**lemma** *zle-refl*:  $z \text{ \$<=} z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-eq-refl*:  $x=y \implies x \text{ \$<=} y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-anti-sym-intify*:  $[\mid x \text{ \$<=} y; y \text{ \$<=} x \mid] \implies \text{intify}(x) = \text{intify}(y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-anti-sym*:  $[\mid x \text{ \$<=} y; y \text{ \$<=} x; x : \text{int}; y : \text{int} \mid] \implies x=y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-trans-lemma*:  
 $[\mid x : \text{int}; y : \text{int}; z : \text{int}; x \text{ \$<=} y; y \text{ \$<=} z \mid] \implies x \text{ \$<=} z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-trans*:  $[[ x \$\leq y; y \$\leq z ]] ==> x \$\leq z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-zless-trans*:  $[[ i \$\leq j; j \$< k ]] ==> i \$< k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-zle-trans*:  $[[ i \$< j; j \$\leq k ]] ==> i \$< k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zless-iff-zle*:  $\sim (z \$< w) <-> (w \$\leq z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-zle-iff-zless*:  $\sim (z \$\leq w) <-> (w \$< z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 30.11 More subtraction laws (for *zcompare-rls*)

**lemma** *zdiff-zdiff-eq*:  $(x \$- y) \$- z = x \$- (y \$+ z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zdiff-eq2*:  $x \$- (y \$- z) = (x \$+ z) \$- y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zless-iff*:  $(x \$- y \$< z) <-> (x \$< z \$+ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-zdiff-iff*:  $(x \$< z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$< z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-eq-iff*:  $[[ x: int; z: int ]] ==> (x \$- y = z) <-> (x = z \$+ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-zdiff-iff*:  $[[ x: int; z: int ]] ==> (x = z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y = z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zle-iff-lemma*:  
 $[[ x: int; z: int ]] ==> (x \$- y \$\leq z) <-> (x \$\leq z \$+ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-zle-iff*:  $(x \$- y \$\leq z) <-> (x \$\leq z \$+ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-zdiff-iff-lemma*:  
 $[[ x: int; z: int ]] ==> (x \$\leq z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$\leq z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-zdiff-iff*:  $(x \$\leq z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$\leq z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This list of rewrites simplifies (in)equalities by bringing subtractions to the top and then moving negative terms to the other side. Use with *zadd-ac*

**lemmas** *zcompare-rls* =  
 $\text{zdiff-def } [\text{symmetric}]$   
 $\text{zadd-zdiff-eq zdiff-zadd-eq zdiff-zdiff-eq zdiff-zdiff-eq2}$   
 $\text{zdiff-zless-iff zless-zdiff-iff zdiff-zle-iff zle-zdiff-iff}$   
 $\text{zdiff-eq-iff eq-zdiff-iff}$

### 30.12 Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs

**lemma** *zadd-left-cancel*:  
 $[[ w: \text{int}; w': \text{int} ]] ==> (z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> (w' = w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-left-cancel-intify [simp]*:  
 $(z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> \text{intify}(w') = \text{intify}(w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-right-cancel*:  
 $[[ w: \text{int}; w': \text{int} ]] ==> (w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> (w' = w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-right-cancel-intify [simp]*:  
 $(w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> \text{intify}(w') = \text{intify}(w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-right-cancel-zless [simp]*:  $(w' \$+ z \$< w \$+ z) <-> (w' \$< w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-left-cancel-zless [simp]*:  $(z \$+ w' \$< z \$+ w) <-> (w' \$< w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-right-cancel-zle [simp]*:  $(w' \$+ z \$<= w \$+ z) <-> w' \$<= w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-left-cancel-zle [simp]*:  $(z \$+ w' \$<= z \$+ w) <-> w' \$<= w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *zadd-zless-mono1* = *zadd-right-cancel-zless [THEN iffD2, standard]*

**lemmas** *zadd-zless-mono2* = *zadd-left-cancel-zless [THEN iffD2, standard]*

**lemmas** *zadd-zle-mono1* = *zadd-right-cancel-zle [THEN iffD2, standard]*

**lemmas** *zadd-zle-mono2* = *zadd-left-cancel-zle* [*THEN iffD2, standard*]

**lemma** *zadd-zle-mono*:  $[[w' \$\leq w; z' \$\leq z]] \implies w' \$+ z' \$\leq w \$+ z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zless-mono*:  $[[w' \$< w; z' \$\leq z]] \implies w' \$+ z' \$< w \$+ z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 30.13 Comparison laws

**lemma** *zminus-zless-zminus* [*simp*]:  $(\$- x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zle-zminus* [*simp*]:  $(\$- x \$\leq \$- y) <-> (y \$\leq x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 30.13.1 More inequality lemmas

**lemma** *equation-zminus*:  $[[x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}]] \implies (x = \$- y) <-> (y = \$- x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-equation*:  $[[x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}]] \implies (\$- x = y) <-> (\$- y = x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equation-zminus-intify*:  $(\text{intify}(x) = \$- y) <-> (\text{intify}(y) = \$- x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-equation-intify*:  $(\$- x = \text{intify}(y)) <-> (\$- y = \text{intify}(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 30.13.2 The next several equations are permutative: watch out!

**lemma** *zless-zminus*:  $(x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< \$- x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zless*:  $(\$- x \$< y) <-> (\$- y \$< x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zle-zminus*:  $(x \$\leq \$- y) <-> (y \$\leq \$- x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-zle*:  $(\$- x \$\leq y) <-> (\$- y \$\leq x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**



## 31 Arithmetic on Binary Integers

```

theory Bin
imports Int-ZF Datatype-ZF
uses (Tools/numeral-syntax.ML)
begin

```

```

consts bin :: i
datatype
  bin = Pls
      | Min
      | Bit (w: bin, b: bool)    (infixl BIT 90)

```

⟨ML⟩

```

syntax
  -Int    :: xnum => i          (-)

```

```

consts
  integ-of :: i => i
  NCons    :: [i,i] => i
  bin-succ :: i => i
  bin-pred :: i => i
  bin-minus :: i => i
  bin-adder :: i => i
  bin-mult  :: [i,i] => i

```

```

primrec
  integ-of-Pls: integ-of (Pls)    = $# 0
  integ-of-Min: integ-of (Min)    = $-($#1)
  integ-of-BIT: integ-of (w BIT b) = $#b $+ integ-of(w) $+ integ-of(w)

```

```

primrec
  NCons-Pls: NCons (Pls,b)    = cond(b,Pls BIT b,Pls)
  NCons-Min: NCons (Min,b)    = cond(b,Min,Min BIT b)
  NCons-BIT: NCons (w BIT c,b) = w BIT c BIT b

```

```

primrec
  bin-succ-Pls: bin-succ (Pls)    = Pls BIT 1
  bin-succ-Min: bin-succ (Min)    = Pls
  bin-succ-BIT: bin-succ (w BIT b) = cond(b, bin-succ(w) BIT 0, NCons(w,1))

```

```

primrec
  bin-pred-Pls: bin-pred (Pls)    = Min
  bin-pred-Min: bin-pred (Min)    = Min BIT 0
  bin-pred-BIT: bin-pred (w BIT b) = cond(b, NCons(w,0), bin-pred(w) BIT 1)

```

**primrec***bin-minus-Pls:* $\text{bin-minus } (Pls) = Pls$ *bin-minus-Min:* $\text{bin-minus } (Min) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$ *bin-minus-BIT:*
$$\text{bin-minus } (w \text{ BIT } b) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-pred}(NCons(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0)), \\ \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0)$$
**primrec***bin-adder-Pls:* $\text{bin-adder } (Pls) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } w)$ *bin-adder-Min:* $\text{bin-adder } (Min) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } \text{bin-pred}(w))$ *bin-adder-BIT:*
$$\text{bin-adder } (v \text{ BIT } x) = \\ (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } \\ \text{bin-case } (v \text{ BIT } x, \text{bin-pred}(v \text{ BIT } x), \\ \%w \text{ y. } NCons(\text{bin-adder } (v) \text{ ' cond}(x \text{ and } y, \text{bin-succ}(w), w), \\ x \text{ xor } y), \\ w))$$
**definition**
$$\text{bin-add} :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where} \\ \text{bin-add}(v, w) == \text{bin-adder}(v) \text{ ' } w$$
**primrec***bin-mult-Pls:* $\text{bin-mult } (Pls, w) = Pls$ *bin-mult-Min:* $\text{bin-mult } (Min, w) = \text{bin-minus}(w)$ *bin-mult-BIT:*
$$\text{bin-mult } (v \text{ BIT } b, w) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-add}(NCons(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w), \\ NCons(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0))$$
 $\langle ML \rangle$ **declare** *bin.intros* [*simp*, *TC*]**lemma** *NCons-Pls-0*:  $NCons(Pls, 0) = Pls$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** *NCons-Pls-1*:  $NCons(Pls, 1) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *NCons-Min-0*:  $NCons(Min, 0) = Min \text{ BIT } 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *NCons-Min-1*:  $NCons(Min, 1) = Min$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *NCons-BIT*:  $NCons(w \text{ BIT } x, b) = w \text{ BIT } x \text{ BIT } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *NCons-simps* [*simp*] =  
*NCons-Pls-0 NCons-Pls-1 NCons-Min-0 NCons-Min-1 NCons-BIT*

**lemma** *integ-of-type* [*TC*]:  $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(w) : int$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *NCons-type* [*TC*]:  $[| w: bin; b: bool |] \implies NCons(w, b) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-succ-type* [*TC*]:  $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}succ(w) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-pred-type* [*TC*]:  $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}pred(w) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-minus-type* [*TC*]:  $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}minus(w) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-add-type* [*rule-format, TC*]:  
 $v: bin \implies ALL w: bin. bin\text{-}add(v, w) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-mult-type* [*TC*]:  $[| v: bin; w: bin |] \implies bin\text{-}mult(v, w) : bin$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 31.0.3 The Carry and Borrow Functions, *bin-succ* and *bin-pred*

**lemma** *integ-of-NCons* [*simp*]:  
 $[| w: bin; b: bool |] \implies integ\text{-}of(NCons(w, b)) = integ\text{-}of(w \text{ BIT } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-succ* [*simp*]:  
 $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(bin\text{-}succ(w)) = \$\#1 \ \$+ \ integ\text{-}of(w)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-pred* [simp]:  
 $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-pred}(w)) = \$- (\$ \# 1) \$+ \text{integ-of}(w)$   
 <proof>

#### 31.0.4 *bin-minus*: Unary Negation of Binary Integers

**lemma** *integ-of-minus*:  $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-minus}(w)) = \$- \text{integ-of}(w)$   
 <proof>

#### 31.0.5 *bin-add*: Binary Addition

**lemma** *bin-add-Pls* [simp]:  $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(\text{Pls}, w) = w$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-Pls-right*:  $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(w, \text{Pls}) = w$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-Min* [simp]:  $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(\text{Min}, w) = \text{bin-pred}(w)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-Min-right*:  $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(w, \text{Min}) = \text{bin-pred}(w)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-Pls* [simp]:  $\text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, \text{Pls}) = v \text{ BIT } x$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-Min* [simp]:  $\text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, \text{Min}) = \text{bin-pred}(v \text{ BIT } x)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-BIT* [simp]:  

$$[[ w: \text{bin}; y: \text{bool} ]]$$

$$\implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, w \text{ BIT } y) =$$

$$\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{cond}(x \text{ and } y, \text{bin-succ}(w), w)), x \text{ xor } y)$$
 <proof>

**lemma** *integ-of-add* [rule-format]:  
 $v: \text{bin} \implies$   

$$\text{ALL } w: \text{bin}. \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, w)) = \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ \text{integ-of}(w)$$
 <proof>

**lemma** *diff-integ-of-eq*:  

$$[[ v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} ]]$$

$$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$- \text{integ-of}(w) = \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-minus}(w)))$$
 <proof>

#### 31.0.6 *bin-mult*: Binary Multiplication

**lemma** *integ-of-mult*:  

$$[[ v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} ]]$$

$\Rightarrow \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-mult}(v,w)) = \text{integ-of}(v) \text{ \$* } \text{integ-of}(w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 31.1 Computations

**lemma** *bin-succ-1*:  $\text{bin-succ}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{bin-succ}(w) \text{ BIT } 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-succ-0*:  $\text{bin-succ}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{NCons}(w, 1)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-pred-1*:  $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{NCons}(w, 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-pred-0*:  $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-pred}(w) \text{ BIT } 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-minus-1*:  $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{bin-pred}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-minus-0*:  $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-11*:  $w: \text{bin} \Rightarrow \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 1) =$   
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-succ}(w)), 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-10*:  $w: \text{bin} \Rightarrow \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 0) =$   
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), 1)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-add-BIT-0*:  $[[ w: \text{bin}; y: \text{bool} ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w \text{ BIT } y) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-mult-1*:  $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w) = \text{bin-add}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bin-mult-0*:  $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-of-0*:  $\$ \# 0 = \# 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-of-succ*:  $\$ \# succ(n) = \# 1 \$ + \$ \# n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-0* [*simp*]:  $\$ - \# 0 = \# 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-0-intify* [*simp*]:  $\# 0 \$ + z = intify(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-0-right-intify* [*simp*]:  $z \$ + \# 0 = intify(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-1-intify* [*simp*]:  $\# 1 \$ * z = intify(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-1-right-intify* [*simp*]:  $z \$ * \# 1 = intify(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-0* [*simp*]:  $\# 0 \$ * z = \# 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-0-right* [*simp*]:  $z \$ * \# 0 = \# 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-minus1* [*simp*]:  $\# -1 \$ * z = \$ - z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-minus1-right* [*simp*]:  $z \$ * \# -1 = \$ - z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 31.2 Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers

Thanks to Norbert Voelker

**lemma** *eq-integ-of-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ v: bin; w: bin ] \\ & ==> ((integ-of(v)) = integ-of(w)) <-> \\ & \quad iszero (integ-of (bin-add (v, bin-minus(w)))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iszero-integ-of-Pls*:  $iszero (integ-of(Pls))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nonzero-integ-of-Min*:  $\sim iszero (integ-of(Min))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iszero-integ-of-BIT*:

$[[ w: bin; x: bool ]]$

$==> iszero (integ-of (w BIT x)) <-> (x=0 \ \& \ iszero (integ-of(w)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iszero-integ-of-0*:

$w: bin ==> iszero (integ-of (w BIT 0)) <-> iszero (integ-of(w))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iszero-integ-of-1*:  $w: bin ==> \sim iszero (integ-of (w BIT 1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-integ-of-eq-neg*:

$[[ v: bin; w: bin ]]$

$==> integ-of(v) \$< integ-of(w)$

$<-> znegative (integ-of (bin-add (v, bin-minus(w))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-neg-integ-of-Pls*:  $\sim znegative (integ-of(Pls))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-integ-of-Min*:  $znegative (integ-of(Min))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-integ-of-BIT*:

$[[ w: bin; x: bool ]]$

$==> znegative (integ-of (w BIT x)) <-> znegative (integ-of(w))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-integ-of-eq-not-less*:

$(integ-of(x) \$<= (integ-of(w))) <-> \sim (integ-of(w) \$< (integ-of(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *bin-succ-BIT* [*simp del*]

*bin-pred-BIT* [*simp del*]

*bin-minus-BIT* [*simp del*]

*NCons-Pls* [*simp del*]

*NCons-Min* [*simp del*]

*bin-adder-BIT* [*simp del*]

*bin-mult-BIT* [*simp del*]

**declare** *integ-of-Pls* [*simp del*] *integ-of-Min* [*simp del*] *integ-of-BIT* [*simp del*]

**lemmas** *bin-arith-extra-simps* =  
*integ-of-add* [*symmetric*]  
*integ-of-minus* [*symmetric*]  
*integ-of-mult* [*symmetric*]  
*bin-succ-1 bin-succ-0*  
*bin-pred-1 bin-pred-0*  
*bin-minus-1 bin-minus-0*  
*bin-add-Pls-right bin-add-Min-right*  
*bin-add-BIT-0 bin-add-BIT-10 bin-add-BIT-11*  
*diff-integ-of-eq*  
*bin-mult-1 bin-mult-0 NCons-simps*

**lemmas** *bin-arith-simps* =  
*bin-pred-Pls bin-pred-Min*  
*bin-succ-Pls bin-succ-Min*  
*bin-add-Pls bin-add-Min*  
*bin-minus-Pls bin-minus-Min*  
*bin-mult-Pls bin-mult-Min*  
*bin-arith-extra-simps*

**lemmas** *bin-rel-simps* =  
*eq-integ-of-eq iszero-integ-of-Pls nonzero-integ-of-Min*  
*iszero-integ-of-0 iszero-integ-of-1*  
*less-integ-of-eq-neg*  
*not-neg-integ-of-Pls neg-integ-of-Min neg-integ-of-BIT*  
*le-integ-of-eq-not-less*

**declare** *bin-arith-simps* [*simp*]  
**declare** *bin-rel-simps* [*simp*]

**lemma** *add-integ-of-left* [*simp*]:  

$$[| v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} |]  
\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$+ z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, w)) \$+ z)$$
*<proof>*

**lemma** *mult-integ-of-left* [*simp*]:  

$$[| v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} |]$$



$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$* (\text{integ-of}(w) \$* z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-mult}(v,w)) \$* z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-integ-of-diff1* [*simp*]:  
 $[[ v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} ]]$   
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$- c) = \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v,w)) \$- (c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-integ-of-diff2* [*simp*]:  
 $[[ v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} ]]$   
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (c \$- \text{integ-of}(w)) =$   
 $\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-minus}(w))) \$+ (c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *int-of-0* [*simp*] *int-of-succ* [*simp*]

**lemma** *zdiff0* [*simp*]:  $\#0 \$- x = \$-x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff0-right* [*simp*]:  $x \$- \#0 = \text{intify}(x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiff-self* [*simp*]:  $x \$- x = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *znegative-iff-zless-0*:  $k: \text{int} \implies \text{znegative}(k) <-> k \$< \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-zless-imp-znegative-zminus*:  $[[ \#0 \$< k; k: \text{int} ]]$   $\implies \text{znegative}(\$-k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-zle-int-of* [*simp*]:  $\#0 \$<= \$\# n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-of-0* [*simp*]:  $\text{nat-of}(\#0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-le-int0-lemma*:  $[[ z \$<= \$\#0; z: \text{int} ]]$   $\implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-le-int0*:  $z \$<= \$\#0 \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-of-eq-0-imp-natify-eq-0*:  $\$ \# n = \#0 \implies \text{natify}(n) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-of-zminus-int-of*:  $\text{nat-of}(\$ - \$\# n) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-of-nat-of*:  $\#0 \ \$ \leq z \implies \$\# \text{nat-of}(z) = \text{intify}(z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *int-of-nat-of* [simp] *nat-of-zminus-int-of* [simp]

**lemma** *int-of-nat-of-if*:  $\$ \# \text{nat-of}(z) = (\text{if } \#0 \ \$ \leq z \text{ then } \text{intify}(z) \text{ else } \#0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-nat-iff-int-zless*:  $[[\ m: \text{nat}; z: \text{int} \ ]] \implies (m < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (\$ \# m \ \$ < z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-nat-conj-lemma*:  $\$ \# 0 \ \$ < z \implies (\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (w \ \$ < z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-nat-conj*:  $(\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (\$ \# 0 \ \$ < z \ \& \ w \ \$ < z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-minus-reorient* [simp]:  
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = \$ - x) <-> (\$ - x = \text{integ-of}(w))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-add-reorient* [simp]:  
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ + y) <-> (x \ \$ + y = \text{integ-of}(w))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-diff-reorient* [simp]:  
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ - y) <-> (x \ \$ - y = \text{integ-of}(w))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *integ-of-mult-reorient* [simp]:  
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ * y) <-> (x \ \$ * y = \text{integ-of}(w))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *IntArith* **imports** *Bin*  
**uses** *int-arith.ML* **begin**

end

## 32 The Division Operators Div and Mod

**theory** *IntDiv-ZF* **imports** *IntArith OrderArith* **begin**

**definition**

*quorem* :: [*i*,*i*] => *o* **where**  
*quorem* == %<*a*,*b*> <*q*,*r*>.  
 $a = b\$*q \$+ r \ \&$   
 $(\#0\$<b \ \& \ \#0\$<=r \ \& \ r\$<b \mid \sim(\#0\$<b) \ \& \ b\$<r \ \& \ r \$<= \#0)$

**definition**

*adjust* :: [*i*,*i*] => *i* **where**  
*adjust*(*b*) == %<*q*,*r*>. *if*  $\#0 \$<= r\$-b$  *then* < $\#2\$*q \$+ \#1, r\$-b$ >  
*else* < $\#2\$*q, r$ >

**definition**

*posDivAlg* :: *i* => *i* **where**

*posDivAlg*(*ab*) ==  
 $wfrec(measure(int*int, \%<a,b>. nat-of (a \$- b \$+ \#1)),$   
 $ab,$   
 $\%<a,b> f. \text{ if } (a\$<b \mid b\$<=\#0) \text{ then } <\#0,a>$   
*else* *adjust*(*b*,  $f \text{ ' } <a, \#2\$*b>$ ))

**definition**

*negDivAlg* :: *i* => *i* **where**

*negDivAlg*(*ab*) ==  
 $wfrec(measure(int*int, \%<a,b>. nat-of (\$- a \$- b)),$   
 $ab,$   
 $\%<a,b> f. \text{ if } (\#0 \$<= a\$+b \mid b\$<=\#0) \text{ then } <\#-1, a\$+b>$   
*else* *adjust*(*b*,  $f \text{ ' } <a, \#2\$*b>$ ))

**definition**

*negateSnd* :: *i* => *i* **where**  
*negateSnd* == %<*q*,*r*>. <*q*,  $\$-r$ >

**definition**

```

divAlg :: i => i  where
  divAlg ==
    %<a,b>. if #0 $<= a then
      if #0 $<= b then posDivAlg (<a,b>)
      else if a=#0 then <#0,#0>
      else negateSnd (negDivAlg (<$-a,$-b>))
    else
      if #0$<b then negDivAlg (<a,b>)
      else          negateSnd (posDivAlg (<$-a,$-b>))

```

**definition**

```

zdiv :: [i,i]=>i          (infixl zdiv 70)  where
  a zdiv b == fst (divAlg (<intify(a), intify(b)>))

```

**definition**

```

zmod :: [i,i]=>i          (infixl zmod 70)  where
  a zmod b == snd (divAlg (<intify(a), intify(b)>))

```

**lemma** *zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos*:  $[ \#0 \$< x; \#0 \$< y ] \implies \#0 \$< x \$+ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos*:  $[ \#0 \$<= x; \#0 \$<= y ] \implies \#0 \$<= x \$+ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zneg-add-zneg-imp-zneg*:  $[ x \$< \#0; y \$< \#0 ] \implies x \$+ y \$< \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zneg-or-0-add-zneg-or-0-imp-zneg-or-0*:  
 $[ x \$<= \#0; y \$<= \#0 ] \implies x \$+ y \$<= \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-lt-zmagnitude*:  $[ \#0 \$< k; k \in int ] \implies 0 < zmagnitude(k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-add-succ-iff*:  
 $(w \$< z \$+ \$\# succ(m)) \iff (w \$< z \$+ \$\#m \mid intify(w) = z \$+ \$\#m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-succ-lemma*:

$z \in \text{int} \implies (w \ \$+ \ \$\# \ \text{succ}(m) \ \$\leq z) \longleftrightarrow (w \ \$+ \ \$\#m \ \$< z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-succ-zle-iff*:  $(w \ \$+ \ \$\# \ \text{succ}(m) \ \$\leq z) \longleftrightarrow (w \ \$+ \ \$\#m \ \$< z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zless-add1-iff-zle*:  $(w \ \$< z \ \$+ \ \#1) \longleftrightarrow (w \ \$\leq z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add1-zle-iff*:  $(w \ \$+ \ \#1 \ \$\leq z) \longleftrightarrow (w \ \$< z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add1-left-zle-iff*:  $(\#1 \ \$+ \ w \ \$\leq z) \longleftrightarrow (w \ \$< z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-mono-lemma*:  $k \in \text{nat} \implies i \ \$\leq j \implies i \ \$* \ \$\#k \ \$\leq j \ \$* \ \$\#k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono1*:  $[[ i \ \$\leq j; \ \#0 \ \$\leq k ]] \implies i \ \$*k \ \$\leq j \ \$*k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*:  $[[ i \ \$\leq j; \ k \ \$\leq \#0 ]] \implies j \ \$*k \ \$\leq i \ \$*k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono2*:  $[[ i \ \$\leq j; \ \#0 \ \$\leq k ]] \implies k \ \$*i \ \$\leq k \ \$*j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono2-neg*:  $[[ i \ \$\leq j; \ k \ \$\leq \#0 ]] \implies k \ \$*j \ \$\leq k \ \$*i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono*:  
 $[[ i \ \$\leq j; \ k \ \$\leq l; \ \#0 \ \$\leq j; \ \#0 \ \$\leq k ]] \implies i \ \$*k \ \$\leq j \ \$*l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono2-lemma* [rule-format]:  
 $[[ i \ \$< j; \ k \in \text{nat} ]] \implies 0 < k \longrightarrow \#k \ \$* \ i \ \$< \#k \ \$* \ j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono2*:  $[[ i \ \$< j; \ \#0 \ \$< k ]] \implies k \ \$*i \ \$< k \ \$*j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono1*:  $[[ i \$< j; \#0 \$< k ]] ==> i \$* k \$< j \$* k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono*:  
 $[[ i \$< j; k \$< l; \#0 \$< j; \#0 \$< k ]] ==> i \$* k \$< j \$* l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono1-neg*:  $[[ i \$< j; k \$< \#0 ]] ==> j \$* k \$< i \$* k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono2-neg*:  $[[ i \$< j; k \$< \#0 ]] ==> k \$* j \$< k \$* i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-eq-lemma*:  
 $[[ m \in int; n \in int ]] ==> (m = \#0 \mid n = \#0) <-> (m \$* n = \#0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-eq-0-iff* [iff]:  $(m \$* n = \#0) <-> (intify(m) = \#0 \mid intify(n) = \#0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-lemma*:  
 $[[ k \in int; m \in int; n \in int ]] ==> (m \$* k \$< n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n \$< m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-cancel2*:  
 $(m \$* k \$< n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n \$< m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-cancel1*:  
 $(k \$* m \$< k \$* n) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n \$< m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-cancel2*:  
 $(m \$* k \$<= n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k --> m \$<= n) \& (k \$< \#0 --> n \$<= m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-cancel1*:  
 $(k \$* m \$<= k \$* n) <-> ((\#0 \$< k --> m \$<= n) \& (k \$< \#0 --> n \$<= m))$

$n \leq m$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-eq-iff-zle*:  $[[ m \in \text{int}; n \in \text{int} ]] \implies m = n \iff (m \leq n \ \& \ n \leq m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-cancel2-lemma*:  
 $[[ k \in \text{int}; m \in \text{int}; n \in \text{int} ]] \implies (m * k = n * k) \iff (k \neq 0 \mid m = n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-cancel2 [simp]*:  
 $(m * k = n * k) \iff (\text{intify}(k) \neq 0 \mid \text{intify}(m) = \text{intify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-cancel1 [simp]*:  
 $(k * m = k * n) \iff (\text{intify}(k) \neq 0 \mid \text{intify}(m) = \text{intify}(n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 32.1 Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders

**lemma** *unique-quotient-lemma*:  
 $[[ b * q' \leq r; \ #0 \leq r'; \ #0 \leq b; \ r < b ]] \implies q' \leq q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *unique-quotient-lemma-neg*:  
 $[[ b * q' \leq r; \ r \leq \#0; \ b < \#0; \ b < r' ]] \implies q \leq q'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *unique-quotient*:  
 $[[ \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q, r>); \ \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q', r'>); \ b \in \text{int}; \ b \neq \#0; \ q \in \text{int}; \ q' \in \text{int} ]] \implies q = q'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *unique-remainder*:  
 $[[ \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q, r>); \ \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q', r'>); \ b \in \text{int}; \ b \neq \#0; \ q \in \text{int}; \ q' \in \text{int}; \ r \in \text{int}; \ r' \in \text{int} ]] \implies r = r'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 32.2 Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$

**lemma** *adjust-eq [simp]*:  
 $\text{adjust}(b, <q, r>) = (\text{let } \text{diff} = r - b \text{ in}$

if #0 \$<= diff then <#2\$\*q \$+ #1,diff>  
 else <#2\$\*q,r>)

<proof>

**lemma** *posDivAlg-termination*:

[| #0 \$< b; ~ a \$< b |]  
 ==> nat-of(a \$- #2 \$× b \$+ #1) < nat-of(a \$- b \$+ #1)

<proof>

**lemmas** *posDivAlg-unfold* = def-wfrec [OF *posDivAlg-def wf-measure*]

**lemma** *posDivAlg-eqn*:

[| #0 \$< b; a ∈ int; b ∈ int |] ==>  
 posDivAlg(<a,b>) =  
 (if a\$<b then <#0,a> else adjust(b, posDivAlg (<a, #2\$\*b>)))

<proof>

**lemma** *posDivAlg-induct-lemma* [rule-format]:

**assumes** *prem*:

!!a b. [| a ∈ int; b ∈ int;  
 ~ (a \$< b | b \$<= #0) --> P(<a, #2 \$\* b>) |] ==> P(<a,b>)

**shows** <u,v> ∈ int\*int --> P(<u,v>)

<proof>

**lemma** *posDivAlg-induct* [consumes 2]:

**assumes** *u-int*: u ∈ int

**and** *v-int*: v ∈ int

**and** *ih*: !!a b. [| a ∈ int; b ∈ int;

~ (a \$< b | b \$<= #0) --> P(a, #2 \$\* b) |] ==> P(a,b)

**shows** P(u,v)

<proof>

**lemma** *intify-eq-0-iff-zle*: intify(m) = #0 <-> (m \$<= #0 & #0 \$<= m)

<proof>

### 32.3 Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs

**lemma** *zmult-pos*: [| #0 \$< i; #0 \$< j |] ==> #0 \$< i \$\* j

<proof>

**lemma** *zmult-neg*: [| i \$< #0; j \$< #0 |] ==> #0 \$< i \$\* j

<proof>

**lemma** *zmult-pos-neg*: [| #0 \$< i; j \$< #0 |] ==> i \$\* j \$< #0

<proof>



**lemma** *int-0-less-lemma*:

$[[ x \in \text{int}; y \in \text{int} ]]$   
 $\implies (\#0 \leq x * y) \iff (\#0 \leq x \ \& \ \#0 \leq y \mid x \leq \#0 \ \& \ y \leq \#0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-0-less-mult-iff*:

$(\#0 \leq x * y) \iff (\#0 \leq x \ \& \ \#0 \leq y \mid x \leq \#0 \ \& \ y \leq \#0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-0-le-lemma*:

$[[ x \in \text{int}; y \in \text{int} ]]$   
 $\implies (\#0 \leq x * y) \iff (\#0 \leq x \ \& \ \#0 \leq y \mid x \leq \#0 \ \& \ y \leq \#0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *int-0-le-mult-iff*:

$(\#0 \leq x * y) \iff ((\#0 \leq x \ \& \ \#0 \leq y) \mid (x \leq \#0 \ \& \ y \leq \#0))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-less-0-iff*:

$(x * y \leq \#0) \iff (\#0 \leq x \ \& \ y \leq \#0 \mid x \leq \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \leq y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-le-0-iff*:

$(x * y \leq \#0) \iff (\#0 \leq x \ \& \ y \leq \#0 \mid x \leq \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \leq y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *posDivAlg-type* [rule-format]:

$[[ a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} ]]$   $\implies \text{posDivAlg}(\langle a, b \rangle) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *posDivAlg-correct* [rule-format]:

$[[ a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} ]]$   
 $\implies \#0 \leq a \iff \#0 \leq b \iff \text{quorem}(\langle a, b \rangle, \text{posDivAlg}(\langle a, b \rangle))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 32.4 Correctness of negDivAlg, the division algorithm for a;0 and b;0

**lemma** *negDivAlg-termination*:

$[[ \#0 \leq b; a \geq b \leq \#0 ]]$   
 $\implies \text{nat-of}(\$ - a \$ - \#2 * b) < \text{nat-of}(\$ - a \$ - b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *negDivAlg-unfold* = *def-wfrec* [*OF negDivAlg-def wf-measure*]

**lemma** *negDivAlg-eqn*:

$[[ \#0 \ \$ < b; a : int; b : int ]] ==>$   
 $negDivAlg(<a,b>) =$   
 $(if \ \#0 \ \$ <= a \$ + b \ then \ <\#-1, a \$ + b>$   
 $\quad \quad \quad else \ adjust(b, negDivAlg \ (<a, \#2 \$ * b>)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *negDivAlg-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

**assumes** *prem*:

$!!a \ b. [[ a \in int; b \in int;$   
 $\quad \sim (\#0 \ \$ <= a \$ + b \mid b \ \$ <= \#0) \ --> P(<a, \#2 \$ * b>) ]]$   
 $==> P(<a,b>)$

**shows**  $<u,v> \in int * int \ --> P(<u,v>)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *negDivAlg-induct* [*consumes 2*]:

**assumes** *u-int*:  $u \in int$

**and** *v-int*:  $v \in int$

**and** *ih*:  $!!a \ b. [[ a \in int; b \in int;$   
 $\quad \sim (\#0 \ \$ <= a \$ + b \mid b \ \$ <= \#0) \ --> P(a, \#2 \$ * b) ]]$   
 $==> P(a,b)$

**shows**  $P(u,v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *negDivAlg-type*:

$[[ a \in int; b \in int ]] ==> negDivAlg(<a,b>) \in int * int$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *negDivAlg-correct* [*rule-format*]:

$[[ a \in int; b \in int ]]$   
 $==> a \ \$ < \#0 \ --> \#0 \ \$ < b \ --> quorem (<a,b>, negDivAlg(<a,b>))$

*<proof>*

## 32.5 Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct

**lemma** *quorem-0*:  $[[b \neq \#0; b \in int]] ==> quorem (<\#0,b>, <\#0,\#0>)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *posDivAlg-zero-divisor*:  $posDivAlg(<a,\#0>) = <\#0,a>$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *posDivAlg-0* [simp]:  $\text{posDivAlg } (<\#0, b>) = <\#0, \#0>$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *linear-arith-lemma*:  $\sim (\#0 \ \$<= \#-1 \ \$+ \ b) ==> (b \ \$<= \#0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *negDivAlg-minus1* [simp]:  $\text{negDivAlg } (<\#-1, b>) = <\#-1, b\$-\#1>$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *negateSnd-eq* [simp]:  $\text{negateSnd } (<q, r>) = <q, \$-r>$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *negateSnd-type*:  $qr \in \text{int} * \text{int} ==> \text{negateSnd } (qr) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *quorem-neg*:  
 $[[\text{quorem } (<\$-a, \$-b>, qr); \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}; \ qr \in \text{int} * \text{int}]]$   
 $==> \text{quorem } (<a, b>, \text{negateSnd}(qr))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *divAlg-correct*:  
 $[[b \neq \#0; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}]] ==> \text{quorem } (<a, b>, \text{divAlg } (<a, b>))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *divAlg-type*:  $[[a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}]] ==> \text{divAlg } (<a, b>) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-intify1* [simp]:  $\text{intify}(x) \ \text{zdiv} \ y = x \ \text{zdiv} \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-intify2* [simp]:  $x \ \text{zdiv} \ \text{intify}(y) = x \ \text{zdiv} \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-type* [iff, TC]:  $z \ \text{zdiv} \ w \in \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-intify1* [simp]:  $\text{intify}(x) \ \text{zmod} \ y = x \ \text{zmod} \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-intify2* [simp]:  $x \ \text{zmod} \ \text{intify}(y) = x \ \text{zmod} \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-type* [iff, TC]:  $z \ \text{zmod} \ w \in \text{int}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZDIV*:  $a \text{ zdiv } \#0 = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZMOD*:  $a \text{ zmod } \#0 = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *raw-zmod-zdiv-equality*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket \implies a = b \$* (a \text{ zdiv } b) \$+ (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zdiv-equality*:  $\text{intify}(a) = b \$* (a \text{ zdiv } b) \$+ (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-mod*:  $\#0 \$< b \implies \#0 \$\leq a \text{ zmod } b \ \& \ a \text{ zmod } b \$< b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *pos-mod-sign* = *pos-mod* [*THEN conjunct1*, *standard*]  
**and** *pos-mod-bound* = *pos-mod* [*THEN conjunct2*, *standard*]

**lemma** *neg-mod*:  $b \$< \#0 \implies a \text{ zmod } b \$\leq \#0 \ \& \ b \$< a \text{ zmod } b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *neg-mod-sign* = *neg-mod* [*THEN conjunct1*, *standard*]  
**and** *neg-mod-bound* = *neg-mod* [*THEN conjunct2*, *standard*]

**lemma** *quorem-div-mod*:  
 $\llbracket b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <a \text{ zdiv } b, a \text{ zmod } b>)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *quorem-div*:  
 $\llbracket \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q, r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b = q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *quorem-mod*:  
 $\llbracket \text{quorem}(<a, b>, <q, r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; r \in \text{int} \rrbracket$

$\implies a \text{ zmod } b = r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \leq a; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial*:  $\llbracket \#0 \leq a; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; a \leq \#0; b < a \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial*:  $\llbracket a \leq \#0; b < a \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-le-0-lemma*:  $\llbracket a+b \leq \#0; \#0 < a; \#0 < b \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial*:  $\llbracket \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \leq a; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-pos-trivial*:  $\llbracket \#0 \leq a; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; a \leq \#0; b < a \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-neg-neg-trivial*:  $\llbracket a \leq \#0; b < a \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a+b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-neg-trivial*:  $\llbracket \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zmod } b =$

$a\$+b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zminus-zminus-raw*:  
 $[[a \in int; b \in int]] ==> (\$-a) \text{ zdiv } (\$-b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zminus-zminus [simp]*:  $(\$-a) \text{ zdiv } (\$-b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zminus-zminus-raw*:  
 $[[a \in int; b \in int]] ==> (\$-a) \text{ zmod } (\$-b) = \$- (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zminus-zminus [simp]*:  $(\$-a) \text{ zmod } (\$-b) = \$- (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 32.6 division of a number by itself

**lemma** *self-quotient-aux1*:  $[[\#0 \$< a; a = r \$+ a\$*q; r \$< a]] ==> \#1 \$<= q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *self-quotient-aux2*:  $[[\#0 \$< a; a = r \$+ a\$*q; \#0 \$<= r]] ==> q \$<= \#1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *self-quotient*:  
 $[[quorem(<a,a>,<q,r>); a \in int; q \in int; a \neq \#0]] ==> q = \#1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *self-remainder*:  
 $[[quorem(<a,a>,<q,r>); a \in int; q \in int; r \in int; a \neq \#0]] ==> r = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-self-raw*:  $[[a \neq \#0; a \in int]] ==> a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-self [simp]*:  $intify(a) \neq \#0 ==> a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-self-raw*:  $a \in int ==> a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-self* [*simp*]:  $a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 32.7 Computation of division and remainder

**lemma** *zdiv-zero* [*simp*]:  $\#0 \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-eq-minus1*:  $\#0 \ \$< \ b ==> \#-1 \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zero* [*simp*]:  $\#0 \text{ zmod } b = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-minus1*:  $\#0 \ \$< \ b ==> \#-1 \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-minus1*:  $\#0 \ \$< \ b ==> \#-1 \text{ zmod } b = b \ \$- \ \#1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-pos*:  $[ \ \#0 \ \$< \ a; \ \#0 \ \$\leq \ b \ ]$   
 $==> \ a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{posDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-pos*:  
 $[ \ \#0 \ \$< \ a; \ \#0 \ \$\leq \ b \ ]$   
 $==> \ a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{posDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-neg-pos*:  
 $[ \ a \ \$< \ \#0; \ \#0 \ \$< \ b \ ]$   
 $==> \ a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-neg-pos*:  
 $[ \ a \ \$< \ \#0; \ \#0 \ \$< \ b \ ]$   
 $==> \ a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-neg*:  
 $[ \ \#0 \ \$< \ a; \ b \ \$< \ \#0 \ ]$

$\Rightarrow a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{negDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-pos-neg*:

$[[ \#0 \$< a; \ b \$< \#0 ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{negDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-neg-neg*:

$[[ a \$< \#0; \ b \$\leq \#0 ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{posDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-neg-neg*:

$[[ a \$< \#0; \ b \$\leq \#0 ]]$   
 $\Rightarrow a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{posDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** *zdiv-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zdiv-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zdiv-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zdiv-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zmod-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zmod-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zmod-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *zmod-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *posDivAlg-eqn* [of concl: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]  
**declare** *negDivAlg-eqn* [of concl: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]

**lemma** *zmod-1* [simp]:  $a \text{ zmod } \#1 = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-1* [simp]:  $a \text{ zdiv } \#1 = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-minus1-right* [simp]:  $a \text{ zmod } \#-1 = \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-minus1-right-raw*:  $a \in \text{int} \Rightarrow a \text{ zdiv } \#-1 = \$-a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-minus1-right*:  $a \text{ zdiv } \#-1 = \$-a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**declare** *zdiv-minus1-right* [simp]



### 32.8 Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor)

**lemma** *zdiv-mono1*:  $[[ a \leq a'; \#0 < b ]] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq a' \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono1-neg*:  $[[ a \leq a'; b < \#0 ]] \implies a' \text{ zdiv } b \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 32.9 Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)

**lemma** *q-pos-lemma*:

$[[ \#0 \leq b * q' + r'; r' < b'; \#0 < b' ]] \implies \#0 \leq q'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2-lemma*:

$[[ b * q + r = b' * q' + r'; \#0 \leq b' * q' + r';$   
 $r' < b'; \#0 \leq r; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b ]]$   
 $\implies q \leq q'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2-raw*:

$[[ \#0 \leq a; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b; a \in \text{int} ]]$   
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2*:

$[[ \#0 \leq a; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b ]]$   
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *q-neg-lemma*:

$[[ b' * q' + r' < \#0; \#0 \leq r'; \#0 < b' ]] \implies q' < \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2-neg-lemma*:

$[[ b * q + r = b' * q' + r'; b' * q' + r' < \#0;$   
 $r < b; \#0 \leq r'; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b ]]$   
 $\implies q' \leq q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2-neg-raw*:

$[[ a < \#0; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b; a \in \text{int} ]]$   
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-mono2-neg*:  $[[ a < \#0; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b ]]$   
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 32.10 More algebraic laws for zdiv and zmod

**lemma** *zmult1-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ \text{quorem}(\langle b, c \rangle, \langle q, r \rangle); \ c \in \text{int}; \ c \neq \#0 \ ]] \\ & \implies \text{quorem}(\langle a\$*b, c \rangle, \langle a\$*q \ \$+ (a\$*r) \ \text{zdiv} \ c, (a\$*r) \ \text{zmod} \ c \rangle) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ b \in \text{int}; \ c \in \text{int} ]] \\ & \implies (a\$*b) \ \text{zdiv} \ c = a\$*(b \ \text{zdiv} \ c) \ \$+ \ a\$*(b \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \text{zdiv} \ c \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult1-eq*:  $(a\$*b) \ \text{zdiv} \ c = a\$*(b \ \text{zdiv} \ c) \ \$+ \ a\$*(b \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \text{zdiv} \ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$$[[ b \in \text{int}; \ c \in \text{int} ]] \implies (a\$*b) \ \text{zmod} \ c = a\$*(b \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \text{zmod} \ c$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult1-eq*:  $(a\$*b) \ \text{zmod} \ c = a\$*(b \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \text{zmod} \ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult1-eq'*:  $(a\$*b) \ \text{zmod} \ c = ((a \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \$* \ b) \ \text{zmod} \ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-distrib*:  $(a\$*b) \ \text{zmod} \ c = ((a \ \text{zmod} \ c) \ \$* \ (b \ \text{zmod} \ c)) \ \text{zmod} \ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-self1* [simp]:  $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$*b) \ \text{zdiv} \ b = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-self2* [simp]:  $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (b\$*a) \ \text{zdiv} \ b = \text{intify}(a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-self1* [simp]:  $(a\$*b) \ \text{zmod} \ b = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-self2* [simp]:  $(b\$*a) \ \text{zmod} \ b = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd1-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[ \text{quorem}(\langle a, c \rangle, \langle aq, ar \rangle); \ \text{quorem}(\langle b, c \rangle, \langle bq, br \rangle); \\ & \quad c \in \text{int}; \ c \neq \#0 \ ]] \\ & \implies \text{quorem}(\langle a\$+b, c \rangle, \langle aq \ \$+ \ bq \ \$+ (ar\$+br) \ \text{zdiv} \ c, (ar\$+br) \ \text{zmod} \ c \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

$c >$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zadd1-eq-raw*:  

$$[[a \in int; b \in int; c \in int]] ==>$$

$$(a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } c = a \text{ zdiv } c \$+ b \text{ zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zadd1-eq*:  

$$(a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } c = a \text{ zdiv } c \$+ b \text{ zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd1-eq-raw*:  

$$[[a \in int; b \in int; c \in int]]$$

$$==> (a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd1-eq*:  $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-div-trivial-raw*:  

$$[[a \in int; b \in int]] ==> (a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-div-trivial [simp]*:  $(a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-mod-trivial-raw*:  

$$[[a \in int; b \in int]] ==> (a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zmod } b = a \text{ zmod } b$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-mod-trivial [simp]*:  $(a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zmod } b = a \text{ zmod } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd-left-eq*:  $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \$+ b) \text{ zmod } c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd-right-eq*:  $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \$+ (b \text{ zmod } c)) \text{ zmod } c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zadd-self1 [simp]*:  

$$intify(a) \neq \#0 ==> (a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zadd-self2 [simp]*:  

$$intify(a) \neq \#0 ==> (b\$+a) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd-self1* [simp]:  $(a + b) \bmod a = b \bmod a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zadd-self2* [simp]:  $(b + a) \bmod a = b \bmod a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 32.11 proving $a \bmod (b * c) = (a \bmod b) \bmod c$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-aux1*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ b \mid r; \ r \leq \#0 ]\!] \implies b * c \mid b * (q \bmod c) + r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-aux2*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ b \mid r; \ r \leq \#0 ]\!] \implies b * (q \bmod c) + r \leq \#0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-aux3*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ \#0 \leq r; \ r \mid b ]\!] \implies \#0 \leq b * (q \bmod c) + r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-aux4*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ \#0 \leq r; \ r \mid b ]\!] \implies b * (q \bmod c) + r \mid b * c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-lemma*:  
 $[\![ \text{quorem}(\langle a, b \rangle, \langle q, r \rangle); \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}; \ b \neq \#0; \ \#0 \nmid c ]\!] \implies \text{quorem}(\langle a, b * c \rangle, \langle q \bmod c, b * (q \bmod c) + r \rangle)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-eq-raw*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} ]\!] \implies a \bmod (b * c) = (a \bmod b) \bmod c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult2-eq*:  $\#0 \nmid c \implies a \bmod (b * c) = (a \bmod b) \bmod c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult2-eq-raw*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} ]\!] \implies a \bmod (b * c) = b * (a \bmod b \bmod c) + a \bmod b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult2-eq*:  
 $\#0 \nmid c \implies a \bmod (b * c) = b * (a \bmod b \bmod c) + a \bmod b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 32.12 Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:  
 $[\![ \#0 \nmid b; \ \text{intify}(c) \neq \#0 ]\!] \implies (c * a) \bmod (c * b) = a \bmod b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$[[b \neq 0; \text{intify}(c) \neq 0]] \implies (c * a) \text{ zdiv } (c * b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-raw*:

$[[\text{intify}(c) \neq 0; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (c * a) \text{ zdiv } (c * b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-zmult1*:  $\text{intify}(c) \neq 0 \implies (c * a) \text{ zdiv } (c * b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-zmult-zmult2*:  $\text{intify}(c) \neq 0 \implies (a * c) \text{ zdiv } (b * c) = a \text{ zdiv } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 32.13 Distribution of factors over "zmod"

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:

$[[\#0 \neq b; \text{intify}(c) \neq 0]] \implies (c * a) \text{ zmod } (c * b) = c * (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$[[b \neq 0; \text{intify}(c) \neq 0]] \implies (c * a) \text{ zmod } (c * b) = c * (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-zmult1-raw*:

$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies (c * a) \text{ zmod } (c * b) = c * (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-zmult1*:  $(c * a) \text{ zmod } (c * b) = c * (a \text{ zmod } b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmod-zmult-zmult2*:  $(a * c) \text{ zmod } (b * c) = (a \text{ zmod } b) * c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-neg-pos-less0*:  $[[a \neq 0; \#0 \neq b]] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \neq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-nonneg-neg-le0*:  $[[\#0 \leq a; b \neq 0]] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq \#0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff*:  $\#0 \leq b \implies (\#0 \leq a \text{ zdiv } b) \iff (\#0 \leq a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff*:  $b \leq \#0 \implies (\#0 \leq a \text{ zdiv } b) \iff (a \leq \#0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-imp-zdiv-neg-iff*:  $\#0 \leq b \implies (a \text{ zdiv } b \leq \#0) \iff (a \leq \#0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-imp-zdiv-neg-iff*:  $b \leq \#0 \implies (a \text{ zdiv } b \leq \#0) \iff (\#0 \leq a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

### 33 Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice

**theory** *CardinalArith* **imports** *Cardinal OrderArith ArithSimp Finite* **begin**

**definition**

*InfCard* ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  **where**  
*InfCard*( $i$ ) == *Card*( $i$ ) &  $\text{nat } \text{le } i$

**definition**

*cmult* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixl**  $|*|$  70) **where**  
 $i |*| j == |i*j|$

**definition**

*cadd* ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  (**infixl**  $|+|$  65) **where**  
 $i |+| j == |i+j|$

**definition**

*csquare-rel* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
*csquare-rel*( $K$ ) ==  
 $\text{rimage}(K*K,$   
 $\text{lam } \langle x, y \rangle : K*K. \langle x \text{ Un } y, x, y \rangle,$   
 $\text{rmult}(K, \text{Memrel}(K), K*K, \text{rmult}(K, \text{Memrel}(K), K, \text{Memrel}(K))))$

**definition**

*jump-cardinal* ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  **where**

— This def is more complex than Kunen's but it more easily proved to be a cardinal

*jump-cardinal*( $K$ ) ==  
 $\bigcup X \in \text{Pow}(K). \{z. r: \text{Pow}(K*K), \text{well-ord}(X, r) \ \& \ z = \text{ordertype}(X, r)\}$

**definition**

$csucc \quad :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 — needed because  $jump\text{-}cardinal(K)$  might not be the successor of  $K$   
 $csucc(K) == LEAST L. Card(L) \ \& \ K < L$

**notation** (*xsymbols output*)

$cadd$  (**infixl**  $\oplus$  65) **and**  
 $cmult$  (**infixl**  $\otimes$  70)

**notation** (*HTML output*)

$cadd$  (**infixl**  $\oplus$  65) **and**  
 $cmult$  (**infixl**  $\otimes$  70)

**lemma** *Card-Union* [*simp,intro,TC*]:  $(ALL \ x:A. Card(x)) \Rightarrow Card(Union(A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-UN*:  $(!!x. x:A \Rightarrow Card(K(x))) \Rightarrow Card(\bigcup x \in A. K(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-OUN* [*simp,intro,TC*]:  
 $(!!x. x:A \Rightarrow Card(K(x))) \Rightarrow Card(\bigcup x < A. K(x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *n-lesspoll-nat*:  $n \in nat \Rightarrow n \prec nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-Card-imp-lesspoll*:  $[| Card(K); b \in K |] \Rightarrow b \prec K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lesspoll-lemma*:  $[| \sim A \prec B; C \prec B |] \Rightarrow A - C \neq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**33.1 Cardinal addition**

Note: Could omit proving the algebraic laws for cardinal addition and multiplication. On finite cardinals these operations coincide with addition and multiplication of natural numbers; on infinite cardinals they coincide with union (maximum). Either way we get most laws for free.

**33.1.1 Cardinal addition is commutative**

**lemma** *sum-commute-epoll*:  $A+B \approx B+A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cadd-commute*:  $i \mid + \mid j = j \mid + \mid i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.1.2 Cardinal addition is associative

**lemma** *sum-assoc-epoll*:  $(A+B)+C \approx A+(B+C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-cadd-assoc*:  
 $[ [ \text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk) ] ]$   
 $\implies (i \mid\mid j) \mid\mid k = i \mid\mid (j \mid\mid k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.1.3 0 is the identity for addition

**lemma** *sum-0-epoll*:  $0+A \approx A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cadd-0 [simp]*:  $\text{Card}(K) \implies 0 \mid\mid K = K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.1.4 Addition by another cardinal

**lemma** *sum-lepoll-self*:  $A \lesssim A+B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cadd-le-self*:  
 $[ [ \text{Card}(K); \text{Ord}(L) ] ] \implies K \text{ le } (K \mid\mid L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.1.5 Monotonicity of addition

**lemma** *sum-lepoll-mono*:  
 $[ [ A \lesssim C; B \lesssim D ] ] \implies A + B \lesssim C + D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cadd-le-mono*:  
 $[ [ K' \text{ le } K; L' \text{ le } L ] ] \implies (K' \mid\mid L') \text{ le } (K \mid\mid L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.1.6 Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition

**lemma** *sum-succ-epoll*:  $\text{succ}(A)+B \approx \text{succ}(A+B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cadd-succ-lemma*:  
 $[ [ \text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n) ] ] \implies \text{succ}(m) \mid\mid n = |\text{succ}(m \mid\mid n)|$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *nat-cadd-eq-add*:  $[| m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \Rightarrow m \mid + \mid n = m\# + n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 33.2 Cardinal multiplication

### 33.2.1 Cardinal multiplication is commutative

**lemma** *prod-commute-eqpoll*:  $A * B \approx B * A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-commute*:  $i \mid * \mid j = j \mid * \mid i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.2.2 Cardinal multiplication is associative

**lemma** *prod-assoc-eqpoll*:  $(A * B) * C \approx A * (B * C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-cmult-assoc*:  
 $[| \text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk) |]$   
 $\Rightarrow (i \mid * \mid j) \mid * \mid k = i \mid * \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.2.3 Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition

**lemma** *sum-prod-distrib-eqpoll*:  $(A + B) * C \approx (A * C) + (B * C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-cadd-cmult-distrib*:  
 $[| \text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk) |]$   
 $\Rightarrow (i \mid + \mid j) \mid * \mid k = (i \mid * \mid k) \mid + \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.2.4 Multiplication by 0 yields 0

**lemma** *prod-0-eqpoll*:  $0 * A \approx 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-0 [simp]*:  $0 \mid * \mid i = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.2.5 1 is the identity for multiplication

**lemma** *prod-singleton-eqpoll*:  $\{x\} * A \approx A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-1 [simp]*:  $\text{Card}(K) \Rightarrow 1 \mid * \mid K = K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.3 Some inequalities for multiplication

**lemma** *prod-square-lepoll*:  $A \lesssim A * A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-square-le*:  $Card(K) ==> K \leq K \mid * \mid K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 33.3.1 Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal

**lemma** *prod-lepoll-self*:  $b: B ==> A \lesssim A * B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-le-self*:  
 $\llbracket Card(K); Ord(L); 0 < L \rrbracket ==> K \leq (K \mid * \mid L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 33.3.2 Monotonicity of multiplication

**lemma** *prod-lepoll-mono*:  
 $\llbracket A \lesssim C; B \lesssim D \rrbracket ==> A * B \lesssim C * D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-le-mono*:  
 $\llbracket K' \leq K; L' \leq L \rrbracket ==> (K' \mid * \mid L') \leq (K \mid * \mid L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.4 Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication

**lemma** *prod-succ-epoll*:  $succ(A) * B \approx B + A * B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-succ-lemma*:  
 $\llbracket Ord(m); Ord(n) \rrbracket ==> succ(m) \mid * \mid n = n \mid + \mid (m \mid * \mid n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-cmult-eq-mult*:  $\llbracket m: nat; n: nat \rrbracket ==> m \mid * \mid n = m \# * n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmult-2*:  $Card(n) ==> 2 \mid * \mid n = n \mid + \mid n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-lepoll-prod*:  $2 \lesssim C ==> B + B \lesssim C * B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lepoll-imp-sum-lepoll-prod*:  $\llbracket A \lesssim B; 2 \lesssim A \rrbracket ==> A + B \lesssim A * B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.5 Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals

**lemma** *nat-cons-lepoll*:  $nat \lesssim A \implies cons(u, A) \lesssim A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-cons-epoll*:  $nat \lesssim A \implies cons(u, A) \approx A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-succ-epoll*:  $nat \leq A \implies succ(A) \approx A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-nat*:  $InfCard(nat)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-is-Card*:  $InfCard(K) \implies Card(K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-Un*:  
 $[ [ InfCard(K); Card(L) ] ] \implies InfCard(K \cup L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-is-Limit*:  $InfCard(K) \implies Limit(K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordermap-epoll-pred*:  
 $[ [ well-ord(A, r); x:A ] ] \implies ordermap(A, r) 'x \approx Order.pred(A, x, r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 33.5.1 Establishing the well-ordering

**lemma** *csquare-lam-inj*:  
 $Ord(K) \implies (lam \langle x, y \rangle : K * K. \langle x \cup y, x, y \rangle) : inj(K * K, K * K * K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-csquare*:  $Ord(K) \implies well-ord(K * K, csquare-rel(K))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 33.5.2 Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering

**lemma** *csquareD*:  
 $[ [ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, z \rangle \rangle : csquare-rel(K); x < K; y < K; z < K ] ] \implies x le z \ \& \ y le z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pred-csquare-subset*:

$$z < K \implies Order.pred(K * K, <z, z>, csquare-rel(K)) \leq succ(z) * succ(z)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *csquare-ltI*:

$$[[ x < z; y < z; z < K ]] \implies <<x, y>, <z, z>> : csquare-rel(K)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *csquare-or-eqI*:

$$[[ x \leq z; y \leq z; z < K ]] \implies <<x, y>, <z, z>> : csquare-rel(K) \mid x = z \ \& \ y = z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.5.3 The cardinality of initial segments

**lemma** *ordermap-z-lt*:

$$[[ Limit(K); x < K; y < K; z = succ(x \cup y) ]] \implies \\ ordermap(K * K, csquare-rel(K)) \restriction <x, y> < \\ ordermap(K * K, csquare-rel(K)) \restriction <z, z>$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordermap-csquare-le*:

$$[[ Limit(K); x < K; y < K; z = succ(x \cup y) ]] \\ \implies \mid ordermap(K * K, csquare-rel(K)) \restriction <x, y> \mid \leq \mid succ(z) \mid * \mid succ(z) \mid$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordertype-csquare-le*:

$$[[ InfCard(K); ALL y:K. InfCard(y) \dashrightarrow y * y = y ]] \\ \implies ordertype(K * K, csquare-rel(K)) \leq K$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-csquare-eq*:  $InfCard(K) \implies K * K = K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *well-ord-InfCard-square-eq*:

$$[[ well-ord(A, r); InfCard(|A|) ]] \implies A * A \approx A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-square-epoll*:  $InfCard(K) \implies K \times K \approx K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Inf-Card-is-InfCard*:  $[[ \sim Finite(i); Card(i) ]] \implies InfCard(i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.5.4 Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)

**lemma** *InfCard-le-cmult-eq*:  $[[ \text{InfCard}(K); L \leq K; 0 < L ]] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-cmult-eq*:  $[[ \text{InfCard}(K); \text{InfCard}(L) ]] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K \cup_n L$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-cdouble-eq*:  $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K \mid + \mid K = K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-le-cadd-eq*:  $[[ \text{InfCard}(K); L \leq K ]] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *InfCard-cadd-eq*:  $[[ \text{InfCard}(K); \text{InfCard}(L) ]] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K \cup_n L$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 33.6 For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One

*text\** This result is Kunen's Theorem 10.16, which would be trivial using AC **lemma**  
*Ord-jump-cardinal*:  $\text{Ord}(\text{jump-cardinal}(K))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *jump-cardinal-iff*:  
 $i : \text{jump-cardinal}(K) < - >$   
 $(\exists X \ r \ X. r \leq K * K \ \& \ X \leq K \ \& \ \text{well-ord}(X, r) \ \& \ i = \text{ordertype}(X, r))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *K-lt-jump-cardinal*:  $\text{Ord}(K) \implies K < \text{jump-cardinal}(K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-jump-cardinal-lemma*:  
 $[[ \text{well-ord}(X, r); r \leq K * K; X \leq K;$   
 $f : \text{bij}(\text{ordertype}(X, r), \text{jump-cardinal}(K)) ]]$   
 $\implies \text{jump-cardinal}(K) : \text{jump-cardinal}(K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Card-jump-cardinal*:  $\text{Card}(\text{jump-cardinal}(K))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 33.7 Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals

**lemma** *csucc-basic*:  $\text{Ord}(K) \implies \text{Card}(\text{csucc}(K)) \ \& \ K < \text{csucc}(K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $Card-csucc = csucc-basic$  [*THEN conjunct1, standard*]

**lemmas**  $lt-csucc = csucc-basic$  [*THEN conjunct2, standard*]

**lemma**  $Ord-0-lt-csucc$ :  $Ord(K) ==> 0 < csucc(K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $csucc-le$ :  $[| Card(L); K < L |] ==> csucc(K) le L$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $lt-csucc-iff$ :  $[| Ord(i); Card(K) |] ==> i < csucc(K) <-> |i| le K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Card-lt-csucc-iff$ :

$[| Card(K'); Card(K) |] ==> K' < csucc(K) <-> K' le K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $InfCard-csucc$ :  $InfCard(K) ==> InfCard(csucc(K))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 33.7.1 Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality

**lemma**  $Fin-imp-not-cons-lepoll$ :  $A: Fin(U) ==> x \sim : A \dashv\dashv \sim cons(x, A) \lesssim A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Finite-imp-cardinal-cons$  [*simp*]:

$[| Finite(A); a \sim : A |] ==> |cons(a, A)| = succ(|A|)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Finite-imp-succ-cardinal-Diff$ :

$[| Finite(A); a : A |] ==> succ(|A - \{a\}|) = |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Finite-imp-cardinal-Diff$ :  $[| Finite(A); a : A |] ==> |A - \{a\}| < |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Finite-cardinal-in-nat$  [*simp*]:  $Finite(A) ==> |A| : nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card-Un-Int$ :

$[| Finite(A); Finite(B) |] ==> |A| \# + |B| = |A \ Un \ B| \# + |A \ Int \ B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card-Un-disjoint$ :

$[| Finite(A); Finite(B); A \ Int \ B = 0 |] ==> |A \ Un \ B| = |A| \# + |B|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *card-partition* [rule-format]:

$\text{Finite}(C) \implies$   
 $\text{Finite}(\bigcup C) \dashv\dashv$   
 $(\forall c \in C. |c| = k) \dashv\dashv$   
 $(\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq c2 \dashv\dashv c1 \cap c2 = 0) \dashv\dashv$   
 $k \#* |C| = |\bigcup C|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 33.7.2 Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp

**lemmas** *nat-implies-well-ord* = *nat-into-Ord* [THEN *well-ord-Memrel*, *standard*]

**lemma** *nat-sum-eqpoll-sum*:  $[\mid m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies m + n \approx m \# + n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-subset-natD* [rule-format]:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \leq \text{nat} \dashv\dashv i : \text{nat} \mid i = \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-nat-subset-into-Card*:  $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); i \leq \text{nat} \mid] \implies \text{Card}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Finite-Diff-sing-eq-diff-1*:  $[\mid \text{Finite}(A); x:A \mid] \implies |A - \{x\}| = |A| \# - 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-lt-imp-Diff-not-0* [rule-format]:

$\text{Finite}(B) \implies \text{ALL } A. |B| < |A| \dashv\dashv A - B \sim = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

**end**

## 34 Theory Main: Everything Except AC

**theory** *Main-ZF* **imports** *List-ZF IntDiv-ZF CardinalArith* **begin**

### 34.1 Iteration of the function $F$

**consts** *iterates* ::  $[i \implies i, i, i] \implies i \quad ((\text{-}^\wedge \text{-}'(\text{-}')) [60, 1000, 1000] 60)$

**primrec**

$F^\wedge 0 (x) = x$   
 $F^\wedge (\text{succ}(n)) (x) = F(F^\wedge n (x))$

**definition**

*iterates-omega* ::  $[i=>i,i] => i$  **where**  
*iterates-omega*( $F,x$ ) ==  $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}. F^n(x)}$

**notation** (*xsymbols*)

*iterates-omega*  $((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-')}) [60,1000] 60)$

**notation** (*HTML output*)

*iterates-omega*  $((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-')}) [60,1000] 60)$

**lemma** *iterates-triv*:

$[[ n \in \text{nat}; F(x) = x ]] ==> F^n(x) = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iterates-type* [*TC*]:

$[[ n : \text{nat}; a : A; !!x. x : A ==> F(x) : A ]]$   
 $==> F^n(a) : A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iterates-omega-triv*:

$F(x) = x ==> F^\omega(x) = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ord-iterates* [*simp*]:

$[[ n \in \text{nat}; !!i. \text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{Ord}(F(i)); \text{Ord}(x) ]]$   
 $==> \text{Ord}(F^n(x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *iterates-commute*:  $n \in \text{nat} ==> F(F^n(x)) = F^n(F(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 34.2 Transfinite Recursion

Transfinite recursion for definitions based on the three cases of ordinals

**definition**

*transrec3* ::  $[i, i, [i,i] => i, [i,i] => i] => i$  **where**  
*transrec3*( $k, a, b, c$ ) ==  
*transrec*( $k, \lambda x r.$   
 if  $x=0$  then  $a$   
 else if *Limit*( $x$ ) then  $c(x, \lambda y \in x. r'y$ )  
 else  $b(\text{Arith.pred}(x), r \text{ 'Arith.pred}(x))$ )

**lemma** *transrec3-0* [*simp*]: *transrec3*( $0,a,b,c$ ) =  $a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec3-succ* [*simp*]:

*transrec3*(*succ*( $i$ ), $a,b,c$ ) =  $b(i, \text{transrec3}(i,a,b,c))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transrec3-Limit*:

*Limit*( $i$ ) ==>



$transrec3(i, a, b, c) = c(i, \lambda j \in i. transrec3(j, a, b, c))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *Main*  
**imports** *Main-ZF*  
**begin**  
  
**end**

## 35 The Axiom of Choice

**theory** *AC* **imports** *Main-ZF* **begin**

This definition comes from Halmos (1960), page 59.

**axiomatization where**

$AC: [\mid a: A; \mid \! \! \! \exists x. x:A ==> (EX y. y:B(x)) \mid] ==> EX z. z : Pi(A,B)$

**lemma** *AC-Pi*:  $[\mid \! \! \! \exists x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in B(x)) \mid] ==> \exists z. z \in Pi(A,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-ball-Pi*:  $\forall x \in A. \exists y. y \in B(x) ==> \exists y. y \in Pi(A,B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-Pi-Pow*:  $\exists f. f \in (\Pi X \in Pow(C) - \{0\}. X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-func*:

$[\mid \! \! \! \exists x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in x) \mid] ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *non-empty-family*:  $[\mid 0 \notin A; x \in A \mid] ==> \exists y. y \in x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-func0*:  $0 \notin A ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-func-Pow*:  $\exists f \in (Pow(C) - \{0\}) \rightarrow C. \forall x \in Pow(C) - \{0\}. f'x \in x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *AC-Pi0*:  $0 \notin A \implies \exists f. f \in (\prod x \in A. x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 36 Zorn's Lemma

**theory** *Zorn* **imports** *OrderArith AC Inductive-ZF* **begin**

Based upon the unpublished article “Towards the Mechanization of the Proofs of Some Classical Theorems of Set Theory,” by Abrial and Laffitte.

**definition**

$Subset-rel :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $Subset-rel(A) == \{z \in A * A . \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ x \leq y \ \& \ x \neq y\}$

**definition**

$chain :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $chain(A) == \{F \in Pow(A). \forall X \in F. \forall Y \in F. X \leq Y \mid Y \leq X\}$

**definition**

$super :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $super(A, c) == \{d \in chain(A). c \leq d \ \& \ c \neq d\}$

**definition**

$maxchain :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $maxchain(A) == \{c \in chain(A). super(A, c) = 0\}$

**definition**

$increasing :: i \Rightarrow i$  **where**  
 $increasing(A) == \{f \in Pow(A) -> Pow(A). \forall x. x \leq A \implies x \leq f'x\}$

Lemma for the inductive definition below

**lemma** *Union-in-Pow*:  $Y \in Pow(Pow(A)) \implies Union(Y) \in Pow(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We could make the inductive definition conditional on  $next \in increasing(S)$  but instead we make this a side-condition of an introduction rule. Thus the induction rule lets us assume that condition! Many inductive proofs are therefore unconditional.

**consts**

$TFin :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$

**inductive**

**domains**  $TFin(S, next) \leq Pow(S)$

**intros**

$nextI: \quad [| x \in TFin(S, next); \ next \in increasing(S) |]$   
 $\implies next'x \in TFin(S, next)$

*Pow-UnionI*:  $Y \in \text{Pow}(\text{TFin}(S, \text{next})) \implies \text{Union}(Y) \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next})$

**monos**            *Pow-mono*  
**con-defs**        *increasing-def*  
**type-intros**    *CollectD1 [THEN apply-funtype] Union-in-Pow*

### 36.1 Mathematical Preamble

**lemma** *Union-lemma0*:  $(\forall x \in C. x \leq A \mid B \leq x) \implies \text{Union}(C) \leq A \mid B \leq \text{Union}(C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Inter-lemma0*:  
 $\llbracket c \in C; \forall x \in C. A \leq x \mid x \leq B \rrbracket \implies A \leq \text{Inter}(C) \mid \text{Inter}(C) \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 36.2 The Transfinite Construction

**lemma** *increasingD1*:  $f \in \text{increasing}(A) \implies f \in \text{Pow}(A) \multimap \text{Pow}(A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *increasingD2*:  $\llbracket f \in \text{increasing}(A); x \leq A \rrbracket \implies x \leq f'x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *TFin-UnionI* = *PowI [THEN TFin.Pow-UnionI, standard]*

**lemmas** *TFin-is-subset* = *TFin.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN PowD, standard]*

Structural induction on  $\text{TFin}(S, \text{next})$

**lemma** *TFin-induct*:  
 $\llbracket n \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next});$   
 $\quad \text{!!}x. \llbracket x \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next}); P(x); \text{next} \in \text{increasing}(S) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{next}'x);$   
 $\quad \text{!!}Y. \llbracket Y \leq \text{TFin}(S, \text{next}); \forall y \in Y. P(y) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{Union}(Y))$   
 $\quad \rrbracket \implies P(n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction

**lemmas** *increasing-trans* = *subset-trans [OF - increasingD2,*  
 $\quad \text{OF - - TFin-is-subset}]$

Lemma 1 of section 3.1

**lemma** *TFin-linear-lemma1*:  
 $\llbracket n \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next}); m \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next});$   
 $\quad \forall x \in \text{TFin}(S, \text{next}). x \leq m \dashv\dashv x = m \mid \text{next}'x \leq m \rrbracket$   
 $\implies n \leq m \mid \text{next}'m \leq n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma 2 of section 3.2. Interesting in its own right! Requires  $next \in increasing(S)$  in the second induction step.

**lemma** *TFin-linear-lemma2*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$
  

$$==> \forall n \in TFin(S, next). n \leq m \leftrightarrow n = m \mid next'n \leq m$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

a more convenient form for Lemma 2

**lemma** *TFin-subsetD*:

$$[| n \leq m; m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$
  

$$==> n = m \mid next'n \leq m$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Consequences from section 3.3 – Property 3.2, the ordering is total

**lemma** *TFin-subset-linear*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$
  

$$==> n \leq m \mid m \leq n$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 3 of section 3.3

**lemma** *equal-next-upper*:

$$[| n \in TFin(S, next); m \in TFin(S, next); m = next'm |] ==> n \leq m$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Property 3.3 of section 3.3

**lemma** *equal-next-Union*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$
  

$$==> m = next'm \leftrightarrow m = Union(TFin(S, next))$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain

NOTE: We assume the partial ordering is  $\subseteq$ , the subset relation!

\* Defining the "next" operation for Hausdorff's Theorem \*

**lemma** *chain-subset-Pow*:  $chain(A) \leq Pow(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *super-subset-chain*:  $super(A, c) \leq chain(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *maxchain-subset-chain*:  $maxchain(A) \leq chain(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *choice-super*:

$$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S) |]$$

$\implies ch \text{ ' } super(S,X) \in super(S,X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *choice-not-equals*:

$\llbracket ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S)$   
 $\rrbracket$   
 $\implies ch \text{ ' } super(S,X) \neq X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This justifies Definition 4.4

**lemma** *Hausdorff-next-exists*:

$ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X) \implies$   
 $\exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S).$   
 $next \text{ ' } X = if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch \text{ ' } super(S,X), X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 4

**lemma** *TFin-chain-lemma4*:

$\llbracket c \in TFin(S,next);$   
 $ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X);$   
 $next \in increasing(S);$   
 $\forall X \in Pow(S). next \text{ ' } X =$   
 $if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch \text{ ' } super(S,X), X) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies c \in chain(S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *Hausdorff*:  $\exists c. c \in maxchain(S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element

Used in the proof of Zorn's Lemma

**lemma** *chain-extend*:

$\llbracket c \in chain(A); z \in A; \forall x \in c. x \leq z \rrbracket \implies cons(z,c) \in chain(A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Zorn*:  $\forall c \in chain(S). Union(c) \in S \implies \exists y \in S. \forall z \in S. y \leq z \implies y=z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered

Lemma 5

**lemma** *TFin-well-lemma5*:

$\llbracket n \in TFin(S,next); Z \leq TFin(S,next); z:Z; \sim Inter(Z) \in Z \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \forall m \in Z. n \leq m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Well-ordering of  $TFin(S, next)$

**lemma** *well-ord-TFin-lemma*:  $[| Z \leq TFin(S, next); z \in Z |] \implies Inter(Z) \in Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This theorem just packages the previous result

**lemma** *well-ord-TFin*:

$next \in increasing(S)$

$\implies well-ord(TFin(S, next), Subset-rel(TFin(S, next)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

\* Defining the "next" operation for Zermelo's Theorem \*

**lemma** *choice-Diff*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X); X \subseteq S; X \neq S |] \implies ch' (S - X) \in S - X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This justifies Definition 6.1

**lemma** *Zermelo-next-exists*:

$ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X) \implies$

$\exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S).$

$next'X = (if X=S then S else cons(ch'(S-X), X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The construction of the injection

**lemma** *choice-imp-injection*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X);$

$next \in increasing(S);$

$\forall X \in Pow(S). next'X = if(X=S, S, cons(ch'(S-X), X)) |]$

$\implies (\lambda x \in S. Union(\{y \in TFin(S, next). x \notin y\}))$

$\in inj(S, TFin(S, next) - \{S\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The wellordering theorem

**theorem** *AC-well-ord*:  $\exists r. well-ord(S, r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC

**theory** *Cardinal-AC* imports *CardinalArith Zorn* begin

### 37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals

**lemma** *cardinal-epoll*:  $|A| \text{ epoll } A$

*<proof>*

The theorem  $||A|| = |A|$

**lemmas** *cardinal-idem* = *cardinal-epoll* [*THEN* *cardinal-cong*, *standard*, *simp*]

**lemma** *cardinal-epE*:  $|X| = |Y| \implies X \text{ epoll } Y$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cardinal-epoll-iff*:  $|X| = |Y| \iff X \text{ epoll } Y$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cardinal-disjoint-Un*:

$[|A|=|B|; |C|=|D|; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0] \implies |A \text{ Un } C| = |B \text{ Un } D|$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *lepoll-imp-Card-le*:  $A \text{ lepoll } B \implies |A| \text{ le } |B|$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cadd-assoc*:  $(i \text{ } + \text{ } j) \text{ } + \text{ } k = i \text{ } + \text{ } (j \text{ } + \text{ } k)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cmult-assoc*:  $(i \text{ } * \text{ } j) \text{ } * \text{ } k = i \text{ } * \text{ } (j \text{ } * \text{ } k)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cadd-cmult-distrib*:  $(i \text{ } + \text{ } j) \text{ } * \text{ } k = (i \text{ } * \text{ } k) \text{ } + \text{ } (j \text{ } * \text{ } k)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *InfCard-square-ep*:  $\text{InfCard}(|A|) \implies A * A \text{ epoll } A$

*<proof>*

### 37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence

**lemma** *Card-le-imp-lepoll*:  $|A| \text{ le } |B| \implies A \text{ lepoll } B$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *le-Card-iff*:  $\text{Card}(K) \implies |A| \text{ le } K \iff A \text{ lepoll } K$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cardinal-0-iff-0* [*simp*]:  $|A| = 0 \iff A = 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cardinal-lt-iff-lesspoll*:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i < |A| \iff i \text{ lesspoll } A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cardinal-le-imp-lepoll*:  $i \leq |A| \implies i \lesssim A$

*<proof>*

### 37.3 Other Applications of AC

**lemma** *surj-implies-inj*:  $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies \exists X \, g. g: \text{inj}(Y, X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-implies-cardinal-le*:  $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies |Y| \leq |X|$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-UN-le*:  
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. |X(i)| \leq K |] \implies |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| \leq K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-UN-lt-csucc*:  
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. |X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K) |]$   
 $\implies |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cardinal-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:  
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. j(i) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$   
 $\implies (\bigcup i \in K. j(i)) < \text{csucc}(K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-UN-subset*:  
 $[| f: \text{inj}(A, B); a:A |] \implies$   
 $(\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) \leq (\bigcup y \in B. C(\text{if } y: \text{range}(f) \text{ then } \text{converse}(f) 'y \text{ else } a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:  
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); |W| \leq K; \text{ALL } w:W. j(w) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$   
 $\implies (\bigcup w \in W. j(w)) < \text{csucc}(K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**



## 38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions

**theory** *InfDatatype* **imports** *Datatype-ZF Univ Finite Cardinal-AC* **begin**

**lemmas** *fun-Limit-VfromE* =

*Limit-VfromE* [*OF apply-funtype InfCard-csucc* [*THEN InfCard-is-Limit*]]

**lemma** *fun-Vcsucc-lemma*:

$[| f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K) |]$   
 $\implies \exists j. f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, j) \ \& \ j < csucc(K)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-Vcsucc*:

$[| D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K) |]$   
 $\implies \exists j. D \leq Vfrom(A, j) \ \& \ j < csucc(K)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fun-Vcsucc*:

$[| |D| \leq K; InfCard(K); D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) |] \implies$   
 $D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fun-in-Vcsucc*:

$[| f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K);$   
 $D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) |]$   
 $\implies f: Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *fun-in-Vcsucc' = fun-in-Vcsucc* [*OF - - subsetI*]

**lemma** *Card-fun-Vcsucc*:

$InfCard(K) \implies K \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Card-fun-in-Vcsucc*:

$[| f: K \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); InfCard(K) |] \implies f: Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Limit-csucc*:  $InfCard(K) \implies Limit(csucc(K))$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *Pair-in-Vcsucc = Pair-in-VLimit* [*OF - - Limit-csucc*]

**lemmas** *Inl-in-Vcsucc = Inl-in-VLimit* [*OF - Limit-csucc*]

**lemmas** *Inr-in-Vcsucc = Inr-in-VLimit* [*OF - Limit-csucc*]

**lemmas** *zero-in-Vcsucc = Limit-csucc* [*THEN zero-in-VLimit*]

**lemmas** *nat-into-Vsucc = nat-into-VLimit [OF - Limit-csucc]*

**lemmas** *InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal = InfCard-Un [OF InfCard-nat Card-cardinal]*

**lemmas** *le-nat-Un-cardinal =  
Un-upper2-le [OF Ord-nat Card-cardinal [THEN Card-is-Ord]]*

**lemmas** *UN-upper-cardinal = UN-upper [THEN subset-imp-lepoll, THEN lepoll-imp-Card-le]*

**lemmas** *Data-Arg-intros =  
SigmaI InlI InrI  
Pair-in-univ Inl-in-univ Inr-in-univ  
zero-in-univ A-into-univ nat-into-univ UnCI*

**lemmas** *inf-datatype-intros =  
InfCard-nat InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal  
Pair-in-Vsucc Inl-in-Vsucc Inr-in-Vsucc  
zero-in-Vsucc A-into-Vfrom nat-into-Vsucc  
Card-fun-in-Vsucc fun-in-Vsucc' UN-I*

**end**

**theory** *Main-ZFC imports Main-ZF InfDatatype begin*

**end**